EFFECT OF CPPU, GA3 AND NAA ON SOME QUALITY PARAMETERS OF RUBY SEEDLESS GRAPES

El-Morsy, A.A.

Hort. Dept., Fac. Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ.

ABSTRACT

CPPU, GA₃ and NAA were applied separately or in combination to bunches of Ruby Seedless grapes during two successive years (1998 and 1999). All concentrations of CPPU (2.5 & 5 ppm), GA₃ (20 & 40 ppm) and NAA (2 & 4 ppm) reduced soluble solid content (SSC) and SSC/acid ratio significantly. The effect of CPPU in reducing SSC was more evident than GA₃ and NAA in the first season, while in the second one the difference between them in this respect was little Regarding titratable acidity content (TA), berries of all NAA and CPPU treatments contained higher TA than the control, while the effect of GA₃ was not significant. Both CPPU and GA₃ treatments increased cluster weight, berry firmness, berry length, berry diameter, receptacle diameter, pedicel diameter and berry removal force. The effect of NAA on cluster weight, receptacle diameter, berry removal force was not significant. Berry firmness of the NAA treatment was significantly lower than the unsprayed one.

INTRODUCTION

Berry size and cluster conformation of seedless grapes are customarily improved through the application of growth regulators (Reynolds *et al.*, 1992).

Gibberellic acid treatments of grapes has been used widely since 1960's for this purpose (Weaver, 1976). Moreover, auxins have been also taken in consideration to improve grape quality (Weaver, 1976), but the response was variable in different areas, which could be due to environmental factors, concentration or dates of application.

There is some reports indicating that the use of a combination of GA \times NAA is more effective than the use of either compound alone in improving size of seedless grapes (Luckwill, 1959 and El-Hammady & Abdel-Hamid, 1995). Lately, CPPU [N-(2-chloro-4-pyridinyl)-N-phenylurea] was found to be effective in increasing berry size of several grape cultivars (Nickell, 1985, 1986a, 1986b). This beneficial effect of CPPU was enhanced by GA₃ (Nickell, 1985 and Morris *et al.*, 1986). CPPU could be used at very low concentration, yet still powerfull, and is used months ahead of harvest to eliminate product residues (Reynolds *et al.*, 1992).

This investigation was carried out to study the effect of CPPU, GA_3 and NAA either solely or in combinations on fruit quality of Ruby Seedless grapes and to find out if there is any possible additive effect of these compounds in this respect.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was conducted during the 1998 and 1999 seasons on a Ruby Seedless vineyard located at El-Mahala El-Kubra, Gharbia governorate. These vines were cordon trained and spur pruned at 60 buds/vine (30 spurs). Vines were selected to be as uniform as possible in their vegetative and number of cluster per vine.

Solutions of all possible combinations of CPPU [N-(2-chloro-4pyridinyl)-N-phenylurea], known as Sitofex, from SKW Trostberg Aktiengesellschaft, Germany (0, 2.5 and 5 ppm), GA₃ (0 and 40 ppm in 1998 and 0, 20 and 40 ppm in 1999) and NAA (0, 2 and 4 ppm) were prepared just before application. Clusters of vines assigned for each treatment were sprayed using a hand gun sprayer when berry diameter was 4-6 mm.

Each treatment was represented by three vines plot in three replicates arranged in a randomized complete block design. Guard rows separated all treatments.

Treated clusters were harvested when soluble solid content of the control was 16.6% in the first season and 16.9% in the second one.

The following parameters were determined:

- Soluble solid content (SSC), by hand refactometer.
- Titratable acidity (TA), as tartaric acid (A.O.A.C., 1980).
- SSC/acid ratio was calculated.
- Cluster weight (g).
- Berry diameter (mm), berry length (mm), receptacle diameter (mm) and pedicel diameter (mm).
- Berry removal force (gf) and berry firmness (gf), using Effegi pentrometer with 2 mm plunger.

The data were statistically analyzed according to the method of Snedecor and Cochran (1980) as means were compared using Duncan's multiple range test.

RESULTS

Soluble solid content (SSC):

During the both seasons, CPPU at 2.5 & 5 ppm decreased SSC in Ruby Seedless grapes significantly (Fig. 1). SSC was the lowest at 5 ppm with significant difference as compared with the 2.5 ppm treatment in the two seasons. Also GA_3 treatments at 20 & 40 ppm reduced SSC significantly during the two seasons, but the difference between 20 and 40 ppm was not significant (Fig. 1). The same trend was also observed for NAA treatments at 2 and 4 ppm as it reduced SSC significantly than the control, while the difference between the two NAA concentrations was not significant (Fig. 1).

> Fig. (1): Effect of concentrations of CPPU, GA₃ and NAA on soluble solids content (SSC %) of Ruby Seedless grapes during 1998 and 1999 seasons.



Bars designated by the same letters in the same group are not significantly different at 5 % level according to DMRT.

The data in Table (1) show the interactions between GA₃, NAA and CPPU treatments. Concerning the interaction between GA₃ and NAA treatments in the first season, the highest SSC was recorded in the control, while the lowest content was for the 40 ppm GA₃ + 4 ppm NAA treatment. The difference between them was significant. The same trend was observed in the second season.

The interaction between CPPU and NAA showed that the control had the highest SSC, while the lowest values were observed in the 5 ppm CPPU + 4 ppm NAA treatment with a significant deference in the second season.

Regarding the interaction between CPPU and GA₃, there were no significant differences in the first season, while in the second one there were significant interactions with the highest SSC in the 5 ppm CPPU + 0 ppm GA₃ and the lowest in the 5 ppm CPPU + 40 ppm GA₃ treatments.

Treat	nents		1998		1999						
NAA	CPPU	GA ₃ (G)		CxN		CxN					
(N)	(C)	m	g/l	means		mg/l		means			
mg/l	mg/i	0	40		0	20	40				
0	0	16.56 a	14.34 bc		16.95 a	16.37bcd					
0	2.5	13.92 cd	13.42 de			15.37 fgh					
0	5	13.40 de	12.86 ef	13.13 d	16.53 b	16.13 b-e	16.13 b-e	16.27 b			
GxN	means	14.63 a	13.54 bc		16.55 a	15.96 cd	16.22 b				
2	0	14.68 b	14.50 bc	14.59 b	16.13 b-e	15.80 efg	16.20 b-e	16.04 bc			
2	2.5	13.14 ef	13.46 de	13.30 cd	15.77 efg	15.73 efg	15.87 e	15.79 cd			
2	5	13.32 de	13.06 ef	<u>13.19 d</u>	16.43 bc	15.38 fgh	15.20 hi	15.67 d			
GxN	GxN means		13.67 bc		16.11 bc	15.64 e	15.76 de				
4	0	14.46 bc	14.44 bc	14.45 b	15.33 ghi	16.13 b-e	15.83 ef	15.77 d			
4	2.5	13.46 de	12.94 ef	13.20 d			15.97 cde	15.91 cd			
4	5	13.26 ef	12.66 f	12.96 d	15.70 efg	15.13 hi	<u>14.90 i</u>	15.24 c			
GxN means		13.73 b	13.35 c		15.62 e	15.73 de	<u>15.57 e</u>				
CxG	0	15.23 a	14.43 a		16.14 ab	16.10 ab	16.13 ab				
means	2.5	13.51 a	13.27 a		15.92 bc	15.68 cd	16.00 ab	[]			
	5	13.33 a	12.86 a		16.22 a	15.55 de	15.41 e				

 Table (1): Effect of CPPU, GA3 and NAA interaction on soluble solids content (SSC%) of Ruby Seedless grapes during 1998 and 1999 seasons.

For each season, means of each interaction (SxN, GxN, SxG and SxNxG) followed by a common letter are not significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT.

Titratable acidity (TA):

As indicated in Figure (2), titratable acidity content (TA) of the 5 ppm CPPU treatment was significantly higher than the control in both seasons. Differences in TA were significant between 2.5 ppm CPPU and each of unsprayed and 5 ppm CPPU treatments in the second season.

The effect of GA₃ concentrations on TA was not significant in both seasons.

NAA treated clusters had significantly higher TA than those of the control. While the difference between both NAA concentrations were not significant in 1998, whereas in 1999, the 4 ppm treatment gave significantly higher TA than the 2 ppm.





Bars designated by the same letters in the same group are not significantly different at 5 % level according to DMRT.

The interaction between GA_3 and NAA concentration was significant (Table 2). The highest significant interaction was in the 40 ppm $GA_3 + 4$ ppm NAA treatment in both seasons.

Concerning the interaction between CPPU and NAA concentrations, the highest interaction on TA was in the 5 ppm CPPU +4 ppm NAA treatment (Table 2).

The interaction between CPPU and GA_3 was not significant in the first season, while it was significant in the second one (Table 2). CPPU at 5 ppm + 0 ppm GA_3 gave the highest TA.

SSC/acid ratio :

CPPU treatments significantly reduced SSC/acid ratio during the two seasons. The effect of 5 ppm was more pronounced in this regard than the 2.5 ppm treatment (Fig. 3).

Concerning GA_3 treatments, 40 ppm treatment reduced the SSC/acid ratio significantly than the control in both seasons (Fig.3). The difference between 20 ppm and 40 ppm GA_3 treatments, in the second season, was not significant in this regard.

	nents		1998		1999						
NAA (N) CPPU		$GA_3(G)$		CxN	GA ₃ (G)			CxN			
mg/l	(C)	mg		means		mg/l		Means			
	mg/l	0	40		0	20	40				
0	0	0.50 d	0.51 cd	0.50 a	0.44 ij	0.46 ghi	0.47 fgh	0.45 d			
0	2.5	0.52 cd	0.52 cd	0.52 a	0.43 j	0.45 hij	0.44 ij	0.44 d			
0	5	0.53 cd	0.51 cd	0.52 a	0.47 fgh	0.48 efg	0.45 hij	0.47 c			
GxN	means	0.52 cd	0.51 d	0.53 a	0.44 d	0.46 c	0.45 cd				
2	0	0.54 bc	0.52 cd	0.53 a	0.46 ghi	0.49 def	0.46 ghi	0.47 c			
2	2.5	0.53 c	0.52 cd	0.52 a	0.50 cde	0.50 cde	0.52 bc	0.50 Ъ			
2	5	0.54 bc	0.57 a	0.55 a	0.50 cde	0.51 cd	0.49 def	0.50Ъ			
GxN	GxN means		0,54 b	0.54 a	0.49 b	0.50 ab	0.49 b				
4	- 0	0.53 c	0.54 bc	0.54 a	0.46 ghi	0.48 efg	0.46 ghi	0.47 c			
4	2.5	0.53 c	0.56 ab	0.55 a	0.50 cde	0.50 cde	0.55 a	0.52 a			
4	5	0.54 bc	0,58 a	0.56 a	0.55 a	0.50 cde	0.54 ab	0.53 a			
GxN means		0.53 bc	0.56 a	· ·	0.50 ab	0.50 ab	0.51 a				
CxG	0	0.52 a	0.52 a	1	0.45 f	0.48 cd	0.46 ef				
means	2.5	0.53 a	0.53 a		0.47 de	0.48 cd	0.50 ab				
	5	0.53 a	0.55 a	ļ	0.51 a	0.50 ab	0.49 bc				
		1		i	1			L			

Table (2): Effect of CPPU, GA₃ and NAA interaction on acidity % of Ruby Seedless grapes during 1998 and 1999 seasons.

For each season, means of each interaction (SxN, GxN, SxG and SxNxG) followed by a common letter are not significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT.

Fig. (3): Effect of concentrations of CPPU, GA₃ and NAA on SSC/acid ratio of Ruby Seedless grapes during 1998 and 1999 seasons.



Bars designated by the same letters in the same group are not significantly different at 5 % level according to DMRT.

NAA Treatments significantly reduced SSC/acid ratio during the both seasons (Fig. 3). The difference between 2 ppm and 4 ppm NAA treatments was significant in the second season.

There was significant interaction between GA_3 & NAA treatments (Table 3), where the significantly lowest SSC/acid ratio was recorded in the 40 ppm $GA_3 + 4$ ppm NAA treatment in both seasons. The same trend was observed in the 20 ppm $GA_3 + 2$ or 4 ppm NAA in the second season.

Data presented in Table (3) indicated also that there were significant interactions between CPPU and NAA on SSC/acid ratio. Combination of both CPPU and NAA had significantly lower SSC/acid ratio, the lowest SSC/acid ratio was observed in the 5 ppm CPPU + 4 ppm NAA and 5 ppm CPPU + 2 ppm NAA, in both seasons.

Concerning the interaction between CPPU and GA₃ (Table 3), the same trend was observed during both seasons, where the lowest ratio was that of the 40 ppm $GA_3 + 5$ ppm CPPU treatment.

Treat	ments		1998		1999						
NAA	CPPU	GA3	(G)	CxN		CxN					
(N)	(C)	m	g/l	Means		GA ₃ (G) mg/l					
mg/l	mg/l	0	40		0	20	40				
0	0	33.26 a	26.29 b-f				34.70 bcd	36.19 a			
0			26.08 b-f		33.15 e-h	32.47 fgh	35.80 b	33.81 bc			
0			25.46 d-g	25.34 d	33.76 def	32.28 f-i	34.38 cde	33.47 c			
GxN	means	28.38 a	25.94 b		34.96 a	33.55 b	34.96 a				
2	0	27.28 bc				32.61 fgh		34.33 b			
2	2.5	24.70 gh	25.93 c-g				32.48 fgh	32.18 d			
2	5	24.97 fgh	22.93 ij	23.95 e	33.01 e-h	30.41 k	29.87 k	31.10 e			
GxN	means	25.65 b	25.42 b								
. 4	0	26.38 b-e	26.85 bc	26.61 bc	33.08 e-h	33.41 d-g	32.79 fgh	33.09 c			
4	2.5	26.22 b-f	23.80 hi	25.01 d	31.84 hij	33.24 e-h	30.59 jk	31.89 d			
4	5	25.23 efg	22.03 j	23.63 e		30.46 jk		29.93 f			
GxN means		25.94 b	24.23 c		31.97 de	32.37 de	30.58 f				
CxG	0	28.97 a	26.85 b		35.29 a	33.97 b	34.36 b	T .			
means	2.5	25.86 c	25.27 cd		32.27 c	32.64 c	32.96 c				
	5	25.14 d	23. 47 e		32.58 c	31.05 d	30.87 d				

Table (3): Effect of CPPU, GA₃ and NAA interaction on SSC/ acid ratio of Ruby Seedless grapes during 1998 and 1999 seasons.

For each season, means of each interaction (SxN, GxN, SxG and SxNxG) followed by a common letter are not significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT.

Cluster weight (g) :

Both CPPU and GA₃ treatments increased cluster weight significantly (Fig. 4). The difference between CPPU concentrations was not significant in

both seasons. The difference between 0 and 40 ppm GA_3 was significant, while it was not significant between 0 and 20 ppm, and also between 20 and 40 ppm. The effect of NAA concentrations was not significant in both seasons (Fig. 4)

Fig. (4): Effect of concentrations of CPPU, GA₃ and NAA on cluster weight (g) of Ruby Seedless grapes during 1998 and 1999 seasons.





Berry length and berry diameter (mm):

Generally, CPPU, GA₃ and NAA treatments increased length and diameter of berries in both seasons (Table 4). The difference between 2.5 and 5 ppm CPPU was not significant in the first season for both berry length and berry diameter, while it was significant in the second season.

Regarding the effect of GA_3 concentrations, there was significant difference between 0 and 40 ppm, in the first season, and 0, 20 and 40 ppm in the second one for both length and diameter of berries (Table 4).

Concerning the effect of NAA concentrations, in the first season, the difference between 2 ppm and 4 ppm treatments was not significant, while both of them were significantly higher than 0 ppm treatment for both length and diameter of berries (Table 4). In the second season, the differences among the concentrations were not significant.

TREATMENTS		Berry length (mm)		Berry diameter		Receptacle diameter		Pedicel diameter		Firmness		Berry Removal		
L					(mm)		(mm)		(mm)		(gf)		force (gf)	
		_mg/L	1998	1999	1998	1999	1998	1999	1998	1999	1998	1999	1998	1999
	D	0	18.52 b	19.86 c	16. 11 b	16.69 c	2.52 b	2.80 c	1.36 c	1.72 c	497 b	424 b	380 b	372 c
	E C	2.5	19.19 a	20.71 b	16.97 a	17.55 b	2.81 a	3.27 a	1.57 b	1.88 b	517 a	423 b	393 b	401 b
	5)	5	19.11 a	21.10 a	16.94 a	18.15 a	2.87 a	3.32 a	1.68 a	2.01 a	518 a	439 a	427 a	435 a
	Sig.	Sig.		**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
	GA ₃ (G)	0	18.77 b	19.99 c	16.49 b	17.01 c	2.66 b	2.89 b	1. 42 b	1.59 b	512	432	404	407
		20	-	20.59 b	-	17.43 b	-	3.22 a	-	1.99 a	-	430	-	399
		40	19.11 a	21.09 a	16. 86 a	17.84 a	2.81 a	3.28 a	1.65 a	2.03 a	510	425	396	402
	Sig.		*	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	NS	NS	NS	NS
		0	18.53 b	20.52	16.40 b	17.34	2.70	3.10	1.43 b	1.85	514 a	436 a	408	406
V	AAN S	2	19.27 a	20.68	16.94 a	1 7.52	2.79	3.14	1.57 a	1.89	515 a	430 ab	400	403
	z	4	19.02 a	20.47	16.68 a	17.43	2.71	3.15	1.61 a	1.86	503 b	421 b	392	399
	Sig.		**	NS	**	NS	NS	NS	**	NS	**	**	NS	NS
	Interacti	ons												
	NxG NxC GxC		*	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	**	NS	**	**	NS	NS
			NS	*	NS	NS	*	NS	*	NS	NS	NS	**	NS
			**	**	NS	NS	**	**	NS	**	*	*	NS	**
	NxGxC		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	**

Table (4): Effect of CPPU, GA₃ and NAA concentrations on berry length (mm), berry diameter (mm), receptacle diameter (mm), pedicel diameter (mm), firmness (gf) and berry removal force (gf) of Ruby Seedless grapes during 1998 and 1999 seasons.

In a column, means in each group followed by a common letter are not significantly different at 5% level according to DMRT.

J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 27(3) 2001

Receptacle diameter (mm):

During both seasons, CPPU and GA₃ treatments increased receptacle diameter significantly (Table 4). The differences among the concentrations were not significant. The NAA treatment increased receptacle diameter, but it did not reach significant level.

Pedicel diameter (mm):

Both CPPU and GA_3 treatments increased pedicel diameter significantly in both seasons (Table 4). The differences between GA_3 concentrations were not significant, while pedicel diameter of 5 ppm CPPU treatment was significantly higher than that of 2.5 ppm treatment.

Regarding NAA effect, it increased pedicel diameter significantly in the first season, while in the second season, it did not reach significant level (Table 4).

Berry firmness (gf):

Berry firmness of the 5 ppm CPPU treatment, in both seasons, and 2.5 ppm CPPU in the second one was significantly higher than the non sprayed cluster (Table 4). The deference between 2.5 and 5 ppm CPPU treatments was not significant in the first season, while it was significant in the second one. The effect of GA_3 treatments on berry firmness was not significant in both seasons (Table 4). The 4 ppm NAA treatment showed the lowest significant berry firmness in both seasons. The difference between 4 ppm and 2 ppm NAA treatments was significant in the first season, but it was not significant in the second one.

Berry removal force (gf):

CPPU spray increased berry removal force significantly during the two seasons (Table 4). The berry removal force of 5 ppm treatment was significantly higher than 2.5 ppm treatment in the two seasons.

The effect of GA_3 and NAA treatments in this regard were not significant (Table 4).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Growth hormones are known to play an important role in growth and development of fruits (Weaver, 1972). The results presented here indicated that GA₃ and/or CPPU increased fruit size, and the interaction between both regulators in this respect was significant. Moreover, GA₃, CPPU or NAA increased berry length and diameter. These results are in accordance with those of Saad *et al.* (1979); Mahmoud *et al.* (1989); Sohan-Singh *et al.* (1992) and Zabadal & Bukovac (2000). It was also found that the receptacle and pedicel diameter were significantly increased by CPPU and GA₃ in both seasons and NAA in the first season only with respect to pedicel diameter. Such finding has its importance in improving post-harvest handling of clusters. This is reflected in an increased berry removal force, which eliminate berry shutter during handling. These results support the findings of Singh *et al.* (1978); Youssef *et al.* (1983) and Abdel-Kawi (1984) in this regard.

Moreover, the used growth regulators delayed maturity as indicated by reduced SSC and SSC/acid ratio and increased berry firmness. Similar findings were reported by Yakushiji *et al.* (2000). The interaction of different growth regulators in these prementioned effects was evident.

The conclusion could be reached that the use of combination treatment of GA_3 (20 ppm), CPPU (2.5-5 ppm) and NAA (2 ppm) is recommended for improving seedless grape quality for better marketability and income.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Kawi, A.A. (1984). Effect of GA₃ sprays, berry thinning and gridling treatments on yield and fruit quality of Thompson Seedless grapes. Agric. Res. Rev., 62 (3A) : 29-35.
- A.O.A.C. (1980). Association of Official Agriculture Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis, 13th Ed. Washington, D.C., USA.
- El-Hammady, A.M. and N. Abdel-Hamid (1995). Effects of GA₃, NAA and cane gridling on yield and quality of "King's Ruby" grapevines. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain-Shams Univ., Cairo, 40 (1): 293-305.
- Luckwill, L.C. (1959). Factors controlling the growth and form of fruits. J. Linnean Soc. London Bot., 56: 294-302.
- Mahmoud, H.M.; K.I.A. Amen; A.M. El-Sese and A.A. Abd El-Ghani (1989). Effect of GA₃ or/and ethephon on grape ripening of white Banaty and Red Roomy grapes. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 20 (3): 73-90.
- Morris, J.R.; R.G. Butz and L.G. Nickell (1986). The effects of a new cytokinin, CN-11-3183, and GA₃ on the yield and quality of Concord and Reliance grapes. 37th Annu-Mtg., Amer. Soc. Enol. Vitic., Anaheim, Calif (Abstr.).
- Nickell, L.G. (1985). New plant growth regulator increases grape size. Pro. Plant Growth Reg. Soc. Am., 12: 1-7.

- Nickell, L.G. (1986a). The effect of N-(2 chloro-4-pyridyl)- N-phenyl-urea and the 3-chlorobenzyl ester of dicamba on growth and sugar content of grapes. Acta Hort., 179 (11): 805-806.
- Nickell, L.G. (1986b). The effect of N-(2-chloro-4-pyridyl)-N-phenyl-urea on grapes and other crops. In proceedings of the plant growth Reg. Soc. Am., Thirteen Annual Meeting, 236-241.
- Reynolds, A.G.; D.A. Wardle; C. Zurowski and N.E. Looney (1992). Phenylureas CPPU and thidiazuron affect yield components, fruit composition and storage potential of four seedless grape selections. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 117 (1): 85-89.
- Saad, F.A.; A.M. El-Hamady and M.M. Hamouda (1979). Effect of gibberellic acid and ethephon on berry weight, size and quality of Thompson Seedless and Delight grapes. Pro. Saudi Bio. Soc., (3): 35-46.
- Singh, K.; R.J. Weaver and J.O. Johnson (1978). Effect of application of gibberellic acid on berry size, shatter and texture of Thompson Seedless grapes. Amer. J. Enol. Vitic., 29 (4): 258-268.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980). Statistical Methods, 7th ed. Iowa State Univ., Press, Ames. Iowa, USA.
- Sohan-Singh; S.S. Bindra; W.S. Dhillon; S.S. Sandhu and S. Singh (1992). Fruit quality improvement in "Thompson Seedless" grapes. Acta Horticulture, 321: 672-676.
- Weaver, R.J. (1972). Plant growth substances in agriculture. W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, P. 584.
- Weaver, R.J. (1976). Grape Growing. Awiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, New York. P. 353.
- Yakushiji, H.; K.Morinaga and Y. Koshita (2000). Berry quality and photosynthate partitioning in response to plant growth regulators in grape. HortScience, 35 (3): 422.
- Youssef, E.Y.; A.E. Abdel-Kawi; M.A. Khamis, M. Sharaf and M. El-Behairy (1983). Effect of some growth regulators on yield, physical characteristics and chemical constituents of Fayoumi grapes. Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 20: 305-317.
- Zabadal, T.J. and M.J. Bukovac (2000). Effect of CPPU on fruit development in seedless and seeded grape cultivars. HortScience, 35 (3): 496.

قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة بكفر الشيخ - جامعة طنطا

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمزرعة خاصة بالمحلة الكبرى – محافظة الغربية خلال موسمى ١٩٩٨ ، ١٩٩٩ لدراسة تأثير الرش بكل من الـ CPPU، وحمض الجبريليك (GA₃)، ونفثالين حمض الخليك (NAA) على بعض صفات الجودة لثمار العنب الروبي سيدلس، تم رش العناقيد للأشجار المختارة بإحدى التوليفات الممكنة من المواد المستخدمة حيث استخدم الـ CPPU بتركيزات صفر ، ٢٠٥ ، ٥ جسزء في المليون وحمض الجبريليك بتركيزات صفر ، ٤٠ جزء في المليون في الموسم الأول وبتركيزات صفر ، ٢٠ ، ٤٠ جزء في المليون في الموسم الثساني ونفشالين حمض الخليك بتركيزات صفر ، ٢٠ ، ٤ جزء في المليون، وقد رشت العناقيد عندما كان قطر الحبات ٤-٦مم.

وتم تقدير الصفات التالية: المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية – الحموضة – نسـبة المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية إلى الحموضة – وزن العنقود – قطر وطول الحبــات – قطر عنق الحبة وقطر التخت – قوة شد الحبات – الصلابة.

ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها في الآتي:

- كانت نسبة المواد الصّلبة الذائبة الكلية في معسّاملات الــــ CPPU وحمــض الجبريليك ونفتالين حمض الخليك أقل مقارنه بالعناقيد غير المرشوشـــة وكــان ذلك أكثر وضوحا في معاملات الــ CPPU.
- أوضحت النتائج زيادة في وزن العنقود والصلابة وطول وقطر الحبة وقطر
 عنق الحبة وقطر التخت وقوة شد الحبات وذلك في المعماملات المرشوشة
 بالــ CPPU وحمض الجبريليك.
- لم يكن هناك اتجاه واضح لتأثير الرش بنفثالين حمض الخليك على قطر التخت
 وقوة شد الحبات بينما كانت هناك زيادة غير معنوية في وزن العنقود وانخفاض
 معنوى في صلابة الحبات.
- ويوصني باستخدام الـــ CPPU بتركيزات ٢,٥-٥ جزء في المليــون وحمــض
 الجبريليك بتركيز ٢٠ جزء في المليون ونفثالين حمض الخليك بتركيز ٢ جــزء
 في المليون رشا على العناقيد عندما يكون قطر الحبات مــن ٢-٦ مــم وذلــك
 لتحسين صفات الجودة لثمار عنب الروبي سيدليس وتحسين الصفات التسـويقية
 وتحقيق أكبر عائد اقتصادي.