Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 47(3), 1009-1020, 2002

ANTIFUNGAL ACTION OF SOME ESSENTIAL OILS AGAINST FUNGI CAUSING COTTON SEEDLING DAMPING – OFF DISEASE

[63]

Suzan¹ N. El-Sherbieny; Wafaa H. Zakey¹ and Siham M. Abdel Ghafor¹

ABSTRACT

Antifungal activity of essential oils, from 8 plant namely, Dill (Anethum graveolens), Geranium (Pelargonium graveolens), Coriander (Coriandram sativum), Sweet basil (Ocimum basilicum), Thyme (Thymus vulgaris), Marioram (Majorana horensis), Mint (Mentha peperita) and Cumin (Cuminum cyminum) were evaluated pathogenic fungi: M.phaseolina, S.rolfsii, F.solani, R.solani and against certain Pythium sp. which causing cotton seedling damping - off disease. In vitro tests essential oils revealed antifungal activity against the tested fungi. Higher antifungal activities were recorded with thyme, cumin and mint essential oils. The mycelial growth of certain fungi were completely inhibited with cumin (M.phaseolina, S. rolfsii and R. solani) and mint (S. rolfsii and Pythium sp.) essential oils, whereas thyme caused complete inhibition of all the tested fungi. The vapours of the tested essential oils exhibited almost similar influence after different periods from incubation. Vapours of thyme, cumin and mint essential oils completely suppressed the mycelial growth of tested fungi, except with mint oil against F. solani which caused only 75.52% of inhibition. The influence of these oil vapours were continuously persisted until 10 days, however the influence of the others decreased gradually by time. The effective concentration (EC₅₀ values) of thyme, cumin and mint oils as compared with rizolex -T50 indicated more efficiency of the fungicide than the three oils. On the other hand, they showed fungistatic action at all tested concentration against certain fungi according the applied method. However, the nature of action to the other fungi were fungistatic at lower concentration and fungicidal at higher concentrations. The evaluation of the efficiency of thyme, mint and cumin oil in controlling cotton seeding damping - off disease as compared with rizolex -T50 under green house conditions, revealed almost similar reduction effect in disease incidence, but lower than rizolex - T50.

Key words: Essential oils, Antifungal activity, Cotton seeding, Damping-off disease

(Received July 27, 2002) (Accepted September 21, 2002)

¹⁻ Plant Pathology, Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

INTRODUCTION

Fugitoxicants from higher plants possibility may have a better future over synthetic fungicides due to their largely non-phytotoxic, systemic and easily biodegradable nature. So, the presence of naturally occurring substances in plants with antifungal properties have been recognized and tested against a wide range of fungi infecting many crops and commercially important plants (i.e. Ross et al 1980; Tapas & Chitreshwar. 1982; Shetty et al 1989; Snigh & Dwivedi. 1990; Chauhan & Singh. 1991; Zedan, 1993; Baiuomy, 1997; Wilson et al 1997; Bowers & Locke, 2000 a & b and Abdel Ghafour, 2000).

Attempts have been made by several research groups to isolate and identify the individual constituents present in the plant and relate the chemical composition to fungal activity. (McDowell *et al* 1988). In many plants exhibiting biological activities, the greatest antimicrobial power lies with the essential or volatile oil fraction (Singh *et al* 1983; Shukla & Tripathi, 1987; Deans, 1991 and El-Shazly, 2000).

Use of volatile oils as fungicides against field crop pathogens has not received widespread acceptance. Despite that laboratory tests, inhibited growth of many phytopathogenic fungi. Hence, the present investigation aimed to evaluate the antifungal activity of 8 essential oils against 5 fungi causing damping- off of cotton seedlings. The nature of toxicity and the efficiency of thyme, mint and cumin oils against those fungi was studied.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Pathogenic fungi

Diseased cotton seedlings, showing damping- off symptoms were collected from Qaluobia governorate, accompanied fungi were isolated. Pathogenicity tests were carried out using cotton variety, "Giza- 80". The pathogenic fungi were reisolated and identified, *M.phaseolina*, *S.rolfsii*, *F.solani*, *R.solani* and *Pythium sp.*, according to Clements & Shear, 1957; Barnett, 1960 and Nelson *et al* 1983. Pure isolates were kept on PDA slant till needed.

Antifungal activity of the tested essential oils

Samples of 8 plant essential oils namely Dill (Anethum graveolens), Geranium (Pelargonium graveolens), Coriander (Coriandram sativum), Sweet basil (Ocimum basilicum), Thyme (Thymus vulgaris), Marjoram (Majorana horensis), Mint (Mentha peperita) and Cumin (Cuminum cyminum) were obtained from Sector of Perfume and Additives, EL-Hawamdia Sugar Company, Evaluation tests of the oils and their vapours, as natural inhibitors for the mycelial growth of 5 fungi causing damping- off of cotton seedlings were carried out under laboratory conditions. Paper disc technique was used as follows:

Plates containing PDA medium were inoculated with discs (5mm) in diameter of each fungus. Two impregnated paper discs (6mm) with 10 ul of each essential oil were placed at the two sides of fungal disc, and were incubated at $25\pm2^{\circ}$ C. Plates without essential oils were served as control. Following incubation for the time of completely full growth of the control the percentage inhibitions were calculated. For determination the inhibition activity of the tested essential oils vapours, three impregnated paper discs (6mm) with 20 ul of each essential oil were placed on center lid of the inoculated Petri dishes. The two bases of Petri dishes were taped together with parafilm and inverted, then incubated at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The extent of fungal inhibition by vapours from the discs was determined after 3,6 and 10 days from inoculated as follows:

% inhibitition = A - B/A. 100

- Where: A= the linear growth of the fungus in the control.
 - B= the liner growth of the fungus
 - in the treatment.

Determination of the effective concentration of the most efficient oils

Effective concentrations (EC_{50}) of the most efficient oils (i.e. thyme, mint and cumin) as will as the fungicide rizolex-T50 were determined against the 5 tested fungi. Adequate volumes of each essential oil solved in dimethyl sulfoxide were incorporated with liquid PDA medium to give alternate 5 concentrations (50, 75, 100, 150 and 200 ppm for thyme essential oil and 250, 300, 350,400 and 450 ppm for mint and cumin essential oils) The used concentrations of rizolex- T50 were 4, 8, 20, 50, 100 and 200 ppm. Discs (5mm) of each fungus taken from the margin of 5 days old cultures were used for inoculations of the treated and untreated plates, and were incubated at $25 \pm$ 2°C. Five replicates were specified for each treatment. The percentage inhibitions were calculated at the time of completely full growth of the control. The standard probit method for analysis data of the bioassay experiments was adapted to represent the obtained results (Finny, 1972). Value of EC_{50} and the slope of inhibition line were calculated for each essential oil as will as rizolex -T50.

Nature of the toxicity action (fungistatic / fungicidal) of thyme, mint and cumin oils

The nature of toxicity – fugistatic / fungicidal of thyme, mint and cumin oils were tested against the 5 tested fungi. Groups of Petri - dishes containing incorporated PDA medium with three concentrations of each oil (thyme at 300, 500 and 1000 ppm & mint and cumin at 500, 1000 and 2000) were prepared. Discs (5mm) in diameter of each fungus were inoculated with treated and untreated (control) plates (4 replicates) and incubated at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}C$ for 7 days. The complete full growth (%100) of the treated plates were recorded and not growing discs (inhibited) were reinoculated with new plates of PDA medium and incubated at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for another 7 days. The nature of the toxicity was considered as fungistatic action (S) for the completely growing fungus and fungicidal action (C) for not growing fungus.

Effect of seed treatment with essential oils (thyme, mint and cumin) and fungicide rizolex-T50 on cotton damping – off disease

Essential oils, thyme, mint and cumin, as will as rizolex-T50 (recommended fungicide against cotton root – rot fungi) as a seed treatment was evaluated for the

control of pre and post emergence damping - off of cotton seedlings were evaluated under green house conditions. Each fungal inoculum was prepared by growing it on corn meal sterilized medium in bottles, then left for 15 days at lab temperature, $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. Pots (25cm) with sterilized sandy loam soil (1:1) were infested with each fungus (3%w/w), mixed thoroughly with upper surface, irrigated and were left for 7 days to ensure even and distribution of the inoculum. Surface disinfected cotton seeds (by immersing in 5% sodium hypochlorite for 3 mints.) were treated with each essential oil, 5% powder at the rate of 15 g/kgseed and rizolex-T50 at the rate 3g/kg seeds. The required amount of seeds in a plastic container, were sprayed with sterilized water provided with few drops. Arabic gum as sticker. The treated seeds were planted in artificially infested soil at

the rate of 5 seeds / pot (4 replicates). Percentage of pre – and post emergence damping-off were estimated after 15 and 45 days from planting, respectively. The untreated seeds were planted as mentioned before. The obtained data Statistically analyzed using ANOVA method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of essential oils on mycelia growth

Data in Table (1) indicate that all the tested essential oils had anti - fungal activity against all tested fungi. However the response of the tested fungi varied according to the nature of the essential oils. The highest inhibition activity against mycelial growth of the tested fungi were recorded with thyme, cumin and mint essential oils.

 Table 1. Effect of certain essential oils on the inhibition (%) of mycelial growth of five fungi causing cotton seedling damping – off disease.

| ····· | % inhibition | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|--|--|--|
| Tested oils | M. phaseolina | S. rolfsii | F. solani | R. solani | Pythium sp | | | |
| Dill | 29.71 | 34.16 | 14.28 | 38.05 | 42.21 | | | |
| Geranium | 34.16 | 61.94 | 38.90 | 57.77 | 53.60 | | | |
| Coriander | 59.72 | 100.00 | 21.27 | 63.05 | 38.04 | | | |
| Sweet basil | 39.71 | 76.38 | 24.92 | 76.10 | 53.60 | | | |
| Thyme | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | | | |
| Marjoram | 41.94 | 85.83 | 25.83 | 73.88 | 60.83 | | | |
| Mint | 79.99 | 100.00 | 35.25 | 88.05 | 100.00 | | | |
| Cumin | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.27 | 100.00 | 81.94 | | | |

Sig., at 0.05 level for essential oils = 0.019

Thyme essential oil completely inhibited mycelial growth of tested fungi. Cumin essential oil caused 100% inhibition for M. phaseolina, S. rolfsii and R. solani, 81% for Pythium sp., and 50.27% for F. solani. Mint oil caused 100% inhibition for S. rolfsii and Pythium sp., 88.05% for R. solani, 79.99% for M. phaseolina and 35.25% for F. solani. Some of the tested oils recorded considerable inhibition activity against certain fungi such as coriander essential oil against S. rolfsii, R.solani and M. phasolina, sweet basil oil against S. rolfsii, R. solani and Pythim sp. and marjoram oil against S. rolfsii, R. solani and Pythium sp. Considering the effect of the tested essential oil vapours after different incubation periods on mycelial growth.

Data in Table (2) clearly indicate again that the tested fungi were sensitive to the essential oils, but in a variable degrees due to the nature of the essential oil and the incubation period. Vapours of thyme and cumin essential oils completely suppressed mycelial growth (100% inhibition) of the tested fungi, after three days from incubation. Vapours of mint essential oil exhibited similar influence, except against F. solani (75.525% inhibition). The antifungal activity of thyme oil did not degrade by increasing time of incubation and stay persist until 10 days from incubation against the tested fungi. Cumin and mint essential oils showed similar activity against S. rolfsii. However, the antifungal activity for the two oils against M. phasolina, F. solani and Pythim sp. were decreased gradually. In general it is clearly observed that other essential oil vapours recorded sufficient activity against few fungi, especially sweet basil

oil against S. rolfsii, F. solani, R. solani and Pythim sp. The other tested essential oil vapours caused different descending inhibition effect against the tested fungi by collapsing time.

Reviewing the obtained data it could be concluded that thyme, cumin and mint essential oils were the most efficient oil sources against the tested fungi. These results were in harmony with Saksena and Tripathi, 1985; Wilson et al 1987; Agha, 1992; Zedan et al 1994; El-Shazly, 1996; Zambonelli et al 1996; Baiuomy, 1997; Jaspal & Tripathi. 1999 and El-Shazly, 2000. The used essential oils differed in their action against the tested fungi. This may be due to the present of phenolic compounds and other inhibitory substances at different degrees in these oils. The antifungal activity of thyme essential oil was mainly attributed to carvacrol and thymol compounds (Agarwal and Mathela, 1979 and Nachman et al 1994). The antifungal activity of mint essential oil attributed to menthol, isomenthol and sabinene. whereas the cumin aldehyde in cumin essential oil was the active antifungal agent (Linskens and Jackson, 1991). The high antifungal activity of thyme oil against the tested pathogenic fungi is probably a result of chitin penetration of the hyphal wall which damage the lipoprotin cytoplasmic membrane, leading to escape of cytoplasm (Zambonelli, et al 1996). On the other hand, Zedan, et al **1994.** reported that the fungicidal activity of essential oil against pathogenic fungi may be due to permeability increase of the cell of pathogens or due to essential oil which inhibit the fungal detoxification enzymes of antifungal compounds in the essential oil.

| Tested oils | % inhibition after different days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|
| | M. phaseolina | | | S. rolfsii | | F. solani | | R. solani | | Pythium sp. | | | | | |
| | 3 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 10 |
| Dill | 23.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 88.45 | 69.71 | 14.44 | 19.99 | 16.70 | 3.05 | 58.88 | 6.10 | 0.97 | 46.30 | 6.10 | 0.00 |
| Geranium | 1.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 57.69 | 60.45 | 61.94 | 89.58 | 50.55 | 1.94 | 76.13 | 35.69 | 8.88 |
| Coriander | 68.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 74.55 | 31.38 | 96.38 | 51.10 | 19.99 | 78.33 | 26.52 | 0.00 |
| S. basil | 87.49 | 13.60 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 84.31 | 26.49 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Thyme | 100.00 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Marjoram | 98.05 | 26.38 | 3.33 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 87.41 | 69.30 | 36.24 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 94.58 | 33.74 |
| Mint | 100.00 | 89.99 | 2.22 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 75.52 | 69.82 | 34.16 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 98 .05 | 81.55 |
| Cumin | 100.00 | 90.55 | 10.41 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 91.89 | 78.33 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 64.99 | 100.00 | 89.44 | 33.05 |

Table 2. Effect of certain essential oils vapours on the inhibition (%) of the mycelial growth of five fungi causing cotton seedling amping-off disease.

Sig., at 0.05 level for:

Essential oils = 0.000

Tested fungi = 0.000

Time = 0.000

Essential oils* Fungi = 0.019

Annals Agric. Sci. 47(3), 2002

1

Data concerning the effect on the tested essential oils and rizolex-T50 against cotton seedling damping – off are summarized in Table (3). Comparison of

the EC₅₀ values clearly indicated that the sensitivity of all fungus to the tested essential oils were varied than rizolex-T50.In terms of figures, rizolex – T50 revealed the lowest EC₅₀ values 12.00, 9.20, 55.40, 9.50 and 11.20 ppm against *M. phaseolina, S. rolfsii, F. solani, R. solani* and *Pythium sp.*, respectively.

Table 3. Efficiency of certain essential oils and rizolex-T50 against the growth of five fungi causing cotton seedling damping-off.

| | M. phaseolina | | S. rolfsii | | F. solani | | R. solani | | Pythium sp. | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Essential oils | EC ₅₀ (ppm) | Slope (b) | EC ₅₀ (ppm) | Slope (b) | EC50 (ppm) | Slope (b) | EC50 (ppm) | Slope | EC ₅₀ (ppm) | Slope (b) |
| Thyme | 93.20 | 3.72 | 90.50 | 4.70 | 112.30 | 4.08 | 110.0 | 4.16 | 92.00 | 4.68 |
| | <u>4.02</u> | | <u>4.00</u> | | <u>3.31</u> | | <u>3.38</u> | | <u>3.81</u> | |
| Cumin | 352.00 | 12.33 | 355.00 | 12.80 | 372.00 | 15.00 | 357.00 | 16.20 | 351.00 | 12.56 |
| | <u>1.06</u> | | <u>1.02</u> | | <u>1.00</u> | | <u>1.04</u> | | <u>1.00</u> | |
| Mint | 375.00 | 17.20 | 362.00 | 15.20 | 352.00 | 21.10 | 372.00 | 15.67 | 351.00 | 16.96 |
| | <u>1.00</u> | | <u>1.00</u> | | <u>1.05</u> | | <u>1.00</u> | | <u>1.00</u> | |
| Rizolex- | 12.00 | 1.05 | 9.20 | 1.161 | 55.40 | I.12 | 9.50 | 1.685 | 11.2 | 1.380 |
| T50 | 31.25 | | 39.34 | | 6.71 | | 39.12 | | 31.33 | |

The underlined figure = Relative efficiency

These data proved that rizolex-T50 was more efficient against all fungi. The higher relative efficiency values of rizolex-T50 against the most tested fungi confirmed such finding. The relative efficiency values ranged from 31.25 to 39.34 times than mint essential oil against the tested fungi, except with *F. solani* (6.71 times) indicating more tolerance of the fungus to rizolex-T50. On the other hand, the effective concentrations of thyme essential oil against the same fungi

ranged from 90.50 to 112.30 ppm, whereas they were 351-375 ppm for cumin and mint essential oils. These data showed more antifungal activity of thyme oil than mint and cumin oils, which revealed similar efficiency against the tested fungi.

Superiority of the antifungal effect of thyme essential oil were reported by Singh *et al* 1983; Nachman *et al* 1994; Baiuomy, 1997 and Abdel Ghafor, Siham, 2000.

Nature of the toxic action (fungistatic / fungicidal) of tested essential oils

Data in Table (4) clearly indicated that thyme, cumin and mint essential oils exhibited different nature of toxic action against the tested fungi due to the genera of fungi and the strength of concentration. The tested oils showed fungistatic action with all concentrations against *Pythium sp.*, whereas mint and cumin essential oils showed the same action against *M. phaseolina, F. solani*. The nature of the toxic action of the tested oils against the other fungi were changed from the fungistatic at lower concentrations to fungicidal action at higher concentrations. This finding is in agreement with Singh et al 1983 who reported that mentha oil exhibited fungicidal nature of its minimum inhibitory concentration . Also, **Dube** et al 1991 found that essential oils from seeds of Apium graveolens, Cuminum cyminum and Zanthoxylum alatum were fungistatic at low doses and fungicidal at high doses to aflatoxin -producing strains of A. flavus and A. parasiticus.

 Table
 4. Fungistatic / Fungicidal action (100% inhibition) of the tested oils against five fungi causing cotton seedling damping – off disease.

| Oils | Concent. (ppm) | M. phasolina | S. rolfsii | E. solani | R. solani | Pythium sp. |
|-------|-------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Thyme | 300 | s | S | S | S | S |
| | 500 | . C | С | S | С | S |
| | 1000 | С | С | С | C | S |
| Cumin | 500 | S | S | S | S | S |
| | 1000 | S | С | S | S | S |
| | 2000 | S | C | S | С | S |
| Mint | 500 | S | S | S | S | S |
| | 1000 | S | С | S | S | S |
| | 2000 | S | С | S | С | S |

S: Fungistatic action

C: Fungicidal action

Effect of essential oils and rizolex T50 as seed treatment on 5 fungi causing cotton seedling damping – off

The role of essential oils and rizoles-T50 in minimizing the percentage of preand post emergence cotton damping- off disease were presented in Table (5). All treatments decreased the percentage of disease incidence caused by the tested fungi as compared with the control, untreated infested soil except with F. solani. Seed treatment with rizolex-T50 caused higher reduction in the percentage of damping-off than the most tested essential oils. Only cumin essential oil caused

higher reduction against F. solani than rizolix-T50. Generally, the tested essential oils revealed similar reduction effect in disease incidence, but lower than rizolex-T50. This finding is in agreement with **Deans**, 1991 who reported that there are a number of problems must be addressed prior the general use of essential oils as crop protection agents. In the contrary, Singh et al 1983 found that dementhaloised oil was effective against *Helminthosporium oryzae* than commercial fungicides. Also El-Shazley, 2000 who, reported that thyme and blue gum showed the best results in minimizing the pre-and post emergence damping off as compared with another essential oils and fungicides.

| Table 5. | Effect | of seed | treatment with certain essential oils and the fungicide rizolex- |
|----------|--------|------------|--|
| | T50 on | i fungi ca | ausing cotton seedling damping – off disease. |

| Eunai | Treatment | Disea | Survived | | |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|-------|
| Fungi | Treatment | Pre-emergence | Post - emergence | Total | (%) |
| | Thyme oil | 33.30 | 15.23 | 48.53 | 51.47 |
| | Cumin oil | 45.80 | 5.56 | 51.36 | 48.64 |
| M. phaseolina | Mint oil | 41.60 | 7.60 | 49.20 | 50.80 |
| | Rizolex- T50 | 16.60 | 10.00 | 26.60 | 73.40 |
| | Control | 45.80 | 15.30 | <u>61</u> .10 | 38.90 |
| | Thyme oil | 44.40 | 15.73 | 60.13 | 39.87 |
| | Cumin oil | 58.30 | 5.00 | 63.30 | 36.70 |
| S. rolfsii | Mint oil | 54.10 | 15.30 | 69.40 | 30.60 |
| - | Rizolex- T50 | 54.10 | 11.70 | 65.80 | 34.20 |
| | Control | 62.50 | 22.20 | 84.70 | 15.30 |
| | Thyme oil | 47.16 | 12.50 | 59.66 | 40.34 |
| | Cumin oil | 41.63 | 10.53 | 52.16 | 47.84 |
| F.solani | Mint oil | 54.10 | 8.80 | 62.90 | 37.10 |
| | Rizolex-T50 | 45.80 | 15.30 | 61.10 | 38.90 |
| | Control | 58.30 | 00.00 | 58,30 | 41.71 |
| | Thyme oil | 50.00 | 14.16 | 64,16 | 35.84 |
| | Cumin oil | 45.00 | 10.00 | 55.00 | 45.00 |
| R. solani | Mint oil | 60.00 | 16.60 | 76,60 | 23.40 |
| | Rizolex-T50 | 35.00 | 00.00 | 35.00 | 65.00 |
| | Control | 50.00 | 25.00 | 75.00 | 25.00 |
| | Thyme oil | 50.00 | 8.30 | 58.30 | 41.70 |
| | Cumin oil | 52.00 | 5.80 | 57.80 | 42.20 |
| Pythium sp. | Mint oil | 54.10 | 4.55 | 58,65 | 41.44 |
| - 1 | Rizolex- T50 | 25.00 | 5.50 | 30.50 | 69.50 |
| | Control | 41.65 | 21.40 | 63.05 | 36.95 |

L.S.D for rizolex – T50 against R. solani at 5% = 1.16

REFERENCES

Abdel Ghafor, Siham M.E. (2000). Antifungal activity of four medicinal plants against root- rot pathogens of squash. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 15 (12): 384-400.

Agarwal, L and C.S. Mathela (1979). Study of antifungal activity of terpenoids against *Aspergilli*. Indian Phytopath., 32(1):104-105.

Agha, M.S. (1992). Studies on antifungal agents in certain ornamintal medicinal and aromatic plants with special references to control seedling damping- off disease of seasame. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.;* 7(1):104-114.

Barnett, H.L. (1960). Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi. Second Edition. p. 225. Burgess Publishing Co., USA.

Baiuomy, M.A. (1997). Studies on the Inhibitory Activity of Different Plants Against some Casual Pathogens. pp: 143–148. Ph.D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Al-Azhar Univ., Cairo.

Bowers, J.H. and J.C. Locke (2000a). Effect of botanical extracts on the population density of *Fusarium oxysporum* in soil control of Fusarium wilt in the greenhouse. *Plant Dis.*, 84: 300-305.

Bowers, J.H., and J.C. Locke (2000b). Effect of botanical extracts in combination with biocontrol organisms on control of Fusarium wilt of muskmelon. *Phytopathology*, 90:S8 Publication No. P-2000-0056-AMA.

Clements, F.E. and G.L. Shear (1957). *The Genera of Fungi p. 496.*. Hofner Publishing Co., New York.

Chauhan, V.B. and U.P. Singh (1991). Effect of volatiles of some plant extracts on germination of zoospores of *Phytopathora drechsleri f.s.cajani. Indian Phytopath., 44(2): 197- 200.* **Deans, S.G.** (1991). Evaluation of Antimicrobial activity of essential (Volatile) oils in: *Essential oil and Waxes, pp. 119-137.* Linskens, H.F. and J.F. Jackson (eds.) Springer . Verlag. Berlin.

Dube, S.; P.D. Upadthyay and S.C. Tripathi (1991). Fungitoxic and insect repellent efficacy of some spices. *Indian Phytopath.*, 44(1):101-105 (C.F. Rev. Pl. Path. 71 (8): 542, 1992).

El- Shazly, A.M. (1996). Studies on Certain Fungal Diseases on Some Medicinal Plants of Family Labiatea. p. 129. Ph.D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Al-Azhar Univ., Cairo.

El-Shazly, A.M. (2000). Antifungal activity of some essential oils of Fungi causing damping off disease of maize. *Al-Azhar-Res.*, 31: 95-107.

Finney, D.J. (1972). Probit Analysis: A Statistical Treatment of the Sigmoid Response Curve. pp: 33-37. Cambridage Univ.

Jaspal S. and N.N. Tripathi (1999). Inhibition of storage fungi of blackgram (Vigna mungo L.) by some essential oils. Flavour Fragr. J. 14:1-4.

Linskens, H.F. and J.E. Jackson (1991). Essential Oils and Waxes. p. 251. Springer Verlag Publ. Co. New York.

McDowell, P.G.; W. Lamande; S.G. Deans and P.G. Waterman (1988). The volatile resin exudates from the stem bark of *Commiphora rostrata* : potential role in plant defence. *Phytochemistry* 27: 2519-2521.

Nachman Paster; M.Mazal; R.Uzi and J. Benjamin (1994). Antifungal activity of oregano and thyme essential oils applied as fungiants against fungi attacking stored grain. Journal of Food Protection, 58(1): 81-85.

Nelson, P.A.; T.A. Tousson and W.F.O. Marasas (1983). Fusarium Species: An

Annals Agric. Sci. 47(3), 2002

Illustrated Manual for Identification. The Pennsylvania State University. Press University p. 193. University Park and London.

Ross, S.A.; N.E. El-Keltawe and S.E. Megalla (1980). Antimicrobial activity of some Egyption aromatic plants. *Fitateraoia*, 2: 201-204.

Saksena, N. and H.H.S. Tripathi (1985). Plant volatiles in relation to fungistatic. *Fitoterapia*, 56 (4):243-244.

Shetty, S.A.; H.S. Prakash and H.S. Shetty (1989). Efficacy of certain plant extracts against seed borne infection of *Trichoconrella padwiekii* in paddy (Oryza sativa). Can. J. Bot., 67(7): 1956-1958.

Shukla, H.S. and S.C. Tripathi (1987). Antifungal substance in the essential oil of anise (*pimpinelle ansisum* L.). Agric. Biol. Chem., 51(7):1991-1993.

Singh, R.K. and R.S. Ddwivedi (1990). Fungicidal properties of Neem and Blue gum against *Sclerotium rolfii* Sacc.: A Root-rot pathogen of barley. *Acta Bot. Indica.* 18:260-262.

Singh, A.K.; Anupam Dikshit and S.N. Dixit (1983). Fungitoxic properties of essential oil of *Mentha arvensis* var. *pip*erascens. Perfumer & Flavorist, 8:55-58. **Tapas** Chauudhuri and Chitreshwar Sen (1982). Effects of some plant extracts on three sclerotia-forming fungal pathoggens. J. Plant Diseases and Protection 89(10): 582-585.

Wilson, C.L.; F.D. Jerr and B.F. Otto (1987). Fruit volatiles inhibitory to *Mo*nilinia fructicola and Botrytis cinerea. Plant Dis. Reporter, 71: 316-319.

Wilson, C.L.; J.M. Solar; A.El Ghaouth and M.E. Wisniewski (1997). Rapid evaluation of plant extracts and essential oils for antifungal activity against *Botrytis cinerea*. *Plant. Dis.*, 81: 204-210.

Zambonelli, A.; A. Bianchi and A. Albasini (1996). Effect of essential oils on Phytopathogenic fungi *in vitro*. *Phytopathology*, 144:491-494.

Zedan, A.M. (1993). Antifungal properties of certain plant extracts with a special reference to the possibility of controlling onion white rot disease using *Eucalyptus robusta* leaves. *Egypt J. Appl. Sci.* 8(12): 574-589.

Zedan, A.M.; A.M. El-Toony and N.G.H. Awad (1994). A comparative study on fungal activity of certain plant extracts, essential oils and fungicides on tomato wilt pathogens. *Al-Azhar J. Agric. Res., 20: 217-236.*

1020

مجلة حوليات العلوم الزراعية، كلية الزراعة، جامعة عين شمس، الفاهرة، م (٤٧)، ع(٣)،٩٠٩-١٠٢، ٢٠٠٢، ٢٠٠٢ التأثير المضاد الفطرى لبعض الزيوت الطيارة تجاه الفطريات المسببة لمرض سقوط بادرات القطن [77]

> سوزان ناصر الشربيني' - وفاء حنفي زكي' - سهام عبد الغُور' ١ - معهد بحوث أمراض النيات - مركز البحوث الزراعية -- الجيزة - معس.

> > زيوت نباتية طيارة هي الشبت ، العتر ، الكسبرة، الريحان ، الزعتر ، السبريقوش ، النعناع الغلفلسي والكمون تجاه الفطريسات الممر ف....ة M.phaseolina, S. rolfsii, المعديبة F. solani , R. solani , Pythium sp. لعفن بذور وموت بادرات القطن.

> > وقد أظهرت كل الزبوت المختبرة نشيلطا مضادا تجاه الفطريات المختبرة، ولكسن بدرجات متباينة توقفت على طبيعة الزييت وجنس الفطـــر . ســجلت زيــوت الزعــتر والنعناع والكمون أعلمي نشماطا مضمادا للفطريات حيث سببت زيسوت الكمون والنعناع تثبيطا كليا للنمو الميسليومي لبعض الفطريات ، بينما سبب زيت الزعتر تثييط كليا لجميع الفطريات المختبرة وأيضا فسمان أبخرة الزيوت المختبرة فد احدثـــت تـــأثير ا متشابها بعد فتر ات مختلفة مـــن التحضيـــن. تسببت أبخرة زيمسوت الزعستر والكمسون والنعناع في إيقاف كامل للنمو الميسيليومي لجميع الفطريات ، ماعدا زيت النعناع تجاه فطر F. solani والذي تسبب فقصط

تم تقييم النشاط المضاد الفطري لثمانية في تثبيط مقداره ٧٥,٥٢%. هذا وقد استمر النشاط المضاد لأبخرة هذه الزيسوت ثابتًا حتى ١٠ أيام ، وذلك بالرغم من أن تأثير بعض الزيوت الأخرى تناقص تدريجيا بمرور الوقت . وقد أظهرت قير التركيز الفعال (ECso) لزيوت الزعتر و النعناع والكمون عند مقارنتها بالمبيد الفطرى ريزولكس – ت٥٠ فعالية أكثر للمبيد عـــن الثلاث زيوت السابقة. ومن ناحية اخرى فإن هذه الزيوت قد أظهرت تأثيرا مانعا للنمسو (Fungistatic) بكل التركيزات المختبرة تجله بعض الفطريات ، بينما كانت طبيعة التأثير مانعة للنمو فقط بالتركيزات المنخفضة ، وإيادية بالتركيز ات العالية تجاه فطريات أخرى وذلك طبقا لطريقة الاختبار المتبعة ، وقد أظهر تقييم الفعالية للزيروت الثلاثة في مكافحة مرض عفن بذور وسقوط بادر ات القطن مقارنة بمبيد الريز ولك...... ت ٥٠ تحت ظروف الصوبية أن للزيوت الثلاثة تأثير ا متشابها في اختزال حدوث المرض ، ولكــن بدر جـــــة أقــل مــــن المبيد ـ

تحکیم: ۱.د مدیح محمد علی

ا.د مختار ساطور

Annals Agric. Sci. 47(3), 2002