## THE ENHANCEMENT OF WHEAT PRODUCTIVITY BY INOCULATION WITH ASSOCIATIVE DIAZOTROPHS AND FOLIAR APPLICATION OF METHANOL UNDER DIFFERENT N-FERTILIZER LEVELS.

## \*Abo-taleb, H.H., \*\*Mona, A.M. Soleman and \*\*\*N.M.Mahrous

\* Soils, water and Environ. Res. Instit., ARC, Giza, Egypt.
 \*\* Botany Dept., National Res. Cen. Cairo, Egypt.
 \*\*\* Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Giza, Egypt.

Abstract: Two field trials were conducted clay soil at the in Experimental Farm of Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University at Giza during the two seasons of (98-99 & 99-2000) to study the effect of inoculation with associative diazotroph bacteria, foliar application of methanol and different levels of N-fertilizer on soil microflora, growth and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivm L.) CV. Giza 164. Composite inoculum was used containing mixed culture (1:1:1) from, Azospirillum barsilenes, Azotobacter chroococcum and Bacillus polymyxa, at time of planting. Methanol solution (20% v:v) was spraved twice, 60 and 80 days after planting. N-fertilizer was applied at the rates of 0,35 and 70 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>. Results obtained of 90 days old plant indicated that inoculated treatment recorded higher values of total counts of bacteria, actinomycetes

## Introduction

Wheat is a very important grain crop in Egypt and represents a major source of food. Any effect to increase

and fungi in rhizosphere soil compared with those treated with folir methanol or N-fertilized . During the vegetative growth period, plant height, dry biomass and plant N-content of wheat particularly were improved bv inoculation in combination with Nfertilization at rates of 70 kg and 35 kg N fed.<sup>-1</sup> The increases in plant dry biomass and N-content due to inoculation in combination with the two N-levels amounted to 241% and 283%. respectively. Foliar application of methanol in combination with the two N-fertilizer levels also imposed significant increases that ranged from 119 to148% for biomass and 102 to 203% for plant N-content. At harvest, inoculation with composite inoculum in combination with N-fertilization at either levels scored the highest values yield parameters in all tested.

wheat yield is of prime concern. Nitrogen requirement for wheat is estimated by 220kg Nha.<sup>-1</sup> The efforts to decrease chemical fertilizer by using biofertilizers might improve economy and reduce environmental pollution. The effect of associative microorganisms in increasing vield and  $N_2$  - fixation has been reported by many research workers (Bala and Kundu, 1988; Hegazi, 1988 and Rashid et al. 1993). The association between free living nitrogen fixing bacteria ( Azospirillum spp. Azotohacter SDD. and Bacillus and wheat, sorghum and spp.) has been demonstrated to maize cause significant increases in vield total and nitrogen content. Inoculation of wheat with nitrogen fixing bacteria under different nitrogen fertilizer levels increased grain vield and protein content. It has been reported that the highest grain vield and protein in three wheat cultivars were obtained by inoculation with Bacillus polymyxa and 180kgNha<sup>-1</sup> (Omar et al. 1996). Combined inoculation with Azospirillum *barsilense* and Azotobacter chroococcum and the application of 140 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> caused wheat plants to derive 24% of their nitrogen requirement from atmospheric nitrogen (Soliman et al, 1995) . Wheat plants can obtain more than 20-50% of their nitrogen from biological nitrogen fixation (Hegazi et al 1998).

It is well known that the productivity of  $C_3$  crops is lower than that of  $C_4$  crops. This may be due to the very high level of photorespiration, which is a common

physiological aspect in the  $C_3$ compared to  $C_4$  plants ( plants Cheng and Kung 1994). Many attempts were carried out to control photorespiration , such as increasing  $CO_2$  concentration in the atmosphere surrounding the plants as well as culture of crops Recently, Nonomura and Benson (1992a) reported that a spray treatment of plant with methanol was more effective to inhibit photorespiration in  $C_3$  plants when it was applied for long period of light intensity of sunlight. From practical point of view, methanol was used by some investigators to improve growth and economic vield of  $C_3$  crops. ( Cossins, 1964: Allen et al. 1991: Nonomura and Benson 1992a, b and 1993 Li et al. 1995 and Manderscheid and Weigel 1995); They stated that foliar application of aqueous (10-50%)methanol increased growth . vield and development of C<sub>3</sub> plants in arid environments. They recorded growth improvement estimated by 50% for tomato and cotton and by 60% for eggplant, whereas wheat grain and fruit vield of melon were increased by 100 and 36%, respectively. The single application of 25% (v:v) of methanol increased seed weight, seed vield plant<sup>-1</sup> and pod number plant<sup>-1</sup> of soybean by 10, 14.6 and 8.2% respectively as compared to untreated ones.

The objective of this investigation was to study the effect of inoculation with associative diazotrophs, and foliar application of methanol under different N-fertilizer levels on soil microflora and growth and yield of wheat plants.

## **Materials and Methods**

Two field experiments were layed out at the Experimental Farm of of Agriculture, Faculty Cairo University, Giza during the two seasons of 98-99 and 99 - 2000 to study the enhancement of wheat productivity by inoculation with associative N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria and foliar application of methanol under different N-fertilizer levels. Before planting soil samples were collected from the two experimental sites and for some physical and analysed chemical properties according to Black et al. 1965 (Table 1).

Super phosphate  $(15.5\% P_2O_5)$  and potassium sulphate  $(50\% K_2O)$  were added during seed bed preparation at rates of 200 and 100kg fed.<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Wheat grains, cultivar Giza 164, were planted in rows 30 cm in between at seed rate of 60 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> Seven treatments were included as follows: 1) untreated (control), 2) inoculated +35 kg Nfed.<sup>-1</sup>, 3) inoculated + 70kg N fed.<sup>-1</sup>. 4) uninoculated + 35 kg N fed.<sup>1</sup>. with foliar application of methanol., 5) uninoculated + 70 kg N fed.<sup>-1</sup>,

with foliar application of methanol, 6) uninoculated + 35kg N fed.<sup>-1</sup>, without foliar application of methanol, and 7) uninoculated + 70 kg N fed.<sup>-1</sup>, without foliar application of methanol. The plot area was 3x3.5m (1/400 fed.) and the experimenal design was randomized complete block (RCB) with four replications.

Wheat grains were inoculated with commercial composite inoculum containing Azospirillum barsilenes, Azotobacter chroococcum and Bacillus polymyxa (1:1:1) which was kindly obtained from the Agriculture Microbiology Dept., Soil-Water and Envin. Res, Instit., ARC. The inoculum was added to the wheat grains at rate of 1g./1Kg grains. Methanol solution (20% v:v) was applied at the a rate of 250L fed.<sup>-1</sup> at two times, 80 days after planting and 20 days later, Nitrogen fertilizer, as ammonium sulphate (20.5 % N), was applied in two equal doses, 21 and 35 days after planting.

Total counts of bacteria. actinomycetes and fungi were estimated three times before planting, at 90 days old plants ( growth period) and after harvest in soil rhizosphere samples according to Wollum (1982). spike length. number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>, grains weight (g / spike) and weight of 1000 grains (seed index).

Analysis	season.				
	<b>S</b> 1	S2			
Mechanical analysis	%	%			
Coarse sand	8.69	8.96			
Fine sand	23.48	25.46			
Silt	29.87	27.99			
Clay	37.96	37.59			
Texture	Clay loam	Clay loam			
Chemical analysis					
Organic matter %	2.1	2.4			
Total nitrogen %	0.12	0.14			
Water holding capacity %	54.32	56.37			
CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	2.75	2.81			
РН	7.8	8.0			
<b>Concentration of Anions and Cations</b>					
( 1:1 soil suspenson )					
Ec. (ds/ m)	3.1	3.3			
	meq	L <sup>-1</sup>			
Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	8.4	8.11			
Chloride (Cl <sup>-</sup> )	11.71	10.57			
Sulphate (SO4 <sup>2-</sup> )	16.43	18.58			
Calcium (Ca <sup>++</sup> )	9.53	8.61			
Magnesium (Mg <sup>++</sup> )	2.57	2.60			
Sodium (Na <sup>+</sup> )	22.93	25.71			

Table(1):Soil analysis of samples from the two experimental sites in the two seasons.

.

Also, straw and grain yields (ton fed<sup>-1</sup>)were recorded after harvesting from the whole plots. Total nitrogen contents of soil samples, plant tissues, straw and grains were estimated by using the semimicrokjeldahl methods (Black et al, 1965). Statistical analysis were done according to Snedecor and Cochran (1967).

## **Results and Discussion** I: Microbial status:

A large number of different microorganisms are commonly found soil including in bacteria, actinomycetes and fungi. Data in table (2) show that, irrespective of N-fertilizer application. the inoculation with N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria or foliar application of methanol during vegetative period growth (90 days old plant) had stimulated the counts total of bacteria. actinomycetes and fungi in wheat rhizosphere soil. The addition of Nfertilizer alone led to percentage increases ranged between 0-25%. 25-60% and 27-33% in numbers of fungi ,actinomycetes and bacteria, compared with their respectively, counts in soil before planting in the S1 and S2, respectively . Foliar application of methanol scored higher percentage increases which ranged from 25 to 50 %,120 to 129% and 90 to 100% for the three microbial groups, respectively. The corresponding values for the inoculation treatments were 50-75%

, 229-260% and 188-218%. It is obvious that inoculation with the associative diazatrophs imposed higher stimulation values compared with those of foliar application of methanol. At the end of the second microflora soil season in experimental sites scored higher counts that increased by about 25, 28.5 and 36.4% for number of actinomycetes and total fungi. bacteria compared with the numbers recorded before planting. These higher percentage increases in soil microflora may be due to the enhancement of plant growth and root exudates. These data are in agreement with those of Pondey et al, (1998) and Mona et al, (2001), who reported that inoculation with diazotrophic bacteria had an activation effect on the population of both bacteria and actinomycetes and increased its numbers by more than 50% at the end of experimental time as compared with the numbers recorded before planting.

## II: Plant growth:

Table (3) presents mean plant height, biomass and plant N-content after 90 days from sowing. The results show that the untreated control recorded the lowest values of all tested parameters in the two seasons compared with the treated ones. In general, all treatments recorded significant increases ranging from 8.8 % to 28 %

Parameters	No. 10 <sup>3</sup> CI	of fungi TU* g soil	No actin 10 <sup>4</sup> CFU	o, of omyces J*g soil <sup>-1</sup>	No. of total bacteria 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU* g soil <sup>1</sup>		
Time of determination	Si	S <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf{S}_1$	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	
1- Before planting	4	4	5	7	9	11	
2 - During growth period (90days)** A- Treated with N- fertilization	4	5	8	9	12	14	
B- Treated with foliar application of methanol	5	6	11	16	18	21	
C- Treated with composite inoculum	6	7	18	23	26	35	
3- At harvest **	5	5	6	9	11	15	

Table (2):Microbial Status of soil and wheat rhizosphere soil in the first (S<sub>1</sub>) and second (S<sub>2</sub>) seasons as affected by inoculation, foliar application of methanol and N- fertilization.

\* Colony Forming Unit

\*\* Average of all the experimental plots.

as compared with the untreated control in the two seasons.

Also, plant dry biomass and plant Nshowed higher content even significant increases .due to inoculation with diazotrophs, foliar of methanol and Napplication fertilization compared with the plants (Table 3). The untreated precentage increases over the control due to N-fertilization (35 or 70 kg N fed  $^{-1}$ ), foliar application of methanol or/and inoculation ranged from 39-241% in case of plant dry biomass, and from 53-283% in plant Ncontent in the two seasons. The data also show that the highest biomass of 12.94 g plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded for inoculated treatment that received 70kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> Table (3). This

treatment also scored the highest plant N-content; respectively 324.9 and 367.7 mg/plant in the two seasons S1,S2.The data presented in Table (3) indicate that inoculation or foliar application of methanol in combination with different levels of N-fertilizer had significcantly positive effect on growth of wheat plants. The improvements in plant growth and N-content were particularly pronounced in the inoculated treatments than with methanol. These data are in accordance with those reported by Zamber, et al (1984); Rashid, et al, (1998); Hegazi et al (1998) and Mona et al. (2001) who reported that inoculation with associative diazotrophs bacteria led to increases in plant dry matter and plant Ncontent .Also, Bernard (1995) suggested that free living bacteria may be considered as plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and could benefit plants growth through different mechanisms of action, including: a) the production of secondary metabolites such as antibiotics, cyanide and hormone like sub-stances, b)the production of siderophores, c) antagonism to soil borne root pathogens, d)phosphate solubilization and e)dinitrogen fixation. The increases in plant growth by foliar application of aqueous methanol was also reported by Cheng and Kung (1994) and Kandil and Mahrous, (1996) for  $C_3$ plants in arid environments.

Table(3):Effect of N-fertilizer level, foliar application of methanol and inoculation with associative diazotrophs on mean plant height, biomass and plant N-content of wheat plants (90 days old) in the season 1998/99 ( $S_1$ ) and 1999/2000 ( $S_2$ ).

rame	ters	/		Plant height Plant dry biomass Plant N-content						-content					
/	Tr	eatments		(cr	n.)			(gpl	ant <sup>-1</sup> )		( mg plant <sup>-1</sup> )				
ю.*	ME* *	N-Fer Kg fed <sup>-1</sup>	S <sub>1</sub>	%	S <sub>2</sub>	%	$S_1$	%	S <sub>2</sub>	%	$\mathbf{S}_1$	%	S <sub>2</sub>	%	
	-	-	87.31	-	99.33	-	3.79	-	3.99	-	93.17	-	96.0	-	
	-	35	95.0	8.8	114.0	15	5.26	39	5.99	50	142.70	53	157.67	64	
	+	35	102.34	17	116.34	17	6.61	74	7.56	89	188.17	102	206.57	115	
	-	35	105.70	21	120.0	2!	8.29	119	8.88	123	247.83	166	254.57	165	
	-	70	103.67	19	118.70	20	7.40	95	8.17	105	203.07	118	215.10	124	
	+	70	108.0	24	126.33	27	9.31	146	9.90	148	270.57	190	291.27	203	
	-	70	112.0	28	1 <b>21.3</b> 0	22	12.94	241	12.92	223	324.93	249	367.77	283	
L.S.D.0.05		7.50	-	12.56	-	2.44	-	2.76	-	86.60	-	130.62	-		
_	*	Inoc	ulation		L							_	<b>h</b>		

\*\* Foliar application of methanol

## III: Yield and yield components:

Data in table (4 and 5) indicated that at harvest stage in both seasons  $(S_1 \text{ and } S_2)$ , the untreated control recorded the lowest values of all vield parameters and components tested followed by the treatment that received 35 Kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> only. The full dose of N-fertilizer (70 Kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>) alone or in combination with inoculation or foliar application of methanol caused significant increases in yield parameters and determined vield components. Table (5) presents the calculated percentage increases in some vield parameters in the two seasons i.e grain weight (g spike<sup>-1</sup>), grain index (weight of 1000 grains and grain yield (ton fed<sup>-1</sup>), due g). to the different treatments compared with the untreated control. Nfertilization alone at rate of 70 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> induced percentage increases ranged from 26 to 81%, 3 to 4% and from 39-47, respectively in grain vield (ton fed<sup>-1</sup>), grain weight and grain index (g spike<sup>-1</sup>). Further in all determined increases parameters were recorded by foliar application of methanol in the Nfertilized plants, especially with the full dose (70kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>). These data are in agreement with Nonomura and Benson (1992a,b) who reported that application of aqueous foliar methanol (10-50%) increased wheat grain yield by100%. Similarly, Cheng and Kung (1994), Li et al. (1995); Kandil and Mahrous, (1996) and

Mona et al, (2001) also, reported that applied of foliar methanol increased growth and yield of C<sub>3</sub> plants in arid environments.

The inoculation with the diazotrophs had a pronounced influence on plant growth and vield ( Table 4 and 5). Data in table (5) indicate that the significant increases in all vield parameters werc particularly pronounced in the inoculated treatments fertilized with 70 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> compared with foliar application of methanol. This inoculated treatment scored the following percentage increases: 106 to 121%, 4 to 9% and 82 to 155% respectively in grain weight, grain index and grain yield compared with the untreated control in the two These data are seasons. in agreement with Zambre et al. (1984): Omar et al (1991); Zaki et al (1992), and Rashid et al. (1998), who reported that grain yield and yield component of wheat inoculated with а mixture of diazotrophs microorganisms were significantly greater than the uninoculated treatment. Thev added that inoculation of field grown wheat generally increased the total yield from 10 to 70%.

Table (6) shows the calculated protein contents in grain and straw yields and precentage increases due to the different treatments compared with the untreated control. The highest increases amounted to 167% in straw protein and 183% in grain protein. In this respect,Hegazi et al (1998) reported that wheat plants can obtain from 20 - 50% of their nitrogen from inoculation with  $N_2$ fixing bacteria.

In conclusion, from the above mentioned results, it could be

concluded that inoculation of moderately N-fertilized wheat plants with associative diazotrophic microorganisms or their treatment with foliar aqueous methanol significantly increases grain yield and total N-yield.

Table (4):Effect of inoculation, foliar application of methanol and N-<br/>fertilization on plant height and some yield components of wheat<br/>plants at harvest

Parameters Treatments			Plant l (cm)	eight	Spike (cm)	length	No. of grains (Spike <sup>-1</sup> )		
Inoc.*	ME**	N-Fer. Kg fed <sup>-1</sup>	S <sub>1</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>1</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	_S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	
-	-	-	103.6	108.8	11.3	11.8	23.3	23.2	
-	-	35	111.2	111.3	12.3	12.4	26.8	29.4	
-	+	35	115.4	113.9	12.9	12.9	30.3	32.8	
+	-	35	119.7	117.3	14.7	14.4	33.9	38.5	
-	-	70	117.9	116.1	13.6	13.4	32.4	33.8	
-	+	70	120.8	122.5	15.1	15.3	39.2	42.1	
+	_	70	122.4	126.7	16.3	24.3	43.3	44.9	
L.S.D.	0.05		8.0	5.5	0.99	1.03	5.2	5.40	

\* Inoculation

\*\* Foliar application of methanol.

# Table(5) Effect of inoculation, foliar application of methanol and N-fertilization on yield and some yield components of wheat plants at harvest in the two seasons $(S_1)$ and $(S_2)$ .

Para	meters tre	atments	G	rains (g spi	weight ike <sup>-1</sup> )	t	10	Weig 00 gi	ght of rains (g	)	S	Straw (Ton	yield fed <sup>-1</sup> )	Grain yield (Ton fed')			yield ed <sup>-1</sup> )	
Inoc	ME* *	N-Fer Kg fed <sup>-1</sup>	Sı	%	S <sub>2</sub>	%	S <sub>1</sub>	%	S <sub>2</sub>	%	$\mathbf{S}_1$	%	S <sub>2</sub>	%	Sı	%	S <sub>2</sub>	%
-	-	-	1.09	-	1.11	-	42.8	-	43.0	•	1.77	-	1.96	-	0.84	-	1.23	-
-	-	35	1.26	16	1.24	12	43.6	2	43.7	2	2.07	17	2.29	17	1.20	43	1.36	11
-	+	35	1.37	26	1.51	36	43.9	3	44.2	3	2.38	34	2.64	35	1.37	63	1.47	20
+	-	35	1.62	49	1.83	65	44.9	5	44.9	4	2.81	58	3.12	59	1.83	118	1.71	39
-	-	70	1.52	39	1.63	47	44.3	4	44.5	3	2.43	37	2.84	45	1.52	81	1.55	26
   _ ·	+	70	1. <b>97</b>	81	2.02	82	45.6	7	45.7	6	2.56	45	3.26	66	1. <b>92</b>	129	2.02	64
+	-	70	2.24	1 <b>06</b>	2,45	121	46.5	9	46.7	9	2.94	66	3.81	94	2.14	155	2.24	82
[	L.S.D.0	).05	0.23	-	0.24	-	1.2	-	1.3	-	0.40	-	0.37	-	0.18	-	0.19	-

\* Inoculation

\*\* Foliar application of methanol

122

Param	eters		Protei	in conte	ent in st	raw	Protein content in grains				
			Kg fe	d⁻'			Kg fe	d⁻¹		;	
treatm	ents										
Inoc. *	ME**	N-Fer Kg fed <sup>-1</sup>	<b>S</b> 1	%	S <sub>2</sub>	%	<b>S</b> 1	%	S <sub>2</sub>	%	
-	-	-	0.98	-	1.16	-	8.8	_	12.6	-	
-	-	35	1.13	15	1.14	-1	14.7	67	16.8	33	
-	+	35	1.46	49	1.65	42	16.9	92	18.3	45	
+	-	35	1.87	91	1.95	68	21.0	139	22.7	80	
-	-	70	1.69	72	1.81	56	18.8	114	18.9	50	
-	+	70	2.11	115	2.20	90	22.2	152	23.5	87	
+	-	70	2.62	167	2.77	139	24.9	183	27.0	114	
L.S.D.	0.05		0.17	-	0.29	-	2.50	-	3.50	-	

**Table (6):** Effect of inoculation, foliar application of methanol and N-fertilization on protein content in straw and grains of wheat at harvest in the two seasons  $(S_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ .

Inoculation

\*\* Foliar application of methanol

#### References

Allen, L. H. Jr, E.C. Bisbal, K.J. Boote and Jones P.H. (1991): Soybean dry matter allocation under sub ambient and super

e. 44

ambient levels of carbon dioxide. Agron . J., 83: 875-883.

Bala, I. and Kundu B.S., (1988): Interactions between Azospirillum species and wheat (Triticum

123

*aestivum*) varieties . Ind. J. Agric. Sci., 58 (3), 227-229.

- Bernard R. Glick (1995): The enhancement of plant growth by free-living bacteria. Can. J. Microbial. Vol. 41:109-117.
- Black, C.A.; D.D. Evans; J.f. Ensmingers and Clark E.F. (1965): Methods of Soil Analysis, II. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. Amer. Soc. Agron. Inc .Publisher, Madison Washington, U.S.A.
- Cheng. W.H. and Kung H.H. (1994): Methanol production and use. Marcel Dekker Inc. York and Hong Kong, pp. 253-260.
- Cossins, E.A.G. (1964): The utilization of carbon-1compounds by plants. Can. J. Biochem., 42: 1793:1802.
- Hegazi, N.A, (1988): Modification of soil environment through straw application versus Azospirillum spp inoculation In: Klingmuller W. ed. Azospirillum IV. Genetics. Physiology, Ecology. Proc. of the four Bayreuth German Federal Republic. Springier-Verlage. Berlin, 215-222.
- Hegazi N.A., M. Fayze; G.Amin; H.M., Hamza; M. Abbs, H. Youssef and Monib, M.(1998): Diazotrophs associated with nonlegume grown in sandy soils. In K.A. Malik M. Sajjad Mizrza;

J.K Ladha (ed.). Nitrogen fixation with Non- Legume. Kluwer Academic publisher. Dordrecht, Boston, London - pp 209-222.

- Kandil, A.A. and Mahrous, N.M. (1996): A preliminary study: response of rapeseed as a C<sub>3</sub> crop to foliar application of methanol. Proc. 7<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agronomy, 9-10 Sept. Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ., pp 463-470.
- Li, Y.; G. Gupta; J.M. Jochi and Siyumbana A.K (1995): Effect of methanol on soybean photosynthesis and chlorophyll. J. Plant Nutrition, 18 (9) 1875-1880.
- Manderscheid, R. and Weigel, H.J. (1995): Do increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration contribute to yield increase of German crops. J. Agron. and crop Sci., 175; 73-82.
- Mona, A.M.; H.H. Abotaleb, M.M. El-Sawi and Nadia M.A. (2001): Growth. vield and yield components of diazotrophs inoculated rapeseed as affected by foliar application of methanol and N-fertilizer. Proci-10<sup>th</sup> Conference of Microbiology, Applied Microbial. Soc. Cairo Egypt.,11-14 Nov. PP.110-118
- Nonomura, A.M. and Benson A.A. (1992a): The path of carbon in photosynthesis : Improved crop yield with methanol. Proc. Natl.

Acad., Science, U.S.A., 89, 9794-9798.

(1992b): The path of carbon in photosynthesis : methanol and light. In: Research in photosynthesis. Proc. of the IX<sup>th</sup> Inter. Photosynthesis Congress. Vol.3, part 18 pp. 911 - 914. Kluwer Acad., Dordrecht.

(1993): Agri - methanol, a foliar nutrient. Proc. 20<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Plant Growth Regulator Society of America, Dt. Lous, Mo. U.S.A.

- Omar, M.N.A.; M.H. Hegazy, R.A. Abd El-Aziz, M.S.M, Abo Soliman and Sobh, M.M. (1991): Effect of inoculation with rihizobacteria on yield of wheat under graded levels of nitrogen fertilization. Annals Agric. Sci. Ain Shams. Univ., Cairo 36 (1) 99-104.
- Omar, M.N.A; N.M. Mahrous and Hamouda F.A. (1996): Evaluating the efficiency of inoculating some diazotrophs on yield and protein content of 3 wheat cultivars under graded levels of nitrogen fertilization. Annals Agric. Sci. Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 41 (2) 579-596.
- Pondey, A.; S. Eklabya and Lokmon, P. (1998): Influence of bacterial inoculation on maize in upland

farming system of the sikkim Himalaya. Soil Biol. Biochem. Vol. 30 No. 3 pp. 379-384.

- Rashid, A; A.G, Siddique; SM, Gill and Aslam. Μ. (1993): Contribution of microbes in crop 1- Effect of a production: diastrophic and a commercial EM<sub>4</sub> inoculation on the vield and N-economy of wheat. In: Proc., First National Seminar on Natural Farming. NER Center. University of Agriculture. Faisalabad. Pakistan pp, 54-66.
- Rashid , A.; M.A. Sajjad, M.S; Gill; M.S. Cheema; M.S., Sindhu and Nayyar, M.M., (1998): Response of wheat to an associative diazotrophs inoculum under different rates of nitrogen fertilizer. In K.A. Molik: M. Sajjad Mirza; J.K. Ladha (ed.). Nitrogen fixation with nonlegeme. Kluwer Academic publishers. Dordrecht, Boston. London pp 95-97.
- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1967): Statistical Methods. 6<sup>th</sup>
  Ed., the Iowa State Univ. Press. Ames., Iowa state, U.S.A.
- Soliman, S; M.A. Seeda; S.S.M. Aly and Gadalla, A.M, (1995): Nitrogen fixation by wheat plants as affected by nitrogen fertilizer levels and non-symbiotic bacteria. Egyptian J. Soil Sci. 35:401-413.

- Wollum,A.G. (1982) : Cultural Method for Soil Microorganisms
  P. 781-802.In: A.L. Page (ed.) Agronomy series No. 9. Methods of Soil Analysis part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. Amer. Soc. Agron. Madison, Wisconsin, U. S. A.
- Zaki, M. M.; Tomader El-Hadidy; M.E. Demerdash and Mervet A. Amara(1992): Nitrogen fixing abilities and species specificity of

Azospirillum spp. to wheat rhizosphere. Annals, Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 37 (2), 371-378.

Zamber, M.A.; B.K. Konde and So-NAR, K.R. (1984): Effect of *Azotobacter chroococcum* and *Azospirillum barsilenes* inoculation under graded levels of nitrogen on growth and yield of wheat. Plant and Soil 79:61-69.

حاتم حسين يوسف أبو طالب ، \*\* مني أحمد محمد سليمان ، \*\*\* نبيل محمد محروس
 قسم الميكروبيولوجيا الزراعية – معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة – مركز البحوث الزراعية –
 الجيزة – مصر
 \*\* قسم النبات – المركز القومي للبحوث – الجيزة – مصر
 \*\*قسم المحاصيل – كلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهرة – الجيزة ... مصر

تم إجراء تجربتين في أراضي طينية بالمزرعة التجريبية لكلية الـزراعة ــ جامعة القساهرة بالجيزة خلال موسمي ٩٨ \_ ٩٩ / \_ ٢٠٠٠ و ذلك لدراسة تأثير التلقيــح بالبكتريــا المثبتــة للأزوت الجوى بصورة حرة و الرش بمحلول الميثانول تحت مستويات مختلفة مــن ا لتســميد النيتروجيني على كل من المحتوى الميكروبي للتربة ونمو النباتات ومحصول القمح صنف جـ يزة ١٦٤ •واللقاح البكتيري المستخدم عبارة عن خسليط من سسلالات الأزوسيبريلليم بسيرازيلنس و الأزونو بكر كروكوكم و الباسيلس بولي ميكسا بنسب (١:١:١) وقت الزراعة وتم السرش بمحلول الميثانول بتركيز ٢٠% ( حجم: حجم) مسرتين في عــمر ٢٠ و٨٠ يوم من الزراعــة وكانت مستويات التسميد النيتروجيني صفر و٣٥ و ٧٠ كجم ن / فدان و أظهرت النتلتج المتحصل عليها من العينة النباتية عمر ٩٠ يوم أن المعاملة باللقاح البكتسيري أدى إلــي زيــادة المحتوى المبكروبي للترية ( بكـتريا \_ اكتينوميسيس \_ فطريات) مقارنة بتلك المتحصل عليها من معاملات التسميد النيتروجيني أو الرش بالميثانول وكان لمعاملة اللقاح البكتيري مع كل من مستوي التسميد النيتروجيني ٢٥و ٧٠كجم ن / فدان تأثير منشط معنوي وصل إلى ٢٤١% لـوزن النبات الجاف و٢٨٢ % بالنسبة لمحتوى النبات من النيتروجين، بينمـــا أدى الـرش بمحلـول الميثانول في وجود السماد النيتروجيني إلى زيادات تراوحت بين ١١٩% إلى ١٤٨ % بالنســـبة لوزن النبات الجاف بينما كانت ١٠٢ % إلى ٢٠٣ % لمحتوى النبات من النيستروجين. و فسي مرحلة الحصاد كانت أعلى الزيادات مسجلة في وجود معاملات التلقيح البكتيري مسمع التسميد النيتروجيني عند أي من المستويين حيث أعطت أعلى زيادة في جميع قياسات المحصول الناتج و في المحتوى البروتيني للمحصول -