PARTICIPATION OF SOIL PARTICLE SIZE FRACTIONS INTO SOIL TEMPERATURE PROFILES AND THERMAL PROPERTIES

(Received: 2.1.2002)

By M.H.A. Ghali and S.A . Mohamed *

Soil Department, Institute of Efficient Productivity, Zagazig University *Soil and Water Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Ismailia

ABSTRACT

A laboratory experiment was carried out on cylindrical columns equipped with temperature devices to investigate temperature profiles for separated soil particle fractions during incoming and outgoing heat flow processes. Three soil particle size fractions were separated from Kassasin loamy sand and Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soils. Temperatures were measured along the vertical dimension at the center of the soil column using copper-constant thermocouple wires and digital thermometer. Thermal conductivities, diffusivities, heat capacities were calculated for both investigated soils. Also, values obtained for determined soil particle size fractions heat capacities were compared with calculated ones by 3 different methods. Moreover, total and partial heat gain or loss for soil particle fractions were calculated. The effect of the soil particle size fractions; *i.e.*, their porosities on the flow of heat was examined.

It was found that the determined temperature fluctuations with depth for the loamy sand soil are lower than for the calcareous sandy loam soil. It was also found that the flow of heat along the soil depends on the apparent soil density and its size fractions, due to the variation in the thermal conductivity.

Total heat gained during 6 hours heating within the upper 20 cm for Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil particle fractions having average diameters 4.175, 2.675 and 1.59 mm and total heat loss during 6 hours

cooling from the upper 30 centimeters to the atmosphere were higher than those obtained for the corresponding fractions obtained for Kassasin loamy sand soil. This response occurred taking into consideration that the former soil fractions had lower apparent densities and higher $CaCO_3$ contents than the latter ones.

Fractionated heat loss or gain occurred during the first 1.5 hours generally exhibited the highest values and decreased with the increase in time. It was also noticed for both soils particle fractions that partial or total heat gain or loss generally increased as particle diameter decreased.

Key words: conductivities, diffusivities, heat flow processes, partial heat gain or loss, soil temperature profiles, thermal heat capacities.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that thermal energy which reaches the soil surface is subjected to reflection, absorption and conduction. Conduction accounts almost exclusively for heat transmission in the soil. Generally it decreases as heat energy penetrates the soil. The speed with which heat is transferred in the soil, upward (cooling) and downward (heating), depends upon the existing temperature gradients and heat conductivity of the soil.

The thermal conductivity of a porous material depends greatly on its volumetric density. It increases as volumetric soil density increases. Eigenson, (1952); Van Wijk (1963); De Vries, (1963) and Campbell, (1985). Semmel *et al.* (1990) stated that heat conduction and thermal diffusivity should increase rapidly as soon as the dry soil particles are surrounded by water films enlarging their contact area. The formation of water films depends on soil water content and matric potential. The presence of water in the soil pores will lead to the application of Fourier's law which deals with multidisciplinary systems. Ghuman and Lal (1985) and Jury *et al.* (1991) found that clay soils had lower thermal conductivity values than sandy soils at all levels of water content. This is explained by the fact that thermal conductivity of the air filling pores is much less than that of the solid constituents. Hadas (1977 a and b) stated that the thermal conductivity of a soil depends on its mineralogical composition, texture, water content and its particle shape, as well as their space arrangement. Nimmoc and Kstin, (1988) concluded that thermal conductivity of porous material depends greatly on moisture content.

Knowledge of simultaneous transfer of heat and moisture in soils near the soil surface is of great importance to meteorologists, soil scientists, and ecologists, (Johnson and Lowery 1985 and Parlange *et al*, 1998) They studied evaporative losses of soil water and its thermal regime, as well as the energy and mass exchange phenomena occurring at the soil surface. They concluded that effective thermal conductivity of porous material depends greatly on moisture content due to its very high value of heat capacity compared to the other two phases of soil constituents; namely, solids and air. Also, they calculated thermal diffusivity values for soils with different tillage practices representing different kinds of soil structure. Thermal diffusivity in the 5 to 15 cm soil depth was 20-25% higher in the untilled, than in the ploughed soil.

Some investigators were devoted to investigate the influence of thermal gradients in soil moisture transport, (Cary and Tylor, 1962 and Cassel *et al.*,1969). The rate of heating soil particles depends on particle heat capacity, size of particles and other properties. Very small particles can be heated more rapidly while larger particles absorb more heat than smaller ones. The thermal conductivity of granular materials increased with temperature and this may be explained by the fact that an increase in temperature is accompanied by an increase in heat conduction of the medium filling the spaces between the grains, and also by the intensification of radiant heat transfer within the granular material. Golovanov, (1969) investigated the dependence of upward and downward heat flow within the soil and temperature time functions on soil particle sizes.

The aim of the current study was to investigate the effect of two soil textures on temperature profiles and soil thermal conductivities, diffusivities and capacities. A second objective was to calculate partial and total heat gain or loss for particle size fractions per time and per depth.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Experimental apparatus and procedure:

A laboratory experiment was carried out to investigate temperature distributions for two soil textures and three particle size fractions for each soil under heating and cooling cycles. An apparatus was constructed for this purpose, Figure (1). It consists of insulated hard PVC (70 cm long, 10 cm outside diameter and 9.5 cm inside diameter) column, heating unit, ice tank, 2 aluminum discs, 14 locations for the thermocouple wires, and temperature measuring devices. The outer surface of the soil column was insulated by 3 cm glass-wool mat to guarantee heat flow only in the vertical direction. A heat source, electric disc heater, was placed on the upper surface of the first aluminum disc. The diameter of the disc heater was about 100 mm and its power is 200 watt (220 volt and 10 ampere). The power supplied to the heater was controlled by a variac transformer. It provides a controllable constant heat flux at the top of the soil column. The electric power input to the heater was measured by an in-line digital watt-meter (accuracy $\pm 0.5\%$). Each one of the aluminum discs was 95 and 15 mm in diameter and thickness, respectively. The second aluminum disc was placed at the bottom of the soil column directly in contact with ice-water mixture.

Eight copper-constantan calibrated thermocouples, 0.4 mm in diameter, were inserted inside the test materials at the center of the column, Figure (1), to measure soil temperature at eight depths. Additional thermocouple was fixed at the back of each aluminum disc to measure its temperature. Furthermore, a grid of 25 thermocouples were distributed radially inside the soil column at 25 mm under the soil surface to be sure that radial heat flow is nearly eliminated. One thermocouple is used to measure temperature of ice-water mixture in the ice tank (heat sink) beside one of two thermocouples was fixed at the outer surface of the PVC soil column while the other was fixed at the surface of the insulating pad to calculate radial heat loss. The readings of the thermocouples were taken by a digital thermometer (accuracy ± 0.4 °C)

The total rate of heat generated from the heater (q_t) was transmitted by conduction in vertical and radial directions according to the following formula:



Fig. (1): Schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus and measuring devices.

(1)

Where:

 $\begin{array}{ll} q_t &= total \mbox{ heat input, } W \\ q_{c,v} &= vertical \mbox{ heat flow, } W \\ q_{c,r} &= radial \mbox{ heat flow, } W \end{array}$

 $q_t = q_{c,v} + q_{c,r}$

Due to the fact that the maximum heat loss by conduction in the radial direction, $q_{c,r}$ was about 0.05% of the total heat input, Eq (1) is rewritten as follows:

$$q_t = q_{c,v} \cong K_s A - \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta X} \cong K_s (1-P) A - \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta X}$$
 (2)

Where:

Qc.v

Vertical heat follow, W

=	Thermal conductivity of the solid part	ticles
	W/m°C.	
	=	 Thermal conductivity of the solid par W/m°C.

A = Cross-sectional area of the soil column, m^2

 ΔT = Temperature difference, °C

 $\Delta X =$ Soil thickness, m

$$P = Porosity, \%$$

If the thermal conductivity of the air in the soil pores is taken into consideration, Eq (1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$q_t = q_{c,v} = K_s (1-P)A - \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta X} + K_a PA - \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta X}$$
 (3)

Where $K_a =$ thermal conductivity of air, 0.161 cal/cm. °c. sec, Black, (1965).

2.2. Preparation and analysis of soil sample:

Representative soil samples were collected from two different locations at 0-70 cm depths. The first soil sample was collected from Kassasin loamy sand soil located at 30 kilometers west of Ismailia city, north of Cairo-Ismailia desert road. The second soil sample was collected from Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil located at 40 km south west of Alexandria at Cairo- Alexandria desert road. Soil samples were analyzed for particle size distribution as described by Gee and Bauder, (1986). O.M % and CaCO₃ % according to Page, (1982). Particle, and bulk densities were determined and total porosities were calculated as described by Klute, (1986). Table (1) shows some physical characteristics of the collected soil samples.

Soil property	Kassasin loamy sand soil	Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil
Particle size distribution		
C.S %	64.40	1.70
F.S. %	20.00	78.10
Silt %	3.70	9.10
Clay %	11.90	11.10
CaCO3 %	1.90	36.20
O.M %	0.85	0.54
Bulk density, g cm ⁻³	1.57	1.33
Particle density, g cm ⁻³	2.65	2.50
Porosity, %	40.75	46.80
Aggregate size distribution		
MWD mm	1.560	0.747
GMD mm	0.189	0.111

Table (1): Physical characteristics of the collected soil samples.

Each air dry soil sample was placed on top of a series of sieves and shaken using vibrating shaker, Klute, (1986). After 5 minutes shaking period, the retained sample on each sieve and on the bottom pan was weighed and its percentage of the total sample weight was determined. The percentage retained on each sieve was multiplied by the average sieve hole diameter calculated from a sieve hole and the sieve hole above.

Three particle size fractions were chosen for each one of the two investigated soils. Each particle fraction was oven dried at 105°c and packed in a column at approximately equal field apparent densities. Each column was replicated three times. Each column was subjected to heating then cooling cycles. During heating cycle, temperature measurements were recorded at 30 minutes intervals for more than 6 hours. The heat input and heat sink were kept constant during the experiment. During cooling cycle, the power for the heater was switched off. Then, the heater and the adjacent aluminum disc were removed from the top of the soil column. Temperatures were recorded at 30 minutes intervals for more than 6 hours.

-677-

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Simultaneous heat flow parameters were calculated for the investigated soils using the formulae derived by Ghuman and Lal (1985). They are:

Ln k = 0.028×-2.158 , Ln a = 0.028×-7.887 , and Lnc = -0.003×-1.071

Where : k is the thermal conductivity, mcal./s. cm $^{\circ}$ c; a is the thermal diffusivity, cm²/s.;

c is the thermal capacity, cal./g.°c and

x is the sum of sand, silt and organic carbon in percentages.

Ghuman and Lal stated that these regression equations were deduced at 0.10 cm³ cm⁻³ water content for soils vary in texture from sandy loam to clay. The results obtained for the Kassasin loamy sand soil are 1.3946, 0.0045 and 0.2624 and for the Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil are 1.4138, 0.0046 and 0.2620, respectively. Ghuman and Lal (1985) stated that thermal conductivity values for air dry soils did not appreciably vary, 0.70 to 0.60 mcal./s. cm^oc for sandy and clayey soils, respectively, at zero percent volumetric water content. The obtained k values also did not appreciably vary, therefore confirming their conclusion although the obtained values are almost twice their values. They also stated that their k values tremendously increased as soil moisture content increased. In the mean time, as soil bulk density decreased, the thermal conductivity value became low.

The thermal capacities for both soils were calculated by 3 different methods: Method 1 is used by applying the formula of Ghuman and Lal (1985) as mentioned before. Method 2 is used by applying the formula C= k/a presented by Geiger (1965) using the calculated values of thermal conductivity (k) and thermal diffusivity (a) according to the equations given by Ghuman and Lal (1985). Method 3 is suggested by De Vries (1963) using the following equation: Cv= 0.46 Xm + 0.60 Xo + Xw, where Xm, Xo and Xw are the volume fractions of soil mineral matter, organic matter and water, respectively. The thermal capacities were also determined and the obtained results are given in Table (2). Method 1 used to calculate gravimetric or volumetric soil heat capacity, Cs or Cv, proved to be very close to the determined value for the Kassasin loamy sand soil, 0.2624 and 0.4120, respectively. However, method 2 is more suitable to calculate soil heat capacity for

Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil, 0.2314 and 0.3077, respectively. The low detrmined Cs value obtained for the latter soil compared to the former me is probably related to its high CaCO₃%, Table (1).

To realuate the effect of soil particle size fractions and calcium carbonate content on heat capacity, comparisons were made between determined and calculated values, Table (2). The data clearly show that all calulated Cs values by method 1 are higher than the determined ones, whereas all calculated Cs values by method 3 are lower than the determined ones. Moreover, the Cv values for the various particle size fractions calculated by method 1 or 3 for the Kassasin loamy sand soil archigher than the corresponding ones for the Nubaria calcareous sandy tom soil. In the mean time, the determined C values for the former soil are higher than the determined C values for the latter one. The wide variations between measured and calculated C values led to the uggestion that the determined C values for the various soil particle size iractions should be used. Moreover, it is noticed that as the diameter of particle size fraction for either soil decreased the measured C values increased. The major contribution of solid particles on soil heat capacity is related to its apparent density because of the increase in CaCO₃ content, Table (3), could not completely diminish the increase in C values. Therefore, the high bulk density of the loamy sand soil, led to its high C value and low k value, therefore, was responsible for the fact that it suffered from extreme temperatures. On the other hand, calcareous soils are considered cold ones, Geiger (1965). Hence, Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil C,K and bulk density values should be carefully examined in order to evaluate their temperature status.

The data obtained for soil temperature profile during heating cycle for both soils proved that the upper 20 cm soil depth was susceptible to temperature accumulation with time due to the presence of heat source on the top of the soil column, Figure (2). Also, the lowest 18 cm soil layer was susceptible to heat loss with time due to the presence of heat sink, Figures (1 and 2). At these boundaries, the variation in temperature was around 1°C. Consequently, the intermediate 30 cms of the soil were not affected by heat source or sink.

During cooling cycle, the layer exposed to temperature loss to the atmosphere reached 30 cms for both soils. In the mean time, the effect of the deep heat sink also extended to the lowest 18 Cm.

Concerning the detailed study on temperature profiles for the various soil particle size fractions within the forementioned heating and

Soil and average		ρ _b 3	Deterr	nined	Calculated							
particle	Porosity				Meth	rod 1	Metł	nod 2	Meth	od 3		
diameter	%	g cm '	C,	C,	C,	C _v	C _s	C _v	C,	C _v		
Kassasin loamy sand soil Avg. particle diameter (mm) 4.175 2.675	40.80 38.87 38.11	1.57 1.62 1.64	0.2403 0.2301 0.2332	0.3773 0.3728 0.3824	0.2624 0.3416 0.3416	0.4120 0.5534 0.5602	0.1961 	0.3079 — —	0.1739 0.1736 0.1736	0.2730 0.2812 0.2847		
Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil Avg. particle diameter (mm) 4.175 2.675	46.80 43.6 42.4	1.08 1.33 1.41 1.44	0.2412 0.2331 0.2148 0.2219	0.3029 0.3195	0.3416 0.2620 0.3416 0.3416	0.3739 0.3485 0.4817 0.4919	0.2314	0.3077	0.1736 0.1843 0.1840 0.1840	0.2916 0.2451 0.2594 0.2650		
1.590	40.0	1.50	0.2301	0.3452	0.3416	0.5124	—		0.1840	0.2760		

 Table (2): Gravimetric heat capacity C_s, cal/g°c, volumetric heat capacity, C_v, cal/cm³°c and total porosities for

 soil and particle size fractions.

-680-

Table (3): Distribution of CaCO₃% in different particle sizes of the tested soils.

	Ca	CO ₃ %	in diffe	erent pa	rticle s	izes	Total	% of the total CaCO ₃						
Soil texture	5.0	3.35	3.00	1.60	1.18	0.60	CaCO3	5.0	3.35	3.00	1.60	1.18	0.60	
			14	31KI										
Kassasine	0.12	0.19	0.25	0.39	0.48	0.52	1.90	6.31	10.00	13.16	20.53	22.63	27.37	
loamy sand soil														
Nubaria	2.97	3.49	4.42	5.35	6.77	13.2	36.2	8.20	9.64	12.21	14.78	18.70	36.47	
calcareous														
sandy														
loam soil											•			

T

ī.

10



Kassasin loamy sand soil

Fig. (2): Effect of heating and cooling cycles on temperature profiles at various depths and times for the studied soils.

cooling depths, the obtained results are presented in Tables (4 A and B), which clearly show during the heating cycle that the average initial temperatures, $T_{0 \text{ hour}}$, were 26.2, 20.5 and 25.2°C for Kassasin loamy sand soil particle size fractions having average diameters 4.175, 2.675 and 1.59 mm, respectively. The average final temperatures, $T_{6 \text{ hours}}$, became 40.1, 43.7 and 51.6°C, respectively. The average initial temperatures for Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil particle size fractions having similar diameters to the forementioned ones were 27.2, 28.6 and 25.5. At 6 hours of the heating cycle, these values became 45.9, 55.6 and 54.7, respectively.

During the cooling cycle, average T_{0 hour} for Kassasin loamy sand soil particle size fractions were 41.8, 40.4 and 48.1°C, respectively. Such values decreased to 30.0, 26.3 and 30.8°C at 6 hours, respectively. The initial values obtained for Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil particle size fractions were 43.8, 52.1 and 52.8°C, respectively. These values decreased at 6 hours to 31.8, 33.3 and 33.6°C. It was interestingly found that Nubaria calcareous sandy loam total heat gained during 6 hours per gram for the 4.175, 2.675 and 1.59 mm in diameter particle size fractions within the upper 20 centimeters are 10.75, 19.08 and 21.35 respectively, Table (4). The corresponding values for Kassasin loamy sand soil particle size fraction are 7.39, 13.43 and 18.23, respectively. This result indicates that Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil will probably be warmer than Kassasin loamy sand soil during day time. However, under field conditions, it should be expected that the reverse is true due to the high albedo for Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil. Hence, the values obtained for Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil total heat gained during 6 hours heating by solar radiation should be less than 10.75, 19.08 and 21.35 calory per gram for the forementioned particle size fractions. On the other hand, total heat loss in calories during 6 hours per gram for the forementioned particle size fractions from the upper 30 cm to the atmosphere from Nubaria calcareous loamy sand are 4.70, 10.43 and 10.87, respectively. The corresponding values for Kassasin loamy sand soil particle size fractions are 5.49, 6.38 and 9.03 respectively. Therefore, it is anticipated that the Nubaria sandy loam soil will be cooler than the Kassasin loamy sand soil. It should be pointed out that Nubaria calcareous sandy loam particle size fractions had lower apparent densities and higher CaCO₃ contents than the Kassasin soil particle size fractions.

	Heat gain										Heat loss							
Depth cm	Τ₀ ℃ →	T _{LS hr.}	Cal. period/g	T _{3 hr.}	Cal. period/g	T _{4.5hr.}	Cal. period/g	T _{6.0 hr.}	Cal. nerio 1/g	T₀ ℃ →	T _{1.5} br.	Cal. period/g	T3hr.	Cal. period/g	T4.5 hr.	Cal. period/g	T6.0 hr.	Cal. period/g
	4.175 mm diameter																	
0.0	25.9	40.4		51.6		55.9	İ	60.0		59.6	41.7		35.0		32.8		30.9	
2.5	26.1	35.9	+3487.78	41.7	+2440.01	45.5	+1162.59	50.6	+1320.48	58.2	41.7	-4937.43	36.2	-1751.07	34.1	-617.18	32.1	-559.77
5.0	26.2	28.0	+1664.95	31.9	+1392.24	34.9	+976.00	36.9	+1019.06	50.1	38.4	-4047.55	35.6	-1191.30	33.9	-545.41	32.5	-488.00
10.0	26.2	26.4	+574.12	26.4	+1119.53	26.4	+861.18	26.5	+602.63	29.0	29.2	-3301.19	29.7	-660.24	29.8	-459.30	29.6	-459.30
20.0	26.2	26.4	+229.65	26.4	+0000.00	26.4	+0000.00	26.5	+114.82	28.0	27.0	-574.12	27.2	+401.88	27.3	+114.82	27.3	-114.82
30.0	—							l —-	100000	25.9	27.0	-57.44	27.2	+229.65	27.3	+114.82	27.3	000.00
Total	·	l i	5956.50	I j	4951.78	· ·	2999.77		3056.99			12917.70	—	2971.08		1392.25	1—	1621.82
	Igrand total heat gain = 16965.04 mass soil in 20 cm depth = 1206.49										d tota	I heat los	s = .	18902.92 Joon Col (mass	soi in .	30 cm	depth =
	2290.	40g		ncarg	ano, can o	ur./g	.30/4			3444		1014	queat	iuss, care	uu:/g-	- 5.40/ 5		
								. 2	2.675 mm d	liame	<u>ter</u>							
0.0	20.5	56.4	—	68.1		73.6		75.9		74.6	53.7		41.0		37.0		34.2	
2.5	20.5	23.8	+5695.96	35.3	+3371.08	47.1	+2513.78	56,1	+1278.68	56.4	47.5	-4330.09	33.8	-3836.05	30.0	-1133.38	27.1	-828,24
5.0	20.5	21.6	+639.34	26.0	+2310.35	33.3	+2775.33	39.1	+2223.17	41.2	38.2	-1729.13	33.0	-2746.26	29.1	-1118.85	26.6	-784.65
10.0	20.5	20.3	+201.55	20.7	+1394.93	23.3	+28/7.04	26.3	+2702.67	28.7	29.9	+523.10	29.7	-1569.29	28.5	-1482.11	26.0	-1453.05
20.0	20.5	20.3	000.00	20.7	000.00	21.5	+1859.90	20.6	+12556.81	20.0	20.7	+/55.59	21.2	+1/4.3/	22.2	-12/8.08	22.4	-1330.51
Total			6596.85		7076.36		10002.05		7541.33	20.0	20.7	-4780.53		-7686.62		-5710.47		4054.02
	aro ad	l total	heat agin	= 317	16.59 ma	เรื่องไ	in 20 cm	denth	=2374.88 g	loran	i total	heat loss	= 222	31.65. ma	' Iss soil	30 cm de	, mth =	3487.32 0
	. Tot	al heat	gain. Cal. (Shr/g=	13.4272	55 30 4	411 #0 CIL	achen	202400 E	To	tal heat	t loss, Cal.	6hr./g=	=6.3750	400 30 K	00 cm u	-lien	
			0 ,	. 0					1.59 mm d	ı İamef	er	,	0					
0.0		1.62.6	I	1 40 0	r	743	I	769	1	740	<u></u>	1 .	428	t *	1 20 1	1	1 1 2 2	!
25	25.2	37.5		471	+1704 17	/4.J	+1199 10	67.0	+1300.99	676	34.5	5000 35	43.5	1845 74	30.1	1562 01	128	-1459 73
50	25.2	299	+1949.04	404	+3572.40	491	+2679.30	54.6	+1771.32	56.4	47.8	-3810.56	42.0	-1414.08	37.6	.1414.08	32.5	-1503.39
10.0	25.2	25.7	+1548.04	28.4	+3929.64	33.7	+4048.72	37.4	+2738.84	40.0	40.7	-2351.83	39.9	-1964.82	37.1	-2143.44	31.7	-3125.85
20.0	25.2	25.7	+595.4	25.9	+1726.66	26.1	+3274.7	26.3	+2322.06	27.1	28.7	+1369.42	29.6	+59.54	29.3	-1845.74	28.3	-3810.56
30.0		i —								26.5	26.8	+1131.26	27.8	+1131.26	28.0	-59.54	26.1	-1726.66
Total			10151.58	I —	12935.07		12190.82	!	8142.10	I —	I — 1	-9571.06	-	-4033.84		-7025.73	;	-11625.19

grand total heat gain = 43419.59, mass soil in 20 cm depth = 2381.6g grand total heat loss = 32255.82, mass soil in 30 cm depth = 3572.49 g \therefore Total heat gain, Cal. 6hr./g= 18.2313

Table (4): Soil temperature profiles during heating and c	cooling cycles for
A) Kassa	isin loamy sand soil particle size fractions

1

-683-

Table (4)	1: 1	Cont.
-----------	------	-------

	Heat gain										Heat loss							
Depth cm	T₀ ℃ →	T _{1.5 br} .	Cal. period/g	T3hr.	Cal. period/g	T4.5hr.	Cal. period/g	Т _{6.0 нг.}	Cal. period/g	T₀ ℃ →	T _{1.5br.}	Cal. period/g	T3 _{hr.}	Cal. period/g	T _{4.5hr} .	Cal. period/g	T _{6.0hr.}	Cal. period/g
	<u>4.175 mm diameter</u>																	
0.0	27.2	53.3		63.1		67.6	—	69.7		69.1	53.4	-	42.3		37.8		35.0	
2.5	27.2	45.5	+5546.67	53.5	+2223.67	56.7	+961.92	58.4	+474.72	58.7	50,0	-3048.17	42.3	-2348.59	37.0	-1224.27	33.9	-737.06
5.0	27.2	32.0	+2885.77	38.3	+1786.43	41.7	+824.51	43.5	+437.24	45.5	44.8	-1174.30	41.5	-549.67	36.4	-1299.22	33.6	-737.06
10.0	27.2	28.0	+1399.16	28.6	+1739.97	28.9	+924.45	29.0	+474.72	31.2	31.8	-241.99	31.8	-824.51	32.1	-1199.28	31.4	-524.69
20.0	27.2	28.0	+799.52	28.0	+599.64	28.7	+199.88	29.0	+199.88	29,1	29.4	+449.73	29.2	-99,94	29.1	+99.94	28.3	-49,97
Total		—	10(21.12		6340 71		1010 76		1596 66	47.1	49.4	7499.84	49.4	-199,88	29.1	3737 75	48.5	-/99.54
TOTAL													-2040,30 : Jan 41					
	= grand total near gain = 21470.15, mass soll in 20 cm depin = 1008.8 g $=$ Total beat gain Cal 6hr /g=10.7455										12998.29σ Total heat loss Cal 6hr / $\sigma = 4.7000$							aepin =
	1770.0 g 10tai neat gain, Cat. oni./g-10./435												at 1032	, CAI UIII'a	'g- 4.)	000		
	2.675 mm diameter																	
0.0	28.6	55.1	—	68.3		72.9	<u> </u>	75.6	-	74.5	50.2	-	41.6	-	38.0		35.8	
2.5	28.6	55.5	+6940.62	67.2	+3049.28	72.3	+1237.57	75.1	+701.72	74.1	48.4	-6379.25	40.5	-2105.15	37.6	-829.30	35.0	-612.41
5.0	28.6	41.2	+5039.61	50.6	+2692.04	54.6	+1161.02	57.2	+688.96	59.2	48.2	-4682.37	40.4	-2003.08	36.3	-893.10	33.8	-650.68
10.0	28.6	30.1	+3597.90	34.6	+.5546.86	39.2	+2194.46	42.1	+1403.44	45,0	43.6	-3164,11	39.8	-2959.97	35.8	-2066.88	33.4	-1250,33
20.0	20.0	28.5	+/14.48	41.0	+1939.49	24.9	+2378.00	40.4	+1055.09	20.0	201	-500.20	32.0	-1/33.19	32.5	-1865.20	32.9	-13/7.92
Total			16797 61		11227 47		6991 65		4477 21	40.7	27.	-14071 59	49.5	-8305/10	19.3	-6574.44	29.0	1002.07
		i A total	heat gain	- 2902	904 ma	as noit	in 20 am d	anth -	2041 360	anon	d total	hoot goin :	- 2102	453 more	a di in	20. om de		062.04 -
	To	tal hea	t oain. Cal	- 5693 . 6hr./	$\sigma = 19.0750$	33 2011	iu 20 ciu u	epta –	2041.JUg		tal hea	tioss. Cal. f	- 5155 hr/g=	10.4292	sou m	Do cin de	րա - շ	1002.04 g
			- Barn, Car		6			1 4	50 mm d	iame	ter							
				1	1						-101 110				1 20.0	1		
0.0	25.5	57.9	1700/ 0/	60.7		13.4	+1230.00	70.3	1143.04	14.9	53.8	6201 00	42.5	2116.44	38.2	1040.01	35.7	
4.5	25,5	40.5	+/096.80	39.3	+3130.44	04.0	+1329,00	10.3	+1142.94	74.0	53.7	-0081.00	41.0	-3130-44	37.8	-1049,91	34.9	-717.00
10.0	25,5	31.1	±3097.00	344	+3366.30	30.0	+1249.20	31.1	+1674 \$4	46 3	34.0	-4080.03	41.4	-3043,41	37.4	-1030.02	34.3	-//0.82
20.0	25.5	28.3	+7976.04	27.7	+2658 00	176	+7658 00	27.5	+1329.00	30.6	314	-106 32	37.5	-1013.76	33.1	-2120.90	37.5	-1313.00
30.0			-2370.90							28.8	29.4	+744.24	29.9	+850.56	30.3	-106.32	30.2	.372.12
Total			18473.10		13981.08	!	7548.72		5395.74			-11575.59		-11362.96		-6551.97		-5183.10
	grand	total	heat gain	- 4539	8.64, m	ass soil	in 20 cm	depth	= 2126.4g	oran	l total	heat loss ≓	34673	62 mas	s soil i	n 30 cm d	enth =	3189.6 a
1	Tot	al heat	gain, Cal	6hr./g	g=21.3500			-		i grand total heat loss = 34673.62 , mass soil in 30 cm depth = 3189.6 g \therefore Total heat loss, Cal. 6hr/g=10.8708								

-684-

i

1

1

Ĺ

-685-	
-------	--

Table (5): Total and partial heat gain from disc heater within the upper 20 cm or loss to the atmosphere from the upper 30 cm for the investigated soils particle size fractions.

	Heat ga	in, cal.	period/g		Kassasin	Heat loss, cal. period/g						
1.5 hrs	1.5-3.0 hrs	3.0-4.5 hrs	4.5-6.0 hrs	Total heat/ 6.0 hrs	ioamy sand soil Particle size fractions (mm in diameter)	1.5 hrs	1.5-3.0 hrs	3.0- 4.5 hrs	4.5- 6.0 hrs	Total heat/ 6.0 hrs		
2.5939	2.1562	1.3062	1.3311	7.3873	4.175	3.7500	0.8625	0.4042	0.4708	5,4875		
2.8375	3.0436	4.3022	3.2438	13.4273	2.675	1,3708	2.2042	1.6375	1.1625	6.3750		
4.2625	5.4313	5.1188	3.4188	18.2314	1.590	2.6792	1.1292	1.9667	3.2542	9.0293		
Nubaria calcareous sandy loam soil particle size fractions												
5.3188	3.1768	1.4563	0.7938	10.7457	4.175	1.1667	1.3417	1.2417	0.9500	4.7001		
7.9813	5,5000	3.4250	2.1688	19.0751	2.675	4.5792	2.7417	1.8042	1.3042	10.4255		
8.6875	6.5750	3.5500	2.5375	21.3500	1.590	3.6292	3.5625	2.0542	1.6250	10.8709		

Partial heat gain or loss occurred during the first 1.5 hours generally exhibited the highest values and gradually decreased with the progress in time, Table (5). In this respect, De Vries (1963) Ghuman and Lal (1981 and 1982); Evenori, *et al.* (1982) and Semmel *et al.* (1990) stated that the magnitude of soil heat storage or release can be significant over a few hours, but is usually small from day to day. It was also interestingly noticed for both soil particle size fractions that partial or total heat gain or loss generally increased as particles diameter decreased. Ghuman and Lal, (1985) stated that clay soil have low thermal conductivity due to its low bulk density and this suggests that they would exhibit larger surface temperature amplitudes compared with loamy or sandy loam soils under equal heat flux densities.

4. REFERENCES

- Black C.A. (1965). Soil-Plant Relationships. 2nd, edition, John Wiley Sons, Inc. New York. London 1976.
- Campbell G.S. (1985). Soil Physics with Basic Transport Models for Soil-Plant Systems. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Cary J.W. and Taylor S.A. (1962). The interactions of the simultaneous diffusions of heat and water vapor. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer, Proc. 26: 314-416.
- Cassel D.K., Nielson D.R. and Bigger, J.W. (1969). "Soil water movement in response to imposed thermal gradients". Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 34: 183-189.
- De Vries D.A. (1963). Thermal properties of soils. In Van Wijk, W.R. (Ed.), Physics of Plant Environment. North Holland Publishing. Amsterdam, pp 210-235.
- Eigenson L.S. (1952). Simulation (Modelirorni) soviet science, Moscow.
- Evenari M., Shanan L. and Tadmor M. (1982). The challenge of a Desert, 2nd, ed. Harvard University Press, Cambridge MA.
- Gee G.W. and Bauder J.W. (1986). Particle size analysis. In Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2 (Ed-Klute)p.p. 383-411 (ASA and SSSA: Madison, Wis.).
- Geiger R.(1965). The Climate Near the Ground. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Ghuman B.S. and Lal R. (1981). Predicting diurnal temperature regime in a tropical soil. Soil Sci. 132: 247-252.

- Ghuman B.S. and Lal R. (1985). Thermal conductivity, thermal diffusivity, and thermal capacity of some Nigerian soils. Soil Science 139, 74-80.
- Golovanov A.I. (1969). Measuring thermal conductivity of soils under laboratory conditions Neth. J. Agric. Sci., 17: 71-79.
- Hadas A. (1977_a). Evaluation of theoretically predicted thermal conductivities of soils under field and laboratory conditions. Soil Sci. Soci. of Amer. Jour. 41, 460-466.
- Hadas A. (1977_b). Heat transfer in dry aggregated soil. 1. Heat Conduction. Soil Science Society of America Journal 41, 1055-1059.
- Johnson M.D. and Lowery B. (1985). Effect of three conservation tillage practices on soil temperature and thermal properties. Soil Sci. Soci. Amer. Jour. 49, 1547-1552.
- Jury W.A., Gardner W.R. and Gardner W.H. (1991). Soil Physics, 5th ed. Wiley, New York.
- Klute A. (Ed.) (1986). Methods of Soil Analysis, part 1: Physical and Mineralogical methods, (2nd, Ed.). Agron. 42: 95-109. Academic Press, Inc., USA.
- Nimmoc J.R. and Kstin K.C. (1988). Hydraulic conductivity of a sandy soil at low water content after compaction by various methods. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Jour., 52: 303-310.
- Page A.L. (Ed.) (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties (2nd Ed.) Agron. J. 9: A.S.A., Inc., Madison, Wis., USA.
- Parlange M.B., Cahill A.T., Nielsen, D.R., Hopmans, J.W. and Wendroth, O. (1998). Review of heat and water movement in field soils. Soil Tilage Research. 47, 5-10.
- Semmel H., Horn R., Hell U., Dexter A.R. and Schulze E.D. (1990). The dynamics of soil aggregate formation and the effect on soil physical properties. Soil Technology. 3, 113-129.
- Van Wijk W.K.(ed). (1963). Physics of plant environment, New York: Wiley.

مشاركة المجاميع الحجمية لحبيبات الأرض في حرارة قطاعاتها وخصائصها الحرارية

محمد هاتي احمد غالي ، سمير على محمد *

قسم الأراضى ، معهد الكفاية الإنتاجية، جامعة الزقاريق فسم الأراضي والمياه ، كلية زراعة الإسماعيلية، جامعة قناة السويس

ملخص

أجريت تجربة معملية في أعمدة أسطوانية مزودة بأجهزة القياس حرارة أعمدة ترب ومفصولات المجاميع الحجمية لحبيبات نوعين من التربة خلل عمليات الاكتسباب والفقد الحرارى. وقد تم فصل ثلاثة مجاميع حجمية الحبيبات من تربة القصاصين الطميبة الرملية ومن تربة النوبارية الجبرية الرملية الطميبة ورصنت درجات الحرارة على طول المحور الراسى بمركز عمود التربة باستخدام سلك المردوج الحرارى، نحساس – كونستاتان ومقياس رقمى الحرارة. حسبت السعات الحرارية والحرارة الكليسة المكتسبة والمفقودة وأجزائها المجاميع الحجمية التربين ودرس تأثير المجلميع الحجمية الحبيبات على سريان الحرارة.

وجد أن التبلين الحرارى مع العمق لتربة القصاصين الطميية الرملية اقل منه لتربــة النوبارية الجيرية الرملية الطميية ووجد فيضا اعتماد السريان الحرارى على طول عمـــود التربة على الكثافة الظاهرية والمجاميع الحجمية للحبيبات يرجـمع الـــى الاختـــلاف فـــى التوصيل الحرارى.

كانت الحرارة الكلية المكتسبة أثناء ٦ ساعات تسخين خلال الــــــ ٢٠ سـم العليا لعمود التربة للمجاميع الحجمية المختلفة للحبيبات تربـــة النوباريــة الجيريــة الرملية الطميية التي لها متوسطات اقطار (٢.١٧٥ ، ٢.٦٧٥ وأيضـــا ١,٥٩ مـم) وكذلك الحرارة الكلية المفقودة للجو خلال الــ ٣٠سم العلوية أعلا مـــن نظـائرهم المتحصل عليهم لتربه القصاصين الطميبة الرملية وهذه الاستجابة ترجع لانخفطن الكثافات الظاهرية وارتفاع محتويات كربونات الكالسيوم لارض النوبارية.

دونت أجزاء الحرارة المكتسبة أو المفقودة أثناء الساعة ونصف الأولى مسن التسخين او التبريد أعلى القيم التي نقصت بزيادة الزمن وقد زادت عموماً قيم الحرارة الكلية وأجزائها المكتسبة أو المفقودة لكل من مجاميع الأجسزاء الحجمية للحبيبات لكلا النوعين من التربة بنقص أقطار الحبيبات لمجاميع الأجزاء الحجمية. المجلة العلمية لكلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهرة –المجلد (٥٣) العدد الرابع (أكتوبر ٢٠٠٢): ٢٧١-٦٨٨.