# SEED YIELD IMPROVEMENT VIA SELECTION FOR CAPSULE NUMBERS IN EARLY SEGREGATING GENERATIONS OF SESAME (Sesamum indicum L.) HYBRIDS

### A. A. HobAllah

Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University

### ABSTRACT

The breeding materials used in this study were 89 selected lines of sesame derived from crossing between 5 diverse parental genotypes. These lines were produced through selection for higher number of capsules per plant that practiced among the  $F_2$  segregating plants and within  $F_3$  lines. The 89 selected lines along with their parents (as checks) were evaluated for seed yield and yield contributing traits in the  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  generations. The objectives were to estimate means, variances, phenotypic and genotypic correlations, heritability and predicted direct and correlated response to selection for seed yield and its main components as well as to determine the actual response of selection for higher capsules/plant on seed yield improvement in both segregating generations. Results showed highly significant differences among the  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  lines for all characters studied. Mean performance of the  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  lines, as groups, was higher than that of the check parents for fruiting zone length, capsules/plant and seed yield/plant. Genotypic coefficient of variation among  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  lines was highest for branches/plant followed by stem height to first capsule, capsules/plant, capsule length and seed yield/plant, indicating that it is possible to achieve further improvement for those traits. High estimates of heritability in broad sense (80.2 - 94.5%) coupled with high expected genetic advance from selection were detected for capsules/ plant, capsule length and seed yield/plant in both generations, indicating that such traits have a high selection gain. Number of capsules/plant exhibited the highest phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients with seed yield/plant in both generations. Likewise, expected correlated response to selection showed that the maximum improvement in seed yield was expected from selection for high number of capsules/plant in both segregating generations. Moreover, the actual gain due to selection for increased number of capsules/plant in the  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  generations resulted in seed yield increase of  $F_4$ lines up to 33.3% and 20.8% over the mid- and best parents, respectively. Therefore, indirect selection for seed yield improvement using capsule numbers/plant as a selection criterion could be successfully used in early segregating generations of sesame hybrids.

### Key words: Sesame, Yield improvement, Selection criterion, Segregating generations Capsules/plant

## INTRODUCTION

Breeding efforts for improved performance and specific needs often require programs based on recombination and selection. In sesame, introductions that may be adopted directly or used as sources of valuable genes, has been very efficient and continue to be so in some areas (Ashri 1998). Mass selection has been important in developing improved cultivars from both local and introduced materials. Individual plant selection has also produced successful cultivars of sesame. However, there is a greater scope for this approach when new variability is created by controlled crosses (Sharma 1994 and Zhao 1994).

In highly self-pollinated crops like sesame, where the variability is limited, induced mutations and hybridization help to generate a new variability. Several varieties of sesame were produced through the direct use of induced mutations (Kang 1997) or through hybridization and pedigree selection (Sharma 1994 and Zhao 1994). The usefulness of mutation techniques is well recognized for the purpose of genetic improvement of sesame. At the same time, the necessity of crossing local and introduced varieties and of crossing different mutants was stressed. In some programs (Ibrahim 1994, Kang 1997 and Murty 1997) this was a standard procedure and has proved successful in generating promising sesame lines or varieties with increased yield potential and superior performance.

Breeding studies on sesame confirmed that the number of capsules per plant is the most important yield component which contribute significantly to the variation in seed yield, and showed a highly significant positive correlation with seed yield either in phenotypic or genotypic level (Ibrahim *et al* 1984, Mishra and Yadav 1997, Shrief 1997 and Rajaravindran *et al* 2000). These studies showed also that an indirect selection for capsules/plant helps to isolate high yielding genotypes in segregating populations of sesame hybrids due to substantial contribution of additive genetic variance in the expression of such trait.

Moreover, information on estimates of heritability and genetic advance from selection for seed yield and its contributing traits in segregating generations of sesame hybrids indicated that capsules/plant provide a good selection criterion for seed yield improvement (Mishra and Yadav 1997, Padmavathi 1997 and Rajaravindran *et al* 2000)

The objectives of the present study were: 1) to estimate the genetic variation, phenotypic and genotypic correlations, heritability and the expected direct and correlated response to selection for sesame seed yield and its attributes in the  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  generations, and 2) to measure the effect of

actual selection for capsule numbers/plant on seed yield improvement in the early segregating generations of sesame hybrids.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Breeding material and field layout

In an earlier study (HobAllah 2000) twenty one hybrids derived from crossing between seven parental genotypes of sesame, using a half diallel mating system, were utilized to estimate heterosis, combining ability and type of gene action in the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations. Selection was practiced among the  $F_2$  plants using number of capsules per plant as a selection criterion with 5% selection intensity.

The initial material used in the present study comprised 89  $F_3$  lines selected from the 1<sup>st</sup> segregating generation, i.e. among  $F_2$  plants of nine out of twenty one crosses. The nine crosses involved 5 diverse parental genotypes. These genotypes were Giza 32, Mut.8, Mut.48, EUL92 and EXM90. Giza 32 is a local cultivar, Mut.8, Mut.48 and EUL92 are advanced mutant lines resulting from the mutation breeding program carried out at the Agron. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ., Giza. EXM90 is an  $F_7$  hybrid population derived from crossing between Margo 201 (an exotic line from USA) and Mut.48.

The 89  $F_3$  lines along with the 5 parents of the original crosses were grown for evaluation in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 replications at the Agric. Exp. and Res. Station, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ., Giza on May 28, 2000. Each block contained 94 entries. Plot consisted of single- ridge for each  $F_3$  line and three ridges for each parent. Each ridge was 3.5 m long and 60 cm wide. Seeds were sown in hills 20 cm apart. Thinning was done 30 days after sowing to secure 2 plants/hill. Before harvest, selection for a higher number of capsules per plant was practiced within F3 lines, with 5% selection intensity, to produce the  $F_4$ lines.

The 89  $F_4$  lines along with the five parents were sown on May 21, 2001 using RCBD with 3 replications. Plot size, ridge length and spacing between ridges as well as between plants within a ridge were applied as in the  $F_3$  generation. The normal cultural practices usually recommended for sesame cultivation at Giza were applied at the proper time in both generations.

# **Recorded data**

At harvest, 10 guarded plants per plot were sampled to measure plant height, stem height to first capsule, fruiting zone length, no of branches/plant, no. of capsules/plant, capsule length, no. of seeds/capsule, seed index (1000- seed weight) and seed yield/plant.

# **Biometrical analysis**

Data were subjected to a regular analysis of variance of RCBD according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980). The degrees of freedom and sum of squares due to genotypes were further partitioned into lines, parents and lines *vs.* parents and tested for significance.

The expected genetic advance from selection (GA) in both  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  generations was calculated for each trait according to Allard (1960) using the following formula: GA =K. $(\sigma_{ph}^2)^{1/2}$ .h<sup>2</sup>; where K is the selection differential at 5% intensity =2.06,  $(\sigma_{ph}^2)^{1/2}$  is the square root of the phenotypic variance (standard deviation), and h<sup>2</sup> is the heritability in broad sense  $(\sigma_g/\sigma_{ph}^2)$  for the character being evaluated. The genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for lines in each generation was computed as  $\sigma_g \times 100/m$ , where  $\sigma_g$  is the square root of the genotypic variance of lines and m is the general mean of lines. Phenotypic correlation coefficients among all possible pairs of the studied traits were computed.

Data on seed yield and its main components were also subjected to covariance analysis according to Gomez and Gomez (1984) and used to calculate the genotypic correlation ( $r_g$ ) and correlated response to selection (CR). The genotypic correlation was computed as  $r_g = \sigma_{g1.2}/[(\sigma_{g1}^2)]^{1/2}$ , where  $\sigma_{g1.2}$  is the genetic covariance between two traits,  $\sigma_{g1}^2$  and  $\sigma_{g2}^2$  are the genetic variances of the first and second trait, respectively. The correlated response to selection was calculated as shown by Al-Jibouri *et al* (1958) and Eckebil *et al* (1977) from the formula: CR<sub>ij</sub> = K.  $\sigma_{gij} / \sigma_{phi}$ , where K is again the selection differential,  $\sigma_{gij}$  is the genetic covariance between the selected (i) and unselected (j) traits, and  $\sigma_{phi}$  is the phenotypic standard deviation of the selected character.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Means and variances

Analysis of variance (Table 1) showed highly significant differences among  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  lines for all investigated traits. However, the variability between  $F_3$  lines was higher than that of  $F_4$  lines as indicated by mean square values.

The check genotypes were the five parents of the crosses from which the lines originated. Check parents were significantly different for all traits in both generations, except fruiting zone length and seeds/capsule in the  $F_4$ generation.

| Characters                 | Source of variation |          |          |          |           |          |       |            |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-------|------------|--|--|--|
|                            | Lines               |          | Parents  |          | Lines vs. | parents  | Error |            |  |  |  |
|                            | F3                  | F4       | F3       | F4       | F3        | F4       | F3    | <b>F</b> 4 |  |  |  |
| Plant height               | 1230.6**            | 710.2**  | 2448.5** | 914.2**  | 678.2     | 17.0     | 294.9 | 79.3       |  |  |  |
| Height to<br>first capsule | 781.3**             | 342.0**  | 4115.9** | 772.5**  | 8837.5**  | 547.0**  | 185.0 | 43.9       |  |  |  |
| Fruiting zone<br>length    | 1079.3**            | 460.5**  | 343.1*   | 156.4    | 14411 **  | 756.6**  | 305.1 | 86.5       |  |  |  |
| Branches/<br>plant         | 1.49**              | 0.594**  | 1.30**   | 1.2'7**  | 0.08      | 0.94*    | 0.366 | 0.241      |  |  |  |
| Capsules/<br>plant         | 5459.0**            | 2177.9** | 1605.3** | 3609.2** | 18326 **  | 3892.4** | 651.6 | 285.4      |  |  |  |
| Capsule<br>length          | 1.073**             | 1.204**  | 0.914**  | 0.992**  | 0.720**   | 0.15     | 0.083 | 0.063      |  |  |  |
| Seeds/<br>capsule          | 74.8**              | 70.7**   | 56.0**   | 4.6      | 2.0       | 51.5     | 34.5  | 24.6       |  |  |  |
| 1000- seed<br>weight       | 0.567**             | 0.449**  | 0.921**  | 0.387*   | 3.04**    | 2.90**   | 0.171 | 0.088      |  |  |  |
| Seed yield/<br>plant       | 78.5**              | 47.3**   | 11.4**   | 23.2**   | 411.1**   | 204.0**  | 14.8  | 7.4        |  |  |  |

Table 1. Mean squares from ANOVA for studied traits of 89 sesame lines and<br/>their parents in the F3 and F4 generations

- Note that degrees of freedom are similar in both generations and equal to 88, 4, 1 and 186 for Lines, parents, lines vs. parents and error, respectively.

Mean squares for lines vs. parents were highly significant for stem height to first capsule, fruiting zone length, capsules/plant, 1000- seed weight and seed yield/plant in both generations. This indicates that the mean performance of the  $F_3$  or  $F_4$  lines, as a group, was significantly different from the mean performance of their parents for those traits (Table 1). Significant mean squares due to lines vs. parents were also recorded for capsule length in the  $F_3$  and branches/plant in the  $F_4$  generation.

The mean performance of  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  lines (Table 2) was higher than the mean of check parents for fruiting zone length, capsules/plant and seed yield/plant as also indicated by significant mean square values of lines vs. parents in Table 1. In addition,  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  lines, as groups, recorded desirable lower means for stem height to first capsule than that of check parents. However, the check parents showed higher means of 1000- seed weight than that recorded for lines in both generations.

The range of lines means (Table 2) showed wide variation either for  $F_3$  or  $F_4$  lines for almost all traits. The upper limit of the range of lines means exceeded mean of the check parents for all studied characters in both generations especially seed yield/plant, capsules/plant and other yield

Table 2. Mean performance, ranges, genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV), broad sense heritability  $(h^2)$  and expected genetic advance from selection (GA) for traits of F<sub>3</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> lines of sesame as well as means of the check parents.

|                       | Gener-     | Lines |           | GCV  | h <sup>2</sup><br>% | Paren-     | GA              |              |
|-----------------------|------------|-------|-----------|------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Characters            | ation      | Mean  | Range     | %    | 70                  | ts<br>mean | % of lines mean | % of parents |
| Plant height          | F3         | 195.2 | 147-228   | 9.0  | 75.2                | 188.3      | 16.1            | 16.8         |
|                       | <b>F</b> 4 | 150.4 | 119-182   | 9.5  | 86.3                | 149.3      | 18.2            | 18.3         |
| Height to first       | F3         | 44.6  | 18-79     | 31.5 | 75.5                | 69.5       | 56.3            | 36.1         |
| capsule               | F4         | 34.5  | 14-60     | 28.8 | 86.8                | 40.7       | 55.4            | 46.9         |
| Fruiting zone         | F3         | 150.7 | 103-203   | 10.6 | 70.4                | 118.8      | 18.3            | 23.1         |
| length                | F4         | 115.9 | 88-142    | 9.6  | 80.9                | 108.6      | 17.8            | 19.0         |
| Branches/             | F3         | 1.5   | 0.0-2.7   | 40.5 | 74.1                | 1.10       | 71.3            | 97.3         |
| plant                 | F4         | 0.9   | 0.0-2.0   | 37.5 | 57.6                | 0.78       | 58.9            | 67.9         |
| Capsules/             | F3         | 143.6 | 80-260    | 27.8 | 87.5                | 107.7      | 53.7            | 71.6         |
| plant                 | F4         | 144.6 | 77-212    | 17.3 | 86.5                | 128.1      | 33.3            | 37.5         |
| <b>Capsule</b> length | <b>F3</b>  | 3.29  | 2.6-5.2   | 17.4 | 91.9                | 3.10       | 34.3            | 36.5         |
|                       | <b>F</b> 4 | 3.35  | 2.6-5.3   | 18.4 | 94.5                | 3.24       | 36.7            | 38.0         |
| Seeds/                | F3         | 60.5  | 50-71     | 5.9  | 51.6                | 60.1       | 8.8             | 8.8          |
| capsule               | <b>F</b> 4 | 69.1  | 53-78     | 5.6  | 63.8                | _71.0      | 9.3             | 9.0          |
| 1000- seed            | F3         | 3.08  | 2.26-4.04 | 11.7 | 68.8                | 3.54       | 20.1            | 17.5         |
| weight                | <b>F</b> 4 | 3.22  | 2.50-4.10 | 10.8 | 80.0                | 3.67       | 19.9            | 17.4         |
| Seed yield/           | <b>F</b> 3 | 26.40 | 15.7-37.4 | 17.3 | 80.2                | 21.0       | 32.2            | 40.5         |
| plant                 | <b>F</b> 4 | 26.35 | 17.0-38.1 | 13.8 | 83.5                | 22.2       | 25.8            | 30.6         |

components. This indicates the presence of superior individual lines involved in the breeding material.

Genetic variation among lines, estimated by GCV., was highest for branches/plant followed by stem height to first capsule, capsules/plant, capsule length and seed yield/plant in both  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  lines. The high values of GCV were also reflected in the values of range observed for these traits (Table 2), indicating that it is possible to achieve further improvement by selection. On the other hand, genetic variation was limited for other traits in the following descending order: 1000- seed weight, fruiting zone length, plant height and seeds/capsule in both generations. Wide range of variability and high magnitudes of GCV among sesame genotypes were reported in other studies for branches/plant, capsules/plant and seed yield/plant (Kandasamy *et al* 1991, Mishra and Yadav 1997) and for stem height to first capsule (Osman and Khidir 1974). However, low to moderate values of range and GCV were recorded for plant height, seeds/capsule (Tangavel *et al* 2000) and 1000- seed weight (Osman and Khidir 1974).

As a result of selection within  $F_3$  lines for high number of capsules/plant, the resulting  $F_4$  lines continued to show inter-line variation for most traits. However, comparison between GCV of  $F_3$  and  $F_4$ 

· — – –

- - - - -

generations reveals less genetic variation among  $F_4$  lines than among F3 lines particularly for capsules/plant and seed yield/plant, suggesting that within-line selection in the F<sub>3</sub> generation negatively affected  $F_4$  variability in both traits.

According to Burton (1952) the genotypic coefficient of variability together with heritability estimate would give the best indication of the amount of genetic advance to be expected from selection.

# Heritability and expected genetic advance from selection

Response to selection for quantitative traits is directly proportional to the function of its heritability, selection intensity and it's of phenotypic variance. Heritability enables the plant breeder to recognize the genetic differences among the traits and the genotypic variance indicates the potential for the improvement of particular trait (Jarwar *et al* 1998).

Table (2) shows broad- sense heritability estimates, computed from components of variance, and expected genetic advance from selection for different traits in the F<sub>3</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> generations. Results showed, in general, that capsule length, capsules/plant and seed yield/plant recorded high heritability with values varying from 80.2% to 94.5% in both generations. Other characters like plant height, stem height to first capsule, fruiting zone length and 1000- seed weight recorded relatively moderate to high heritability with values ranging from 68.8% to 86.8% in both generations. However, heritability was low for seeds/capsule in both generations, and for branches/plant in the F<sub>4</sub> generation. Comparable estimates of heritability were reported in other studies for capsule length and capsules/plant (Osman and Khidir 1974), seeds/capsule and 1000- seed weight (Mishra and Yadav 1997), seed yield/plant (Jarwar *et al* 1998) and for capsules/plant (Rajarvindran *et al* 2000).

Broad-sense heritability, however, indicates only the effectiveness in which selection of a genotype can be based on the phenotypic performance, but it fails to indicate the genetic progress (Johnson *et al* 1955). Therefore, high heritability does not always mean greater genetic gain. Since heritability in broad sense involving both additive and non-additive gene effects it will be reliable only if accompanied by high genetic advance (Ramanujam and Thirumalachari 1967). In other words, an association of high heritability along with high genetic advance is indicative of additive gene effects and consequently a high genetic gain from selection would be anticipated. Dixit *et al* (1970) stated also that high heritability was not always associated with high genetic advance, but to make effective selection, high heritability should be coupled with high genetic gain. The expected genetic advance (GA) from selection as % of lines mean was very high for branches/plant followed by height to first capsule, capsules/plant, capsule length and seed yield/plant with greater values in the  $F_3$  than that recorded in the  $F_4$  generation, except for capsule length (Table 2). However, GA was intermediate for 1000- seed weight, fruiting zone length, and plant height and was lowest for seeds/capsule in both generations. Similar trend was also observed for GA magnitudes as % of mean parents and this hold true for all mentioned traits. It was also noticed, in both generations that traits, which recorded the highest GCV also, had the highest expected genetic advance and vice versa.

High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was realized for capsules/plant, capsule length and seed yield/plant in both  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  g. nerations and for stem height to first capsule in the  $F_4$  generation. Because of the high values of GCV, heritability and genetic advance of these traits, the high selection gain may be attributed to a high degree of additive gene effects. The association between high heritability and high genetic advance was already reported for capsules/plant (Mishra and Yadav 1997, Padmavathi 1997 and Rajarvindran *et al* 2000), seed yield/plant (Padmavathi 1997 and Jarwar *et al* 1998), capsule length (Rajarvindran *et al* 2000) and stem height to first capsule (Osman and Khidir 1974).

### **Characters** associations

Characters associations estimated by phenotypic correlation among traits are of interest to plant breeders because they indicate the correlated responses that may occur when single- trait selection or index selection are practiced, particularly if the associations among traits were measured on the genotypic level.

Phenotypic correlation coefficient among studied traits (Table 3) revealed that seed yield/plant was positively and significantly associated with capsules/plant and branches/plant. There was also significant positive correlation among the last two traits. Similar findings were reported by Reddy *et al* (1984), Shrief (1997) and Mishra and Yadav (1998).

A desirable significant negative correlation between yield/plant and stem height to first capsule was recorded. HobAllah and Manal Salem (1999) reported similar association. Whereas, an undesirable significant negative correlation between yield/plant and 1000- seed weight was also observed. Ibrahim *et al* (1983) and Ahmed (1988) also found a negative correlation among the last two traits.

76

- -

| Characters                 | Yield/<br>plant | Capsul-<br>es/plant | 1000-<br>seed<br>weight | Seeds/<br>capsule | Capsule<br>length | Branch-<br>es/plant | Fruiting<br>zone<br>length | Height<br>to first<br>Capsule |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Capsules/<br>plant         | 0.706**         |                     |                         |                   |                   |                     |                            |                               |
| 1000-seed<br>weight        | -0.228**        | -0.414**            |                         |                   |                   |                     |                            | {                             |
| Seeds/<br>capsule          | 0.118           | 0.081               | 0.082                   |                   |                   |                     |                            | +                             |
| Capsule<br>length          | -0.063          | -0.187*             | 0.267**                 | 0.268**           |                   |                     |                            |                               |
| Branches<br>/plant         | 0.168*          | 0.353**             | -0.384**                | -0.189*           | -0.434**          |                     |                            |                               |
| Fruiting zone<br>length    | 0.139           | 0.047               | -0.057                  | -0.507**          | -0.047            | 0.104               |                            |                               |
| Height to<br>first capsule | -0.167*         | -0.105              | -0.224**                | -0.274**          | -0.346**          | 0.563**             | -0.230**                   | 1                             |
| Plant<br>height            | 0.044           | 0.051               | -0.160*                 | -0.555**          | -0.213**          | 0.369**             | 0.864**                    | 0.573**                       |

Table 3. Phenotypic correlation coefficients between all pairs of studied traits using pooled data of F<sub>3</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> generations

\* and \*\* denote significance at 5 % and 1 % level of probability, respectively

These results reflected the importance of the three characters that exhibited significant desirable correlation with seed yield/plant either in positive (capsules/plant and branches/plant) or negative (stem height to first capsule) direction. However, it is worthy to note that the highest magnitude of correlation coefficient ( $r = 0.706^{**}$ ) was recorded among capsules/plant and yield/plant. Therefore, selection for increased capsules/plant through increased branches/plant and decreased stem height to first capsule might reflect increase of seed yield/plant. Moreover, such traits would be of interest for indirect selection for yield since it can be easily determined.

Genotypic correlation among seed yield and its main components, i.e. capsules/plant, branches/plant, seeds/capsule and 1000- seed weight was also computed (Table 4). Genotypic correlations tended to have the largest positive values among seed yield/plant and capsules/plant in both  $F_3$  and  $F_4$ generations. This was expected, as the latter is a main component of the former. Both traits exhibited also positive genetic correlations with branches per plant. Shreif (1997), and Ganesh and Sakila (1999) found similar associations. However, yield/plant, branches/plant and capsules/plant recorded negative correlation with seed index in both generations with relatively high values in the last case. Also, capsules/plant as well as yield/plant were positively correlated with seeds/capsule, but the correlation coefficient values were of low magnitude in both generations except for the last association, which possessed a moderate value.

| Traits           | Gener-                           | Branches/plant   | 1000-seed<br>Weight | Capsules/plant   | Yield/plant      |
|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Seeds/capsule    | F <sub>3</sub><br>F <sub>4</sub> | -0.049<br>-0.137 | 0.179<br>-0.191     | 0.122<br>0.202   | 0.094<br>0.406   |
| Branches/plant   | F <sub>3</sub><br>F <sub>4</sub> |                  | -0.548<br>-0.205    | 0.419<br>0.310   | 0.173<br>0.120   |
| 1000-seed weight | F <sub>3</sub><br>F <sub>4</sub> |                  |                     | -0.559<br>-0.509 | -0.464<br>-0.254 |
| Capsules/plant   | F <sub>3</sub><br>F <sub>4</sub> |                  |                     | 1                | 0.724<br>0.756   |

Table 4. Genotypic correlation coefficients among main traits of sesame in theF3 and F4 generations

Thus, it could be concluded that selection for higher number of capsules per plant would lead to an improvement in seed yield, since both traits showed the highest correlation coefficients at both phenotypic and genotypic levels. Mishra and Yadav (1997) reported similar conclusion.

### **Expected correlated response**

The effectiveness of single- trait selection in improving seed yield and correlated traits was measured in terms of predicted response to selection in the  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  segregating populations of sesame (Table 5). Noteworthy is that the expected correlated responses are relatively similar, . particularly in sign, to genetic correlations since the genetic covariance was used in both calculations. Also, the expected correlated responses show, in general, that selection for a given character (yield as an example) should affect a second character in about the same way that selection for the second character should affect yield. The same relationships likely would hold for characters other than yield.

Expected correlated response for each trait was expressed as % of expected gain from direct selection of this trait. Results of Table 5 indicated that selection for increased capsules/plant was expected to increase yield/plant by 75.2 and 77.4 % in the  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  generations, respectively, of direct selection for yield itself. Also, selection for capsules/plant resulted in a correlated response equal to 46.7 and 37.7 % as well as 15.9 and 23.6 % of direct selection for branches/plant and seeds/capsule in the  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  generations, respectively. However, negative response in seed index was noticed. These results are consistent with the genotypic correlation coefficients recorded among a fore-mentioned traits (Table 4).

Table 5. Expected gain from direct selection (diagonal) in the  $F_3$  and  $F_4$ segregating populations of sesame in absolute units of measurements for each trait and correlated responses in other traits, as % of expected gain from direct selection of these traits (above and below diagonal)

| Character         | Gener-<br>ation       | Capsules/<br>plant | Branches/<br>plant | 1000- seed<br>weight | Seeds/<br>capsule | Seed yield/<br>Plant |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Capsules/plant    | F <sub>3</sub>        | 77.1               | 46.7               | -62.9                | 15.9              | 75.2                 |
| Capsules/plant    | F₄                    | 48.1               | 37.7               | -53.1                | 23.6              | 77.4                 |
| Branches/plant    | F <sub>3</sub>        | 38.4               | 1.07               | -56.5                | -5.8              | 16.4                 |
| branches/plant    | <b>F</b> <sub>4</sub> | 25.2               | 0.53               | 17.2                 | -13.0             | 13.2                 |
| 1000              | F <sub>3</sub>        | -49.4              | -53,1              | <u>0.62</u>          | 20.6              | -42.7                |
| 1000- seed weight | $F_4$                 | -48.9              | -24.5              | 0.64                 | -21.4             | -25.0                |
| Candalaamanla     | <b>F</b> <sub>3</sub> | 9.3                | -4.1               | 15.3                 | 5.3               | 7.5                  |
| Seeds/capsule     | F4                    | 17.4               | -14.3              | -17.0                | 6.4               | 35.6                 |
| Seed yield/       | <b>F</b> <sub>3</sub> | 69.4               | 17.8               | -50.0                | 11.9              | <u>8.5</u>           |
| plant             | F4                    | 74.0               | 11.3               | -26.6                | 46.4              | 6.8                  |

Similar trend was realized in respect to selection for yield *per se* which exhibited positive influence on capsules/plant (69.4 and 74.0%), branches/plant (17.8 and 11.3%), seeds/capsule (11.9 and 46.4%) in both the  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  generations, respectively, and had negative effect on seed index.

Selection for increased branches/plant is expected to increase capsules/plant by 38.4 and 25.2 %, and yield/plant by 16.4 and 13.2 % in the  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  generations, respectively, but with negative effect on seed index and seeds/ capsule in both generations.

Selection for seed index had negative influence on other traits in both generations except seeds/capsule in the  $F_3$  generation in which positive correlated response (20.6 %) was recorded. Selection for seeds/capsule showed positive correlated response with capsules/plant and yield/plant in both generations and with seed index in the  $F_3$  generation, whereas negative effect was observed on branches/plant.

From the above- mentioned results it could be concluded that the maximum improvement in seed yield was expected when selection for increased capsules/plant was practiced in both segregating generations, which may be attributed to the high positive genotypic correlation between both traits. This conclusion was previously reached by Mishra and Yadav (1998) who stated that to achieve a maximum gain through selection in the segregating populations of sesame, maximum weight should be given to the number of capsules per plant.

# Efficiency of pedigree selection

The efficiency of pedigree selection in early segregating populations resulting from crosses between sesame genotypes, as practiced in this study, was evaluated by estimating the actual gain in seed yield of  $F_4$  lines from selection for a higher number of capsules among  $F_2$  plants and within  $F_3$  lines.

The actual gain in seed yield for  $F_4$  lines derived from each cross was calculated as the difference between the mean lines and either mean parents of the cross or the best parents over all others, and expressed as percentages (Table 6).

|                  |        |      | Actual gain |       |             |                    |
|------------------|--------|------|-------------|-------|-------------|--------------------|
| Crosses          | Number | Mean | Range       | GCV % | %of parents | %of best<br>parent |
| Giza 32 x Mut.8  | 6      | 25.3 | 22.5 - 30.9 | 8.01  | 14.0        | 3.27               |
| Giza 32 x Mut 48 | 9      | 22.5 | 19.7 - 24.2 | 2.61  | 1.4         | -8.2               |
| Giza 32 x EUL92  | 11     | 23.2 | 20.6 - 27.0 | 7.96  | 4.5         | -5.3               |
| Giza 32 x EXM90  | 9      | 24.0 | 17.0 - 31.6 | 17.14 | 8.1         | -2.0               |
| Mut.8 x EUL92    | 11     | 29.1 | 23.4 - 35.0 | 10.70 | 31.1        | 18.8               |
| Mut.8 x EXM90    | 7      | 29.6 | 24.1 - 38.1 | 14.50 | 33.3        | 20.8               |
| Mut.48 x EUL92   | 11     | 29.2 | 25.5 - 35.3 | 10.94 | 31.5        | 19.2               |
| Mut.48 x EXM90   | 14     | 26.2 | 18.8 - 31.3 | 10.93 | 18.0        | 6.9                |
| EUL92 x EXM90    | 11     | 28.0 | 23.9 - 31.6 | 2.41  | 26.1        | 14.3               |

Table 6. Actual gain in seed yield of F<sub>4</sub> lines from selection for capsule numbers among F<sub>2</sub> plants and within F<sub>3</sub> derived lines of sesame, in % of mean parents and the best parent.

Results of Table 6 revealed that the actual gain in seed yield differed between lines that derived from one cross to another. The highest gain was noted for lines, as a group, resulting from the cross (Mut.8 x EXM90) which recorded seed yield increase of 33.3% and 20.8% as compared to mean parents and the best parent, respectively. Comparable responses were also realized for the selected lines derived from crosses (Mut.48 x EUL92), (Mut.8 x EUL92), and (EUL92 x EXM90) that showed actual gain in seed yield/plant estimated by 31.5%, 31.1% and 26.1% as compared to the mean parents as well as 19.2%, 18.8% and 14.3 % as compared to the best parent, respectively. Lines derived from other crosses like (Mut.48 x EXM90) and (Giza 32 x Mut.8) exhibited positive actual gain but with lower magnitudes (6.9% and 3.27 %, respectively) relative to the best parent. These lines, particularly that showed high positive actual gain in seed yield, could be valuable sources for yield improvement of sesame. Moreover, further improvement could be achieved by continual selection among and/or within lines in the next segregating generations since they had high means of yield/plant and the upper limit of range and GCV are still high for most of these lines.

Finally, it is evident from this study that number of capsules/plant showed wide variability, high GCV values and the highest phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients with seed yield/plant in both  $F_3$  and  $F_4$ generations. It exhibited also high heritability coupled with high genetic advance. Moreover, selection for such trait was expected to improve yield/plant as much as 75% of direct selection for yield itself in both segregation generations. Moreover, the actual gain due to selection for a higher number of capsules/plant among  $F_2$  plants and within  $F_3$  lines resulting in seed yield increase  $cf F_4$  line means up to 33.3% and 20.8% over the mean parents and the best parent, respectively. For these reasons, it is feasible that number of capsules/plant could be successfully used as a selection criterion for seed yield improvement in the segregating populations of sesame.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, M. E. (1988). Genetic studies in sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Oil Crops Newsletter, 5: 82-83.
- AL-Jibouri, H. A., P. A. Miller and H. F. Robinson (1958). Genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in an Upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. Agron. J., 50: 633-636.
- Allard, R. W. (1960). Principles of plant breeding. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, p. 92-94.
- Ashri, A. (1998). Sesame breeding. Plant Breeding Review, 16: 179-228.
- Burton, G. W. (1952). Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proc 6<sup>th</sup> Int. Grassland Cong., 1: 277-283.
- Dixit, R. K., P. D. Saxena and L. R. Phatia (1970). Estimation of genotypic variability of some quantitative characters in ground-nut. Indian J. Agric. Sci., 40: 197.
- Eckebil, J. P., W. M. Ross, C. O. Gardner and J. W. Maranville (1977). Heritability estimates, genetic correlations, and predicted gains from S<sub>1</sub> progeny tests in three grain sorghum random mating populations. Crop Sci., 17: 373-377.
- Ganesh, S. K. and M. Sakila (1999). Association analysis of single plant yield and its yield contributing characters in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Sesame and Safflower Newsletter, 14: 15-18.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, p. 424-457.
- HobAllah, A. A. (2000). Estimates of heterosis, combining ability and type of gene action for seed yield and its components in seven parent diallel crosses of sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25 (11): 6627-6642.

HobAllah, A. A. and Manal M. Salem (1999). Yielding ability and morphological characteristics of ten improved mutants of sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Proc. 1<sup>st</sup> Pl. Breed. Conf., Egypt. J. Plant Breed., 3: 267-279.

- **Ibrahim, A. F.** (1994). Selection for potential yield, oil quality, and disease tolerance from induced mutations of sesame and safflower. Proc. 1<sup>st</sup> FAO/IAEA Res. Coord. Mtg., induced mutations for sesame improvement, IAEA, Vienna, p. 23-28.
- Ibrahim, A. F., D. A. EL-Kadi, A. K. Ahmed and S. A. Shrief (1983). Interrelationships and path coefficient analysis for some characters in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Zeitschrift Fur Acker und Pflanzenbau, 152 (6): 456-459.
- Ibrahim, A. F., F. M. EL-Rayes, A.I. Ragab and H. N. EL-Rassas (1984). The use of stepwise regression analysis in determining the contribution of characters related to seed yield and oil content in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> Mediterranean Conf. Genet., Cairo, p. 235-246.
- Jarwar, A. D., A. Hameed Ansari and M. I. Lashari (1998). Genetic analysis of some quantitative characters in sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Sesame and Safflower Newsletter, 13: 43-48.
- Johnson, H. W., H. F. Robinson and R. E. Comstock (1955). Estimates of Genotypic and phenotypic variability in soybeans. Agron. J., 47: 314-318.
- Kandasamy, G., V. Mancharan and S. Tangavelu (1991). Variability of metric traits and character association in sesame in two seasons. Sesame and Safflower Newsletter, 6: 31-35.
- Kang, C. W. (1997). Breeding sesame for disease, shatter resistance and high yielding varieties through induced mutations. Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> FAO/IAEA Res. Coord. Mtg., induced mutations for sesame improvement, IAEA, Vienna, p. 48-57.
- Mishra, A. K. and L. N. Yadav (1997). Variability, heritability and genetic advance for different populations in sesame. Sesame and Safflower Newsletter, 12: 80-83.

Misbra, A. K. and L. N. Yadav (1998). Association analysis of different populations of sesame. Sesame and Safflower Newsletter, 13: 55-62.

Murty, G. S. S. (1997). Induced mutations for the improvement of sesame and hybrid seed production. Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> FAO/IAEA Res. Coord. Mtg.,

induced mutations for sesame improvement, IAEA, Vienna, p. 31-38.

- Osman, H. G. and M. O. Khidir (1974). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in sesame. Exp. Agric., 10: 105-112.
- Padmavathi, N. (1997). Genetic variability for seed yield and its component characters in sesame. Sesame and Safflower Newsletter, 2: 64-65.
- Rajaravindran, G., M. Kingshlin and N. Shunmagavalli (2000). Heritability and genetic advance in sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Sesame and Safflower Newsletter, 15: 23-25.
- Ramanujam, S. and D. K. Thirumalachari (1967). Genetic variability of certain characters in red papper (*Capsicum annum* L.). Mysore J. Agric. Sci., 1: 30-36 (C.F. Kandasamy *et al* 1991).
- Reddy, M. B., M. V. Reddy and B. S. Rana (1984). Combining ability studies in sesame. Indian J. Genet., 44 (2): 314-318.
- Sharma, S. M. (1994). Utilization of national collections of sesame in India. In: R. K. Arora and K. W. Riley (eds.), sesame biodiversity in Asia: conservation, evaluation and improvement. IPGRI, New Delhi, p. 135-156.
- Shrief, S. A. (1997). An analysis of genetic variance components in populations of sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 24 (3): 407-420.

- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1980). Statistical methods. 7<sup>th</sup> ed., Iowa Stat. Univ. press, Ames, Iowa, U.S.A., p. 225-273.
- Tangavel, P., K. Saravanan, P. Seuthil-Kumar, Y. Anbuselvan and J. Ganesan (2000). Variability, heritability and genetic advance in sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Sesame and Safflower Newsletter, 15: 19-22.
- Zhao, Y. Z. (1994). Sesame and varietal improvement in China. In: R. K. Arora and K. W. Riley (eds.), sesame biodiversity in Asia: conservation, evaluation and improvement. IPGRI, New Delhi, p. 57-64.

تحسين محصول البذور بالانتخاب نعدد الكبسولات في الأجيال الامعزالية

المبكرة لهجن السمسم

عادل عبد المنعم محمد حب الله قسم المحاصيل- كلية الزراعة- جامعة القاهرة

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمحطة التجارب والبحوث الزراعية بكلبة الزراعة جامعة القاهرة يسالجيزة على عدد ٨٩ سلالة منتخبة من نسل تسعة هجن بين خمسة آباء مختلفة من المسمسم حيث اجرى الانتخاب لزبادة عدد الكيسولات على النبات. وكان الهدف هو تقدير الإستجابة الفعلية مــن الانتخـاب لزيـادة عـدد كبسولات النبات على تحسين المحصول في الأجيال الانعزالية المبكرة بالإضافة إلى تقدير التباين الوراثـــي، الارتباط المظهري والورائي، كفاءة التوريت وكذا بيان التأثير المباشر وغير المباشر للانتخاب لصفات النمو والمحصول. ولهذا الغرض تم تقييم السلالات المنتخبة مع آبانها في تصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوانية في ثلاثة مكررات وذلك في الجيلين الثالث والرابع خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٠، ٢٠٠١. وقد أظهرت النتائج وجسود فروق عالية المعنوية بين سلالات الجيل الثالث والرابع لكل الصفات موضع الدراسة وكسان متوسسط هدده السلالات (مجتمعة لكل جيل) أعلى من متوسط الآباء الخمسة التي استنبطت منها وذلك لصفات طول المنطقة الثمرية، عدد الكبسولات/النبات، محصول النبات الفردي. وقد سجلت أعلى قيم للتباين الورائي في الجيلين التالث والرابع لصفة عدد الأفرع/النيات يليها ارتفاع أول كبسولة، عدد الكبسو لات/النيات، طول الكبسولة ثم محصول النبات الفردي. كما أشارت النتائج أن ثلاثة من هذه الصفات وهي عدد الكبسو لات/النبات وطول الكبسولة ومحصول النبات الفردى حققت أعلى كفاءة توريث مع أعلى نسبة منوية للتحسين الوراشي المتوقع من الانتخاب في الجيلين الثالث والرابع دلالة على إمكانية التحسين الإضافي لتلك الصفات. ومن ناحية أخرى سجلت صفة عدد الكيسو لات/النبات أعلى قيم للارتباط المظهري والوراش مسع صفة المحصول للنبات بالإضافة إلى أن أعلى استجابة متوقعة مرتبطة بتحسين محصول البذور تحققت عند الانتخاب لهذه الصفة في الجيلين الثالث والرابع. علاوة على ذلك فإن الاستجابة الفعلية من ممارسة الانتخاب لزيادة عدد الكبسولات في الجبل الثاني والثالث نتج عنها زيادة في متوسط محصول البذور لسلالات الجبل الرابع وصلت إلى ٣٣ ٣٣ %، ٨. ٢٠ % عند المقارنة بمتوسط الآباء ومتوسط أحسن أب على التوالي. وبناء على ما تقدم فإن نتسائج هذه الدراسة تشير إلى إمكانية استخدام صفة عدد الكبسولات على النبات كمعيار للانتحاب غسير المباشسر لتحسين محصول البذور في الأجيال الانعزالية المبكرة من هجن السمسم.

المجلة المصرية لتربية النبات ٢ (١): ٢٩-٨٣ (٢٠٠٢).