21

Phosphorus Nutrition of Barley Plant as Affected by Zinc, Manganese and Organic Matter Application to Saline Soils

E.A. Abou Hussien, M.M. Shehata, and M.A. El-Sherief*

Soil Sci. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Minofiya University, and *Soil and Water Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Centre, Giza, Egypt.

A LLUVIAL saline soil samples were collected from surface layer of El-Kadesia Village; Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. A pot experiment was carried out in complete randomized blocks design. Pots were treated with superphosphate or bone powder as phosphatic fertilizers at 0,30,60 and 90 ppm P_2O_5 . Zn (0,4 and 8ppm), Mn (0,4 and 8ppm) or farmyard manure (FYM) (0,2 and 4%) was added alone or in combination with P-fertilizers. Barley plants were grown as test crop.

Application of P-fertilizers alone or together with either Zn, Mn or FYM resulted in a clear increase of barley dry weight. This increase for plants treated with superphosphate was more than those treated with bone powder. The response of barley plants for added P-depended on the P source, P level and other treatments under study where the high response was obtained in the plants treated with superphosphate at 90ppm P_2O_5 with 4% FYM. The lower response was found in the treatment of bone powder at all added levels. N,P,K,Zn and Mn concentrations and their uptake by barley plants varied according to the experimental treatments.

Keywords: Phosphorus, Zinc, Manganese, Organic matter, Salinity.

Cereals are considered one of the most important crops in many countries of the world. In this respect, barley is one of the most valuable cereals. It is cultivated in almost countries of the world, expect the tropical regions. Barley is a major source of food for large number of people living in the cool and semi-arid regions. Barley grain contents 12.5% moistrure, 11.5% albuminolds, 74%

carbohydrates, 1.3% fat, 3.9% crude fiber and 1.5% ash. Barley thrives in salt affected soils during the phases of the reclamation of these soils.

Phosphorus is very important element to plant growth and plays a key role in metabolic processes such as the conversion of sugar into starch and cellulose (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987). Thompson and Troch (1979) showed that, P is needed in cell divisions, at formation, transformation of starch, seed germination, synthesis of ucleoproteins and some other vital processes. Haldar and Mandal (1981) and El-Shafie (1994) studied the effect of P and Zn application in different soils on the growth and nutrients uptake of rice and broad bean, they found that application of P and Zn significantly increased the dry matter yield of shoots, grains and roots. Also, Shama (1996) studied the effect of P and Zn.

The present work was carried out to study the response of barley plants (*Hordeum vulgar* L) grown on alluvial saline soil to phosphatic nutrition as affected by P sources, and application rates as alone or in combinations with different rates of Zn, Mn and FYM. Also, the different effects of previous treatments on plant growth and its chemical composition was determined.

Material and Methods

Surface soil samples (0-30 cm) representing an alluvial saline soil were collected from Al-Kadesia Village, AI-Hamoul Region, Kafr Bl-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt. The soil samples were air dried, ground, mixed, sieved through a 2 mm sieve. Physical and chemical properties of this soil and its content of some nutrients were determined according to Jackson (1973) and Cottenie *et al.* (1982). The obtained data were recorded in Table 1 a,b and c.

Plastic pots of 15 cm diameter and 17 cm depth were used in this study. Two kg of soil sample were placed in each pot. The randomized complete blocks design was employed in this study, where three replicates were used for each treatment. Pots were divided to four groups. First group of pots (24) was divided into two subgroups. One subgroup was treated with superphosphate (15.5% P_2O_5) as a mineral P source at,0.30,60 and 90 ppm P_2O_5 , while the other subgroup was treated with bone powder (4.22% P_2O_5) as an organic P source at 0,30,60 and 90 ppm P_2O_5 . The second group of pots (48) was treated with the previous mentioned treatments of phosphatic fertilizers and $ZnSO_47H_2O$ at levels of 0,4 and 8 ppm Zn. The third group (48 pots) was treated with the previously treatments of phosphatic fertilizers and Mn SO₄ 7H₂O at levels of 0,4

and 8 ppm Mn. The fourth group (48 pots) was treated with the previous treatment of phosphatic fertilizers as well as farmyard manure (FYM) at levels of 0,2 and 4%. The chemical analysis for the used FYM was done according to Cottenie *et al* (1982) and the obtained data were recorded in Table 2. All phosphatic fertilizers and FYM treatments were added before cultivation.

All pots were fertilized at the recommended rates of N and K fertilizers. The N was added as $NH_4 NO_3$ (33,5) N) at a rate of 250 mg $NH_4 NO_3$ / pot (125 kg / Fed.), while the K was added as K_2SO_4 (48% K_2O) at a rate of 200 mg K_2SO_4 / pot (100 kg/ fed.).

TABLE 1. Physical and chemical characteristics of the studied soil and its content of some nutrients. a- Physical characteristics

Field	Partic	al size distrib	ution (%)		Texture
capacity (%)	Coarse sand	Fine sand	Silt	Clay	grade
38.8	10.10	12.20	28.86	48.84	clay

b- Chemical characteristics

-20	5 susp	50 3		Solu	ble ions	in sati	urated	soil extract(meq/100 g soils)						
EC dSm- at 25 °C	H1:2. water	Total Ca	0.C %		Cati	ons			A	nions				
	dilos	Ê		Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Na ⁺	κ⁺	CO ₃ 2.	нсо3.	Cr	\$O4 ²			
13.4	7,34	3.08	0.96	26.5	18.9	63.3	25.3	0.0	1.5	99.4	33.1			

c- Macro-and micronutrients

A vaila	ible macronuti	riens	Zn and Mn ext	racted by DTPA
N(ppm)	P(ppm)	K(ppm)	Mn(ppm)	Zn(ppm)
41.15	4.22	482	2.15	0.28

TABLE 2. The chemical analysis of the used Farm Yard Manure (FYM).

OM %	Total-N	Total-P	Total-K	Zn and Mn extr	acted by DTPA
	%	%	%	Mn(ppm)	Zn(ppm)
42.22	2.34	0.52	1,10	0.75	5,75

Each pot was planted with 10 grains of barley, and the water was added at the field capacity of the soil every two days. After 11 days from planting, the seedlings were thinned to 5 plants. All pots were fertilized with the previous rates of N and K after 20 days from planting. The treatments with either Zn or Mn were carried out after 7 days from previous treatments. After 60 days from planting all vegetative organs of plants were harvested at soil surface, washed with distilled water, air dried, oven dried at 70°C until the weights became constant, weighted and ground (0.5g) of dried plant sample was digested with 5 ml of concentrated H_2SO_4 on a hot sandy plate at 270°C. Repeated quantities of HCLO₄ were added until the digest was clear. The digest was diluted to 100 ml with distilled water (Cottenie, 1980). N,P,K,Zn and Mn were determined in the plant digest according to Cottenie (1980) and Cottenie *et al.* (1982).

Results and Discussion

Dry matter yield

Data recorded in Table 3 show that both phosphatic fertilizers increased dry matter yield of barley plants (g/pot) compared with the control. This may be attributed to the important role of P on the roots growth and proliferation of plants which increase nutrients uptake and also to P role in plant metabolism, which increase nutrients absorption leading to an increase in dry weights (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987). Similar results were obtained by El-Shafie (1994) and Mersal (1996). Results also show that either absolute values of barley plants dry matter or its relative increase (%) obtained with superphosphate were higher than those with bone powder additions at the same level. These increases of plants dry matter are parallel to P and other nutrients availability in the studied fertilizers (Faiyad, 1987). These findings are in harmony with those obtained by Fox *et al.* (1986).

The application of Zn and Mn individually increased dry matter yield of barley plants (Table 3),but the relative increase of dry weight with Zn additions was higher than those with Mn additions at the same levels. The positive effect of Zn on plant growth may be due to its effect as a material component of some enzymes or regulatory for the others, Zn has an essential role in tryptophane synthtase and metabolism (Romheld and Marshchner, 1991). Also the encouraging effect of Mn on plant growth could be explained through its role as an essential component of organize and phosphotransferase (Clarkson and

	adided	Con	troi		Zn (ppni)					FYM (%)							
P-Source	P ₂ O ₅ ppm	C)	4			8	Mean			Ę		Mean		2		4.	Mear
		g/pot	R.I	g/pot	КI	g/pot	R.I		g/po:	<u>R.</u> i	zipot	R.I		g/pot	R.1	g/pot	RI	
Control	0	0.855	0	1.065	24.56	1.233	44,21	1.051	1.013	18.25	0.14đ	<u>34 04</u>	1.004	0,966	12.98	L.110	29.82	0.977
це е	30	1.215	42.11	1.455	70.18	1.620	89.4 -	1.430	L32C	54.39	1.365	:9 65	1.300	1.263	47.72	1.3411	56.84	1.273
Super osphat	60	1,416	65.61	1,569	83.51	1.713	100.35	1.567	1.425	66.67	1.47e	12.63	1.39	1,350	57,89	1.443	68.77	1.403
Super phosphate	90	1.470	71.93	1.590	85.96	1.845	115.79	1.635	1.535	79.30	68	ə 6 49	1,561	1.500	75.44	1 593	86.32	1. 521
	Mean	1.239		1.420		1.604			1.322		1417			1.270		1.372		
Bone powder	30	0.975	14.04	1.119	30.88	1,236	44.56	1.110	1.065	24,56	1.145	34.04	1.026	1.026	20.00	1.134	32.63	1.045
Bone	60	1.056	23,51	1.305	52.63	1.386	62.11	1.249	1.194	39.65	1.260	47.37	1.170	1.170	36.84	1.215	42.11	1.147
щб	90	1.101	28.77	1.440	68.42	1.530	78.95	1.357	1.305	\$2 .63	1.371	60.35	1.259	1,245	45.61	1.323	54.74	1.223
	Mean	0,997		1.232		1.346			1.144		1.231	,,		1.102		1.196		·
L.S.D at 5%		Zn P-le P-so P-ie X	P-so	0.0388 0.0448 0.0317 0.0634					Min p-te P-so P-te X	P-so	0.0436 0.0504 0.0356 0.0712			FYM P-le P-so P-le X		0.0284 0.0328 0.0232 0.0464		

TABLE 3. Dry matter yield (g/pot) and relative increase (%) of barley plants as affected by different additions of P, Zn, Mn and FYM

ł

PHOSPHORUS NUTRITION OF BARLEY

Ł

: . .

Hanson, 1980). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Faiyad (1992), El-Shafie (1994), Mahgoub (1995) and Shama (1996). Results in Table 3 also show that the interaction effect between either Zn or Mn and P on barley dry matter production was positive, where these weights obtained when Zn or Mn applied with P were more than those found with individual additions of Zn,Mn and P at different experimental treatments. It could be remarked that combined effect between both P source and Zn on the dry weight of barley plants was more than those of P and Mn. The absolute values of the dry weight of barley plants treated with superphosphate were higher than those obtained for plants treated with bone powder at the same level of applied Zn,Mn and P. The relative increase (%) of dry weight of barley plants followed the same trend obtained with the dry weights, where this order (with application of superphosphate or bone powder) was Zn + P > Mn + P > P > Zn > Mn. These results agreed with those obtained by Faiyad (1992), El-Shafie (1994), Mahgoub (1995), and Shama (1996).

Data also show that, addition of FYM at a rate of 2 and 4% alone or with P caused markedly increases of dry weight (Table 3) where the relative increase (%) of barley dry weights increased from 12.95% for the treatments of 2% FYM to 86.32% when the slant treated with 4% FYM and 90 ppm P_2O_5 as super phosphate together. These increases can be attributed to the improving effect of organic matter on physical and chemical soil properties and its content of the available nutrients (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987). The obtained dry weights and their relative increases of plants treated with superphosphate and FYM together were higher than those obtained with bone powder and FYM at the same addition levels of P and FYM. These results are in agreement with those obtained by El-Koumey *et al.* (1993 a), Radwan *et al.* (1993) and El-Fiki (1994).

Macronutrients

Data in Table 4 show that N and P concentrations and their uptake along with K uptake (mg/pot) of barley plants grown on alluvial saline soil increased by increasing P applied from 0 to 90 ppm P_2O_5 . The relative increase (RI) of N, P and K uptake (%) resulted from superphosphate application which was higher than those obtained with bone powder. This may be due to the effect of phosphatic fertilizers on plant growth and its absorption of nutrients. The superiority effect of superphosphate may be attributed to its solubility, which was more than that of bone powder. The decreases of K- concentration may be

ខ	1	1 E	. (Contr	ol	Г .		Zn (j	ipm)					Mn (ppm)					FY№	í (%)		
N.	6	element					4			8			4			8			2			4	
P-source	(Added P105)ppm	The el	*	nug/ pot	R1	%	ang/ pat	R.J.	*	weg∕ p⊶x	R.E	*	616/ PCX	R.E	*	nuy∳ pot	R.E	*	my/ pol	R.E	*	ng/ pol	R.E.
ONNO	0	N	1,83	15.7	0.0	1.95	20.8	32.7	2.11	26.0	66,3	1.87	18.9	20,8	2.05	23.5	50.1	2.22	21.5	37.1	2,28	25.3	61.1
	1	P	0.30	2.6	0.0	0.38	4.1	56,4	0.36	4.4	69.1	0 37	3.7	43.2	0.29	3.3	28.2	0.40	3.9	49.0	0,41	4.6	75,1
te		к	1.52	13.0	0.0	1.65	17.6	35.2	t.72	19.7	91,8	1.60	16.2	24.5	1,55	17.8	36.6	1.73	16,7	28.5	1.88	20.9	60.5
phosphate	30	N	1.98	24.1	53.7	2.03	29.5	88.8	2.15	34.8	122.6	1.96	25.9	65.3	2.10	28.7	83.2	2.25	28,4	81.6	2.36	31.7	1.22
Ξ.	1.0	Р	0.40	4.8	85,3	0.45	6.56	153.3	0.42	6.8	161.4	0.43	5,7	119.3	0.39	5.3	106.2	0.48	6.0	133.2	0.50	6.6	156
5		ĸ	1 42	12.3	32,7	1 50	22.6	75.3	1.55	245	88.2	1 48	19.5	50.3	1.46	19.9	53.3	1.67	21.1	62.2	1.75		80.5
2		l"	1 72	14.3	J.,		22.0		2.37	245	00.2	1 70	17.3	2.00	1.49	12.2	13.1	1.07	21.1	V2.1		1.1.5	00.1
Ξ.	60	N	2.05	29.0	85.5	2.08	32.6	108.6	2.21	36.1	13 09	Z.08	296	89.4	2.16	31.9	103.7	2.27	3.07	959	2.40	34.6	121.
		Р	0.46	6.5	151.4	0.52	8.1	212.0	0.59	10.0	286.9	0.49	7.0	168.3	0,46	6.7	159.5	0.51	7.3	181.5	0,56	8.0	210.
Super		К	1.28	18.1	39.5	[.40	23.4	79.9	1.49	24.0	84.8	37	19,5	50.2	1.32	19.5	49.9	1.54	21,8	67.6	1.63	21.5	80.9
Ę	90	N	2.05	30,1	92.6	2.10	33.4	113.4	2.25	41.5	165.2	2.08	31,9	103.8	2,20	36.6	136.2	2.27	34.1	117.6	2,42	38.6	146.
5		P	0.52	7.6	195.0	0.57	9.1	249.8	0.54	9.9	281.9	054	8.3	220.9	0.51	8.6	230.9	0.59	8.9	242.9	0.62	9.8	278
• 1		ĸ	1.25	18.4	41.4	1.3Z	25.9	99.4	1.36	24.4	87.3	1 28	19.6	50.9	1.25	20.0	53.9	1.42	21,3	63.9	1.48	23,6	81.4
	L	N	1.98	24.7		z.04	29.1		2.18	346		2.00	26.5		21.3	35.6		2.23	28,7		2,37	32.8	
M	Acan P		0.42	5.4		0.98	6.9		0.48	78		0.46	6.2		0.41	6.0		0.50	6.5		0.52	7.3	
		ĸ	1.37	16.7		1.97	22.4		1.53	23.1		1.93	18.7		1.90	19.3		1.59	20.2			22.9	
	[TT	-																				
	30	N	1.87	18.2	16.5	1.94	21.7	38,7	1.99	24.6	57.2	1.90	20.2	29.3	1.90	31.8	39.1	2,10	21.6	3,77	2.15	24.5	56.5
5	ļ	P	0.33	3.3	25,5	0.37	3.7	44.0	0.35	4.3	66.0	0.36	3.8	46.7	0.33	3.8	47.5	0.37	3.8	46.0	0.38	44	66,S
Ū,	1	к	1.50	14.6	12.5	1.58	18,7	43.8	1.67	19.5	50.2	E 55	16 S	27.0	1.50	17.2	32.2	1,67	17.1	31.8	1.80	20.4	57 0
powder	60	N	T.92	20.3	29.6	2.00	26.1	66.0	2.08	28.6	84.2	1 98	23.6	51.1	2.05	25.8	65.1	2.18	25.5	63.0	2.25	27.3	747
	ł	P	0.35	3.7	43.6	0.40	5.2	100.4	0.37	5.1	95.4	0.37	4.4	71.4	0.35	4.4	70.3	0.41	4.7	83.0	0.92	5.1	98 L
Bone	i	к	1.35	(5.3	17.4	1.52	20.6	58.6	1.58	21.1	62 (1.48	17.7	35.9	1.41	17.8	36.7	1.59	18.6	43.1	1.70	20,7	58 9
B	90	N	1.95	21.5	37.2	2.00	28.8	89.0	2.18	33.4	113.1	2.00	26.1	66.8	2.10	28.8	89.0	2,30	28.6	83.1	2.33	30.8	97.0
	1 7	P	0.39	4.2	63.7	0.43	6.2	137.8	0.41	6.2	139.2	0.41	5.4	107.7	0.37	5.1	95.8	0.43	5.3	105.8	0.44	5.8	122
	<u> </u>	ĸ	1.29	15.7	20.8	1.38	20.5	\$7,3	1.43	21.1	62,4	1.36	17.8	36.5	1.32	18.1	39.2	1.43	17.8	36.9	1.55	20.5	57,8
						1.07			2.00												3.34		
M	ean	N P	1.89	18.9 3.5		1.97			2.09	28.2 5.0		1.94	22.1		2.03	27.5		22.0	24.3		2.26	27.2	
		ĸ	1.42	14.7		0.40	4.8		1.60	20.4	l l	0.38	4.3 17.0		0.34	42		0.40	4.4 17.6	1	1.73	4.9 20.6	
	пта Уа	المتتسلم		the second days and the se	: Relat				1.00	20.4		1.1.49	17.0		1,44	17.7		1.00	17.0		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	20.0	

TABLE 4. Effect of P, Zn, Mn and FYM* on N, P and K concentration (%) and uptake (mg/ pot) of barley plants .

Egypt. J. Soil Sci. 42, No. 2 (2002)

÷

due to the antagonism between P and K absorption by plants. These results are in agreement with those obtained by El-Koumey *et al.* (1993), El-Shafie (1994), Mersal (1996) and Shama (1996).

Results in Table 4 also show that N.P and K concentrations and their uptake (mg/pot) by barley plants increased by increasing Zn application from 4 to 8 ppm alone or combined with phosphatic fertilizers. The relative increase (RI) of N.P. and K uptake by barley plants treated with Zn alone was lower than those treated with Zn and P together. Also barley plants fertilized by superphosphate gave high relative increase of N,P and K uptake compared to those treated with bone powder. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Hemantarajan and Garge (1984), Sayed et al. (1984), El-Shafie (1994) and Mahgoub (1995). In addition, the presented data in Table 4 show that, both N and P concentrations, uptake of barley plants and their relative increase (RI) were increased by increasing applied Mn alone or with phosphatic fertilizers. This increase was very clear when Mn was added with P. The relative increase of N or P uptake by plants treated with superphosphate was more than those obtained by plants treated with bone powder at the same added levels of P and Mn. Application of Mn alone at 4 ppm slightly increased K concentration (%), but slightly decreased when Mn was added at 8 ppm. On the other hand, addition of Mn caused a marked increase in K uptake (mg/pot) by barley plants compared to untreated plants. K uptake and its relative increase markedly increased when P was applied with Mn together. This increase was very clear when barley plants were treated with superphosphate compared with those treated with bone powder. The effect of micronutrients on N,P and K content of barley plants can be discussed on the basis of the effect of these nutrients on the plant growth and their effective roles in different enzymatic functions. Also, the addition of micronutrients (Zn and Mn) makes the plants grow well, consequently the absorbing efficiency of plants increases (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987). Similar results were obtained by Faiyad (1992), El-Shafie (1994), Mahgoub (1995) and Shama (1996).

Application of FYM either alone (2 and 4%) or in combination with phosphatic fertilizers resulted in a marked increase in N,P and K- concentrations (%), and uptake (mg/pot) as well as their relative increase (RI) of barley plants in all experimental treatments (Table 4). These increases for barley plants treated with superphosphate were greater compared with those for plants treated with bone powder at every experimental treatment. This may be due to relatively high

availability of N,P and K in the added FYM and improving soil properties as affected by organic matter addition. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Abdel-Latif and Abdel-Fattah (1983), Radwan *et al.* (1993) and El-Fiki (1994).

Micronutrients

Data show that phosphorus application alone increased Zn uptake (ug/pot) by plants up to 30 ppm P_2O_5 (Table 5) then Zn uptake gradually decreased with increasing P addition. Also P application generally decreased Zn concentration in plants (ppm) compared with the untreated plants but the values of Zn concentration obtained for plants treated with superphosphate were lower than those obtained with bone powder at all levels of P. On the other hand, values of Zn uptake and its relative increase were relatively higher with superphosphate than those obtained with bone powder. This may be due to deposits caused by reactions between P and Zn and also, the decreases in the rate of transloction of Zn from roots (Abdel-Aziz *et al.*, 1982). Similar results were obtained by Megalah *et al.* (1993) and El-Shafie (1994).

Of course, Zn application alone (4 and 8 ppm Zn) resulted in an increase for both Zn concentration (ppm) and its uptake (ug/pot) by barley plants grown on alluvial saline soil. On the other hand, application of P and Zn together at different levels resulted in a marked decrease in Zn concentration (ppm), Zn uptake (ug/pot) and its relative increase (RI %) of barley plants compared to plants treated with Zn alone. Also the same data show that, increasing the level of applied Mn from 4 to 8 ppm either alone or in combination with phosphatic fertilizers resulted in a relative decrease of Zn concentration compared with the control. In contrast, Zn uptake and its relative increase for barley plants were relatively higher than those untreated plants, but both Zn uptake and its relative increase decreased with increasing Mn addition from 4 to 8 ppm either alone or in combination with P. This may be due to antagonism between cations or deposits caused by reactions with anions which caused less available Zn in soil. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Faiyad (1992) and Shama (1996).

Data in Table 5 show that, the application of Mn individually resulted in an increase of Mn concentration (ppm), Mn uptake (ug/pot) and its relative increase (%) for barley plants grown on alluvial saline soil. Application of P and Mn together resulted in a gradual decrease of Mn concentration with increasing the applied P levels at all rates of Mn addition. Mn uptake and its relative increase of barley plants increased with increasing Mn application at all levels of P. Also, Mn uptake and its relative increase obtained with superphosphate were more

Le .		<u> </u>		·										24	·····	· · · · · ·						
Soil	9	1	Ē		Contr	ol	ļ		Zn (ppm)			l		Mn ((ppm)				FYN	YM (%	
l Sci	SOURC	100	- Geme					4			8			4			8			2		
i. 42,	P-so	dd(50/14 puppy)	The	թրու	ug/ pot	R (*	ppm	ng/ pol	RI	Uber	ug/ pat	R.I	phur	ug/ pot	ŔĬ	ppn	ug/pot	R.t	ppus	սք/ թαι	R.I	ррие
, No		0	Zn	21.6	18.5	0.0	26.3	28.0	52.0	31.5	38.8	110.7	21.0	21,2	15.3	18.1	20.8	12.8	24.3	23.5	27.2	79.
0.2	hate		Min	55.5	47.5	0.0	67.2	71.6	50.8	62.1	77,7	63.8	74.5	75.3	58.7	81.7	93.6	97.3	70.2	67.8	42,9	74.:
N		30	Zn	18.1	22.0	19.3	22.7	33.0	78.8	26.3	42.6	131.2	15.1	20,0	8.3	13.7	18.7	-1.5	22.0	27.8	50,8	25.
(2002)	phos		Mn	60.1	73.0	53,9	65.6		101.2	58.2		98.7	71.3	94.1	98.4	78.2	106.7	125.0	63.4	86.6	82.1	69.
\sim	(C		6		1 1 1 1	10.7	I					1										

9	뢽	E I	(Contr	ol			Zn (ppm)					Mn ((ppm))				FYN	1 (%)		
	190	Ē					4			8			4			8			2			4	
P-source	udd(s()t4 puppy)	The element	րրո	ug/ pot	R (*	ppm	ng/ Pot	RI	lubar	ug/ pot	R.I	thus	ug/ pat	ŘI	ppn -	ug/pot	R.t	bhan	սք/ թαι	R.I	ррив	ug/ p <t< th=""><th>R.I</th></t<>	R.I
ate	0	Zn Mn	21,6 55.5	18,5 47,5	0.0 0.0	26.3 67.2	28.0 71.6	52.0 50.8	31.5 62.1	38.8 77,7	110.7 63.8	21.0 74.5	21,2 75,3	15.3 58.7	18.1 81.7	20.8 93.6	12.8 97.3	24.3 70.2	23.5 67.8	27.2 42.9	79,5 74,5	32.8 82.7	77.7 74.3
phosphate	30	Zn Mn	18.1 60.1	22.0 73.0	19.3 53.9	22.7 65.6	33.0 45.5	78.8 101.2	26.3 58.2	42.6 94,3	131.2 98.7	15.1 71,3	20,0 94.1	8.3 98.4	13.7 78.2	18.7 106.7	-1.5 125.0	22.0 63.4	27.8 86.6	50,8 82,1	25.1 69.8	31.7 43.6	82.9 97,3
Super pl	60	Zn Mn	11.6 57.8	16.5 81.8	10.7 72.5	17.7 59.8	27.7 93.8	50.7 97.7	24.3 56.8	41.7 97,5	126.4 105.4	9.1 69.2	13.0 98.6	-29.7 107.8	7.7 76.2	11.4 [12.5	-18.2 137.0	15.5 53.5	21.0 85.7	13,6 80,7	19,2 67,1	27.8 96.8	51.0 104.1
Ins	90	Zn Mn	8.2 42.3	12.0 82.2	-34.8 31.0	12.7 52.5	20.1 83.5	9.1 75.9	18,7 41,8	34.5 77.1	87.2 62.5	6.6 64.3	10.1 98.6	-44.9 107.7	5.11 68,1	8,6 114,4	-53.5 141.1	11.9 60.0	17.8 90.0	-3.6 89.7	16,1 63.5	25.6 101.2	38,7 113,2
Me	ean [,]	Zn Mn	14.9 53.9	17,2 66.4		19.8 63.5	27.2 86.1		25,2 55.0	39,4 86.7		13,0 69.8	16.1 92.0		11.2 76,1	14,9 106.8		18,4 65,5	22.5 83.5		22.5 68.8	30.0 93.6	
powder	30	Zn Mn	20 1 63.2	19.6 61.6	6.4 29.9	25.1 66.6	28.1 74.5	52.4 57.1	29,8 60,5	36.9 74.8	100,1 57.6	18.5 73.0	19.7 77.8	6.9 63.9	16.0 80.1	18.4 91.8	-0.4 93.5	24.1 69.5	29.7 71.3	34.2 50.3	28.7 73.2	32.6 33.0	76 7 74.9
	60	Zn Ma	14.2 60.7	14.9 64.1	-18.9 35 1	19.5 65.5	25.5 85.5	38.1 80,2	27,1 58,0	37.7 80.4	104.0 69,4	12.1 71.8	14.4 76.5	21.9 61.2	10,2 77,3	12.9 97.4	-30.1 105.3	8. J 661	21.2 77.7	15,0 63.7	25.0 71.9	30.4 87.4	60.0 84.1
Bone	90	Zn Mn	10.1 44.1	11.4 48.6	-38 4 23.2	14.9 57.3	21 9 82.5	16.0 73.9	23.3 48.4	35.5 74.1	93.0 56.1	9.3 72.2	12.2 99.2	-34.1 98.6	7.0 75.5	9.6 103.5	-47.9 118.2	12.3 61.9	15.3 77.1	-17.3 62.1	20.1 69.1	26.6 91.4	44.3 92.7
Me	an	Zn Mn	16.5 55.9	16.1 55.4		21.4 64.2	·25.7 78.5		27,9 57.5	35.4 76.7		15.2 72.9	16.9 80.9		12.8 78,7	13.0 96.6		19.7 67.0	21.2 73.5		25.8 72.2	30.6 92.7	

* : Farm Yard Manure ** : Relative Increase (%).

340

than those obtained with bone powder. On the other hand Mn concentration of barley plants treated with bone powder was more than that treated with superphosphate. This may be due to the different effects of the used P fertilizers on dry matter yield and on Mn availability in soil and its translocation through different parts of plant (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987 and Megalah *et al.*, 1993). These results are in harmony with those obtained by Faiyad (1992), Mahgoub (1995) and Shama (1996).

Zinc application alone at low level (4 ppm) resulted in an increase of Mn concentration (ppm) of barley plants compared to the control (Table 5), but slightly decreased with increasing rate of applied Zn from 4 to 8 ppm. Also, Zn application alone resulted in an increase of Mn uptake by barley plants compared with the untreated plants. Data also show that P and Zn application together, generally caused a gradual decrease in Mn concentration with increasing both Zn application from 4 to 8 ppm and P from 30 to 90 ppm P_2O_5 . Mn uptake by plants increased with increasing of added Zn compared with the control. The obtained values of Mn uptake with superphosphate application were relatively higher than those obtained with bone powder at all treatments under study. These findings are in agreement with those obtained by Mahgoub (1995) and Hassan (1996).

Also, data in Table 5 show that application of FYM alone caused a marked increase in concentration (ppm) and uptake of both Zn and Mn by barley plants compared with the untreated plants. Data also show that, the combination of FYM and P resulted in an increase of both Zn and Mn concentrations with increasing FYM addition, but gradually decreased with increasing P levels. Both Zn and Mn uptake and their relative increases increased with increasing both FYM and added P. These results were obtained with either superphosphate or bone powder application, but the values of Zn and Mn uptake and their relative increase obtained with either superphosphate or bone powder at all treatments under study. This trend resulted from the role of organic matter and its humic acids production in promoting the uptake of Zn and Mn by higher plants (Nour El-Dein, 1996). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Faiyad (1987), Megalab *et al.* (1993) and E1-Fiki (1994).

References

Abdel - Aziz, I.M., Mahmoud, M.H., Amin, M.A.A. and Ibrahim, I. Z. (1982) Effect of phosphorus and zinc application by different methods on broad bean plants (*Vicia faba*) in calcareous soil. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.* 9, 1.

- Abdel Latif, I.A. and Abdel-Fattah, K. S. (1983) The influence of different organic residues and inorganic phosphate fertilizers on growth and nutrients content of barley (Hordium vulgar). Egypt. J. Soil Sci. 23 (2), 171.
- Clarkson, D. T. and Hanson, J.B. (1980) The mineral nutrition of higher plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology, 31, 239.
- Cottenie, A. (1980) Soil and plant testing as basis of fertilizer recommendation. F.A.O.Soils Bull., 38 / 2, FAO, Rome.
- Cottenie, A., Verloo, M., Kikens, L., Velghe, G. and Camerlynch, R. (1982) "Analytical Problems and Methods in Chemical Plant and Soil Analysis." Handbook. A. Cottenie (Ed.), Gent, Belgium.
- El-Fiki, S.F. (1994) Effect of some macro-and micronutrients on yield and its biochemical components of soybean. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric, Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- El-Koumey, B.Y., Abou Hussien, E.A. and El-Shafie, F.S. (1993) Influence of phosphatic fertilizers on N.P.K and some hearty metals in soil and plant. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 20 (6), 2029.
- E1-Shafie, F.S. (1994) Effect of phosphorus and zinc application on growth and nutrients distribution of broad bean plants. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 21(5), 1593.
- Faiyad, M.N. (1987) Phosphorus forms in some Egyptian soils and their relation with some nutrients availability. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric, Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Faiyad, M.N. (1992) The combined effect of phosphorus, manganese and molybdenum on growth and chemical composition of soybean in sandy soil. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 19(1), 563.
- Fox, A.L., Saunders, W.M.H. and Rajon, S.S.S. (1986) Phosphorus nutrition of pasture specks, phosphorus requirements and root saturation values, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 50, 142.
- Haldar, M. and Mandal, L. N. (1981) Effect of phosphorus and zinc on the growth and phosphortus zinc, copper, iron and manganese nutrition of rice. Plant and Soil, 59, 415.

- 343
- Hassan, A.H.M. (1996) Biochemical studies on the role of some micronutrients on some monopolyledons. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Hemantarajan, A. and Garge, O.K. (1984) Effect of zinc fertilization on the senescence of wheat varieties. Ind. J. Plant Physiology, 27 (3), 239.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973) "Soil Chemical Analysis." Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.Y.
- Mahgoub, T.T.T. (1995) Effect of some macro and micronutrients on the growth and constituents of sweet basil in sandy soil. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Megalah, S.S., EI-Sebaay, A.S. and Abdel-Maksoud, M.M.R. (1993) Phosphorus and zinc availability as affected by their interaction in some soils of Egypt. *Minufiya J.* Agric. Res. 18 (3), 1705.
- Mengel, K. and Kirkby, E.A. (1987) "Principle of Plant Nutrition." International Potash Institute, Bern, Switzerland.
- Mersal, P.R.I. (1996) Effect of phosphorus and iron fertilization on plant growth on soil varied in alkalinity. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Nour El-Dein, E.E. (1996) Effect of organic residues application to different soils on some physical and chemical characteristics of humus during the humification course. *M. Sc. Thesis*, Fac. of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Radwan, S.A., Abo El-Fadl, M.A. and Abou Hussien, E.A. (1993) Effect of salinity and organic material (Farm yam Manure) addition on growth and mineral contents of tomato in sandy calcareous soil of Egypt. *Minufiya J. Agric. Res.* 18 (2),1929.
- Romheld, V. and Marschner, H. (1991) Function of micronutrients in plants. In "Micronatrients in Agriculture". Published by Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Inc. Madison, Wisconsim, USA., 297.
- Sayed, A.A., Abd EI-Latif, E.A. and Mohamed, A.F. (1984) Maximizing wheat production by zinc sulfate at some locations of ARE. 2 nd. Conf. of Agric Res. Cent. (April), Egypt.

- Shama, M.A. (1996) Effect of P and Mn on growth, response and uptake of some micro-elements by some plants. *M.Sc. Thesis*, Fac. of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Thompson, L. M. and Troeh, F. R. (1979) "Soils and Soil Fertility". Tata Mc Graw -Hill Publishing Company LTD, New Delhi.

(Received 7/2000)

التغذية الفوسفورية لنبات الشعير وتأثرها بإضافة الزنك والمنجنيز والمادة العضوية للأرض الملحية

الحسينى عبد الغفار أبو حسين ، عحمد مصطفى شحاته و محمد عباس الشريف *

قسم علوم الآراضي - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنوفية و ^{*} معهد بحوث ا الآراضي والمياه والبيئة - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة - مصر .

أحضرت عينات أرض رسوبية ملحية من الطبقة السطحية من قرية القاديسية محافظة كفر الشيخ لاستخدامها في إجراء تجربة أصص في تصميم قطع كاملة العشوائية حيث عوملت الآصص بأي من السوبر فوسفات أو مسحوق العظام عند معدلات صغر و ٣٠ و ٦٠ و ٩٠ جزء في المليون فوبام وكذلك فقد تم إضافة الزنك (صفر ٤ و جزء في المليون) والمنجنيز (صفر ٢ ٤ ٢ جزء في المليون) أو سماد المزرعة (صفر ٢ ٢ ٤ ٪) على حدة أو مع الآسمدة الفوسفاتية وقد استخدم نبات الشعير كنبات إرشادي .

لقد نتج عقب إضافة الآسمدة الفوسفاتية على حدة أو مع أي من الزنك أو المنجنيز أو سماد المزرعة زيادة واضحة فى محصول المادة الجافة لنباتات الشعير وكانت الزيادة أكثر وضوحا فى النباتات المعاملة بالسوبر فوسفات عن تلك المعاملة مسحوق العظام ولقد أرتبطت استجابة نباتات الشعير للأسمدة الفوسفاتية مصدر الفوسفور ومستوي الفوسفور المضاف والمعاملات الآخرى تحت الدراسة حيث ثم الحصول على أعلى استجابة مع السوبر فوسفات عند ٩٠ جزء فى المليون فوراً مع ٤٪ سماد مزرعة أما أقل إستجابة فكانت مع مختلف مستويات الإضافة لمسحوق العظام . ولقد اختلفت تركيزات كل من النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم والزنك والمنجنيز وكذلك المتص منها بواسطة نباتات الشعير طبقا للمعاملات التجريبية .