ANTIBIOTIC AND SULPHONAMIDE RESIDUES IN SLAUGHTERED OSTRICH, DUCKS, GEESE AND TURKEYS

JEHAN R. DAOUD and M. K. ELMOSSALAMI*

Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza, Egypt. * Food Hygiene Dept., Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo University.

Received: 2-1-2002. Accepted: 13-1-2002.

SUMMARY

A total of 120 birds (thirty from each of: ostrich "Struthio camelus", ducks "Anas plathyrhynchus", geese "Anser domesticus" and turkeys "Meleagris gallopavonis") were collected from different farms in Egypt and freshly slaughtered except ostrich samples were collected from ostrich slaughter house to detect antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in muscles (breast and thigh muscles), internal organs (liver, kidneys, gizzard) and fat after slaughtering. The samples were examined by microbiological method (Agar diffusion test "inhibition test" by using cork borer) at pH 6.0 for detection of β -lactum, tetracycline and penicillin residues, at pH 8.0 for detection of aminoglycoside residues, and at pH 7.2 was used for detection of sulpha drug residues. Positive samples for antibiotic and sulphonamide residues were reexamined after heat treatment (boiling for 30 minutes). The obtained data proved that the

high incidence of antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in slaughtered ostrich, ducks, geese and turkeys were detected in kidneys and liver samples then followed by other tissues. Also, it was found that the highest numbers of positive samples for residues in these birds were observed at pH 6.0, then followed by those at pH 8.0 and 7.2 respectively. After boiling, the incidence of some antibiotic and sulphonamide residues decreased in some samples and completely disappeared in other samples. The judgment of the examined samples as well as the public health hazards of the ansulphonamide tibiotic. and residues were discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotics and sulphonamides are still used in a large scale in veterinary field and poultry farms without control. In Egypt, ostrich farms start since 1997 and increased after that to about 55 farms in year 2000 with total populations of 4000 ostrich chicks and layers (Kamel et al., 2001). Sudden death problem is reported in ostrich chicks mainly aging from one day to 4 months old. Therefore administration of different antibiotics is high in these species. The problems of ostrich management and environmental conditions have been reported and also due to bacterial infection (Kamel et al., 2000). Today, interest in the economic importance of the ostrich as a food animal for meat and egg- production makes it necessary to carry out research and expand the available information regarding different medical and management problems related to this bird.

The majority of the ostrich farm owners in Egypt were inexperienced in ostrich diseases. The subtherapeutic use of antimicrobial drugs has played an important role in animal husbandry by controlling diseases, improvement of growth and efficiency of feed conversion. The importance of the withdrawal times of antibiotics as a safe way for avoiding the residues in human foods of animal and poultry origin was stressed by different authors (Anadon et al., 1990 and Mignot et al., 1993). The elimination of anitibiotics from poultry tissues has important public health significance. The ingestion of meat containing antibiotic residues may cause harmful effects such as allergic phenomena, sensitization and antibiotic resistance. (Ionova and Zhecheva, 1977; Grossklaus,

1978 and Daoud and Yanny, 2000). Some antibiotics have residues in poultry tissues and may cause hazardous to human health derived from the consumption of these tissues and organs. Cooking or cold storage may alter the chemotherapeutic residues in the edible tissues (El-Razzaz, 1980; Booth and McDonald, 1988 and Daoud, 1996). Generally antibiotic assay can be conducted either by chemical procedures or by bacteriological techniques.

The bacteriological estimation of antibiotic residues can be carried out either by the diffusion plate assay or by the turbidometric assay method (Daoud and Yanny, 2000). The diffusion plate assays depend upon the diffusion of the antibiotics in tissues containing antibiotic residues from reservoirs on the agar surface. The reservoirs may be holes cut into the agar , metal cylinders (cups) or paper disc (Farid, 1982 and Bridson, 1995). The holes cut in agar were done by Daoud (1991), El-Gamel (1992) and Daoud (1995), while the cork borer method was done by El mossalami et al. (1985); Daoud (1996) and Yassien et al. (1996).

The aim of this work is to detect antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in different tissues and organs of the slaughtered ostrich, ducks, geese and turkeys from Egyptian poultry farms, as well as the effect of heat treatment (boiling) on tissue residues.

1. Collection of samples:

A total of 120 birds (each thirty from: ostrich, ducks, geese and turkeys) were collected from different poultry farms in Egypt, but ostrich samples were collected from slaughterhouse of ostrich. After slaughtering, each bird was examined for the presence of antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in tissues and organs by microbiological method (Agar diffusion test "inhibition test" by using cork borer according to Levetzow and Weise (1979).

2. Preparation of samples:

Samples were taken from muscles of breast and thigh, internal organs (liver, kidneys and gizzard) and fat from each bird after slaughtering. From each sample a cyclindrical piece (9 mm in diameter and 2 mmthickness) was removed and replaced by a sterile cork borer.

3. Determination of antibiotic residues in slaughtered birds:

3.1 Inhibition test:

The technique recommended by Levetzow and Weise (1979) was applied.

3.2. Preparation of strain suspension:

Bacillus subtilis (BGA) which was obtained from Fedral Health Office, Berlin, Germany, was used for detection of antibiotic and sulphonamide residues. Suspension of the strain

3.3. Media used for detection of antibiotic residues:

Antibiotic media No. 1 was obtained from El-Nasr Pharmaceuticals Chemicals Company, Egypt. It was used for detection of antibiotic and sulphonamide residues. The adopted technique was that recommended by Levetzow and Weise (1979). The media was divided in three portions, the first portion was adjusted at pH 6.0 for detection of β -lactum, tetracycline and penicillin residues. The second portion was adjusted at pH 8.0 for detection of aminoglycoside residues, e.g. tylosin, dihydrostreptomycin, erythromycin and gentamicin. The third portion was adjusted at pH 7.2 for detection of sulpha drug residues. Trimethoprime has been added because it allows the detection of sulphonamides in samples due to trimethoprime sulphonamide synergy. The trimethoprime solution was prepared according to the technique recommended by Levetzow and Weise (1979).

The medium was left to cool to 55 °C, then inoculated with the spore suspension of *Bacillus subtilis* (0.1 ml/100 ml nutrient agar), then the ingredients were poured on leveled flat bottomed petridishes and left till complete solidification.

3.4. Procedure:

Cylindrical pieces measuring 9 mm diameter

and 2 mm thickness were prepared from each sample under examination by means of sterile cork borer, then pieces were put diagonally on the surface of the freshly prepared *B. subtilis* plates: one at pH 6.0, the second at pH 8.0 and the last one at pH 7.2, then all plates incubated at 30°C for 24 hours. Diffusion of any present antibacterial substances will lead to formation of inhibitory zone around the sample in which growth of the test organism was inhibited.

3.5. Heat treatment of positive samples:

Positive samples from each bird were boiled at 100°C for 30 minutes and then examined for the presence of antibiotic residues.

4. Interpretation of the results:

After incubation for 18-24 hours at 30°C, the zones of inhibition concerning antibiotic and sulphonamides were accurately measured and the results were interpreted according to Levet-zow and Weise (1979) as follows:

A zone ≥ 2 mm considered positive inhibition. A zone from 1 to < 2 mm considered suspcious.

A zone < 1 mm considered negative. Inhibition zones were measured from edge of meat samples to the edge of microbial growth.

5. Statistical analysis:

Statistical analysis were performed according to Petrie and Watson (1999).

RESULTS

Antibiotic and sulphonamide residues (mm) in tissues and organs of slaughtered ostrich before and after boiling were statistically analysed and summarized in table (1), slaughtered ducks were displayed in table (2), slaughtered geese were tabulated in table (3), slaughtered turkeys were illustrated in table (4), while judgment of antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in all examined samples were tabulated in table (5).

		рН	6.0			p	H 8.0		pH 7.2				
Examined	ined Before boiling No. of positive samples $\overline{X} \pm S.E$ cs 7 2.28 ± 0.184 es 8 3.50 ± 0.188 10 3.70	boiling	After	boiling	Before boiling		After boiling		Before	boiling	After boiling		
tissues	positive	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	No. of positive samples	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	No. of positive samples	₹ ± S.E.	No. of positive samples	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	No. of positive samples	X±S.E.	No. of positive samples	$\overline{\mathbf{X}} \pm \mathbf{S}.\mathbf{E}.$	
Breast muscles	7	3.28 ± 0.184	4	2.75 ± 0.250	6	3.16 ± 0.166	3	2.66 ± 0.333	4	2.75 <u>+</u> 0.478	2	2.50 ± 0.500	
Thigh muscles	8	3.50 ± 0.188	4	3.12 ± 0.125	7	3.25 ± 0.250	4	2.76 ± 0.250	4	3.00 ± 0.471	2	2.66 ± 0.333	
Liver	10	3.70 ± 0.152	6	3.16 ± 0.307	8	3.28 ± 0.184	4	3.00 ± 0.408	6	3.16 ± 0.307	3	2.75 ± 0.381	
Kidneys	11	3.90 ± 0.162	6	3.21 ± 0.083	9	3.55 ± 0.175	5	3.10 ± 0.044	7	3.43 ± 0.297	4	2.85 ± 0.287	
Gizzard	5	3.00 ± 0.408	2	2.50 <u>+</u> 0.500	3	2.66 <u>+</u> 0.666	0	0	2	2.50 ± 0.500	0	0	
Fat	6	2.83 ± 0.307	3	2.33 ± 0.333	4	2.50 ± 0.500	0	0	3	2.33 ± 0.333	0	0	

Table (1): Antibiotic and sulphonamide residues (mm) in tissues and organs of slaughtered *Ostrich* before and after boiling.

mm. : millimeter (zone of inhibition).No. of examined ostrich = 30Boiling at 100°C for 30 minutes. \overline{X} : Mean values in mm.N.B.: Non Significant varitions between values before and after treatment by using "T" test.P > 0.05.

± S.E.: Standard Error.

315

		pH	6.0			p	H 8.0		рН 7.2				
Examined	Before	boiling	After	boiling	Befo	re boiling	After	boiling	Before	boiling	After l	ooiling	
tissues	No. of positive samples	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	No. of positive samples	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	No. of positive samples	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	No. of positive samples	X±S.E.	No. of positive samples	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	No. of positive samples	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	
Breast muscles	11	3.36 <u>+</u> 0.472	4	2.75 ± 0.250	8	3.00 ± 0.267	3	2.50 ± 0.288	5	2.50 ± 0.223	3	2.33 ± 0.333	
Thigh muscles	13	3.15 ± 0.421	5	2.60 ± 0.244	6	2.83 ± 0.307	2	2.34 ± 0.015	6	2.40 ± 0.200	3	2.16 ± 0.166	
Liver	17	4.64 ± 0.561	8	3.62 ± 0.263	9	4.05 ± 0.190	3	3.33 ± 0.333	8	3.37 ± 0.374	4	2.50 ± 0.288	
Kidneys	19	5.31 ± 0.592	8	4.25 ± 0.250	10	4.16 ± 0.314	5	3.50 ± 0.500	10	3.75 ± 0.083	4	3.00 ± 0.577	
Gizzard	10	3.40 ± 0.163	3	2.86 ± 0.433	7	3.17 ± 0.339	4	2.60 ± 0.355	5	2.60 ± 0.244	3	2.33 ± 0.333	
Fat	5	2.80 ± 0.374	2	2.50 ± 0.500	6	2.60 ± 0.408	3	2.23 ± 0.117	4	2.25 ± 0.250	0	0	

Table (2): Antibiotic and sulphonamide residues (mm) in tissues and organs of slaughtered *ducks* before and after boiling.

+ S.E.: Standard Error.

Vet.Med.J.,Giza.Vol.50,No.2(2002)

316

mm. : millimeter (zone of inhibition).No. of examined ducks = 30Boiling at 100°C for 30 minutes. \overline{X} : Mean values in mm.N.B.: Non Significant varitions between values before and after treatment by using "T" test.P > 0.05.

		pH	6.0			p	H 8.0		рН 7.2				
Examined	Before	boilingAfter boilingBefore boilingAfter boilingBefore boiling $\overline{X} \pm S.E.$ No. of positive samples $\overline{X} \pm S.E.$ No. of positive samples $\overline{X} \pm S.E.$ No. of positive samplesNo. of positive samples $\overline{X} \pm S.E.$ No. of positive samples $\overline{X} \pm S.E.$ <	After	coiling									
tissues	No. of positive samples	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	positive	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	positive	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	positive	X±S.E.	positive	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	No. of positive samples	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	
Breast muscles	10		4		8		4	1	6		3	2.33 ± 0.333	
Thigh muscles	12		5	ł	10		4	l	8		3	2.33 ± 0.333	
Liver	14	F	5		11		4		9		4	2.50 ± 0.288	
Kidneys	16	5.31 <u>+</u> 0.669	7	4.14 ± 0.260	14	4.14 ± 0.293	6	3.30 ± 0.200	11	3.45 ±0.247	5	3.16 ± 0.307	
Gizzard	13	3.60 ± 0.180	4	2.75 ± 0.250	10	3.30 ± 0.152	3	2.50 ± 0,500	7	2.66 ± 0.274	2	2.33 ± 0.333	
Fat	9	3.55 ± 0.304	5	2.50 ± 0.288	9	3.22 ± 0,254	4	2.40 ± 0.244	8	2.37 ± 0.182	3	2.27 ± 0.074	

Table (3): Antibiotic and sulphonamide residues (mm) in tissues and organs of slaughtered geese before and after boiling.

L

+ S.E.: Standard Error.

Vet.Med.J.,Giza.Vol.50,No.2(2002)

mm. : millimeter (zone of inhibition).No. of examined geese = 30Boiling at 100°C for 30 minutes. \overline{X} : Mean values in mm.N.B.: Non Significant varitions between values before and after treatment by using "T" test.P > 0.05.

		pH	6.0			F	Н 8.0		рН 7.2				
Examined	Before	boiling	After	boiling	Befo	re boiling	After	boiling	Before	boiling	oiling After the second sec	boiling	
tissues	No. of positive samples	$\overline{\mathbf{X}} \pm \mathbf{S}.\mathbf{E}.$	No. of positive samples	$\overline{\mathbf{X}} \pm \mathbf{S}.\mathbf{E}.$	No. of positive samples	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	No. of positive samples	X ± S.E.	No. of positive samples	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	positive	$\overline{X} \pm S.E.$	
Breast muscles	15	4.13 ± 0.515	6	3.66 ± 0.333	13	3.30 ± 0.237	6	2.50 ± 0.223	10	1	5	2.40 ± 0.244	
Thigh muscles	12	3.16 ± 0.423	4	3.00 ± 0.408	11	2.72 ± 0.332	4	2.50 ± 0.288	7	2.57 ± 0.202	4	2.25 ± 0.250	
Liver	17	4.64 <u>+</u> 0.663	8	4.44 <u>+</u> 0.652	15	3.60 ± 0.362	8	3.12 ± 0.295	11	3.26 ± 0.152	6	2.50 ± 0.223	
Kidneys	19	5.10 ± 0.561	9	4.50 ± 0.327	16	4.06 ± 0.503	8	3.37 ± 0.182	13	3.69 ± 0.447	6	3.16 ± 0.401	
Gizzard	11	3.13 ± 0.270	5	2.80 ± 0.200	9	2.66 ± 0.288	4	2.48 ± 0.205	8	2.37 ± 0.182	4	2.25 ± 0.250	
Fat	10	3.10 <u>+</u> 0.314	3	2.66 ± 0.333	8	2.62 ± 0.263	3	2.46 ± 0.371	7	2.28 <u>+</u> 0.184	2	2.20 ± 0.500	

Table (4): Antibiotic and sulphonamide residues (mm) in tissues and organs of slaughtered *urkeys* before and after boiling.

± S.E.: Standard Error.

mm. : millimeter (zone of inhibition).No. of examined turkeys = 30Boiling at 100°C for 30 minutes. \overline{X} : Mean values in mm.N.B.: Non Significant varitions between values before and after treatment by using "T" test.P > 0.05.

Sample (spp.)	Positive samples													-
	Breast muscles		Thigh muscles		Liver		Kidneys		Gizzard		Fat		T.C.	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Ostrich "Struthio camelus"	7	23.3	8	26.7	10	33.3	11	36.7	5	16.7	6	20.0	8	26.7
Ducks "Anas platyrhynchus"	11	36.7	13	43.3	17	56.7	19	63.3	10	33.3	5	16.7	13	43.3
Geese "Anser domesticus"	10	33.3	12	40.0	14	46.7	16	53.3	13	43.3	9	30.0	12	40.0
Turkeys "Meleagris gallopavonis"	15	50.0	12	40.0	17	56.7	19	63.3	11	36.7	10	33.3	15	50.0

.

Table (5): Judgment on antibiotic residues in examined samples (n=30).

T.C.: Total Condemenation. N.B.: Judgment on the examined samples was done according to *Levetzow* and *Weise* (1979).

.

DISCUSSION

Data presented in table (1) showed that the highest levels of antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in slaughtered ostrich were detected in kidney samples followed by liver, thigh muscles, breast muscles, gizzard and fat samples before and after boiling. The incidence of positive samples for antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in previously mentioned organs and other tissues before and after boiling were 36.66% and 54.54%; 33.33% and 60.00%; 26.66% and 50.00%; 23.33% and 57.14%; 16.66% and 40.00%; 20.00% and 50.00% at pH 6.0; respectively. While at pH 8.0 they were 30.00% and 55.55%; 26.66% and 50.00%; 23.33% and 57.14%; 20.00 and 50.00%; 10.00% and 00.00%; 13.33% and 00.00%; respectively. At pH 7.2, they were 23.33% and 57.14%; 20.00% and 50.00%; 13.23% and and 50.00%; 6.66% 50.00%; 13.33% and 00.00%; 10.00% and 00.00%; respectively.

Concerning the product of the samples after boiling, it was calculated on the positive samples only before heating. From the obtained results it could be concluded that the presence of antibiotic residues in tissues and organs may be due to bad hygienic condition of some ostrich farms which lead to lowering the body resistance and retard the withdrawal time of antibiotics. This held the view reported by Kamel et al. (2001).

From the obtained data in table (2) it was found

that the highest mean values for antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in slaughtered ducks before and after boiling were detected in kidney samples followed by liver, gizzard, breast muscles, thigh muscles and fat samples. The percent of positive samples for residues in these organs and tissues before and after boiling were 63.33% and 42.10%; 56.66% and 47.05%; 33.33% and 30.00%; 36.66% and 36.36%; 43.33% and 38.46%; 16.66% and 40.00% respectively at pH 6.0. While at pH 8.0 they were 33.33% and 50.00%; 30.00% and 33.33%; 23.33% and 57.14%; 26.66% and 37.50%; 20.00 and 33.33%; 20.00% and 50.00% respectively. At pH 7.2, they were 33.33% and 40.00%; 26.66% and 50.00%; 16.66% and 60.00%; 16.66% and 60.00%; 20.00% and 50.00%; 13.33% and 00.00% respectively. The obtained data in table (2) were agreed with those obtained by Akulova (1964); Dvorak et al. (1978) and Lashev and Semerdzhiev (1983).

Results in table (3) revealed that kidney and liver samples recorded the highest levels for antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in slaughtered geese before and after boiling, then followed by thigh muscles, breast muscles, gizzard and fat samples. The percent of positive results in the previously mentioned samples before and after boiling were 53.33% and 43.75%; 46.66% and 35.71%; 40.00% and 41.66%; 33.33% and 40.00%; 43.33% and 30.76%; 30.00% and 55.55% respectively at pH 6.0, while at pH 8.0 they were 46.66% and 42.85%; 36.66% and 36.36%;

Vet.Med.J.,Giza.Vol.50,No.2(2002)

320

33.33% and 40.00%; 26.66% and 50.00%; 30.00% and 33.33%; 30.00% and 44.44%; respectively. At pH 7.2 they were 36.66% and 45.45%: 30.00% and 44.44%; 26.66% and 37.50%; 20.00% and 50.00%; 23.33% and 28.57%; 26.66% and 37.50%; respectively.

Data illustrated in table (4) declared that the highest mean values for antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in tissues and organs of slaughtered turkeys before and after boiling were in kidney samples followed by liver, breast muscles, thigh muscles, gizzard and fat samples. The incidence of positive samples for antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in these organs and tissues before and after boiling were 63.33% and 47.36%; 56.66% and 47.05%; 50.00% and 40.00%; 40.00% and 33,33%; 36.66% and 45.45%; 33.33% and 30.00% respectively at pH 6.0. At pH 8.0 they were 53.33% and 50.00%; 50.00% and 53.33%; 43.33% and 46.15%; 36.66% and 36.36%; 30.00% and 44.44%; 26.66% and 37.50%; respectively, while at pH 7.2 they were 43.33% and 46.15%; 36.66% and 54.54% 33.33% and 50.00%; 23.33% and 57.14%; 26.66% and 50.00%; 23.33% and 28.57%; respectively. Antibiotic residues were detected in tissues and organs of slaughtered geese and turkeys by some authores (Rolinski, 1967; Bickford et al., 1973; Dvorak et al. 1978; Ziv et al., 1979; Swezey et al., 1981; Skeels et al., 1985 and Scheer, 1987).

From the achieved results (Table 5) it is evident

that ostrich samples 8 (26.7%) should be totally condemned. On the other hand 13 (43.3%) ducks samples recommended to be totally condemned. while 12 (40.0%) geese samples should be totally condemned. In case of turkeys, 15 (50.0%) of the examined birds must be totally condemned. Organs and fat in all examined samples having residues necessitate condemnation.

From the recorded data in tables (1,2,3 and 4), it was observed that the high incidence of antibiotic residues in slaughtered birds were recorded in kidneys and liver samples then followed by other tissues at pH 6.0, 8.0 and 7.2. This may be attributed to the fact that liver is responsible for metabolism and detoxication of the drug by its microsomal enzymes, but kidneys are responsible for filtration and clearance of the blood from any undesirable constituents. These results were greatly in accordance to those detected by Shakaryan et al. (1976); Arichimbault et al. (1978); Nouman et al. (1986); Daoud (1991); Daoud (1995); Hassan (1995); Daoud (1996) and Hassan (1998). It was also detected that the recorded positive cases for antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in tissues and organs were the highest at pH6.0, while they were the lowest at pH 7.2 in fresh and boiled samples. This means that pH 6.0 was the optimum one for the detection of the majority of the applied antibiotics in the Egyptian field. This might be attributed to the fact that every antibiotic has high effect at its suitable pH. These results were nearly in accordance with those recorded by Korkeala et

al. (1982) who stated that the greatest zone of inhibition was given at pH 6.0, then at pH 8.0 and pH 7.2. Data recorded in the present study showed that the antibiotic residues were diminished and decreased in positive samples after boiling for 30 minutes. This means that heat treatment had a destructive effect on some antibiotics. These results were inaccordance with those recorded by Yonova (1971); Scheibner (1972); Jukes (1973); Raseta et al. (1975); Vyhnalek (1975); O'brien et al. (1981); Daoud (1991); Amer et al. (1994); Daoud (1995); Hassan (1995); Daoud (1996); Rose et al. (1996) and Hassan (1998). The variations in antibiotic residues may be due to the differences in species, bread, age of poultry and dose of administration (Daoud, 1995). Different estimates had been made of the propensity of people to allergy in general (Fernston, 1959; Algrid, 1966; Corry et al., 1983 and Saunder, 1988). Residues could therefore be a source from these sites, so the incidence of antibiotic residues in slaughtered animals must be minimized (Nouws and Verdijk, 1991). From the obtained results (tables 1-4), it is concluded that the presence of antibiotic residues in tissues and organs of slaughtered birds may be due to slaughtering of birds without waiting until the withdrawal period of each drug is finished. The presence of antibiotic residues in muscles and organs of poultry indicated that its judgment should be total condemnation since it causes dangerous effects to consumers.

The public health risks due to antibiotic and sulphonamide residues lies in the following: Disorders in the physiological functions of intestinal flora; possible occurrence of strain resistance to antibiotics administrated in human therapy due to administration of cumulative doses of antimicrobial residues via meat; carcinogenic, mutagenic and teratogenic effects and possible occurrence of allergic syndrome e.g: skin rashes and hypersensitivity.

For the control of antibiotic and sulphonamide residues in meat, the following measures were recommended:

- 1. Testing birds presented for slaughtering for violative concentration of residues and prevent them from entering the food chain.
- 2. Administration of antibiotics should be done exclusively under veterinarian supervision
- 3. Meat containing antibiotic and sulphonamide residues can be used for manufacture by increasing time of heat treatment to ensure their destruction.
- Provide the suitable withdrawal time before birds slaughtering to ensure safety and the complete disappearance of antimicrobial residues from their tissues and organs.

REFERENCES

Akulova, N. S. (1964): Comparative study of the distribution of chloretetracycline in the body of chicken and

Vet.Med.J.,Giza.Vol.50,No.2(2002)

322

duck. Trudy Vsesoyuz, Inst. Eksp. Vet. 30: 321-328.

- Algrid, J. R. (1966): The allergic patient. Connecticut Medicine, 30 (12): 878-879.
- Amer, M. S.; Abd-Alla, W. H.; Koshaik, M. Y.; Abdel Aleam, A. E. and Gammaz, H. A. (1994): Gentamicin and netilmicin residues in tissues and organs of treated rabbits. Vet. Med. J. Giza., 42 (1): 95-99.
- Anadon, A.; Martinez-Lannanage, M. R.; Diaz, M. J.; Velez, C. and Bringas, P. (1990): Pharmacokinetic and residue studies of quinolone compounds and alaquindox in poultry. Ann. Res. Vct., 21 (7): 137-144.
- Arichimbault, P.; Boutier, C. and Muscal, G. (1978): Ampicillin in poultry residues after oral administration to laying hens. Revue. Med. Vet. 129 (11): 1541-1544.
- Bickford, S. M.; Loy, J. I. and Barnes, L. E. (1973): Gentamicin concentrations in turkey eggs and in tissues of progency following egg dipping. Avian Dis. 17(2): 301-307.
- Booth, N. H. and McDonald, L. E. (1988): Veterinary pharmacology and therapeutics. 6th Ed., Iowa, State University Press. P. 1198-1199.
- Bridson, E. Y. (1995): The Oxoid Manual. The 7<u>th</u> Ed. Unipath Limited (Publisher). Baring Stoke, Hampshire, England.
- Corry, J. E.; Sharma, M. R. and Bates, M. L. (1983): Detection of antibiotic residues in milk and animal tissues.
 Technical Series, Society For Applied Bacteriology, 18: 349-370.
- ²⁴ Daoud, J. R. (1991): Studies on the withdrawal time of some antibiotics from broilers and rabbits. M.V.Sc. Thesis (Meat Hygiene). Fac. Vet. Med., Moshtohor, Zagazig University.

- Daoud, J. R. (1995): Studies on antibiotic residues in poultry with particular reference to the haphazard usage of antibiotics in the Egyptian poultry farms Ph. D. Thesis (Meat Hygiene). Fac. Vct. Med., Moshtohor, Zagazig University.
- Daoud, J. R. (1996): Studies on the incidence of antibiotic residues in slaughtered animals from local abattoirs. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 74 (4): 1057-1067.
- Daoud, J. R. and Yanny, A. A. (2000): The assay of some antibiotic residues in broilers tissues and sera by the cylinder method. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Ass. 60 (1): 53-60.
- Dvorak, M.; Slakeva, E. M. and Seviek, B. (1978): Erythromycin pharmacokinetics in blood serum and organs of cattle, dog, swine and fowl. Biologizace a Chemizace Zivocishe Vyroby Veterinaria. 15 (1): 167-173.
- El-Gamel, M. H. (1992): Pharmacokinetic profiles and bioavailability of ampicillin and apramycin in normal and pneumonic calves. M.V.Sc. Thesis (Pharmacology) Fac. Vet. Med., Moshtohor, Zagazig University.
- El-mossalami, E.; Abdel Rahim, A.; Darwish, A. and Abd-Allah, W. (1985): Antibiotic residues in poultry.Vet. Med. J. 34 (1): 29-36.
- El-Razzaz, M. M. (1980): Antibiotic residues in meat and effect of different methods of preservation on it. Ph. D.
 Thesis (Meat Hygiene). Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo University.
- Farid, A. F. (1982): Investigations on some microbiological antibiotic assay methods and factors influencing variability in detecting in meat. Agric. Res. Rev.,60 (7): 61-72.
- Fernston, A. T. (1959): Studies on procaine allergy with reference to urticaria due to procaine pencillin treatment. Acta Dermatovenereologica, Stochholm, 39: 433-499.
- Grossklaus, D. (1978): The occurrence and importance of residues in meat and their evaluation within official meat

inspection. Analli dell Instituto Superiore di Sanits, Vol. XIV-P: 11, 319-334.

- Hassan, N. M. (1995): Some antibacterial residues in chick-en. M.V.Sc. Thesis (Pharmacology). Fac. Vet. Med.Zagazig University.
- Hassan, N. M. (1998): Some hazardous in chicken meat.Ph. D. Thesis (Pharmacology). Fac. Vet. Med. Zagazig University.
- Ionova. I. and Zheeheva, G. (1977): Persistence of residues of some antibiotics in meat and eggs of fowls. Veterinarmeditisinski Nauki, 14 (9): 59-66.
- Jukes, T. H. (1973): Public health significance of feeding low levels of antibiotics to animals. Adv. Appl. Micro. 16: 30-35.
- Kamel, A. M.; Khafagy, A. A. and Boushra, M. H. (2000): Clinical, bacteriological and pathological studies of salmonella infection of ostrich chicks in Egypt. Suez Canal Vet. Med. J. Vol. III (2): 811-821.
- Kamel, A. M.; Boushra, M. H. and Khafagy, A. A. (2001):
 Studies on sudden death problem at ostrich chicks in Egypt. The Second International Scientific Conference.
 Vol II, Fac. Vet. Med. Mansoura University.
- Korkeala, H.; Sorvetula, O.; Maki, O. and Hinn, P. (1982): Comparison of different agar diffusion methods for the detection of antimicrobial residues in slaughtered animals. Acta. Vet. Scan., 22 (3): 407-410.
- Lashev, L. and Semerdzhiev, V. (1983): Absorption and distribution of amoxicillin in fowls and mallard ducks. Veterinarmeditisinski Nauki, 20 (5/6): 22-28.
- Levetzow, R. (1978): Development of an europian economic community (EEC) standard for detecting inhibitors in meat. Fleischwit schaft. 58 (5): 868-874.
- Levetzow, R. and Weise, H. (1979): Method Zum

Nachweis Von Ruckstanden Antibakteriell Wirksamer Substanzen in Frischen Fleisch. Institute of Vet. Med. (Meat Hygiene), Berlin. Cited after El-Razzaz (1980).

- Mignot, A.; Lefebre, M. A. and Millerioux, L. (1993): Determination of spiramycin and neomycin in biological samples (plasma, muscle, liver, kidney, skin and fat) from a residue study in chicken. CEPHAC Report. No. CD, 508, June (1993).
- Nouman, T. M.; Hamdy, M. M. and Amer, M. M. (1986): Withdrawal time of some antibiotics from broilers. J. Egypt. Vct. Med. Ass., 46 (1): 89- 94.
- Nouws, J. F. and Verdijk, A. Th. (1991): How to minimise the incidence of antibiotic residues in slaughtered animals. Tiddschr Diegeneeskd, 116 (17): 833-841.
- O'brien, J. J.; Compbell, N. and Conaghan, T. (1981): Effect of cooking and cold storage on biologically active antibiotic residues in meat. J. Hyg. Camb. 87: 511-523.
- Petrie, A. and Watson, P. (1999): Statistics For Veterinary and Animal Science. 1st Ed., The Blackwell Science Ltd, United Kingdom.
- Raseta, J.; Perie, M. and Dakie, M. (1975): Possibility of reducing in the biological activing of antibiotic residues in meat. Hranasi Ishrana. 161 (1-2): 90-93.
- Rolinski, Z. (1967): Determination of oxytetracycline concentration in the blood of geese after oral, intramuscular administration of the antibiotic. Annls Univ. Marid. (Urie Skidow-Skasect. DD, 21: 127).
- Rose, M. D.; Bygrave, J.; Farrington, W. H. and Shearar, G. (1996): The effect of cooking on veterinary drug residues in foods. IV. Oxytetracycline. Food Additives and Contaminations, 13 (3): 275-286.
- Saunder, T. C. (1988): When is a residue not a residue? Vet. Rec., 133: 464-470.

Vet.Med.J.,Giza.Vol.50,No.2(2002)

- Scheer, M. (1987): Concentrations of active ingredient in the serum and in tissues after oral and parentral administration of Baytril. Vet. Med. Rev., German Federal Republic, 2: 104-118.
- Scheibner, V. G. (1972): Studies into inactivation of several antibiotics in meat tinning. Monats Hefte Fur Veterinarmedizin., Heft, 27: 745-748.
- Shakaryan, G. A.: Akopyan, Z. M. and Seven, T. K. (1976): Distribution and concentration of ampicillin in body of fowls. Veterinariya, Moscow. USSR, 8:105.
- Skeeles, J. K.; Swafford, W. S.; Wages, D. P.; Hellwing, H. M.; Slavik, M. F.; Houghten, G. E. and Grawford, D. L. (1984): Studies on the use of a long acting oxytetracycline in turkeys: Serum levels and tissues residues following injection. Avian Dis. 29 (1): 145-149.

- Swezey, J. L.; Baldwin, B. B. and Bromel, M. C. (1981): Effect of oxytetracycline as a turkey feed additive. Poul. Sci., 60 (4): 738-743.
- Vyhnalek, J. (1975): Oxytetracycline content in tissues and organs of normal and diseased fowls. Veterinaria Spofa, 17: 183.
- Yassien, N. A.; Mansour, N. K.; El-Sherif, A. M. and El-Kholy, A. E. (1996): Effect of flavomycin in the bacterial association of chilled beef and buffalois meat. Vet. Med. J. Giza., 44 (2): 175-180.
- Yonova, I. (1971): Studies on the thermal resistance of tetracycline and oxytetracycline residues in eggs and poultry meat. Veterinarnomeditsinsk Nauki, 8 (10): 75-82.
- Ziv, G.; Neumann, J.; Fridman, J.; Ziv, E.; Singer, N. and Meshorer, A. (1979): Effects of probenecid on blood levels and tissues distribution of ampicillin in fowls and turkeys. Avian Dis., 23 (4): 927-939.