EFFECT OF SOME WEED CONTROL TREATMENTS ON SUGAR CANE yield (Saccharum officinarum L.) AND THE ASSOCIATED WEEDS.

¹Attalla S.I.; ²El- Sogheir K.S.; ¹Nassar A.N.M. and ¹Mekky M.S.

- 1- Weed Research Central Laboratory.
- 2- Sugar Crops Research Institute. Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

Received 2 / 10 / 2002

Accepted 5/11/2002

ABSTRACT: Four field experiments were carried out at El-Mattaana Agricultural Research Station, Agricultural Research Center, Esna, Qena Governorate during 1999 / 2001 and 2000/ 2002 growing seasons. The present investigation aimed to study the effect of some herbicides either individuals (metribuzin at 300 g/fed. metosulam at 80 cc/fed, tribenuron at 8 g/fed, and glufosinate at 2L/fed) or in combinations (metribuzin plus glufosinate, metribuzin plus hand hoeing once, glufosinate plus glufosinate and glufosinate plus hand hoeing once) compared to hand hoeing at 3 and 4 times on sugar cane yields and the associated weeds. The obtained results from both seasons showed that all weed control treatments either individuals at 60 and 150 days after planting (DAP) or in combinations at 90 and 150 (DAP) gave significant effect on controlling the weeds. Hand hoeing at 4 times, hand hoeing at 3 times and metribuzin at 300 g/fed as individual treatments gave the highest reduction on the dry weight of broad-leaved weeds at 150 DAP by 93.3, 81.1 and 70.1 %, in 1st season and by 95.0, 84.8 and 72.4 %, respectively in 2nd one as compared with control treatment. Hand hoeing at 4 times, hand hoeing at 3 times, metribuzin at 300 g/fed plus hand hoeing once and metribuzin at 300 g/fed plus glufosinate at 2L/fed as combined treatments gave the highest reduction on the dry weight of broad-leaved weeds at 150 DAP by

87.9, 84.3, 72.4 and 71.6 %, in 1st season and by 90.7, 83.4, 69.9 and 68.4 %, respectively in 2nd season as compared with control treatment. Meanwhile, hand hoeing at 4 times and hand hoeing at 3 times either individuals or in combinations gave the highest reduction on dry weight of grassy weeds at 150 DAP from 75.2 to 86.3 % in both seasons as compared with control treatment. All weed control treatments gave significant effect on increasing sugar cane yields and its components in both seasons, where the hand hoeing at 4 and 3 times were superior treatments. Hand hoeing at 4 times in first two experiments gave highest value of cane yield and sugar yield by 43.95 and 6.13 t/fed, in 1st season, and by 42.41 and 5.97 t/fed, respectively in 2nd season. The yield of sugar cane was significantly increased from respective treatment by 43.44 % in 1st 38.87 % in 2nd season as compared with control treatment. Similar result was obtained from hand hoeing at 4 times in the others two experiments where it gave highest value of cane yield and sugar yield by 48.62 and 6.81 t/fed in 1st season, and by 47.35 and 6.73 t/fed in 2nd one, respectively as compared with control treatment. The yield of sugar cane was significantly increased from respective treatment by 44.1 % and 51.9 % in 1st season and 2nd season, orderly as compared with control treatment. The other treatments of either individuals or in combinations gave the highest values of stalk height (cm).stalk diameter(cm), sucrose (g/100ml), purity %, sugar cane yield (t/fed) and sugar yield (t/fed) in both seasons.

Key words: sugar cane, individual treatments, combined treatments and hand hoeing.

INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, sugar cane (Saccharum officinarum L.) is a very important sugar crop. It is cultivated about 300000 feddans where the total production is still insufficient to cover the local consumption. Factors affecting the productivity of new sugar cane

variety G 85/37 were weeds, soil moisture, soil fertility and poor drainage. Kakde 1985 stated that the development and maintenance of new higher yielding crop variety is generally done under condition of minimal weeds, insect and disease interferance.

Weeds directly compete with sugar cane plants for

environmental resources (space, sun light, water and nutrients) causing a serious reduction in vield. Yield losses is greater than 50% at uncontrolled high weed infestation level(Nour and Allam 1988, Abd El-Latif 1990, Abd El-Latif et al. 1994, Attalla et al. 1995 and Thakur et al. 1995). All types of weeds in sugar cane are needed to be controlled (Obien and Baltazar 1979 and Kakde 1985). Weed control treatments i.e. hand hoeing or herbicides increase the vield of sugar cane. Broad leaved weeds and grasses that can be controlled effectively by triazine such as ametryne, derivatives atrazine and ametryne plus atrazine (Salunkhe et al. 1990, Attalla et al. 1995, Marion 1996 and Richard 1997). Herbicides are effective and fast on controlling weeds in sugar cane crop, but the public opinion in Egypt is strongly to prevent the using of these dangeruous herbicides. Therefore, some herbicides become available in this situation, they are effective in controlling weeds in other crops and did not previously use to control the weeds in sugar cane i.e. metribuzin, metosulam, tribenuron and glufosinate (Bassiouny et al. 1993, Abd El-Latif et al. 1994, Mahadevaswamy et al. 1994 and -Kholosy et al. 1998).

So, the present work was conducted to study the effect of some herbicides applied either individuals or combined to each others or with hand hoeing once on controlling weeds and new sugar cane yield variety G 85/37.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four field experiments were carried out at El-Mattaana Agricultural Research Station. Agricultural Research Center, Esna Oena Governorate during 1999/2001 and 2000/2002 seasons. to study the effect of weed control treatments i.e. hand hoeing and herbicides applied either individuals or in combinations on weeds and sugar cane yield. The soil texture of the experiment fields is clay loam in the two seasons. Each experiment was laid out complete randomized block design with 4 replicates. The plot size was 35m² (5X7m)contained 5 rows one meter New apart. cane cultivar G 85/37 sugar planted on November, 18th was November, 22nd of 1999 and and 2000 seasons, respectively. The dry method of sugar cane used. Twenty five planting was from three budded sets of cuttings were planted in each row. The other normal cultural

practices ... were carried out according to the local region as usual. Phosphorus (15.5%) was applied at rate 60 kg(P₂ O₅)/fed at Soil preparation (before planting). Nitrogen was added as (46 %) at rate 210 kg N/fed and divided into two equal doses. Potassium (48 %) was applied at the rate 48 kg (K₂ O)/fed with 2nd nitrogen addion before 2nd irrigation.

- 1-Two experiments included the individual herbicides: each experiment Contained seven treatments as follows:
- 1-Metribuzin: [4 amino-6 (1,1 dimethylethyl)-3-(methylthio) -1,2,4- triazin 5 (4H) one]. known commercially as (Sencor 70 %WP) at 300 g/fed, applied at 30 days after planting (DAP).
- 2- Metosulam: N-(2,6 dichlorom-tolyl)- 5,7 dimethoxy (1,2,4) triazolo(1,5 9) pyrimidine 2 sulfonamide. known commercially as (Sinal 10 % SC) at 80 cc/fed, applied at 25 DAP (before 1st irrigation by 24 hrs).
- 3-Tribenuron:Methyl 2-[[[[N 4-(methoxy - 6 - methyl 1,2,3 triazin 2 - yl) methyl amino] carbbonyl] amino] sulfonyl] benzoate. known commercially as (Granstar 75 %DF)at 8g/fed,

- applied at 30 DAP.
- 4-Glufosinate: Ammonium-DL homalanin- 4-yl-(methyl phosp hinate). known commercially as (Basta 20 % EC) at 2L/fed, applied at 30 DAP.
- 5-Hand hoeing 3 times at 45, 75 and 105 DAP.
- 6- Hand hoeing 4 times at 45, 75, 105 and 140 DAP.
- 7- Untreated (control).
- II Two experiments included th combined herbicides: each experiment Contained nine treatments as follows:
- 1-Metribuzin at 300 g/fed, applied at 30 DAP.
- 2-Metribuzin at 300 g/fed + Glufosinate at 2L/fed, applied at 30 + 60 DAP.
- 3-Metribuzin at 300 g/fed + hand hoeing once, applied at 30 + 60 DAP.
- 4-Glufosinate at 2L/fed, applied at 30 DAP.
- 5-Glufosinate + Glufosinate at 2L + 2L/fed, applied at 30 + 60 DAP.
- 6-Glufosinate + hand hoeing once applied at 30 + 60 DAP.
- 7-Hand hoeing 3 times at 45, 75 and 105 DAP.
- 8- Hand hoeing 4 times at 45, 75, 105 and 140 DAP.
- 9- Untreated (control).

The herbicides were applied by knapsack sprayer equipped with one nozzle boom and water volume was 200 L/fed. Weeds from 1 m^2 , were hand pulled chosen at random from each plot at 60 and 150 DAP for experiments of the individual treatments and at 90 and 150 DAP for other two experiments of combined treatments. Weeds were classified into broad-leaved weeds and grasses. Dry weight (g/m²) of each group and the total weeds were determined,

Sugar cane yield was harvested on February, 20th and February, 25th of 2001 and 2002 seasons, respectively. Samples of 20 stalks were chosen at random from 2 inner rows of each plot to study the following characters:

- 1-Stalk height(cm):was measured from land level till dewlap.
- 2-Stalk diameter (cm): measured at middle part of the stalk.
- 3-cane yield (t/fed): cane stalks of the three guarded rows were harvested, topped, cleaned, weighed and cane yield (t/fed) was calculated.
- 4- Sugar yield (t/fed): was estimated according to the following equation:

Raw sugar production = Cane yield (t/fed) X Recovery % 5- Sucrose %: was determined

- by using sacharemeter as g/100 cm³ of juice according to AOAC (1995).
- 6- Total Soluble Solid % (TSS % or Brix %): was determined by using hand refractometer from the 6th internode.
- 7- Purity %:was calculated by the following equation:

Purity % =

Sucrose % X (100 / Brix %).

Data were statistically analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1982) and LSD at 5 % level was used for the comparisons between the treatment means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, the presented broad-leaved weeds were Amaranthus viridis L.. Beta vulgaris L., Chenopodium album L..Convolvulus arvensis Hibiscus trionum L., Melilotus indicus L., Portulaca olereacea L. and Sida alba L. The presented grassy weeds were Avena sp., Cynodon dactylon L., Cyperus rotundus L., Lolium sp., Phalaris sp. and Sorghum Sp.

1- Effect of individual treatments on weeds:

Table (1): Effect of weed control treatments (individual) on dry weight (g/m²) of grassy, broad-leaved and total weeds at 60 and 150 DAP during 1999/2001 season.

	Rate/	1st survey	at 60 DAP		2 nd survey at 150 DAP			
Treatments	fed	Broad Leaved	Grassy weeds	Total weeds	Broad Leaved	Grassy weeds	Total weeds	
		wt. (g/m²)	wt. (g/m²)	wt. (g/m²)	wt. (g/m²)	wt. (g/m²)	wt. (g/m²)	
Metribuzin	300 g	4.28	19.34	23.62	127.9	92.0	219.9	
Metosulam	80 cc	18.45	64.92	83.37	145.5	150.0	295.5	
Tribenuron	8 g	9.27	68.26	77.53	129.2	165.0	294.2	
Glufosinate	2L	10.23	23.40	33.63	165,4	123.0	288.4	
Hand hoeing*	3 times			l —	80.7	35.0	115.7	
Hand hoeing*	4 times				28.8	21.6	50.4	
Control		122.48	64.68	187.16	428.0	142.0	570.0	
LSD (5%)		17.8	14.3	25.0	\$1.3	13.8	62.1	

^{*} Hand hoeing at 3 and 4 times are still not completed during 1st survey.

Table (2): Effect of weed control treatments (individual) on dry weight (g/m²) of grassy, broad-leaved and total weeds at 60 and 150 DAP during 2000/2002 season.

•	Rate/	1st survey	at 60 DAP		2 survey at 150 DAP			
Treatments	fed	Broad Leaved	Grassy weeds	Total weeds	Broad Leaved	Grassy weeds	Total weeds	
		wt. (g/m²)	wt. (g/m²)	wt. (g/m²)	wt. (g/m²)	wt. (g/m²)	wt. (g/m²)	
Metribuzin	300 g	5.35	30.47	35.82	184.3	146.4	330.7	
Metosulam	80 cc	35.82	109.82	125.64	218.8	249.0	467.8	
Tribenuron	8 g	12.40	119.60	132.0	217.4	243.0	460.4	
Glufosinate	2L	17.76	35.88	53:64	255.2	213.0	468.2	
Hand hoeing*	3 times	1			101.5	54.0	155.5	
Hand hoeing*	4 times				33.4	33.0	66.4	
Control		187.30	108.00	295.3	667.6	241.0	908.6	
LSD (5%)		27.6	20.6	34.4	66.2	19.5	75.7	

^{*} Hand hoeing at 3 and 4 times are still not completed during 1st survey.

Results in Tables (1 & 2) showed that all weed control treatments caused significantly reduction in dry weight (g/m²) of grassy, broad-leaved and total weeds at 60 and 150 DAP as compared with control in both seasons.

1-1- Broad leaved weeds:

Metribuzin at 300 g/fed, metosulam at 80 cc/fed, tribenuron at 8 g/fed, and glufosinate at 2L/fed reduced dry weight of broad-leaved weeds at 60 DAP by 96.5, 84.9, 92.4 and 91.6 %, in 1st season and by 97.1, 91.6, 93.4 and 90.5%, respectively in 2nd season compared with control treatment. Meanwhile, hand hoeing at 4 times, hand hoeing at 3 times and metribuzin at 300 g/fed gave the highest reduction on the dry weight of broad-leaved weeds at 150 DAP by 93.3, 81.1 and 70.1 %, in 1st season and by 95.0, 84.8 and 72.4 %, respectively in 2nd season compared with as control treatment.

1-2- Grassy weeds:

Metribuzin at 300 g/fed, and glufosinate at 2L/fed reduced dry weight of grassy weeds at 60 DAP by 70.1 and 63.8 %, in 1st season and by 71.8 and 66.8 %, respectively in 2nd season as

compared with control treatment. Meanwhile, hand hoeing at 4 times and hand hoeing at 3 times gave the highest reduction on dry weight of grassy weeds at 150 DAP by 84.8 and 75.4 %, in 1st season and by 86.3 and 77.6 %, respectively in 2nd season as compared with control treatment.

1-3- Total of broad leaved and grassy weeds:

Metribuzin at 300 g/fed, and glufosinate at 2L/fed reduced dry weight of total weeds at 60 **DAP** by 87.3 and 82.0 %, in 1^{st} season and by 87.7 and 81.8 %, respectively in 2nd season as compared with control treatment. Meanwhile, hand hoeing at 4 times, hand hoeing at 3 times and metribuzin at 300 g/fed gave the highest reduction on the dry weight of the total weeds at 150 DAP by 91.2, 79.2 and 61.4 %, in 1st season and by 92.7, 82.9 and 63.6 %, respectively in 2nd season as compared with control treatment. Hand hoeing at 4 times is the best weed control treatments for controlling the broad leaved and grassy weeds followed by hand hoeing at 3 times and metribuzin at 300 g/fed in both seasons. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Salunkhe et al. (1990), Bassiouny et al. (1993), Attalla et

al. (1995), Marion (1996), Kholosy et al. (1998), Richard (1997) and Attalla (2002).

2 - Effect of individual treatments on sugar cane productivity:

The results in Tables (3&4) showed that all weed control significant treatments caused increasing in stalk height (cm), stalk diameter (cm), sucrose %, purity %, sugar cane yield (t/fed) and sugar yield (t/fed) as compared with control treatment in both seasons. The highest value of the previous respective characters were obtained from hand hoeing at 4 times by 250.8. 2.89, 19.64, 92.9 43.95 and 6.13, in 1st season and by 251.8, 2.85, 19.29, 94.74, 42.41 and 5.97, respectively in 2nd one as compared with control treatment. Sugar cane vield and sugar vield were increased from previous respective treatment by 43.44 and 54.0 % in 1st season and by 38.87 and 59.2 %, respectively in 2nd season as compared with control treatment. This result may be due to decrease the (weed - crop competition) where it reflected increasing of sugar cane yield. These results are in agreement with those obtained

by Santo (1989), Abd El-Latif et al. (1994), Mahadevaswamy et al. (1994), Attalla et al. (1995) and Thakur et al. (1995).

3- Effect of combined treatments on weeds:

The results in Tables (5&6) showed that all weed control treatments caused significant reduction in dry weight (g/m²) of grassy, broad-leaved and total weeds at 90 and 150 DAP as compared with control treatment in both seasons.

3-1- Broad leaved weeds:

Metribuzin at 300 g/fed plus glufosinate at 2L/fed, metribuzin plus hand hoeing once, glufosinate plus glufosinate glufosinate plus hand hoeing once. glufosinate alone and metribuzin alone reduced dry weight of broad-leaved weeds at 90 DAP by 78.3, 82.4, 81.2, 83.3, 73.7 and 75.7 %, in 1st season and by 73.5, 80.7, 79.4, 80.3, 75.3 and 70.3 %, in 2nd one respectively compared with control as treatment. Meanwhile, hand hoeing at 4 times, hand hoeing at 3 times, metribuzin plus glufosinate and metribuzin plus hand hoeing once gave the highest reduction on the dry weight of broad-leaved weeds at 150 DAP by 87.9, 84.3, 71.6 and

Table (3):Effect of individual treatments on sugar cane yield and juice quality during 1999/2001 season.

50450	, e.e.							
Treatments	Rate/ fed	Stalk height (cm)	Stalk diameter (cm)	Cane yield (t/fed)	Sugar yield (t/fed)	Sucrose %	Brix %	Purity %
Metribuzin	300g	245.9	2.85	41.48	5.56	19.23	22.37	85.96
Metosulam	80cc	234.7	2.78	41.15	5.45	18.86	22.51	83.78
Tribenuron	8g	228.1	2.81	40.51	5.54	19.13	22.19	86.21
Glufosinate	2L	206.4	2.75	38.12	5.14	19.42	21.48	90.41
Hand hoeing	3 times	249.3	2.87	41.9	5.77	18.89	20.51	92.10
Hand hoeing	4 times	250.8	2.89	43.95	6.13	19.64	21.14	92.90
control		180.7	2.48	30.64	3.98	18.64	26.16	71.25
LSD (5%)		22.76	0.08	1.75	0.3	0.2	1.1	1.59

Table (4): Effect of individual treatments on sugar cane yield and juice quality during 2000/2002 season.

Scas	JII.							
	Rate/	Stalk	Stalk	Cane	Sugar	Sucrose	Brix	Purity
Treatments	fed	height	diameter	yield	yield	%	%	%
	}	(cm)	(cm)	(t/fed)	(t/fed)		1	
Metribuzin	300g	249.8	2.81	39.95	5.54	18.34	21.18	86.59
Metosulam	80cc	242.1	2.75	39.12	5.34	18.11	21.72	83.38
Tribenuron	8g	232.7	2.78	38.22	5.22	19.08	21.89	87.16
Glufosinate	2L	218.6	2.70	37,35	5.19	18.13	20.36	89.05
Hand hoeing	3 times	250.2	2.82	40.20	5.61	19.17	20.81	92.12
Hand hoeing	4 times	251.8	2.85	42.41	5,97	19.29	20.36	94.74
control	}	192.4	2.22	30.54	3,75	18.9	24.94	75.78
LSD (5%)	1	18.5	0.2	2.1	0.35	0.3	0.45	5.0

72.4 %, in 1st season and by 90.7, 83.4, 68.4 and 69.9 %, respectively in 2nd season as compared with control treatment.

3-2- Grassy weeds:

Metribuzin plus glufosinate. metribuzin plus hand hoeing once. glufosinate plus hand hoeing once and metribuzin reduced dry weight of grassy weeds at 90 DAP by 75.4. 80.7. 74.6 and 70.2%, in 1st season and by 74.6, 77.7, 69.2 and 68.3 %, respectively in 2nd season compared with control treatment.Meanwhile, hand hoeing at 4 times and hand hoeing at 3 times gave the highest reduction on dry weight of grassy weeds at 150 DAP by 83.5 and 75.2 %, in 1st season and by 84.4 and 76.4 %, respectively in 2nd season as compared with control treatment.

3-3- Total of broad leaved and grassy weeds:

Metribuzin plus glufosinate, metribuzin plus hand hoeing once, glufosinate plus glufosinate and glufosinate plus hand hoeing once reduced dry weight of total weeds at 90 DAP by 77.6, 81.9, 77.2 and 81.1%, in 1st season and by 73.8, 79.8, 75.6 and 77.1 %, respectively in 2nd season as compared with control treatment. Meanwhile, hand hoeing at 4 times and hand

hoeing at 3 times gave the highest reduction on dry weight of the total weeds at 150 DAP by 86.6 and 81.6 % in 1st season and by 88.8 and 81.4 %, respectively in season as compared with control treatment. These results may be attributed to the integration of herbicide with hand hoeing or herbicide was better than herbicide alone on the weeds. Therefore, the application of herbicide is effective only during the early stage and hand hoeing or herbicide necessary to be taken up later stages to avoide weed competition. Hence, integrated methods of weed control by using hand hoeing 4 times as well as herbicide with hand hoeing or herbicide are best for sugar cane crop because they stay in the land more than one year. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Ahmed et al. (1989), Santo (1989), Salunkhe et al. (1990), Mason (1991), Abd El-Latif et al. (1994), Thakur et al. (1995) and Marion (1996).

4- Effect of combined treatments on sugar cane productivity:

The results in Tables(7&8) showed that stalk height (cm), stalk diameter(cm), sucrose %, brix %, purity %, sugar cane yield (t/fed) and sugar yield (t/fed) significantly

Table (5): Effect of combined treatments on dry weight (g/m²) of grassy, broad-leaved and total weeds at 90 and 150 DAP during 1999/2001 season

	Rate/	1 survey	at 90 DAP		2 nd survey at 150 DAP			
Treatments	fed	Broad Leaved wt. (g/m²)	Grassy weeds wt. (g/m²)	Total weeds wt. (g/m²)	Hroad Leaved wt. (g/m²)	Grassy weeds wt. (g/m²)	Total weeds wt. (g/m²)	
Metribuzin	300g	89.8	37.2	127.0	194.8	168.5	363.3	
Metribuzin+Glufosinate	300g+2L	79.9	30.7	110.6	166.4	161.3	327.7	
Metribuzin+Hand hoeing	300g+1	65.1	24.1	89.2	161.7	162.1	323.8	
Glufosinate	2L	97.2	59.5	156.7	225.7	215.2	440.9	
Glufosinate+ Glufosinate	2L+ 2L	69.4	43.3	112.7	209.2	212.2	421.4	
Glufosinate+Hand hoeing	2L+1	61.7	31.8	93.5	199.6	209.2	408.8	
Hand hoeing	3 times				91.7	60.9	152.6	
Hand hoeing	4 times				70.5	40.6	111.1	
Control		369.0	125.0	494	585.0	246.0	831.0	
LSD (5%)	- {	20.3	9.9	9.1	37.4	23.7	40.5	

^{*} Hand hoeing at 3 and 4 times are still not completed during 1st survey

Table (6): Effect of combined treatments on dry weight (g/m²) of grassy, broad-leaved and total weeds at 90 and 150 DAP during 2000/2002 season.

	Rate/	1 survey a	t 90 DAP	2 nd survey at 150 DAP			
Treatments	fed	Broad Leaved wt. (g/m²)	Grassy weeds wt. (g/m²)	Totai weeds wt. (g/m²)	Broad Leaved wt. (g/m²)	Grassy weeds wt. (g/m²)	Total weeds wt. (g/m²)
Metribuzin	300g	155.1	69.2	224.3	281.6	201.5	483.1
Metribuzin+Glufosinate	300g+2L	138.5	55.3	193.8	236.3	192.7	429 0
Metribuzin+Hand hoeing	300g+ 1	101.0	48.6	149.6	225.4	196.1	421.5
Glufosinate	2L	129.1	112.3	241.4	303.5	273.0	576.5
Glufosmate+ Glufosmate	2L+ 2L	107.6	73.5	181.1	281.9	263.4	545.3
Glufosinate+Hand hoeing	2L+1	102.8	67.1	169.9	277.2	259.2	536.4
Hand hoeing	3 times				124.0	73.6	197.6
Hand hoeing	4 times				69.5	48.8	118.3
Control	1	523.0	218.0	741.0	748.0	312.0	1060
LSD (5%)		25.0	29.6	56.5	51.1 -	24.7	79.5

^{*} Hand hoeing at 3 and 4 times are still not completed during 1" survey.

increased with weed control compared with as treatments control in both seasons. The highest stalk height. stalk diameter sucrose, sugar cane vield and sugar yield were obtained from hand hoeing at 4 times by 256.6, 2.91, 19.86, 48.62 and 6.81, in 1st season and by 252.4, 2.86, 19.76, 47.35 and 6.73, respectively in 2nd season followed by hand hoeing at 3 times, metribuzin plus hand hoeing once, glufosinate plus hand hoeing once as compared with control treatment in both seasons. Hand hoeing at 4 times increased sugar cane yield and sugar yield by 44.1 and 40.4 % in 1st season and by 51.9 and 64.6 %. respectively in 2nd season as compared with control. These increases may be attributed to the increase dry in matter accumulation in plant, stalk height and diameter as well as decreasing the period of (weed - crop competition) for space, sun light, water and nutrients. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Ahmed et al. (1989), Santo (1989), Salunkhe et (1990), Mason (1991), Abd El-Latif et al. (1994), Thakur et al. (1995) and Marion (1996).

In general, it is clear that hand hoeing at 4 times gave the best weed control treatment in

decreasing the dry weight of weeds(broad-leaved and grasses) combined either individual OF treatments and it gave the highest vield of sugar cane in both seasons. Metribuzin, metosulam, tribenuron, glufosinate as individual and treatments reduced dry weight of broad-leaved weeds at 60 DAP. meanwhile metribuzin glufosinate reduced dry weight of grasses and total weeds at 60 DAP in both seasons. Hand hoeing at 4 times reduced the dry weight of broad-leaved, grasses and total weeds at 150 DAP followed by hand hoeing at 3 times and metribuzin in both seasons. Hand hoeing at 4 times gave the highest sugar cane and sugar yields followed by hand hoeing at 3 times and metribuzin in both seasons. Metribuzin plus glufosinate, metribuzin plus hocing hand once glufosinate plus glufosinate and glufosinate plus hand hoeing once as combined treatments reduced the dry weight of broadleaved, grasses and total weeds at 90 DAP in both season. Hand hoeing at 4 times, hand hoeing at 3 times, metribuzin plus glufosinate and metribuzin plus hand hoeing once reduced dry weight of broadleaved weeds at 150 DAP meanwhile, hand hoeing at 4 times and hand hoeing at 3 times reduced

Table (7): Effect of combine	d treatments of	on sugar ca	ne yield and	Its juice	quality duri	ng 1999/200	01 seaso	n.
Treatments	Rate/ fed	Stalk height (cm)	Stalk diameter (cm)	Cane yield (t/fed)	Sugar yield (t/fed)	Sucrose %	Brix %	Purity %
Metribuzin	300g	234.8	2.78	41.48	5.56	18.47	20.8	88.80
Metribuzin+Glufosinate	300g+2L	236.3	2.80	43.74	6.21	18.89	21.8	86.65
Metribuzin+Hand hoeing	300g+ 1	239.5	2.85	45.47	6.37	19.23	21.95	87.61
Glufosinate	2L	206.4	2.75	38.12	5.43	18.28	20.91	87.42
Glufosinate+Glufosinate	2L+ 2L	228.6	2.81	42.10	5.91	18.86	22.85	82,54
Glufosinate+Hand hoeing	2L+ 1	241.8	2.86	44.95	6.11	19.14	22.38	85.52
Hand hoeing	3 times	255.3	2.88	46.44	6.50	19.64	21.88	89.76
Hand hoeing	4 times	256.6	2.91	48.62	6.81	19.86	21.69	91.56
Control		190.8	2.59	33.75	4.85	15.04	20.49	73.4
LSD (5%)		10.0	0.09	1.9	0.3	0.72	0.9	3.9

	Rate/ fed	Stalk	Stalk	Cane	Sugar	Sucrose	Brix	Purity
Treatments	-	height	diameter	yield	yield	%	%	%
		(cm)	(cm)	(t/fed)	(t/fed)		1	}
Metribuzin	300g	219.6	2.75	39.95	5.25	17.89	20.62	86.76
Metribuzin+Glufosinate	300g+2L	238.4	2.76	42.98	5.85	18.55	21.67	85.60
Metribuzin+Hand hoeing	300g+1	242.8	2.81	43.42	5.94	18.69	21.18	88.24
Glufosinate	2L	218.6	2.70	37.35	5.10	17.80	20.36	87.43
Glufosinate+Glufosinate	2L+ 2L	220.5	2.73	39.56	5,38	18.43	21.10	87.35
Glufosinate+Hand hoeing	2L+ 1	242.1	2.79	43.83	5.96	18.34	20.79	88.22
Hand hoeing	3 times	248.5	2.83	45.21	6.21	19.10	21.40	89.25
Hand hoeing	4 times	252.4	2.86	47.35	6.73	19.76	21.74	90.89
Control	1	186.3	2.42	31.18	4.09	16.32	21.93	74.42
LSD (5%)		10.3	0.12	2.1	0.5	1.1	1.1	2.5

dry weight of grassy weeds at 150 DAP in both seasons. Hand hoeing 4 times gave the highest sugar cane and sugar yields followed by hand hoeing 3 times, metribuzin plus hand hoeing once, glufosinate plus hand hoeing once in both seasons. The integration of herbicide with hand hoeing or herbicide were better than herbicide alone for controlling the prevailing weeds. From this study, it can be considered that hand hoeing at 4 times is the effective best treatrment controlling the studied weeds and gave the highest sugar cane and sugar vields/fed.

4-REFERENCES

Abd El-Latif; F. A. (1990).

Effect of some herbicides on sugar cane productivity and quality. Ph. D. Thesis, Dep. Agron., Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Assiut, 159 pp.

and H. T. Al- Marsafy (1994). Effect of some new herbicides On weed control, yield, yield components and juice quality of sugar cane. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 19(2), 453-462.

Ahmed; S. N.; R. Durai; T. Kalaimani and S. Rajasekaran (1989). Relative efficacy of different herbicides on sugar cane. Sissta sugar cane, 15 (2),

A12-13.

A.O.A.C.; (1995). Official methods of analysis, published by A.O.A.C., BOX 540, Washin -gton, D.C. pp313-318.

Attalia; S. I., H. M. Ibrahim, A. S Kholosy and L. A. Al-Meshad (1995). A study on selectivity and efficiency of herbicides on weeds and sugar cane. Egypt. J. App. Sci., 10 (7), 223-232.

control treatments and N-fertilizer rates on weeds and sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.). Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 53 (3) 365-376.

Bassiouny; A. H. M., E. A. A. Gomaa, M. B. Ashour and H. H. A. Hashem (1993). Effect of wheat cultivars, nitrogenous fertilization and weed control methods on wheat growth. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 8 (7), 297-314.

Kakde; J.R. (1985). Sugar cane production. Metropolitan book, New Delhi, India. pp 485.

Kholosy; A. S., E. E. Hassanein and M. A. Shabaan (1998). Effect of sowing methods under different seeding rates on weed control in wheat. Nile Valley Regional Program for Wild Oat Control in Cereal and some other winter crops. The 6th Annual Meeting, 6 - 11 Sept.

Cairo, Egypt p145-150.

Mahadevaswamy; M., C. Kailasam and T. R. Srinivasan (1994). Integrated weed management in sugar cane (Saccharum officinarum L.). Indian J. Agron., 39(1),83-86.

Marion; D. (1996). Trials on the efficacy of herbicides on sugar cane crops in Cote d' Ivoir (1991/92-1992/93 seasons). ANPP 1365-1372 (c.f. Weed Abst.,1998,vol 47, No. 4, p231)

Mason; G.F. (1991). The response of plant cane of different varieties to different pre - emergence herbicidal treatments. Proceedings of 24th West Indies Sugar Technologists Conference, Kingston, Jamaica, 8-12 April, p 111-124.

Nour; A. H. and A. I. Allam (1988). Chemical weed control in sugar cane fields. Agr. Res. Review, 66 (3), 497-503.

Obien; S. R. and A. M. Baltazar (1979). Weed control in sugar in the Philippines. Weed Sci. Soc. of Philippines, 45-55.

Richard; E. P. Jr. (1997).

Johnsongrass (Sorghum halepense) contrl in fallow sugar cane (Saccharum spp. hybrids) fields. Weed Tech. 11(3), 410-416.

Salunkhe; C. D., J. R. Patil and N. K. Umrani (1990).Preliminary observations on growth of sugar cane as influenced various by herbicides and cultural practices. Agric. Papers of 40th annual Convention Assoc., India., Sugar Tech. A 291-300. (c.f. Weed Abst., 1993 Vol. 42, No. 2, p 98).

Santo; L.T. (1989). Promising new herbicides for weed control in sugar cane fields Hawaiian Sugar Tech. 47th annual report: A 56 – 57.

Snedecor; G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1982). Statistical methods. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, USA, 7thed., 507p

Thakur; G. L., H. D. Verma, and R. K. Sharma (1995). Integrated approach for weed control in sugar cane (Saccharum officinarum L.). Indian J.Agron., 40 (4),715-18

تاثير بعض طرق مقاومة الحشائش على المحصول في قصب السكر والحشائش المصاحبة

'صنفوت ابر اهیم عطائلة ' $_{-}$ کمال سید الصنغیر ' $_{-}$ اکرم نصار محمد نصار $_{-}$ محمد شمس مکی '

المعمل المركزى لبحوث الحشائش ' ــ معهد بحوث المحاصيل السكرية ' مركز البحوث الزراعية ــ الجيزة

ا قيمت اربعة تجارب حقلية في محطة بحوث المطاعنة الزراعية عركز البحوث الزراعية - اسنا-محافظة فنا خلال موسمي 1999 / 2001 و2007/2001 علي: محصول القصب الخريفي يهدف هذا البحث دراسة تاثير بعض طرق مقاومة الحشائش (المبيدات المنفردة مثل مبيد متر إبيزين بمعل ٢٠٠ جم/ف ـ جلوفسينت بمعل التر/ف ــ ترايبنيرون بمعل ٨ جم/ف _ ميتوسليم بمعدل ٨٠ سم/ف) اوالمختلطة مثل(متراييزين-ـ مترايبزين + جلوفسينت ــ متراييزين + عزيق مرة واحدة ــ جلوفسينت ــ جلوفسينت + عزيق مرة واحدة ــ جلوفسينت ــ جلوفسينت) مع مقارنتها بمعاملة العزيق على نبات ـ قصب السكر والحشائش المصاحبة. اظهرت نتائج المبيدات المنفردة أن بعض المعاملات مثل العزيق ؛ والعزيق ٣ مرات و متراييزين احد ثت نقصا معنويا في الوزن الجاف للحشائش العريضة بعد ١٥٠ يوم من الزراعة بمقدار ٩٣,٣ - ٨١,١ - ٧٠,١ % في الموسم الأول و ٩٥ ـ ٨٤,٨ ـ ٧٢.٤ % في الموسم الثاني. احد ثت بعض المعاملات المختلطة مثل العزيق ؛ و ٣ مرات و متراييزين + جلوفسينت و متراييزين + عزيق مرة واحدة نقصا معنويا في الوزن الجاف للحشائش العريضة بعد ١٥٠ يوم من الزراعة بمقدا ر ٨٧,٩ - ٨٤,٣ - ٢٩,٧ ـ ٧٢,٤ % في الموسم الاول و٧,٠ ٩ ـ ٨٣,٤ ـ ١٨,٤ . ٢٩,٩ % في الموسم الثاني. لحد ثت معاملات العزيق ٤ مرات والعزيق ٣ مرات سواء المنفردة والمختلطة نقصا معويا في الوزن الجاف للحشائش النجيلية بعد ١٥٠ يوم من الزراعة بمقدار يتراوح من ٧٥,٢ الى ٨٦,٣ % في الموسمين.

اظهرت نتائج المبيدات المنفردة تقوق معاملة العزيق ؛ مرات عن باقى المعاملات فى انتاجية محصول قصب السكر وكان مقدار محصول قصب السكر ومحصول السكر هو ٢٠٤١ و ٢٠٩٠ طن/فدان فى الموسم الاول و ٢٠٤١ و ٢٠٥٠ طن/فدان فى الموسم الثانى. و قد زاد محصول قصب السكر زيادة معنوية بمقدار ٢٠٤٤ % فى الموسم الاول و ٣٨٠٨ % فى الموسم الثانى.

اظهرت نتائج المبيدات المختلطة تفوق معاملة العزيق ٤ مرات عن باقى المعاملات في انتاجية محصول قصب السكر وكان مقدار محصول قصب السكر ومحصول السكر هو ٢٠٨٦ و ٢٠٨٣ طن/فدان في الموسم الأول و ٢٠٣٥ و ٢٠٨٣ طن/فدان في الموسم الثاني. و قد زاد محصول قصب السكر زيادة معنوية بمقدار ٤٤،١ % في الموسم الأول و ٢٠٨٦ % في الموسم الثاني.

من هذة الدراسة يعتبر ان معاملة العزيق ٤ مرات هي افضل معاملة لمقاومة الحشائش واعطاء اعلى محصول قصب السكر وبالتالي محصول السكر.