# UPTAKE OF NUTRIENTS AND HEAVY METALS BY BARLEY PLANT GROWN ON SANDY AND CALCAREOUS SOILS AS AFFECTED BY IRRIGATION WATER SALINITY AND SEWAGE SLUDGE ADDITION

#### \*Hassan, M.A.M. and \*\*M.M.Mostafa

 \* Soil and Water Department, Faculty of Agricultural Environmental Science, El-Arish, Suez Canal University
 \*\*Soil Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University

Received 12 / 6 / 2002 Accepted 21 / 10 / 2002

ABSTRACT: A pot experiment was conducted to study the effect of irrigation water salinity and sewage sludge application on the dry matter yield, and uptake of macronutrients (NPK), micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu) and heavy metals (Ni, Co, Pb, Cd) by barley plants grown on sandy and calcareous soils.

Increasing salinity level of irrigation water significantly decreased the dry matter content, macro and micronutrients uptake for both sandy or calcareous soils. However, the uptake of heavy metals in plants gave an opposite trend, i.e., it was increased by increasing salinity of irrigation water except for Cd which decreased with increasing the salinity level of irrigation water. Addition of sewage sludge increased the dry matter content, NPK uptake, micronutrient (Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu) uptake. This was found true under all salinity level of irrigation water. Heavy metal uptake by plant gave a similar trend to that of macro and micronutrients. 1930

-

#### INTRODUCTION

Under North Sinai conditions. as any arid and semi arid regions, soil content of organic matter is generally low. Abdel-Ghaffar (1982) stated that the common range of organic matter in calcareous and sandy soils is 0.5%. usually less than Application of municipal refuse to soils under such conditions is a special importance to of increase their organic matter content because of the shortage in traditional farmyard manure.

Both pot and field experiments proved the efficiency of sewage sludge in increasing the yield crops (El-Shebiny et al., 1995; Mehana and Matloub, 1997; El-Fayoumy et al., 2000). Sopper (1979) reported that the major factor limiting the long range use of sewage sludge has proved to be the potential hazard due to the presence of heavy metals such as Zn, Cu, Ni and Cd. On the other hand, saline water is widely used in Delta Wadi El-Arish area as the main source for irrigation. The influence of salinity on the uptake of micronutrients was invistigated by Abou-Ellil (1992) and Saleh (1994). They found that the uptake of Fe, Mn and Zn by mize was decreased by increasing salinity level. Helal et al., (199t) found that irrigation water salinity depressed the uptake of heavy metals by plant.

Abd-Alla et al. (1993) found that saline waer caused an increase in the extractable Co and Pb in alluvial and calcareous soils. On the other hand, they found that increasing Ca in saline water caused a decrease in extractable Cd in soil.

Crops grown on calcareous soil were found to accumulate significantly less Cd than those grown on more acidic soils. Also, the high Ca content of calcareous soil may reduce Cd absorption. Generally plants grown on clay soils accumulate higher amounts of all metals than those grown on sandy soils. The present work aimed to study the effect of irrigation water salinity level under application of different rates of sewage sludge on the uptake of some nutrients and heavy metals by barley plant under sandy and calcareous soil conditions.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The soils used in this investigation were sandy and calcareous soils taken from Delta Wadi El-Arish area. The sewage sludge used was obtained from El-Arish Wast Water Treatment Station.

The main characteristics of the investigated soils and sewage sludge are presented in Tables (1, 2). The soil and sludge materials were air-dried, ground and passed through a 2 mm sieve. Thereafter, the soil samples were uniformly packed in plastic pots of 30 cm height and 25 cm diameter at a rate of 10 kg pot<sup>-1</sup>.

The experimental design included 20 treatments which

were the combinations of 5 irrigation water salinity levels viz, control (tap water, 480 mg 1<sup>-1</sup>), 2000, 4000, 6000 and 8000 mg  $1^{-1}$ , and four levels of dried sewage sludge viz, 0, 10, 20 and  $30 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  of the air-dried soil. Saline irrigation water samples were prepared by diluting of sea water to reach the required concentrations. Sewage sludge was throughly mixed with the top 15 cm of the soil in each pot before cultivation. The different treatments were arranged in a split plot design with 4 replicates. Superphosphate  $(15.5\% P_2O_5)$ and potassium sulfate ( $48\% K_2O$ ) at the rates of 30 Kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 50 Kg  $K_2O$  fed<sup>-1</sup> were added as basic treatments to all pots to a depth of 15 cm. Five barley seeds were sown each on pot. Ammonium sulfate at a rate of 30 Kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> was applied after 40 days from sowing. The soil moisture content in the pots was maintained at field capacity. At heading stage (12 weeks after sowing), the plants were

Soil variable	Sandy soil	Calcareous soil
EC* (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	0.90	2.80
pH (1 : 2.5 soil : water)	7.70	7.90
Organic C (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.072	0.101
Total nitrogen (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.007	0.009
Total carbonate (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	2.9	2.9
CEC (c mol kg <sup>-1</sup> )	6.90	16.70
Na HCO3-soluble P (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.68	2.73
DTPA-extractable elements (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )		
Fe	2.51	2.63
Mn	1.52	1.62
Zn	0.41	0.42
Cu	0.32	0.36
Ni	0.20	0.23
Со	0.11	0.14
Рь	0.41	0.46
Cd	0.12	0.10
Paricale size distribution		
Coarse sand %	91.50	65.92
Fine sand %	4.82	19.62
Silt %	2.21	12.80
Clay %	1.47	1.66
Texture	Sand	Loamy sand

# Table (1): Some chemical and physical properties of the soils used

\* In soil saturation extract

1932

fellense

**~**\_\_\_\_\_

. .

i.

Variable	Value
EC* (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	2.9
pH*	7.1
Organic C (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	388
Total nitrogen (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	23.7
C/N ratio	16.4
DTPA-extractable elements (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
Fe	69.5
Mn	27
Zn	16
Cu	0.25
Ni	3.02
Со	1.25
Pb	5.2
Cd	0.11

 Table (2): Main chemical characteristics of the sewage sludge used

\* In sewage sludge water suspension (1 : 10)

harvested, washed with water, and dried at 70°C, and the plant dry weights were recorded. The dried shoots were ground and analyzed for N. P and K according to Page et al., (1982). Fe. Mn. Zn. Cu. Pb. Co. Ni and Cd were determined using an absorption atomic spectrophotometer. After plant harvest, DTPA extractable Ni, Co, Pb, and Cd were measured in the soil by an atomic absorption spectrophotometer.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Dry matter yield

Dry matter yield of barley plant, as affected by irrigation water salinity and sewage sludge application is presented in Table 3.

Regarding main effect of irrigation water salinity level on dry matter yield of barley, data reveal that increasing the salinity level was accompanied by a gradual decrease in the dry matter yield. This finding is in agreement with those obtained by Mostafa (1984). This effect was true with both studied soils.

Table 3 shows also that the addition of sewage sludge under all irrigation water salinity levels resulted in significant increases in dry matter yield. This effect was particularly pronounced at 3% level. The recorded increases in dry mater yield were 18.4 and 4.44% with sandy and calcareous soils over the control values under the highest level of irrigation water salinity (8000 ppm). The promotive effect of sewage sludge in increasing yield of crops have been proved by Mashaly et al. (1993) and El-Shebiny et al. (1995).

On the other hand, it was found that the interaction effect between the two studied factors is significant under both studied soils conditions. This indicate that the depressive effect of irrigation water salinity on dry matter yield can be overcome-to some extent- by addition of sewage sludge.

Irrigation water salinity (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	Sewage sludge (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Sandy soil	Calcareous soil			
0		1.06	1.00			
Control	10	1.37	1.35			
Control	20	1.59	1.58			
	30	1.64	1.67			
	0	1.01	0.98			
2000	10	1.13	0.99			
2000	20	1.19	1.00			
	30	1.21	1.10			
	0	1.00	0.95			
4000	10	1.10	0.97			
	20	1.13	0.98			
	30		0.99			
0 5000 10		0.93	0.92			
		0.98	0.94			
0000	20	1.13	0.95			
	30	1.17	0.96			
	0	0.87	0.90			
8000	10	0.96	0.92			
	20	0.99	0.93			
30		1.03	0.94			
L.S.D. (0.05) Irrigation wat Sewage sludg	er salinity level ge rate	0.001 0.009	0.001 0.002			
Interaction		0.010	0.004			

Table (3): Effect of irrigation water salinity and sewage<br/>sludge application on the dry weight of barley<br/>plant (gm plant<sup>-1</sup>) grown in two soils

#### Macronutrients uptake

Data presented in Tables (4, 5)reveal that N, P and K uptake by barley plant was significantly decreased as а result of irrigation increasing water salinity level. This was true under the two studied soil conditions. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Sameni et al., (1980) who noticed that N uptake by bean plant generally decreased with the increase in the salinity level of the irrigation water. Abdul-Kadir and Panlsen (1982) reported that the increase in NaCl salinity significantly decreased the amount of total N in all organs of wheat plants, presumably due to the antigonism of nitrate by chloride as a major factor. Aslam et al., (1984) found that salinity severely inhibited the absorption of nitrate-N by barley plants.

The depressive effect of irrigation water salinity on K uptake by plant is in agreement with that obtained by El-Sawaby and Amer (1977). The data also

show that the N, P and K uptake by barley plant was significantly increased by sludge addition to the soil.

With respect to the interaction between irrigation water salinity level and sewage application, data reveal that addition of the sludge can overcome the deleterious effect of irrigation water salinity.

#### Micronutrient s uptake

The uptake of Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu as affected by irrigation water salinity level and sewage sludge application is presented in Tables (6, 7). The Fe, Mn, Zn, and Cu uptake significantly decreased as a result of increasing irrigation water salinity level in both soils. However, the magnitudes of increase are relatively low in calcareous soil. These results are similar to those obtained by Abou-Ellil (1992) and Saleh (1994) who found that the content of Fe, Mn and Zn in maize shoots was decreased with increasing salinity levels.

Treatr	nent	Uptake (mg / pot)				
Irrigation water salinity (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	Sewage sludge (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	N	P	K		
	0	17.3	3.07	40.2		
Control	10	22.7	4.11	52.5		
	20	26.6	4.93	63.4		
	30	27.7	5.41	37.1		
	0	15.8	2.73	41.7		
2000	10	17.7	3.28	44.2		
2000	20	18.9	3.69	45.0		
	30	19.4	3.87	35.8		
	0	14.7	2.50	······································		
4000	10	16.4	2.86	· 40.9		
	20	17.2	3.16	41.8		
	30	17.6	3.36	31.3		
	0	12.4	2.14	31.3		
(000	10	13.2	2.35	33.2		
0000	20	15.5	2.94	38.5		
	30	16.3	3.16	40.1		
	0	11.1	1.74	27.8		
8000	10	12.4	2.02	31.0		
0000	20	12.9	2.28	32.2		
	30	13.6	2.58	33.6		
L.S.D. (0.05)						
Irrigation wat	er salinity level	1.30	0.40	2.63		
Sewage sludg	je rate	0.41	0.13	0.92		
Interaction		1.62	0.50	2.86		

# Tabl (4): Effect of irrigation water salinity and sewage<br/>sludge application on macronutrient uptake (mg<br/>Plant<sup>-1</sup>) by barley plant grown in a sandy soil

Table	(5): Effect	of irrigation	water	salinity	and se	wage
	sludge a	pplication on	macro	nutrient	uptake	(mg
	Plant <sup>-1</sup> )	by barley plan	t grow	n in a cal	careous	soil

Treat	ment	Uptake (mg_plant <sup>-1</sup> )		
Irrigation water salinity (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	Sewage sludge (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	N	K	
	0	16.2	2.30	35.7
Control	10	22.0	3.24	48.5
	20	26.1	3.95	57.4
	30	27.9	4.51	61.3
	0	15.1	1.96	34.4
2000	10	15.4	2.18	40.0
2000	20	15.7	2.30	35.4
·	30	17.4	2.64	39.1
•	0	13.4	1.52	32.5
4000	10	13.9	1.65	33.4
	20	14.2	1.86	33.9
	30	14.5	1.98	34.6
	0	12.0	1.10	29.6
6000	10	12.4	1.32	30.6
6000	20	12.6	1.43	31.2
	30	13.0	1.63	31.6
	0	11.1	0.90	28.4
8000	10	11.5	1.01	29.3
0000	20	11.7	1.21	29.7
	30	12.0	1.41	30.1
L.S.D. (0.05)	L.S.D. (0.05)			
Irrigation water salinity level		1.01	0.12	1,30
Sewage sludg	e rate	0.20	0.01	0.02
Interaction		1.50	0.14	1.30

Irrigation water salinity (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )         Sewage sludge (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )         Fe         Mn         Zn         Cu           Control         0         49         55.2         31.5         7.21           10         237         72.8         41.2         10.00           200         280         88.4         49.8         12.24           30         300         94.0         56.4         13.45           2000         159         50.1         26.0         6.16           10         188         52.1         26.1         7.23           2000         10         188         52.1         26.1         7.23           2000         205         52.6         26.5         8.09           30         217         52.2         26.3         8.71           4000         152         45.1         22.7         5.4           4000         171         47.5         22.7         6.27           20         180         47.0         21.8         6.67           30         189         46.2         21.5         7.24           6000         120         35.3         18.7         4.65           30 <th>Treati</th> <th colspan="3">Treatment</th> <th colspan="4">Uptake (µg plant<sup>-1</sup>)</th>	Treati	Treatment			Uptake (µg plant <sup>-1</sup> )			
Control04955.231.57.211023772.841.210.002028088.449.812.243030094.056.413.452000015950.126.06.161018852.126.17.232020552.626.58.093021752.226.38.71400015245.122.75.41017147.522.76.272018047.021.86.673018946.221.57.24600013639.318.74.651014740.118.95.192017244.220.56.333018445.320.56.798000012035.315.13.741013437.515.04.422014537.714.14.753015438.413.85.25Irrigation water salinity level12.123.121.801.03Sewage sludge rate9.60.200.010.02	Irrigation water salinity (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	Sewage sludge (g kg <sup>. j</sup> )	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu		
Control1023772.841.210.002028088.449.812.243030094.056.413.452000015950.126.06.161018852.126.17.232020552.626.58.093021752.226.38.71400015245.122.75.41017147.522.76.272018047.021.86.673018946.221.57.24600013639.318.74.651014740.118.95.192017244.220.56.333018445.320.56.798000012035.315.13.741013437.515.04.422014537.714.14.753015438.413.85.25Irrigation water salinity level12.123.121.801.03Sewage sludge rate9.60.200.010.02		0	49	55.2	31.5	7.21		
202028088.449.812.243030094.056.413.452000015950.126.06.161018852.126.17.23202052.626.58.093021752.226.38.7140001015245.122.75.41017147.522.76.272018047.021.86.673018946.221.57.24600013639.318.74.651014740.118.95.192017244.220.56.333018445.320.56.798000012035.315.13.741013437.515.04.422014537.714.14.753015438.413.85.25L.S.D. (0.05)Irrigation water salinity level12.123.121.801.03Sewage sludge rate9.60.200.010.02	Control	10	237	72.8	41.2	10.00		
30         300         94.0         56.4         13.45           2000         0         159         50.1         26.0         6.16           2000         10         188         52.1         26.1         7.23           20         205         52.6         26.5         8.09           30         217         52.2         26.3         8.71           4000         152         45.1         22.7         5.4           4000         171         47.5         22.7         6.27           20         180         47.0         21.8         6.67           30         189         46.2         21.5         7.24           6000         136         39.3         18.7         4.65           10         147         40.1         18.9         5.19           20         172         44.2         20.5         6.33           30         184         45.3         20.5         6.79           8000         10         120         35.3         15.1         3.74           10         134         37.5         15.0         4.42           20         154         38.4 <td< td=""><td>20</td><td>280</td><td>88.4</td><td>49.8</td><td>12.24</td></td<>		20	280	88.4	49.8	12.24		
2000015950.126.06.161018852.126.17.232020552.626.58.093021752.226.38.71400015245.122.75.41017147.522.76.272018047.021.86.672018047.021.86.673018946.221.57.24600013639.318.74.651014740.118.95.192017244.220.56.333018445.320.56.798000012035.315.13.743015438.413.85.25L.S.D. (0.05)Irrigation water salinity level12.123.121.801.03Sewage sludge rate9.60.200.010.02		30	300	94.0	56.4	13.45		
20001018852.126.17.23202052.626.58.093021752.226.38.71400015245.122.75.41017147.522.76.272018047.021.86.672018047.021.86.673018946.221.57.24600013639.318.74.651014740.118.95.192017244.220.56.333018445.320.56.7980001012035.315.13.741013437.515.04.422014537.714.14.753015438.413.85.25L.S.D. (0.05)Irrigation water salinity level12.123.121.801.03Sewage sludge rate9.60.200.010.021.03		0	159	50.1	26.0	6.16		
20002020552.626.58.093021752.226.38.71400015245.122.75.41017147.522.76.272018047.021.86.672018046.221.57.24600013639.318.74.651014740.118.95.192017244.220.56.333018445.320.56.7980001012035.315.13.741013437.515.04.422014537.714.14.753015438.413.85.25L.S.D. (0.05)Irrigation water salinity level12.123.121.801.03Sewage sludge rate9.60.200.010.021.02	2000	10	188	52.1	26.1	7.23		
30         217         52.2         26.3         8.71           4000         152         45.1         22.7         5.4           10         171         47.5         22.7         6.27           20         180         47.0         21.8         6.67           20         180         47.0         21.8         6.67           30         189         46.2         21.5         7.24           6000         136         39.3         18.7         4.65           10         147         40.1         18.9         5.19           20         172         44.2         20.5         6.33           30         184         45.3         20.5         6.79           8000         120         35.3         15.1         3.74           30         120         35.3         15.1         3.74           8000         10         134         37.5         15.0         4.42           20         145         37.7         14.1         4.75           30         154         38.4         13.8         5.25           L.S.D. (0.05)         Irrigation water salinity level         12.12         3.1	2000	20	205	52.6	26.5	8.09		
4000 $0$ $152$ $45.1$ $22.7$ $5.4$ $10$ $171$ $47.5$ $22.7$ $6.27$ $20$ $180$ $47.0$ $21.8$ $6.67$ $30$ $189$ $46.2$ $21.5$ $7.24$ $6000$ $136$ $39.3$ $18.7$ $4.65$ $10$ $147$ $40.1$ $18.9$ $5.19$ $20$ $172$ $44.2$ $20.5$ $6.33$ $30$ $184$ $45.3$ $20.5$ $6.79$ $8000$ $0$ $120$ $35.3$ $15.1$ $3.74$ $8000$ $10$ $134$ $37.5$ $15.0$ $4.42$ $20$ $145$ $37.7$ $14.1$ $4.75$ $30$ $154$ $38.4$ $13.8$ $5.25$ L.S.D. $(0.05)$ Irrigation water salinity level $12.12$ $3.12$ $1.80$ $1.03$ Sewage sludge rate $9.6$ $0.20$ $0.01$ $0.02$		30	217	52.2	26.3	8.71		
40001017147.522.76.272018047.021.86.673018946.221.57.24600013639.318.74.651014740.118.95.192017244.220.56.333018445.320.56.7980001013437.515.04.422013639.315.13.743018445.320.56.79800012035.315.13.743015437.714.14.753015438.413.85.25Irrigation water salinity level12.123.121.801.03Sewage sludge rate9.60.200.010.02		0	152	45.1	22.7	• 5.4		
20002018047.021.86.673018946.221.57.24600013639.318.74.651014740.118.95.192017244.220.56.333018445.320.56.7980001012035.315.13.74800012035.315.13.741013437.515.04.422014537.714.14.753015438.413.85.25Irrigation water salinity level12.123.121.801.03Sewage sludge rate9.60.200.010.02	4000	10	171	47.5	22.7 .	6.27		
3018946.221.57.246000013639.318.74.651014740.118.95.192017244.220.56.333018445.320.56.79800012035.315.13.74800012035.315.13.741013437.515.04.422014537.714.14.753015438.413.85.25Irrigation water salinity level12.123.121.801.03Sewage sludge rate9.60.200.010.02		20	180	47.0	21.8	6.67		
6000013639.318.74.651014740.118.95.192017244.220.56.333018445.320.56.79 $8000$ 12035.315.13.741013437.515.04.422014537.714.14.753015438.413.85.25Irrigation water salinity level12.123.121.801.03Sewage sludge rate9.60.200.010.02		30	189	46.2	21.5	7.24		
60001014740.118.95.192017244.220.56.333018445.320.56.79800012035.315.13.741013437.515.04.422014537.714.14.753015438.413.85.25Irrigation water salinity level12.123.121.801.03Sewage sludge rate9.60.200.010.02		0	136	39.3	18.7	4.65		
20 $172$ $44.2$ $20.5$ $6.33$ $30$ $184$ $45.3$ $20.5$ $6.79$ $8000$ $0$ $120$ $35.3$ $15.1$ $3.74$ $8000$ $10$ $134$ $37.5$ $15.0$ $4.42$ $20$ $145$ $37.7$ $14.1$ $4.75$ $30$ $154$ $38.4$ $13.8$ $5.25$ L.S.D. (0.05)Irrigation water salinity level $12.12$ $3.12$ $1.80$ $1.03$ Sewage sludge rate $9.6$ $0.20$ $0.01$ $0.02$	6000	10	147	40.1	18.9	5.19		
30         184         45.3         20.5         6.79           8000         120         35.3         15.1         3.74           10         134         37.5         15.0         4.42           20         145         37.7         14.1         4.75           30         154         38.4         13.8         5.25           L.S.D. (0.05)         Irrigation water salinity level         12.12         3.12         1.80         1.03           Sewage sludge rate         9.6         0.20         0.01         0.02	0000	20	172	44.2	20.5	6.33		
012035.315.13.741013437.515.04.422014537.714.14.753015438.413.85.25L.S.D. (0.05)Irrigation water salinity level12.123.121.801.03Sewage sludge rate9.60.200.010.02		30	184	45.3 20.5		6.79		
8000         10         134         37.5         15.0         4.42           20         145         37.7         14.1         4.75           30         154         38.4         13.8         5.25           L.S.D. (0.05)         Irrigation water salinity level         12.12         3.12         1.80         1.03           Sewage sludge rate         9.6         0.20         0.01         0.02	······································	0	120	35.3	15.1	3.74		
20         145         37.7         14.1         4.75           30         154         38.4         13.8         5.25           L.S.D. (0.05)         Irrigation water salinity level         12.12         3.12         1.80         1.03           Sewage sludge rate         9.6         0.20         0.01         0.02	9000	10	134	37.5	15.0	4.42		
30         154         38.4         13.8         5.25           L.S.D. (0.05)         Irrigation water salinity level         12.12         3.12         1.80         1.03           Sewage sludge rate         9.6         0.20         0.01         0.02	8000	20	145	37.7	14.1	4.75		
Image: static		30	154	38.4	13.8	5.25		
Irrigation water salinity level         12.12         3.12         1.80         1.03           Sewage sludge rate         9.6         0.20         0.01         0.02	L.S.D. (0.05)		L	[	L	L		
Sewage sludge rate 9.6 0.20 0.01 0.02	Irrigation water salinity level		12.12	3.12	1.80	i.03		
	Sewage sludge rate		9.6	0.20 0.01		0.02		
Interaction 20.3 3.32 1.90 1.06	Interaction		20.3	3.32	1.90	1.06		

-----

Table (6): Effect of irrigation water salinity and sewage sludge application on micronutrient uptake ( $\mu g$  Plant<sup>-1</sup>) by barley plant grown in a sandy soil

Table (7): Effect of irrigation water salinity and sewage sludge application on micronutrient uptake (μg Plant<sup>-1</sup>) by barley plant grown in a calcareous soil

Treats	nent	Uptake (µg_plant <sup>-1</sup> )			
Irrigation water salinity (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	Sewage sludge (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu
	0	238	39.6	22.1	13.30
Control	10	210	53.7	33.2	18.76
	20	174	64.0	41.6	22.59
	30	123	68.8	47.6	24.55
	0	151	37.3	18.3	11.89
2000	10	120	37.7	18.7	12.03
2000	20	116	39.1	19,6	12.26
· ·	30	115	43.3	21.9	13.52
	0	127	34.4	16.4	10.14
4000	10	119	35.5	17.4	10.39
	20	115	36.2	17.6	10.71
	30	107	36.8 . 17.9		10.88
	0	112	31.5	14.1	8.89
6000	10	107	32.5	14.5	9.14
	20	103	32.2	14.8	9.39
	30	96.6	33.1 15.1		6.32
	0	106	29.0	10.9	7.84
8000	10	101	30.1	11.6	8.09
	20	97.5	31.0	11.9	8.21
	30	88.2	32.1	I <b>2</b> .2	8.38
L.S.D. (0.05)		 	فيصب وسعيتها		
Irrigation water salinity level		3.21	0.700	2.30	0.21
Sewage sludg	ge rate	1.11	0.001	0.01	0.01
Interaction		4.33	0.702	2.40	0.23

The uptake of of Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu by barley plant as affected by sewage sludge application is presented in Tables (6, 7).

Data indicate that in sandy the uptake soil. of the micronutrients by plant significantly increased as a result of sewage sludge application. This was generally true except for Zn uptake under high salinity levels of 4000 and 8000 mgl<sup>-1</sup>. Similar promotive effect was obtained in the calcareous soil except for Fe uptake under all salinity levels. This clarifies the role of soil organic ligands, produced by decomposition of added sludge, in maintaining high levels of micronutrients in soil solution by forming soluble complex, available to growing plants (Hue, 1988; Dahdoh et al., 1999). In general, the sandy soil manifested the highest values of micronutrient uptake and this was true under all levels of irrigation water salinity and sewage sludge.

Similar results were obtained by El-Desouky (1999) who found that organic matter application has a great influence on Mn and Cu uptake by both maize and wheat plants irrigated by saline water. The obtained results may be due to the high pH values of calcareous soil comparing to sandy one (Table 1). These findings are in good agreement with those obtained by King et al., (1974) who found that levels of Cu and Zn in the corn tissues were increased by additions of sewage sludge though, their concentrations were below toxic levels to crops or animals. Soon et al., (1980) indicated that the sewage sludge application increased Zn concentration in corn stovers. It is interesting to mention that Fe uptake was significantly decreased as a result. of sewage sludge addition to calcareous soil. This was true under all irrigation water salinity levels. Similar results were found by Ismail et al., (1996) who found that the availability of Fe

decreases upon the application of sewage sludge. This effect may be due to fixation and precipitation of iron, particularly in the case of pH over neutral conditions.

On the other hand, many researchers attributed this effect to antagonistic relationship between Fe and one or more of the other elements such as Mn and Zn (Dahdoh et al., 1994).

Concerning the interaction between irrigation water salinity levels and sewage sludge rates, data in Tables (6, 7) show that the addition of sludge under all irrigation water salinity levels resulted in increases in the micronutrient uptake and Cu by barley plant except for Zn in the sandy soil and Fe in the calcareous soil.

#### Heavy metals uptake

Tables (8, 9) show that the uptake of Pb, Co, Ni and Cd decreased significantly in barley plants as irrigation water salinity level increased under both soils conditions. However, the decrease was different among the metals.

This finding is not in agreement with that obtained by Abd-Alla et al., (1993) who found that increasing salinity of irrigation water increased both of Co and Pb in the soil. Sewage sludge application rate significantly increased uptake of the heavy metals by barley plant. However, the uptake of these metals by barely plants grown on calcareous soil was lower than that for sandy one.

The inhibitory effect of irrigation water salinity on the Cd uptake by barley plant under calcareous soil conditions may be due to the abundance of Ca ion under such conditions. Abd-Alla et al. (1993) found that increasing calcium leads to a decrease in the extractable amounts of cadmium and this effect was more pronounced with a calcareous soil irrigated with high salinity water.

Treatment Uptake (µg plant<sup>-1</sup>) Irrigation water Sewage Ni Co Pb Cd salinity (mg l<sup>-1</sup>) sludge (g kg-1) 5.89 0 5.22 4.80 1.97 10 7.01 6.33 8.11 2.94 Control 20 8.49 7.44 10.78 3,15 30 7.72 11.35 3.49 9.12 0 4.20 4.16 5.37 1.75 10 4.94 4.72 **6.09** 2.02 2000 20 5.03 5.41 6.45 2.17 5.71 30 5.19 6.64 2.29 0 3.87 4.05 5.12 1.65 10 4.54 4.38 5.71 . 1.89 4000 20 4.69 4.72 5.91 2.01 30 5.03 4.84 6.13 2.30 0 4.67 3.32 3.65 1,41 10 3.90 5.02 3.69 1.56 6000 20 4.44 4.54 5.83 1.90 30 4.88 4.75 6.12 2.02 0 2.75 3.18 4.32 1.29 10 3.31 3.57 4.79 1.50 8000 20 3.58 3.75 5.06 1.61 30 4.10 3.98 5.31 1.74 L.S.D. (0.05) Irrigation water salinity level 0.03 0.02 0.21 0.02 Sewage sludge rate 0.01 0.01 0.01 10.0 Interaction 0.05 0.04 0.23 0.03

i.

Table (8): Effect of irrigation water salinity and sewage sludge application on heavy metal uptake (μg Plant<sup>-1</sup>) by barley plant grown in a sandy soil

### Table (9): Effect of irrigation water salinity and sewage sludge application on heavy metal uptake (µg Plant<sup>-1</sup>) by barley plant grown in a calcareous soil

Treat	Treatment			Uptake (µg plant <sup>-1</sup> )			
Irrigation water salinity (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	Sewage sludge (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Ni	Co	РЬ	Cd		
	0	4.30	3.93	4.36	1.45		
Control	- 10	6.08	5.33	8.01	1.98		
	20	7.43	6.27	9.82	2.35		
	30	8.02	6.65	10.77	2.56		
	0	3.82	3.58	4.04	1.39		
2000	10	3.95	3.65	4.33	1.43		
2000	20	4.10	3.72	4.96	1.49		
	30	4.62	4.15	5.63	1.66		
	0	3.66	3.35	3.76	1.30		
4000	10	3.77	3.45	3.99	1.31		
	20	3.83	3.83 3.52 4.24		1.39		
	30	3.93	3.58	4.64	1.43		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	3.36	3.18	3.29	1.24		
6000	10	3.47	3.26	3.50	1.29		
	20	3.55	3.32	3.75	1.32		
	30	3.64	3.36	3.96	1.36		
	0	2.82	3.03	3.08	1.17		
8000	10	2.93	3.12	3.28	1.22		
8000	20	2.99	3.18	3.45	1.26		
	30	3.09	3.23	3.73	1.30		
L.S.D. (0.05)					• • • •		
Irrigation wa	ter salinity level	0.02	0.01	0.21	0.09		
Sewage sludg	ge rate	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.01		
Interaction		0.032	J32 0.03 0.33				

It was found that the interaction between irrigation water salinity level and sewage sludge application was significant in both studied soils.

#### **DTPA-extractable heavy metals**

Data presented in Table 10 show the interactive effect of irrigation water salinity level and sewage sludge application rate on DTPA-extractable heavy metals from the two soils after harvesting. Data that reveal irrigation salinity water significantly increased the DTPA-extractable Pb, Co and Ni for both studied soils. However, the opposite was found to be generally true for Cd. The promotive effect of water salinity may be due to increasing the solubility of these metals under salinity conditions. In this concern, Abd-Alla et al., (1993)

found that DTPA-extractable Co and Pb were increased as a result of increasing irrigation water salinity level.

On the other hand, sewage sludge application significantly increased the DTPA-extractable heavy metals. This may be due to the decrease in soil pH along with the release of metals from decomposed sludge material (Shaver et al., 1980). However, the levels of the investigated metals were within the ranges previously reported in. soils treated with sewage sludge (Bin-Shiha, 1990)..

Table 10 also indicates that theinteractionsbetween irrigationwater salinity level and sewagesludgeapplicationweresignificantonDTPA-extractableheavymetalsin both studied soils.

Table (10): DTPA-extractable heavy metals (mg Kg-1) in the<br/>two studied soils after plant harvesting, as<br/>affected by irrigation water salinity and sewage<br/>sludge application

Treati	ment	Sandy soil Calcareous		ous sa	soil					
Irrigation water salinity (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )	Sewage sludge (g kg <sup>-J</sup> )	Co	Ni	Pb	Cd	Co	Ni	РЬ	Cd	
	0	0.21	0.15	0.33	0.23	0.16	0.10	0.26	0.16	
Control	10	0.28	0.19	0.39	0.25	0.17	0.11	0.29	0.17	
Control	20	0.30	0.26	0.46	0.27	0.19	0.13	0.33	0.19	
	30	0.34	0.29	0.57	0.29	0.20	0.14	0.36	0.22	
	0	0.29	0.18	1.21	0.21	0.17	0.11	0.32	0.12	
2020	10	0.35	0.20	1.29	0.22	0.19	0.13	0.37	0.13	
2000	20	0.39	0.26	1.36	0.23	0.22	0.15	0.42	0.14	
	30	0.42	0.36	1.42	0.27	0.24	0.17	0.47	0.16	
	0	0.35	0.23	1.39	0.18	0.23	0.14	0.39	0.10	
4000	10	0.39	0.29	1.45	0.26	0.25	0.17	0.42	0.11	
4000	20	0.42	0.35	1.56	0.23	0.29	0.19	0.49	0.12	
	30	0.46	0.39	1.73	0.25	0.36	0.22	0.56	0.13	
	0	0.39	0.29	1.56	0.15	0.27	0.17	0.43	0.08	
6000	10	0.42	0.33	1.56	0.19	0.32	0.19	0.59	0.09	
	20	0.46	0.39	1.62	0.21	0.37	0.23	0.57	0.10	
	30	0.48	0.46	1.79	0.22	0.39	0.27	0.67	0.11	
	0	0.43	0.36	1.68	0.11	0.32	0.21	0.49	0,06	
8000	10	0.47	0.39	1.72	0.13	0.37	0.26	0.56	0.07	
0000	20	0.52	0.49	1.79	0.15	0.42	0.29	0.63	0.08	
	30	0.56	0.56	1.86	0.17	0.47	0.37	0.73	0.09	
L.S.D. (0.05)			L_,	L	L	•	L	L	L	
Irrigation water salinity level		<b>0</b> .	01	0.	01	0.0	01	0.0	101	
Sewage sludg	ge rate	0.	01	0.0	01	0.01		0.0	0.001	
Interaction		0.0	03	0.011		0.03		0.003		

#### REFERENCES

- Abd-Alla, A.E.; A.F. Amer and S.A.A. El-Raies, (1993) : Effect of water quality on extraction and redistribution of Co, Cd and Pb in alluvial and calcareous soils. Egypt. J. App. Sci., 8 (8) : 226 - 233.
- Abdel-Ghaffar, A.S. (1982) : The significance of organic material to Egyptian agriculture and maintenance of soil productivity, FAO Soils Bulletin. 45 pp. 15– 20, FAO, of U.N., Rome, Italy.
- Abdul-Kadir, S.M. and G.M. Panlsen, (1982) : Effect of salinity on nitrogen metabolism in wheat. J. Plant Nutr., 5 : 1141-1151.
- Abou-Ellil, A.A. (1992) : Response of certain maize varieties to water stress. M.Sc. Thesis. Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.
- Aslam, M. ; R.C. Haffaker and D.W. Rain (1984) : Early effect of salinity on nitrate assimilation in barley seedling. Plant Physiol. 76, 321 – 325.

- Bin-Shiha, M.A. (1990) : Some Studies on Sewage Sludge as a Soil Amendmdnt for the College of Agriculture Farm at Deirab, Rigadh, Saudi Arabia, M.Sc. Thesis. Fac. Agric., King Saud Univ., Saudi Arabia.
- Dahdoh, M.S.A.; S. ElDemerdashe and A.M.M. Abd
  El-Kariem (1999): Influence of sludge amended soils on the growth and element content of corn. Egypt. J. App. Sci., 14: 382 392.
- Dahdoh, M.S.A. ; S.
  El-Demerdashe and H.H.
  El-Mashad (1994) : Corn growth and element uptake as functional by sludge source.
  Environ. Contamination 6<sup>th</sup>
  International Conference, Delphi, Greece, October, 1994, 101 103.
- El-Desouky, H.I. (1999) : Effect of salinzation, organic matter and foliar spray with proline on wheat content of some micronutrients. Egypt. J. App. Sci., 14 (10) : 344 – 356.

- El-Fayoumy, M.E. ; E.I.
  El-Maddah and H.M.
  Ramadan, (2000) : Effects of sludge-sulpher applications as soil amendments on some productivity of wheat and corn.
  Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 15: 323 349.
- El-Sawaby, M.S. and A.F. Amer (1977) : Influence of saline irrigation water on the mineral composition of plant. Agric. Res. Rev., 55, 37 – 43.
- El-Shebiny, G.M.; A.M. Balba and E.A. Mashaly (1995) :
  Effect of sludge increments on wheat yield and composition. Alex. Sci. Exch., 16 : 325 -339.
- Helal, H.M.; S.A. Hagnem; A.B.
  Ramadan and E. Schnng, (1996) : Salinity heavy metal interaction as evaluated by soil extraction and plant analysis.
  Soil Sci. and Plant Anal., 27, 1355 1361.
- Hue, N.V. (1988) : Residual effects of sewage sludge application on plant and soil profile chemical composition,

Comm. in Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 19:1633-1643.

- Ismail, A.S. ; M.F. Abdel-Sabour and H. Abou-Naga (1996) : Accumulation of heavy metals by plants as affected by application of organic wastes. Egyp. J. Soil Sci., 36 (1 – 4) : 99 -107.
- King, L.D.; L.A. Rudgers and
  L.R. Webber (1974) :
  Application of municipale
  refuse and liquid sewage sludge
  to agricultural land. 1. Filed
  Study J. Environ. Qual 3. 361 –
  366.
- Mashaly, E.A.; G.M. El-Shebiny and A.M. Balba (1993) : Effects of applied sewage sludge on the growth and composition of beans. Alex. Sci. Exch., 14 : 31 – 48.
- Mehana, T.A. and M.A. Matloub (1997) : Effect of sewage sludge and soil moisture levels on some soil properties and heavy metal uptake by faba beans. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ. 23: 95 - 112.
- Mostafa, M.M. (1984) : Nutrient Uptake by Some Plants as

Affected by Soil Salinity and Moisture Content. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.

- Page, A.L.; R.H. Miller and D.R.
  Keeny (1982) : Methods of Soil
  Analysis. Part 2 : Chemical and
  Microbiological Properties.
  Am. Soc. Agron. Madison,
  Wisconsin, USA.
- Saleh, A.L. (1994) : Effect of cadmium and salts in irrigation water on vegetative growth and mineral content of maize shoots (*Zea Mays*). Desert Int. Bull., Egypt. 44, 141 154.
- Sameni, A.M.; M. Maptoun and
  A.R. Sepaskhah (1980) :
  Growth and chemical composition of dry beans as affected by soil salinity and N fertilization. Plant and Soil, 54, 217 222.

- Shaver. P.S.; W.R. Wright and J. Pelchat (1980) : Sludge-borne heavy metal availability and uptake by vegetable crops under field conditions. J. Environ. Qual. 9 : 69 – 73.
- Soon, Y.K.; T.E. Bates and J.R.
  Mayer (1980) : Land application of chemically treated sewage sludge : II Effect on soil and plant heavy metal content. J. Environ. Qual. 9:497-504.
- Sopper, W.E. (1979) : Surface application of sewage effulent and sludge, 633 - 663. In Beatty, M.T. ; Peterson, G.W. and Swindale, L.D. (ed.) Planning the uses and management of land. No. 21 in the series of Agronomy, Am. Soc. Agron. Inc., Madison, USA.

إمتصاص العناصر الغذائية والمعادن الثقيلة في نبات الشعير النامي في أرض رملية وأخري جيرية تحت تأثير ملوحة مياه الري وإضافة مخلفات المجاري

**\*مصطفى على محمد حسن و \*\*مصطفي محمد مصطفي** \*قسم الأراضي والمياه ، كلية العلوم الزراعية البيئية بالعريش ، جامعة قناة السويس \*\*قسم علوم الأراضي ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة الزقازيق

أقيمت تجربة أصص لدراسة تأثير ملوحة مياه الري وإضافة مخلفات المجاري علي محصول المادة الجافة ، إمتصاص العناصر الغذائية الكبرى والصغرى والمعادن الثقيلة فى نبات الشعير النامي في أرض رملية وأخري جيرية.

أدت زيادة ملوحة مياه الري إلي نقص معنوي في محصول المادة الجافة وإمتصاص العناصر الكبري والصغري تحت الدراسة ، بينما كان العكس صحيحاً بالنسبة لإمتصاص المعادن الثقيلة فيما عدا الكادميوم الذي إنخفض إمتصاصة في النبات مع الزيادة في ملوحة مياه الري وذلك في كل من الأرض الرملية والجيرية.

أدت إضافة مخلفات المجاري إلي زيادة محصول المادة الجافة وإمتصاص العناصر الكبرى (NPK) والعناصر الصغرى (Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu) تحت جميع مستويات ملوحة مياه الري تحت الدراسة. إمتصاص المعادن الثقيلة في النبات أعطي إتجاه مشابه لإمتصاص العناصر الغذائية الكبري والصغري.