# THE INFLUENCE OF NITROGEN SOURCES ON GROWTH, YIELD AND PROTEIN CONTENT OF FABA BEAN IN SALT AFFECTED SOILS

# ABD EL-HAMEED, A.M\*; MAROUAH I. ATTA\*\* AND H.Z. ABD EL-SALAM\*

\*Plant Nutrition Dept., Soil, Water & Envi. Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

\*\* Field Crops Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT: Two field experiments were designed at Agriculture Research Station of Tag El-Ezz, Dakahlia Governorate, during 1997-1998 and 2001-2002 seasons to study the influence of four nitrogen sources, two salinity levels and inoculation with Rhizobia for faba bean on growth measurements, yield components and protein content in salt affected soils. The experiment involved 20 treatments; each treatment replicated three times.

The obtained data revealed that, the differences among either the treatments or the most parameters were highly significant as compared with the control.

The inoculation increased the percentage of growth stage, nodulation stage, harvested measurements, yield and protein content with addition of  $NH_4NO_3$  fertilizer, where the best was in the 4.7 dsm<sup>-1</sup> salinity level comparing with control, while, the addition of  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  fertilizer was the best in the 4.4 dsm<sup>-1</sup> level.

There is a significant difference between the salinity levels and treatments, that gave a higher value at 4.2 dsm<sup>-1</sup> salinity leven than at 4.7 dsm<sup>-1</sup> salinity level in presence or absence of inoculation under the studied parameters.

Thus, the inoculation of faba bean with nitrogen sources increased the percentage (about 40%), while the  $4.2 \, \mathrm{dsm^{-1}}$  salinity level gave a higher value than  $4.7 \, \mathrm{dsm^{-1}}$ . The best N-sources [i.e., NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> with  $4.7 \, \mathrm{dsm^{-1}}$  salinity level and (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with  $4.4 \, \mathrm{dsm^{-1}}$ ].

#### INTRODUCTION

Soil salinity is one of the most important problems in the arid and semi arid zones causing significant decrease in solid productivity (El-Sherbeny et al., 1986). The harmful effect of soil salinity on growth development may be attributed to osmotic inhibition of water availability, toxic effect of salt ions, the depression in element uptake (Ashour et al., 1999).

Thus, it may be possible to identify some crops or varieties in the presence of high levels of fertilizer-N. Such crops could produce high crop and protein yield with a minimum loss of soil and fertilizer-N (Hamissa et al., 1994).

The form in which N is applied to salt stress plant may be influence salinity-nutrient relationship. The form of N (NO<sub>3</sub> or NH<sub>4</sub>) can affect the availability of N to the plant as a result of difference in mobility of each form in the soil solution. The mechanisms for uptake by plant roots differ for nitrate and ammonium because of differences in the charge.

The uptake of NO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> influences the uptake of other ions through the cation-anion balance phenomenon (Irshad et al., 2002).

Inoculation of wheat plants with Rhizobium strains alter root morphology, increase numerous plant shoots growth parameters and eventually increases the yield of many cereal crops. These changes have been attributed to inoculation induced enhancement of mineral uptake in plants (Amara and Dahdoh, 1997).

This work aimed to study the effect formes of N(NO<sub>3</sub> or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) and inoculation on growth, yield and protein content of faba bean in salt affected soils.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were established during 1997-1998 and 2001-2002 seasons to fulfil the objectives of the present work as follows:

Location: Agriculture Research station of Tag El-Ezz, Dakahlia governorate, A.R.C.

Surface soil samples were taken and air-dried, ground a 2 mm sieve. Particle size distribution was determined according to the international method (Piper, 1950).

The chemical analysis of the experimental soil in Table 1.

Table 1: Chemical analysis of soil samples (0-30 cm).

Ec/dsm <sup>-1</sup>	PH		nion me				tions m		g soil		A		ESP	Texture				
		CO,	HCO',	Cl.		Ca++			Na*	N	P	К	Mn	Zn	Cu	Fe		
S <sub>1</sub> 4.7	7.9		0.4	3.6	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.1	3.6	26	19	301	1.7	1.5	1.9	6.4	1.01	Clayey
S <sub>2</sub> 4.2	8.1	-	0.3	2.4	1.8	1.3	0.8	0.1	2.3	31	15.2	194	1.8	1.6	1.3	8.4	8.6	

All chemical analyses were carried out according to Black (1965) and Page (1983). Three nodule observations were recorded during different stages of growth. The Rhizosphere soil of the faba bean was also collected during the nodule observations by analyzed for NO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub>+according to Bremner and Mulvany (1982).

Studied crop: Faba bean (Vicia faba, Var, Sakha 461).

Experimental plot: 3 x 4m

Date of sowing: The seeds were sown on 11th November 1997 and 2001.

## Experimental treatments:

The experiments were carried out in split-split plot design involving 20 treatments. Each treatment was replicated three times.

The detailed experimental treatments were as following:

- a- Main plot; two salinity levels ( $S_1 = 4.7 \text{ dsm}^{-1} \text{ and } S_2 = 4.2 \text{ dsm}^{-1}$ ).
- b- Sub-plot; N-sources i.e. control treatment without any addition, (nitrate-N + Ammonium-N) as NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, (Ammonium-N) as (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, (Urea-N) as CO(NH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and (nitrate-N) as Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. N-source was added (16 kg N/fed).
- c- Sub-sub plot; Rhizobium inoculated and free inoculated.

A basal dose of phosphorus and potassium were applied at the rate of (15 kg  $P_2O_5$ /fed.) as  $Ca(H_2PO_4)_2.H_2O$  and  $K_2SO_4$  at the rate of (48 kg/fed.) as stimulating dose.

The sesds were inoculated with Rhizobium Leguminosarum before cultivation (300gr/40kg seeds/fed).

## Plant samples:

Shoots samples were collected from each plots at 40 and 110 days after seedlings. Seeds oven dried (65°C) for 48 hours, weighed and milled into

powder. The milled samples were digested using sulfuric and perchloric acid solutions, for determination of N concentration, then calculated the protein content.

Statistical analysis:

A combined analysis of collected data for both seasons was done using the analysis of variance technique according to Gomez and Gomez (1984).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSISON

The effect of inoculation and N-sources on growth measurements:

The data in Table 2 show that there were a significant differences among fertilizer treatments at all studied parameters compared with control at 40 and 110 days. The growth parameters [plant height (cm), fresh and dry weight  $(g/m^2)$ ] were affected by inoculation with Rhizobium at different growth stages as well as increased with inoculated treatments as compared with the control (i.e., free both inoculated and fertilizer for each salinity levels  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ ).

The increasing percentages over the control were 62.5, 62.5 and 70.6 at S1 at 40 days, while, the increasing percentages were 48.1, 73.9 and 71.4 at 110 days, respectively for the previous parameters with  $NH_4NO_3$  fertilize, while the increasing percentages at  $S_2$  were 65.0, 70.4 and 73.9 at 40 days. However, they were 60.5, 76.2 and 68.1 at 110 days, respectively for the previous parameters with  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  fertilizer. Amara and Dahdoh (1997) found that the wheat shoots, roots and seed yield responded positively and significantly to inoculation due to some bacteria produce organic acids which solubilize inorganic and organic forms of phosphorus and other minor elements that are unavailable to plants.

The effect of salinity and N-sources on growth measurements:

Data obtained show that there were significant differences between salinity levels at all studied growth parameters with control at 40 and 110 days.

The increasing percentages for  $S_2$  than  $S_1$ , were 0.6, 1.4 and 2.4 at 40 days, with  $NH_4NO_3$  fertilizer, while they were 9.4, 22.3 and 5.7 at 110 days, respectively with  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  fertilizer for the previous parameters. Leidi et al. (1991) found that  $NO_3$ -N was a better N source than  $NH_4^+$  for wheat grown in salt affected areas. Also Irshad et al. (2002) found that the nitrate-treated plants took up substantially more total N than other N-source treated plants.

The effect of inoculation and N-sources on nodulation:

It is noticed from Tables 3 and 4 that there were a significant differences among fertilizer treatments at all studied parameters compared with control at 40 and 110 days.

The number of nod./plant, nodule weight (mg/plant) and soil inorganic-N (ppm) (NH $_4^+$ -N + NO $_3^+$ -N) they affected by inoculation with Rhizobium compared with control for each of salinity levels (S $_1$  and S $_2$ ). The increasing percentages were 66.7, 62.5, 63.7, 57.1, and 64.7 after 40 days, while they were 58.3, 59.3, 61.8, 62.2 and 60.0 at 110 days, respectively, for the previous parameter by addition of NH $_4$ NO $_3$  fertilizer, in S1 salinity level.

Contrarily, the percentages at  $S_2$  level were 75.0, 63.6, 69.5, 71.7 and 66.7 at 40 days, while they were 66.7, 64.5, 69.0 and 69.2 at 110 days, respectively, for the previous parameters, with  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  fertilizer. Mekhemar (2001) found that there was a significant increase in number and dry weight of nodules by 83.7 and 66.7% with inoculation over the uninoculated treatment.

The effect of salinity and N-sources on nodulation:

Data obtained show that there were significant differences between salinity levels at all studied nodule stage compared with control at 40 and 110 days. The increasing percentages of  $S_2$  over  $S_1$  were 1.9, 3.1, 3.8, 5.4 and 0.3 at 40 days with  $NH_4NO_3$  fertilizer, but they were 15.0, 8.6, 9.9, 20.8 and 11.1 by using  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  fertilizer, respectively for the previous parameters.

However, at 110 days, the increasing percentages were 4.9, 4.3, 3.2, 5.0 and 3.6 by addition of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> fertilizer, while they were 16.7, 16.4, 11.7, 9.6 and 10.9 with (NH<sub>4</sub>)SO<sub>4</sub> fertilizer, respectively for the previous parameters. This finding agreed with Koreish (1997) found that nodulus plant biomass and its N-content decreased gradually with the increase in salinity level regardless of soil type.

Harvest measurements affected by inoculation, salinity and N-sources:

Data in Table 4 show that, the pod/plant, seed number/pod, seed weight/pod. (g), seed weight/plant (g), 100 seeds weight (g), were affected by the inoculation and salinity significantly compared with control. The increasing percentages were 62.5, 50.0, 54.0, 63.8 and 63.3 with  $NH_4NO_3$  fertilizer, while they were 72.0, 50.0, 63.2, 68.4 and 68.0 with  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ , respectively for the previous parameters.

Table (2): N-source, salinity and inoculation effects on plant height, number of branches and (fresh or dry) weight planting of faba bean plants after 40 days.

	P	ant hei	ght (Cr	n)	Nu	mber o	f branc	hes	Fresh	weight p	lanting	(g/m²)	Dry weight planting (g/m				
Treatments	S	1	S	2			S	2		1		S <sub>2</sub>		St		52	
	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	
Control	18	14	20	15	2	2	3	2	18	13	20	14	2.0	1.5	2.4	1.7	
NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	26   16		29	18	4	2	4	3	26	16	34	21	2.9	1.7	3.4	2.0	
$(NH_4)_2SO_4$	28 18		33   20		3	3	4	3	40	<b>27</b> .	46	27	- 3.7	2.2	4.0	2.3	
$CO(NH_2)_2$	26   18		31   21		3 2		3	3	32	20	42	26	4.4	3.0	4.2	2.7	
$Ca(NO_3)_2$	26	19	30	22	3	3	3	3	29	21	33	23	4.6	3.1	4.1	2.7	
F-test	**		**		N	S.	N.	.S.	**		*	*	*	*	*	*	
LSD5% source	1.40		1.6		0.61		0.	59	1.70		1.60			.16		23	
LSD5% of interaction	N.	.S	N	.S.	N	.S.	N.	.S.	2.	40	2.	20	0.	23	0.	33	

After	1 80	davs

							1001 44									
, ,	P	lant hei	ght (Cr	n)	Nu	mber o	f branc	hes	Fresh	weight	olanting	(g/m²)	Dry w	eight pl	anting	$(g/m^2)$
Treatments	S	2	- 5	2		S <sub>1</sub>	5	52		$S_1$	5	52	5	S <sub>1</sub>		52
	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc
Control	50	. 39	55	40	3	2	3	2	55	42	61	46	4.8	3.6	5.1	3.7
NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	80   54		91   60°		4   2		4	3	80   46		97	53	8.4	4.9	10.1	6.1
$(NH_4)_2SO_4$	110 79		131	81	4	3	4	3	110	73	111	63	12.3	7.6	12.1	7.2
$CO(NH_2)_2$	105   79		114   83		4   2		4	3	105	71	104	67	10.4	7.1	11.8	7.8
$Ca(NO_3)_2$	100	78	120	90	3	2	4	3	92	66	111	76	11.1	7.4	12.0	8.0
F-test	**		**		1	*	- 1	<b>&amp;</b>	**		拳	*	*	*	*	*
LSD5% source	2.54		1.71		N.S.		N	.S.		.61	1.	13	0.	23		16
LSD5% of interaction	0.	59	2.	40	N	.S.	N	.S	2.	.27	1.	60	0.	32	0.	23

<sup>\*</sup> Inoculation with Rhizobia \* \* Free inoculation.

			Nun	nber of	nod./	plant					Nodu	le wei	ght mg	/plant					Soil i	norgan	ic-N (	ppm)		
Treatment,		Cro	wn			Lat	eral			Fre	esh			D	ry			NI	I; -N			NO	-N	
	5	31	5	2	5	31	S	32	S	S <sub>1</sub>	5	52	S	ı	S	32		5,	S	2		Si	5	5,
	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	Inc F.inc Inc F.inc		Inc	F.inc	Inc F.inc		Inc F.inc		Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	
Control	10	7	13	9	6	3	9	4	80	59	87	62	7	5	9	6	1	1	2	1	18.7	13	23	16
NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	14	8 -	18	11	13	8	16	10	14.3	68	129	77	11	7	13	8	2	1	2	2	28	17	33	20
(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	16	10	21	12	14	8	16	11	142	89	151	95	16.6	11	17	9.9	4	1	3	1	28	18	35	21
CO(NH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	18	11	26	15	22	15	25	17	162	102	179	108	20	13	17	11	5	2	6	2	29	20	35	22
Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	17_	11	24	15	18	13	20	14	134	98	147	103	14	10	19	13	3	1	4	2	29	21	34	24
F-test of salinity	ty ** **		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	**		**		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
LSD5% of source	1.	09	1.	60	1.	06	1.	20	1.	99	1.	71	0.	84	1.	10	0.	77	1.	06	1.	30	1.	.20
LSD5% of interaction	1.	55	2.	24	1.	05	1.	70	2.	81	2.	42	1.3	26	1.	55	1.	09	1.	50	1.	84	1.	70

After 110 days

	_		_	_			_				U 110		_	_							_			
			Nun	nber of	nod./	plant					Nodu	le wei	ght mg	/plant					Soil i	norgan	ic-N (	(ppm)		
Treatment:		Cro	wn			Lat	eral			Fre					гу			NI	I; -N			NO	- N	
	S		5	2		Si	S	52		S <sub>1</sub>	5	52	5	5,	5	52	5	S,	S	2	5	Si		3,
	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc Inc F.inc		Inc	F.inc	Inc F.inc		Inc F.inc		Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	
Control	12	9	15	11	29	19	35	23	91	-	100	-	12	-	18	-	1	1	2	1	10	7	11	8
NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	19	12	31	19	43	27	54	33	352.7	218	409	247	73	145	97	58	2	1	4	2	16	10	18	11
(NH₄)2SO4	24	16	35	21	40	27	51	31	492	818	506	304	110	69	120	71	2	1	3	1	19	12	22	13
CO(NH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	37.7	30	46	.32	49	33	57	35	311	302	363	227	53	35	61	40	3	2	3	3	15	10	20	13
Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	33	23	41	28	37	26	47	30	276	207	307	226	42	30	69	49	1	2	2	2	16	11	18	11.7
F-test of salinity	y ** **		*	**		*	*	*	*	*	**		**		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
LSD5% of source	2.	40	1.	99	1.	13	1.	06	1.	45	1.0	09	1.	13	1.	71	0.	61	0.	16	1.	20	1.	30
LSD5% of interaction	3.	39	2.	80	1.	60	1.	50	2.	05	1.	55	1.	60	2.	40	0.	87	0.3	23	1.	70	1.	84

Table (4): N-Sources, salinity and inoculation effects on harvested measuremets, yield and protein content of faba bean plants.

									Harv	est me	asure	ments												Yield l	cg/fad				Pro	tein p	ercen	tage
T	_1	Pod./	plan	t	See	d nur	nber	/pod	Seed	weig	ht/p	od(g)	Seed weight/plant(g)			100	seeds	weig	ht(g)		Sec	eds			Str	aw			Se	eds		
Treatments		ò1		1	5	<u> </u>	_ 5	S <sub>2</sub>	S	S <sub>1</sub>	_ :	S <sub>2</sub>	_ 5	S <sub>1</sub>	s	·1		Si	5	62	s		S	2	S	i <sub>1</sub>		<b>3</b> 2	S	S <sub>1</sub>		S <sub>2</sub>
	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	ine	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	lne	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	Inc	F.inc	lnc	F.in
Control	9	,	u	8	2	2	3	3	15	11	19	13	30.4	231	36	26.4	38.2	29.4	46,1	34.2	775	526	863	573	419	291	439	303	6.11	4.6	8.4	6.18
NH₄N03	13	8	17	10	3	2	4	3	20	13	26	16	70.6	43.1	89	53.7	63.5	39.0	66.7	40.2	1114,71	684	1170	706	783	464	831	490	17.11	10.3	20.6	12.2
(NH4)2SO4	12	8	19	11	3	2	4	3	27	18	31	19	811.7	511	884	525	53	33.6	61	36.6	12893	797	1351	815	705	421	804	465	20.89	13.1	24.3	14.4
CO(NII <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	12	8	15	9	3	3	4	4	25	17	31	20	771.7	487	811	505	51.4	33.3							1			518	l	•		1
Ca(N0 <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	13	9	16	10	3	3	4	4	24	16	30	i		500		1	ı	34.7	i .		1			733				509	20.95	14.0	21.8	14.3
test of salinity	** **		N	.S.	N	.S.		•	•			*	••		**		**		**		*	•		•	•	*		*	,. 4	•		
SDS'A of source	1.	34	1.	20	1.	13	1.	42	1.	25	· 1.	.13	1.	58	1.	99	0.	.33	0.	60	3.	11	2	54	1.	22	- 1	59	2	02	,	70
SD5% of interaction	N	S.	N	.S.	N	.S.	N	.S.	1.	77	ı	.60	2.	24	2.	18	0	46	0.	88	4	39	3	59	1	73	,	24	,	.02	,	.40

Yield, straw and protein content affected by inoculation, salinity and N-sources:

Data obtained show that there were a positively effects of the inoculation and salinity. The increasing percentages were 63.0, 68.8 and 66.1 using  $NH_4NO_3$  fertilizer, while  $(NH4)_2SO_4$  fertilizer gave 85.8, 72.9 and 68.2 respectively for the previous parameters. On the other hand, the increasing percentages for salinity levels of  $S_2$  over  $S_1$  were 2.8, 0.8 and 1.5 with  $NH_4NO_3$  fertilizer, while 4.0, 5.4 and 8.7, with  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  fertilizer. Koreish (1997) found that the inoculated plants showed increases in plant dry weight and nitrogen content over the un-inoculated control plants.

Thus, it could be concluded that the inoculation of faba bean with the stimulative dose of N-fertilizers [i.e.,  $(S_1)$  with  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  and  $(S_2)$  with  $NH_4NO_3$ ] help to obtain a good and high yield under the salt affected soils.

## REFERENCES

- Amara, M.A.T. and M.S.A. Dahdoh (1997). Effect of inoculation with plant-growth promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) on yield and uptake of nutrients by wheat grown on sandy soils. Egypt J. Soil Sci. 37, No. 4.
- Ashour S.A.; S.H. Sarhan and M. Abd EL-Rahman (1999). Effect of salinity and foilar nutrition on growth and yield of potato (Solanum tubersum, L.). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., No. 7, 246-260.
- Black, C.A. (1965). Methods of soil analysis, part-1 and 2, USA Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bremner, J.M. and C.S. Mulvaney (1982). "Methods of soil analysis" Part 2: Chemical and microbiological properties. Am. Soc. of Agron. Inc. Madison, Wisc., USA, pp. 565-616.
- EL-Sherbeny, A.E.; K. Rabie; Rafaat EL-Sayed A. Mohammed and Wafai E. Ahmed (1986). Effect of sodium chloride and nitrate on dry matter production and micro-nutrient content of wheat plant, soil Sci. plant Nutr., 32(2), 201-210.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984). Statistical procedures for the agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.

- Hamissa, M.R.; M.H. Khadr; M.H. Taha; Y.H. Mohammed and M.I. El-Mollah (1994). Symbiotic dinitrogen fixation by some legume crops as affected by different levels of fertilizer-N using N15. Egyptian society of Applied microbiology. Soil and water microbial, pp. 1-10.
- Irshad, M.T. Honna, A.E. Eneji and S. Yamamoto (2002). "Wheat response to nitrogen source under saline conditions" Journal of plant nutrition, Vol. 25, No. 12, pp. 2603-2612.
- Koreish E.A., M.H. El-Lakany, M.H. El-Halfawi and A.S. Abdel-Ghaffar (1997). Nodulation and dinitrogen fixation by Acacia saligna seedlings as influenced by soil type and salinity, Egypt J. Soil Sci., 37, No. 4, pp. 437-450.
- Leidi, E.O.; Silberbush, M. and S.H. Lips (1991). Wheat growth as affected by nitrogen type, pH and salinity. I. Biomass production and mineral composition. J. plant Nutr., 14, 235-246.
- Mekhemar G.A.A. (2001). Response of faba bean plants to co-inoculation with Rhizobium Leguminosarum and Bacillus megatherium under different levels of phosphate fertilization in newly reclaimed lands, J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 26(12):8129-8140.
- Page A.L. (1982). Methods of soil analysis. Part II, chemical and microbiological properties, second editor, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Piper C.S. (1950). "Soil and plant analysis". Inter Science Publisher Inc., New York.

مجلة الأثرهر للبحوث الزراعية العدد (٣٧) يونيو ٢٠٠٣

# تأثير مصادر النيتروجين على النمو والإنتاج والمحتوى البروتيني لنبات الفول البلدي في الأراضي المتأثرة بالأملاح

عادل محمد عبد الحميد \* \_ مروءة إسماعيل عطا \* \* \_ حمدي زكى عبد السلام \*

- \* قسم بحوث تغذية النبات معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة مركز البحوث الزراعية الجيزة مصر.
  - \*\* معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقاية مركز البحوث الزراعية الجيزة مصر.

صممت تجربتان حقليتان بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بتاج العز – محافظة الدقهلية خـــلال موسمى ١٩٩٧ – ١٩٩٨ و ٢٠٠٢-٢٠٠١ لدراسة تأثير أربعة مصادر نيتروجينية ومستويان مــن الملوحة والتلقيح بالريزوبيا على القياسات الخضرية وكمية المحصول والمحتوى البروتيني لنبـــات الفول البلدي في الأراضي المتأثرة بالأملاح، شملت التجربة ٢٠ معاملة وكررت كل معاملة ثــلاث مرات.

أظهرت النتائج المتحصل عليها أنه يوجد فروق معنوية بين المعاملات ومعظم الصفات المدروسة مقارنة بالكنترول. وأدى التلقيح إلى زيادة النسبة المنوية في مرحلة النمو ومرحلة تكوين العقد الجذرية والقياسات عند الحصاد وكمية المحصول والمحتوى البروتيني للبنور مسع إضافة سماد نترات الأمونيوم حيث كانت هي الأفضل عند مستوى الملوحة (-4.7 dSm) مقارنة بالكنترول. بينما إضافة سماد سلفات النشادر كانت الأفضل عند مستوى الملوحة (4.2 dSm).

وجدت زيادة معنوية بين مستويات الملوحة والمعاملات حيث أعطى مستوى الملوحة وجدت (4.2 dSm $^{-1}$ ) أعلى قيم عن مستوى الملوحة (4.7 dSm $^{-1}$ ) في وجود أو غياب التلقيح للصفات تحت الدراسة.

وكذلك أدى التلقيح لنبات الفول البلدي مع مصادر النيتروجين زيـــادة النسبة المئويــة (حوالي  $1.3 \, \mathrm{Mm}^{-1}$ ) عن مستوى الملوحة ( $1.7 \, \mathrm{dSm}^{-1}$ ) عن مستوى الملوحة ( $1.7 \, \mathrm{dSm}^{-1}$ ).