# EFFECTS OF FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION ON QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE CHARACTERISTICS, FERTILITY AND HATCHABILITY OF CHICKEN SEMEN

# Yousry, A. Dowidar

Dept. of Bio-technology, Fac. of Agric. Al-Azhar Univ., Cairo, Egypt."

ABESTRACT: Forty adult cocks and ninety hens of Fayoumi strain were equally allotted into five groups to study the effects of semen collection frequency per week on its properties, fertility and hatchability. Ejaculate volume, sperm cell concentration and sperm number per ejaculate were determined for each cock ejaculate . Significant differences were observed for ejaculate volume (P<0.05). Cocks handled for semen collection once or twice per week had larger ejaculate volumes than cocks used at higher frequencies, but no significant differences between groups were obtained for sperm concentration (P>0.05). Thus there were significant differences (p<0.05) for total sperm number per ejaculate between groups. However, daily sperm output (DSO) was markedly increased in cocks handled at the highest frequency (e.g.,  $DSO = 0.33 \times 10^9 \text{ vs} 1.08 \times 10^9 \text{ sperm in males handled once vs seven}$ times / week). The present results exhibit a favorable effect of high semen collection frequencies on sperm viability and a marked decline in sperm viability during the first two days following a two days resting period in cocks handled 5 times per week. Therefore, frequency of semen collection, within the ranges studied, had no adverse effect on fertilizing capacity and hatchability.

It can be concluded that Fayoumi cocks express their optimal reproductive capacity more efficiently when semen collection is undertaken at a high rather than a low frequency. Also, frequency of semen collection had no negative effects on fertility and hatchability of Fayoumi cocks.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The interest of using the best genetic potential available from male line sires and the absence of adequate procedures to handle poultry semen over long periods are two major reasons to optimize semen collection frequency in artificially inseminated flocks. Generally, semen is collected from poultry males once or twice per week in most commercial operations, despite the absence of physiological bases to support this pattern. Previous studies showed an inverse relationship between frequency of semen collection and ejaculate volume, whereas sperm concentrations remain unaffected (McCartney *et al.*, 1958 and Cecil *et al.*, 1988). Moreover, some indications exist in the above publications that changes in the frequency of semen collection do not affect fertility and hatchability traits in artificially inseminated females (McDaniel and Sexton, 1977 and Cecil, 1982). These results indirectly contradict observations *in vitro* by Brown (1968) that increasing the frequency of semen collection significantly reduces the percentage of sperm abnormalities. From a theoretical standpoint, the number of semen doses available per week per cock rather than the number of doses per ejaculate should be considered to quantitative the final efficacy of semen collection protocols as this provides an optimal response to determine sex ratios in breeder flocks.

The present study was conducted to determine the consequences of various semen collection frequencies (from once per week to once every day) on several quantitative characteristics of chicken semen (*i.e.*; ejaculate volume, sperm cell concentration, total sperm per ejaculate and daily sperm output), also to determine their possible effects on the viability of freshly ejaculated sperm and the relationship of frequency of collection to fertilizing capacity and hatchability of semen obtained from chicken males.

#### MATERIALS and METHODS

The present study was carried out at the Poultry Research Unit, Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Assiut, Egypt.

#### Animals and their management :

Forty adult cocks and ninety hens of Fayoumi chicken strain at twenty three weeks of age were used. The birds were randomly chosen and equally divided into five groups. Each group consisted of two replicates of four cocks and nine hens each. Males and females were housed in individual cages. All birds were supplied with fresh water and diet ad libitum. The birds were supplied a ration according to the NRC (1994) contained about 16 % crude protein and 2750 K. Cal-ME / Kg diet (Table 1).

#### Semen collection and evaluation :

Twice weekly semen collection was initiated up to the 25<sup>th</sup> week old using the massage technique (training period). Afterward, during which no differences were observed between groups for ejaculate volume and sperm cell concentration. Each group then underwent the following schedule of semen collection per week for six consecutive weeks: Group 1, once (Saturday); Group 2, twice (Saturday and Tuesday); Group 3, three times (Saturday, Monday and Wednesday); Group 4, five times (Saturday to Wednesday) and Group 5, once daily. All ejaculates were collected individually during the experimental period and examined for their quantitative characteristics (volume, concentration, sperm number per ejaculate and daily spermatozoa output) and sperm viability. Ejaculate volume was measured by a tuberculin syring graduated to the nearest 0.01 ml. Sperm concentration was measured by the conventional method using the improved Neubaur Haemocytometer. Total numbers of sperm per ejaculate was estimated by multiplying ejaculate volume x sperm concentration. Comparisons between groups per time unit were performed on a per day basis (daily sperm output). Briefly, DSO was obtained from the formula: total number of sperm collected during 1 week divided by 7 (Brillard and de Reviers, 1985). Alive spermatozoa was checked using semen smears stained with nigrosin-eiosin (Lake and Stewart, 1978).

### Fertility and hatchability traits :

Semen samples were collected on Saturdays from each group, pooled and diluted (1:3) with 2.9 % sodium citrate extender for artificial insemination. Eighteen Fayoumi hens were assigned per treatment group. Each hen was inseminated once per week, for 8 consecutive weeks breeding period. Every insemination dose contained 100 million sperm. Eggs were collected daily from each group, identified by hen number,

date and then stored at 15 °C for up to 7 days. Eggs were set weekly and fertility was determined by candling at the seventh day of incubation. All removed eggs being broken out to identify any early dead germs that would otherwise have been classified as infertile. Hatchability was determined at the conclusion of hatch. *Statistical analysis:* 

Data were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SE for all parameters. Data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA). The differences between group means were tested at least significant difference (LSD). All tests were done using PC-STAT computer program. Results were considered significant at P<0.05.

#### **RESULTS and DISCUSSION**

Data in Table (2), exhibit the comparison of the mean values of ejaculate volume, sperm concentration, total sperm / ejaculate, DSO and possible number of inseminations per cock / week between treatment groups. When compared at ejaculate levels (Figure 1), cocks subjected to collection most frequently (i. e., at least three times / wk) had smaller (p<0.05) semen volumes (0.34 to 0.55 ml) than cocks that had semen collected less often (0.63 to 0.64 ml). No significant differences were observed between groups for sperm concentrations, with values ranging between 3.17 and 3.65 billion sperm / ml. Similar results were observed with previous studies (McCartney et al., 1958; Cecil, 1982 and Noirault and Brillard, 1999), increasing the frequency of semen collection had negative effects on ejaculate volume without significantly affecting sperm concentrations, at least when semen collections were performed on a daily basis. Moreover, results in group 2 (twice / week) are in complete agreement with the findings of Dowidar (1999) and Afifi and Dowidar (2000) regarding the mean values of ejaculate volume and sperm concentrations observed in Fayoumi cocks subjected to the same regime of semen collection. On the other hand, McDaniel and Sexton (1977) reported significant differences in both volume and sperm concentration per ejaculate when cocks were subjected to various frequencies of semen collection. In the present study, an explanation for the absence of significant differences in sperm concentrations between ejaculates from Fayaumi cocks subjected to collection at varying frequencies may be attributed to the relatively small number (n=8) of males per group due to the high inter-individual variability of semen parameters between cocks within treatment groups. The lowest mean number of sperm per ejaculate was observed in cocks that had semen collected once every day  $(1.08 \times 10^9)$ sperm) and the highest in cocks that had semen collected once and twice per week  $(2.34 \times 10^9 \text{ and } 2.38 \times 10^9 \text{ sperm, respectively})$ . Same results in group 2 were also reported by Dowidar (1999) and Afifi and Dowidar (2000).

When expressed in term of DSO, the mean numbers of sperm per cock per day in each group gradually increased with the frequency of semen collection, ranging between 0.33- to 1.08 x  $10^9$  sperm in cocks that had semen collected once / week (group1) to once per day (group 5), respectively. DSO varied in a ratio of 1:3.3 between cocks subjected to one to seven times collected and the number of ejaculated sperm per day approaches the DSO. However, because the ductus deferens store spermatozoa, only a portion of these are ejaculated (Cecil and Bakst, 1984 and Cecil et al., 1988). From the comparative standpoint, these results of DSO values in cocks handled 5 to 7 times weekly are similar to those previously observed in turkeys (Noirault and Brillard, 1999), chickens (de Reviers and Williams, 1981) and muscovy ducks (Tan ,1980) subjected to comparable semen collection frequencies.

Yousry, A. Dowidar: Effects of Frequency of Collection

When considering the possible economic benefits of using males on a high frequency-semen collection schedule, the data in Table (2) were examined from a different standpoints. One of the major goals in any breeding program is selecting a genetically superior sire to inseminate as many females as possible (McDaniel and Sexton,1977). This goal can be accomplished in several ways, one of which is to obtain the most amount of semen in a given period of time. Thus, the critical consideration is the weekly output of spermatozoa per male. As shown in Table (2), approximately 70 to 75 hens could, hypothetically, be inseminated weekly from each cock undergoing 5 to 7 semen collections per week compared to 23 hens / week if cocks are subjected to one collection per week. Although daily semen collection gave the highest DSO, a little difference was found between five and seven times collection per week (1.00 x  $10^9$  versus  $1.08 \times 10^9$  sperm). This small increase in yield of spermatozoa is unlikely to warrant 1.4 fold the amount of work involved in collecting it. It seems that five times collection / week was the most efficient for production of sperm for artificial insemination during the period under this study.

Ingredients	(%)
Yellow corn	65.00
Wheat bran	6.63
Soya been meal (44 %)	18.70
Limestone	6.80
Bone meal	2.20
Salt	0.30
Premix *	0.30
Methionine	0.07
Calculated analysis : **	
ME ( kcal / kg feed )	2743.95
Crude Protein %	15.88
C : P ratio	172.84
Methionine %	0.34
Lysine %	3.08
Methionine and Cystine %	0.61
Calcium %	3.31
Phosphorus ( available ) %	0.39

Table (1): Composition and calculated analysis of the experimental diet:

\* Each package of 6 kg contains : 5 Milion I.U Vit. A.; 1250. I.U Vit. D3; mg Vit. K; 3 gm Vit. B2; 150 mg Choline chloride; 4 gm Calcium D- Pantothenate; 8 mg Vit. B12; Nicotinic Acid 15 mg; 40 mg Magnesium; 1 mg Copper; 1 mg Iodine; 100 mg Selenium; 20 mg Iron; 40 mg Manganese ; 20 mg Zinc; 1 mg Cobalt .

\*\* Calculated according to NRC (1994).

Table (2) : Quantitative characteristics of ejaculates collected from (	cocks
submitted to various frequencies of semen collection $(x \pm SE)^*$	

Collection	Ejaculatė	Sperm	Sperm .	·DSO	Possible **
frequency	Volume	Concentration	Number /	(x 10 <sup>9</sup> )	Inseminations
Per wk.	(ml)	(x 10 <sup>9</sup> /ml)	Ejac.(x10 <sup>9</sup> )	Sperm	Per cock / wk
Once	0.64 ± .14 a	3.65±.12 a	2.34± .31 a	0.33 ± .06 d	23
Twice	0.63±.12 a	3.78±.08 a	2.38±.22 a	0.68±.10 c	47
Three times	0.55±.11 b	3.57±.09 a	1.96±.15 b	0.84±.10 b	58
Five times	0.41±.08 c	3.42±.12 a	1.40±.10 c	1.00±.11 a	70
Seven times	0.34±.05 c	3.17±.17 a	1.08±.06 d	1.08±.13 a	75

\* Means having the same letter in each column do not differ significantly (P < 0.05). \*\* Based on an insemination dose containing  $10^8$  sperm according to Bilgili *et al*., 1987.

Table (3) : Daily sperm output (DSO ) ; sperm viability percentages and DSO of viable sperm in cocks submitted to various frequencies of semen collection ( $\bar{x} \pm SE$ )\*

Collection frequency per wk.	<b>DSO</b> ( x 10% ) Sperm	Viability (%)	Viable DSO (× 10 <sup>9</sup> sperm)
Once	0.33 ± .06 d	87.38 ± .81 c	<b>0.29 ± 0.03</b> d
Twice	0.68±.10 c	87.81 ± .59 c	<b>0.60</b> ± 0.05 c
Three times	0.84±.10 b	88.65 ± .45 b	0.74 ± 0.05 b
Five times	1.00±.11 a	90.19 ± .52 a	0.90 ± 0.07 a
Seven times	1.08±.13 a	90.23 ± .54 a	0.97 ± 0.07 a

\* Means having the same letter in each column do not differ significantly (P < 0.05).

Table (4) : Frequency of semen collection and egg fertility and hatchability\*

Collection	Fertility	Hatchability (%)	
frequency per wk.	(%)	Fert. eggs	Total eggs
Once	91 .	82	75
Twice ·	94	78	73
Three times	92	85	78
Five times	93	82	76
Seven times	95	81	77 .
Average	93	82	76

\* Fertility and hatchability were obtained from 300 eggs set per group .



Fig. (1): Mean values of ejaculate volume in Fayoumi cocks collected at various frequencies. Means with no common superscript differ significantly (P<0.05).



Fig. (2): Mean values of viable DSO in Fayoumi cocks collected at various frequencies. Means with no common superscript differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table 3, shows percentages of viable sperm according to the frequency of semen collection. These results showed significant (p<0.05) increase in the mean percentage of viable sperm in cocks handled more frequently, with values ranging between 87.38% in group (1) and 90.23% in group (5). The results in group (2) parallel the findings of others (Dowidar, 1994; El-Ganzory *et al.*, 2001 and Mohamed, 2003) regarding the mean percentage of alive sperm observed in Fayoumi cocks subjected to the same regime of semen collection. Overall, the above results allowed the calculation of a mean viable daily sperm output in each group, which indicated that the mean

number of viable sperm was 3.35 folds in group (5) as that in group (1) (Figure 2). Similar results were reported by Noirault and Brillard (1999) regarding the mean percentage of sperm viability observed in turkey males subjected to the same plan of semen collection. In the present study it has been also observed, in cocks handled daily for 5 consecutive days, then given a two days sexual rest weekly, ejaculates obtained during the days immediately following the sexual rest period contained higher percentages of dead sperm than ejaculates collected during the last days of a given week. The duration of spermatogenesis is constant (7 days) in the fowl (de Reviers, 1968) leading to the conclusion that sperm viability in fowls is at least partly influenced by the duration of *in vivo* sperm storage in the vas deferens. Hypothetically, aging sperm cell may progressively lose membrane integrity due to peroxidation occurring in the male genital tract. Such damage has already been reported in chicken sperm kept *in vitro* for limited periods of times (Surai *et al.*, 1997).

The percentages of fertility and hatchability according to the frequency of semen collection are presented in Table (4). Little differences were observed in the fertility and hatchability of semen of birds subjected to various frequencies of semen collection, with values ranging from 91 to 95 % and 78 to 85%, respectively. These results are in general agreement with that reported for chickens (McDaniel and Sexton, 1977) and turkeys (McCartney *et al.*, 1958). Although some investigators have reported that sperm undergos certain chemical and physiological changes as a result of repeated ejaculation, no such changes have been correlated with modifications in fertility (Salisbury and Van Demark, 1961; El-Jack and Lake, 1966; McDaniel and Sexton, 1977).

From the results of this study, it can be concluded that varying the frequency of semen collection in Fayoumi cocks not only increases the quantities of sperm available for insemination but also modifies the initial rate of sperm viability in ejaculate. A period of two days sexual rest per week (group 4) aided in the maintenance of high semen yields, this may be necessary to ensure acceptable performance over an entire breeding cycle. Although the various frequencies had no effects on fertility and hatchability in chickens, there still benefits as to collect semen more frequently to inseminate as much females as possible.

#### REFERENCES

- Afifi, O.S. and Dowidar, Y.A. (2000): Age of puberty and sexual maturity in relation to body weight, semen quality and some blood constituents of dandarawi and fayoumi chickens under upper Egypt conditions. Assiut Vet. Med. J. Vol. 43 No. 85 : 95 - 109
- Biligili, S.F.; Sexton, K.J. and Reden, J. A. (1987): Flourometry of poultry semen: Influence of dilution and storage on chicken spermatozoa viability and fertility. Poult Sci., 66 : 2032 - 2035.
- Brillard, J.P. and de Reviers, M. (1985): Testis development and daily sperm output in guineas submitted to progressively increasing daily photoperiods at different ages. Poult. Sci., 64: 713 717.
- Brown, K.I. (1968): Efficient use of turkey males. Technical Bulletin, Ohio Agricultural Research and Experimental Station; Res. Sum. 33:5-7.
- Cecil, H.C. (1982): Effects of frequency of semen collection on reproductive performance of male turkeys fed low protein diets during the breeder period. Poult . Sci ., 61: 1866 1872.

- Cecil, H.C. and Bakst, M.R. (1984): Testicular weights, ductus deferens semen vol-
- umes and sperm concentration of turkeys with high and low ejaculate volumes. Poult . Sci., 63 : 1432 - 1437.
- Cecil, H.C.; Bakst ,M.R. and Monsi , A. (1988): Daily output of spermatozoa and extragonadal spermatozoal reserves in turkeys. Poult. Sci., 67 : 327 - 332.
- de Reviers, M. (1968): Determination de la duree des processus spermatogenetiques chez le cog a l'aide de thymidine tritiee. VI Cong. Int. Anim. Insem. Artif. Paris 1: 183 185.
- de Reviers, M. and Williams, J. (1981): Predicting the adult daily sperm output after the first ejaculates in cockerels raised under different Photo schedules. Reprod. Nutr. Dev . 21 (6B): 1113 1124.
- **Dowidar**, Y. A. (1994): Comparative studies on biochemical changes in avian semen as affected by storage at different temperatures. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Al Azhar Univ., Cairo, Egypt.
- Dowidar, Y.A. (1999): Studies on semen characteristics, fertility and hatchability of some local chicken strains. Al-Azhar, J. Agric. Res. Vol. 29: 39 53.
- El-Ganzory, E.H.; Salwa, B. Abd El-Hady; Dowidar, Y.A. and Khalil, F.S. (2001): Effect of garlic supplementation in the diet of mandarah laying hens on some productive and reproductive traits. Al-Azhar, J. Agric. Res. Vol. 33: 1 15.
- El-Jack, M.H. and Lake, P.E. (1966): The effect of resting roosters from ejaculation on the quality of spermatozoa in semen. J. Reprod. Fert. 11: 489 491.
- Lake, P.E. and Stewart, J.M. (1978): Artificial insemination in poultry, in: Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food Bulletin 213. (London, HMSO).
- McCartney, M.G.; Chamberlin, R.D. Carter, R.D. and Wyne, J.W. (1958): Effect of frequency of semen collection on fertility, hatchability and spermatozoa concentration in the turkey. Poult. Sci., 37: 363 366.
- McDaniel, G.R. and Sexton, T.J. (1977): Frequency of semen collection in relation to semen volume, sperm concentration and fertility in the chicken. Poult. Sci., 56: 1989 1993.
- Mohamed, N. M. (2003) : Some studies on cock semen under upper Egypt conditions . M.Sc., Thesis Fac. of Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Cairo, Egypt .
- Noirault, J. and Brillard, J.P. (1999): Effects of frequency of semen collection on quantitative and qualitative characteristics of semen in turkey breeder males. Poult. Sci., Vol. 78: 1034 - 1039.
- NRC (1994) : Nutrient requirements of poultry . National Research Council. Eighth Revised Ed.
- Salisbury, G. W., and Van Demark, N. L. (1961): Management factors effecting the reproductive efficiency of the bull. In : Physiology of Reproduction and Artificial Insemination of Cattle, 1st ed. W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, CA. p. 603-613.
- Surai, P.F.; Kutz, E.; Wishart, G.J.; Noble R.C. and Speake, B.K. (1997): The relationship between the dietary provision of (-tocopherol and the concentration of this vitamin in the semen of chicken : effects on lipid composition and susceptibility to peroxidation .J.Reprod . Fert., 110: 47 - 51.
- **Tan, N.S.** (1980) : The frequency of collection and semen production in muscovy ducks. Br. Poult. Sci., 21: 265 - 272.

2

# تا'ثير تكرار جمع السائل المنوى على صفاته الكمية والنوعية والخصوبة والفقس في الدجاج

يسرى عبد الجيد دويدار

قسم التقنية الحيوية \_ كلية الزراعة \_ جامعة الأزهر \_ القاهرة \_ مصر.

أجريت هذه الدراسة بزرعة كلية الزراعة \_ جامعة الأزهر بأسيوط لمعرفة تأثير تكرار جمع السائل المنوى على صفاته الكمية والنوعية وكذلك المقدرة الإخصابية والفقس فى الدجاج الفيومى حيث إستخدام ٤٠ ديكاً و ٩٠ دجاجة عمر ٢٣ أسبوعاً . قسمت الطبور عشوائياً إلى خمس مجموعات متساوية وتتكون كل مجموعة من مكررتين بكل مكررة أربعة ديوك وتسع دجاجات . وضعت الذكور والإناث فى أقفاص فردية . دربت الديوك على جمع السائل المنوى منها بالتدليك البطنى مرتين أسبوعياً ولدة أسبوعين ( فترة تدريب ) ، ثم بعد ذلك جمع من المجموعة الأولى مرة واحدة إسبوعياً والمجموعة الثانية جمع منها مرتين أسبوعياً والثالثة ثلاث مرات أسبوعياً والرابعة خمس مرات أسبوعياً ولدة أسبوعين ( فترة تدريب ) ، ثم بعد ذلك جمع من المجموعة الأولى مرة واحدة إسبوعيا والمجموعة الثانية جمع منها مرتين أسبوعياً والثالثة ثلاث مرات أسبوعياً والرابعة خمس مرات أسبوعياً والمجموعة الخامسة جمع منها سبع مرات أسبوعياً أى مرة يومياً . وذلك لمات أسبوعياً والمجموعة الثانية جمع منها مرتين أسبوعياً والثالثة ثلاث مرات أسبوعياً والرابعة خمس مرات أسبوعياً والمجموعة الخامسة جمع منها سبع مرات أسبوعياً أى مرة يومياً . وذلك لمات أسبوع واعتيام صفات السائل المنوى لكل مجموعة . ثم لقحت كل مجموعة من الإناث مرة كل أسبوع صناعياً بسائل منوى مجموعة من الذكور بعد تخفيقه بنسبة ٢ : ٣ بمخفف سترات الصوديوم واحترت جرعة التلقيح لكل دجاجة على مائة مليون حيوان منوى . وذلك لتقدير المقدرة الإخصابية والفتس .

- أوضحت النتائج ما يلى - :

 ١- لوحظ إختلافاً معنوياً (P<0.05) ) في حجم القذفة ، فالديوك التي جمع منها مرة أو مرتين إسبوعياً أعطت حجم قذفة أكبر من الديوك التي جمع منها أكثر من مرتين إسبوعياً .

> ۲- لا يوجد فرق معنوى في تركيز الحيوانات المنوية في عينات السائل المنوى لديوك المجموعات المدروسة .

٣- وجد إختلافاً معنوياً (P<0.05) في عدد الحيوانات المنوية للقذفة بين جميع المجموعات .</p>

٤- أدت زيادة تكرار جمع السائل المنوى من مرة إلى خمس مرات أسبوعيا إلى زيادة معنوية (P<0.05)فى إنتاج الحيوانات المنوية اليومية ( DSO) من ٩٠٠ × ٩٠ إلى ٢٠ × <sup>٩</sup> على التوالى بينما لا يوجد فرق معنوى فى قيمة DSO عند الجمع خمس أو سبع مرات إسبوعيا.

٥- أوضحت النتائج أنه بزيادة تكرار الجمع تزيد معه كفاءة الديك التناسلية ، فقد وجد انه بزيادة مرات الجمع من مرة الى سبع مرات اسبوعياً تزيد معه عدد الدجاجات التى يكن تلقيحها صناعياً لكل ديك من ٢٣ إلى ٧٥ دجاجة على التوالى . Yousry, A. Dowidar: Effects of Frequency of Collection

٦ - لوحظ بزيادة تكرار الجمع من مرة إلى خمس مرات أسبوعيا تزيد معه معنويا ( P<0.05)</p> نسبة حيوية الحيوانات المنوية في القذفة . ٧ \_ وجد أن متوسط النسبة المئوية للمقدرة الإخصابية كانت ٩٣ % ولا يوجد فرق معنوى بين متوسط % للخصوبة وتكرار الجمع . ٨ \_ لوحظ أن متوسط النسبة المئوية للفَّقس كانت ٨٢ % ، ٧٦ % ( منسوبة للبيض المخصب . وللبيض الكلى على التوالى ) . ولا يوجد فرق معنوى بين % للفقس وعدد مرات الجمع المختلفة . نستخلص من هذه الدراسة أن زيادة تكرار جمع السبائل المنوي من الديوك إلى خمس مبرات أسبوعياً يزيد من كفاءتها التناسلية ولا يؤثر عكسياً على المقدرة الإخصابية والفقس في الدجاج . وينصح باستخدام طريقة الجمع بمعدل خمس مرات أسبوعياً لتعظيم الفائدة خاصة فى حالة القطعان الكبيرة للحاجة الزائدة للتلقيح الصناعي وهذا لن يخفض من نسبتي الخصوبة والفقس في الدجاج .