

EFFECT OF INCLUDING FILTER CAKE BLOCKS IN LACTATING GOATS RATIONS ON DIGESTIBILITY AND PRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE.

A.H. Mohamed¹ and B. E. El-Saidy²

1-By-products Utilization Research Department, 2- Sheep and Goat Research Department, Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

SUMMARY

This experiment was carried out to investigate the effect of partial substitution of concentrate feed mixtures (CFM) with filter cake blocks (FCB) (with different level of filter cake (FC) , in rations for lactating does on digestibility, milk yield and milk composition. Thirty Egyptian Baladi lactating does averaged 33.30±1.5-kg live body weight (LBW) and aged 3-4 years were divided randomly into three similar group's. The control ration (RI) consisted of concentrate feed mixture (CFM) 50% , berseem hay (BS) 30% and wheat straw (WS) 20% as (control group), whereas the second and third groups received the same control ration with 50% of CFM protein was substituted by FCB1 (with 40% FC) as group RII or by FCB2 (with 48% FC) as group RIII, respectively. Animals were fed according to NRC,(1989) allowances for goats. Three digestibility trials were conducted with 9 mature bucks to evaluate the nutritive value of tested rations.

Results showed that the digestibility coefficients of CP,CF and nutritive value as TDN, ME and DCP for RII group were significantly ($P<0.05$) improved as compared with the RI group (control). Milk yield and fat corrected milk yield significantly ($P<0.05$) increased with FCB1 substitution. Milk composition was not significantly affected by FCB substitution, whereas, both fat and protein milk yields of goats fed RII ration were significantly higher ($P<0.05$) than those fed control ration (RI). No, significant differences were detected among most tested blood constituents of all groups of lactating goats, except urea-N which was higher on tested groups (RII & RIII) than the control group RI. Moreover, feed cost decreased by 8.82 and 14.71%, leading to an economical efficiency improvement by 41.30 and 12.32%, with RII (with 40% FC) and RIII (with 48% FC), respectively than with RI group (control). In conclusion, partial substitution with FCB1 (with 40% FC) could be recommended for lactating does rations as this will improve stockholders income.

Keywords: *filter cake blocks, lactating does, digestibility, milk yield and milk composition*

INTRODUCTION

Egypt have varying quantities of agro-industrial by-products of good nutritive value which can supply valuable supplementary ingredients to be used in animal rations. Filter cake (FC) is one of the valuable agro-industrial by-products as nutrients rich, which is

produced during the milling process as, part of the cane remains after filtering sugar cane juice. Filter cake is produced as a sticky mass containing about 40-50% water (Akhtar *et al.*, 1998). As a result of the high content of water in filter cake, it is difficult to transport (requiring expensive tankers trucks), to store (requiring tanks), to handle and to

distribute to animals. Combining substitution of rice bran with sun dried filter cake in amounts up to 15% in poultry ration, resulted in normal growth rate and mortality, (Ajmal *et al.*, 1997). Mohamed *et al.*, (2001b) observed that the filter cake could successfully and economically replace up to 20% of traditional feed concentrate mixture for growing lambs rations. This study was carried out to investigate the possibility of feeding lactating goat with filter cake blocks. Digestibility, nutritive value and milk yield potential of diets with filter cake inclusion were evaluated.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was carried out at Sakha Experimental Station, belonging to the Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center.

Lactation trials

Thirty Egyptian Baladi lactating does averaged 33.30 ± 1.5 kg live body weight (LBW) and aged 3-4 years at the last two months of gestation were divided randomly into three similar groups (10 does were kept in separate pin). Animals were fed according to NRC, (1989) allowances for goats. Feeding requirements were adjusted biweekly according to weight changes and milk yield. Animal were given concentrate feed mixture 50% (CFM), berseem hay 30% (BH) and wheat straw 20% (WS) as (control group) R1. In the second (R2) and third (R3), groups, 50% of CFM protein was replaced by filter cake blocks contained 40% FC (FCB1) and 48% FC (FCB2), respectively. The filter cake blocks (FCB) was manufacture by using horizontal mixer to mix ingredients in the following order of introduction, filter cake, molasses, urea, salt, soybean, wheat bran and cement. The mixture is then poured into moulds (frame made of

boards (2.5m*0.2m). The composition of filter cake blocks (FCB1 and FCB2) are given in Table 1. The concentrate feed mixture consisted of 25% undecortecated cotton seed cake, 35% wheat bran, 30% corn, 3% rice bran, 4% molasses, 2% limestone and 1% salt.

Animals were fed twice daily at 8.00 and 16.00 hrs. Fixed quantity of FCB (FCB1 or FCB2) mixed with CFM before offering to animals. Clean drinking water was offered to the animals two times daily. Animals were kept under routine veterinary supervision through the experiment. Milk yield was measured individually, one day biweekly through the 90 days as a trial period. Does were completely hand milked till stripping the udder one mutual meal (morning & evening) daily through two successive days during suckling and milking periods. Kids suckled other dams in days of milk measured. Composite milk samples were collected from morning and evening milking of the same day for chemical analysis. Feed conversion was calculated as the amount of DM, TDN DE and DCP required to produce 1kg FCM. Economic return was calculated as the differences between the prices of milk and feed cost. Economic efficiency was calculated as the ratio between cost of feed consumed to the price of the milk produced, based on market prices

Blood samples were taken in heparnized tubes from jugular vein of tested animals for 3 successive days at 4-hrs post feeding once monthly during the experimental period. Blood samples separated by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes, then frozen at -20°C until analysis.

Digestibility trials

Nine mature bucks average live body weight of 38.10 ± 1.0 kg was used to conduct three digestibility trials to determine the digestibility and nutritive

values of the tested rations. Animals were divided into three similar groups (3 animals each). Animals were accustomed to stand in metabolic cages for two weeks as preliminary period followed by one week as collection period. Every group was fed one of the previous tested rations mentioned before. Rations were offered twice daily at 9.00 and 16.00 hrs to the animals and clean drinking water was available. During the collection period, feed intake and leftover were measured, and representative samples were collected. Each 24-hr feces were quantity collected and aliquot samples were kept for chemical analysis.

Chemical analysis:

Chemical analysis of feed stuffs, feces and milk samples were determined according to methods recommended by A.O.A.C. (1990). Milk fat content was determined according to Gerber's methods as described by Ling (1963). Blood serum was analyzed by using kits for Total protein (*Weichselbaum, 1946*), urea (*Patton and Crouch, 1977*), Glucose, glutamic oxalocetic transminase (GOT) and glutamic pyruvate transminase (GPT) (*Siest et al., 1981*) Albumin (*Doumas et al., 1971*) and the methods reported by biochemistry laboratory reagents and products. The serum total globulin (GL) was calculated by the difference (TP - Al). The digestible energy (DE) values of the feeds and milk energy were calculated according to *McDonald et al., (1978)* equations.

Statistical analysis

The data were statistically analyzed to test the significant using one way analysis of variance according to *Snedecor and Cochran 1980*. *Duncan s* multiple range test (1955) was applied to test significant among means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The chemical analysis of ingredients and calculated nutrients content for experimental rations are presented in Table (2). Filter cake (FC) had similar CP, lower CF and higher ash content as percentage compared to berseem hay, used in this study, but slightly lower CP compared with concentrate feed mixture (CFM). Filter cake blocks (FCB1& FCB2) had a higher CP, lower CF and higher ash content as percentage compared to berseem hay. The nutrients contents of experimental rations were almost similar, except the ash content that was slightly higher in RIII ration than other ones.

Digestibility coefficients and feeding values:

The results presented in Table (3) showed insignificant differences among tested groups respecting of DM and OM digestibility. The values presented in Table (3) suggest that 50% replacement of CFM with FCB1 and FCB2 had stimulatory effect on total nutrient digestion in tested rations. Feeding FCB1 (for RII group) improved ($P<0.05$) CP digestibility as compared to RI group. Also, substitution 50% of CFM with FCB1 and FCB2 (with RII and RIII groups, respectively) caused significantly ($P<0.05$) increase in CF digestibility compared to RI group. This probably due to the shift of rumen microbes to ferment more readily easily fermentable nutrients available through FCB as observed by *Singh, (1991)*; *Akhtar et al. (1998)* and *Mohamed et al. (2001b)*.

Improved nutrients digestibility in particular for RII indicated the availability of fermentable N and readily available carbohydrate supplied by FCB1 (group RII) which might have resulted in better utilization of the ration. (*Leng, 1984* and *Schiere et al., 1989*).

Mohamed and El-Saidy

Table (1): Ingredients of filter cake blocks (on DM basis)

| Item | Ingredients components | |
|---------------|------------------------|------|
| | FCB1 | FCB2 |
| Filter cake % | 40 | 48 |
| Molasses | 12 | 9 |
| Wheat bran | 22 | 17 |
| Soybean meal | 10 | 12 |
| Salt | 5 | 3 |
| Urea | 1 | 1 |
| Cement | 10 | 10 |

Table (2): Chemical composition % of the ingredients and calculated composition of experimental rations (on DM basis).

| Item | Chemical composition % (on DM basis) | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| | DM | OM | CP | CF | EE | NFE | Ash |
| CFM | 90.82 | 90.08 | 17.48 | 10.72 | 3.45 | 58.43 | 9.92 |
| Berseem hay | 89.26 | 88.93 | 13.52 | 27.86 | 2.18 | 45.37 | 11.07 |
| Filter cake | 60.32 | 78.67 | 13.55 | 18.88 | 2.62 | 43.62 | 21.33 |
| Wheat straw | 90.38 | 86.37 | 4.38 | 38.84 | 1.26 | 41.89 | 13.63 |
| FCB1 | 89.78 | 83.17 | 15.28 | 11.78 | 1.82 | 54.29 | 16.83 |
| FCB2 | 88.63 | 81.62 | 14.17 | 13.82 | 1.45 | 52.18 | 18.38 |
| Tested Rations | | | | | | | |
| Ration1 R1 | 90.32 | 89.14 | 13.20 | 22.70 | 2.12 | 51.12 | 0.86 |
| Ration2 RII | 89.88 | 88.77 | 13.04 | 22.88 | 2.14 | 50.71 | 11.23 |
| Ration3 RIII | 89.52 | 88.17 | 12.86 | 22.36 | 2.23 | 50.72 | 11.83 |

Table (3) Digestion coefficients and feeding values of experimental rations.

| Item | Experimental Rations | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------|
| | RI | RII | RIII | SE |
| Digestion coefficients % | | | | |
| DM | 56.48 | 58.27 | 57.18 | 0.66 |
| OM | 59.67 | 62.68 | 61.36 | 0.72 |
| CP | 69.82 ^b | 75.28 ^a | 72.23 ^{ab} | 0.64 |
| CF | 57.68 ^c | 63.58 ^a | 60.72 ^b | 0.82 |
| EE | 79.28 | 78.56 | 78.74 | 0.72 |
| NFE | 69.38 | 72.54 | 70.43 | 0.65 |
| Feeding values % | | | | |
| TDN | 60.66 ^b | 65.04 ^a | 62.55 ^{ab} | 0.54 |
| DE (Kcal/ 100 g DM) | 267.43 ^b | 286.67 ^a | 275.67 ^{ab} | 3.58 |
| DCP | 9.22 ^b | 9.94 ^a | 9.30 ^b | 0.40 |

A,b,c Means in the same row having different superscript significantly differ (P<0.05)

Similar, trends of nutrient digestibility in cattle (*Gary and Gupta, 1992* and *Mohamed et al., 2001a*), and buffaloes (*Dias, 1991* and *Hosamani et al., 1998*) was reported.

Consequently, feeding values in terms of TDN, DE and DCP% was significantly ($P<0.05$) higher for RII group as compared to control group. Insignificant differences were noticed between control and RIII groups. As a result to that, TDN intake was low in group RI, which tended to increase in group RII & RIII as shown in Table (4).

There were no significant differences found between RI and RIII groups in respect of nutrients intake due to FCB substitution. The maximum DMI, TDN and DCP and intake were observed for animals fed RII ration (28.40, 18.47 and 3.47 g / kg BW, respectively). These results are comparable to those reported by *Dias (1991)*, *Akhtar et al. (1998)* and *Mohamed et al., (2001b)* who reported higher TDN and DCP values when FC was added to sheep rations.

Milk yield and composition:

As for daily milk yield, fat corrected milk FCM and its composition, the results in Table 5, show that daily milk yield and FCM of does fed RII diet was significantly higher ($P<0.05$) than those fed RI diet by 52.22 and 20.22%, respectively, but they did not significantly differ from those fed RIII diet. However, despite the differences were not significant between does fed RI diet and those fed RIII, and the daily milk yield and FCM produced by the latter group was higher by about 22.22 and 7.87%, respectively than the former one. This could be associated with higher DM intake accordingly TDN intake by does fed RII and RIII diets than those fed RI diet.

Milk fat, protein (Statistical analysis revealed insignificant differences for

milk composition percentage among tested groups). The average of milk fat and milk protein (Table 5) of does fed RII diet was significantly higher ($P<0.05$) than those fed RI diet. However, the average of milk fat and milk protein yield of does fed RIII diet was not significantly different from the other tested diets. Such differences in milk fat and milk protein yield could be attributed to the differences in average of milk yield and milk composition, since it was practically similar. The obtained values for milk yield and milk composition are in agreement with those reported by *Gary and Gupta, (1992)* and *Mohamed et al., (2001a)*.

Blood plasma parameters

Values of some blood metabolites are presented in Table (6). There were no significant difference detected among the tested blood parameters of lactating does except for urea, which was slightly ($P<0.05$) higher in RII and RIII than RI group (control). The obtained values of blood parameters are within the normal range given by *Kameko (1989)* and *Gabr et al. (2001)* for healthy lactating does.

Feed conversion and economic efficiency for experimental rations:

Does fed on FCB1 have a better-feed conversion compared to goat fed control ration expressed as DM, TDN, DE and DCP/kg FCM. Substitution, 50% of CFM with FCB1 (with 40% filter cake) resulted in better feed conversion rate by 13.85; 7.60 and 6.81% for DMI, TDN, and DCP, respectively for producing 1kg FCM compared to control group. The DMI for the lactation does seems to be higher than values obtained with digestibility trials. On the mean time, the feed cost decreased by 8.82 and 14.71% with RII and RIII groups, respectively as compared to does fed control ration. The estimated economic

Table (4): Dry matter intake and nutrients intake of experimental rations fed to bucks.

| Item | Experimental Rations | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------|
| | RI | RII | RIII | SE |
| Av. body weight kg | 38.50 | 37.50 | 38.20 | |
| Dry matter intake | | | | |
| DMI g/ head /day | 985 | 1065 | 1015 | 2.5 |
| “ “ “ kg BW | 25.58 ^b | 28.40 ^a | 26.57 ^{ab} | 0.55 |
| Nutrients intake | | | | |
| TDN g/ head /day | 597.50 ^b | 693.68 ^a | 634.88 ^{ab} | 38 |
| “ “ “ kg BW | 15.52 ^b | 18.47 ^a | 16.62 ^{ab} | 1.57 |
| DE K cal / head /day | 91.61 ^b | 104.05 ^a | 97.03 ^{ab} | 0.94 |
| “ “ “ kg BW | 2.38 ^b | 2.78 ^a | 2.54 ^{ab} | 1.85 |
| DCP g/ head /day | 90.82 ^b | 130.00 ^a | 117.76 ^{ab} | 2.75 |
| “ “ “ kg BW | 2.36 ^b | 3.47 ^a | 2.47 ^{ab} | 0.88 |

a,b,c Means in the same row having different superscript significantly differ (P<0.05)

Table (5): Average daily milk yield , milk composition for lactating does fed experimental rations

| Item | Experimental Rations | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------|
| | RI | RII | RIII | SE |
| Av. daily milk yield kg/h | 0.90 ^c | 1.37 ^a | 1.10 ^b | 0.21 |
| Av. daily Fat corrected milk | 0.89 ^b | 1.07 ^a | 0.96 ^{ab} | 0.13 |
| Milk composition, % | | | | |
| Fat | 3.52 | 3.46 | 3.48 | 0.23 |
| Protein | 3.61 | 3.62 | 3.57 | 0.18 |
| Total Solids (TS) | 12.18 | 12.26 | 12.07 | 0.11 |
| Solids not fat (SNF) | 8.66 | 8.80 | 8.59 | 0.28 |
| Lactose | 4.12 | 4.24 | 4.18 | 0.30 |
| Ash | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 0.13 |
| Av. Fat yield g / h /d | 33.10 ^b | 45.67 ^a | 38.28 ^{ab} | 0.36 |
| Av. Protein yield g / h /d | 33.93 ^b | 47.78 ^a | 39.27 ^{ab} | 0.32 |

a,b,c Means in the same row having different superscript significantly differ (P<0.05)

Table (6): Effect of feeding the experimental rations on some blood plasma Parameters of does.

| Item | Experimental Rations | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
| | RI | RII | RIII | SE |
| Total protein gm/100 ml | 7.24 | 7.32 | 7.29 | 0.12 |
| Albumin gm/100 ml | 3.68 | 3.69 | 3.74 | 0.08 |
| Globulin gm/100 ml | 3.56 | 3.63 | 3.55 | 0.11 |
| AL / GL ratio | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.05 | 0.07 |
| Glucose gm/100 ml | 61.38 | 63.30 | 64.50 | 0.23 |
| Gpt | 8.72 | 8.38 | 8.63 | 0.12 |
| Got | 61.32 | 62.72 | 62.83 | 0.11 |
| Urea mg/100 ml | 41.28 ^b | 45.63 ^a | 46.83 ^a | 0.31 |

a,b,c Means in the same row having different superscript significantly differ (P<0.05)

efficiency are given in (Table 7) illustrate that FCB substitution in RII & RIII reflected superiority over the control ration..

Moreover, economical efficiency improved by 41.30 and 12.32% with RII and RIII, respectively as compared to control group.

From this study it can be concluded that blocks based on filter cake (with 40% filter cake) fed successfully and economically as a partial substitute for cereal based concentrate rations for lactating does without any adverse effects on animal performance which reflect on feeding cost and economical efficiency.

REFERENCES

- Ajmal K.; I. Muhammad; A.Ali; N. M.Y Ahmad (1997). Use of filter cake as a substitute for cereals in poultry feed . Pakistan Journal Scientific and Industrial Research. Vol-40.No -5/12
- Akhtar, A. M.; Ahinad; I.; Muhammed, K.;Nisar; A.A. and Ibrahim M. (1998). Use of filter cake in the diet on the weight gain young buffalo calves. Pakistan. J. of Scientific and Industrial Research. 14 (1): 28-31.
- A.O.A.C. (1990). Association of Official Analytical Chemists,. Official Methods of Analysis, 15th ed. AOAC, Washington, DC. USA
- Dias-J. Q. (1991). Apparent digestibility of sugar factory filter cake in sheep Faculdade de Medicina Veterinaria Zootecnia da Universidade de San Paulo,Pirassungunga, SP , 05508; Brazil.
- Doums, B.; W. Wabson, and H. Biggs (1971). Albumin standards and measurement of serum with bromocresol green . Clin. Chem. Acta. 31:87.
- Drupt, E . (1974). Colorimetric determination of albumin. Biol.. J. 9:777.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F- test. Biometrics, 11:1-42.
- Fawcett, J.K. and J.E. Scott (1960). Colorimetric determination of urea . J. Clin. Path. 13:156.
- Gabr,A.A.; A.Z. Mehrez; A.M. Abd El-Khabir, A.A. Abdel-Aziz and O.A. El-Zalaky (2001). Performance and some blood plasma constituents of lactating goats fed diets containing formaldehyde treated dried poultry manure. Proc. 8th Conf. Animal Nutrition, 23-26 October, 2001. Sharm El-Sheikh, Egypt.
- Gary, M.R. and B.N Gupta,. (1992). Effect of supplementing urea molasses mineral block luck to straw based diet on dry matter intake and nutrient utilization . Asian Australian J. Anim. Sci., 5, 39-46.
- Hosamani S.V.; U.R. Mehra and R.S. Dass. (1998). Effect of different planes of nutrition on urea molasses mineral block intake, nutrient utilization, rumen fermentation pattern and blood profile in Murrah buffaloes. Animal feed Science and Technology, 76: 117-128.
- Leng, R.A. (1984). The potential of solidified molasses -based blocks for the correction of multinutritional deficiencies in buffaloes and other ruminants fed 0 quality agro-industrial by products. In "The Use of Nuclear Techniques to Improve Domestic Buffalo Production in Asia". IAEA, Vienna. Pp.135-150.
- Ling, E.I.L. (1963). Text Book of "Dairy Chemistry" vol. 11 . Practical Chapman, Hall Ltd, London ,4th ed, pp 140.
- Kameko, J. J. (1989). Clinical Biochemistry of Animals , 4th ed. Academic press ,Inc. USA:

- McDonald, P. ; R Edwards and J.F.D Greenhalgh (1978). *Animal Nutrition* (4th Ed) Longman. London , New York..
- Mohamed, A.H.; A.A. Abd El-Aziz, M.M. Mohamed and F.Z.Swidan (2001a). Integrated filter cake in lactating Friesian cows rations. Second International Conference on Animal Production & Health in Semi Arid Areas, 4-6 September, El-Arish, North Sinai, Egypt.pp.375-383.
- Mohamed, A.H.; M. Zenhom; A.A. Abd El-Aziz and M.R. Hammad (2001b). Influence of the partial replacement of concentrate mixture by dried sugar filter cake by product on rumen fermentation and performance of growing lambs. *Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds*, (special issue), Proc. 8th Conf. Animal Nutrition, 23-26 October, 2001 , Sharm El-Sheikh, Egypt. Pp.221-230.
- NRC, (1989). *Nutrient Requirements of Goats*. (2nd Ed.) *Nat. Acad. Sci.*, Washington, D.C.
- Patton, C.J. and S.R. Crouch (1977). Spectro-photometric and kinetics investigation of the Berthelot reaction for the determination of ammonia. *Anal. Chem.* 49:464.
- Schiere J.B.; M.N Ibrahim; U.J.H. Dewart and G. Zemmeling (1989). Response of growing cattle given rice straw to lick blocks containing urea molasses. *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.* 26: 179-189.
- Siest, G.; J. Henny and F. Schiele (1981). *Interpretation des examens de laboratorie* Karger, ed. 206.
- Singh, G.P. (1991). Effect of urea molasses mineral block lick supplementation on the dry matter intake and nutrient utilization in crossbred .I st Int. Animal Nutrition Research Workers Conf. for Asia and Pacific, 23-28 September, Bangalore India Compendium I, abstract No. 13.
- Snedecor G.W. and Cochran W.G. (1980). *Statistical Methods* 6th Ed Oxford and IBH p Publication, Calcutta, India, pp 258-298.
- Weichselbaum, F. (1946). Colorimetric determination of total protein .*Am. J.Clin. Path.* 16: 40.

Table (7) : Feed conversion and economic efficiency of lactating does fed experimental rations.

| Item | Experimental Rations | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------|--------|
| | RI | RII | RIII |
| *Feed conversion | | | |
| Kg DM / kg FCM | 1.30 | 1.12 | 1.23 |
| Kg TDN / kg FCM | 0.79 | 0.73 | 0.77 |
| DE Mcal / kg FCM | 3.48 | 3.21 | 3.39 |
| g DCP/ kg FCM | 119.65 | 111.50 | 114.31 |
| *Economic Efficiency | | | |
| Total feed cost LE/doe | 61.20 | 55.80 | 52.20 |
| Price of milk LE/h | 84.60 | 108.90 | 81.00 |
| Return LE | 23.40 | 53.10 | 28.80 |
| Economic Efficiency | 1.38 | 1.95 | 1.55 |
| The Economic Efficiency improvement % | | 41.30 | 12.32 |

*Market price for CFM, BH, WS FCB1, FCB2 milk (on fresh basis) LE/ton, 700,400, 200, 500,400 and 1000.

تأثير استخدام قوالب مخلفات مرشحات السكر فى علائق الماعز الحلاب على معاملات الهضم وأدائها الإنتاجى.

علاء الدين حسن محمد^١ ، بدير اسماعيل الصعدي^٢

١- قسم بحوث استخدام المخلفات ، ٢- قسم بحوث الأغنام والماعز ، معهد بحوث الإنتاج الحيوانى ، مركز البحوث الزراعية. جيزة. مصر

تم دراسة تأثير استبدال مخلوط العلف المركز بواسطة قوالب مخلفات مرشحات السكر (ق م م س) فى علائق الماعز الحلاب على كفاءة الحيوان لإنتاج اللبن وتركيب اللبن . استخدمت فى هذه الدراسة ٣٠ معاز بلدي حلاب متوسط وزن الجسم ٣٠،٣٣ ± ١،٥ كجم ويتراوح عمرها ٣-٤ سنوات فى موسم الحليب الثانى والثالث وبدأت التغذية على العلائق المختبرة خلال الشهرين الأخيرين من الحمل واستمرت لمدة ٣ شهور . وقد تم تقسيم الحيوانات إلى ثلاث مجامع متماثلة لدراسة تأثير استبدال (ق م م س) على إنتاجه الماعز . كانت العلائق المختبرة تتكون من علف مركز ودريس برسيم وتبن قمح (عليقه مقارنة) ١ع ، أو استخدام عليقه المقارنة مع استبدال ٥٠% من بروتين العلف المركز بقوالب (ق م م س) ١ع ، أو استخدام عليقه المقارنة مع استبدال ٥٠% من العلف المركز لعليقه المقارنة بقوالب (ق م م س) ٢ع . وقد استخدمت عدد ٩ من ذكور الماعز متوسط وزنها ٣٨ ± ٠،١ كجم لإجراء تجارب الهضم.

وقد دلت النتائج على إن القيمة الهضمية لكل من المادة الجافة والمادة العضوية للعلائق المختبرة مع استبدال العلف المركز ب (ق م م س) كانت متشابهة تقريبا . بينما كانت القيمة الهضمية لكل من البروتين الخام والألياف الخام والقيمة الغذائية محسوبة كمركبات غذائية مهضومة ومكافئ النشا والطاقة الممتلئة والبروتين الخام المهضوم قد تحسنت تحسنا معنويا (احتمال ٠،٠٥) بالنسبة للمجموعة ٢ع مقارنة بمجموعة ١ع . بينما لم توجد فروق معنوية بالنسبة لقيمة كل من البروتين الخام المهضوم والألياف الخام المهضومة والقيمة الغذائية محسوبة كمركبات غذائية مهضومة والطاقة المهضومة والبروتين الخام المهضوم عند مقارنة ٣ع و ١ع .

بالنسبة لمعدل إدرار اللبن فقد زاد معدل الإدرار للمجموعة ٢ع زيادة معنوية (احتمال ٠،٠٥) عند مقارنة المجموعة ١ع . بينما لم توجد فروق معنوية بين المجموعتين المختبرتين بالنسبة لكل من نسبة الدهن والبروتين الخام والجوامد الصلبة الكلية والجوامد اللاذهنية واللاكتوز . بالنسبة لإنتاج اللبن معدل الدهن فقد كانت هناك فروق معنوية (احتمال ٠،٠٥) عند مقارنة ٢ع ومجموعة المقارنة ١ع . بينما لم توجد فروق معنوية بين مجموعة ٢ع ، ١ع بالنسبة للبن معدل الدهن . وقد ازداد محصول الدهن والبروتين للمجموعة ٢ع زيادة معنوية (احتمال ٠،٠٥)

مقارنة بالمجموعة ١ع . بالنسبة لبعض مقاييس الدم لم تتأثر معنويا بإحلال (ق م م س) محل ٥٠% من العلف المركز . كذلك تحسنت الكفاءة التحويلية للحيوانات محسوبة فى صورة طاقة مهضومة وبروتين مهضوم نتيجة هذا الاستبدال ، كما انخفضت تكاليف التغذية بمقدار ١٤،٧١ ، ٢٨،٨٢% لكل من مجموعتي ٢ع ، ٣ع على التوالي عن مجموعة المقارنة . وقد أدى ذلك إلى تحسن الكفاءة الاقتصادية لإنتاج كجم لبن للحيوانات بمقدار ٤١،٣ ، ١٢،٣٢% لكل من مجموعتي ٢ع ، ٣ع على التوالي عن مجموعة المقارنة .

وتخلص الدراسة إلى انه من الممكن استبدال ٥٠% من العلف المركز بواسطة قوالب مخلفات مرشحات السكر (ق م م س) ١ع المحتوية على ٤٠% من مخلفات مرشحات السكر (بدون أن يتأثر أداء الحيوان مع انخفاض تكاليف التغذية وزيادة الكفاءة الاقتصادية.