# SOME MINERAL, NITROGEN AND WATER UTILIZATION OF SALT PLANT FED SHEEP AS AFFECTED BY MONENSIN

S.A. Attia-Ismail \*; A. M. Fayed, and A.A., Fahmy

Department of Animal and Poultry Nutrition, Desert Research Center P.O. Box 11753, Matareya, Cairo, Egypt

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed (email: saai54@hotmail.com)

#### SUMMARY

Twenty-six growing lambs were randomly selected and divided into three groups (46.5, 46.0, and 46.5  $\pm$ 0.94 Kg live body weight for groups 1, 2, and 3, respectively). Animals were fed on previously sun-dried halophytes which were mixed in the ratio of 2:1:1 for Tamarix mannifera (Tarfa), Zygophyllum album (Ghullam), and Halocnemum strobulaceum (Tar'teer), respectively. First diet was the control and consisted of 60% of the growth requirements in the form of concentrate feed mixture (CFM) while Berseem hay (Trifolium alexandrium) was offered ad lib. Second and third diets had the same 60% CFM and the halophyte mixture was fed ad lib. To the second diet 20-ppm monensin (commercial form as sodium salt/h/d was added for the purpose of enhancing nutrient utilization. Mineral, nitrogen and water metabolism of salt bush fed lambs as affected by monensin was investigated. Feeding experiments followed by a balance trial were conducted for 155 days. Monensin addition to salt plant based-diets caused a reduction in both fecal and urine Ca excretion. Hence, monensin improved Ca utilization. Monensin did not appear to have influenced Na absorption. The effect of monensin on Na utilization may have been masked by the excessive Na content of salt plants. Absorption of K was variable among animal groups due to varied intakes and excretion in different groups. Monensin fed-group had the lowest non-significant, yet positive, nitrogen balance. Digested nitrogen was lower for salt plants fed groups, yet not significantly different from that of control. Monensin had no effect on Zn utilization. Water utilization by sheep fed salt plants and monensin was not different from that of control. It appears that the addition of monensin to the salt plants improved the nutrient utilization.

Keyword: some minerasl, nitroge, water utilization, salt plant, sheep, monensin

#### INTRODUCTION

The use of salt bush plants has found an acceptance under the circumstances of feed shortage especially in coastal areas. Some conservation is placed, however, on that use; high contents of minerals (no less than 20% ash on DM basis) is an example (Fahmy et al., 2001).

Because salt plants are poor quality roughage, many treatments like ensiling, chopping, nutrient enrichment, or any combination of treatments were applied (Khamis, 1988, Fahmy, 1998; and Youssef, 1999). Monensin, as an ionophore, was thought to enhance the nutrient utilization by animals when fed salt plants. Monensin increased feed efficiency of animals and body weight gain as well (Potter et al., 1976).

Several investigators reviewed the mechanisms by which ionophores exert their effects (Schelling, 1984 and Bergen and Bates, 1984). Briefly, ionophores increase propionate, decrease acetate and butyrate. They, also, affect protein metabolism in the rumen. Ruminants

(Davidson, 1984 and Donoho, 1984) absorb 36 to 50% of (14C) monensin. Therefore, another mechanism must be involved (Armstrong and Spears, 1984). However, monensin, as an ionophore, facilitates the passage of ions across cell membranes. It has strong affinity for sodium and potassium ions (Kirk et al.., 1985). Monensin may be exerting its effect on minerals either within the rumen or small intestine or by another way through the tissues. Monensin was found to improve the retention of some minerals (Kirk et al., 1985).

Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate mineral, nitrogen and water metabolism of salt bush fed lambs as affected by monensin.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Feed processing and diet formulation

the purpose of For experimentation, fresh edible leaves and branches of the halophytic plants were cut off, collected, sun-dried, chopped and packed for later use. Measured amounts of previously sun-dried halophytes were mixed in the ratio of 2:1:1 for Tamarix mannifera (Tarfa), Zygophyllum album (Ghullam) Halocnemum and strobulaceum (Tar'teer), respectively. (Khamis, Previous studies indicated that air-drying reduced intake of halophytes compared with fresh green state. Therefore, 10% molasses (by weight) was used as an appetizer and taste-improving agent and calculated as a part of concentrate portion.

Diets were balanced according to Kearl, (1982). Three diets were formulated as 40% roughage and 60% concentrates. Chemical composition of different diet ingredients are found in Table (1). First diet was the control and consisted of 60% of the requirements in the form of concentrate feed mixture (CFM) and berseem hay (Trifolium

alexandrium) ad lib. Second (SPM) and third (SP) diets had the same 60% CFM and the halophyte mixture was fed ad lib. To the second diet 20-ppm monensin (commercial form) as sodium salt/h/d was added for the purpose of enhancing nutrient utilization.

## Experimental design

Twenty-six growing lambs were randomly selected and divided into three groups (9, 9, and 8 animals for groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively). Animal groups were assigned to experimental treatments randomly. Average body weights of experimental groups were 46.5, 46.0, and  $46.5 \pm 0.94$  Kg for groups 1, 2, and 3, in order. Feeding experiments followed by a balance trial were conducted for 155 days.

## Animals and management

In the balance trial, four animals from each group were randomly selected. Animals were randomly and individually placed in metabolism stalls designed for total collection of feces and urine. Fifteen days were allowed for animals to adapt to the metabolism crates. Animals were offered feeds on individual basis during the metabolism trial. The collection period was seven days. During the collection period, urine, feces, orts as well as samples of feeds offered and refused were collected. Drinking water was also sampled. Twenty-five milliliters of 9 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution were added daily to urine collection vesseis preservative. A 10% aliquot of urine (by collected volume) was daily immediately frozen for later analysis. Daily urine collections were composited by animal across days, mixed thoroughly, and sampled before freezing. Dried feces were composited daily into sealed plastic bags. Fecal collections were weighed. sampled, and ground. The composite samples of feeds and orts were also sampled and ground. Ground samples were wet washed in nitric acid and perchloric acid. Blood samples were withdrawn from Jugular vein of animals into tubes to which EDTA was added. Blood samples were immediately centrifuged at 4000<sub>rpm</sub> for 20 minutes. Clear serum was collected and immediately frozen for later analysis.

## Analysis

Feeds, orts, feces and urine were analyzed for the selected minerals according to A.O.A.C. (1990).

Data were analyzed statistically using GLM procedures of SAS (1985)... Duncan's multiple test was applied for comparison of means (Duncan, 1955).

# Regional characterization

Wadi Sudr (Sudr Valley) of southern Sinai was the area of collection. The soil of this area is known to be loamy sand texture. The total dissolved salts (TDS) of groundwater were estimated to range from 3000 to 4000 ppm. Anon, 1981 reported that the dominant cation in the water was sodium (30 meq/L) and anion was chloride (50 meq/L). He found also that sulfate content was 3.8 meq/L. Mohammed, (1996) reported that soil had calcium as the dominant cation (2.2 meq/100 g) and carbonate as the dominant anion (0.9 meg/100 g).

Dominant naturally growing salt bush shrubs in this area are Tamarix mannifera (Tarfa), Zygophyllum album (Ghullam), and Halocnemum strobulaceum (Tar'teer). In late wet season (spring), the plants have their green edible parts (leaves, and newly formed branches) ready to be grazed by animals after the overgrazing of more palatable plants.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Mineral utilization

Concentrations of macro and microelements of diet components fed to

sheep are presented in Table (2). Calcium intake (mg/Kg BW<sup>0.75</sup>) of salt plant-fed animals was higher (Table, 3) (P<0.05) for SP and SPM than that of control group. Amount of Ca content (%) was higher in salt plant mixture (Table, 2) than that of hav and CFM, collectively. In a previous study (Fahmy et al., 2001), animals consumed more salt plants than control (hay). Amount of fecal Ca excretion (Table, 3) of SPM group was about twice as much as that excreted by control group, while that of SP group was about three times. Also, Ca excreted in urine of SPM and SP groups was four to five times that excreted in urine of control group. Monensin addition to salt plant based-diets caused a reduction in both fecal and urine Ca excretion. Hence. total Ca excretion of SPM group was lower (P<0.05) than that of SP group. The amount of Ca excreted in SPM group as a result of monensin addition was almost as half as that of SP group: which clearly indicate that monensin improved Ca utilization. Expectedly, therefore, amount of absorbed Ca of SPM group was higher, vet not significant, than that of SP group; both were (P<0.05) higher than control. Similar results were obtained with Ca balance (Table, 3). Consequently, serum Ca concentrations (mg %) of SPM were twice as much as that of SP group. Control group exhibited a medium value of serum Ca concentration. Kirk et al., (1985)found that monensin supplementation to diets of lambs decreased urinary Ca excretion by about 60% of control. Percentages of urinary Ca excretion relative to intake were 0.74. 1.84 and 2.81% while those of fecal excretion were 28.72, 32.95 and 43.54% for control, SPM and SP groups, respectively. Therefore, monensin caused a reduction in Ca excreted (both fecal and urinary) but not as high percent as that obtained by Kirk et al. (1985). Since

## Attia-Ismail et al.

Table (1): Chemical composition and feed ingredients of diets fed to sheep.

| Item                    |                | CP   | CF   | ADF  | NDF   | Ash  | EE   | NFE   |
|-------------------------|----------------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|                         | DM DM basis, % |      |      |      |       |      |      |       |
| CFM*                    | 89.0           | 17.9 | 26.7 | 40.6 | 71.9  | 6.6  | 2.92 | 45.88 |
| Berseem hay             | 87.6           | 17.9 | 28.9 | 45.0 | 57.02 | 13.6 | 2.12 | 40.68 |
| Tamarix mannifera       | 51.5           | 7.6  | 23.0 | 33.3 | 49.0  | 22.3 | 3.5  | 43.6  |
| Zygophyllum album       | 36.0           | 6.4  | 16.0 | 31.4 | 44.9  | 26.9 | 4.4  | 50.6  |
| Halocnemum strabilaceum | 60.0           | 6.5  | 14.3 | 22.8 | 41.8  | 29.1 | 3.0  | 47.1  |
| Mix of salt plants      | 75.0           | 6.5  | 21.9 | 31.8 | 49.36 | 23.3 | 1.35 | 51.42 |

<sup>\*</sup>Concentrate feed mixture contains: 35% corn, 30% undecrticated cotton seed cake, 25% wheat bran. 6% molasses, 3% limestone, and 1% mineral salt.

Table (2): Macro and micro mineral contents of feed ingredients fed to growing

sheep.

|                    | I    | Macro ele | ments, % | Micro element, ppm |     |
|--------------------|------|-----------|----------|--------------------|-----|
| Item N             | N    | Na        | K        | Ca                 | Zn  |
| CFM                | 2,86 | 0.4       | 1.20     | 0.33               | 401 |
| Berseem hay        | 2.35 | 2.17      | 1.30     | 1.33               | 261 |
| Tamarix mannifera  | 1.22 | 2.6       | 0.8      | 1.44               | 385 |
| Zygophyllum album  | 1.02 | 2.84      | 0.9      | 3.47               | 433 |
| Halocnemum         | 1.04 | 7.0       | 1.65     | 2.45               | 997 |
| strabilaceum       |      |           |          |                    |     |
| Mix of salt plants | 1.04 | 3.76      | 1.02     | 2.10               | 551 |

Table (3): Calcium (Ca) utilization of growing sheep fed diets containing salt plants and monensin

| prants and moneusin.                        |                   |                    |                    |      |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
| Item  | Control           | SPM                | SP                 | ±SE  |
| Calcium intake,                             |                   |                    |                    |      |
| mg/Kg BW                                    | 186 <sup>6</sup>  | 303°               | 350°               | 24.2 |
| mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup>                    | 484 <sup>6</sup>  | 789ª               | 914ª               | 62.6 |
| Calcium excretion, mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup> |                   |                    |                    |      |
| Fecal                                       | 139°              | 260 <sup>b</sup>   | 398*               | 37   |
| Urine                                       | 3.59 <sup>6</sup> | 14.5*              | 19.9ª              | 2.45 |
| Total                                       | 142.6°            | 274.5 <sup>b</sup> | 417.9 <sup>a</sup> | 39.5 |
| Absorbed Ca                                 |                   |                    |                    |      |
| mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup>                    | 345 <sup>b</sup>  | 528ª               | 516ª               | 30.3 |
| % of intake                                 | 71.3              | 67.0               | 56.5               |      |
| Calcium balance,                            |                   |                    |                    |      |
| mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup>                    | 341 <sup>b</sup>  | 514.5ª             | 496.1°             | 28.2 |
| % of intake                                 | 70.5 <sup>a</sup> | 65.2ª              | 54.3 <sup>b</sup>  |      |
| % of absorbed                               | 98.9ª             | 97.3 <sup>b</sup>  | 96.1 <sup>b</sup>  |      |
| Serum Ca, mg%                               | 10.9ab            | 16.4ª              | 8.2 <sup>b</sup>   | 1.41 |

a, b and c: values bearing different superscripts at the same row differ significantly (P<0.05) SP = Sait plants, SPM= sait plants with monensin

two of the diets (SPM and SP) were salt plants and high in ash content than control, the excretion of Ca was higher than control and so was intake. The differences between SPM and SP, however, were not significant. Greene et al., (1967) found monensin to increase Ca absorption when fed to lambs but they attributed that increment to increased Ca intake. This is true and agrees with the results obtained in our present study.

One of the primary roles of monensin is to increase the activity of Na-K pump (Smith and Rozengurt, 1978). It was found to increase the concentrations of Na outside and K inside the cell (Pressman, 1976). Therefore, it was important to study Na and K metabolism of salt plants as affected by monensin. Sodium (Na) intake of salt plant-fed groups was, logically, higher than control group (Table, 4). Fahmy, (1998) found Na intake to vary from 805 to 1507 mg/Kg BW<sup>0.75</sup> for silages made of a mixture of salt plants; a range close to that obtained in the present study. Fecal Na excretion was not different among groups, while urine sodium excretion of salt plant-fed groups was higher (P<0.05) than that of control group. Therefore, the total Na excretion was higher for salt plant-fed groups. However, absorbed Na was lower in control group (P<0.05) than salt plant-fed groups. Monensin did not appear to have influenced Na absorption, as there was no significant differences between SPM and SP groups. Lambs fed diets consisting of ground corn and cotton seed hulls had increased (P>0.1) Na absorption when supplemented with 20 mg/Kg monensin (Kirk et al., 1985) as did Starnes et al., (1984) when monensin was fed to steers. In our present study, the significant level was set at P<0.05, which means higher test sensitivity. If significant level was set at a level similar to that set by those

authors, it would have been different However, the effect of monensin on Na utilization may have been masked by the excessive Na content of salt plants. Sodium is excreted, mainly through urinary system. Sodium excreted via urine of salt plant fed animals was 2.5 times more than that of control. Monensin made no difference in Na excreted in urine. However, Na balance was the least for the group fed monensin. Monensin-fed group had the lowest (P<0.05) Na balance resulting from the excessive excretion of sodium through the urinary system relative to its low Na intake. Serum Na concentrations (Table, 4) was lower (P<0.05) for SPM group than other groups.

Parameters indicating potassium (K) utilization are present in Table (5). Intakes of K by different groups were almost similar (402, 405, and 437 mg/Kg BW for control, SPM, and SP groups, respectively). The SP fed group recorded higher K intakes but not statistically different. Fecal K excretion was higher (P<0.05) in the control group compared to SPM. Absorption of K was variable among animal groups due to varied excretion in different groups. monensin fed group exhibited a medium value for the K absorption. Monensin did not affect K absorption significantly in comparison with other groups. Therefore, intake related K absorption values were similar. Control and monensin fed groups excreted less K in urine than SP group (Table, 5). Total potassium excretion was not significantly different among animal groups. Balance of potassium exhibited positive value for all groups. Balance of potassium exhibited the same trend as parameters. Serum potassium concentrations had higher (P<0.05) value for SPM group than control group.

Zinc (Zn) intake of control group was lower (P<0.05) than salt plant-fed groups

## Attia-Ismail et al.

Table (4): Sodium (Na)utilization of growing sheep fed diets containing salt plants and monensin.

| Item                                       | Control           | SPM                | SP    | ±SE  |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|-------|------|
| Sodium intake,                             |                   |                    |       |      |
| mg/Kg BW                                   | 409 <sup>b</sup>  | 509 <sup>ab</sup>  | 592ª  | 32.7 |
| mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup>                   | 1068 <sup>b</sup> | 1325 <sup>ab</sup> | 1546ª | 83.9 |
| Sodium excretion, mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup> |                   |                    |       |      |
| Fecal                                      | 281               | 238                | 247   | 22.2 |
| Urine                                      | 471 <sup>b</sup>  | 1005°              | 1047ª | 157  |
| Total                                      | 752 <sup>b</sup>  | 1243ª              | 1294ª | 161  |
| Absorbed Na                                |                   | •                  |       |      |
| mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup>                   | 787 <sup>b</sup>  | 1087ª              | 1299ª | 80.1 |
| % of intake                                | 73.7              | 82.0               | 84.0  | 1.82 |
| Sodium balance,                            |                   |                    |       |      |
| mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup>                   | 316°              | 82 <sup>b</sup>    | 252°  | 31   |
| % of intake                                | 29.6              | 6.2                | 16.3  |      |
| % of absorbed                              | 40.2              | 7.6                | 19.4  |      |
| Serum Na, mg%                              | 431ª              | 371 <sup>b</sup>   | 421ª  | 9.53 |

a and b: values bearing different superscripts at the same row differ significantly (P<0.05)

SP = Salt plants, SPM= salt plants with monensin

Table (5): Potassium (K) utilization of growing sheep fed diets containing salt

plants and monensin

| piants and mon            | 1C113114-             |                   |                    |      |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------|
| Item                      | Control               | SPM               | SP                 | ±SE  |
| Potassium intake,         |                       |                   |                    |      |
| mg/Kg BW                  | 402                   | 405               | 437                | 12.8 |
| mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup>  | 1049                  | 1054              | 1138               | 30.2 |
| Potassium excretion, mg/K | Ig BW <sup>0.75</sup> |                   |                    |      |
| Fecal                     | 276ª                  | 212 <sup>b</sup>  | 227 <sup>ab</sup>  | 14.8 |
| Urine                     | 712°                  | 754 <sup>ab</sup> | 904 <sup>b</sup>   | 54.8 |
| Total                     | 988                   | 966               | 1130               | 58.1 |
| Absorbed K,               |                       |                   |                    |      |
| mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup>  | 733 <sup>b</sup>      | 841 <sup>ab</sup> | 911                | 27.4 |
| % of intake               | 13.7                  | 79.8              | 80.0               |      |
| Potassium balance,        |                       |                   |                    |      |
| mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup>  | 61ª                   | 87.5ª             | 8 <sub>p</sub>     | 41.2 |
| % of intake               | 5.5                   | 8.2               | 0.80               |      |
| % of absorbed             | 7.43                  | 10.2              | 1.14               |      |
| Serum K, mg%              | 24.5 <sup>b</sup>     | 26.7ª             | 25.5 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.51 |

a and b: values bearing different superscripts at the same row differ significantly (P<0.05)

SP = Salt plants, SPM= salt plants with monensin

(Table, 6). Amounts of excreted Zn via different routes were not significantly different. Both absorbed Zn and Zn balance were positively higher for salt plant-fed groups than control. Kirk et al., (1983) found monensin to decrease fecal Zn excretion and increased (P<0.05) Zn absorbed. In the present study, fecal Zn excretion of salt plant fed animals was higher (P<0.05) and so was Zn excretion via urinary system. Salt plant fed animal groups had higher Zn intake and excretion who kept the significance level between them and control with respect to Zn absorption and balance. Absorbed Zn as related to intake did not differ significantly among groups indicating that monensin had no effect on Zn utilization. Serum Zn concentrations were higher for SP group.

## Nitrogen utilization

Table (7) shows data on nitrogen metabolism in sheep fed diets containing salt plants and monensin. Nitrogen intake was higher (P<0.05) for control group. Monensin fed group had the lowest nitrogen intake. Crude protein intake was higher for control group (Fahmy et al., 2001) but not significantly (14.6, 13.3, and 14.0 g/Kg BW<sup>0.75</sup> for control, SPM, and SP, respectively). Digested N was not different among animal groups, whereas N balance was higher for both control and SP groups. Monensin fedgroup had the lowest, yet positive, nitrogen balance. Total serum nitrogen concentrations were close among groups.

## Water utilization

Water utilization by sheep fed salt plants and monensin is shown in Table (8). Absolute water intake (mg/Kg BW) was not different even when animals were fed salt plant, neither was water intake (ml/g DMI). Excreted water was not different among animal groups, neither was water balance. Khamis.

(1988) found that sheep and goats consumed more free water when fed Halonemum strobilaceum silage compared to Zygophyllum album. Tamarix aphylla and Atriplex halimus silages. The differences existing between the results of Khamis. (1988) may be due to the differences in the form of diets fed. On the other hand, water excretion as percentage of water intake was almost constant in sheep and ranged from 59.2 to 66%; a range close to that obtained in the present study. Fahmy, (1998) found water excretion not to differ significantly among different silages of halophytic plants.

Conclusively, excretion of all minerals examined in the present study under the effect of salt plants was higher and ranged from two to five times more than that of control (a traditional diet) regardless of the presence of monensin. One reason for that excessive excretion of minerals is the higher contents of these minerals in salt plants compared with Berseem hay. The higher feed intake from salt plant mixture that was not due to their palatable taste but to the addition of molasses has added to that mineral load imposed on animals. Minerals may have existed in a form that was not bioavailable to animals. The increased fecal excretion of minerals in salt plant fed animal groups over control could be evidence. On the other hand, the excessive excretion of minerals in the urine might be explained on the basis that the absorbed amounts of these minerals could have been burdensome on the homeostasis in the blood of these animals. Getting rid of this heavy burden through urine may be the only plausible way for animals. On the other hand, monensin effects that were detected by other investigators (e.g. Kirk et al., 1985) were masked in the present study by the excess amounts of minerals. This also

## Attia-Ismail et al.

Table (6): Zinc (Zn) utilization of growing sheep fed diets containing salt plants and monensin.

| Item                                     | Control           | SPM               | SP                | ±SE   |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Zinc intake,                             | <del></del>       |                   | <del></del>       |       |
| mg/Kg BW                                 | 11.9 <sup>b</sup> | 15.8ª             | 17.3ª             | 0.18  |
| mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup>                 | 31.2 <sup>b</sup> | 41.2ª             | 45.2ª             | 2.05  |
| Zinc excretion, mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup> |                   |                   |                   |       |
| Fecal                                    | 2.57 <sup>b</sup> | 4.51 <sup>a</sup> | 4.71 <sup>a</sup> | 0.47  |
| Urine                                    | 0.07              | 0.07              | 0.12              | 0.017 |
| Total                                    | 2.64              | 4.58              | 4.83              | 0.47  |
| Absorbed Zinc,                           |                   |                   |                   |       |
| mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup>                 | 28.7 <sup>b</sup> | 36.7ª             | 40.4ª             | 1.78  |
| % of intake                              | 91.7              | 88.9              | 89.7              |       |
| Zinc balance,                            |                   |                   |                   |       |
| mg/Kg BW <sup>0.75</sup>                 | 28.6 <sup>b</sup> | 36.6ª             | 40.4°             | 1.77  |
| % of intake                              | 91.5              | 88.8              | 89.4              |       |
| % of absorbed                            | 99.8              | 99.7              | 99.7              |       |
| Serum Zn, µg/ml                          | 0.85 <sup>b</sup> | 0,66 <sup>b</sup> | 1.22ª             | 0.12  |

a and b: values bearing different superscripts at the same row differ significantly (P<0.05),

SP = Salt plants, SPM= salt plants with monensin

Table (7): Nitrogen (N) utilization of growing sheep fed diets containing salt

plants and monensin.

| Item                             | Control | SPM     | SP       | ±SE  |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|------|
| No of animals                    | 4       | 4       | 4        |      |
| Live Body Weight, kg             | 46.5    | 46.0    | 46.5     | 0.94 |
| Nitrogen intake,                 |         |         |          |      |
| mg/Kg BW                         | 896a    | 814b    | 861ab    | 25.7 |
| mg/Kg BW0.75                     | 2339,8a | 2119.9b | 2248.4ab | 60.0 |
| Nitrogen excretion, mg/Kg BW0.75 |         |         |          |      |
| Fecal                            | 821     | 755     | 821      | 29.3 |
| Urine                            | 896a    | 904a    | 757a     | 42.3 |
| Total                            | 1717    | 1659    | 1578     | 57.5 |
| Digested Nitrogen                |         |         |          |      |
| mg/Kg BW0.75                     | 1518.8  | 1364.9  | 1427.4   |      |
| % of intake                      | 64.9    | 64.4    | 63.5     |      |
| Nitrogen balance,                |         |         |          |      |
| mg/Kg BW0.75                     | 622.8a  | 460.9b  | 670.4a   | 54.7 |
| % of intake                      | 26.6    | 21.7    | 29.8     |      |
| % of digested                    | 41.0    | 33.8    | 47.0     |      |
| Serum total N., mg/Kg BW0.75     | 56.4    | 55.7    | 56.7     |      |

a and b: values bearing different superscripts at the same row differ significantly (P<0.05) SP = Salt plants, SPM= salt plants with onensin

Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds (2003)

Table (8): Water utilization of growing sheep fed diets containing salt plants and monensin.

| Item                        | Control | SPM    | SP    | ±SE   |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------|-------|-------|
| Free water intake, ml/g DMI | 1.87    | 1.75   | 1.75  | 0.053 |
| Total water intake          |         |        |       |       |
| ml/Kg BW                    | 62      | 61.9   | 67.3  | 2.8   |
| ml/Kg BW0.82                | 123     | 123    | 134   | 6.21  |
| Water excretion             |         |        |       |       |
| ml/Kg BW                    | 30.7a   | 36.1ab | 41.1b | 2.21  |
| ml/Kg BW <sup>0.82</sup>    | 61.1    | 71.8   | 82. I | 4.31  |
| % of intake                 | 39.3    | 45.2   | 47.8  | 1.62  |
| Water balance,              |         |        |       |       |
| ml/Kg BW                    | 46.0    | 43.7   | 45.1  | 1.73  |
| ml/Kg BW <sup>0.82</sup>    | 91.9    | 87.1   | 89.9  | 3.21  |
| % of intake                 | 60.7    | 54.1   | 52.2  | 1.62  |

a and b: values bearing different superscripts at the same row differ significantly (P<0.05) SP = Salt plants, SPM= salt plants with monensin might be due to a shift in rumen metabolism of these animals since the presence of such amounts in the rumen at one time may affect the microbial community. Additionally, these plants contain variable concentrations of antinutritional factors. The improved utilization of Ca might be due to an increase in the efficiency of absorption but not through making it biologically available to the animals.

## REFERENCES

- Anon, J.R. 1981. Agricultural and water investigations of Sinai. Dems and Moore Project, Phase I.; Final report. Desert Research Center, Matareya, Cairo, Egypt. Pp.1-38
- A.O.A.C., 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. 15<sup>th</sup> ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Wash., D.C., USA
- Armstrong, J.D. and Spears, J.W. 1984. Intravenous administration of ionophores in ruminants: Effects on metabolism independent of the rumen. J. Anim. Sci., 66:1807
- Bergen, W.G. and Bates, D.B. 1984. Ionophores: Their effect on production efficiency and mode of action. J. Anim. Sci., 58:1465
- Davidson, K.L. 1984. Monensin absorption and metabolism in calves and chickens. J. Agric. Chem., 32:1273.
- Donoho, A.L. 1984. Biochemical studies on the fate of monensin in animals and in the environment. J. Anim. Sci., 58:1528
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F. test. J. Biometrics, 11.1.
- Fahmy, A.A. 1998. Nutritional studies on halophytes and agricultural wastes as feed supplements for small ruminants

- in Sinai. Ph.D. Thesis, Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Fahmy, A.A.; Attia-Ismail, S.A., and Afaf (Mrs) M. Fayed, 2001. Effect of monensin on salt plant utilization and sheep performance. J. Nutrition and Feeds, 4:581
- Greene, I.W.; Schelling, G.T. and Beyrs, F.M. 1967. Effects of dietary monensin and potassium on apparent absorption of magnesium and other macroelements in sheep. J. Anim. Sci., 63:196
- Kearl, L.C. 1982. Nutrient requirements of ruminants in developing countries. Utah Ag. Exp. Stn., Utah State Univ., USA.
- Khamis, H.S. 1988. Nutritional studies on some agricultural by-products and some natural pasture plants in arid and semi-arid areas using sheep and goats. Ph.D Thesis, Cairo Univ., Egypt
- Kirk, D.J.; Greene, L.W.; Schelling, G. T. and Byers, F.M 1985. Effects of monensin on monovalent ion metabolism and tissue concentrations in lambs. J. Anim. Sci., 60:1479
- Kirk, D.J.; Greene, L.W.; Schelling, G. T.; Ellis, W.C. and Byers, F.M. 1983. Effect of monensin on mineral metabolism in lambs. J. Anim. Sci., 59: 466
- Mohamed, E.A. 1996. Studies on some wild forage plants. Ph.D. Thesis, Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Potter, E.L.; Cooley, C.O.; Richardson, A.P. and Rathmacher, R.P. 1976. Effect of monensin on performance of cattle fed forage. J. Anim. Sci., 43:665
- Pressman, B.C. 1976. Biological applications of ionophores. Annu. Rev. Biochem., 45:501
- SAS. 1985. SAS User's Guide: Statustics (version 5 ed.). SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, N.C.

# Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds (2003)

Schelling, G.T. 1984. Monensin mode of action in the rumen. J. Anim. Sci., 58:1518

Smith, J.B. and Rozengurt, E. 1978. Serum stimulates the Na-K pump in quiescent fibrobalsts by increasing Na entry. Proc. Natl. Acad., USA, 75:5560

Starnes, S.R.; Spears, J.W.; Froetschel, M.A. and Croom, Jr., W.J. 1984.

Influence of monensin and lasalocid on mineral metabolism and ruminal urease activity in steers. J. Nutr., 11:4 Youssef, K.M.A. 1999. Improving the palatability and nutritive value of some range plants for goat feeding in Sinai. Ph.D. Thesis, Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.

الاستفادة من بعض المعادن و النيتروجين و الماء عند تغذية الأغنام على النباتات الملحية مع استخدام الموننسين

صلاح عبد العاطى عطية إسماعيل ، عفاف محمود فايد ، عبد الفتاح عقيقي فهمي

قسم تغذية الحيوان والدواجن - مركز بحوث الصحراء - المطرية - القاهرة

قسم عدد ٢٦حمل نامي عشوائيا على ثلاثة مجموعات ( ٥, ٤١ ، ٤٦ ، ٤٠ ؛ ٤٩٠٠ كجم وزن حي للمجاميع او ٢و٦ على التوالي ). تم تغذية الحيوانات على نباتات ملحية سبق تجفيفها هوائيسا ( الطرفة و الجلام و الطرطير) و خلطت بنسبة ٢ : ١ : ١ على الترتيب ، اعتبرت العليقة الأولى للمقارنة و تكونت من ٢٠% من الاحتياجات (الحافظة بالإضافة إلى احتياجات ١٢٠ جم نمو) و هي عبارة عن علف مركز ودريس برسيم إلى حد الشبع وتكونت العليقة الثانية و الثالثة من ٢٠٠ علف مركز كالعليقة الأولى و مخلوط النباتات الملحية إلى حد الشبع . وتم إضافة المونسين للعليقة الثانية بتركيز ٢٠ جزء في المليون / للرأس / يوم و ذلك بغرض تحسين الاستفادة من المواد الغذائية المأكولة .

تم دراسة التمثيل الغذائي للمعادن و النيتروجين و الماء بالنسبة للأغنام المغذاة على النباتات الملحية و المننسين ، وتم إتباع تجارب التغنية بتجارب هضم و استمرت التجربة كاملة لمدة ١٥٥ يوم و أظهرت النتائج إن أضافه الموننسين إلى النباتات الملحية كعليقه أساسيه أدى إلى نقص في كلا من الكالسيوم الخارج في البول و السروث ومن ثم فان الموننسين يحسن الاستفادة من الكالسيوم .

لم يظهر الموننسين تأثير على امتصاص الصوديوم و ذلك لزيادة نسبة الصوديوم بالنباتات الملحية ، بينما كان امتصاص البوتاسيوم مختلفا بين المجاميع الثلاثة نتيجة اختلاف المأكول و الخارج في البول و السروث . المجموعة المغذاة على الموننسين أظهرت اقل ميزان نيتروجين موجب في حين ان النيت روجين المهضوم المجموعات المغذاة على النباتات الملحية لم يختلف اختلافا معنوياً عن مجموعه المقارنة . كذلك لم يوثر الموننسين على الاستغادة من الماء في الاغنام المغذاة على النباتات الملحية .

ومن ذلك يظهر أن أضافه الموننسين إلى النباتات الملحية يحسن من الاستفادة من بعض المناصر الغذائية .