

## **PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS OF SOME CHARACTERS CONTRIBUTING TO YIELD OF GIZA 89 COTTON CULTIVAR**

By

**Lasheen, A.F.; G.H. Abdel-Zaher and M.A. Abbas**

Cotton Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center

### **ABSTRACT**

This investigation was carried out at Gemmeiza Agricultural Research Station to study the relationship between plant yield, yield components and the relative contribution of plant height, first sympodium, number of fruiting branches, number of open bolls/plant, boll weight, lint percentage, seed index, micronaire reading and pressley index to the total variation of yield plant for "Giza 89 cotton cultivar, during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

Simple correlation coefficient was used for assessing the relationships between yield and the studied characters. Plant yield was positively correlated with plant height, number of fruiting branches, number of open bolls/plant, boll weight, seed index and pressley index in both seasons, and with first sympodium and micronaire reading in 2001 and 2000 seasons, respectively. The other relationships between the various studied characters were also computed. Boll number had the highest direct effect, followed by boll weight, whereas the other traits influenced yield through boll number.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The study of the relationship between plant yield and its components, upon which the performance of cotton cultivars depends, is very important in breeding for high yield ability. Thus, knowledge concerning the association between characters is of prime importance to the breeder as it broadens the perspective with which he can manipulate indirect selection for yield and the possibility of selecting for two or more characters simultaneously. Path coefficient analysis can also be used to identify the relative contribution of each yield component in plant yield variation. This analysis is of major importance to plant breeder to formulate a suitable selection criteria for further improvement of plant yield. The relationships between yield components and plant yield have been discussed by several investigators, Bridge *et al.* (1971), Waldin *et al.* (1979), El-Marakby *et al.* (1980), Megahed *et al.* (1984), El-Shaer *et al.* (1984), Seyam *et al.* (1984a) and El-Beily *et al.* (1996).

The present investigation was undertaken to examine the nature and extent of association between morphological characters, yield components, yield and fiber properties of cotton. Moreover, path coefficient analysis was

followed to find out the yield characters having the greatest contribution to yield per plant.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two experiments were carried out at Gemmeiza Agriculture Research Station, during 2000 and 2001 seasons in a randomized complete block design with six replications, to study the association among plant yield (g.) and plant height (cm), first sympodium, number of fruiting branches, number of open bolls/plant, boll weight (g), lint percentage, seed index, micronaire reading and pressley index. The relative importance of the yielding characters on plant yield for Giza 89 cotton cultivar was also studied. Each plot had five rows, with 4.5 m long spaced at 60 cm between rows and 30 cm between hills. Two plants were left per hip at thinning time. Sowing date was 27 and 29 march in 2000 and 2001, respectively. All cultural practices were done according to the standard recommendation. Data were recorded on 30 samples taken at random from six replications i.e., five samples per replication, each sample contained 10 plants. Path analysis was applied according to Dewey and Lu (1959) to elucidate the relative importance of certain characters as a percentage of the total variability of the seed-cotton yield per plant.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 1. Correlation coefficients:

The estimates of correlation coefficients among yield/plant, plant height, first sympodium, number of fruiting branches, number of open bolls/plant, boll weight, lint percentage, seed index, micronaire reading and pressley index in 2000 and 2001 seasons are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

The relationship between yield/plant and the above mentioned characters, showed positive and significant associations between yield/plant and each of number of fruiting branches, number of open bolls/plant and boll weight in both seasons and with seed index in 2000 season, plant height and first sympodium in 2001 season only. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Gad (1973), Zaitoon (1973), Seyam *et al.* (1984b), El-Shaer *et al.* (1984) and El-Beily *et al.* (1996).

For number of open bolls/plant, the associations with each of plant height, number of fruiting branches and boll weight were positive and significant in both seasons, whereas, Seyam *et al.* (1984a) reported no relationship between open bolls and number of fruiting branches was found. Meanwhile, the number of fruiting branches was associated positively with plant height in both seasons. Also, the relationship between boll weight and seed index was positive and significant association in both seasons. These results obtained herein are in accordance with those obtained by Megahed *et al.* (1984), Seyam *et al.* (1984a), Ghaly *et al.* (1990) and El-Beily *et al.* (1996).

**Table (1):** Simple correlation coefficients between any two of ten characters including seed-cotton yield.

Characters	Season	Plant height	First symp.	No. of Fruiting branches	No. of open bolls/plant	Boll weight	Lint percentage	Seed index	Micronaire reading.	Pressley Index
Seed cotton yield	2000	0.2209	-0.0736	0.2913*	0.9286**	0.4939**	-0.0087	0.2802*	0.2660	0.0312
	2001	0.6630**	0.3842**	0.5420**	0.9608**	0.5864**	-0.2874*	0.2371	-0.0885	0.2329
Plant height	2000		-0.1480	0.5878**	0.3566**	-0.0738	-0.0290	-0.1476	-0.0603	0.1695
	2001		0.2890*	0.6677**	0.6367**	0.4176**	-0.3278*	0.2789*	0.1014	0.1629
First sympodium	2000			0.1573	-0.1996	-0.0095	-0.1268	0.0959	-0.0429	-0.0730
	2001			0.0627	0.3847**	0.1837	0.0313	0.0762	0.0107	0.0329
No. of fruiting branches	2000				0.3582**	0.0861	0.1072	-0.0832	-0.0272	-0.1592
	2001				0.5857**	0.1420	-0.2196	-0.0373	-0.0100	0.1530
No. of open bolls/plant	2000					0.2739*	-0.0220	0.2479	0.1839	0.1333
	2001					0.3424*	-0.2092	0.0821	-0.1753	0.2491
Boll weight	2000						-0.1549	0.3733**	0.3739**	-0.3305*
	2001						-0.3500*	0.6055**	0.2689	0.0466
Lint percentage	2000							-0.4704**	-0.1816	-0.0252
	2001							-0.1547	0.2195	-0.3146
Seed index	2000								0.5505**	-0.1702
	2001								0.6206**	-0.0726
Micronaire reading	2000									-0.2945*
	2001									-0.2801*

\*, \*\* denotes significant at 5% and 1%, respectively

**Table (2):** Partitioning of simple correlation coefficients between seed-cotton yield/plant and its components in 2000 and 2001 seasons.

Sources	Season	
	2000	2001
<b>1. Plant height vs. seed-cotton yield/plant</b>		
Direct effect (py1)	-0.0801	-0.0094
Indirect via first sympodium	-0.0172	0.0003
Indirect via no. of fruiting branches	0.0006	0.0006
Indirect via no. of open bolls	0.3264	0.5475
Indirect via boll weight	-0.0191	0.1260
Indirect via lint percentage	-0.0011	0.0007
Indirect via seed index	0.0110	-0.0015
Indirect via micronaire reading	-0.0034	-0.0014
Indirect via pressley index	0.0037	0.0002
Total correlation (ry1)	0.2209	0.6630**
<b>2. First sympodium vs. seed cotton yield/plant</b>		
Direct effect (py2)	0.1160	0.0011
Indirect via plant height	0.0118	-0.0027
Indirect via no. of fruiting branches	-0.0002	0.0001
Indirect via no. of open bolls	-0.1828	0.3308
Indirect via boll weight	-0.0025	0.0554
Indirect via lint percentage	-0.0050	0.0001
Indirect via seed index	-0.0072	-0.0004
Indirect via micronaire reading	-0.0024	-0.0001
Indirect via pressley index	-0.0016	0.0000
Total correlation (ry2)	-0.0736	0.3842**
<b>3. No. of fruiting branches vs. seed cotton yield/plant</b>		
Direct effect (py3)	0.0010	0.0008
Indirect via plant height	-0.0471	-0.0063
Indirect via first sympodium	-0.0182	0.0001
Indirect via no. of open bolls	0.3279	0.5036
Indirect via boll weight	0.0223	0.0428
Indirect via lint percentage	0.0042	0.0005
Indirect via seed index	0.0062	0.002
Indirect via micronaire reading	-0.0015	0.0001
Indirect via pressley index	-0.0034	0.0002
Total correlation (ry3)	0.2913*	0.5420**

**Table (2):** Continued.

Sources	Season	
	2000	2001
<b>4. No. of open bolls vs. seed cotton yield/plant</b>		
Direct effect (py4)	0.9154	0.8598
Indirect via plant height	-0.0286	-0.0060
Indirect via first sympodium	-0.0232	0.0004
Indirect via no. of fruiting branches	0.0004	0.0005
Indirect via boll weight	0.0708	0.1033
Indirect via lint percentage	-0.0009	0.0005
Indirect via seed index	-0.0185	-0.0004
Indirect via micronaire reading	0.0103	0.0024
Indirect via pressley index	0.0029	0.0003
Total correlation (ry4)	0.9286**	0.9608**
<b>5. Boll weight vs. seed-cotton yield/plant</b>		
Direct effect (py5)	0.2585	0.3017
Indirect via plant height	0.0059	-0.0039
Indirect via first sympodium	-0.0011	0.0002
Indirect via no. of fruiting branches	0.0001	0.0000
Indirect via no. of open bolls	0.2507	0.2944
Indirect via lint percentage	-0.0061	0.0008
Indirect via seed index	-0.0279	-0.0032
Indirect via micronaire reading	0.0209	-0.0037
Indirect via pressley index	-0.0071	0.0001
Total correlation (ry5)	0.4939**	0.5864**
<b>6. Lint percentage vs. seed cotton yield/plant</b>		
Direct effect (py6)	0.0393	-0.0022
Indirect via plant height	0.0023	0.0031
Indirect via first sympodium	-0.0147	-0.0000
Indirect via no. of fruiting branches	0.0001	-0.0002
Indirect via no. of open bolls	-0.0202	-0.1799
Indirect via boll weight	-0.0401	-0.1056
Indirect via seed index	0.0351	0.0008
Indirect via micronaire reading	-0.0101	-0.0030
Indirect via pressley index	-0.0005	-0.0004
Total correlation (ry6)	-0.0087	-0.2874*

**Table (2):** Continued.

Sources	Season	
	2000	2001
<b>7. Seed index vs. seed cotton yield/plant</b>		
Direct effect (py7)	-0.0747	-0.0053
Indirect via plant height	0.0118	-0.0026
Indirect via first sympodium	0.0111	0.0001
Indirect via no. of fruiting branches	-0.0001	-0.0000
Indirect via no. of open bolls	0.2269	0.0706
Indirect via boll weight	0.0965	0.1827
Indirect via lint percentage	-0.0185	0.0003
Indirect via micronaire reading	0.0307	-0.0086
Indirect via pressley index	-0.0037	-0.0001
Total correlation (ry7)	0.2802*	0.2371
<b>8. Micronaire reading vs. seed cotton yield/plant</b>		
Direct effect (py8)	0.0558	-0.0139
Indirect via plant height	0.0048	-0.0010
Indirect via first sympodium	-0.0050	0.0000
Indirect via no. of fruiting branches	-0.0000	-0.0000
Indirect via no. of open bolls	0.1683	-0.1507
Indirect via boll weight	0.0967	0.0811
Indirect via lint percentage	-0.0071	-0.0005
Indirect via seed index	-0.0411	-0.0033
Indirect via pressley index	-0.0064	-0.0003
Total correlation (ry8)	0.2660	-0.0885
<b>9. Pressley index vs. seed cotton yield/plant</b>		
Direct effect (py9)	0.0216	0.0012
Indirect via plant height	-0.0136	-0.0015
Indirect via first sympodium	-0.0085	0.0000
Indirect via no. of fruiting branches	-0.0002	0.0001
Indirect via no. of open bolls	0.1220	0.2141
Indirect via boll weight	-0.0854	0.0141
Indirect via lint percentage	-0.0010	0.0007
Indirect via seed index	0.0127	0.0004
Indirect via micronaire reading	-0.0164	0.0039
Total correlation (ry9)	0.0312	0.2329

Consequently, these findings suggested that selection practiced for the improvement of any one of a set of correlated characters would automatically improve the other, even though direct selection for its improvement has not been made.

Moreover, no relationship was observed between boll weight and number of fruiting branches in both seasons (Ghaly *et al.*, 1990 and El-Beily *et al.*, 1996). This independent relationship indicated that selection could be practiced for both characters at the same time without any reduction for the other. However, Megahed *et al.* (1984) reported a positive and remarkable association between boll weight and number of bolls/plant which was contradictory to the results obtained in this investigation.

## **2. Path coefficient analysis:**

The direct and joint effects of yield-attributes on plant yield in 2000 and 2001 seasons are presented in Table 3. Number of open bolls and boll weight revealed the most prominent direct effects on plant yield with the highest relative importance values of 63.07% and 5.03% in 2000 season and 71.40% and 8.79% in 2001 season, respectively, as estimates of their relative contribution to the total variation of yield. Minor effects were revealed by the other studied characters in both seasons. The analysis also demonstrated that number of open bolls had the highest joint effect through boll weight (9.76% and 17.16%) contributing to plant yield in 2000 and 2001 seasons, respectively. The other direct and joint effects for the rest of the studied characters were negligible and showed very slight contributions to plant yield. These results indicated that the percentage contributed due to yield components accounted for more than 95% and 99% in both seasons of the total variability and that the characters under investigation included the actual yield components. The residual value 4.0% and 0.14% in both seasons, accounted for the rest of the studied characters which had negligible effects and/or very slight contributions to plant yield and also to the other characters which were probably not included in this model.

In general, the results obtained in this investigation indicated that number of open bolls and boll weight were the major and the most consistent sources accounting for variation as total contribution in plant yield variation. Therefore, it is inevitable for the breeder to consider these characters in formulating his breeding programmes to obtain gain in selection for plant yield. Similar results were obtained by El-Marakby *et al.* (1980), El-Shaer *et al.* (1984), Seyam *et al.* (1984a & 1984b), Ghaly *et al.* (1990) and El-Beily *et al.* (1996).

**Table (3):** Components (direct and joint effects) in percentage of seed cotton yield variation in 2000 and 2001 seasons.

Source of variation	Season			
	2000		2001	
	C.D.	%	C.D.	%
Plant height ( $X_1$ )	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.01
First sympodium ( $X_2$ )	0.01	1.01	0.00	0.00
No. of fruiting branches ( $X_3$ )	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
No. of open bolls ( $X_4$ )	0.84	63.07	0.74	71.40
Boll weight ( $X_5$ )	0.07	5.03	0.09	8.79
Lint percentage ( $X_6$ )	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.00
Seed index ( $X_7$ )	0.01	0.42	0.00	0.00
Micronaire reading ( $X_8$ )	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.02
Pressley index ( $X_9$ )	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00
$X_1 \times X_2$	0.0027	0.21	-0.0000	0.00
$X_1 \times X_3$	-0.0001	0.01	-0.0000	0.00
$X_1 \times X_4$	-0.0523	3.93	-0.0103	1.00
$X_1 \times X_5$	0.0031	0.23	-0.0024	0.23
$X_1 \times X_6$	0.0002	0.01	-0.0000	0.00
$X_1 \times X_7$	-0.0018	0.13	0.0000	0.00
$X_1 \times X_8$	0.0005	0.04	0.0000	0.00
$X_1 \times X_9$	-0.0006	0.04	-0.0000	0.00
$X_2 \times X_3$	-0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00
$X_2 \times X_4$	-0.0424	3.19	0.0008	0.07
$X_2 \times X_5$	-0.0006	0.04	0.0001	0.01
$X_2 \times X_6$	-0.002	0.09	0.0000	0.00
$X_2 \times X_7$	-0.0017	0.13	-0.0000	0.00
$X_2 \times X_8$	-0.0006	0.04	-0.0000	0.00
$X_2 \times X_9$	-0.0004	0.03	0.0000	0.00
$X_3 \times X_4$	0.0007	0.05	0.0008	0.08
$X_3 \times X_5$	0.0000	0.00	0.0001	0.01
$X_3 \times X_6$	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00
$X_3 \times X_7$	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00
$X_3 \times X_8$	-0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00
$X_3 \times X_9$	-0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00
$X_4 \times X_5$	0.1296	9.76	0.1777	17.16
$X_4 \times X_6$	-0.0016	0.12	0.0008	0.08
$X_4 \times X_7$	-0.0339	2.55	-0.0007	0.07
$X_4 \times X_8$	0.0188	1.41	0.0042	0.40
$X_4 \times X_9$	0.0053	0.40	0.0005	0.05
$X_5 \times X_6$	-0.0032	0.24	0.0005	0.04
$X_5 \times X_7$	-0.0144	1.08	-0.0019	0.19
$X_5 \times X_8$	0.0108	0.81	-0.0023	0.22
$X_5 \times X_9$	-0.0037	0.28	0.0000	0.00
$X_6 \times X_7$	0.0028	0.21	-0.0000	0.00
$X_6 \times X_8$	-0.0008	0.06	0.0000	0.00
$X_6 \times X_9$	-0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00
$X_7 \times X_8$	-0.0046	0.35	0.0001	0.01
$X_7 \times X_9$	0.0005	0.04	0.0000	0.00
$X_8 \times X_9$	-0.0007	0.05	0.0000	0.00
Residual	0.0539	4.06	0.0015	0.14

C.D. =Coefficient of determination.

% = Percentage contributed



## REFERENCES

- Bridge, R.R.; W.R. Meredith and J.F. Chism (1971). The influence of planting date on agronomic and fibre properties of cotton. Bull. Agric. and Forest. Exp. Sta. Miss (C.F. Field Crop Abst., 26: 1366, 1973).
- Dewey, D.R. and K.H. Lu (1959). A correlation and path-coefficient analysis of components of vestal wheat grass seed production. Agron. J., 51: 515-518.
- El-Beily, M.A.; A.R.A. Abou-Arab and M.I.M. El-Shahawy (1996). The path coefficient analysis of yield components for Giza 85 cultivar under different treatments. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 22(4): 477-487.
- El-Marakby, A.M.; M.E.M. El-Moghazi; A.M. Abou-Alam and S.M. Khafagy (1980). Path-coefficient analysis and response to selection for yield and its components in Egyptian cotton varieties. Agric. Res. Rev., Egypt, 58(9): 417-435.
- El-Shaer, M.H.; Reda Shabana; A.H. El-Sheikh and Laila M.A. Abd El-Rahman (1984). Path coefficient analysis of some characters contributing to yield and quality of cotton. Agric. Res. Rev., Egypt, 62: 23-31.
- Gad, A.M. (1973). Breeding studies of some crosses in cotton. Ph.D. Dissertation, Fac. Agric., Alex. Univ.
- Ghaly, F.M.; Thana M. El-Gamal; M.H. El-Banna and Samia D. Antoun (1990). Path coefficient analysis of some characters contributing to yield variation of Giza 77 cotton cultivar. Agric. Res. Rev., Egypt, 58: 1101-1109.
- Megahed, M.A.; A.A.M. Omar and M.A. Raafat (1984). The relationships between boll weight and some economic characters after treatment by chemical mutagens. Agric. Res. Rev., Egypt, 62(6): 50-58.
- Seyam, S.M.; A.A. Abo El-Zahab; F.M. El-Rayes and H.N. El-Rassas (1984a). Factor analysis of yield in Egyptian cotton *G. barbadense* L. Agric. Res. Rev., Egypt, 62(6): 33-40.
- Seyam, S.M.; A.A. Abo El-Zahab; H.N. El-Rassas and F.M. El-Rayes (1984b). The use of stepwise regression analysis in determining characters for yield selection in Egyptian cotton. Agric. Res. Rev., Egypt, 62(6): 41-47.
- Waldin, R.S.; D.S. Jatasra; B.N. Dahiya and S. Hargan (1979). Correlation and path analysis of yield components in *G. arboreum*. Ind. J. Agric. Sci., 49(1): 32-34. (C.F. Traop Agric., 5: (a): 26791. 1979).
- Zaitoon, M.F. (1973). Analysis of yield components in crosses between zero-branching and normal branching types of cotton. Ph.D. Dissertation, Ain-Shams Univ.

## الملخص العربي

### تحليل معامل المرور لبعض الصفات المساهمة في المحصول لصنف القطن جيزة ٨٩

أنور فوزى لاشين - جمال حسنين عبد الظاهر - محمد عبد الجواد عباس  
معهد بحوث القطن - مركز البحوث الزراعية

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بالجميزة لمعرفة العلاقة بين محصول نبات القطن (جيزة ٨٩) وبعض الصفات المحصولية وكذا دراسة المساهمة النسبية لصفات طول النبات ، ارتفاع أول فرع ثمرى ، عدد الأفرع الثمرية ، عدد اللوز المتفتح / نبات ، وزن اللوز ، معدل الحليج ، معامل البزرة ، قراءة الميكرونيير ومعامل بريسلى فى الاختلافات الكلية لمحصول النبات الفردى لصنف القطن جيزة ٨٩ موسمى ٢٠٠٠ ، ٢٠٠١ .  
تم استخدام معامل الارتباط فى دراسة العلاقة بين محصول النبات الفردى والصفات المدروسة.

وتشير النتائج المتحصل عليها الى ارتباط محصول النبات الفردى ارتباطا موجبا مع كلا من طول النبات ، عدد الأفرع الثمرية ، عدد اللوز المتفتح/نبات ، وزن اللوز ، معامل البزرة ومعامل بريسلى فى كلا الموسمين ومع قراءة الميكرونيير فى موسم ٢٠٠٠ وارتفاع اول فرع ثمرى فى موسم ٢٠٠١ وتم حساب معاملات الارتباط الأخرى بين الصفات المدروسة.

أوضحت نتائج تحليل معامل المرور أن عدد اللوز المتفتح ووزن اللوز وتفاعلهما كان لهما النصيب الأكبر فى محصول النبات الفردى.