## HETEROSIS, GENE EFFECT, HERITABILITY AND GENETIC ADVANCE IN BREAD WHEAT

I. H. I. Darwish (1), H. A. Ashoush (2)

(1) Dept. of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Minufiya Univ., Egypt.

(2) Wheat Department, Field Crops Research Institute, A.R.C., Egypt

(Received: Mar., 2, 2003)

ABSTRACT: Two crosses of bread wheat (Gemmeiza x Giza 164) and (RCB 70 x Giza 160), and their six populations (P1, P2, F1, F2, BC1 and BC2) were tested for grain yield, some of yield components and some growth attributes. Significant positive heterotic effects were detected for all studied traits. Overdominance towards, the higher parent was found for plant height, and number of spikes/plant in both crosses; grain yield in the first cross and spike length, number of kernels/spike and 1000-kernel weight in the second cross. Significant positive values of inbreeding depression were detected for all studied characters, Significant epistatic effects, i.e., E, and E, were detected for most studied traits. Additive gene effects were significant in all traits. Dominance type of gene action was found to be significant for all traits, except for plant height and grain vield in the both crosses. Additive x additive types of gene action were found to be significant for all traits, except for number of spikes/plant and grain yield/plant in the second cross. Also, additive x dominance and dominance x dominance types of gene effects were significant for most traits. Heritability estimates, in broad sense were high to moderate in magnitude with values between 52.14% (for 1000-kernel weight) to 88.24% (for plant height), However, heritability estimates in narrow sense, were high to low in magnitude with values between 27.64% (for number of spikes/plant) to 79% (for no. of kernels/spike) in the second cross. The predicted genetic advance from selection was rather moderate for number of spikes/plant, number of kernels/spike and 1000-kernel weight in both crosses; spike length and grain yield in the second cross, but low for the remaining traits. These results indicated that Gemmeiza 3 x Giza 164 cross was superior to the second cross in grain yield and its components.

#### INTRODUCTION

High yielding ability cultivars in wheat could be achieved by introducing well adapted ones, or through hybridization and selection for one or more of its major yield components; i.e., plant height, number of spikes per plant, spike length, number of kernels/spike, grain yield/plant and 1000-kernel weight. Information about the type and magnitude of genetic variation and the relative importance of additive and non-additive gene action types would assist wheat breeders in carrying out the most suitable breeding programs

Key words: Wheat, gene effect, heritability and genetic advance.

for wheat improvement. Accordingly, the maximum progress in improving any character would be expected in a selection program when the additive gene action was the main component of genetic variance. Whereas, the presence of non-additive gene action might suggest the use of an hybridization program (El-Hosary et al., 2001). Number of grains per spike tended to show partial dominance, while grain weight showed over dominance (Narula, 1987). In dominance and dominance x dominance types of gene effects were more important and larger in magnitude than additive effects in the inheritance of grain yield per plants, spikes per plant and plant height. The dominance and additive x dominance epistatic type were larger in magnitude than the additive effects for only 1000-kernel weight (Mahdy. 1988). Additive and dominance effects for plant height, number of spikes per plant, grains per spike and yield per plant (Singh, 1990). Narrow sense heritability estimates, were high for plant height and spike length (Mosaad et al., 1990), Also, the narrow sense heritability estimates in wheat, was high for spike length (77.83%) and number of grains per spike (56.39%) intermediate for number of spikelets per spike (45.49%) and 1000-kernels weight (Hassan and Abd El-Moniem, 1991).

In this study, the six populations, i.e,  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $BC_1$ , and  $BC_2$  were used for partitioning the genetic components, using the methods of Mather (1949), Gamble (1962), Hayman and Mather (1955) and Mather and Jinks (1971). The main objective of this investigation was to study the nature of gene action, heritability, genetic advance from selection and heterosis estimates for plant height, number of spikes/plant, spike length, number of kernels/spike, grain yield/plant and 1000-kernel weight.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present work was carried out at the Faculty of Agriculture, Minufiya University Shibin El-Kom, and in the Agricultural Research Station at Zarzora Farm, El-Bihera Governorate, during the three seasons, 1999/2000, 2000/2001 and 2001/2002. Four wheat cultivars or lines were used in making two crosses namely; Gemmeiza 3 x Giza 164, and Giza 160 x RCB 70 in this study. A brief description of these cultivars and lines is presented in Table (1). The experimental populations used in this study were derived from the two crosses among the parental material developed in 1999-2000 season in Shebin El-Kom.

In 2000/2001 season, at Zarzora, some of the resultant  $F_1$  plants were crossed to each of their parents to produce  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$ , while some others were used to produce  $F_2$  kernels. In 2001/2002 season, at Shebin El-Kom, two experiments consisted of randomized complete block designs, with three replicates, were conducted. Each experiment included  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$  of each cross. Each plot consisted of four rows, Each row was three meters long and 30 cm apart. Plants within rows were 20 cm apart. Each replicate comprised eight plots for  $F_2$ , three plots for each of  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$  and one plot

for non-segregating populations. Data were recorded on guarded plants for plant height, number of spikes/plant, spike length, number of kernels/spike, 1000-kernel weight and grain yield/plant.

Table (1): The names and pedigrees of parental cultivars and/or lines evaluated.

No.	Cultivars and/or lines	Pedigree	Origin
1	Gemmeiza 3	Bb/7C*2//Y50/Kal*3//Skha8/4/prv/ww/5 /3/Bg "S"//on CGM.424-1GM-13GM- 2GM-OGM	
2	Giza 164	KV2/Buho"S"//Kal/Bb	Egypt
3	RCB (70)	Shi#4414/crow"s" Swm 11508-4-AP- 2AP-IAP-2AP-OAP	Mexico
4	Giza 160	Chenab / Giza 155	Egypt

The genetic variance, within  $F_2$  populations, was first evaluated. If that variance was significant, various genetic parameters were, then, derived. Estimation of the genetic statistics was made, according to the methods described by the following authors types of gene action (Gamble, 1962), heterosis relative to mid parent and inbreeding depression (Mather, 1949), estimation of heritability values in broad and narrow senses (Mather, 1949), and the predicted genetic gain from selection  $\Delta g$  (Johanson et al., 1955).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Number of plants, and mean and variance values of the six studied traits for parents,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$  are presented in Table (2). All studied characters showed significant genetic variance in  $F_2$  plants in the two crosses and, therefore, other parameters were estimated.

Heterosis, inbreeding depression, potency ratio,  $F_2$  deviation ( $E_1$ ) backcross deviation ( $E_2$ ) and gene action in the two crosses are presented in Table (3).

Almost highly significant positive heterosis were obtained for all studied traits in the two crosses. These results are in close harmony with Younis et al. (1988), Hendawy (1994), Darwish (1992), Ashoush (1996) and Darwish (1998).

Number of spikes/plant, number of kernels/spike, and 1000-kernel weight . are the main components for grain yield/plant. Hence, heterotic increase, if shown in any of the three components may lead to considerable yield increase in hybrids, as shown in Table (3).

As to inbreeding depression, highly significant positive values were obtained for most of the studied traits in both crosses. This is logic since the expression of heterosis in  $F_1$  will be followed by a considerable reduction in  $F_2$  performance.

Table (2): Number of plants, mean  $(\bar{X})$  and variance (S²) values for six populations of the two bread wheat crosses.

Cross	Population	No. of	Plant height		Spike length		No. of		No. of		Grain		1000-kernel	
			$ar{X}$	S <sup>2</sup>	Ž	S <sup>2</sup>	spikes/plant $ar{X}$ $\mathbf{S^2}$		kernels/spike $ar{X}$ $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}}$		yield/plant (g) $ar{X}$ $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}}$			
				5	<del>  ^</del>	5		S-	Λ	S	<u> </u>	S²	Λ	S <sup>2</sup>
	P <sub>1</sub>	40	113.5	0.763	13.67	0.48	16.9	5.85	57.9	13.93	64.1	1.37	43.5	8.47
Gemmelza 3	P <sub>2</sub>	40	119.3	0.565	13.36	0.22	19.4	3.90	65.5	22.6	58.6	1.21	41,4	7.89
X	F <sub>1</sub>	40	128.0	2.20	14.2	0.507	22.2	2.28	69.3	29.17	69.5	1.5	46.6	4.17
Giza 164	F <sub>2</sub>	460	126.3	6.20	13.43	1.06	<b>.18.7</b>	13.99	58.4	92.1	66.2	9.19	43.1	14.3
GIZA 164	BC <sub>1</sub>	150	121.3	3.52	14.1	0.682	19.4	11.63	59.8	62.68	65.2	5.6	45.5	10.49
	BC₂	150	123.3	4.33	13.8	0.73	21.4	5.24	67.8	52.68	63.3	4.26	43.36	5.17_
	P <sub>1</sub>	40	102.45	12.35	11.69	0.133	16.56	13.34	50.4	28.76	52.07	5.94	43.2	1.51
0: 400	P <sub>2</sub>	40	109.55	14.15	13.54	0.677	15.3	8.3	56.5	39.38	55.4	8.68	45.2	4.93
Giza 160	F <sub>1</sub>	40	117.93	15.23	14.54	0.544	18.2	3.83	69.5	24.29	56.7	4.2	49.9	1.12
x	F <sub>2</sub>	400	111.43	38.3	13.7	3.03	16.63	21.23	59.46	137.2	55.8	58.89	46.5	10.11
R.C.B. 70	BC₁	150	104.53	27.69	12.5	1.304	17.9	16.87	57.8	24.85	54.2	16.71	46.2	2.12
	BC₂	150	114.23	23.19	13.38	1.147	15.66	13.85	62.6	30.42	56.5	24.78	48.8	6.52

Table (3): Heterosis, inbreeding depression, potency ratio, F<sub>2</sub> deviation (E<sub>1</sub>), backcross deviation (E2) and gene action for characters studied in two crosses of bread wheat.

	,,, <u>,,</u>	21011 101 0	maruoto: 5	<u>otuaiou</u>	III LWO CIUS	JUD OI BIUM	u					
Character	Cross	ross Heterosis (%)	Inbreeding	Potency		BC deviation (E₂)	Gene action (six parameters) (Gamble) <sup>(1)</sup>					m
			depression	ratio			а	d	aa	ad	dd	
Plant height	ı	9.96**	1.33**	4.0	4.1**	0.83*	-2.17**	-3.14	-14.74**	1.52*	13.08**	126.3**
	11	10.11**	5.50**	1.58	-0.535	-5.17**	-9.7**	3.73	-8.2*	-6.15*	18.54**	111.43**
Spike length	1	4.83**	4.00**	0.882	-0.428**	0.955*	0.3**	2.235**	2.08**	0.45*	-2.45**	13.43**
	Н	7.63**	5.77**	1.04	0.1225**	-1.152**	0.88**	1.113*	-3.04**	0.05	5.59**	13.7**
No. of	_	22.71**	15.76**	1.62	-1.475**	0.45	-2.0**	11.3**	6.8**	-0.75	-7.7**	18.7**
spikes/plant	li li	14.93**	8.63**	1.60	-0.435*,	-0.57	2.24**	2.87*	0.6	1.67**	0.54	21.23*
No. of kernels	-	12.31**	15.4**	1.0	-7.1**	-3.4*	-8.0**	29.2**	21.6**	-4.28**	22.4**	5.4**
per spike	H	30.02**	17.32**	2.63	-4.01**	2.55*	-4.8*	27.01**	10.96**	-1.75	-5.86*	57.46**
Grain	1	13.28*	4.74**	1.48	0.775*	-2.35**	1.9**	0.35	-7.8**	-0.85*	12.5**	66.2**
yield/plant	#	5.52*	1.58*	0.89	0.483	0.065	2.3**	1.365	-1.8	-0.635	1.67	55.8**
1000-kernel	l -	7.24**	7.51**	0.768	-1.9**	-1.190	1.14*	8.47**	5.32**	0.09	-2.94*	42.6**
weight	H	12.89**	6.8**	2.85	-0.55*	0.35	2.6**	9.7**	4.0**	-1.6	-5.8**	46.5**

I = The cross Gemmeiza 3 x Giza 164

II = The cross Giza 160 x RCB 70

<sup>\*, \*\* =</sup> significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

(1) a = additive, d = dominance, aa = additive x additive, ad = additive x dominance, dd=dominance x dominance gene actions.

Overdominance, towards the higher parent was found for plant height and number of spikes/plant in both crosses, grain yield/plant in the first cross, and spike length, number of kernel/spike and 1000-kernel weight in the second cross. Similar results were reported by Tamam (1989) Abul-Nass et al. (1991) and Ashoush (1996).

Complete dominance towards the higher parent was found for number of kernels/spike in the first cross, while, partial dominance toward the higher parent was found for spike length and 1000-kernel weight in the first cross, and grain yield in the second cross. Blanco et al. (1982) Hassaballa et al. (1984) and Narulo (1987) found similar findings.

Significant F<sub>2</sub> deviation (E<sub>1</sub>) were obtained for all studied traits, except for plant height, and grain yield in the second cross (Table 3). Significant backcross deviations (E2) were found for plant height, spike length and number of kernels/spike, in both crosses, and grain yield/plant, in the first cross. This reveals that the epistatic gene effect might have a major contribution in the inheritance of these characters. The nature of gene action was studied according to Gamble (1962), as mentioned before.

For estimating various parameters of gene effects, the variety with a large mean value in each trait was usually considered as P<sub>1</sub>. In all traits, the mean effect of parameters (m) was almost highly significant (Table 3).

The estimates of parameter (a) are quite small in magnitude relative to parameter (d) in both crosses (Table 3). Additive gene effects (a) were almost highly significant for all studied traits in both crosses. These results indicated the potentiality of improving the performance of these traits by using pedigree selection program.

The dominance effect (d) and dominance x dominance (dd) epistatic effect played major roles in the inheritance of most studied traits. The estimates of dominance effects were either significant or highly significant for all studied traits in both crosses, except for plant height and grain yield. Moreover, the additive effect was more important and greater than the dominance effect (Table 3).

Dominance gene action would tend to favor the production of hybrids, while, for additive gene action, the significant standard selection procedures would be effective in bringing about advantageous changes in the characters.

The additive x additive (aa) epistatic type was almost highly significant for all traits, except for number of spikes per plant and grain yield in the second cross. Also, The additive x dominance gene effect (ad) was significant for plant height in both crosses, spike length, number of kernels/spike, and grain yield, in the first cross and number of spikes/plant in the second cross. Generally, significant one or more of the three types of epistatic gene effects were exhibited in both crosses for all studied traits (Table 3).

Blanco et al. (1982) found that additive and dominance gene effects were significant for plant height. Hassaballa et al. (1984) found that additive and

overdominance gene effects were important in the genetic system controlling the number of spikes/plant, while additive and partial dominance were more important in the genetic system of 1000-grain weight. Also, Narula (1987) found both additive and non-additive gene effects in a wheat diallel cross.

Lush (1949) gave the term, heritability, to define the relation between genotypic and phenotypic variances as broad sense heritability, and the relation between additive and phenotypic variance as narrow sense heritability. Heritability values are important to the breeder since it quantifies the expected improvement upon selection. To achieve genetic improvement through selection, heritability must be reasonably high. In the present investigation, heritability estimates, in broad sense (h²) were high to moderate in magnitude with values ranging between 52.14%, for 1000-kernel weight, in the first cross, to 88.24% for plant height in the second cross. For plant height, number of kernels/spike and grain yield/plant, in both crosses, and spike length and 1000-kernel weight in the second cross, high estimates of broad sense heritability were detected. However, number of spikes/plant in both crosses and 1000-kernel weight in the first cross, moderate values in broad sense were obtained. Narrow sense heritability (h2) estimates ranged between 27.64%, for number of spikes/plant in the second cross, to 79% for no. of kernels/spike in the second cross (Table 4). Such results agreed with those obtained from gene action studies (Table 3). Similar results were obtained by Mosaad et al. (1990), Hassan and Abd El-Moniem (1991) and El-Hosary et al. (2001).

Genetic coefficient of variation (G.C.V. %) showed moderate values for number of spikes/plant and number of kernels/plant, in both crosses, and spike length and grain yield in the second cross. The other traits had low values of G.C.V.% (Table 4). It is rather difficult to estimate the magnitude of heritable variation when the G.C.V. % is used alone, this will be easier when the heritability estimates and genetic gain are available (Swamp and Chayale, 1962).

The genetic advance under selection (Table 4) depends on the amount of genetic variability, the magnitude of masking effect of the environment and intensity of selection that is practiced. In terms of the progress expected, the effect in future generations, due to the non-additive variance, is included in the estimates. Therefore, the expected genetic advance for characters in this study was derived by using heritability in narrow sense.

The predicted genetic advance was rather moderate for number of spikes/plant, number of kernels/spike and 1000-kernel weight, in both crosses and spike length and grain yield in the second cross, but low for the remaining traits.

In the present investigation, moderate genetic heritability values were detected for number of kernels/spike, 1000-kernel weight and grain yield/plant in both crosses and spike length in the second cross. Therefore,

selection for these traits could be effective and satisfactory for successful breeding purposes.

The expected response to selection, which varies with the phenotypical standard deviation of population means, and which is a measure of the total variability of the trait, could therefore, reflects the total response that could be realized by breeding techniques.

Table (4): Heritability percentage (h<sup>2</sup>%) (in broad and narrow senses), genetic advance (G.S%) (as percent of the F<sub>2</sub> mean) and genetic coefficient of variability (G.C.V%) for characters studied in two bread wheat crosses.

variability (	G.C.V	%) for chara	icters stud	iea in two i	oread wnea	it crosses.
Characters	Cross	h² broad (%)	h² narrow (%)	G.S.	G.S. (%)	G.C.V. (%)
Plant height	1	81.03	36.76	0.661	0.523	0.642
		88.24	78.49	6.31	5.66	4.432
Spike length	ı	62.04	44.78	0.949	7.06	6.04
		85.00	59.60	2.135	15.60	11.72
No. of spikes/plant	1	71.34	39.74	3.06	16.37	16.89
	11	60.00	27.64	2.62	15.77	21.46
No. of kernels/plant	ı	76.37	37.94	7.50	12.84	14.34
	H	82.00	. 79.00	14.20	33.44	17.95
Grain yield/plant	ı	85.2	46.35	2.895	4.37	4.403
	H	88.17	64.77	10.239	18.34	13.75
1000-kernel weight	1	52.14	45.24	3.524	8.17	6.33
	11	75.06	57.00	3.730	8.03	5.924

Hence, the moderate genetic advance detected for number of spikes/plant in the second cross, in spite of their low heritability estimates, might be ascribed to a relative range of variability in this cross. Therefore, selection would be effective in breeding purposes. Relatively low genetic advance was associated with low heritability values in the remaining cases. It could be concluded that selection for these traits would be less successful than the former previous cases.

#### REFERENCES

Abul-Nass, A. A., A. A. El-Hosary and M. M. Sakr (1991). Genetical studies on durum wheat (*Triticum durum* L.). Egypt. J. Agron. Vol. 16-81-94.

Ashoush, H. A. H. (1996). Analysis of diallel cross of some quantitative characters in common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Banha Branch, Egypt.

Blanco, A., O. A. Tanzarella, F. Cairo and G. T. Searscia Mugnozza (1982). Inheritance of plant height in durum wheat, *Triticum durum* Desf. Genetic. Agraria, 36: 393-406 (C.F. Plant Breed. Abst., 53; 6122.

- Darwish, I. H. I. (1992). Breeding studies on wheat. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minufiya Univ. Egypt.
- Darwish, I. H. I. (1998). Breeding wheat for tolerance to some environmental stress. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- El-Hosary, A. A., M. H. Bastawisy, S. H. Mansour, Kh. A. A. Al-Assily and M. H. Metawea (2001). Heterosis, genetic effect, heritability and genetic advance in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.). Minufiya J. Agric. Res. 26(4): 1071-1083.
- Gamble, E. E. (1962). Gene effects in corn (Zea mays L.). 1. Separation and relative importance of gene effects for yield. Canad. J. Plant. Sci., 42: 330-348.
- Hassaballa, E. A., M. A. Khalifa, M. A. El-Morshidy and A. A. Ismail (1984). Inheritance of some agronomic characteristics in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Assuit J. of Agric. Sci., 15(8): 235-247.
- Hassan, E. E. and A. M. Abd El-Moniem (1991). Combining ability, heterosis and gene action of some quantitative characters in wheat. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 18(5): 1369-1381.
- Hayman, B. J. and K. Mather (1955). The description of gene interactions in continuous variation. Biometrics, 10: 69-82.
- Hendawy, F. A. (1994). General and specific combining ability estimates in a diallel cross of seven bread wheat varieties, Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 19(1): 75-93.
- Johanson, H. W., H. F. Robinson and R. E. Comstock (1955). Estimation of genetic and environmental variation in soybeans. Agron. J. 47: 314-318.
- Lush, J. L. (1999). Heritability of quantitative characters in farm animals. Proc. 8<sup>th</sup> Inter. Cong. Genet. Heredrtas Suppl; pp. 356-375.
- Mahdy, E. E. (1988). The relative importance of the types of gene action in the same agronomic traits in (*T. aestivum* L.) Assiut J. Agric. Sci. 19(3): 215-224.
- Mather, K. (1949). Biometrical Genetics. 1<sup>st</sup> Edition, Metheum and Co., Chapman and Hill Ltd., London, 382 pp.
- Mather, K. and J. L., Jinks (1971). Biometric Genetics. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Chapman, 162 pp.
- Mosaad, M., A. El-Morshidy, B. R. Bakheit and A. M. Tamam (1990). Genetical studies of some morpho-physiological traits in durum wheat crosses. Assiut J. Agric. Res. 21(1): 78-94.
- Narula, P. N. (1987). A diallel cross analysis of grain number and grain weight under different environments in wheat. Wheat Information Service, No. 64: 37-43 (C.F. Pl. Breed. Abst. 58: 2940).
- Singh, S. S. (1990). Bias caused by episasis in the estimates of additive and dominance components and their interactions with environment in wheat. Indian J. Genetics and Plant Breed. 50(2): 157-160.
- Swamp, V. and D. D. Chayale (1962). Studies on genetic variability in soybean. 1. Phenotypic variation and its heritable component in some

- important quantitative characters contributing towards yield. Ind. J. Genetic. 22: 31.
- Tamam, A. M. (1989). Inheritance of yield and aphid resistance in some wheat crosses. M. Sc. Thesis, Assiut. Univ. Egypt.
- Van der Ween, J. H. (1959). Test of non-allelic interaction and linkage for quantitative characters in generations derived from two diploid pure lines. Genetica, 30: 201.
- Younis, S. E. A., M. K. Omara and M. Y. Hussein (1988). A genetic analysis of earliness in wheat and the response to selection for flowering time under favourable clay soil moisture stress conditions in sandy soils. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 19(5): 35-98.

# قوة الهجين والتأثير الجيني ومعامل التوريث والتحسين الوراثي في قمح الخبز

إبراهيم حسينى إبراهيم درويش $^{(1)}$ ، حسن عبد اللطيف عشوش $^{(1)}$  ) المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنوفية

(٢) قسم بحوث القمح \_ معهد البحوث الحقلية \_ مركز البحوث الزراعية \_ مصر.

### الملخص العربي

أجسرى هسذا البحث بمسزرعة كلية الزراعة سجامعة المنوفية بشبين الكوم والمزرعة البحثية بزرزورة بمحافظة البحيرة على هجينين من قمح الخبز. الأول "جميزه ٣ × جيزه ١٦٤" والثاني "جيزه ١٦٠ × RCB70" وشسملت الدراسسة في كل منهما ست عشائر هي الأبوان والجيلان الأول والثاني وجيلا الهجينين الرجعيين لصفات ارتفاع النبات، وطول السنبلة، وعدد السنابل للنبات، وعدد الحبوب بالسنبلة ومحصول الحبوب للنبات ووزن ألف حبة.

ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج كالآتي:

- كانت قوة الهجين معنوية وموجبة لكل الصفات تحت الدراسة.
- بالنسبة لدرجة السيادة فقد كاتت السيادة فائقة في اتجاه الأب الأعلى وذلك لصفات ارتفاع النبات وعدد السنابل بالنبات لكلا الهجينين، ومحصول الحبوب بالنبات في الهجين الأول، وطول السنبلة وعدد الحبوب بالسنبلة، ووزن الألف حبة في الهجين الثاني.
  - بالنسبة لمعامل التربية الداخلية فقد أظهر نقصا موجبا معنويا لكل الصفات المدروسة.
  - كانت قيم الامحراف الراجع إلى التفاعل الجيني E2, E4 معنوية لمعظم الصفات المدروسة.
- بالنسبة لطبيعة فعل الجينات كان أثر فعل الجينات من النوع المضيف معنويا لكل الصفات المدروسة،
   بينما كان فعل الجينات من النوع السيادي معنويا لكل الصفات عدا ارتفاع النبات ومحصول الحبوب للنبات في كلا الهجينين.
- كان فعال الجينات من النوع التفوقي (المضيف × المضيف) معنويا لكل الصفات عدا عدد السنابل بالنابات ومحصول الحبوب بالنبات في الهجين الثاني، وأيضا كان فعل الجينات من النوع (المضيف × السيادي) أو (السيادي × السيادي) معنويا لمعظم الصفات المدروسة.

- كانت قيم معامل التوريث بمعناها الواسع عالية إلى متوسطة وتراوحت من ٢٠٨٨ لصفة ارتفاع النبات في الهجين الثاني إلى ١٠١٥ لصفة محصول الحبوب بالنبات في الهجين الأول. بينما كانت قيم معامل التوريث بمعناها الضيق عالية إلى منخفضة وتراوحت ما بين ٧٩% لصفة عدد المنابل للنبات إلى ٢٧,٤٦ لصفة عدد الحبوب بالسنبلة في الهجين الثاني.
- كاتت النسبة المئوية للتحسين الوراثي المتوقع متوسطة لصفات عدد السنابل للنبات وعدد الحبوب بالسنبلة ومحصول الحبوب بالنبات (في كلا الهجينين) وطول السنبلة في الهجين الثاني بينما كاتت منخفضة في الحالات الأخرى.
- مــن النتائج السابقة يـــمكن مـــلاحظة تــفوق الهجين (جميزه ٣ × جيزه ١٦٤) على الهجين (جيزه ١٦٠ × RCB70). في محصول الحبوب ومكوناته.