## COTTON RESPONSE TO ELEMENTAL SULPHUR AND PHOSPHORUS APPLICATIONS UNDER DIFFERENT SOIL MOISTURE DEPLETION LEVELS AT NORTH NILE DELTA Omar. E.H.

Soil, Water and Environment Res. Institute, Giza, Egypt

### ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted at Sakha Agric. Res. Station during two successive seasons 2000 and 2001 to investigate the response of cotton crop to the levels of soil moisture depletion, applications of the elemental sulphur and phosphorus at North Nile Delta. The soil of the experiment was clayey in texture, non saline and non alkaline. The design of the experiment was split-split plot during the two growing seasons. Depletion levels 40% (D<sub>1</sub>), 55% (D<sub>2</sub>) and 70% (D<sub>3</sub>) of available soil moisture were conducted to the main plots. Elemental sulphur rates, zero (S<sub>1</sub>), 50 (S<sub>2</sub>) and 100 (S<sub>3</sub>) kg S fed.<sup>-1</sup> were assigned to sub-plots. Phosphorus fertilizer rates zero (P<sub>1</sub>), 15 (P<sub>2</sub>) and 30 (P<sub>3</sub>) kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fed.<sup>-1</sup> were allocated to sub-sub-plots. The most important results could be summarized as follows:

- Irrigation of cotton at 55% depletion (D<sub>2</sub>) produced the highest seed cotton yield (10.92 and 10.51 kentar fed.<sup>1</sup>) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> season, respectively. Also, plant height, fruit branches/plant, bolls/plant and boll weight were higher under treatment D<sub>2</sub>.
- Irrigation at low soil moisture depletion (D<sub>1</sub>) resulted in the highest values of water applied (3666.3 and 3451.7 m<sup>3</sup> fed.<sup>-1</sup>), water consumptive use (2993.8 and 2760.2 m<sup>3</sup> fed.<sup>-1</sup>) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively, but decreased water utilization and water use efficiencies.
- Decreasing soil moisture depletion increased P, Fe and Mn concentrations in cotton leaves and its availability in the soil, while Zn took the opposite trend.
- Application of S resulted in a significant increase in seed cotton yield, yield components, water utilization, and water use efficiency, soil available P, Zn, Fe and Mn and their content in cotton leaves.
- Application of phosphate fertilizer resulted in a significant increase in seed cotton yield, yield components, water utilization, water use efficiency, soil available P, Fe and Mn and the concentrations of P and Mn in cotton leaves.
- The combination between 100 kg S and 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fed.<sup>-1</sup> and irrigation at 55% depletion produced the highest seed cotton yield in the two studied seasons.
- An antagonistic relationship was found between P and Zn & Fe and a synergetic between P and Mn in cotton leaves.

Keywords: sulfur and phosphorus applications, soil moisture depletion, nutrient interaction, cotton yield

## INTRODUCTION

Cotton is the main cash crop being raized in Egypt for its fibers and oil. It is also the export crop and an important source in the national income. The proper available soil moisture in the effective root zone, phosphorus and sulphur fertilization are very important factors that affect the productivity of cotton crop at north Nile Delta soils.

Simishi and Marani (1971) stated that seed cotton yield, plant height and number of bolls were decreased when cotton plants were subjected to

soil moisture stress at the start of flowering. Ballator et al. (1974) reported that irrigation at 30% depletion of available water increased cotton water consumptive use but did not affect the vield significantly. Mahrous (1977) showed that seasonal water consumptive use by cotton for wet, medium and dry regimes were 25.7, 24.3 and 20.9 inches, respectively. Bharambe and Varade (1982) found that the reduction in leaf water potential beyond-15 bar decreased seed cotton yield due to the reduction in boll numbers and their size. Mohamed et al. (1995) and El-Naggar et al. (1999) stated that increasing available soil moisture increased significantly the seasonal water consumptive use by cotton plants. Eid and Hosny (1995a, b) reported that increasing water amounts from 73.5 to 136.5 cm resulted in shorter periods to the first flower and first open boll, while 94.5 cm increased number of open bolls/plant and seed cotton vield feddan<sup>1</sup>. Mahrous at al. (1984) used 20, 40, 60 and 80% depletion from available soil moisture on cotton at Sakha. They obtained the highest seed cotton yield from 60% depletion treatment. Khater and Eid (1997) revealed that irrigation cotton using 2 inches diameter siphon at 15 days interval increased boll weight and seed cotton yield. Water consumptive use was found to be 56.6 cm. Husman et al. (1998) concluded that irrigation of cotton at 30 and 50% soil moisture depletion resulted in the highest mean cotton lint yields (1374 and 1438 lbs lint acre<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. While irrigation at 65 and 80% depletion produced the lowest mean cotton lint yields (1123 and 248 lbs lint acre<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). While irrigation at 65 and 80% depletion produced the lowest mean cotton lint yields (1123 and 248 lbs lint acre<sup>-1</sup> respectively). Ebada (1998) found that termination of irrigation after 165, 150 and 135 days from sowing cotton resulted in decreasing water consumptive use from 83.77 to 78.37 and 75.8 cm, respectively. The opposite trend was recorded for water utilization efficiency 0.26, 0.28 and 0.30 kg seed cotton  $m^{-3}$ applied water, respectively) and also for water consumptive use 0.28, 0.29 and 0.30 kg seed cotton m<sup>-3</sup> water consumed, respectively) with the studied terminations.

Elemental sulphur added to the soil as a soil amendment and as a plant nutrient. It is oxidized by soil microorganisms to sulphuric acid which lowers soil pH and increases availability of P, Zn, Fe and Mn (Mostafa *et al.*, 1990). Nasseem *et al.* (1986) pointed out that addition of sulphur to the soil increased cotton lint yield and increased leaves content of Zn, Fe, Mn, Cu, Mo and Pb. Dubey *et al.* (2000) showed that cotton seed yield, dry matter and boll weight were increased with increasing sulphur application rate. Chatterjee *et al.* (2000) found that the yields of cotton fibre and seed were significantly decreased by sulphur deficiency, as well as quality of cotton seeds. Singh and Karion (2001) concluded that the highest cotton seed yield was recorded with 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. They also concluded that application of sulphur more than 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> decreased phosphorus content in cotton seeds and reduced P content in the soi! at the second year. Shinde *et al.* (2001) found that S fertilizer inoculated with S oxidizing bacteria and fungi recorded the highest values for plant height, picked bolls per plant, seed yield and S uptake.

Cakmak and Marschner (1986) found that increasing P supply resulted in severe Zn deficiency while Zn deficiency markedly increased the

uptake and translocation rates of P. Gill *et al.* (1985) revealed that increasing P fertilizer rate to sandy loam soil from zero to 100 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> increased cotton seed yield from 565 to 785 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, bolls plant<sup>-1</sup> from 7 to 11 and lint percentage from 33.2 to 33.9. Hamid and Sarwar (1983) pointed out that yield of cotton was increased significantly with 20 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and application of P increased P concentration in leaves. They added that 90 days after sowing was the best time for better measure of fertilizer utilization. Shrivastava *et al.* (1999) showed that P fertilizer increased cotton seed yield, but amounts varied between years. Sawan *et al.* (1997) revealed that cotton yield, open bolls plant<sup>-1</sup>, boll weight, and uptake of P, Ca and Zn were increased with increasing P, Zn and Ca fertilizers. Mahmoud *et al.* (1985) found that the highest dry matter of cotton was obtained with application of 15 kg P + 10 kg Zn or 30 kg P + 10 kg Zn feddan<sup>-1</sup>.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out at the Farm of Sakha Agricultural Res. Station during two successive seasons 2000 and 2001 to evaluate the role of elemental sulphur and phosphorus fertilization under different soil moisture depletion levels and their effect on cotton yield and its water relations.

The soil of the experimental field was clayey in texture, non saline, non alkaline with water table depth 115 cm. Soil samples were taken before planting and after harvesting cotton. The main soil characteristics and the level of some nutrients are shown in Table (1).

# Table 1:Average values of some physical and chemical properties of<br/>the soil before the experiment.

Soit	Partic	le size d	distributi	ion, %	Bulk	Soil moistu characteris		Soil moisture characteristics		EC, dS	Soil pH,	0.м	Available nutrients, ppm			
cm	Sand	Silt	Clay	Texture class	gm/cm <sup>3</sup>	F.C.	W.P.	A.S.M.	ate %	m <sup>-1</sup> at 25°C	1: 2.5	%	ρ	Zn	Fe	Mra
0-30	17.96	32.53	49.55	Clayey	1.14	42.85	23.13	19.72	2.48	1.86	7.83	1.74	6.25	0.78	35.68	7.54
30-60	15.11	3.33	51.66	Clayey	1.24	39.76	22.64	17.12	2.58	1.94	7.98	1.62	5.83	0.655	32.37	6.78
60-90	13.77	37.55	48.68	Clayey	1.29	38.57	21.56	17.01	2.96	2.45	8.36	1.25	4.48	0.46	30.85	5.65

Split-split plot design with four replicates was used. Each sub-sub plot was  $6 \times 7 \mod (42 \mod 2)$  and constituted of 8 ridges. Depletion levels, elemental sulphur and phosphorus rates distributed in the experimental field as follows:

#### Main plots:

D<sub>1</sub> = Irrigation at 40% depletion of available soil moisture.

 $D_2$  = Irrigation at 55% depletion of available soil moisture.

 $D_3$  = Irrigation at 70% depletion of available soil moisture.

## Sub-plots:

- $S_1$  = Without sulphur application.
- $S_2$  = Application of 50 kg S feddan<sup>-1</sup>.

 $S_3 = Application of 100 \text{ kg S feddan}^1$ .

## Sub-sub-plots:

P<sub>1</sub> = Without phosphorus application.

 $P_2 = Application of 15 \text{ kg } P_2O_5 \text{ feddan}^{-1}$ .

 $P_3 = Application of 30 \text{ kg } P_2O_5 \text{ feddan}^1$ .

Elemental sulphur was added and mixed with soil surface layer before planting cotton seeds.

Phosphorus fertilizer levels as superphosphate  $(15.5\% P_2O_5)$  were applied and mixed with the soil surface before planting.

Nitrogen fertilizer as urea 46.5% N was used with the recommended rate for the region (  $60 \text{ kg N feddan}^{-1}$ ) in two equal doses at the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> irrigations.

Giza 89 cotton seeds were sown in April 4, 2001 and picked in Oct. 7, 2001. While in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season 2002 the sowing date was April 6 and picking was in Oct. 10.

Application of irrigation water was controlled by Cutthroat flume 30 x 90 cm according to Skogerboe *et al.* (1973). Irrigation water was applied after depletion of 40%, 55% and 70% of available soil moisture. The amount of each irrigation to keep soil moisture content at field capacity was calculated as follows:

WA (depth)<sub>1</sub> = 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \frac{FC - PW}{100} \times Db \times Z$$

Where:

WA = Applied water (cm).

FC = Field capacity for the soil (%).

PW = Soil moisture content before irrigation (%).

Db = Bulk density of the soil (gm cm<sup>-3</sup>).

Z = Thickness of the layer (0-60 cm).

Actual water consumptive use was determined as soil moisture depletion (SMD) according to Israelsen *et al.* (1979) as follows:

SMD = 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \frac{PW_2 - PW_1}{100} \times Db_i \times Db_i$$

Where:

SMD = Soil moisture depletion (cm) in the effective root zone (0-60 cm).

PW<sub>2</sub> = Percentage of soil moisture content 48 hours after irrigation.

PW<sub>1</sub> = Percentage of soil moisture content before the next irrigation.

 $Db_i = Bulk$  density of the specified layer (gm cm<sup>-3</sup>).

Di = Depth of soil layer (cm).

Water use efficiency (W.U.E.) was calculated according to Doorenbos and Pruitt (1979) as follows:

W.U.E. = 
$$\frac{\text{Cotton seed yield (kg fed.}^{-1})}{\text{Water consumptive use (m3 fed.}^{-1})} \text{kg m}^{-3}$$

Water utilization efficiency (W.UT.E.) was calculated according to Doorenbos and Pruitt (1979) as follows:

W.UT.E. = 
$$\frac{\text{Cotton seed yield (kg fed.}^{-1})}{\text{Water applied (m3 fed.}^{-1})} \text{kg m}^{-3}$$

Soil field capacity and wilting point were determined using pressure membrane apparatus according to Klute (1986). Samples of cotton leaves after 90 days at bolling stage were collected, dried, milled and wet digested according to Page (1982) for determination of P, Zn, Fe and Mn. Soil available Zn, Fe and Mn were extracted by DTPA solution according to Lindsay and Norvell (1978). The elements Zn, Fe and Mn were determined by the Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometers. Soil available P was extracted by NaHCO<sub>3</sub> 0.5 N and determined according to Murphy and Riley (1962). Data were statistically analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1974).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### 1. Cotton yield and its components:

The obtained results in Table 2 show that soil meisture depletion, elemental sulphur and phosphorus treatments had highly significant effects on cotton seed yield, plant height, fruit branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, boll numbers plant<sup>-1</sup> and boll weight in the two studied seasons. Irrigation at 55% depletion of soil available water (D<sub>2</sub>) was the best treatment which resulted in the highest average values cotton seed yield (10.92 kentar fed<sup>-1</sup>), plant height (146.63 cm), fruit branches plant (11.97), bolls/plant (23.53) and boll weight (2.99 gm) in the first season (2000). The corresponding average values in the second season (2001) were 10.51, 150.82, 11.47. 21.5 and 3.06, respectively. While irrigation of cotton at 40% or 70% depletion of available water (D<sub>1</sub> or D<sub>3</sub>) resulted in a significant decrease in cotton yield and yield components compared to irrigation at 55% depletion of available water. These results are coincide with those of Ballator *et al.* (1974), Bharambe and Varade (1982) and Husman *et al.* (1998).

Increasing the rate of elemental sulphur resulted in a significant increase in cotton seed yield and yield components in the two studied seasons as shown in Table 2. Application of 100 kg S fed.<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>3</sub>) in the first season (2000) gave the highest cotton seed yield (11.18 kentar fed.<sup>-1</sup>), plant height (147.3 cm), fruit branches/plant (10.47), bolls/plant (22.5) and boll weight (2.99 gm). In the second season (2001) the corresponding average values were 10.83 kentar fed.<sup>-1</sup>, 147.54 cm for plant height, 10.64 fruit branches/plant, 21.14 bolls/plant and 3.09 gm for boll weight. The increase of cotton yield resulted from sulphur application may be due to the increasing of soil available P, Zn, Fe and Mn. These results are agreement with those of Nassem *et al.* (1986), Mostafa *et al.* (1990), Dubey *et al.* (2000) and Singh and Karion (2001).

With respect to phosphorus fertilizer data in Table (2) revealed that increasing the rate of P fertilizer resulted in a significant increase in cotton seed yield and growth parameters during growing seasons. The treatment P<sub>3</sub> (30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fed.<sup>-1</sup>) gave the highest average values of the studied parameters (11.97 and 11.36 kentar fed.<sup>-1</sup> for cotton seed yield, 145.69 and 146.38 cm for plant height, 10.97 and 11.19 fruit branches/plant, 23.92 and 22.94 bolls/plant and 3.01 and 3.07 gm for boll weight in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively). These results are agreement with those of Gill *et al.* (1985), Hamid and Sarwar (1983) and Sawan *et al.* (1997).

#### Omar, E.H.

			First	season,	2000		Second season, 2001							
Treatments		Cotton seed yield kentar fed. <sup>1</sup>	Plant height cm	Number of fruit branches/ plant	Number of bolls/plant	Boll weight gm	Cotton seed yield kentar/fed.	Plant height cm	Number of fruit branches/plant	Number of bolls/plant	Boll weight gm			
Deple	tion													
D,		9.83	136.43	8.86	19.64	2.7	9.65	135.41	9.28	18.33	2.72			
D <sub>2</sub>		10.92	146.63	11.97	23.53	2.99	10.51	150.82	11.47	21.5	3.06			
D3		10.5	138.63	9.22	20.39	2.84	10.2	139.73	9.69	20.81	2.93			
F-te	st	**	**	**	**	**	4.8	**	**	**	**			
L.S.D.	0.05	0.57	1.72	0.37	0.78	0.06	0.2	1.42	0.45	0.9	0.04			
	0.01	0.76	2.29	0.47	1.04	0.08	0.27	<u>1.89</u>	0.6	1.2	0.06			
Sulph	nur													
S,		9.36	134.93	9.61	19.61	2.72	9.34	135.05	9.86	19.72	2.76			
S <sub>2</sub>		10.45	139.46	9.97	21.44	2.82	10.15	143.37	9.94	19.78	2.86			
S		11.18	147.3	10.47	22.5	2.99	1 <u>0.83</u>	147.54	10.64	21.14	3.09			
F-te	st	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**			
L.S.D.	0.05	0.45	2.17	0.37	0.7	0.05	0.21	1.49	0.42	0.7	0.06			
	0.01	0.77	2.97	0.5	0.96	0.07	0.29	2.04	0.58	0.96	0.08			
Phosph	orus													
P1		9.28	135.44	9.31	18.58	2.71	8.95	137.96	9.28	17.22	2.74			
P2		10.35	140.56	9.78	21.06	2.82	10.04	141.61	9.97	20.47	2.9			
P <sub>3</sub>		11.97	145.69	10.97	23.92	3.01	11.36	146.38	11.19	22.94	3.07			
F-te	st	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**			
L.S.D.	0.05	0.65	1.93	0.35	0.74	.07	0.24	1.53	0.55	0.98	0.09			
	0.01	0.98	2.92	0.53	1.12	0.1	0.37	2.32	0.83	1.49	0 <u>.14</u>			
Interact	tions								•					
D*:	s		**	ns	**	ns				ns	ns			
D•	P				**									
S.										ns				
<u> </u>	<u>- P</u>	ns		ns	ns					ns	-			

Cotton seed yield and yield components as affected by soil Table 2: moisture depletion, sulphur and phosphorus.

= Significant

= Highly significant

ns = Not significant

The interaction D \* S had significant effects on cotton seed yield and plant height in the two growing seasons. The interaction D \* S had a significant effect on number of bolls/plant in the 1<sup>st</sup> season but had no significant effect in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season. Cotton seed yield and growth parameters were significantly affected by the interactions D \* P and S \* P except the number of bolls/plant in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season. Data also revealed that plant height and bolls weight were significantly affected by the interaction of D \* S \* P in the 1<sup>st</sup> season while in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season all yield and growth parameters were significantly affected except for number of bolls plant<sup>1</sup> in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season.

#### 2. Water relations:

## 2.1. Seasonal water applied (Wa):

Data of the seasonal water applied (m<sup>3</sup> feddan<sup>1</sup>) during the two growing seasons are shown in Table (3). The obtained results reveal that the seasonal water applied to cotton plants was decreased with increasing the depletion of soil moisture content. The treatment D1 which irrigated at 40%

depletion of soil available water received the highest average values (3666.3 and 3451.7  $m^3$  feddan<sup>-1</sup>) of irrigation water in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively. The lowest average values of water applied (3163.6 and 3015.6  $m^3$  feddan<sup>-1</sup>) in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively were recorded under the driest treatment (D<sub>3</sub>) which irrigated at 70% depletion of available water.

These results are in agreement with those of Ballator *et al.* (1974); Mahrous *et al.* (1984); Mohamed *et al.* (1995); El-Naggar *et al.* (1999) and Eid and Hosny (1995a, b).

#### 2.2. Seasonal water consumptive use (ETa):

The obtained results of seasonal water consumptive use (Table 3) indicated that (ETa) was decreased from  $D_1$  treatment (irrigation at 40% depletion) to  $D_3$  (irrigation at 70% depletion). The highest average values of ETa (2993.8 and 27.60. 2 m<sup>3</sup> feddan<sup>-1</sup> in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively) were achieved under  $D_1$  treatment. While the lowest average values of ETa (2494.8 and 2384.9 m<sup>3</sup> feddan<sup>-1</sup> in the first and second seasons, respectively), were obtained under  $D_3$  treatment. It could be concluded that irrigation at 55% depletion of available soil moisture ( $D_2$ ) is the best treatment which produced the highest cotton seed yield. This finding is supported by Ballator *et al.* (1974), Mahrous *et al.* (1984); Mohamed *et al.* (1995); El-Naggar *et al.* (1999) and Ebada (1998).

#### 2.3. Water utilization efficiency (W.UT.E.):

Data in Table 3 indicate that W.UT.E. values were increased with increasing the soil moisture depletion. Increasing sulphur application rate from 0.0 to 100 kg feddan<sup>-1</sup> led to marked increase in W.UT.E. during the two growing seasons. Application of 50 kg S feddan<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>2</sub>) had a little effect in increasing W.UT.E. Data also show that increasing the rate of P fertilizer increased the values of W.UT.E. comparing with control (P<sub>1</sub>). The highest W.UT.E. values (0.63 and 0.64 kg seed cotton m<sup>-3</sup> applied water in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively) were obtained from application of 100 kg S feddan<sup>-1</sup> + 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> feddan<sup>-1</sup> under irrigation at 70% (D<sub>3</sub>) depletion of available water. These results are agreement with those of Mahrous (1977), Mahrous *et al.* (1984), El-Naggar *et al.* (1999), Khater and Eid (1997) and Ebada (1998).

#### 2.4. Water use efficiency (W.U.E.):

Data in Table 3 indicate that W.U.E. increased with increasing soil moisture depletion under the different rates of sulphur and phosphorus in the two growing seasons. These findings are in agreement with those of Mohamed *et al.* (1995) and El-Naggar *et al.* (1999). Application of sulphur up to 100 kg fed.<sup>-1</sup> increased W.U.E. over the control. Also, increasing the rate of P fertilizer increased W.U.E. in comparison with control. The interaction of sulphur with phosphorus fertilizer has a marked effect in increasing W.U.E. The highest values of W.U.E. in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> season (0.79 and 0.81 kg seed cotton m<sup>-3</sup> water consumed by cotton, respectively were obtained with application 100 kg S+ 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fed.<sup>-1</sup> under irrigation at 70% depletion of available soil moisture (D<sub>3</sub>). The obtained results are in accordance with those of Mahrous (1977) and Ebada (1998).

----

Table 3:	Seasonal water applied (m <sup>3</sup> fed <sup>-1</sup> ), seasonal water consumptive use (m <sup>3</sup> fed. <sup>-1</sup> ), water utilization efficien	су
	and water use efficiency (kg m <sup>-3</sup> ) of cotton as affected by soil moisture depletion, sulphur a	nd
,	phosphorus.	_

- Coll	Water utilization efficiency						Water use efficiency													
Soli	water	water		S <sub>1</sub>			S <sub>2</sub>			S <sub>3</sub>			S <sub>1</sub>			S <sub>2</sub>			S <sub>3</sub>	
depletion	applied (m <sup>3</sup> /fed.)	consumptive use (m <sup>3</sup> /fed.)	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P1	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P1	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>
							First	seas	on (20	)00)										
D <sub>1</sub>	3666.3	2993.8	0.37	0.39	0.47	0.37	0.42	0.49	0.4	0.42	0.53	0.46	0.47	0.57	0.46	0.52	0.6	0.49	0.52	0.65
D <sub>2</sub>	3424.8	2739.8	0.42	0.42	0.53	.43	0.52	0.55	0.45	0.56	0.59	0.52	0.53	0.66	0.54	0.65	0.69	0.57	0.7	0.74
D <sub>3</sub>	3163.6	2494.8	0.44	0.46	0.51	0.43	0.54	0.56	0.51	0.58	0.63	0.56	0.59	0.65	0.55	0.69	0.71	0.65	0.74	0.79
					·	<u>์</u>	Secon	d sea	son (	2001)		· · · ·								
D <sub>1</sub>	3451.7	2760.2	0.38	0.4	0.48	0.38	0.43	0.51	0.4	0.43	0.55	0.48	0.5	0.6	0.48	0.53	0.63	0.5	0.54	0.68
D <sub>2</sub>	3219.3	2775.2	0.43	0.44	0.55	0.45	0.54	0.58	0.47	0.58	0.61	0.5	0.51	0.64	0.52	0.63	0.67	0.54	0.67	0.71
D3	3015.4	2384.9	0.45	0.47	0.52	0.47	0.55	0.57	0.52	0.6	0.64	0.57	0.59	0.66	0.59	0.7	0.72	0.66	0.75	0.81

1504

#### 3. Elemental contents in cotton leaves:

Table 4 show the values of P, Zn, Fe and Mn in leaves of cotton as affected by different depletion levels and different rates of sulphur and phosphorus applications. It is clear from data that decreasing the depletion of soil moisture increased the concentrations of P, Fe and Mn in leaves but Zn was decreased. The highest mean values of P, Fe and Mn in the 1<sup>st</sup> season (0.27%, 1914.22 and 194.44 ppm, respectively,) and in the second season (0.25%, 1818.51 and 184.72 ppm), respectively were recorded under treatment D<sub>1</sub> which was irrigated at 40% depletion of available soil moisture. While the highest concentration of Zn in leaves (53.06 and 50.4 ppm, respectively) were obtained under the most dry treatment (D<sub>3</sub>).

Table 4: Cotton leaves content of P (%), Zn, Fe and Mn (ppm) as affected by soil moisture depletion, elemental sulphur and phosphorus applications.

			F	irst sea	son, 20	00	Se	cond	season,	2001
Treatments	Sulphur	Phosphorus	Ρ,	Zn,	Fe,	Mn,	Ρ,	Zn,	Fe,	Mn,
			%	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm
		P1	0.20	50.00	1260.00	180.00	0.19	47.50	1197.00	171.00
	S₁	P2	0.22	47.50	1077.00	187.50	0.21	45.13	1023.15	178.13
		P3	0.32	25.00	988.00	192.50	0.30	23.75	938.60	182.88
	S	mean	0.25	40.83	1108.33	186.67	0.23	38.79	1052.92	177.33
		P1	0.19	55.00	2481.00	185.00	0.18	52.25	2356.95	175.75
D1	S <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	0.22	42.50	2309.00	195.00	0.21	40.38	2193.55	185.25
		P3	0.36	35.00	1482.00	202.50	0.34	33.25	1407.90	192.38
	S	y mean	0.26	44.17	2090.67	194.17	0.24	41.96	1986.13	184.46
		P1	0.23	55.00	3108.00	195.00	0.22	52.25	2952.60	185.25
	S <sub>2</sub>	P2	0.28	52.50	2968.00	205.00	0.27	49.88	2819.60	194.75
		P3	0.38	45.00	1555.00	207.50	0.36	42.75	1477.25	197.13
	S	3 mean	0.30	50.83	2543.67	202.50	0.28	48.29	2416.48	192.38
	D <sub>1</sub> mean		0.27	45.28	1914.22	194.44	0.25	43.01	1818.51	184.72
		P1	0.18	32.50	2006.00	160.00	0.17	30.88	1905.70	152.00
	S1	P2	0.21	55.00	1744.00	175.00	0.20	52.25	1656.80	166.25
		P3	0.24	40.00	904.00	185.00	0.23	38.00	858.80	175.75
	S	mean	0.21	42.50	1551.33	173.33	0.20	40.38	1473.77	164.67
	S2	P1	0.22	60.00	2210.00	150.00	0.21	57.00	2099.50	142.50
D₂		P <sub>2</sub>	0.23	47.50	1953.00	182.50	0.22	45.13	1855.35	173.38
		P3	0.26	52.50	746.00	205.50	0.25	49.88	708.70	195.23
	S;	mean	0.24	53.33	1636.33	179.33	0.22	50.67	1554.52	170.37
	S <sub>2</sub>	P,	0.28	80.00	2912.00	152.00	0.27	76.00	2766.40	144.40
		P <sub>2</sub>	0.30	45.00	2435.00	192.50	0.29	42.75	2313.25	182.88
		P3	0.35	55.00	1286.00	203.40	0.33	52.25	1221.70	193.23
	S;	, mean	0.31	60.00	2211.00	182.63	0.29	57.00	2100.45	173.50
	D <sub>2</sub> mean		0.25	51.94	1799.56	178.43	0.24	49.35	1709.58	169.51
		P, .	0.12	42.50	1648.00	102.50	0.11	40.38	1565.60	97.38
	S,	P <sub>2</sub> ,	0.16	30.00	1251.00	115.00	0.15	28.50	1188.45	109.25
I		P3	0.20	65.00	951.00	117.50	0,19	61.75	903.45	111.63
	<u> </u>	mean	0.16	45.83	1283.33	111.67	0.15	43.54	1219.17	106.08
-		P <sub>f</sub>	0.17	80.00	1496.00	107.50	0.16	76.00	1421.20	102.13
D3	S <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	0.18	37.50	1452.00	120.00	0.17	35.63	1379.40	114.00
		P3	0.19	37.50	1247.00	167.50	0.18	35.63	1184.65	159.13
	S;	mean	0.18	51.67	1398.33	131.67	0.17	49.08	1328.42	125.08
		P1	0.16	95.00	1913.00	127.50	0.15	90.25	1817.35	121.13
	S₂	P <sub>2</sub>	0.19	42.50	1884.00	132.00	0.18	40.38	1789.80	125.40
		P3	0.32	47.50	1256.00	150.00	0.30	45.13	1193.20	142.50
_	S;	mean	0.22	61.67	1684.33	136.50	0.21	58.58	1600.12	129.68
	0.19	53.06	1455.33	126.61	0.18	50.40	1382.57	120.28		

#### Omar, E.H.

Data also reveale that application of S increased the concentrations of P, Zn. Fe and Mn in cotton leaves under the different levels of soil moisture depletion. In this connection Nasseem et al. (1986). Mostafa et al. (1990) and El-Fayoumy and El-Gamal (1998) found that application of sulphur to the soil increased plant content of P and micronutrients. The highest mean values of P. Fe and Mn in the 1st seasons (0.30%, 2543.67 and 202.5 ppm, respectively) were obtained with application of 100 kg S fed.<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>3</sub>) under irrigation at 40% depletion of available water (D<sub>1</sub>). The corresponding values in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season were 0.28%, 2416.48 and 192.38 ppm for P, Fe and Mn, respectively. The highest mean values of Zn in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons (61.47 and 58.58 ppm, respectively) were resulted with application of 100 kg S fed.<sup>-1</sup> under treatment  $D_3$ . With respect to the effect of P fertilizer the obtained results showed that concentrations of Zn and Fe were decreased while Mn was increased with increasing the rate of P fertilizer. However, Fawzi (1981) observed the sergonism between P and Mn. Abou Zied et al. (1997) found that increasing P rates decreased Zn but increased P and Mn in corn plants. Hassan et al. (1997) observed the antagonistic relationship between P and Fe in broad bean. The highest values P and Mn in the 1<sup>st</sup> season (0.38% and 207.5 ppm, respectively), and (0.36% and 197.13 ppm, respectively) in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season were obtained under the highest rate of P and S (30 kg  $P_2O_5$  + 100 kg S fed.<sup>1</sup>) and irrigation at 40% depletion (D<sub>1</sub>). The highest concentration of Fe in leaves in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons (3108 and 2952.5 ppm, respectively) were resulted without P fertilizer and application of 100 kg S fed.<sup>1</sup> under treatment D<sub>1</sub>. The interaction between depletion 70% and application of 100 kg S fed.<sup>-1</sup> without P achieved resulted in the highest values of leaves Zn content in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons (95.0 and 90.25 ppm, respectively).

#### 4. Soil elemental content:

Table 5 show the values of soil available P and DTPA extractable Zn, Fe and Mn as influenced by different depletion levels and different rates of sulphur and phosphorus applications. It is clear from the obtained data that the availability of P, Fe and Mn were increased with decreasing the depletion of soil moisture while Zn was decreased. This increases in DTPA extractable Fe and Mn were ascribed to the enhanced fall in redox potential (Eh) and pH of the soil with increasing water content, which change Fe<sup>+3</sup> and Mn<sup>+4</sup> to Fe<sup>+2</sup> and Mn<sup>+2</sup> (Olomu *et al.*, 1973; Sims & Patrick, 1978; Linsay, 1979 and Mohammed *et al.*, 1996). Increasing the breakdown of ferric and manganic oxides can provide surfaces of high adsorptive capacity on which Zn and Cu ions may be adsorbed and thus their extractability was decreased (Mackenzie, 1980 and lu *et al.*, 1981).

				st sea	son, 20	00	Second season, 2001					
Treatments	Sulphur	Phosphorus	Ρ,	Zn,	Fe,	Mn,	Р,	Zn,	Fe,	Mn,		
		•	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm		
		Ρ,	6.30	0.77	43.80	6.95	6.05	0.74	41.61	6.67		
	S,	P <sub>2</sub>	19.90	0.64	47.20	8.05	19.10	0.61	44.84	7.73		
		P3	21.10	0.46	53.80	8.25	20.26	0.44	51.11	7.92		
		S <sub>1</sub> mean	15.77	0.62	48.27	7.75	15.14	0.60	45.85	7.44		
		P1	18.90	0.81	44.30	7.26	18.14	0.78	42.09	6.97		
D1	S <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	19.10	0.63	48.70	8.15	18.34	0.60	46.27	7.82		
		P3	22.10	0.50	55.50	8.55	21.22	0.48	52.73	8.21		
		S <sub>3</sub> mean	20.03	0.65	49.50	7.99	19.23	0.62	47.03	7.67		
		P1	18.90	0.83	48.10	7.26	18.14	0.80	45.70	6.97		
	S <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	22.10	0.78	49.30	8.35	21.22	0.75	46.64	8.02		
		P3	26.50	0.55	55.90	8.95	25.44	0.53	53.11	8.59		
		S <sub>3</sub> mean	22.50	0.72	51.10	8.19	21.60	0.69	48.55	7.86		
	D <sub>1</sub> mean		19.43	0.66	49.62	7.97	18.66	0.64	47.14	7.66		
		P1	6.50	0.75	38.20	7.35	6.24	0.72	36.29	7.06		
	S1	P <sub>2</sub>	18.30	0.60	45.90	7.55	17.57	0.58	43.61	7.25		
		P3	22.10	0.52	51.20	7.95	21.22	0.50	48.64	7.63		
		15.63	0.62	45.10	7.62	15 <u>.01</u>	0.60	42.85	7.31			
		P1	11.80	.83	40.60	7.35	11.33	0.80	38.57	7.06		
D <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	20.40	0.68	44.60	7.65	19.58	0.65	42.37	7.34		
		P3	24.50	0.56	53.50	8.15	23.52	0.54	50.83	7.82		
		18.90	0.69	46.23	7.72	18.14	0.66	43.92	7.41			
		P1	11.50	0.93	41.30	7.75	11.04	0.89	39.24	7 44		
	S <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	21.20	0.74	46.50	7.85	20.35	0.71	44.18	7.54		
		P3	26.50	0.55	55.40	8.45	25.44	0.53	52.63	8.11		
		S <sub>3</sub> mean	19.73	0.74	47.73	8.02	18.94	0.71	45.35	7.70		
	D <sub>2</sub> mean		18.09	0.68	46.36	7.78	17.37	0.66	44.04	7.47		
		P1	5.70	0.80	36.50	7.27	5.47	0.77	34.68	6.98		
	S1	P2	12.50	0.60	40.30	7.49	12.00	0.58	38.29	7.19		
		P3	20.30	0.50	49.70	7.76	19.49	0.48	47.22	7.45		
	<u>s</u>	1 mean	12.83	0.63	42.17	7.51	12.32	0.61	40.06	7.21		
		P1	6.40	0.85	38.10	7.33	6.14	0.82	36.20	7.04		
D3	S <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	17.90	0.63	42.20	7.72	17.18	0.60	40.09	7.41		
		P3	21.60	0.55	50.10	8.14	20.74	0.53	47.60	7.81		
1 -	<u>s</u>	3 mean	15.30	0.68	43.47	7.73	14.69	0.65	41.29	7.42		
		P1	7.90	0.92	39.70	7.37	7.58	0.88	37.72	7.08		
	S <sub>2</sub>	P2	18.60	0.75	42.50	7.95	17.86	0.72	40.38	7.63		
-	L	P3	22.80	0.60	34.40	0.08	21.89	0.58	51.68	7.76		
	s	3 mean	16.43	0.76	45.53	7.80	15.78	0.73	43.20	7.49		
D <sub>2</sub> mean				0.69	43.72	7.68	14.26	0.66	41.54	7.37		

Table 5: Soil available P, Zn, Fe and Mn (ppm) as affected by soil moisture depletion, elemental sulphur and phosphorus applications.

On the other hand, increasing the availability of P under the higher soil moisture content generally attributed to the less contact of P with soil colloids due to dilution and solubility action (Vyas and Motiramani, 1971; Tumer and Gilliam, 1976; Sah and Mikelsen, 1986 and Mohammed *et al.*, 1996). Concerning the effect of sulphur, data indicated that application of sulphur had a marked effect in increasing the availability of P and DTPA extractable Zn, Fe and Mn in the two studied seasons. The combination between S<sub>3</sub> (100 kg S fed.<sup>-1</sup>) and (D<sub>1</sub> irrigation at 40% depletion of available water) recorded the highest mean values of P, Fe and Mn, in the first season (22.50, 51.10 and 8.19 ppm). The same trend was observed in the second season and the corresponding mean values were 21.6, 48.55 and 7.86 ppm,

respectively. These results are supported by Hilal *et al.* (1990b), Abd El-Fattah *et al.* (1990); Nasem *et al.* (1986); El-Raies *et al.* (1997) and El-Fayoumy and Gamal (1998) who found increasing in the availability of P and DTPA extractable micronutrients with sulphur application. Data also showed that increasing the rate of P fertilizer increased the available P and DTPA extractable Fe and Mn while Zn was decreased. The antagonism between Zn and extractable P, Fe and Mn was observed by Cakmak and Marschner (1986); Fawzi (1981); Abou Zied *et al.* (1997) and Hassan *et al.* (1997). The combination between (S<sub>3</sub>), (P<sub>3</sub>) and (D<sub>1</sub>) recorded the highest concentrations of P, Fe and Mn, (26.50, 55.9 and 8.95 ppm, respectively) in the first season. The corresponding values in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season were 25.44, 53.11 and 8.59 ppm, respectively. The highest concentrations of Zn in both seasons (0.92 and 0.88 ppm, respectively) were recorded with adding 100 kg S fed.<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>3</sub>) without P fertilizer and irrigation at 70% (D<sub>3</sub>) depletion of available soil moisture.

## REFERENCES

- Abd El-Fattah, A.; M.A. Hilal; K.M. El-Habasha and M.D. Bakry (1990). Amendment of alkaline clay soil by elemental sulphur and its effect on the response of garlic to phosphorus and nitrogen. Middle East Sulphur Symposium, pp. 295-313.
- Abou Zied, M.M.A.; Faiza, S.A. Salama and Laila, M.H. El-Sisy (1997). Effect of phosphatre fertilization on heavy metals content in soil and their uptake by corn plants. Fayourn J. Agric. Res. and Dev., 11(2): 126-132.
- Ballatore, G.P.; S. Del-Puglia and V. Lombardo (1974). Crop stages and irrigation of cotton. Rivista di Agricoltura Subtropical (Italy) 1.68 (7-12): 219-232 (C.F. Abst. on Trop. Agric., 1975, 1(9): 7504258.
- Bharambe, P.R. and S.B. Varade (1982). Effect of leaf water potential on yield and nutrient uptake of Cotton. J. Indian. Soc. Soil Sci., 30(2): 160-165.
- Cakmak, I. and H. Marschner (1986). Mechanism of phosphorus induced zinc deficiency in cotton. 1. Zinc deficiency-enhanced uptake rate of phosphorus. Physioslogia-Plantarum, 68(3): 483-490.
- Chatterjee, C.; B.K. Dube; Joyti-Gupta and J. Gupta (2000). Influence of variable sulphur application on cotton. Indian J. of Plant Physio., 5(1): 64-67.
- Doorenbos, J. and W.O. Pruitt (1979). Yield response to water, FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper, 33, Rome.
- Dubey, B.K.; Tyoti-Gupta; C. Chatterjee and J. Gupta (2000). Sulphur response in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). Indian J. of Agric. Sci., 70(4): 253-254.
- Ebada, R.H.A. (1998). Effect of first post sowing irrigation and terminal irrigation timing on some water relations and predicted yield of cotton on north Delta. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Tanta Univ.
- Eid, H.M. and A.A. Hosny (1995a). Simulation of seed cotton yield in response to first post sowing irrigation interval. Soil & Water Res. Inst. 2<sup>nd</sup> ARC Conf. Field Irrigation and Agroclimatology. pp. 388-399.

#### J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 28 (2), February, 2003

- Eid, H.M. and A.A. Hosny (1995b). Prediction of optimum water requirement for cotton in different regions of Egypt. Soils and Water Res. Inst. 2nd. ARC Conf. Field Irrigation and Agroclimatology. pp. 483-491.
- El-Fayoumy, M.E. and A.M. El-Gamal (1998). Effect of sulphur application rates on nutrients availability, uptake and potato quality and yield in calcareous soil. Egypt. J. Soil Sci., 38(1-4): 271-286.
- El-Naggar, I.M.; A.A. Wahdan; A.M. Hanna and A.Y. El-Taweel (1999). Water and nitrogen balance for different soils as affected by water regime and nitrogen application for cotton crop. Soil, Water and Environment Res. Institute. 3<sup>rd</sup> Conf. on Farm Irrigation and Agroclimatology. Jan. 25-27, 1999. Paper No. 35.
- El-Raies, S.A.; E.N. Gendy and R.A. Derar (1997). Responded lentil plant to phosphorus and sulphur applications in alluvial soils. Egypt. J. Soil Sci., 37(1): 111-124.
- Fawzi, I.F. (1981). Studies on the effect of phosphorus fertilization on the manganese and copper nutrition of some plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ.
- Gill, K.H.; M. Akram; M.N. Malik and M.I. Makhdum (1985). Response of cotton to phosphorus in sandy loam soils of Punjab. Pakistan-Cottons, 29(2): 89-92.
- Hamid, A. and G. Sarwar (1983). Effect of split application of P on P uptake in cotton from P<sub>32</sub> labelled superphosphate. Pakistanian J. of Scientific and Industrial Res., 264(1): 23-26.
- Hassan, F.A.; M.S.A. Dahdoh and B.I.M. Moussa (1997). Interaction effects among some nutrients and their impact on yield and elemental composition of broad bean. Egypt. J. Soil Sci., 37(2): 205-216.
- Hilal, M.H.; A.M. Selim and A.S. El-Neklawy (1990). Enhancing and retarding effects of combined sulphur and fertilizers applications on crop production in different soils. Middle East Sulphur Symposium, Cairo, pp. 281-289.
- Husman, S.; K. Johnson; R. Wegener; F. Metzler; P. Dugger and D. Richter (1998). Upland cotton lint yield response to varied soil moisture depletion levels. Proceedings Beltwide Cotton Conferences, San Diego, California, USA, 5-9 Jan. 1998. Volume, 1: 639-641.
- Israelsen, O.W.; V.E. Hansen and G.E. Stringham (1979). Irrigation principles and practices. 4<sup>th</sup> ed, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Iu, K.L.; I.D. Pulford and H.J. Duncan (1981). Influence of water logging and lime or organic matter additions on the distribution of trace metals in an acid soil. II. Zinc and copper. Plant and Soil., 59: 327-333.
- Khater, A.N. and H.M. Eid (1997). Cotton productivity as affected by irrigation regime using siphons in middle Delta. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 12(5): 129-141.
- Klute, A. (1986). Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 1: Physical and Mineralogical methods (2nd ed.). Am. Soc. of Agron. Inc. Soil Sci. of Agron. Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Lindsay, W.L. (1979). Chemical Equilibria in Soils. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.

Omar, E.H.

- Lindsay, W.L. and W.A. Norvell (1978). Development of a DTPA test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Sci. Am. J., 42: 421-428.
- Mackenzie, R.M. (1980). The adsorption of lead and other heavy metals on oxides of manganese and iron. Aust. J. Soil Res., 18: 61-73.
- Mahmoud, M.H.; I.M. Abdel-Aziz and M.A. Ashoub (1985). Effect of P and Zn on growth, mineral content and yield of Egyptian cotton. Annals of Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., 30(2): 993-1010.
- Mahrous, F.N. (1977). Effect of irrigation standards under some cultural practices on cotton-growth and yield. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Tanta Univ. Egypt.
- Mahrous, F.N.; A.Y. Badawi; S.A. Abd El-Hafez; H.W. Tawadros and A. Serry (1984). Effect of soil moisture on cotton. EMCIP, report Proceedings, 2 (84):
- Mohamed, K.A.; A.A. Rayan and H.M. Eid (1995). Response of seed cotton yield and water consumptive use to different irrigation regimes. Proc. of the Second Conf. On-Farm Irrig. and Agroclimatology. 290-299.
- Mohammed, S.S.; M.G. Rehan; A.I. Abd-Allah and E.E. Abo-Hussien (1996). Dynamics of extractable phosphorus and micronutrients in organicallyamended soil under varying levels of moisture content and sodium salts. Zagazig. J. Agric. Res., 23(5): 877-898.
- Mostafa, M.A.; A.M. El-Gala; M.M. Wassif and S.A. El-Maghraby (1990). Distribution of some micronutrients through a calcareous soil columns under sulphur and saline water application. Middle East Sulphur Symposium, Cairo, pp., 263-276.
- Murphy, J. and J.P. Riley (1962). A modified single solution method for determination of phosphate in natural waters. Anal. Chem. Acta., 27: 31-36.
- Nasseem, M.G.; H.A. Zaid and N.H. Baghdady (1986). Nickel and sulfur interaction effects on yield and chemical composition of two cotton cultivars under alkaline soil conditions. Schriftenreihe-Verband -Deutscher - Landwirtschaftlicher - Unter. Suchungs - und -Forschungsanstalten, Reihe - Rongressberichte., 16: 249-255.
- Olomu, O.M.; G.J. Racz and C.M. Cho (1973). Effect of flooding on the Eh, pH and concentration of Fe and Mn in several Manitoba soils. Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc., 37: 220-224.
- Page, A.L. (1982). Methods of soil analysis. Part 2: Chemical and microbial properties (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Am. Soc. of Agron. Inc. Soil Sci. Soc. of Am. Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- Sah, R.N. and D.S. Mikkelsen (1986). Effects of anaerobic decomposition of organic matter on sorption and transformation of P in drained soils. 1. Effects on P sorption. Soil Sci., 142: 267-274.
- Sawan, Z.M.; M.H. Mahmoud and O.A. Momtaz (1997). Effect of phosphorus fertilization and foliar application of chelated zinc and calcium on quantitative and qualitative properties of Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L. var. Giza 75). J. of Agric. and Food Chemistry, 45(8): 3326-3330.

- Shinde, D.B.; A.C. Jadhav and N.B. Pawar (2001). A study on effects of sulphur oxidizing microorganisms on the growth of cotton. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. Pub. 1, 2001, 25(3): 263-266.
- Snrivastava, M.K.; K.C. Jain; J. Sudhanshu and S. Jains (1999). Studies on effect of phosphorus application in cotton (*G. hirsutum*). Advances in Plant Sciences, 12(1): 279-281.
- Simishi, D. and A. Marani (1971). Effect of soil moisture stress on two varieties of upland cotton. Norther Negev Regiond., Exp. Agric. 7(3): 225-239.
- Sims, L. and W.H. Patric (1978). The distribution of micronutrient cations in soil under conditions of varying redox potential and pH. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 42: 258-262.
- Singh, J. and M.S. Karion (2001). Yield and nutriant contents of cotton and sunflower as influenced by applied sulphur in irrigated inceptisol. Indian J. of Agric. Sci. 71(1): 35-37.
- Skogerboe, O.V.; R.S. Bennet and W.R. Walker (1973). Selection and installation of cutthroat flume for measuring irrigation and drainage water. Pub. Colorado State University, Expt. Stn. 74 p.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1974). Statistical Methods, 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Iowa State University. pp. 593.
- Tumer, F.T. and J.W. Gilliam (1976). Increased P diffusion as an explanation of increased P availability in flooded rice soils. Plant & Soil 45: 365-377.
- Vyas, M.K. and D.P. Motiramani (1971). Effect of organic matter, silicates and moisture levels on availability of phosphate. J. Ind. Soc. Soil Sci., 19: 39-43.

## استجابة محصول القطن إلى اضافات الكبريت العنصرى والفوسفول تحت المللويات مختلفة من استنفاذ الرطوبة الأرضية بشمال الدلتا السعيد حماد عمر معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبينة --- الجيزه --- مصر

أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان خلال موسمين متتابعين ٢٠٠٠ ، ٢٠٠١م بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا لبحث استجابة محصول القطن إلى إضافات الكبريت العنصرى والفوسفور تحت مستويات مختلفة من استنفاذ الرطوبة الأرضية بشمال الدلتا. صممت التجربة فى قطع منشقة مرتين خــــلل موسـمى الدر اسـة. كــانت مستويات استنفلا الرطوبة الأرضية هى ٤٠ % (D) ٥٥% (D) ، ٧% (D) من الماء الميسر بالتربه وقد تسم توزيعها فى القطع الرئيسية. معدلات إضافة الكبريت العنصـــرى هــى صفـر (c) ، ٥٠ (s) كجم/فدان وتم توزيعها فى القطع المنشقة الأولى. معدلات إضافة الثارية. ، ٣٠ (c) كجم فو ٢أه/فدان وقد وزعت فى القطع المنشقة الثانية.

ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها كما يلى:

- رى نباتات القطن عند استنفاذ ٥٥% من الرطوبة الميسرة بالتربة (٢٦) أدى إلى اعلى إنتاج لمحصـــول القطن الزهر (١٠,٩٢ ، ١٠,٥١ قنطار/فدان) فى الموسم الأول والثانى على التوالى. أيضا فإن الــرى عند استنفاذ ٥٥% من الرطوبة الميسرة قد أعطى أعلى القيم لطول النباتات ، عدد الأفرع الثمرية/نبلت ، عدد اللوز/نبات ووزن اللوزه.
- رى القطن عند مستوى منخفض من استنفاذ الرى أدى إلى قيم عاليــــة للمـــاء المضـــاف (٣٦٦٦,٣ و ٣٤٥١,٧ م<sup>7</sup>/فدان) ، الاستهلاك المائى (٢٩٩٣,٨ و ٢٧٦٠٢ م٣/فدان فى الموسم الاول والثانى علـــى التوالى) ، ولكنه أدى إلى قيم اقل بالنسبة لكفاءة التطبيق وكفاءة استخدام مياه الرى.
- رى القطن عند مستوى منخفض من استنفاذ الرطوبة الميسرة بالتربه قد أدى زيادة يمسر Mn, Fe, Zn, P
  بالتربه وكذلك تركيز اتها بأوراق القطن بينما عنصر Zn قد أخذ الاتجاه العكسى.
- إضافة الكبريت العنصرى للتربه أدى إلى زيادة معنوية فى محصول القطن الزهر ، مكونات المحصول ، الكفاءة التطبيقية ، الكفاءة الاستخدامية لمياه الرى ، يسر R ، R ، Fe ، Zn ، P بالتربه وكذلك تركيز اتــــها بأوراق القطن.
- إضافة السماد الفوسفاتي للتربه أدى إلى زيادة معنوية في محصول القطن الزهر ، مكونات المحصول ، يسر Mn, Fe, P وكذلك تركيز Mn, P بأوراق القطن.
- إضافة ١٠٠كجم كبريت + ٣٠كجم فو γأه/فدان مع الرى عند استنفاذ ٥٥% مــن الرطوبــة الميسـرة بالتربه قد أدى إلى الحصول على أعلى محصول للقطن الز هر خلال موسمى الدراسة.
- وجدت علاقة تضاد بين الفوسفور والزنك والحديد في أوراق القطن وعلاقة تشـــجيع بيــن الفوســفور والمنجنيز.