

## EFFECT OF DIETARY *LACTOBACILLUS* SUPPLEMENTATION ON PERFORMANCE OF LAYING HENS UNDER EGYPTIAN SUMMER CONDITIONS

El-Medany, N. M.

Poultry Production Dept., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo.

### ABSTRACT

A 8-wk study was conducted to evaluate the effects of supplementing laying hen diets with commercially produced *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (Micro-Bac LA) on productive performance, egg quality and some blood constituents under Egyptian summer conditions. One hundred and thirty five Hy-Line® Brown pullets (48wk of age) were randomly allocated to one of five levels of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (LA) supplementation (0, 100, 200, 300 and 400 mg/Kg diet). The mean cell count in the *Lactobacillus* preparation was  $8 \times 10^8$  cfu /g. The group fed a basal diet without LA supplementation was considered as control group. Egg number, egg mass, feed intake and feed conversion ratio were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) improved by about 7.3, 9.3, 10.9 and 11.0%; 9.9, 10.2, 11.9 and 13.2%; 3.6, 3.3, 3.6 and 2.2% and 5.5, 6.3, 7.7 and 9.7% in groups fed diets supplemented with 100, 200, 300, and 400 mg LA/ Kg diet, respectively, compared to control group. Egg weight of hens fed supplemented diets was increased during the experimental period (48 to 56 wks of age) however, the significant effect was observed only in groups fed 100 and 400 mg LA/Kg diet. *Lactobacillus* supplementation significantly increased albumen quality, shell thickness and breaking strength at 52 and 56 wks of age. On the other hand, there were no significant differences among treated groups for albumin, yolk and shell percentages. Albumen height was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased at 52 wks of age by LA supplementation.

Plasma Ca, total protein and globulin were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased in groups fed treated diets compared with control group. *Lactobacillus* supplementation significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) decreased plasma phosphorus and A/G ratio. In contrast, plasma albumin was not significantly affected by feeding LA-supplemented diets. Both plasma and yolk cholesterol were significantly decreased with LA supplementation. Plasma cholesterol was reduced by about 9.9, 14.5, 17.8 and 21.7% and 18.3, 17.4, 24.4 and 29.9% and yolk cholesterol by about 16.5, 37.6, 40.9 and 43.2% and 26.2, 40.4, 36.9 and 41.5% for groups fed 100, 200, 300, and 400 mg LA/ Kg diet at 52 and 56 wk of age, respectively.

In conclusion, these results suggested that *Lactobacillus* supplementation to laying hen diets had a beneficial effect on productive performance, egg quality under Egyptian summer conditions. In addition, *Lactobacillus* supplementation reduced yolk cholesterol and consequently, reducing the dietary intake of cholesterol by humans.

**Keywords:** *Lactobacillus*, ambient temperature, productive performance, egg quality, blood constituents, laying hen.

### INTRODUCTION

Poultry are exposed to various factors of stress. Under Egyptian conditions, high ambient temperature is the major stress factor during summer season. High ambient temperatures have deleterious effects on growth and production of poultry. In laying hens, heat stress depresses egg production (Marsden *et al.*, 1987), egg weight (Peguri and Coon, 1991) and

shell quality (Balnave and Muheereza, 1997). This negative effect of heat stress is primarily due to reduced feed intake (Savory, 1986). Moreover, stress factors tend to create an imbalance in the intestinal microflora and lowering of body defense mechanisms (Jin *et al.*, 1997). Under this condition, antimicrobial feed additives such as antibiotics are often used to inhibit or eliminate harmful organisms in the intestine, and to improve growth and feed efficiency. Recently, there is international interest to use safety feed additives as substitute for antibiotics where, the continuous using of antibiotics in poultry feeds may result in the presence of antibiotic residues in poultry products and the development of drug-resistant bacteria in humans.

Probiotics have been introducing as an alternative to antibiotics. The term probiotic was intended to describe any microbial feed additive containing living *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (Parker, 1974). Now there are many types of probiotic preparations in the market. The modes of action of probiotics in poultry include: (1) maintaining a beneficial microbial population in the alimentary tract (Fuller, 1989); (2) improving feed intake and digestion (Nahashon *et al.*, 1993), and (3) altering bacterial metabolism (Cole *et al.*, 1987, Jin *et al.*, 1997).

Several studies have shown that the addition of probiotics to the diets of broilers and layers leads to improved performance (Jernigan *et al.*, 1985; Barrow, 1992; Jin *et al.*, 1997; Kalavathy *et al.*, 2003). Recently, considerable attention has also been paid to the potential of probiotics in altering lipid metabolism. This interest stems from the growing evidence of probiotics in reducing cholesterol concentrations in yolk (Mohan *et al.*, 1995; Abdulrahim *et al.*, 1996; Haddadin *et al.*, 1996) and serum in chicken (Mohan *et al.*, 1996; Jin *et al.*, 1998; Kalavathy *et al.*, 2003).

The objective of the present study was to investigate the effect of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* supplementation to laying hen diets on productive performance, egg quality and some blood constituents under Egyptian summer conditions.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present study was carried out at the Poultry Breeding Farm, Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University. This experiment was run during summer season. The averages ambient temperatures (Min. & Max.) recorded during the experiment period were 22.6 to 33.8 °C, respectively.

One hundred and thirty five 48 wks old of Hy-Line® Brown hens were maintained in layer batteries with three decks of five cages each until 56 wks of age. Birds were individually weighed and distributed randomly into five experimental groups of 27 hens with nine replicates (cages) of three hens each. A commercial product of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (Micro-Bac LA®) produce by Pro-Byn, USA, was used in this experiment. The mean cell count of *L.acidophilus* in this product was  $8 \times 10^8$  cfu /g. The first group was fed a basal diet containing 18% CP, 2775 Kcal ME/Kg without *L.acidophilus* supplementation (Table 1) and considered as a control group.

**Table 1: Percentage composition of the basal diet**

<b>Ingredients</b>	<b>%</b>
Ground yellow corn	61.80
Soybean meal	19.30
Corn gluten meal	2.90
Decorticated cottonseed meal	2.00
Corn gluten feed	4.00
Bone meal	1.80
Limestone	7.42
Salt	0.32
Vitamin and mineral premix*	0.40
DL-Methionine	0.04
L-Lysine	0.02
Total	100
<b>Calculated Composition (% except energy)</b>	
ME, Kcal /Kg	2775
Crude protein	8.00
Crude fat	2.90
Crude fiber	2.80
Calcium	3.75
Available phosphorus	0.40

\*Each 2.5kg of vitamins and minerals premix contain: Vit. A, 12 mIU; vit. D<sub>3</sub>, 4 mIU.; vit. E, 15 g; vit. K, 2 g; vit. B<sub>1</sub>, 1 g; vit. B<sub>2</sub>, 8 g; vit. B<sub>6</sub>, 2 g; vit. B<sub>12</sub>, 10 mg; nicotinic acid, 30 g; Pantothenic acid, 10 g; Folic acid, 1 g; Biotin, 150 mg; Choline chloride, 40 mg; Copper, 5 g; Iron, 15 g; Manganese, 70 g; Zinc, 60 g and Selenium, 0.15 g .

*L. acidophilus* (LA) was mixed uniformly with control diet at levels of 100, 200, 300 and 400 mg/Kg diet for the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> groups, respectively. Birds were subjected to 16L: 8D regime with free access to feed and water.

Body weight and feed intake was recorded every 28 days and the average feed intake per hen was then calculated. The Produced eggs per replicate cage were daily weighed and average egg mass per hen was then calculated at 52 and 56 wks of age. Feed conversion was calculated (g of feed intake/g of egg mass).

At 52 and 56 wks of age, two eggs from each replicate cage (18 from each treatment) were taken to determine the different measurements of both interior and exterior egg quality. The interior egg quality measurements were albumin and yolk percentages, albumin height (by using micrometer) and Haugh units{by the equation :Hu = 100 log(H+7.57-1.7W<sup>0.37</sup>)} while the exterior measurements were shell percentage, thickness(by using an electric caliper) and breaking strength (by using an apparatus of Fathi and El-Sahar, 1996).

Blood samples were withdrawn from the brachial vein of nine hens per group at 52 and 56 weeks of age by using heparinized syringe. The blood samples were immediately centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes to separate plasma. Plasma samples were frozen at -20°C until assayed for cholesterol, total protein, albumin, Ca and P by a colorimetric method using

commercial kits of BioMérieux Sa (6928 Marcy- $\frac{1}{4}$  Etoile, France) and Biocan (Germany). Plasma globulin was calculated by the difference between total protein and albumin. Albumin / Globulin ratio (A/ G ratio) was calculated.

To measure the cholesterol in egg yolk, nine eggs per group at 52 and 56 wks of age were boiled and yolk was then separated and stored at -20°C until assayed for cholesterol content. Cholesterol was extracted by mixing the 1g of yolk sample with a mixture of chloroform and methanol and filtration the chloroform layer according to the modified method of Washburn and Nix (1974). The filtrate was then analyzed for cholesterol by using a commercial kit of BioMérieux Sa (6928 Marcy- $\frac{1}{4}$  Etoile, France).

Data were statistically analyzed by using the linear Models (GLM) procedures of SAS (SAS® Institute, 2000). Significant differences among treatment means were determined by Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955) with a 5% level of probability.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Productive performance:**

The effects of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (LA) supplementation on productive performance of laying hens are presented in Table (2). The results showed that *Lactobacillus* supplementation slightly increased body weight of laying hens compared to control fed group. This difference was not statistically significant. The result obtained by Nahashon *et al.* (1994) supported our findings who found that the *Lactobacillus* supplemented diet improved body weight of layers. On the other hand, it could be observed that the LA supplementation significantly increased egg number by about 7.3, 9.3, 10.9 and 11.0% for 100, 200, 300 and 400 mg /Kg diet, respectively compared to control-fed group. This result was in agreement with Haddadin *et al.* (1996). They reported that the dietary *Lactobacillus* supplementation significantly improved egg production ratio. With respect to egg mass, it could be noticed that the addition of *Lactobacillus* at 100, 200, 300 and 400 mg Lac/kg diet significantly increased egg mass of laying hens by about 259, 268, 314 and 346 g, respectively compared to control-fed group. Similar trend was observed for egg weight. Moreover, within laying hens fed diet added *Lactobacillus*, the level of 100 and 400 mg LA/kg diet significantly produced heavier egg weight compared to 200 and 300 mg LA/kg diet. The last observation could be attributed to the heavier body weight for the former groups. During the laying phase (20-59 weeks of age), Nahashon *et al.* (1996) concluded that layer fed diet containing *Lactobacillus* produced significantly larger eggs than those fed a similar diet without *Lactobacillus*.

The intestinal bacterial flora of domestic animals has an important role in the digestion and absorption of feed ingested by the host and it takes part in the metabolism of dietary nutrients such as carbohydrates, protein, lipids and minerals and in the synthesis of vitamins (Jin *et al.*, 1997). Results show that laying hens fed diet containing 100, 200, 300 and 400 mg LA/kg diet significantly consumed more feed by about 225, 204, 223 and 135 g, respectively compared to control-fed group. This result indicted that, *lactobacillus* supplemented diets increased feed consumption (Nahashon *et al.*, 1996 and Jin *et al.*, 1997). Concerning feed conversion ratio, the dietary

*Lactobacillus* supplementation had a favorable effect on feed conversion ratio during whole experimental period. Miles *et al.* (1981); Sellars (1991) and Haddadin *et al.* (1996) stated that presence of high numbers of *Lactobacilli* may increase motility of gut contents, and improve nutrient availability or absorption. In addition, improving feed conversion ratio associated with laying hens fed *Lactobacillus* supplemented diet could be attributed to the *Lactobacillus* stimulated appetite and increased fat, nitrogen, calcium, phosphorus, copper and manganese retention in layers as reported by Nahashon *et al.* (1994 & 1996). In addition, Fuller (1977) and Jin *et al.* (1997) reported that continuous feeding of probiotics to animals has been found to maintain the beneficial intestinal microflora in two ways: by competitive exclusion and by antagonistic activity towards pathogenic bacteria. In contrast, Goodling *et al.* (1987) reported that there were no increase in hen-day, egg production, feed efficiency and egg size in the case of layers fed *Lactobacillus* products during 48-week experimental period. The reasons for the ineffectiveness of *lactobacillus* could be attributed to the non-host-specific *Lactobacillus* used and the fact that the birds were being kept under relatively ideal conditions. Another reason may be due to the amount of *lactobacillus* used was too low to be effective.

**Table (2): Body weight, egg number, egg mass, egg weight, feed intake, and feed conversion ratio of laying hens fed different levels of *Lactobacillus* from 48-56 wks of age ( Means  $\pm$  SE).**

Age (wk)	<i>Lactobacillus</i> levels				
	0	100	200	300	400
<b>Body weight, g</b>					
48	1779 $\pm$ 24.4	1789 $\pm$ 27.9	1785 $\pm$ 26.7	1788 $\pm$ 25.1	1785 $\pm$ 28.6
52	1814 $\pm$ 35.2	1855 $\pm$ 31.4	1818 $\pm$ 29.8	1822 $\pm$ 26.3	1846 $\pm$ 33.7
56	1825 $\pm$ 66.8	1888 $\pm$ 67.1	1834 $\pm$ 53.2	1842 $\pm$ 59.4	1883 $\pm$ 55.1
<b>Egg number/ hen</b>					
48-52	21.41 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.85	23.90 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.63	24.00 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.80	24.60 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.66	23.99 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.57
52-56	21.52 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.96	22.15 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.78	22.91 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.68	23.00 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.51	23.66 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.73
48-56	42.93 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.68	46.05 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.55	46.91 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.84	47.60 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.75	47.65 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.71
<b>Egg mass, g /hen</b>					
48-52	1309 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 27.1	1501 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 40.1	1483 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 28.7	1530 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 38.7	1500 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 20.7
52-56	1317 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 42.7	1384 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 21.9	1411 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 39.4	1410 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 17.9	1472 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 24.1
48-56	2626 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 27.4	2885 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 33.4	2894 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 47.2	2940 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 28.5	2972 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 39.4
<b>Egg weight, g</b>					
48-52	61.14 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.18	62.76 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.34	61.80 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.28	62.18 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.25	62.50 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.19
52-56	61.21 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.29	62.47 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.16	61.56 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.37	61.30 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.34	62.21 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.28
48-56	61.17 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.23	62.62 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.27	61.68 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.21	61.74 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.17	62.46 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.24
<b>Feed intake, g /hen</b>					
48-52	3142 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 37.2	3347 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 34.1	3277 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 55.4	3323 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 34.1	3224 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 39.2
52-56	3096 $\pm$ 34.1	3114 $\pm$ 43.5	3164 $\pm$ 42.2	3146 $\pm$ 53.9	3148 $\pm$ 31.4
48-56	6237 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 42.8	6462 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 55.6	6441 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 35.1	6460 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 41.6	6372 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 42.7
<b>Feed conversion ratio, g feed / g egg</b>					
48-52	2.40 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.08	2.23 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.05	2.21 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.03	2.17 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.02	2.15 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.04
52-56	2.35 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.06	2.25 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.02	2.24 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	2.23 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	2.14 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.06
48-56	2.37 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.05	2.24 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	2.22 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.06	2.20 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.01	2.14 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.03

<sup>a-c</sup> Means within the same row with different letters are significantly differed

It could be concluded that the dietary *Lactobacillus* supplementation at all levels improved egg number, egg weight and feed conversion ratio of laying hens from 52 to 56 weeks of age.

### Egg quality measurements

Effects of dietary *Lactobacillus* supplementation on egg quality measurements are summarized in Table (3).

**Table (3): Egg quality measurements of laying hens fed different levels of *Lactobacillus* from 48-56 wks of age ( Means  $\pm$  SE).**

Age (wk)	<i>Lactobacillus</i> levels				
	0	100	200	300	400
<b>Albumen %</b>					
52	60.91 $\pm$ 2.21	60.71 $\pm$ 1.76	60.84 $\pm$ 1.86	60.63 $\pm$ 2.06	60.80 $\pm$ 1.70
56	60.73 $\pm$ 1.15	61.10 $\pm$ 0.78	60.73 $\pm$ 1.64	61.01 $\pm$ 1.78	61.26 $\pm$ 1.37
<b>Yolk %</b>					
52	29.4 $\pm$ 0.73	29.7 $\pm$ 0.89	29.5 $\pm$ 0.65	29.6 $\pm$ 0.85	29.4 $\pm$ 0.64
56	29.6 $\pm$ 0.82	29.5 $\pm$ 1.05	29.6 $\pm$ 0.87	29.5 $\pm$ 0.74	29.2 $\pm$ 0.89
<b>Albumen height, mm</b>					
52	11.10 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.21	12.51 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.26	11.82 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.38	12.05 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.76	11.46 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.27
56	11.05 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.15	12.14 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.18	11.16 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.28	11.81 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.68	11.16 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.33
<b>Haugh units</b>					
52	78.40 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 2.62	81.86 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 2.70	80.92 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 3.82	81.57 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 2.96	81.63 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 2.25
56	77.31 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 2.73	80.45 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 2.19	80.27 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 2.04	78.10 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 2.56	78.23 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 2.73
<b>Shell, %</b>					
52	9.56 $\pm$ 0.74	9.75 $\pm$ 1.13	9.78 $\pm$ 0.86	9.82 $\pm$ 0.94	9.74 $\pm$ 1.15
56	9.67 $\pm$ 1.15	9.36 $\pm$ 0.76	9.54 $\pm$ 1.59	9.43 $\pm$ 1.01	9.40 $\pm$ 0.83
<b>Shell thickness, <math>\mu</math>m</b>					
52	340 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 12.7	388 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 10.5	376 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 11.7	386 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 14.2	367 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 12.8
56	323 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 15.2	351 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 13.2	335 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 14.1	345 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 13.9	352 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 13.4
<b>Shell breaking strength, kg/cm<sup>2</sup></b>					
52	3.85 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.42	4.21 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.31	4.15 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.54	4.30 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.31	4.26 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.32
56	3.67 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.32	4.08 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.25	4.00 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.22	4.17 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.39	4.06 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.34

<sup>a-c</sup> Means within the same row with different letters are significantly differed ( $P \leq 0.05$ )

### Internal egg quality

There was no significant difference among treated group for albumen % recorded at 52 weeks of age. However, at 56 weeks of age, the albumen percentage was slightly increased when layers fed diet added 100, 300 and 400 mg LA/kg diet. The albumen percentage was equal in the groups fed 0 and 200 mg LA/kg diet. With respect to yolk percentage, it could be observed that the yolk percentage was not significantly affected by dietary *Lactobacillus* supplementation. In terms of albumen height, the results show that the dietary *Lactobacillus* supplementation significantly increased albumen height compared to control-fed group.

At 52 weeks of age, it could be noticed that the dietary *Lactobacillus* supplementation significantly increased Haugh units compared to control-fed group. On the other hand, at 56 weeks of age, the 100 and 200 mg LA/kg diet fed groups had significantly higher Haugh units compared to control-fed group. Similar trend was observed for 300 and 400 mg LA/kg diet, but the difference were not statistically significant.

In conclusion, the *Lactobacillus* supplementation improved albumen quality. The result was in agreement with the findings of Tortuero and Fernandez (1995) who reported that *Lactobacillus* supplementation significantly improved albumen quality.

#### **Egg shell quality**

There was no significant difference among treated groups for shell percentage. Opposite trend was observed for shell thickness, where the dietary *lactobacillus* supplementation at all levels significantly increased shell thickness compared to control-fed group. With respect to breaking strength, it could be observed that the breaking strength of *Lactobacillus* fed-groups was significantly higher than control-fed group.

It could be concluded that the dietary *lactobacillus* supplementation significantly improved the eggshell quality. The last observation could be attributed to the *lactobacillus* supplementation improved the calcium retention, especially under unfavorable conditions e.g. high ambient temperatures (Nahashon *et al.*, 1994 & 1996). In addition, Mohan *et al.* (1995) reported that the probiotic supplementation improved shell thickness with fewer thin-shelled eggs. Robinson (1977) suggested that lactic acid secreted by lactobacilli might encourage better absorption of calcium and phosphorus from the digestive tract. Therefore, the eggshell quality improved. Likewise, Tortuero and Fernandez (1995) found that addition of *Lactobacillus* to the laying diet improved the shell thickness. This observation suggests that the usual decline in eggshell quality towards the end of the laying cycle was prevented by the presence of the ingested lactobacilli.

#### **Blood parameters**

Blood parameters of laying hens at 52 and 56 weeks of age as affected by *Lactobacillus* supplementation are listed in Table (4).

It could be observed that the dietary *lactobacillus* supplementation at all levels significantly increased plasma calcium compared to control-fed group. In contrast, the plasma phosphorus was significantly decreased when laying hens fed diet containing 100, 200, 300 and 400 mg LA/kg diet. Increasing plasma calcium may explain the improving shell quality associated with laying hens fed *lactobacillus* supplemented diet. Heat stress caused a significant reduction in plasma calcium (Kalamah, 2001). The increase in plasma calcium in the result reported herein may be due to the beneficial effect of *Lactobacillus* addition on reducing the deleterious effect of heat stress by increasing the feed intake and consequently the amount of Ca consumed and/ or the increase of calcium retention as reported by Nahashon *et al.* (1994 & 1996).

**Table 4: Some blood constituents and yolk cholesterol of laying hens fed different levels of *Lactobacillus* at 52 and 56 weeks of age. ( Means  $\pm$  SE).**

Age (wk)	<i>Lactobacillus</i> levels				
	0	100	200	300	400
<b>Plasma calcium (mg/dl)</b>					
52	28.31 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.09	29.19 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.65	29.43 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 2.01	28.84 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.95	29.19 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 2.10
56	27.53 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 1.52	28.75 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.98	29.92 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.84	28.53 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 2.41	29.01 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.87
<b>Plasma phosphorus (mg/dl)</b>					
52	5.60 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.25	5.11 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.56	4.90 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.18	4.20 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.37	4.82 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.17
56	5.43 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.54	4.57 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.38	4.72 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.24	3.95 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.21	4.60 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.13
<b>Total plasma protein (g/dl)</b>					
52	6.17 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.54	6.80 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.49	6.72 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.48	6.85 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.57	6.82 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.26
56	6.09 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.48	6.72 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.45	6.83 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.43	6.79 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.66	6.76 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.47
<b>Albumen (g/dl)</b>					
52	2.64 $\pm$ 0.18	2.67 $\pm$ 0.12	2.73 $\pm$ 0.18	2.70 $\pm$ 0.16	2.71 $\pm$ 0.10
56	2.67 $\pm$ 0.12	2.71 $\pm$ 0.17	2.69 $\pm$ 0.13	2.72 $\pm$ 0.10	2.66 $\pm$ 0.13
<b>Globulin (g/dl)</b>					
52	3.53 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.22	4.13 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.21	3.99 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.12	4.15 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.10	4.11 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.12
56	3.42 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 0.17	4.01 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.19	4.14 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.15	4.07 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.19	4.10 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.15
<b>A/G ratio</b>					
52	0.73 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.02	0.64 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.07	0.68 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.09	0.65 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.07	0.66 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.04
56	0.78 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.05	0.68 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.02	0.65 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.08	0.67 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	0.65 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.09
<b>Plasma cholesterol (mg/dl)</b>					
52	138.2 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 9.4	124.5 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 6.2	118.1 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 5.4	113.6 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 8.2	108.2 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 9.9
56	146.7 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 12.9	119.9 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 9.7	121.2 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 12.7	110.9 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 4.5	102.7 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 11.2
<b>Yolk cholesterol (mg/g)</b>					
52	12.86 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.58	10.74 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.35	8.02 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.60	7.60 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.81	7.31 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.45
56	12.71 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.69	9.37 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.62	7.57 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.84	8.01 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.75	7.43 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.69

<sup>a-d</sup> Means within the same row with different letters are significantly differed (P $\leq$ 0.05)

*Lactobacillus* could be important in the development of immune competence in animals, particularly when protection must be acquired against antigens likely to cause gut inflammatory reactions (Perdigon *et al.*, 1990). The previous results indicated that the total plasma protein was significantly increased when laying hens fed diet containing *Lactobacillus* at all levels. Opposite trend was noticed for plasma albumin, where there were no significant differences among treated groups for plasma albumin. In contrast, the *Lactobacillus* supplementation significantly increased plasma globulin compared to control-fed group. Concerning A/G ratio, it could be observed that *Lactobacillus* supplementation decreased the A/G ratio compared to control-fed group. Heat stress caused a significant reduction in plasma total proteins, albumin and globulins (Kalamah, 2001). Pollmann *et al.* (1980) stated that the *Lactobacillus* supplementation lead to elevated levels of total serum protein, globulin rather than albumen and increased white blood cell counts.

The current interest in reducing the dietary intake of cholesterol by humans, then the concept of producing eggs with reduced cholesterol



concentration might prove attractive as an aid to marketing. The previous results showed that the dietary *Lactobacillus* supplementation at all levels significantly decreased both plasma and yolk cholesterol. Plasma cholesterol was reduced by about 9.9, 14.5, 17.8 and 21.7% and 18.3, 17.4, 24.4 and 29.9% and yolk cholesterol by about 16.5, 37.6, 40.9 and 43.16% and 26.2, 40.4, 36.9 and 41.5% for groups fed 100, 200, 300, and 400 mg *Lactobacillus*/Kg diet at 52 and 56 wk of age, respectively. Gilliland *et al.* (1985) hypothesized that some *Lactobacillus* strains are able to incorporate cholesterol into the cellular membrane of the organism, thus, less cholesterol will be absorbed into blood. The hypocholesterolaemic effect may also be due to the ability of lactic acid bacteria to produce bile salt hydrolase, the enzyme responsible for bile salt deconjugation (Klaver and van der Meer, 1993). In addition, Abdulrahim *et al.* (1996) and Haddadin *et al.*, (1996) indicated that the *Lactobacillus acidophilus* reduced the cholesterol concentration in the eggs.

In conclusion, *Lactobacillus* supplementation to laying hen diets had a beneficial effect on productive performance, egg quality under Egyptian summer conditions. In addition, *Lactobacillus* supplementation reduced yolk cholesterol and consequently, reducing the dietary intake of cholesterol by humans.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This work was financially supported by Multiplication of Poultry Flocks Unit, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ. Prof. Dr. A. Zein El-Dein and Prof. Dr. M.M. Fathi, Who responsible for the unit must be thanked. In addition, I am grateful to Dr. A. Galal for his great help and assistance in this study.

## **REFERENCES**

- Abdulrahim, S.M.; M.S.Y. Haddadin; E.A.R. Hashlamoun and R.K. Robinson (1996). The influence of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and bacitracin on layer performance of chickens and cholesterol content of plasma and egg yolk. Br. Poult. Sci. 37: 341-346.
- Balnave, D. and S. K. Muheereza (1997). Improving egg shell quality at high temperatures with dietary sodium bicarbonate. Poult. Sci. 76:588-593.
- Cole, C.B.; R. Fuller and M. J. Newport (1987). The effect of diluted yoghurt on the gut microbiology and growth of piglets. Food Microbiol. 4:83-85.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple ranges and multiple F test. Biometrics, 11:1-42.
- Fathi, M.M. and El-Sahar, E.S. (1996). Determining the strength of eggshell by using an appropriate apparatus and an equation to calculate egg surface depending on its dimensions. Egypt. Poult. Sci. 16: 285-303.
- Fuller, R. (1977). The importance of lactobacilli in maintaining normal microbial balance in the crop. Br. Poult. Sci. 18: 85-94.
- Fuller, R. (1989). Probiotics in man and animals. Journal of Applied Bacteriology 66:365-378.

- Gilliland, S. F.; C. R. Nelson and C. Maxwell (1985). Assimilation of cholesterol by *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 49:377-381.
- Goodling, A. C.; G. J. Cerniglia and J. A. Hebert (1987). Production performance White Leghorn layers fed *Lactobacillus* fermentation products. Poult. Sci. 66: 480-486.
- Haddadin, M.S.Y; S.M. Abdulrahim; E.A.R. Hashlamoun and R.K. Robinson (1996). The effect of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* on the production and chemical composition of hen's eggs. Poult. Sci. 75: 491-494.
- Jernigan, M.A.; R.D. Miles and A.S. Arafa (1985). Probiotics in poultry nutrition – a review. World's Poult. Sci. J. 41: 99-107.
- Jin, L.Z., Y.W. Ho, N. Abdullah and S. Jalaludin (1997). Probiotics in poultry: modes of action. World's Poult. Sci. J. 53: 351-368.
- Jin, L.Z.; Y.W. Ho; N. Abdullah and S. Jalaludin (1998). Growth performance, intestinal microbial populations and serum cholesterol of broilers diets containing *Lactobacillus* culture. Poult. Sci. 77:1259-1265.
- Kalamah, M. A. A. (2001). Some physiological response to heat stress in bronze turkey toms. Egypt. Poult. Sci. 21:833-852.
- Kalavathy, R.; N. Abdullah; S. Jalaludin and Y.W. Ho (2003). Effect of *Lactobacillus* culture on growth performance, abdominal fat deposition, serum lipids and weight of organs of broiler chickens. Br. Poult. Sci. 77:139-144.
- Klaver, F.A. M. and R. van der Meer (1993). The assumed assimilation of cholesterol by *Lactobacilli* and *Bifidobacterium bifidum* is due to their bile salt-deconjugating activity. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59:1120-1124.
- Marsden, A., T.R. Morris, and A.S. Cromarty (1987). Effects of constant environmental temperature on the performance of laying pullets. Br. Poult. Sci. 28: 235- 380.
- Miles, R. D.; A. S. Arafa and R. H. Harms (1981). Effects of a living, non-freeze dried *Lactobacillus acidophilus* culture on performance, egg quality and gut flora of commercial layers. Poult. Sci. 60: 993-1004.
- Mohan, B.; R. Kadirvel; A. Natarajan and M. Bhaskaram (1996). Effect of probiotic supplementation on growth, nitrogen utilization and serum cholesterol in broilers. Br. Poult. Sci. 37: 395-401.
- Mohan, B.; R. Kadirvel; M. Bhaskaram and A. Natarajan (1995). Effect of probiotic supplementation on serum / yolk cholesterol and on egg shell thickness in layers. Br. Poult. Sci. 36: 799-803.
- Nahashon, S.N.; H.S. Nakaue, and L.W. Mirosh (1993). Effect of direct-fed microbials on nutrient retention production parameters of Single Comb White Leghorn pullets. Poultry Science 72(Suppl.2):87.
- Nahashon, S.N.; H.S. Nakaue, and L.W. Mirosh (1994). Production variable and nutrient retention in Single Comb White Leghorn Laying pullets fed diets supplemented with direct-fed microbials. Poult. Sci. 73:1712-1723.
- Nahashon, S.N.; H.S. Nakaue, and L.W. Mirosh (1996). Performance of Single Comb White Leghorn Layers fed a diet with a live microbial during the growth and egg laying phases. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 57: 25-38.

- Parker, R. B. (1974). Probiotics-The other half of the antibiotic story. *Anim. Nutr. Health.* 29: 4-8.
- Peguri, A., and C. Coon (1991). Effect of temperature and dietary energy on layer performance. *Poult. Sci.* 70:126-138.
- Perdigon, G.M.; S. Alvarez; M.E.N. de Macias; M.E. Roux and A.A.P. de Ruiz Holgado (1990). The oral administration of lactic acid bacteria increase the mucosal intestinal immunity in response to enteropathogens. *J. Food Prot.*, 53:404-410.
- Pollmann, D.S.; D.M., Danielson and E.R. Peo (1980). Effects of microbial feed additives on performance of starter and growing- finishing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 51:577-581.
- Robinson, R. K. (1977). Yoghurt and Health. *Br. Nutr. Foundation Bull.* 21:191-194.
- SAS (2001). SAS /STAT® User's Guide: Statistics. Ver. 8.2 SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.
- Savory, J. C. (1986). Influence of ambient temperature on feeding activity parameters and digestive function in domestic fowls. *Physiol. Behav.* 38: 353-357.
- Sellers, R. L. (1991). Acidophilus products. Therapeutic Properties of Fermented Milks. P. K. Robinson, ed. Chapman and Hall, London, UK, pp. 81-116.
- Tortuero, F. and E. Fernandez (1995). Effects of inclusion of microbial culture in barley-based diets fed to laying hens. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 53: 255-265.
- Washburn, K.W. and D.F. Nix (1974). A rapid technique for extraction of yolk cholesterol. *Poult. Sci.*, 53: 11-18.

### تأثير إضافة اللاكتوباسيلس إلى العلائق على أداء الدجاج البياض تحت ظروف الصيف

المصري

نبيل محمد المدني

قسم إنتاج الدواجن - كلية الزراعة - جامعة عين شمس - القاهرة - مصر

أجريت هذه التجربة بغرض دراسة تأثير إضافة اللاكتوباسيلس إلى علائق الدجاج البياض على الأداء الإنتاجي وجودة البيض وبعض مقاييس الدم تحت ظروف الصيف المصري. استخدم في هذه التجربة عدد ١٣٥ دجاجة بياضة من سلالة الهاي لايسن البني عمر ٤٨ أسبوع. تم توزيع الدجاجات على خمسة معاملات تجريبية وهي صفر، ١٠٠، ٢٠٠، ٣٠٠، ٤٠٠ ملجم من مستحضر اللاكتوباسيلس التجاري الذي يحتوي كل جرام منه على ٨٠٠ مليون خلية في المتوسط لكل كجم عليقه. استمرت الفترة التجريبية لمدة ٨ أسابيع (٤٨-٥٦ أسبوع). استخدمت الطيور المغذاة على العليقه القاعدية بدون إضافة اللاكتوباسيلس كمجموعة مقارنة. أدى إضافة اللاكتوباسيلس إلى تحسن معنوي في عدد البيض بمقدار ٧,٣، ٩,٣، ١٠,٩ و ١١% وكتلة البيض بمعدل ٩,٩، ١٠,٢، ١١,٩ و ١٣,٢% و الغذاء المأكل بمعدل ٣,٦، ٣,٣، ٣,٦ و ٢,٢% ومعدل التحويل الغذائي بمقدار ٥,٥، ٦,٢، ٧,٧ و ٩,٧ في المجاميع المغذاة على ١٠٠، ٢٠٠، ٣٠٠ و ٤٠٠ ملجم من مستحضر اللاكتوباسيلس/كجم عليقه على التوالي مقارنة بالدجاجات المغذاة على عليقه كنترول. زاد وزن البيض خلال الفترة التجريبية من ٤٨-٥٦ أسبوع من العمر بإضافة اللاكتوباسيلس عند كل المستويات ولكن الفروق لم تكن معنوية إلا مع المجاميع المغذاة على ١٠٠ و ٤٠٠ ملجم/كجم. أدى إضافة اللاكتوباسيلس إلى حدوث زيادة معنوية في جودة البياض وسمك القشرة بالإضافة إلى قوة الكسر عند عمر ٥٢ و ٥٦ أسبوع. لم يكن هناك فروق معنوية بين المجاميع المختلفة في نسبة كل من البياض و الصفار والقشرة. زاد ارتفاع البياض بصورة معنوية عند عمر ٥٢ أسبوع بإضافة اللاكتوباسيلس. زاد محتوى بلازما الدم من الكالسيوم والبروتين الكلي والجلوبيولين بصورة معنوية مقارنة بمجموعة كنترول. أدى إضافة اللاكتوباسيلس إلى حدوث انخفاض معنوي لكل من فوسفور البلازما ونسبة الألبومين إلى الجلوبيولين. إضافة اللاكتوباسيلس إلى علائق الدجاج البياض أدى إلى حدوث انخفاض معنوي في كل من كوليسترول البلازما بمعدل ٩,٩، ١٤,٥، ١٧,٨ و ٢١,٧% و ١٨,٣، ٢١,٧، ٢٤,٤ و ٢٩,٩% وكوليسترول الصفار بمعدل ٦,٥، ٣٧,٦، ٤٠,٩ و ٤٣,٢% و ٢٦,٢، ٤٠,٤، ٣٦,٩ و ٤١,٥% للمجاميع المغذاة على ١٠٠، ٢٠٠، ٣٠٠ و ٤٠٠ ملجم من مستحضر اللاكتوباسيلس/كجم عليقه عند عمر ٥٢ و ٥٦ أسبوع على التوالي مقارنة بالدجاجات المغذاة على عليقه كنترول.

ونستخلص من هذه التجربة أن استخدام اللاكتوباسيلس في علائق الدجاج البياض أثناء فصل الصيف له تأثير إيجابي معنوي على الأداء الإنتاجي وجودة البيض بالإضافة إلى تأثيره المخفض لكل من كوليسترول البلازما و صفار البيض.