RESPONSE OF SOME MAIZE HYBRIDS TO NITROGEN FERTILIZER SPLITTING UNDER DRIP IRRIGATION SYSTEM IN SANDY SOILS

Mowafy, S.A.E. Agron. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Zagazig University, Egypt

Received 14 / 12 / 2002

Accepted 11/1/2003

ABSTRACT: Two field experiments were carried out during two successive seasons (2001 and 2002) at the Experimental farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University at Khattara, Sharkia Governorate, Egypt, to study the response of five maize hybrids (S.C. 10, S.C. 123, S.C. 124, S.C. 129 and T.W.C. 310) to four N splitting treatments (4 equal doses at planting, 20, 40 and 60 days after planting (T_1) , 3 equal doses missing the 20 DAP N addition (T_2) , 3 equal doses missing the 40 DAP N addition (T_3) and 3 equal doses missing the 60 DAP N addition (T_4))

Significant varietal differences could be detected in almost all growth and yield attributes where S.C. 10 outyielded the tested crosses in plant height, main ear leaf area and its content from chlorophyll, number and weight of grains/ear and hence grain yield/fad. The T.W.C. 310 hybrid had longer and thicker ears with heavier 100-grain weight and higher oil yield/fad. than the other tested hybrids but without superiority in grain yield/fad. No significant differences could be detected in each of number of ears/plant, number of rows/ear, grain protein and oil contents and protein yield/fad in both seasons.

Addition of N in four equal splits improved maize growth and grain yield and all of their attributes, as well as, protein and oil yields/fad. Missing one N addition at either 20 DAP or 40 DAP reduced growth, grain yield and protein and oil yields of maize, where the lowest averages were recorded for missing, in particular, the 20 DAP N addition. No significant differences could be detected in either grain protein content or grain oil content due to varying splitting number or pattern.

INTRODUCTION

Maize is the third most worldwide cultivated crop after wheat and rice (Eagles and Lothrop, 1994). In Egypt, it occupies the second rank after wheat, as far as, the cultivated area. However, the production still does not meet consumption, due to the ever-growing population and as well the recent use of maize grain in mixing with wheat flour. In the last decade, rice became the most competitive

crop to maize during summer. Due to the higher profit obtained growing rice, the maize cultivated area showed gradual decrease from about 1.9 million faddan in 1980 to about 1.65 1999 million faddan (Anonymous, 2000). Therefore, efforts devoted to increase the per unit area productivity, through the use of single, double and three way crosses, failed to fill the gap between production and consumption and hence efforts should concentrate on extension the maize cultivated area outside the Valley where soils are sandy.

Maize could grown be successfully, under sandy soil conditions, if high yielding hybrids are used and proper agronomic practices are adopted. Since these soils are very poor in its soil level from all plant fertility nutrients and in particular nitrogen, this investigation aimed at studying the effect of splitting a previously defined dose of N (120 Kg N/fad) in three or four splits given since the time of planting up to silking in different patterns of addition under a drip irrigation system.

Previous studies showed significant differences in growth and yield attributes among maize hybrids. Single crosses were found to have better growth attributes than double or three way crosses (Ragheb et al., 1993; Abd El-

Haleem, 1994; Basha, 1994; Hassan, 1995; El-sheikh 1998; Mabrouk and Aly, 1998; Zaki et al., 1999 and El-Bana, 2001). As far as, plant height is concerned, Hassan (1995), Atta Allah (1998) and El-Sheikh (1998) found that S.C.10 had taller plants than T.W.C. 310, D.C. 204 and D.C. Taba. The former and T.W.C 310 had also greater ear leaf area and LAI than D.C. 204 or Giza 2 (Atta Allah, 1996 and El-Sheikh, 1998). These better growth attributes were reflected in yield attributes where El-Bana (2000) observed that single cross 10 outyielded the other hybrids (S.C. 122, S.C. 123, S.C. 124, S.C. 129 and S.C. 13) as it had better yield components. In study, El-Bana later (2001) revealed that S.C.10 had better yield attributes especially number of grains/row and ear grain weight compared with T.W.C. 310. Also, Graish et al., (2001) found varietal differences between S.C. Sultan and both of S.C. 124 and S.C. 155 the latters outyielded Sultan by 19.2% and 14.4% for grain yield /fad, in respective order.

Not only the nitrogen rate, but also the time of N application are considered among the important agricultural practices used to increase maize productivity. With respect to time of N application, Gouda (1989) found that splitting N into three equal splits given

before planting and before the first two irrigations increased grain yield of maize and almost all components. However. of its Shalaby et al., (1990) got the highest grain vield from maize when they added N in two equal doses given before the first two irrigations or three splits given partially before planting and the first three irrigations. Similar results were reported by Basha (1994) when he added N to maize in three equal doses given before planting and the first two irrigations where this addition increased each of leaf area, No. of grains /row and /ear, ear length, ear diameter. No. of rows/ear. grain ear weight, 100-grain weight and grain yield/fad. Under sandy condition. El-Bana soil and (1994)obtained Gomaa the highest averages of each of ear length, ear diameter, number of grains/row, grain weight / ear and grain yield/fad. when they added N in four equal doses given at planting, 25, 40 and 55 days after planting. However, Mahgoub et (1994)reported application N in three equal splits given at planting and before 1st and 3rd irrigations significantly increased grain yield. Zeidan et al., (1998) noticed that application of N at (1/3 at the, 1st irrigation + 1/3 at the 2^{nd} irrigation + 1/3 at the 3rd irrigation) or as (1/4 at planting + 1/4 at the 1st irrigation +

1/4 at the 2nd irrigation + 1/4 at the 3rd irrigation) significantly decreased 100-kernel weight, but increased grain oil content %, whereas grain yield/fad. and its attributes were not affected by either of these treatments.

This study was conduced in order to determine the effect of time of nitrogen application on growth, yield and its attributes and quality of five maize hybrids under sandy soil conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted in the Experimental farm, Faculty of Agriculture Zagazig University at Khattara, Sharkia Governorate, Egypt for two successive seasons (2001 and 2002). The soil was sandy in texture with a pH average of 7.80 and organic matter of 0.50%. The available N, P and K contents of the upper 30cm soil depth were 12.1, 2.99 and 49.1 PPm, respectively. Maize was preceded by safflower in both seasons. A plot design with three split replicates was used where the main plots were occupied by five maize hybrids, whereas four patterns of N splitting were arranged at random in the sub plots. The tested maize varieties were as follows:

- 1- Single cross 10 (S.C.10)
- 2- Single cross 123 (S.C.123)
- 3- Single cross 124 (S.C.124)
- 4- Single cross 129 (S.C.129)

5- Triple cross 310 (T.W.C. 310)

Nitrogen was soil added at the

level of 120 kg N / fad. in the form of ammonium sulfate (20.5%) around the drip line as follows:

- $T_1 = \frac{1}{4}$ at planting + $\frac{1}{4}$ at 20 days after planting (DAP) + $\frac{1}{4}$ at 40 DAP + $\frac{1}{4}$ at 60 DAP.
- $T_2 = 1/3$ at planting + 1/3 at 40 DAP + 1/3 at 60 DAP.
- $T_3 = 1/3$ at planting + 1/3 at 20 DAP + 1/3 at 40 DAP.
- $T_4 = 1/3$ at planting + 1/3 at 20 DAP + 1/3 at 60 DAP.

The plot (16.8m²) included 6 rows of 4 m long and 70 cm apart. Maize was sown in June 2nd and June 4th in first and second seasons, respectively in hills 25 cm apart. Twenty days after planting, thinning to one plant/hill was made. **Phosphorus** superphosphate (15.5% P₂O₅) and potassium as potassium sulphate (48% k₂O) were added at levels of 31kg P_2O_5 and 48 kg $k_2O/$ fad, respectively. These levels were fertigated at planting, 7, 14 and 21 DAP. Experimental fields were drip irrigated using ground water three and two times weekly before and after silking, respectively. The other cultural treatments of growing maize were followed. Maize was harvested on the last week of September in both seasons.

At 75 days after planting, a random sample of ten plants were taken from the 2nd row where the

following growth attributes were determined: plant height, LAI, No. of leaves/plant and main ear leaf area. Leaf area was determined using the following formula according to Ibrahim and Abd El-Maksoud (2001):

Leaf blade area = Blade maximum width x blade length x 0.75. Chlorophyll content of the 1st ear leaf was determined using chlorophyll meter (SPAD – 502, soil – plant analysis Development (SPAD) section Minolta Camera Co., Oska, Japan) according to Castelli et al., (1996).

At harvest, ear number/plant and grain yield ardab/fad. were determined from the inner three rows and adjusted to 15.5% moisture (one ardab = 140 kg). A random sample of 15 ears from each plot was taken where the following characters were recorded: ear length, ear diameter, number of rows/ear, number of grains/row, number of grains/ear, 100-grain weight and grain weight /ear. To determine crude protein and oil contents, samples of dried grains were ground to fine powder and N content was determined using the modified micro - Kijeldahl apparatus as described by A.O.A.C (1980). The obtained values were multiplied by 6.25 to calculate crude protein percentage. Oil content was extracted by Diethyl ether in a soxhlet apparatus according to A.O.A.C (1980).

Analysis of variance and combined analysis for the two seasons were carried out as described by Snedecor Cochran (1981). For comparison between means, Duncan's multiple range test was applied (Duncan, 1955). In interaction tables, capital and small letters were used to compare both rows and columns averages, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A- Growth attributes: A-1-cultivar differences:

Results in Table (1) show differences significant among maize cvs, as far as plant height, main ear leaf chlorophyll and area, as well as LAI at 75 days after planting (DAP). In both seasons, S.C. 10 had the tallest plants whereas S.C. 124 had the shortest ones. The other cultivars had in between averages. The former cultivar had also higher main ear leaf chlorophyll content than the rest of cultivars which at par lower averages. had Regarding main ear leaf area and LAI. S.C.10 again had the heighest averages whereas T.W.C. 310 had the lowest ones. The other three single crosses i.e. 123, 124 and 129 had in between at par averages.

These data ascertain the superiority of S.C. 10 in plant height as reported by Hassan

(1995) and Atta Allah (1998) and in LAI as reported by Atta Allah (1996) and EL-Sheikh (1998).

The higher main ear leaf chlorophyll content and as well the higher main ear leaf area and LAI recorded by S.C. 10 refer to a superiority in aspects of photosynthesis by this cultivar and hence a greater capacity in dry mater production than the rest of the tested cultivar.

A-2. Nitrogen splitting effect:

It is quite clear from Table (1) that the different splitting treatments had significant effects each of maize growth attributes. Regarding plant height, addition of N in four equal splits since the time of planting and in 20 days intervals up to 60 DAP produced the tallest plants whereas its addition in three equal splits given at planting, 40 and 60 DAP gave the shortest ones as observed in the 2nd season and ascertained by the combined analysis. Splitting of N in three equal splits as in T₃, T₄ give plants with intermediate plant height averages.

These results indicate clearly that missing the addition of N at 20 DAP as in T_2 retarded the early growth of maize plants, as its addition in four doses as in T_1 or in three splits as in T_3 and T_4 afforded plants an early improved growth as expressed herein in longer plants. The data further indicate that addition of three

Table (1): Plant height, main ear leaf chlorophyll content, main ear leaf area and leaf area index as affected by cultivars and different N splitting treatments in the two seasons and their combined.

| Main effects and | Plant height (cm) | | | Main ear leaf chlorophyll content ♦ | | | Main ear leaf area (cm²) | | | Leaf area index (LAI) | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------|----------|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|--------------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------|--------|----------|--|
| interaction | 2001 | 2002 | Combined | 2001 | 2002 | Combined | 2001 | 2002 | Combined | 2001 | 2002 | Combined | |
| Cultivars (C) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S.C. 10 | 251.5a | 265.8a | 258.7a | 40.83a | 44.93a | 42.87a | 657.0a | 737.5a | 697.2a | 4.880a | 5.057a | 4.968a | |
| S.C. 123 | 238.2b | 247.1b | 242.7b | 36.83b | 40.41b | 38.62b | 608.5b | 700.8b | 654.7b | 4.172b | 4.805b | 4.489b | |
| S.C. 124 | 221.0c | 238.7c | 229.8c | 36.83b | 40.35b | 38.59b | 608.0b | 701.7b | 654.9b | 4.169b | 4.811b | 4.490b | |
| S.C. 129 | 238.0b | 246.6b | 242.3b | 36.38b | 40.07b | 38.23b | 604.9b | 701.9b | 653.4b | 4.148b | 4.813b | 4.480b | |
| T.W.C. 310 | 239.9ь | 246.2b | 243.0b | 36.51b | 40.40b | 38.45b | 545.7c | 694.9b | 620.3c | 3.795c | 4.765b | 4.280c | |
| F. test | * | * | * | * | * | * | ** | ** | ** | * | * | * | |
| N splitting tre | atments | (N): | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T1 | 245.9a | 256.5a | 251.2a | 38.85a | 43.50a | 41.17a | 633.4a | 736.0a | 684.7a | 4.436a | 5.046a | 4.741a | |
| T2 | 234.3b | 243.1c | 238.7c | 35.46c | 38.85d | 37.15d | 580.5c | 687.3c | 633.9d | 4.064d | 4.713c | 4.389d | |
| Т3 | 234.8b | 248.6b | 241.7b | 38.24a | 42.02b | 40.13b | 603.8b | 711.5b | 657.6b | 4.242b | 4.878b | 4.560b | |
| T4 | 235.9ь | 247.3b | 241.6b | 37.35b | 40.55c | 38.95c | 601.6b | 694.7c | 648.1c | 4.189c | 4.763c | 4.476c | |
| F. test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| Interaction : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CXN | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | ** | ** | ** | N.S | N.S | N.S | |

[◆] Determined using chlorophyll meter (SPAD).

^{*, **} and N.S indicate significant at 0.05, 0.01 and insignificant, respectively.

splits of N was less efficient than its addition in four splits. Since the soil of experimental site was sandy, leaching losses might have been greater when N was given in three splits of 40 kg N each compared with its addition in four doses of 30 kg N each.

Concerning the effect of splitting of N on the main ear leaf chlorophyll content, it is evident from Table (1) that addition of N in four equal doses was effective to increase this content compared with the other splitting treatments where the combined analysis shows that the lowest content was produced by addition of N in three equal splits as in T₂. This was also true regarding main ear leaf area and LAI.

These data are quite interesting as they indicate that maize plants with higher main ear leaf chlorophyll content and area as well as higher LAI could have better photosynthesis and hence more photoassimilates were

available for growth which was expressed in more elongation by these plants and hence they had plants than the other taller splitting treatments and in particular T₂ which had the shortest plants and lowest averages from all the growth attributes tabulated in Table (1). Similar results were obtained by Basha (1994) and EL-Bana and Gomaa (1994) as they reported the importance of N application prior to maize tasseling.

A-3- Interaction effect:

No significant varietal response could be detected to affect all growth attributes except main ear leaf area in the two seasons and their combined.

It is evident that the five hybrids under study recorded the highest main ear leaf area averages when they received N in fours splits. This effect was more pronounced on S.C. 10 than the rest of the tested cultivars (Table 1a).

Table (1-a): Main ear leaf area (cm²) as affected by the interaction between maize cultivars and N splitting treatments (combined data).

| | (compined | i uata <i>j</i> . | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------|----------|----------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| N splitting | Cultivars | | | | | | | | | | | |
| treatments | S.C. 10 | S.C. 123 | S.C. 124 | S.C. 129 | T.W.C. 310 | | | | | | | |
| T | A | В | В | В | C | | | | | | | |
| T ₁ | 762.8a | 675.3a | 674.2a | 672.3a | 638.9a | | | | | | | |
| T | A | В | В | В | . C | | | | | | | |
| T ₂ | 661.0d | 632.8c | 633.7c | 633.6c | 608.5b | | | | | | | |
| T | A | В | В | В | C | | | | | | | |
| T ₃ | 691.0b | 660.2b | 660.3b | 660.6a | 616.1b | | | | | | | |
| T | A | В | В | В | C | | | | | | | |
| T.4 | 674.2c | 650.4b | 651.3b | 647.1b | 617. 9 b | | | | | | | |

B- Ear number / plant and ear length and diameter:

B-1- Cultivar differences:

Data in Table (2) show the number of ears / plant, as well as, ear length and diameter. No significant differences could be detected in the ear number / plant among the tested maize cvs in the two seasons and their combined. All hybrids had mostly one main However significant differences could be detected in both ear length and diameter in two seasons and the combined where S.C. 10 had longer ears than the rest of hybrids which had at par ear length. Regarding ear diameter significant differences were, also, observed among the tested T.W.C. 310 hybrids where S.C.10. followed by with significant differences. had greater ear diameter than the other three single crosses which had at par lower averages.

In the literature several workers reported significant differences among maize hybrids regarding ear length (Ragheb et al., 1993 and EL-Bana, 2000 and ear diameter (Abd EL-Haleem, 1994) and hence are in harmony with the present findings except those of the number of ears/plant where EL-Bana (2001) reported significant varietal differences between two maize hybrids.

B.2- Nitrogen splitting effect:

It is evident from Table (2) that the different splitting treatments were without significant effect on the number of ears / plant but had significant effects on ear length and diameter. In both seasons, giving N in four equal splits produced the longest ears with the widest diameter compared with the other splitting treatments. Also splitting N in three equal splits as in T₂ gave the shortest and the narrowest ears among the other splitting treatments.

These results are rather expected as giving N in four splits improved plant growth as shown in Table (1) regarding plant height, main ear leaf chlorophyll content and area and in turn more assimilates were available for improving length and diameter but were without influence on ear number /plant. These data are in accordance with those reported by Gouda (1989), Shalaby et al., (1990) and Basha (1994).

B-3- Interaction effect:

The interaction between cultivars and the different N splitting treatments did not affect significantly either the number of ears / plant or ear length and diameter.

- C- Grain weight / ear and its attributes:
- C-1 Cultivar differences:

It is evident from Table (3) that cultivars under study varied

| Main effects and interaction | Ear | number / (| plant | E | Car length (c | m) | Ear diameter (cm) | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|------------|----------|--------|---------------|----------|-------------------|---------|----------|--|
| | 2001 | 2002 | Combined | 2001 | 2002 | Combined | 2001 | 2002 | Combined | |
| Cultivars (C) | | | | | | | | | | |
| S.C. 10 | 1.016 | 1.023 | 1.020 | 18.00a | 19.19a | 18.60a | 4.250b | 4.267b | 4.259b | |
| S.C. 123 | 1.002 | 1.001 | 1.002 | 16.56b | 16.94b | 16.75b | 4.162c | 4.176bc | 4.169c | |
| S.C. 124 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 16.02b | 17.40b | 16.71b | 4.164c | 4.170bc | 4.167c | |
| S.C. 129 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 16.11b | 17.27b | 16.69b | 4.146c | 4.155c | 4.150c | |
| T.W.C. 310 | 1.002 | 1.006 | 1.004 | 16.05b | 16.28b | 16.17b | 4.327a | 4.376a | 4.351a | |
| F. test | N.S | N.S | N.S | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| N splitting treatmen | ts (N): | | | | | | | | | |
| TI | 1.011 | 1.015 | 1.013 | 18.08a | 18.87a | 18.48a | 4.292a | 4.321a | 4.306a | |
| Т2 | 0.997 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 15.50b | 16.31c | 15.91c | 4.126d | 4.140c | 4.133d | |
| Т3 | 1.006 | 1.007 | 1.006 | 16.43b | 17.51b | 16.97b | 4.257b | 4.279b | 4.268b | |
| Т4 | 1.000 | 1.002 | 1.001 | 16.18b | 16.98bc | 16.58b | 4.163c | 4.175c | 4.169c | |
| F. test | N.S | N.S | N.S | * | ** | ** | * | ** | ** | |
| Interaction : | | | | | | | | | | |
| CXN | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | |

^{*, **} and N.S indicate significant at 0.05, 0.01 and insignificant, respectively.

Table (3): Row number /ear, grain number and weight/ear and 100-grain weight as affected by cultivars and different N splitting treatments in the two seasons and their combined.

| Main effects and interaction | Row number/ear | | | Grain number / ear | | | 100-grain weight (gm) | | | Grain weight (gm)/ear | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-------|----------|--------------------|----------|----------|-----------------------|--------|----------|-----------------------|--------|----------|
| | 2001 | 2002 | Combined | 2001 | 2002 | Combined | 2001 | 2002 | Combined | 2001 | 2002 | Combined |
| Cultivars (C) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S.C. 10 | 11.42 | 11.47 | 11.45b | 434.2a | 480.4a | 457.3a | 29.58b | 30.44b | 30.01b | 128.9a | 147.8a | 138.4a |
| S.C. 123 | 11.49 | 11.40 | 11.45b | 424.8b | 465.4b | 445.1b | 27.68c | 27.75c | 27.72c | 118.4b | 131.4b | 124.9b |
| S.C. 124 | 11.44 | 11.74 | 11.59Ь | 422.0b | 465.7b | 443.9b | 27.56c | 28.56c | 28.06c | 118.1b | 131.6b | 124.9b |
| S.C. 129 | 11.25 | 11.73 | 11.49b | 411.8c | 454.5c | 433.2c | 27.08c | 28.41c | 27.75c | 111.0c | 127.0c | 119.0c |
| T.W.C. 310 | 12.30 | 12.70 | 12.50a | 371.5d | - 411.3d | 391.4d | 30.79a | 32.06a | 31.43a | 110.8c | 129.2c | 120.0c |
| F. test | N.S | N.S | * | ** | ** | ** | * | * | * | ** | ** | ** |
| N splitting trea | tments (| N): | | | | | | | | | | |
| T1 | 11.43 | 11.71 | 11.57 | 423.7a | 463.2a | 443.5a | 29.70 | 30.67 | 30.18 | 120.2a | 140.2a | 130.2a |
| Т2 | 11.56 | 11.81 | 11.68 | 403.3c | 451.5c | 427.4d | 26.80 | 27.74 | 27.27 | 111.8b | 126.2c | 119.0c |
| Т3 | 11.72 | 11.92 | 11.82 | 413.2b | 456.3b | 434.7b | 29.42 | 30.06 | 29.74 | 119.1a | 137.2a | 128.2a |
| T4 | 11.62 | 11.79 | 11.70 | 411.3b | 450.8c | 431.0c | 28.25 | 29.31 | 28.78 | 118.7a | 130.0b | 124.4b |
| F. test | N.S | N.S | N.S | ** | ** | ** | N.S | N.S | N.S | ** | ** | ** |
| Interaction : | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CXN | N.S | ·N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | * | N.S | N.S |

^{*, **} and N.S indicate significant at 0.05, 0.01 and insignificant, respectively.

significantly regarding grain number / ear, 100-grain and grain weight / ear. However row number /ear did not varv significantly among cultivars in two seasons though the detected combined analysis significant differences in favour of T.W.C. 310 where the rest of cultivars had at par averages. Regarding grain number / ear, S.C. 10 had the largest number whereas T. W.C. 310 had the smallest one. The rest two of the other three single cross (S.C 123, S.C 124) had a second rank in this respect whereas the third one (S.C. 129) had a third rank. This was not true regarding the test weight where T.W.C. 310 had the heaviest 100-grain weight followed S.C. 10 with significant bv differences and the other three single cross (S.C. 123 S.C. 124. S.C. 129) had at par intermediate Therefore significant averages. differences could be detected in grain weight/ear among the tested cvs where S.C. 10 had the hightest weight whereas T.W.C 310 and S.C 129 had at par the lowest averages whereas S.C. 123, S.C 124 had intermediate averages.

It seems evident that the superiority of S.C. 10 in grain weight/ear could be attributed to its superiority in grain number /ear. The superiority of T.W.C 310 in either row number /ear (combined) or the test weight in

the two seasons was not reflected in grain weight /ear. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Basha (1994), EL – Bana (2000) and EL-Bana (2001). C.2- Nitrogen splitting effect:

different splitting The N treatments affected significantly grain number/ear and hence grain weight /ear but were without significant effect on either number of rows/ear or the test weight. It is from Table (3) that evident four splits addition of N in grain number and increased weight/ear compared with the other treatments where the lowest averages were recorded by T2 which received three N splits without N addition at 20 DAP.

These results followed the same trend of growth attributes (Table 1) and also both of ear length and diameter (Table 2) where splitting of N in four equal splits recorded the highest averages and its splitting as in T2 recorded the lowest ones.

These data are interesting as they show that improvement of growth due to plant maize splitting of N in four equal splits since, the time of planting up to 60 DAP, which coincides with silking, could afford these plants their N requirements throughout the most growth yield active and determining period. Duncan (1978)indicated that row number/ear and hence grain

number/ear are defined during the two weeks prior and post silking. These results are in accordance with those reported by Gouda (1989), Shalaby et al., (1990), EL-Bana and Gomaa (1994) and Mahgoub et al., (1994). They reported that splitting N increased significantly grain yield and some yield attributes. But these results are not in agreement with those obtained by Zeidan et al., (1998) who reported that grain yield/fad and its attributes were not affected by time of N

application under clay soil conditions.

C.3-Interaction effect:

No significant interaction effect could be detected in all yield attributes except grain weight/ear in the first season.

It is obvious from (Table 3-a) that S.C.10 was more sensitive than the rest of the tested cultivars, to varying the number of N splits, this was observed also, in the main ear leaf area (Table 1-a).

Table (3-a): Grain weight / ear (gm) as affected by the interaction between maize cultivars and N splitting treatments (first season).

| | scasonj. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| N splitting | Cultivars | | | | | | | | | | | |
| treatments | S.C. 10 | S.C. 123 | S.C. 124 | S.C. 129 | T.W.C. 310 | | | | | | | |
| | A | В | В | С | С | | | | | | | |
| T ₁ | 132.1a | 119.8ab | 119.4ab | 114.9a | 114.9a | | | | | | | |
| | A | В | В | C | C | | | | | | | |
| T ₂ | 123.9c | 115.4c | 115.5c | 102.4c | 101. 8 b | | | | | | | |
| | A | В | В | C | C | | | | | | | |
| T ₃ | 129.5b | 120.1a | 119.8a | 113.7ab | 112.1a | | | | | | | |
| | A | В | В | C | C | | | | | | | |
| T ₄ | 130.1b | 118.5b | 117.8b | 112.9b | 114.4a | | | | | | | |

D.Grain yield /fad.

D.1- Cultivar differences:

It is evident from Table (4) that hybrids under study differed significantly regarding grain yield/fad in both seasons and their combined where S.C. 10 recorded the highest average where S.C. 129 and T.W.C. 310 recorded at par the lowest ones. The other two

single crosses i.e S.C. 123, S.C. 124 had at par intermediate averages. It seems evident that superiority of S.C. 10 in grain yield/fad could be attributed to its superiority in grain weight/ ear (Table 3). These data ascertain the superiority of S.C. 10 in grain yield /fad as reported by EL-Bana (2000) and EL-Bana (2001). Also, Graish et al., (2001) found S.C. 124 and S.C.

Table (4): Grain yield (ardab/fad), grain protein and oil content (%) and protein and oil yields (kg/fad) as affected by cultivars and different N splitting treatments in the two seasons and their combined.

| Main effects and interaction | Grain yield (ardab/fad) | | | Grain protein content (%) | | | Grain oil content (%) | | | Protein yield (kg/fad) | | | Oil yield (kg/fad) | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|---------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2001 | 2002 | Comb. | 2001 | 2002 | Comb. | 2001 | 2002 | Comb. | 2001 | 2002 | Comb. | 2001 | 2002 | Comb. |
| Cultivars (C | C) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S.C. 10 | 17.41a | 18.99a | 18.20a | 7.721 | 7.110 | 7.415 | 3.025 | 2.935 | 2.980 | 188.2 | 189.0 | 188.6 | 73.64bc | 77.96b | 75.80c |
| S.C. 123 | 16.49b | 18.05b | 17.27b | 7.787 | 7.686 | 7.736 | 3.124 | 3.212 | 3.168 | 179.7 | 194,2 | 186.9 | 71.94c | 81.01b | 76.48c |
| S.C. 124 | 16.47b | 18.02b | 17.25b | 7.789 | 7.700 | 7.745 | 3.486 | 3.235 | 3.361 | 179.5 | 194.3 | 186.9 | 79.97Ь | 81.48b | 80.73b |
| S.C. 129 | 15.20c | 16.23c | 15.71c | 8.952 | 8.288 | 8.620 | 4.466 | 4.217 | 4.342 | 190.4 | 188.2 | 189.3 | 94.89a | 95.16a | 95.02a |
| T.W.C. 310 | 15.21c | 16.29c | 15.75c | 8.957 | 8.307 | 8.632 | 4.387 | 4.166 | 4.276 | 190.6 | 189.5 | 190.1 | 93.29a | 94.63a | 93.96a |
| F. test | ** | ** | ** | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | * | * | * |
| N splitting t | reatmen | ts (N): | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T1 | 16.89a | 18.73a | 17.80a | 8.236 | 7.831 | 8.034 | 3.436 | 3.320 | 3.378 | 193.8a | 204.5a | 199.2a | 80.37c | 86.09a | 83.23b |
| T2 | 15.29c | 16.93c | 16.11c | 8.283 | 7.771 | 8.027 | 3.933 | 3.781 | 3.857 | 176.8d | 183.4ε | 180.1c | 83.59Ь | 88.73a | 86.16a |
| Т3 | 16.50a | 17.61b | 17.05b | 8.205 | 7.837 | 8.021 | 3.409 | 3.319 | 3.364 | 188.7ь | 192.6b | 190.6b | 78.08c | 81.22b | 79.65c |
| T4 | 15.95b | 16.81c | 16.38c | 8.241 | 7.835 | 8.038 | 4.013 | 3.792 | 3.903 | 183.4c | 183.7c | 183.5c | 88.94a | 88.14a | 88.54a |
| F. test | ** | ** | ** | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Interaction | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CXN | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S | N.S |

^{*, **} and N.S indicate significant at 0.05, 0.01 and insignificant, respectively.

155 outyielded S.C. Sultan by 19.2% and 14.4% for grain yield/fad, in respective order.

D.2- Nitrogen splitting effect:

In both seasons and their combined, grain yield/fad was significantly affected by varying N splitting treatments where addition of N in four equal splits recorded the highest yield whereas its addition in three splits as in either T₂ or T₄ recorded the lowest averages (Table 4).

These data clearly indicate that missing the addition of N either at 20 DAP as in T₂ or missing its addition at 40 DAP as in T₄ caused significant reduction in grain yield compared with its addition in three splits as in T₃ where 1/3 of N was given at planting, 20 DAP and 40 DAP. The data further indicate that the best of these treatments was as in T₁ where four equal splits were given since time of planting and up to 60 DAP.

According to these data and under sandy soil conditions N should be applied since the time of planting up to 60 DAP as missing one of these splits particularly at DAP caused significant reduction in grain yield and almost all growth and vield attributes. These results strengthen the importance of providing maize plants with N at 20 DAP and also at 40 DAP which seemed to be the most critical period for N as missing its addition during either of these periods was followed by noticeable reduction in grain yield and its attributes.

The soil of the experimental field was sandy and of a very low content from organic matter and nutrients and plant all particular N. Addition of N at time of planting was indispensable to activate maize root growth, and hence plants were in need for a further addition at 20 DAP to improve shoot growth. These plants with their improved root and shoot growth, were in need for a third Naddition at 40 DAP where the number of rows per ear was about to be defined two weeks before silking (Hanway, 1962). of the three N Comparison splitting treatments where three split were given, showed that the best of them was the T4 where N was added at planting, 20 DAP and 40 DAP. Missing the 60 DAP (T₃) was not as detrimental as its missing at 20 DAP (T₂) indicates that maize plants were in more bad need for N at early than late vegetative growth stages. Under sandy soil conditions, ramification, as induced herein by the 20 DAP Naddition, afforded maize plants more efficient use of added N and as well all plant nutrients in addition to more efficient use of water. Certainly four splits were superior to any of the three N splitting treatments as the 4th addition at 60 DAP (T₁) covered N requirements during the period where the number of grains/row and the grain sink size are determined (Duncan, 1978).

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Basha (1994) and El Bana and Gomaa (1994).

E- Grain quality:

E-1- Cultivar differences:

It is evident from Table (4) that hybrids did not vary maize significantly, as far as, their grain contents from protein or oil, as well as, protein yield /fad. However, significant differences could be detected in oil yield/fad. It was evident that both the T.W.C. 310 and S.C. 129 had at par higher oil yields/fad than the rest of tested hybrids where S.C.10 and S.C. 123 recorded the lowest oil yield averages. This was true in the two seasons and their combined.

These data indicate that though differences in grain protein contents did not reach the level of significance, however, a dilution effect took place in this content. The insignificancy of differences in protein yield/fad among the studied hybrids strengthens this views as it was expected that S.C.10 which recorded the highest grain yield /fad should have had produced the highest protein

yield/ fad. This dilution effect was more obvious in grain oil content where S.C.10 had grains with the lowest oil content and hence had the lowest oil yield /fad. among the tested hybrids.

E.2- Nitrogen splitting effect:

Addition of nitrogen either in four or three splits did not affect the grain content from either protein or oil (Table 4). However, both the and oil vields were protein significantly affected by N splitting treatments. Addition of N in four splits produced the highest protein yield /fad whereas its addition in three splits as in T4, where the last N split was missed, produced the highest oil yield /fad. These results clear that protein accumulation in maize grain was to a certain extent on the expense of oil accumulation. The data further indicate that addition of N in four splits produced the highest grain yield/ fad. and in turn the highest protein yield. This indicates that starch deposition in maize grain was in favour of protein accumulation but on the other hand was against oil accumulation.

REFERENCES

Abd El-Haleem, A.K (1994): Growth and yield response of some maize cultivars to irrigation intervals. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. 9 (11): 1-16.

- Anonymous (2000): Second Annual Maize workshop. A. R. C. Giza. April, 2000.
- Atta Allah, S.A. (1996); Effect of irrigation intervals and plant densities on growth, yield and its components of some maize Varieties. Proc. 7th Conf. Agron., Mansoura Univ. 1: 59-70.
- Atta-Allah, S.A.A. (1998): Response of maize to nitrogen and biofertilizer. Assiut J. of. Agric. Sci., 29 (1): 59-73.
- A. O. A. C. (1980): Association of Official agricultural Chemical: Official Methods of Analysis.
 13th Ed. Washington, D.C.
- Basha, H.A. (1994): Effect of nitrogen fertilizer application time on growth and yield of some maize Varieties. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 21 (2): 329-344.
- Castelli, F.; R. Contillo and F. Miceli (1996): Non-destructive determination of leaf chlorophyll content in four crop species. J. Agronomy and Crop Science 188, 275-283.
- Duncan, B.D (1955): Multiple range and Multiple F. Tests. Biometrics, 11: 1-24.
- Duncan, D.B. (1978): Maize Crop Physiology "some case histories". By L. T. Evans. Cambridge Univ. Press: 23-49.
- Eagles, H.A. and J.E. Lothrop (1994): Highland maize from

- central Mexico its origin, characteristics, and Use in breeding programs. Crop Sci. 34: 11-19
- EL- Bana; A.Y and M.A Gomaa (1994): Response of maize to time of nitrogen application and some micronutrients under Sandy soils conditions. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 21 (4): 1029-1040.
- EL- Bana, A.Y. (2000): Response of some single cross hybrids to planting density under drip irrigation conditions in newly cultivated lands. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. 15 (4) 102-117.
- EL- Bana, A.Y.A. (2001): Effect of nitrogen fertilization and stripping leaves on yield and yield attributes of two maize hybrids. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 28 (3): 579-596.
- EL-sheikh, F.T.Z. (1998): Effect of soil application of nitrogen and foliar application with manganese on grain yield and quality of maize (*Zea Mays*, L.) Proc. 8th Conf. Agron., Suez. Canal Univ., Ismailia, Egypt, 28-29 Nov.: 182-189.
- Gouda, A.S. (1989): Agronomic studies on maize. Ph. D. Thesis. Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Graish, M.H.; K.I. Khalifa and G.M. Yakout (2001): Yield and growth characters of some maize hybrids as affected by

- nitrogen fertilization and row spacing Under drip irrigation system in sandy soil. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.; 16 (5): 136-150.
- Hanway, J.J. (1962): Corn growth and composition in relation to soil fertility: II. Uptake of N, P, and K and their distribution in different plant parts during the growing season, Agron. J. 54: 217-222.
- Hassan, A.A. (1995): Agronomic characteristics of eight maize varieties as affected by nitrogen fertilization 1-plant characteristics. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 22 (2): 377-385.
- Ibrahim, A.A. and M.F.Abd El-Maksoud (2001): Leaf defoliation and hill spacing effects on maize productivity. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 28(2): 261-274.
- Mabrouk, S.S. and A.A.Aly (1998): Maize growth and production on a sandy soil treated with organic and mineral N sources. Proc. 8th conf. Agron., Suez canal Univ., Ismailia, Egypt, 28-29 Nov.: 222-229.
- Mahgoub, G.M.; M.A. Younis and M.A. Younis (1994) Effect of nitrogen sources and time of nitrogen application on maize growth and grain yield. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 21 (5): 1399-1409.

- Ragheb, M.M.A.; Beddeer, A.A. and Gouda, A. Sh. A. (1993): Effect of row spacing and plant population density on grain yield of some maize hybrids. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 20 (2): 581-594.
- Shalaby, M.A.; S.E.Matta; E.A. Khedr and B.N. Ayad (1990) Effect of time of nitrogen fertilizer application on the performance of some maize varieties. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 5 (8): 508-518.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1981) Statistical methods applied to experiments in agriculture and biology 7th Ed. Lowa state, Univ. Press, Ames., Lowa, U.S.A.
- Zaki, Nabila, M.; M.M. El-Gazzar; Karima M. Gamal and M.M. Ahmed (1999): Partition and migration of photosynthates in some maize hybrids. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. 14 (6): 117-139.
- Zeidan, E.M.; R.M. Aly; H.A. Basha and I.M. Abd El-Hameed (1998): Effect of nitrogen and farm yard manure fertilization on yield attributes, yield and quality of maize. Proc. 8th Conf. Agron., Suez Canal Univ., Ismailia, Egypt, 28-29 Nov.: 211-221.

استجابة بعض هجن الذرة الشامية لتجزئ السماد الأزوتى تحت نظام الرى بالتنقيط في الأراضى الرملية

صابر عبد الحميد السيد موافى

قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمزرعة كلية الزراعة بالخطارة - جامعة الزقازيق - محافظة الشرقية خلال موسمى ٢٠٠١ ، هجرين موسمى ٢٠٠١ ، هجرين موسمى ٢٠٠١ ، هجرين فردى ١٠ ، هجرين فردى ١٢٠ ، هجرين فردى ١٢٣ ، هجرين ثلاثى ٣١٠) لتجزئ إضافة السماد الأزوتى بمحل ٢٢٠ كجم ن / فدان كالتالى :

المعاملة الأولى: ٤ يفعات متساوية عند الزراعة و ٢٠ و ٤٠ و ٢٠ بوم من الزراعة .

المعاملة الثانية: ٣ يفعات متساوية كما في المعاملة الأولى مع استبعاد الاضافة عند ٢٠ يوم من الزراعة.

المعاملة الثلثة: ٣ دفعات متساوية كما في المعاملة الأولى مع استبعاد الإضافة عند ١٠ يوم من الزراعة .

المعاملة الرابعة: ٣ نفعات متساوية كما في المعاملة الأولى مع استبعاد الإضافة عند ٢٠ يوم من الزراعة .

استخدم تصميم القطع المنشقة في ثلاث مكررات حيث شيخات الأصناف القطع الرئيسية ومعاملات تجزئ السماد الأزوتي القطع الشقية ويمكن إيجاز أهم النتائج كما يلي:

- ١ تقوق الصنف هجين فردى ١٠ في محصول الفدان من الحبوب عن باقي الهجن وذلك لتفوقـــه فــى
 صفات ارتفاع النبات ، مسلحة ورقة الكوز الرئيسي ومحتواها مـــن الكلوروفيــل ، دليــل مســـاحة
 الأوراق ، طول الكوز ، عدد ووزن حبوب الكوز بينما تفوق الصنف هجين ثلاثي ٣١٠ في كل مـــن
 قطر الكوز ، عدد سطور الكوز ووزن ٢٠٠ حبة دون مردود على محصول الحبوب / فدان .
- ٢ لم تختلف الهجن معنويا في نسبتي البروتين والزيت بالحبوب وكذلك محصول البروتين كجم/فدان في
 حين تفوقا الصنفان هجين فردى ٢٠٩ و هجين ثلاثي ٢٠٠ في محصول الزيت كجم/فدان .
- ٣ تفوقت المعاملة الأولى حيث تم إضافة السماد الأزوتى على أربع دفعات متساوية في كل من ارتفساع النبات ، مساحة ورقة الكوز الرئيسي ومحتواها من الكلوروقيل ، دليسل مساحة الأوراق ، طول الكوز ، قطر الكوز ، عدد ووزن حبوب الكوز ، محصول الحبوب أردب / فدان ومحصول السيروتين كجم / فدان بينما تفوقت المعاملة الرابعة في محصول الزيت كجم / فدان في حين لم تؤثر معسامات تجزئ إضافة السماد الأزوتي معنويا على كل من عدد الكيزان / نبات ، عدد السطور يسالكوز ، وزن حجة ونسبة البروتين والزيت بالحبوب.
- ٤ وجد تداخل فعل معنوى بين هجن الذرة الشامية ومعاملات تجزئ إضافة السماد الأروتى على كل مسن مسلحة ورقة الكوز الرئيسى ووزن حبوب النورة المؤنثة فقسط ولسم تلاحسط اسستجابة صسسنفية لمعاملات تجزئ النيتروجين على بقية الصفات .