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ABSTRACT: Two field trials were conducted in extension field, Ezat Sharf village at El-Tal -El-Kabeer, Ismilia district (Ismilia Governorate) during the two successive seasons 1994 and 1995 to study the effect of rhizobium inoculation (inoculated and un-inoculated) and nitrogen application before sowing, i.e. (zero, 20 and $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad.) and three levels of nitrogen application after planting i.e. (zero, 20 and 30 kg $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{fad}$.) on yield and its attributes of mungbean.

The results showed that rhizobium inoculated plants were significantly superior than un-inoculated ones in plant height, number of branches/plant, number of peds/plant, seed yield(g)/ plant, pod thickness(cm), pod yield (ton/fad.), seed yield (ton/fad.) and straw yield (ton/fad.). While, the increase was not significant in weight of pods/plant, seed index and length of pod (cm). Highly significant response were found in all studied characters due to increasing nitrogen fertilizer under the two times of application. In general, $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. gave the highest values, except, weight of pods/plant, seed yield ( $\mathrm{g} /$ plant) and length of pod (cm) showed that the differences between 20 and 30 kg $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{fad}$. in nitrogen application before sowing were non significant.

The results indicated that, seed yield appeared positive and highly significant correlation with all studied characters.

Multiple linear and stepwise regression analysis indicated that number of branches /plant $\left(R^{2}=81.265 \%\right)$ and ( $R^{2}=89.696 \%$ ) was the most closely important variable toward seed yield/fad.

Path analysis revealed that number of branches /plant was the main seed yield component, and the relative contributions direct and indirect were about $69.09,7.20$ and $18.78 \%$ in respective order.

## INTRODUCT1ON

Mungbean or green gram (Vigna radiata L. Wilczek)* is an annual summer seed legume crop and is a newly introduced one in Egypt.

Most of pulses grown in Egypt are winter season crops competing wheat in the rotation. Local production of grown pluses is not sufficient to meet the increasing demand for human utilization. Thus, introducing high yielding food crops with short growing season is considered effective for narrowing the food gap in Egypt (Ashour et al. (1991 and 1992).

For increasing mungbean productivity in Egypt, agricultural practices such as seed inoculation with bacteria strains (Rhizobium) and fertilization treatment are needed.

Regarding rhizobium inoculation, several investigators, ${ }^{\text {n }}$ recorded significant increases in seed yield and yield attributes of mangbean compared with untreated ones. Haydock et al. (1980) in Pakistan, found that the highest mungbean dry weight/plant was obtained by seed inoculation. Gill et al. (1985) in Pakistan, reported that inoculation of mungbean seed with rhizobium
significantly increased number of branches/plant, pods/plant, straw and seed yields. Moreover, Jamwal et al. (1989), Daterao et al. (1990) and Singh et al. (1993) showed that rhiozobium inoculation of mungbean :. seed significantly increased seed yield.

Johal and Chahal (1994), studied the effect of rhizobium inoculation on growth characteristics of mung bean. They found that rhizobium inoculation increased the growth characteristics compared with the uninoculation treatments. Thakuria and Panwar (1995) in India, indicated that inoculation of mungbean plants increased plant height compared with untreated ones. El-Naggar (1998) reported that rhizobium inoculation in mungbean caused superior increase in 1000 -seed weight, seed yield/plant, , seed, straw and biological yield ton/fad. Hessien (2000) reported that rhizobium inoculation significantly increased pods number, pods weight, plant height and pods yield (ton/fad.), during both seasons, straw yield during the first season and seed yield/plant, pod length, 1000 -seed weight and seed yield (ton/fad.) in the second season.

[^0]It is well known that nitrogen fertilization plays an important role on the productivity of different field crops. Agrico (1985) reported that increasing nitrogen fertilizer rates from 0 to 30 and $60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ha}$. significantly increased seed yield of mungbean. Khada et al. (1986) found that increasing nitrogen rates from 12.5 to 25.0 and 37.5 kg $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ha}$. significantly increased number of pods /plant and seed yield of mumg bean. Differences between 25.0 and $37.5 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ha}$. concerning seed yield /ha. were not significant.

Othman and Ismail(1987) reported that applying $60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ha}$. had little effect on seed yield of mungbean or number of pods/plant. Hamid (1988) reported that applying nitrogen increased most yield components and seed yield of mungbean. Said et al. (1998) found that increasing nitrogen fertilizer levels from 0 (without application) to 15 and 30 $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{fad}$. significantly increased most of studied characters in both seasons. El-Naggar (1998) reported that increasing nitrogen fertilizer rates from zero to 15 and $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$ significantly increased most yield components and seed yield of mungbean. However, nitrogen application increased plant height, seed index,
seed yield $\mathrm{g} /$ plant and seed yield ton /fad. compared to zero fertilization with no significant differences in yield components between the application of 15 kg $\mathrm{N} /$ fad. and $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad. Moreover, Patel et al. (1988) reported that application of $0-20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ha}$. had no significant effect on seed yields of mungbean.

Abd El-Lateef (1996) found that late application of N fertilization caused significant increases in mungbean.

Thus, this investigation was aimed to study the effect of rhizobium inoculation, nitrogen levels and times of nitrogen application on yield attributes of mungbean.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted at extension field in Ezat Sharf village at El-Tal-ElKabeer, Ismilia district (Ismilia Governorate) during the two successive seasons 1994 and 1995 to study the effect of rhizobium inoculation and nitrogen levels and time of application on yield of mungbean (genotype, namely V2010 (Gizal)).

The experiment included 12 treatments which were the combinations of two treatments of rhizobium i.e. inoculated and
un-inoculated, three levels of nitrogen application before sowing i.e. (zero, 20 and $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$.) and three levels of nitrogen application after sowing, which was added to soil in two equal doses, one before the first irrigation and the second one at $50 \%$ flowering. Ammonium nitrate (33.5\% N)was the source of nitrogen applied. The half of seeds was inoculated with the specific strain of Rhizobium (Bradyrhizobium japonicum) and other seeds did not inoculate. The seeds sown on May $20^{\text {th }}$ in the first season and May $25^{\text {th }}$ in the second season. The new land, first time used for sowing.

Harvesting was made after 100 days from sowing in the two seasons. All normal agricultural practices except the studied treatments were performed for growing mungbean as usual.

A split-split plot design with three replicates was used with subsub plot area of $10.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ having six rows with three m long and 60 cm between rows. The rhizobium inoculation two treatments (inoculation and un-inoculation) were assigned to the main plots, the spilt plots included three levels of nitrogen before sowing and subsubplot included the three levels of nitrogen after sowing. Mungbean
seeds were sown on both sides of the ridge in alternative hills at 20 cm . distance and 2 plants/hill.

At harvest ten guarded plants were randomly taken to determine the following yield attributes:

Plant height (cm), number of branches/ plant, number of pods/plant, weight of pods /plant $(\mathrm{g})$, seed yield g /plant, seed index ( 100 -seed weight (gm), length of pod cm , pod thickness cm , pods yield ton/fad., seed yield ton/fad and straw yield ton/fad.

Analysis of variance was performed according to Fisher's technique described by Snedecor and Cochran (1981).Treatments were compared using the new least significant differences test (NLSD) as outlined by Waller and Duncan (1969).Simple correlation analysis and regression analysis were determined estimated as mentioned by Snedecor and Cochran (1981).

Multiple linear regression between seed yield (kg/fad) and some characters, as well as, to construct a prediction model for seed yield of mungbean according to following formula:
$Y=a+b_{1} X_{1}+b_{2} X_{2}+\ldots . . b n X_{n}$
Coefficient of determination, $R^{2}$, was estimated for each component to evaluate the relative contribution; (Snedecor and Cochran (1981). A stepwise
multiple linear regression as applied by Draper and Smith (1966), was used to compute a sequence of multiple regression equations, to determine the best variables accounted for most variance in yield. The relative contribution was calculated as coefficient of determination $\mathrm{R}^{2}$.

Path-coefficient (Dewey and Lu, 1959) was used in this study to estimate the relative importance of characters contributing. A pathcoefficient is simply a standard partial regression coefficient and as such measures the direct influence of one variable upon another and permits the separation of the correlation coefficients into components of direct and indirect effects.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Effect of rhizobium inoculation:
Mungbean yield and yield attributes as affected by rhizobium inoculation are presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3. The results showed clearly that inoculated plants were significantly superior in plant height, number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, seed yield $g /$ plant, pod thickness (cm), seed yield ton/fad. and straw yield ton /fad. compared
to un-inoculated ones. The relative increase due to inoculating rhizobium in some characters amounted to seed yield g/plant ( $13.297 \%$ ), seed yield ton/fad. ( $9.459 \%$ ), plant height cm ( 2.902 $\%$ ), number of branches /plant ( $7.296 \%$ ) and straw yield $\mathrm{kg} /$ /fad. (10.805\%). The increment in seed yield (ton fad.) might be due to increases in seed yield g /plant and number of pods/plant which were significantly increased with rhizobium inoculation and similar line; increase in straw yield of mungbean as a result of rhizobium inoculation might be due to the increase in plant height and number of branches /plant. These results are in good line with those reported by Gill et al. (1985), Patel and Patel (1991), Pal and Jana (1991), Chovatia et al. (1993), Ardeshna et al. (1993), EL-Naggar (1998) and Hessien (2000) who showed that rhizobium inoculation surpassed uninoculation in yield and yield components of mungbean.

## 2- Effect of nitrogen application before sowing:

The results in Table 1, 2 and 3 show clearly that, nitrogen levels before sowing had highly significant effect on seed yield and yield attributes, it is clear from

Table 1. Plant height (cm), number of branches /plant, number of pods/plant and weight of pods /plant (g) as affected by studied treatments for two seasons and its combined analysis.

|  | Treatments | Plont heitht (cm) |  |  |  |  |  | N -mber of poder fant |  |  | Weight of pods/pinit ( $\%$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { First } \\ \text { season } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Second } \\ & \text { season } \end{aligned}$ | Comb. | $\begin{gathered} \text { First } \\ \text { seacon } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Secom } \\ & \text { seapon } \end{aligned}$ | Comb | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Firat } \\ & \text { semena } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Second } \\ & \text { semen } \end{aligned}$ | Comit | Firx season | Second semson | Comb. |
|  | Rhizobium Inoculation (T): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Inoculation | 44.0 | 41.3 | 42.7 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 28.0 | 27.5 | 27.8 | 24.8 | 25.4 | 25.1 |
|  | Un-inoculation | 42.7 | 40.2 | 41.5 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 25.0 | 24.6 | 24.8 | 24.0 | 23.9 | 24.0 |
|  | F-Test | * | N. S. | ** | + | + | ** | * | + | ** | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. |
| d | Nitrogen levels before planting (B) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\nabla$ | Zero | 40.6 c | 39.2c | 39.9c | 3.76 | 3.7c | 3.7 c | 18.8C | 19.8c | 19.3c | 21.4b | 21.4b | 21.5b |
|  | $20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 44.3b | 40.9b | 42.7b | 4.6a | 4.7b | 4.6 b | 28.8b | 27.8b | 28.3b | 25.1a | 25.6a | 25.4a |
|  | $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 45.1a | 42.1a | 43.6a | 4.7a | 5.0a | 4.9a | 32.0a | 30.9a | 31.5a | 26.9a | 26.8a | 26.9a |
| 2 | F-Test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| 0 | L. S. D. | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.56 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 1.43 | 2.16 | 1.23 | 2.42 | 3.01 | 1.60 |
| 0 | Nitrogen levels after planting (A): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R | Zero | 39.6 c | 38.4 C | 39.0 c | 3.4 c | 3.4 c | 3.4 c | 17.8c | 18.6 c | 18.2c | 19.3c | 18.1c | 18.7c |
|  | $20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 43.8b | 40.2b | 42.0b | 4.3b | 4.4b | 4.3b | 24.3b | 25.5b | 24.9b | 23.7b | 23.9b | 23.8b |
|  | $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 46.7a | 43.8a | 45.2a | 5.49 | 5.5a | 5.5a | 37.3a | 34.0a | 35.7a | 30.3a | $31.9 \mathrm{~b}$ | $31.1 \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | F-Test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
|  | L. S. D. | 1.51 | 1.25 | 0.96 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 1.4 | 1.10 | 0.87 | 2.84 | 2.44 | 1.83 |
| $\stackrel{\infty}{\wedge}$ | Interactions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T $\times$ B |  |  |  | N. S. | ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TxA | N.S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | ** | * | N. S. | N. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. |
|  | B $\times$ A | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N.S. | * | N.S. | . | ** | ** | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. |
|  | Tx ${ }^{\text {P }}$ A | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | * | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. |

*, ** and N.S. denote to significant at $5,1 \%$ levelsand non áfrificant, respectively

Table 2. Seed yield (g /plant), seed index (g), pod length (cm)/plant and pod thickness (cm)/plant as affected by studied treatments for two seasons and its combined analysis.

| Treatraents | Seed yinti (n)/dent |  |  | Seatmex (\%) |  |  | Forlienth (cm) |  |  | Pod thicloness (cm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First sextion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Second } \\ & \text { secaon } \end{aligned}$ | Comb. | Firsk semen | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Secmel } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Comb. | Firat nemon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Second } \\ & \text { sencone } \end{aligned}$ | Comb. | First season | Second senson | Comb. |
| Rhizobium inoculation (T): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inoculation | 9.2 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 6.56 | 6.71 | 6.63 | 9.80 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.91 |
| Un- inoculation | 7.9 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 6.46 | 6.56 | 6.51 | 9.6 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| F-Test | ** | * | ** | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | * | * |
| Nitrogen levels before planting ( B) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zero | 5.3 c | 6.2 b | 5.8 b | 6.22b | 6.006 | 6.11c | 9.4 b | 8.6 b | 9.0 b | 0.85b | 0.87c | 0.86c |
| $20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 9.8 b | 9.0 a | 9.4 a | 6.57a | 6.87a | 6.72b | 9.8a | 9.3 a | 9.5 a | 0.91 a | 0.91 b | 0.91 b |
| $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 10.6a | 9.3 a | 10.0a | 6.78a | 7.08a | 6.93a | 10.0a | 9.6 a | 9.8 a | 0.92 a | 0.94 a | 0.93a |
| F-Test | ** | ** | ** | * | ** | ** | * | * | ** | * | ** | ** |
| L. S. D. | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.29 | 0.036 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Nitrogen levels after planting |  | (A ) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |
| Zero | 5.4c | 5.8 c | 5.60 | 5.84c | 5.96c | 5.90C | 9.1 c | 8.6 c | 8.8c | 0.85c | 0.84c | 0.84c |
| $20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 7.6 b | 8.76 | 8.16 | 6.53b | 6.75b | 6.64b | 9.7 b | 9.2 b | 9.4 b | 0.88 b | 0.91 b | 0.90 b |
| $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 12.8a | 10.0a | 11.4a | 7.15a | 7.18a | 8.17a | 10.2a | 9.7 a | 10.0a | 0.95a | 0.96a | 0.96a |
| F-Test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | * | ** | ** |
| L. S. D. | 1.17 | 0.78 | 0.69 | 0.32 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Interactions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TxB | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. |
| T $\times$ A | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | + | N. S. |
| BxA | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N.S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. |
| T×B $\times$ A | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. |

[^1]Table 3. Pods yield (ton/fad.), seed yield ton /fad. and straw yield (ton/fad.) as affected by studied treatments for two seasons and its combined analysis.

| Treatments | Pods yield tom /fad. |  |  | Seed yleld tom /iad. |  |  | Straw yield ton/fad. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { First } \\ \text { season } \end{gathered}$ | Second season | Comb. | First seasen | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Second } \\ & \text { season } \end{aligned}$ | Comb. | First season | Second season | Comb. |
| Rhizobium inoculation (T): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inoculation | 0.570 | 0.578 | 0.574 | 0.413 | 0.398 | 0.405 | 0.802 | 0.877 | 0.839 |
| Un- inoculation | 0.539 | 0.556 | 0.547 | 0.379 | 0.361 | 0.370 | 0.719 | 0.795 | 0.757 |
| F-Test | N. S. | N. S. | * | * | * | ** | * | * | ** |
| Nitrogen levels before planting (B) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zero | 0.510c | 0.522c | 0.516c | 0.348c | 0.340c | 0.344c | 0.640c | 0.690c | 0.665c |
| $20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 0.566 b | 0.576b | 0.5716 | 0.411 b | 0.3896 | 0.400b | 0.794b | 0.883b | 0.838 b |
| $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 0.603a | 0.611a | 0.607a | 0.433a | 0.409a | 0.421a | 0.860a | 0.930a | 0.895a |
| F-Test | ** | ** | ** | * | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| L. S. D. | 0.023 | 0.021 | 0.015 | 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.011 | 0.457 | 0.277 | 0.253 |
| Nitrogen levels after planting (A): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zero | 0.455c | 0.477c | 0.466 C | 0.294c | 0.277c | 0.285c | 0.638c | 0.713c | 0.675c |
| $20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$ | 0.555 b | $0.564 b$ | 0.55\% | 0.395b | 0.353b | 0.374 b | 0.772b | 0.863 b | 0.818 b |
| $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | 0.654a | 0.660a | 0.657a | 0.500a | 0.509a | 0.504a | 0.872a | 0.931a | 0.902a |
| F-Test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| L. S. D. | 0.021 | 0.035 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.022 | 0.015 | 0.513 | 0.288 | 0.288 |
| Interactions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TxB | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | ** | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. |
| TxA | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | , |
| B $\times$ A | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. |
| TxBxA | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. |

*, ** and N.S. denote to significant at $5,1 \%$ levelsand non significant, respectively.
data that, plant height (cm), number of branches /plant, number of pods/plant, weight of pods/plant (g), seed yield (g) /plant, seed index, length of pod cm , pod thickness cm , pod yield ton/fad., seed yield ton/fad. and straw yield ton/fad. recorded higher values during the two seasons and combined with nitrogen application before sowing compared to without application in the same time. The relative increases in plant height from zero to 20 and 30 kg N/fad. was ( 7.08 $\%$ ), number of branches /plant was (22.83\%), number of pods /plant was (51.13\%) weight of pods/plant was ( $19.82 \%$ ), seed yield g /plant was ( $55.99 \%$ ), seed index ton/fad. was ( $11.43 \%$ ), pods yield ton /fad. was (16.95), seed yield ton/fad. was ( $19.94 \%$ ) and straw yield ton/fad. was (30.05\%), concerning combined data. Similar results were reported by El Naggar (1998) and Said et al. (1998) who reported that increasing nitrogen fertilizer rates from zero to 15 to 30 kg N /fad. significantly increased most yield and yield attributes of mungbean.

## 3- Effect of nitrogen application after planting:

Results of two successive seasons and its combined analysis
in Tables 1, 2 and 3 show that increasing level of nitrogen fertilization from zero to 20 kg $\mathrm{N} /$ fad and to $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. after planting had positive and highly significant effects on all yield and yield attributes characters studied. Increasing N -levels from zero up to $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad. increased seed yield ton/fad. and such increment reached around 31.22 and $76.84 \%$ when N -levels increased from zero to $20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad. and $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad. respectively, concerning the combined data: Such results indicated the importance rule of nitrogen in increasing the productivity of mungbean crop.

Like wise,...increasing N levels increased pods yield ton/fad. and straw yield ton/fad. The respective increase reached 40.98 and $33.58 \%$ when N -level increased from zero to 30 kg $\mathrm{N} /$ fad. for pods yield ton/fad. and straw yield ton/fad.; concerning the combined data. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Agrico (1985), Patel and Parmar (1986), Othman and Ismail (1987), Sharma and Choubey (1991), Sharma et al. (1993), Abdel-Lateef (1996) who found that late application of nitrogen caused significant increases in seed /plant and seed yield/fad. in mungbean.

## 4- Effect of Interaction:

The interaction effects between the rhizobium inoculation and nitrogen fertilization after planting on number of branches and straw yield ton/fad. in the combined data showed significant differences (Table 4 and Fig. 1). Results indicated that rhizobium inoculated plants recorded the highest values of number of branches /plant (5.8) and straw yield ( $0.945 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{fad}$.) when 30 kg $\mathrm{N} /$ fad. was applied after planting.

Likely, the significant and highly significant interaction effects between rhizobium inoculation and nitrogen application before planting (Table 5 and Fig. 2) show that uninoculated plants recorded the lowest values of number of branches /plant (3.7) and seed yield ton/fad. (0.331) when no nitrogen was applied before planting. Seed yield ton/fad. appeared to be gradually increased as nitrogen level applied before planting from zero to $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad either under inoculated or uninoculated treatments. Likely, inoculated plants produced higher seed yield ton/fad. under different levels of nitrogen applied before planting compared with uninoculated ones. Therefore, the highest seed yield ( 0.447 ton /fad.)
was achieved by inoculated plants when $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad. applied before planting

Finally, the highly significant interaction effect between nitrogen levels applied before and after planting (Table 6 and Fig. 3) show that number of pods became great with applied $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fad. before planting under the different levels of nitrogen applied after planting. However, adding nitrogen for two time (before or after planting) tend to increase number of pods/plant. Whereas, the highest number of pods (42.8) was achieved by 30 kg $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{fad}$. added before planting and 30 kg nitrogen applied after planting. While, zero nitrogen for the two times of applications recorded the lowest number of pods/plant (14.8).

## 5- The correlation coefficients

The interrelationships among seed yield of mungbean and its attributes as affected by the studied factors measured as simple correlation are shown in Table 7.

Seed yield was positively and highly significantly correlated with all characters studied i.e. plant height (0.982), number of branches/plant (0.987), number of pods/plant (0.969), seed yield gm /plant (0.959) and seed index (0.946). Similar, results were

Table 4. Interaction effect between Rhizobum inoculation and nitrogen fertilizer applied after planting on number of branches /plant and straw yield ton/fad. "combined data".

| Nitrogen levels after <br> planting | Zero | $20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{N/fad}$. | $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Inoculation | Number of branches/plant |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | B | A |
|  | 3.52 | 4.4a | 5.8 a |
|  | C | B | A |
| Un- Inoculation | 3.3a | 4.2a | 5.2b |
|  | Straw yield ton /fad. |  |  |
|  | C | B | A |
| Inoculation | 0.735a | 0.838a | 0.945a |
|  | C | B | A |
| Un- Inoculation | 0.616b | 0.797b | 0.859b |




Fig.1. Means of number of branches/plant and straw yield ton/fad. as affected by the interaction between Rhizobium inoculation and nitrogen levels applied after planting in the combined data.

Table 5. Interaction effect between Rhizobum inoculation and nitrogen fertilizer applied before planting on number of branches /plant and seed yield ton/fad. "combined data".

| Nitrogen levels before planting <br> Rhizobium inoculation | Zero | $20 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fad}$. | $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fad}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of branches /plant |  |  |
|  | C | B | A |
| Inoculation | 4.2a | 4.7 a | 4.9a |
|  | C | B | A |
| Un- Inoculation | 3.7b | 4.5b | 4.8a |
|  | . Seed yield ton/fad. |  |  |
|  |  | B |  |
| Inoculation | $0.371 \mathrm{a}$ | 0.417a | $0.447 \mathrm{a}$ |
| Un- Inocalation | C | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{0} 38 \mathrm{~h} \end{gathered}$ |  |



Fig.2. Means of number of branches/plant and seed yield ton/fad. as affected by the interaction between Rhizobium inoculation and nitrogen levels applied before planting in the combined data.

Table 6. Interaction effect between nitrogen fertilizer applied levels before planting and after planting on number of pods/plant. "combined data".

| Nitrogen levels after planting <br> Nitrogen levels before planting | Zero | $20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zero | $\underset{14.8 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline B \\ 18.9 \mathrm{c} \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{Ac}$ |
| $20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | C | B | A |
|  | 19.2b | 27.0b | 38.6b |
| $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fad}$. | C | B | A |
|  | 21.49 | 30.2a | 42.8a |



Fig.3. Means of number of pods as affected by their interaction between nitrogen levels applied before planting and nitrogen levels applied after planting in the combined data

Table 7. Correlation coefficients among seed yield and seed yield attributes of mung bean (combined data).

| Characters | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Seed yleld ton /imed. | 0.982** | 0.987** | 0.969** | 0.995** | 0.959** | 0.946** | 0.951** | 0.972** | 0.987** | 0.880** |
| 2-Plant helght (cm) | - | 0.998** | 0.988** | 0.988** | 0.981* | 0.974** | 0.984** | 0.979** | 0.996** | 0.939** |
| 3-Number of branches/plant | - | - | 0.987** | 0.994** | 0.974** | 0.970** | 0.976** | 0.977** | 0.995** | 0.922** |
| 4-Number of pods/plant | - | - | - | 0.978** | 0.980** | 0.978** | 0.989** | 0.987** | 0.986** | 0.951** |
| 5-Welght of pods (s)/plant | - | - | - | - | 0.993** | 0.955** | 0.958** | 0.972** | 0.992** | 0.886** |
| 6-Seed yletd (g)/plant | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 0.959** | 0.976** | 0.965** | 0.960** | 0.962* |
| 7-Seed index ( g ) | - | - | - | \% | areg |  | 0.992** | 0.990** | 0.979** | 0968** |
| 8-Length of pods (cm) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | 0.990** | 0.984** | 0.979** |
| $9-\mathrm{Pod}$ thickness (cm) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.988** | 0.952** |
| 10-Pods yleld tontrad. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.931** |
| 11-Straw yleld ton/fed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |

obtained by Said et al. (1998) and Hessien (2000) Also, in general, all correlation coefficients among the studied yield attributes were positive and highly significant

6- Multiple linear regression analysis:

Data presented in Table 8 show the relative contribution for yield variables in predicting seed yield /fad., regression coefficients - $n d$ standard error. Examining this
$\therefore$, indicated that the combined erfects of number of branches /plant, number of pods/plant and seed index, in the manner described by regression equation, contribute significantly to the variation in yield. It can be noticed that the total variation in seed yield fad. could be linearly related to variations in all variables and 0.01
\% could be due to residual. Number of branches /plant ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ $81.265 \%$ ) number of pods/plant ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=5.977 \%$ ) and seed index $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=\right.$ $10.406 \%$ ) were the most variables contributing toward seed yield /fad. The best prediction equation. for seed yield Y is formulated as follows:
$\mathrm{Y}=62.9748+121.3517 \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{I}^{-}}$ $1.0037 \mathrm{X}_{2}-23.9702 \mathrm{X}_{3}$
Where : $X_{1}=$ Number of branches /plant
$\mathrm{X}_{2}=$ Number of pods /plant, $\mathrm{X}_{3}=$ Seed index.
These results are in agreement with those obtained by Said et al. (1998) and Sharief and Keshta (2000) where number of branches/plant ( $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) was ( $33.17 \%$ ) and (7.59 \%), respectively.

Table 8. Relative contribution $\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$, regression coefficient and standard errors for three characters in predicting seed yield on mungbean.

| Variables | Regression <br> coefficient | Standard <br> error | Relative <br> contribution $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2} \%\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of branches / plants | 121.3517 | 43.2206 | $81.2657^{*}$ |
| Number of pods/ plants | -1.0037 | 5.6544 | 5.9776 |
| Seed index | -23.9702 | 49.9570 | 10.4066 |
| Y intercept $=62.9748$ |  |  |  |
| R square $=0.9765$ |  | Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9625$ |  |
|  |  | Multiple $\mathrm{R}=0.9882$ |  |

7- Stepwise regression analysis:
Variables acceptance and removal as well as relative contribution of yield variables in predicting seed yield/fad. are shown in Table 9. The results indicated that number of branches /plant ( $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{8 9 . 6 9 6 \%}$ ) was variable significant contributing to variation in seed yield/fad the prediction equation of seed yield/fad. The (y) was formulated as follows:

$$
\mathbf{Y}=-39.9013+97.4699 \mathrm{X}_{1} .
$$

Table 9. Accepted removal variables according to stepwise analysis and their relative contribution ( $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ ) in seed yield variance in mungbean.

| Variables | Regression <br> coefficient | Standard <br> error | $\mathbf{R}^{2} \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Accepted variables |  |  |  |
| 1-Number of branchestplant | 97.4699 | 5.9821 | $89.6966^{* *}$ |
| Removed variables <br> 1-Number of pods/plant | -0.2180 | -0.2144 | 2.2587 |
| 2-Seed index | -0.1877 | -0.2867 | 5.4447 |
| Y intercept $=-39.9013$ | Adjusted R $=0.9706$ |  |  |
| R square $=0.9743$ | Multiple $\mathbf{R}=0.9870$ |  |  |

## 8- Path analysis:

Path analysis was used to determine the relative importance of umber of branches/plant, number of pods/plant and seed index to seed yield variation in mungbean. The effects of direct and indirect Path coefficient of yield components on seed yield are shown in Table 10. Number of

Where $\mathrm{X}_{1}=$ number of branches/plant.
Although, the simple correlation coefficient between each of number of pods/plant (r= $0.969)$ and seed index ( $\mathrm{r}=0.946$ ) was highly significant, the direct effect of both characters was negative . Therefore, the simple correlation coefficient is miss leading and partitioning of simple correlation coefficient must be done using Path analysis.
branches /plant showed a highly direct effect on seed yield. The indirect effect through each of the number of pods and seed index were negative and low value $(-0.0633)$ and $(-0.1652)$. But, number of pods /plant showed negative direct effect of $(-0.0642)$. While, the indirect effect through the number of branches/plant was
positive and high value of 1.199 and the indirect effect through the seed index was negative and low value ( -0.1666 ). The seed index effects direct and indirect same effects for number of pods/plant.

The relative importance of studied components to seed yield variation as percentage are shown in Tablell. It is clear that number of branches/plant, as well as, their interaction contributed as much to

Table 10. Partitioning of simple correlation coefficient between seed yield and its components of mingbean.

| Sources | th | Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of branches/plant: |  |  |
| Direct effect | \%r. | 1.215 |
| Indirect effect via number of branches/plant |  | -0.0633 |
| Indirect effect via seed index |  | -0.1652 |
| Total ( $\mathrm{ry}_{2}$ ) |  | 0.9870 |
| . Number of peds/plant: |  |  |
| Direct effect |  | -0.0642 |
| Indirect effect via number of branches/plant |  | 1.1996 |
| Indirect effect via seed index |  | -0.1666 |
| Total ( $\mathrm{Hy}_{2}$ ) |  | 0.9690 |
| Seed inder: |  |  |
| Direct effect |  | -0.1703 |
| Indirect effect via number of branches/plant |  | 1.1790 |
| Indirect effect via seed index: |  | -0.0628 |
| Total ( $\mathrm{ry}_{2}$ ) |  | 0.946 |

Table 11. Direct and Joint effects of yield components to variation of seed yield in mung bean.

| Source of variance | C.D. | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of branches/plant | 0.6909 | 69.09 |
| Number of pods/plant | 0.0019 | 0.19 |
| Seed index | 0.0135 | 1.35 |
| Number of branches/ plant $\times$ Number of pods/plant | 0.0720 | 7.20 |
| Number of branches/ plant x Seed index | 0.1878 | 18.78 |
| Number of pods plant $x$ Seed index | 0.0102 | 1.02 |
| $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | 0.9763 | 97.63 |
| Residual | 0.0237 | 2.37 |
| Total | 1.0000 | 100.00 |

C.D. $=$ Coefficient of determination
$\%=$ Percentage of contributed.
seed yield variation, since R2 recorded heren was $97.63 \%$ total yield variation. However, the residual effect contributing to seed yield was low and negligible being $2.37 \%$ of the total variation. The data obtained showed that the most important sources of seed yield variation could be arranged according to their importance in following order: Number of branches and their interactions (number of branches /plant $X$ number of pods /plant and number of branches /plant $X$ seed index). Since, their values were 69.09, 7.20 and 18.78 contributing to seed yield.

From these results, it can be stated conveniently that improving the productivity of the tested varieties could be achieved when the studied treatments i.e. nitrogen fertilization and inoculation direct to be increasing number of branches/plant, seed index and number of pods/plant.
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تأتير التلتيج البكتيري ومستوي النبتروجين ومبعاد إضافة النتيتروجين علي

> فهل المانج تحت ظرون الأراضب الرمنية









 لالرلهة. وعهوما أعطه معل .








[^0]:    * The previous scientific name was Phaseolus radiatus

[^1]:    *, ${ }^{* *}$ and N.S. denote to significant at $5,1 \%$ levelsand non significant, respectively.

