### **GENETIC POLYMOPHISM FOR INSECTS RESISTANCE ATTRIBUTES IN SOME COTTON GENOTYPES: LEAF SHAPE CHARACTERISTICS**

### Abdel-Sayyed', S.M.\*\*; A.H. Fayed\*\*; E.M. Mahgoub\*\*; M.A. Raafat \* and Mariz.S. Max\*

\*\* Department of Genetics and Genetic Eng., Fac. Agric.Zagazig University \* Cotton Research Institute, A.R.C., Giza

#### Received 17/6/2003

### **Accepted 12/8/2003**

ABSTRACT : This study was conducted to study the genetic morphological polymorphism for some insects resistance attributes, leaf shape characters, using principal components analysis among cotton genotypes. For this purpose, two cotton varieties, Giza 83 and Giza 85, and the American strain "Nectariless-Okra leaf "as well as their F1's, obtained from crossing Giza cottons as female parents with the American strain, were examined besides their derived BC4 progenies. The "Nectariless-Okra" strain varied significantly in all leaf shape parameters compared with both Giza cottons, but Fi's plants exhibited some sort of intermediate scores. Most of BC4 progenies in both crosses showed various scores of leaf shape measurements, some progenies were similar to their Giza parent and the others were near to "Nectariless-Okra leaf" strain. The principal components. PC, analysis revealed that leaf width and leaf area were primary sources of variation and eventually polymorphism, having the highest eigen coefficient in the first PC axis among progenies of both crosses. The second PC axis separated "Nectariless-Okra leaf" strain from both Giza parents and their Fi's. Most of BC4 progenies in both crosses were near to their common parent, assuring the role of selection for choosing "Nectariless-Okra Leaf" characteristics in backcross generations. These results reflected a visual non-numeric grasp of the amount of genetic polymorphism existing among cotton materials under consideration.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Cotton plants resistance to insects may be due to one or more plant characteristics: morphological. physiological or biochemical: distinguishing  $\mathbf a$ another. variety from The multiplicity  $of$ resistance mechanisms can influence the ultimate degree of plant damage by insects (Norris and Kogan, 1980). Cotton plants with leaves having identations deeper than normal between lobes are known "okra leaf" genotypes. Okra-leaf. Lo2Lo2, is considered a leaf shape morphotype that alter leaf shape by increasing length of lobes and decreased lamina expansion comparing with normal cotton leaf, lo2lo2, shape (Jones, 1982).

Okra-leaf genotypes are proved to be new sources of host-plant resistance cotton insects to (Wilson, 1989; Zhang et.al. 1993; Wu et.al. 1997 and Chu et.al. 2000 and 2001).

The present work aimed to study genetic polymorphism. at morphological level, of cotton leaf shape as insect resistance attribute, using two cotton crosses involved the "Nectariless-Okra " strain as common parent, in the parental genotypes, F1, s and their derived BC4 progenies.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Two Egyptian cotton varieties, Giza 83 and Giza 85, belonging to Gossypium barbadence, L. and the American. G.hirsutum, strain "Nectariless-Okra leaf" were used in this study as parental genotypes. Selfed seeds of the three parents were planted in the season of 2001 and interspecific crossing was done using Giza cottons as females . In the season of 2002, the seeds of the two interspecific F<sub>1</sub>, s along with selfed seeds of their parents were **sown** in  $\mathbf{a}$ complete randomized experimental design with three replicates. Each replicate comprised five rows; one for each entry or BC4, each row contained ten plants. The study was also included the "BC4 " progenies derived from backcrossing F<sub>1</sub> of both crosses to their corresponding Giza parents, which were obtained from the cotton-breeding program of the Cotton Research Institute. The seeds of the two BC4 progenies to Giza 83 and to Giza 85 were also sown in the same season, 2002. Each backcross represented by three rows, each contained ten plants. Normal culture practices were applied as recommended for

2202

ordinary cotton cultivation. The investigation was carried out at the Department of Cotton Breeding Cytology and Genetics Unit. Cotton Research **Institute** Agriculture Research Center, Giza. Data were taken on leaf samples of twenty four leaves collected from twelve plants. The upper fourth and fifth leaves were picked of each entry; parent, F1 or BC4 at 70 days old. The following leaf shape parameters were examined in cm.: middle lobe length, leaf width, lobbing depth, degree of lobbing (lobe length/lobe depth) and leaf shape index (lobe length/leaf width). Leaf area (cm2), leaf thickness (mm) and leaf dry weight % were also estimated. All leaf-shape parameters were statistically analysed on plot mean basis. The original data of leaf area and leaf dry weight % were transformed before statistical analyses into square root and angular scale, respectively. A separate analysis of variance for the parental genotypes and their F<sub>1</sub>, s for each character was done to detect the significance of the observed differences and calculate the least significant difference. Mean values of BC4 progenies. their averages and their least significant differences were also

computed as outlined by Sokal and Rohlf (1995).  $\cdots$ 

Multivariate technique was used to evaluate leaf-shape parameters contributing to the variation and eventually towards polymorphism. and to assess the genetic relationships. similarities and dissimilarities, among all studied entries of each cross. For this purpose, principal components, PC, analysis was calculated from a matrix based on correlations between the contributed characters for all genotypes of each cross. The principal components were expressed as eigen values, latent roots, and manifested in eigen vectors for all studied characters in each PC axis. (Hair et al., 1987). The PC analysis was also allowed for displaying the component scores of genotypes to be plotted simultaneously in a "joint plot " diagram. Since, each component score is a linear combination of the characters contributed and maximal amount of variation can be shown on the first and second PC axes (Johnson and Wichern, 1988). All these computations were performed using **SPSS** computer procedure (1995).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Mean values of leaf shape characters of the studied cotton genotypes are presented in Tables 1 and 2. It is clear that "nectariless-Okra leaf " parent exhibited lower values of middle lobe length, leaf and lobbing depth as width compared with those of "Giza 83" which had higher values. The same trend was detected only for leaf width and lobbing. depth compared with "Giza 85", which length as had similar  $lobe$ ."Nectariless-Okra leaf" parent. These results were reflected in higher degree of lobbing and more index leaf shape values  $for$ "Nectariless-Okra leaf "parent than the other two Giza parents. However. leaf shape most characters of "Giza 83" were higher than those of "Giza 85". Interestingly, leaf shape index reflected a case of sharp ovalshaped leaf for "Nectariless-Okra leaf" parent comparing with the slight oval to round-shaped leaf of both Giza parents. But, F1 plants, of both crosses, showed some sort of intermediate scores. **While** most BC<sub>4</sub> progenies in hoth crosses exhibited various scores of leaf shape measurements. some progenies were similar to their Giza parents and the others were near to "Nectariless-Okra leaf" narent.

Multivariate technique using principal components analysis. PCA, was performed to extract important components of variation employing eigen values. PCA was conducted on eight leaf shape insect characters related  $\mathbf{t}$ resistance in the two cotton crosses and their BC4 progenies. In the analysis with eight variables, eight PC axes existed and only those exhibited high multivariate variances were considered. Thus, the first five PC axes were accounted for more than 97% of the total variation of all studied characters. The joint values and their contribution toward the total variation associated with the first five PC axes as well as their eigen vectors of each character for both crosses are given in Tables 3 and 4. PCA showed that the first PC axes accounted for about 37% and 43% of the multivariate variation among genotypes, showing the highest eigen values for "Giza 85 x Nectariless-Okra leaf " and "Giza 83 x<sup>o</sup> Nectariless-Okra leaf " progenies, respectively. While, the first four PC axes accounted for more than 90% of the total

variation in both crosses, but the fifth PC axis contributed with about 3-6 %. Likewise, the second PC axis appeared to contribute with equal variations among progenies of both crosses .In this regard. You et.al. (1998): Abdel-Savved et.al. (2000) and El-Mansy (2000) found that the accumulative contribution percentage for the first three PC axes of variation reached to about 85%, which agreed with our findings.

The relative magnitude of the eigen coefficient of each character, in relation to the first five PC axes from the components analysis. provided an interpretation for each component axis. Each character proved to be an important source in, at least, one PC axis. However, because each of PC axes was given equal weight in the multivariate analysis, some characters might possess greater importance in determining plant phenotypes than others (Hair et al., 1987). The PCA showed that leaf width and leaf area were primary sources of variation having the largest coefficient in the first PC axis among progenies of both crosses. Depth and degree of lobbing appeared to have the second largest coefficient among the cross "Giza85 x Nectatiless-Okra " and

showed the largest coefficient in the second PC axis among the cross "Giza x Nectariless-Okra". While, leaf shape index seemed to possess large coefficient also in the first PC axis among progenies of both crosses. Moreover, leaf thickness and middle lobe length exhibited the largest coefficient in the second and third PC axes among progenies of Giza 85 and Giza 83, respectively. But, leaf dry weight showed the largest coefficient in the third PC axis.

It is interest to note that characters having high coefficients on the same PC axis appeared to be correlated, which agreed with the findings of the Fiber Ouality Research Unit (1988). Cai et al (1996) showed that the primary characters controlling earliness in cotton were biological earliness factors in the second PC axis. Likewise, You et al (1998), Abdel-Savved et al (2000) and El-Mansy (2000) reported that the first PC, among F<sub>1</sub> cotton crosses, was yield factors while, the second and third PCs comprised fiber properties and boll characters.

Furthermore, each genotype could be plotted at the component score on each PC axis, since each component score is a linear combination of the characters

considering as an index. The two dimensional distance between genotypes could reflect a summary of differences based on all characters measured to the extent that the first two PC axes are effective in capturing the combined variance (Hair et al, 1987). Consequently, the first two PC axes were used to represent the fifteen genotypes of each studied cross; parents, F1 and BC4 progenies. Figure 1 showed such representations for leaf shape parameters.

It is clear that the second PC axis PC<sub>2</sub> separated the Nectariless-Okra leaf genotype P<sub>2</sub>, from both Giza 83 and Giza 85 as well as their corresponding  $F<sub>1.5</sub>$ The arrangement  $of.$  $F<sub>1.5</sub>$ **was** corresponded to their Giza parents rather than to Nectariless-Okra one. However, most of BC4 progenies: No.8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15; were more close to their common parent Nectariless-Okra. Such a conclusion might assure the role of selection for choosing Nectariless-Okra characteristics in backcross generations. In this respect, Abdel-Sayyed et al (2000) and El-Mansy (2000) found that PC2 separated the " naked seed-creamy lint" offlype and its F<sub>1</sub> progenies from Giza 45 and Giza 85 and their F1 progenies

and added that the arrangement of genotypes corresponded to their common parents.

By viewing these results, one could obtain a visual non-numeric grasp of the amount of genetic polymorphism existing among material cotton under consideration. Since, such analysis appeared to be appropriate for forming associations among genotypes, and would be useful. over time, in detecting any movement in the genetic basis of such cotton materials.

### **REFERENCES**

- Abdel-Sayyed,  $S.M.:$ A.R.Abo-Arab and Y.M. El-Mansy (2000). Genetical studies on offlypes of some Egyptian cottons I: genetic divergence Cotton among genotypes. J. Agric. Sci. MansouraUniv..  $25(11): 6643 - 6657$
- Cai, J.F.; Y.J. Tang and H.H.He (1996). Principal components analysis and canonical correlation analysis of earliness yield contributing characters and fiber quality of short season cotton. J .Southwest Agric. Univ., 18(4): 346-349.
- Chu, C.C.; T.P. Freeman, J.S. **Buckner** and **E.T. Natwick**

 $(2000).$ Bemisia argentifolia Colonization on upland cottons relationships to leaf and morphology and leaf age. Ann.Entomol. Soc. Amer.93  $(4): 912 - 919.$ 

- Chu: C.C., E.T.Netwick. J.S. **Buckner** and T.P.Freeman (2001). Silver leaf whitefly studies: Effects of trichome density and leaf shape. Arizona Cotton Rep.1224, Univ. of Arizona.
- El-Mansy, Y.M. (2000). Genetical studies on offlypes of some cottons . M.Sc. Egyptian thesis, in genetics, Fac, Agric. Zagazig Univ. Fiber Ouality Research Unit (1988) Regional Cotton Variety Tests, 1987, Southern Regional Res. Cent. New Oreleans.
- Hair, J.F.; Jr.R.E. Anderson and R.L Tatham  $(1987).$ **Multivariate** Data Analysis with Reading. Mac Millan Publ. Co., New York.
- Johanson, R.A. and D.W. Wichern (1988). Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis, 2 nd. Edit., Hall. Prentice Englewood Cliffs.N.J. USA.
- Jones, J.E. (1982). The Present state of the art and Science of breeding for leaf cotton morphological types. pp:  $93 -$

99. In Proc. Beltwide Cotton Prod. Res. Conf., Las Vegas,  $NV, 3 - 7$  Jan. 1982.

- Norris, D.M. and M. Kogan  $(1980).$ **Biochemical** and morphological bases óf resistance.,  $pp : 23 -61$ . In Breeding Plants Resistance to Insects, John Willey and Sons, New York.
- Sokal, R.R. and F.J Rohlf (1995). Biometry, 3 rd edit. Freeman and Company, New York.
- SPSS (1995). SPSS computer user's guide SPSS In USA.
- Wilson, F.D. (1989). Yield, Earliness and fiber properties of cotton carrying combined traits for pink bollworm resistance. Crop Sci.,  $29(1): 7 - 12.$
- Wu, L.F.:O.N.Cai and O.W.Zhang (1997). The Resistance of cotton lines with different morphological characteristics and their F<sub>1</sub> hybrids to cotton bollworm. Acta Entomologica-Sinica, 40: 103 - 109.
- You, J.; J.L. Liu and J.Z.Sun (1998). Analysis of Heterosis and its components in interspecific crosses between upland cotton and breeding lines developed from primitive race stocks (G. hirsutum, L.). Acta Agronomica Sinica, 24  $(6): 834 - 839.$

 $2208$ 

 $\sim 100$ 

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

 $\sim$  $\sim 10$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\overline{a}$ 



 $\mathcal{P}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\mathbf{a}$ 

Table : 1 Performance of leaf shape parameters in plants of the studied "Giza 83<br>X Nectariless - Okra leaf " at 70 days old.

 $\cdot$ 



 $\sim$ 

#### Table : 2 Performance of leaf shape parameters in plants of the cross" Giza 85 x Nectariless - Okra leaf "and its BC4 progenies at 70 days old.

 $\bar{z}$ 

Table: 3 Principal components analysis of leaf shape characters associated with the cross "Giza83 X Nectariless-Okra" and its BC4 progenies showing eigen values \* and eigen vectors of studied characters in the first five PC axes.  $\cdot$ 

<b>Parameters</b>	PC axes				
		$\overline{a}$	3	4	5
<b>Eigen</b> values	2.990	2.335	1.452	0.747	0.254
PC% of variation	37.374	29.180	18.155	9.341	3.178
<b>Cumulative proportion of variation</b>	37.374	66.555	84.710	94.051	97.229
Characters	<b>Eigen Vectors</b>				
Middle lobe length cm.	$-0.379$	$-0.582$	0.697	0.105	0.129
Leaf width cm.	$-0.860$	0.036	0.435	$-0.170$	$-0.196$
Depth of lobbing cm.	$-0.166$	0.908	$-0.072$	0.355	$-0.014$
Degree of lobbing cm.	0.032	$-0.961$	0.068	$-0.201$	0.110
Leaf shape index	0.794	$-0.387$	$-0.074$	0.226	$-0.399$
Leaf area cm2	$-0.899$	$-0.128$	0.395	0.017	$-0.128$
Leaf thickness mm.	$-0.504$	$-0.016$	$-0.807$	$-0.107$	$-0.020$
Leaf dry weight %	0.621	0.282	0.655	$-0.056$	0.104

\* Latent roots

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

# Zagazig J.Agric. Res., Vol.30 No.(6) 2003

Table: 4 Principal components analysis of feaf shape characters associated with the cross "Giza85 X Nectariless-Okra" and its BC4 progenies showing eigen values \* and eigen vectors of studied characters in the first five PC axes.  $\bullet$ 

 $\overline{r}$ 

 $\tilde t = \omega$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$  , where  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\sim$ 



\* Latent roots

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\mathcal{L}}\left(\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\mathcal{L}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\mathcal{L}}\left(\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\mathcal{L}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\mathcal{L}}\left(\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\mathcal{L}}\right)$ 

 $\gamma$  .



Plot of first and second principal component axes for representation **Figure 1:** genotypes derived from the two studied crosses based on leaf shape characters.

(No 1-12 BC 4 progenies)

# تعدد الأشكال الور اثبة لصفات المقاومة للحشرات في بعض طرز القطن الور اثبة : صفات شكل الورقة

سلامة ميخانيل عبد السيد\*\* ، أحمد حسن فايد\*\* ، السيد محمود محمد أحمد رأفت \* ، ماريز صبحي مكس \* محجو ب\*\*،

\*\* قسم الوراثة و الهندسة الوراثية \_ كلية الزراعة \_ جامعة الزقازيق \* معهد بحوث القطن \_ مركز البحوث الزر اعية \_ الجيزة

يهدف البحث إلى در اسة تحد الأشكال الوراثية المورفولوجية لبعض صفات المقاومسة للحشرات ، صفات شكل الورفية ، باستخدام تحليل المكونيات الأساسية بين طرز الفطن ، ليهذا الغرض تم استخدام صنفي الفطن جيزة ٨٣ ، جيزة ٨٥ و السلالة الأمريكيـة \_ Nectariless " » Okra) كذلك هجن الجيل الأول الناتجـة مـن تـهجين الأصنـاف المصريـة كأسـهات مـم المــلالة الأمريكية بالاضافة إلى نسل الجيل الرجعي الرابع لهذه الهجن (DC4) .

أظهرت السلالة الأمريكية المتباطأ معنويا في قياسات شكل الورقية مقارنية بالأصناف المصرية ، و أظهرت بيقات الجيل الأول قياسات وسطية . أما مطلم نسل الجيل الرجعي الرابيع للهجيئين فَظَهِر فَيسْت متباينة ، البعض كان مشابها للأياء المصرية و البعض الأخـر كـان فريبـا من شكل الورقة للسلالة الأمريكية.

أظهر تطيل المكونات الأساسية أن عرض و مسلحة الورقة هما المصدر الأول اللتساين معطية أكبر معامل في المحور. الأول للمكونات الأساسية لكلا الهجينين. أما المحور. الثاني للمكونات الأساسية فقد فصل بين السلالة الأمريكية و الأصناف المصرية و كذلك أفراد الجيلين الأولين، و قد كان معظم أفراد الجيل الرجعي الرابع لكلا الهجيتين قريبا من الأب المثنترك الأمريكي مؤكدة دور الانتخاب في اختيار خصائص السلالة الأمريكية في أجيال الهجن الرجعية. و قد عكست هذه النتائج إدراك مرني و غير رقمي لكمية تحد الأشكال الوراثية الموجودة في طرز القطن المدروسة.