

Annals Of Agric. Sc., Moshtohor,
Vol. 42(4): 2017-2032 (2004).

**EFFECT OF BIO AND CHEMICAL FERTILIZERS ON GROWTH,
 YIELD AND CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF POTATO
 (*Solanum tuberosu*) UNDER SAND SOIL CONDITION.**

BY

Arafa, M M*. and El-Maghraby, T.A. **

* Protected Plants Dept. (vegetable crops), Desert Environment Res. Inst.,
 Minufiya Univ., Egypt

** Soil, Water and Environment Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments (two summer seasons of 2002 and 2003) were carried out on a sand soil at a farm in Salhia area, Ismailia, Governorate for study the effect of combination three rates (per feddan) from bio-fertilizers (without "B0"), (500g of inoculums of a mixture PDB (P-dissolving bacteria) and (NFB) N-fixation bacteria + 300g of PDB inoculums + 2 L. of SF (spray fertilizer liquid containing 24% K + 5% N "B1") and (double the amounts of inoculums + 1.5 the amount of spray liquid "B2") and three levels (kg/fed.) NPK (75 + 7 + 60 "L1", (100 + 14 + 80 "L2") and (125 + 21 + 100 "L3" kg/fed.) and their interaction on yield, vegetative growth and chemical composition of potato plants. Bio-fertilization increased yields of tubers with main effects as follows (metric ton/fed.): B0:12.08, B1: 13.22, B2: 13.75 (average of 2 seasons). Mineral fertilization increased yields with main effect of L1: 12.42, L2: 13.23, L3: 13.39.

Total yield of potato tubers was highest by the B1 L3 treatment. The results showed also that using B2 significantly increased the plant height, number of branches/ plant, fresh and dry weight of plant foliage, number and weight of tubers/ plant, total yield, marketable yield percentage, large tuber percentage and chemical composition of potato plant and tubers. The L3 mineral fertilization treatment increased all parameters under investigation.

Regarding the interaction between bio and mineral fertilizers; results indicate that B2 L2 significantly increased plant height, number of branches/plant, fresh weight, number and weight of tubers/plant, total yield, marketable yield percentage, percentage of large tuber and chemical composition of plant foliage and tubers.

Increases in contents of N, K, Fe, Mn, and Zn in plant foliage increased by up to 60 %, 29 %, 88 %, 69 % and 70 % for each nutrient respectively as a result of applying (L2 B2), (L2 B2), (L3 B1), (L2 B2) and (L2 B2), respectively. Comparable values content in tubers were 32 %, 27 %, 62 %, 79 % and 57 % for each nutrient respectively and as a result of applying (L3 B1), (L2 B2), (L3 B1), (L2 B2) and (L2 B2), respectively.

Foliage N, K, Fe and Zn contents were higher than in tubers, but foliage P and Mn were lower than in tubers.

Key words: Bio and chemical fertilizers, yield, N, P, K, Fe, Mn, Zn, sand soil, potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.)

INTRODUCTION

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) is among the most important crop grown in Egypt, whether regarding domestic consumption or exportation. In recent years attention has been done to use bio-fertilizers through inoculation as well as using organic fertilizers, in order to reduce plant and soil contamination with some elements and also to reduce the use of mineral fertilizers.

Soil micro organisms play a fundamental role in overcoming the problems of immobilization fixation and precipitation of soluble micronutrients the solubility problem in many soils, by releasing the immobilized, fixed, or precipitated forms of nutrients thus transforming them into soluble forms to be ready for plant nutrition, such as phosphate dissolving bacteria and inoculums of a mixture "P-dissolving bacteria and N-fixation bacteria" would give the same effect of nitrogen and would reduce the amount of fertilizer nitrogen and increase the growth characteristics of plant foliage and produce large potato tubers, and bean yield (Ghosh and Das, 1998, Abou-Hussein, 2001 and Abdalla *et al.*, 2001).

Utilization of bio fertilizers mixed with N and K nutrients applied through spray on haulm of plant proved useful on increasing yield and its components by enhancing storage of carbohydrates in potato tubers (Mahendran and Chandramani, 1998) and in onion (El-Sheekh, 1997). Also, bio-fertilizers had a marked effect on nutrient contents of potato foliage and tubers (Mahendran and Chandramani, 1998).

The balance between N, P and K and increasing their levels had an important role for increasing vegetative growth (Reisi and Khajehpour, 1992, Juzl, 1994), yield and its components (Azad *et al.*, 1996, Arisha and Bardisi, 1999), potato tuber size (Rabic, 1996, Arafa, 1999), as well as the chemical composition of all plant parts, foliage and tuber (Arisha and Bardisi, 1999).

The combination between NPK and bio-fertilizers was reported to be more effective in increasing vegetative growth (Rahman *et al.*, 1992, El-Gamal, 1996), yield and its components, tuber size (El-Gamal, 1996, Mahendran and Chandramani, 1998, El-Dsouky and Attia, 1999) and chemical contents of different plant parts, (Hauka *et al.*, 1990 on barley and tomato, El-Sheekh, 1997 on onion).

The current study was carried out to investigate the influence of different combinations of mineral fertilization levels (NPK) and combinations of biofertilization (of several groups of microorganisms "inoculums of a mixture P-dissolving bacteria and N-fixation bacteria", phosphate dissolving bacteria and K-

spray fertilizer "24% K + 5% N") on growth, yield and its components, tuber size and chemical composition of potato grown on a sand soil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out during the two summer growing seasons of 2002 and 2003 on a sand soil at a farm in Salhia area in Ismailia, Governorate, Egypt, using potato plants (*Solanum tuberosum* cv. Hermis) for response to mineral fertilization with (NPK) and biofertilization. Soil properties of the experimental site are present in Table (1).

Representative soil samples were taken from the experimental field before initiating the experiment and analyzed for soil properties. Soil particle size distribution was done without CaCO₃ removal using the pipette method Piper (1950). Water holding capacity, field capacity, wilting point, CaCO₃ content, pH, salinity, and organic matter percent were determined methods cited in Black *et al.* (1965). Salinity and soluble ions were determined in the soil water paste extract (SO₄²⁻ was calculated by subtracted). Available macronutrients (NO₃-N, P and K) and available micronutrients (Fe, Mn, and Zn) were extracted by ammonium bicarbonate-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (NH₄HCO₃-DTPA) and determined according to Soltanpour (1985).

The experiment was a factorial in a randomized complete block design. It included 9 treatments, which were the combination between three rats of (NPK) mineral fertilization and three rats of biofertilization (of several groups of microorganisms "inoculums of a mixture P-dissolving bacteria and N-fixation bacteria", phosphate dissolving bacteria and K-spray fertilizer "24% K + 5% N") as follows:

A- Levels of N P K mineral fertilization.

1. 75 + 7 + 60 kg N P K respectively/ fed., referred to as "L1"
2. 100 + 14 + 80 kg N P K respectively/ fed., referred to as "L2"
3. 125 + 21 + 100 kg N P K respectively/ fed., referred to as "L3"
(1P=2.29 P₂O₅; 1K=1.25 K₂O)

B- Biofertilization rates:

- 1- Non-biofertilization referred to as "B0"
- 2- Biofertilization 1 (referred to as "B1") using the following substances and rates/fed.
500g of inoculums of a mixture PDB (P-dissolving bacteria) and (NFB) N-fixation bacteria + 300g of PDB inoculums + 2 L of SF (spray fertilizer liquid containing 24% K + 5% N).
- 3- Biofertilization 2 (referred to as "B2") using the above-mentioned components at rates of 1000g + 600g + 3L per feddan.

Bib-fertilizer inoculums materials are produced by General Organization for Agricultural Equalization Fund, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Egypt.

The mineral fertilizer materials were ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N), added as triple super phosphate (16.3 % P) potassium sulphate (40% K). The bio-fertilizers contained several groups of microorganisms "inoculum of a mixture P-dissolving bacteria and N-fixation bacteria" and phosphate dissolving bacteria were mixed with potato tuber seeds while the spray fertilizer was sprayed on potato plant in two equal portions after 60 and 80 days after seeding.

Phosphorus fertilizer was added during the soil preparation before planting. Mean while, the amounts of nitrogen and potassium fertilizer were divided into three equal portions and added at 10 days intervals beginning after completely emergence.

A randomized complete block design (factorial) with three replications was used. Plot area was 9.45 m², which included 3 rows of 3.5 m long and 0.9 m wide. Tuber seeds were sown on 16th January in the two seasons of growth and spaced at 25 cm apart. Other agricultural practices required for potato production were done as commonly followed in the region.

Table (1): Soil properties of the experimental site.

A: Particle size distribution, calcium carbonate and organic matter (OM) contents:

| Particle fraction (%) | | | Textural Class | CaCO ₃ % | OM % |
|-----------------------|------|------|----------------|---------------------|------|
| Sand | Silt | Clay | | | |
| 93.8 | 3.2 | 3.0 | Sand | 1.70 | 0.22 |

B: Soil moisture parameters (w/w %):

| Water holding capacity % | Field capacity % | Wilting point % | Available water (%) |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 18.5 | 9.98 | 3.08 | 6.90 |

C: Available nutrients (Am.bicarbonate DTPA extract):

| Available macro-nutrients mg/kg soil | | | Available micro-nutrients mg/kg soil | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|----|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| NO ₃ -N | P | K | Fe | Mn | Zn |
| 6.1 | 1.8 | 46 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 1.0 |

D: Soil pH and chemical analysis of the soil paste extract:

| pH (1 - 2.5 soil water susp.) | EC dS/m | Soluble anions | | | Soluble cations | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | | mmol/L | | | | | | |
| | | HCO ₃ ⁻ | Cl ⁻ | SO ₄ ⁻² | Ca ⁺⁺ | Mg ⁺⁺ | Na ⁺ | K ⁺ |
| 7.70 | 1.20 | 3.97 | 1.5 | 6.65 | 5.77 | 3.97 | 1.89 | 0.49 |

Data recorded:

I- Vegetative growth:

Two plants from each treatment were randomly pulled out of the soil (75 days after planting) to determine the following parameters:

- 1- Plant height.
- 2- Number of branches / plant
- 3- Fresh weight of plant foliage.
- 4- Dry weight of plant foliage.

Different plant parts, i.e. shoots and tubers, were oven dried at 70°C till constant weight for determining the contents of "N, P, K, Fe, Mn and Zn"

II- Yield, yield components and tuber quality:

At 120 days of planting, tubers from each plot were harvested, weighed, counted and graded for recording the following data:

1. Number of tubers / plant.
2. Average weight of tuber.
3. Average yield of tubers / plant.
4. Yield
5. Tuber size: Potato tubers of each plot were graded into three sizes as follows:
 - I. The large size tuber (more than 55mm diameter).
 - II. The medium size tubers (35-55mm diameter).
 - III. The small size tubers (less than 35mm diameter).

Tubers of each size were weighed and its percentage of the total marketable yield was calculated.

III- Chemical composition of plant foliage and tubers:

Total nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, in the digested dry matter of each plant foliage and tubers N was determined by the Kjeldahl method according to methods cited by Pregl (1945), Murphy and Riely (1962) and Brown and Lilleland (1946)

Micronutrients of Fe, Mn and Zn were determined in the digests using the atomic spectro photometer (Philips) according to Chapman and Pratt (1961).

Results were statistically analyzed according to the methods described by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Vegetative growth:

a) The main effect of biofertilization:

Data in Table (2) show the, vegetative growth of potato plants expressed as plant height, number of branches/plant, fresh weight and dry weight of plant foliage as affected by the inoculation of different biofertilization compounds. In this respect the used bio-fertilizers significantly increased all plant growth parameters. The highest values in all recorded plant growth traits were obtained by using the B2 treatment, i.e. the high level of biofertilization

(1000+600+3L/fed). The superiority of using the biofertilization compound of contained several groups of microorganisms "inoculums of a mixture P-dissolving bacteria and N-fixation bacteria" and phosphate dissolving bacteria to the soil reflects a release of fixed P and formation of N-substances by bacteria, hence increasing availability of these two elements in the root zone. Nitrogen and phosphorus play a great role in enlargement and cell division and also enhance protein synthesis necessary for building new cells. These results are similar to those reported by Ghosh and Das, (1998), Abdalla *et al.* (2001) and Abou-Hamam (2001).

b) The main effect of mineral fertilization:

Data in Table (2) show also that, all the studied vegetative growth parameters significantly increased with increasing the combination mineral fertilizers (NPK) levels up to the highest used level (125 + 21+100 kg NPK/ fed) in both growing seasons. For some parameters, differences between L1 and L2 were slight and not statistically significant. These results agree with those reported by Reisi and Khajepour (1992) and Juzi (1994).

Table (2): Effect of different combinations biofertilization rates, combinations of mineral fertilization and their interaction on vegetative growth of characteristics of potato plants.

| Treatment | | 2002 | | | | 2003 | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Plant height (cm) | No. of branches /plant | Fresh weight plant(g) | Dry weight/ plant "g" | Plant height (cm) | No. of branches /plant | Fresh weight plant (g) | Dry weight/ Plant "g" |
| Biofertilization (B) | B0 | 57.0 | 2.9 | 485 | 73.8 | 50.0 | 2.1 | 350 | 81.0 |
| | B1 | 62.1 | 3.1 | 542 | 86.5 | 52.0 | 2.3 | 643 | 102.9 |
| | B2 | 63.0 | 3.2 | 546 | 87.8 | 53.0 | 2.3 | 647 | 104.3 |
| | LSD at 0.05% | 0.6 | 0.2 | 3 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 2 | 2.0 |
| NPK-mineral fertilization (L) | L1 | 60.0 | 2.9 | 462 | 75.4 | 50.0 | 2.1 | 582 | 95.0 |
| | L2 | 60.6 | 3.0 | 548 | 84.7 | 51.0 | 2.2 | 617 | 96.0 |
| | L3 | 62.6 | 3.3 | 563 | 88.0 | 52.0 | 2.5 | 622 | 97.1 |
| | LSD at 0.05% | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Level L1 | B0 | 56.0 | 2.8 | 443 | 70.9 | 48.0 | 2.0 | 488 | 78.1 |
| | B1 | 60.0 | 3.0 | 446 | 72.7 | 51.0 | 2.1 | 620 | 101.1 |
| | B2 | 62.7 | 3.1 | 497 | 82.5 | 53.0 | 2.2 | 638 | 105.9 |
| Level L2 | B0 | 55.0 | 2.8 | 503 | 67.9 | 48.0 | 2.1 | 513 | 69.2 |
| | B1 | 60.3 | 3.0 | 540 | 86.4 | 50.0 | 2.2 | 660 | 105.6 |
| | B2 | 66.5 | 3.2 | 601 | 99.8 | 53.0 | 2.3 | 678 | 113.2 |
| Level L3 | B0 | 60.0 | 3.0 | 510 | 82.6 | 52.0 | 2.2 | 591 | 95.7 |
| | B1 | 66.0 | 3.4 | 640 | 100.5 | 53.0 | 2.7 | 650 | 102.0 |
| | B2 | 60.0 | 3.2 | 540 | 81.0 | 50.0 | 2.5 | 625 | 93.7 |
| | LSD at 0.05% | 1.1 | 0.3 | 5 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 4 | 6.0 |

c) Effect of the interaction:

The higher rate of biofertilizer (B2) was superior to the lower rate (B1) only in presence of the high level of mineral fertilizer (L3). The lower rate of biofertilizer giving more vegetative growth characteristics over the higher rates of bio-fertilizer during both growing seasons. Also, L2 was much superior to L1 in presence of B2. These results are in agreement with those reported by Rahman *et al.* (1992) and El-Gamal (1996).

2-Chemical composition of potato plants foliage:

a) The main effect of biofertilization:

Data in Table (3) show significant differences due to biofertilization. The high B2 rate was superior in N, K, Fe, Mn and Zn content. However, analyses of variances generally revealed that mean value of P-content in potato foliage was not significantly affected by biofertilization. These obtained results may be due to the main role of such studied biofertilizers increasing the solubility of determined macro and micro-elements at the root zone and consequently increasing their availability. Nitrogen fixing-bacteria may have increased the synthesis of the endogenous phytohormones such as Indole Acetic Acid (IAA), Gibberelic Acids (GA₃) and Cytokinones (CK₆). These substances play an important role in formation of active root systems that allow more of nutrients for uptake and hence promote photosynthesis and translocation. These results are agreement with those reported by El-Sheekh (1997) and Mahenadran and Chandramani (1998).

b) The main effect of mineral fertilization:

The same data in Table (3) also indicate that, contents of macro and micronutrients mean values significantly increased by mineral fertilizer use. These results confirm those reported by Arisha and Bardisi (1999).

There were increases in P-content due to biofertilization as well as mineral fertilization although such differences were not statistically significant.

c) Effect of the interaction:

A occurred with N, K, Fe, Mn and Zn content in potato foliage, high rate of biofertilizers was superior to low rate only where L1 or L2 were used giving average increases (two seasons) in N, K, Fe, Mn and Zn amounting to 15.5%, 12.0%, 44.5%, 17.0% and 13.5% respectively under conditions of L1 or 40.0%, 9.0%, 6.5%, 16.5% and 13.0% respectively under conditions of L2. However, the high rate was inferior to the low rate giving 19.0%, 6.5%, 27.5%, 8.0% and 6.5% (average of two seasons), less N, K, Fe, Mn and Zn contents respectively than the low rate under conditions of L3. Phosphorus content in foliage was not significantly affected by the interaction caused by the combination of mineral fertilizer (NPK) and biofertilizers. These results are in the same line with those reported by Hauka *et al.* (1990) and El-Sheekh (1997).

Table (3): Effect of different combinations biofertilization rates, combinations of mineral fertilization and their interaction on chemical composition of potato foliage.

| Treatment | | 2002 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|------|-------|-----|----------|----------|----------|
| | | N % | P % | K % | Fe mg/kg | Mn mg/kg | Zn mg/kg |
| Bio-fertilization (B) | B0 | 2.88 | 0.284 | 6.3 | 52 | 16 | 79 |
| | B1 | 3.21 | 0.377 | 7.0 | 63 | 18 | 87 |
| | B2 | 3.36 | 0.398 | 7.4 | 67 | 19 | 89 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05 | 0.05 | ns | 0.1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| NPK-mineral fertilization (L) | L1 | 2.67 | 0.262 | 6.6 | 54 | 16 | 84 |
| | L2 | 3.31 | 0.348 | 7.0 | 65 | 18 | 80 |
| | L3 | 3.46 | 0.484 | 7.2 | 67 | 19 | 90 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05 | 0.07 | ns | 0.1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Level L1 | B0 | 2.41 | 0.205 | 5.7 | 40 | 15 | 80 |
| | B1 | 2.67 | 0.227 | 6.6 | 50 | 16 | 83 |
| | B2 | 2.94 | 0.356 | 7.4 | 65 | 18 | 90 |
| Level L2 | B0 | 3.02 | 0.205 | 7.3 | 60 | 16 | 66 |
| | B1 | 3.32 | 0.356 | 7.0 | 66 | 18 | 83 |
| | B2 | 4.60 | 0.485 | 7.7 | 70 | 20 | 90 |
| Level L3 | B0 | 3.20 | 0.442 | 6.9 | 63 | 18 | 90 |
| | B1 | 3.64 | 0.549 | 7.4 | 73 | 20 | 93 |
| | B2 | 3.55 | 0.355 | 7.2 | 65 | 18 | 86 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05 | 0.08 | ns | 0.2 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| | | 2003 | | | | | |
| Bio-fertilization (B) | B0 | 2.35 | 0.055 | 6.2 | 48 | 13 | 50 |
| | B1 | 2.91 | 0.212 | 7.4 | 71 | 18 | 74 |
| | B2 | 3.14 | 0.402 | 7.6 | 74 | 20 | 81 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05 | 0.09 | ns | 0.4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| NPK-mineral fertilization (L) | L1 | 2.72 | 0.184 | 6.5 | 58 | 14 | 66 |
| | L2 | 2.81 | 0.250 | 7.2 | 66 | 18 | 69 |
| | L3 | 2.87 | 0.236 | 7.4 | 69 | 19 | 70 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05 | 0.07 | ns | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Level L1 | B0 | 2.52 | 0.034 | 5.4 | 37 | 12 | 46 |
| | B1 | 2.55 | 0.155 | 6.7 | 53 | 14 | 70 |
| | B2 | 3.08 | 0.362 | 7.5 | 84 | 17 | 83 |
| Level L2 | B0 | 2.04 | 0.055 | 6.3 | 53 | 13 | 50 |
| | B1 | 2.67 | 0.333 | 7.4 | 70 | 18 | 72 |
| | B2 | 3.74 | 0.462 | 8.0 | 75 | 22 | 85 |
| Level L3 | B0 | 2.50 | 0.067 | 6.9 | 53 | 15 | 53 |
| | B1 | 3.51 | 0.248 | 8.0 | 90 | 21 | 80 |
| | B2 | 2.61 | 0.384 | 7.3 | 63 | 20 | 76 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05 | 0.16 | ns | 0.7 | 2 | 2 | 3 |

3- Yield and its components:

Total yields (metric ton/fed.) are presented in table (4). The non-treated treatments gave total yields of potato of 12.171 ton/fed., but the bio or mineral-fertilized ones gave increases of 33.4% for (L3 B1); 29.8% for (L1 B2); 23.4% for (B2); 22.8% for (L2 B2); 17.7% for (L3 B2); 17.5% for (L3); 16.2% for (L2); 15.5% for (B1); 14.6% for (L2 B1) and 5.0% for (L1). A marked increase in yield occurred by fertilizers application, increase ranged between from 5.0% - 33.4% in first season, but second season giving less percent than first season. The combination of biofertilizers rate showed higher yield compared with combination of mineral fertilizers giving a mean of 14.54 ton/fed. "giving 19.5% more yield" as compared with 13.74 ton/fed. "giving 12.9% more yield" given by mineral fertilizers. This effect could be due to that biofertilizers which plays a fundamental role in releasing nutrients and making them ready for plant nutrition. Biofertilizers would increase microorganisms in soil; the microorganisms would produce growth promoting substances which increase the plant growth. This increase in plant growth would increase the photosynthetic rates leading to an increase of the assimilation rates.

a) The main effect of biofertilization:

Data in Table (4) show that the numbers of tubers per plant, average tuber weight, yield of tuber/plant, total and marketable yield significantly increased with addition of biofertilizers in both growing seasons. Tubers yield per plant and unmarketable yield percentage significantly increased in the second season only. These results are in agreement with those reported by El-Sheekh (1997) and Mahenadran and Chandramani (1998).

b) The main effect of mineral fertilization:

The same data in Table (4) show also that the number and weight of tubers /plant and total yield as well marketable yield significantly increased with increasing levels combination of (NPK) fertilizer. These results are in agreement with those reported by Azad *et al.* (1996) and Arisha and Bardisi (1999).

c) Effect of the interaction:

Data in Table (4) show that the bio-fertilizer in combination with the highest L3 (NPK) fertilizers increased the number of tubers per plant, tuber weight, total and marketable yield. However tuber yield per plant significantly increased in second season only. The high total yield obtained from using the combination of bio and (NPK) fertilizers may be due to the increase of these attributes in plant foliage and significantly increased fresh weight of potato plant and its organs leading to a large increase in production of potato. Also, biofertilization may have increased absorption of nitrogen and phosphorus by plant. The role of nitrogen in the formation of chlorophyll molecule and other component of all proteins. Phosphorus is important for production and maturing. It functions as a part of the enzyme system in plant. These results are reported by El-Gamal (1996), Mahendran and Chandramani (1998) and El-Dsouky and Attia (1999).

Table (4): Effect of different combinations biofertilization rates, combinations of mineral fertilization and their interaction on potato tuber yield and its components.

| Treatment | | 2002 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| | | No. of tubers/plant | Tuber weight (g) | Tubers yield/plant (g) | Total yield ton/fed | Market able yield ton/ fed | Unmarketable yield % |
| Biofertilization (B) | B0 | 6.8 | 124.7 | 848 | 12.171 | 11.749 | 3.6 |
| | B1 | 7.4 | 130.3 | 964 | 14.055 | 13.695 | 2.6 |
| | B2 | 7.7 | 131.8 | 1015 | 15.020 | 14.890 | 0.9 |
| | LSD at 0.05% | 0.3 | 1.4 | 7 | 0.550 | 0.320 | 0.2 |
| NPK-mineral fertilization (L) | L1 | 6.9 | 125.2 | 864 | 12.766 | 12.155 | 5.0 |
| | L2 | 7.3 | 128.0 | 934 | 14.137 | 14.048 | 0.6 |
| | L3 | 7.7 | 133.6 | 1029 | 14.306 | 14.131 | 1.2 |
| | LSD at 0.05% | 0.1 | 2.3 | 4 | 0.580 | 0.220 | 0.3 |
| Level L1 | B0 | 6.0 | 118.8 | 713 | 10.520 | 9.440 | 11.4 |
| | B1 | 7.0 | 127.0 | 889 | 11.850 | 11.205 | 5.8 |
| | B2 | 7.7 | 130.0 | 1001 | 15.795 | 15.720 | 0.5 |
| Level L2 | B0 | 6.5 | 122.0 | 793 | 13.635 | 13.525 | 0.8 |
| | B1 | 7.2 | 129.0 | 929 | 13.945 | 13.785 | 1.2 |
| | B2 | 8.2 | 133.0 | 1091 | 14.940 | 14.835 | 0.7 |
| Level L3 | B0 | 8.0 | 133.5 | 1068 | 12.360 | 12.280 | 0.7 |
| | B1 | 8.0 | 135.0 | 1080 | 16.235 | 15.995 | 1.5 |
| | B2 | 7.2 | 132.4 | 953 | 14.325 | 14.115 | 1.5 |
| | LSD at 0.05% | 0.5 | 2.5 | 8 | 0.950 | 0.550 | 0.4 |
| | | 2003 | | | | | |
| Biofertilization (B) | B0 | 6.4 | 88.7 | 568 | 11.985 | 11.810 | 1.5 |
| | B1 | 6.8 | 96.2 | 654 | 12.385 | 12.066 | 2.6 |
| | B2 | 6.9 | 97.9 | 676 | 12.485 | 12.133 | 2.9 |
| | LSD at 0.05% | 0.3 | 1.8 | 3 | 0.100 | 0.150 | 0.9 |
| NPK-mineral fertilization (L) | L1 | 6.5 | 90.1 | 586 | 12.066 | 11.827 | 2.0 |
| | L2 | 6.8 | 92.0 | 626 | 12.315 | 11.950 | 3.1 |
| | L3 | 6.9 | 100.6 | 694 | 12.470 | 12.200 | 2.2 |
| | LSD at 0.05% | 0.3 | 1.8 | 4 | 0.050 | 0.140 | 0.8 |
| Level L1 | B0 | 6.0 | 87.1 | 523 | 11.800 | 11.682 | 1.0 |
| | B1 | 6.6 | 88.3 | 583 | 12.100 | 11.800 | 2.5 |
| | B2 | 6.8 | 94.9 | 645 | 12.300 | 12.000 | 2.5 |
| Level L2 | B0 | 6.5 | 87.4 | 568 | 11.950 | 11.650 | 2.6 |
| | B1 | 6.8 | 89.2 | 607 | 12.200 | 11.200 | 8.9 |
| | B2 | 7.1 | 99.5 | 707 | 12.800 | 12.300 | 4.1 |
| Level L3 | B0 | 6.8 | 91.6 | 623 | 12.205 | 12.100 | 0.9 |
| | B1 | 7.0 | 111.0 | 777 | 12.850 | 12.500 | 2.8 |
| | B2 | 6.7 | 99.5 | 667 | 12.350 | 12.100 | 2.1 |
| | LSD at 0.05% | 0.5 | 3.1 | 4 | 0.180 | 2.250 | 1.5 |

4) Tuber size percentage:

a) The main effect of biofertilization:

The data in Table (5) show that, biofertilization significantly increased the percentage of the large tubers size in both growing seasons, mean while, the percentages of medium and small tubers size were increased with no added biofertilizers in the two seasons.

Table (5): Effect of different combinations biofertilization rates, combinations of mineral fertilization and their interaction on percentage of tubers size grades of potato.

| Treatment | | 2002 | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| | | Large (>35mm) % | Medium (35-55mm) % | Small (<35mm) % | DM % |
| Biofertilization (B) | B0 | 50.3 | 42.6 | 7.1 | 20.3 |
| | B1 | 54.0 | 42.4 | 3.6 | 20.6 |
| | B2 | 55.1 | 41.9 | 3.0 | 21.1 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05% | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.01 |
| NPK-mineral fertilization (L) | L1 | 52.1 | 44.8 | 3.4 | 20.3 |
| | L2 | 53.4 | 42.4 | 4.2 | 20.7 |
| | L3 | 53.8 | 40.1 | 6.1 | 20.7 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05% | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.02 |
| Level L1 | B0 | 49.4 | 43.2 | 7.4 | 20.0 |
| | B1 | 52.0 | 45.8 | 2.2 | 20.6 |
| | B2 | 54.9 | 44.6 | 0.5 | 21.1 |
| Level L2 | B0 | 50.3 | 43.6 | 6.1 | 20.4 |
| | B1 | 54.0 | 40.9 | 5.1 | 20.6 |
| | B2 | 56.0 | 42.5 | 1.5 | 21.1 |
| Level L3 | B0 | 51.3 | 41.0 | 7.7 | 20.3 |
| | B1 | 56.0 | 40.6 | 3.4 | 20.6 |
| | B2 | 54.3 | 38.6 | 7.1 | 21.1 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05% | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.03 |
| | | 2003 | | | |
| Biofertilization (B) | B0 | 34.8 | 55.3 | 9.9 | 20.1 |
| | B1 | 36.6 | 59.2 | 4.2 | 20.4 |
| | B2 | 37.7 | 57.2 | 5.1 | 21.0 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05% | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.01 |
| NPK-mineral fertilization (L) | L1 | 35.0 | 59.6 | 5.4 | 20.4 |
| | L2 | 37.0 | 54.7 | 8.3 | 20.5 |
| | L3 | 37.3 | 57.3 | 5.4 | 20.6 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05% | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.02 |
| Level L1 | B0 | 33.3 | 55.0 | 11.7 | 20.0 |
| | B1 | 34.8 | 62.1 | 3.1 | 20.4 |
| | B2 | 36.8 | 61.7 | 1.5 | 21.0 |
| Level L2 | B0 | 35.2 | 52.7 | 12.1 | 20.2 |
| | B1 | 37.1 | 54.9 | 8.0 | 20.4 |
| | B2 | 38.6 | 56.6 | 4.8 | 21.0 |
| Level L3 | B0 | 36.0 | 58.1 | 5.9 | 20.3 |
| | B1 | 38.0 | 60.6 | 1.4 | 20.4 |
| | B2 | 37.8 | 53.3 | 8.9 | 21.1 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05% | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.03 |

b) The main effect of mineral fertilization:

Data in Table (5) also show that, the percentage of large tubers size significantly increased with the highest level of (NPK) fertilizers in both growing seasons. These results are in agreement with those reported by Rabié (1996) and Arafá (1999).

c- Effect of interaction:

Data in Table (5) reveal that, the combination between biofertilization and (NPK) fertilizers significantly increased the percentage of the large tubers size, while medium size increased with the biofertilizer and lowest level of NPK (75 +7+60 NPK kg/fed.) in both growing seasons. These results are in agreement with those reported by El-Gamal (1996), Mahendran and Chandrmani (1998) and El-Dsouky and Attia (1999).

5) Chemical composition of tubers:**a) The main effect of biofertilization:**

Data in Table (6) indicate that, there were significant differences among N, K, Fe, Mn and Zn values. The content of such elements increased with increased biofertilizers rates in both seasons. Applying biofertilizers must have increased microorganisms in the soil, which increase the ability of mobilizing unavailable forms of nutrients to available forms. These results are in agreement with reported by El-Sheekh (1997) and Mahendran and Chandrmini (1998).

Analysis of variance revealed that mean values of P-content was not significantly affected by treatments under the studied conditions.

b) The main effect of mineral fertilization:

Data presented in Table (5) also show that, the N, K, Fe, Mn and Zn content of tubers were significantly increased with the use of the highest level of (NPK) fertilizers. These results are in agreement with those reported by Arisha and Bardisi (1999).

C) Effect of interaction:

As occurred with N, K, Fe, Mn and Zn content in potato tubers, high rates of combination biofertilization were superior (average of two seasons) to low rates only where L1 or L2 were use giving 9%, 15%, 31%, 53% and 55% respectively under conditions of L1 or 8%, 20%, 12%, 27% and 48% respectively under conditions of L2. However, high rates was inferior to low rates giving 12%, 4%, 5%, 15% and 8% less N, K, Fe, Mn and Zn-content than low rates when the L3 was used. There were increases in P-content in potato tubers due to biofertilization as well as mineral fertilization although such differences were not statistically significant.

Effect Of Bio & Chemical Fertilizers On Growth,.....2029

Table (6): Effect of different combinations biofertilization rates, combinations of mineral fertilization and their interaction on chemical composition of potato tubers.

| Treatment | | 2002 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|------|-------|-----|----------|----------|----------|
| | | N % | P % | K % | Fe mg/kg | Mn mg/kg | Zn mg/kg |
| Biofertilization (B) | B0 | 1.76 | 0.240 | 3.4 | 37 | 35 | 33 |
| | B1 | 1.87 | 0.435 | 3.6 | 53 | 41 | 36 |
| | B2 | 1.88 | 0.450 | 3.9 | 56 | 44 | 47 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05 | 0.07 | Ns | 0.2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| NPK-mineral fertilization (L) | L1 | 1.36 | 0.315 | 2.3 | 44 | 33 | 34 |
| | L2 | 2.02 | 0.373 | 3.8 | 50 | 42 | 38 |
| | L3 | 2.13 | 0.400 | 3.9 | 52 | 45 | 45 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05 | 0.05 | Ns | 0.2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Level L1 | B0 | 1.25 | 0.169 | 3.1 | 36 | 20 | 26 |
| | B1 | 1.39 | 0.333 | 3.2 | 43 | 33 | 30 |
| | B2 | 1.43 | 0.442 | 3.3 | 53 | 46 | 46 |
| Level L2 | B0 | 1.95 | 0.260 | 3.5 | 35 | 39 | 30 |
| | B1 | 2.02 | 0.398 | 3.6 | 56 | 40 | 33 |
| | B2 | 2.10 | 0.462 | 4.3 | 60 | 46 | 50 |
| Level L3 | B0 | 2.10 | 0.290 | 3.7 | 40 | 46 | 43 |
| | B1 | 2.20 | 0.575 | 3.9 | 60 | 50 | 45 |
| | B2 | 2.10 | 0.444 | 4.0 | 56 | 40 | 46 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05 | 0.13 | Ns | 0.3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| | | 2003 | | | | | |
| Biofertilization (B) | B0 | 1.67 | 0.269 | 3.3 | 42 | 28 | 42 |
| | B1 | 1.89 | 0.593 | 3.5 | 47 | 37 | 47 |
| | B2 | 1.93 | 0.624 | 3.9 | 54 | 48 | 58 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05 | 0.07 | ns | 0.1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| NPK-mineral fertilization (L) | L1 | 1.55 | 0.469 | 3.3 | 43 | 33 | 41 |
| | L2 | 1.89 | 0.507 | 3.6 | 50 | 38 | 50 |
| | L3 | 2.06 | 0.510 | 3.8 | 51 | 42 | 55 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05 | 0.07 | ns | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Level L1 | B0 | 1.21 | 0.290 | 3.0 | 36 | 20 | 33 |
| | B1 | 1.60 | 0.505 | 3.1 | 40 | 30 | 36 |
| | B2 | 1.84 | 0.613 | 3.9 | 55 | 50 | 56 |
| Level L2 | B0 | 1.70 | 0.247 | 3.2 | 43 | 30 | 40 |
| | B1 | 1.88 | 0.634 | 3.4 | 50 | 36 | 46 |
| | B2 | 2.09 | 0.641 | 4.1 | 57 | 50 | 66 |
| Level L3 | B0 | 2.12 | 0.270 | 3.7 | 49 | 35 | 53 |
| | B1 | 2.20 | 0.640 | 4.0 | 53 | 47 | 60 |
| | B2 | 1.85 | 0.620 | 3.8 | 52 | 45 | 53 |
| | L.S.D at 0.05 | 0.13 | ns | 0.1 | 4 | 5 | 4 |

REFERENCES

- Abdalla, A.M.; Safia M. Adam, and Abou-Hadid, A.F.. (2001): Productivity of green cowpea in sandy soil as influenced by different organic manure rates and sources. *Egypte J. Hort.* 28(3): 331 – 340
- Abou-Hussein, S.D. (2001): Studies on potato production under organic farming conditions. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Ain Shams University. pp. 90.
- Arafa, M.M.E.E. (1999): Comparative study on the production of some imported potato cultivars under different fertilization levels in sandy soils. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ. pp. 214.
- Arisha, H.M. and Bardisi, A. (1999): Effect of mineral and organic fertilizers on growth, yield and tuber quality of potato under sandy soil conditions. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.* 26(2): 391-405.
- Azad, B.K., Nehra, S.C. Khurana, and Gremal, R.B. (1996): Influence of plant density and geometry on proportion of different grade tubers (c.f. *Field crop Abstr.* 50 (9): 6762, 1997).
- Black, C.A.; Evans, D.D.; Ensminger, L.E.; White, J.L. and Clark, F.E. (1965): *Methods of soil analysis.* Agron. Serer No.9, Am.Soc.Agron.Inc., Madison, Winconsin, USA.
- Brown, J.D. and Lilleland, O. (1946): Rapid determination of potassium and sodium in plant material and soil extracts by flame photometry. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 48: 341-346.
- Chapman, H. D. and Pratt, P.F. (1961): *Methods of analysis for soil, plant and water.* Department of soil and plant Nutrition, Univ. of California, Citrus Exp. Sta. Riverside, California.
- El-Dsouky, M.M. and Attia, K.K. (1999): Effect of inoculation with phosphate solubilizing bacteria, organic manuring and phosphate fertilization on peanuts grown on sandy calcareous soil. *Assiut J. of Agric. Sci.*, 30 (5): pp12.
- El-Gamal, A.M. (1996): Response of potato in the newly reclaimed areas to mineral nitrogen fertilizer levels and nitrogen fixing bio-fertilizer Halex 2. *Assiut J. of Agric. Sci.*, 27(2): 89-99.
- El-Sheekh, H. H. (1997): Effect of bio and mineral phosphate fertilizers on growth, yield, quality and storability of onion. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 12(12): 213 – 231.
- Ghosh, D.C. and Das, A.K.. (1998): Effect of bio-fertilizers and growth regulators on growth and productivity of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Indian Agriculturist*, 42: 2, 109 – 113.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984): *Statistical procedures for Agric. Res.* And 2nd Ed. John Wiley Isons. Pmb. pp. 139-153.
- Hauka, F.I.A.; El-Sawed, M.M.A. and El-Amdi, KH. H.(1990): Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria on growth and uptake by barely and tomato plant in soils amended with rock or tricalcium phosphate. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura. Univ.* 15 (3): 450 – 459.
- Juzl, M. (1994): The effect of leaf area index on the yield of very early potato cultivars Prior and Impala (c.f. *Field crop Abstr.* 49 (11): 82205, 1996).

- Mahendran, P.P and Chandrmani, P. (1998): N P K uptake, yield and starch content of potato cv. Kufri as influenced by certain bio-fertilizer. J. of the Indian Potato Association. 25(1-2): 50-52.
- Murphy, J. and Riely, J.P. (1962): A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural water. Anal. Chem. Acta. 27: 31-36.
- Piper, C.S. (1950): Soil and plant analysis. Inter. Sci. Publ., Inc. New York USA.
- Pregl, E. (1945): Quantitive organic microanalysis. 4th Ed. J. Chundr, L., London.
- Rabie, A.R. (1996): Effect of some cultural practices on potato production for processing. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ. PP. 118.:
- Rahman, M.H.H.; Khanam, D. and Hossain, A.K.M. (1992): Effect of inculcation and fertilization on growth and yield of some varieties of ground nut. Legume Res. 1593 - 4 : 137 - 140 (c.f. field crop Abst. 48 (2): 987).
- Reisi, F. and Khajehpour, M.R. (1992): The effects of, N, P and K fertilizers on the growth and tuber yield of potato varieties. Indian J. of Agric. Sci. 56: 497 - 502 (c.f. Potato Abstr. 12: 454).
- Soltanpour, P.N. (1985): Use of ammonium bicarbonate DTPA soil test to evaluate elemental availability and toxicity. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 16(3):323.

تأثير التسميد الحيوى والمعدنى على النمو الخضرى والمحصول والتركيب الكيمايى للبطاطس تحت ظروف الأراضى الرملية.

مدوح محمد عرفة*، طه عبد الخالق المغربى**

- * الزراعات المحمية(خضر)- معهد بحوث البيئة الصحراوية - جامعة المنوفية-مصر
- ** معهد بحوث الأراضى والمياه والبيئة- مركز البحوث الزراعية-جيزة-مصر

أجريت تجارب حقلية فى مزرعه بمنطقة الصالحية بالإسماعليه فى موسمين صيفيين زراعيين (٢٠٠٢ و ٢٠٠٣) وذلك بهدف دراسته تأثير اضافته ثلاث معدلات مجتمعه من التسميد الحيوى/فدان (٥٠٠جم من مجموعه مختلطة من الكائنات الحيه الدقيقة التى تثبت أزوت الهواء الجوى* ومزييه للفوسفور*+٣٠٠جم من بكتريا مزييه للفوسفور +٢ لتر من سماد بوتاسى ورقى محتوى على ٢٤% بوتاسيوم+٥% أزوت "B1" اما المعدل الثانى عبارته عن ١٠٠٠ جرام + ٦٠٠جم + ٣ لتر من نفس المكونات المماثقه على التوالى "B2" بالإضافة إلى الكنترول "B0" بدون اضافته مع ثلاثة مستويات مجتمعه من التسميد المعدنى من النتروجين، الفوسفور، البوتاسيوم بمعدلات(٧٥+٧+٦٠ "L1"؛ ٨٠+١٤+١٠٠ "L2"، ١٢٥+٢١+١٠٠كجم/فدان "L3") على النمو والمحصول وجودة الدرنات والتركيب الكيماوى للمجموع الخضرى والدرنات لنبات البطاطس صنف "هيرمز" تحت ظروف الأراضى الرملية.

- * بينت النتائج أن التسميد الحيوى أعطى تفوق فى المحصول الكلى للدرنات أعطت معامله الكنترول ١٢.٠٨. والمعدل الاول اعطى ١٣.٢٢ والمعدل الثانى اعطى ١٣.٧٥ طن/فدان بالمقارنه بالتسميد المعدنى فالمعدل الاول منه أعطى ١٢.٤٢ والثانى ١٣.٢٣ والثالث ١٣.٢٩ طن/فدان (كمتوسط للموسمين). كما أظهر التفاعل بين B1 L3 الحصول على أعلى محصول كلى للدرنات.

- وقد أظهرت النتائج تفوق مستوى السماد الحيوى "B2" على باقى مستويات التسميد الحيوى فى كل الصفات تحت الدراسة وهى طول النبات، عدد الأفرع/ نبات، الوزن الغض والجاف للنبات والتركيب الكيماوى للمجموع الخضرى والمحصول ومكوناته مثل عدد الدرنات/ نبات، متوسط وزن الدرنة، محصول النبات، محصول الفدان، محصول الصالح للتسويق والنسبة المئوية للدرنات الكبيرة فى الحجم وكذلك المحتوى الكيماوى للدرنات. أما التسميد المعدنى فقد أدى استخدام المستوى الثالث "L3" إلى الحصول على أعلى القيم للصفات محل الدراسة.
- أما بالنسبة لتأثير التفاعل فقد أدى استخدام مستوى التسميد الكيماوى الثانى "L2" مع المستوى الثانى من التسميد الحيوى "B2" إلى الحصول على أعلى القيم للصفات الخضرية والمحصول ومكوناته والتركيب الكيماوى للمجموع الخضرى والدرنات.
- كما أوضحت الدراسة أن زيادة المحتوى من النتروجين والبوتاسيم والحديد والمنجنيز والزنك فى المجموع الخضرى كانت كالتالى: ٦٠ % و ٢٩ % و ٨٨ % و ٦٩ % و ٧٠ % لصالح (L2B2), (L2B2), (L3B1), (L2B2), (L2B2) على التوالى. بالمقارنة بمحتوى هذه العناصر فى الدرنات كانت ٣٢ % و ٢٧ % و ٦٢ % و ٧٩ % و ٥٧ % لصالح (L2B2), (L2B2), (L3B1), (L2B2), (L3B1) على التوالى.
- بينت النتائج أن التسميد الحيوى أعطى تفوق فى محتوى النتروجين والبوتاسيم والحديد والمنجنيز والزنك فى كل من المجموع الخضرى والدرنات وكذلك فى محتوى الفوسفور ولكن غير معنى
- وبصفه عامه كان محتوى المجموع الخضرى من النتروجين والبوتاسيم والحديد والزنك أكثر من محتوى الدرنات من تلك العناصر عند جميع المعاملات ولكن كان محتوى المجموع الخضرى من الفوسفور والمنجنيز أقل من محتوى الدرنات من تلك العناصر عند جميع المعاملات.
- وبناء على ذلك يمكن التوصية باستخدام التسميد الحيوى بمعدل (١٠٠٠ جم + ٦٠٠ جم + ٣ لتر/فدان) مع التسميد المعدنى بمعدل (١٠٠ + ١٤ + ٨٠ كجم/ فدان) وباستخدام صنف البطاطس هيرمز للحصول على أفضل نمو خضرى ومحصول وجودة درنات البطاطس.