

**STUDY OF THE BIOLOGICAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF  
 THE BIOINSECTICIDE (SPINOSAD) ON *Agrotis ipsilon* (HUFNAGEL)  
 BY**

**Mohamed, H.A.\* Hafez, S.F.M.\* and Mona A. Hussain \*\***

\* Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University,  
 Nasr City, Cairo

\*\* Department of pests & Plant Protection- National research Centre, Dokki,  
 Cairo, Egypt

**ABSTRACT**

Fourth and fifth instar larvae of the cutworm, *Agrotis ipsilon* (Hufn.) were fed on castor-bean leaves treated with the bioinsecticide, spinosad. Marked differences in susceptibility for spinosad based on accumulative mortality between 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *A. ipsilon* was observed. There was a reduction in the consumption of food caused by spinosad treatment. Considerable decrease in relative weight gain (RWG) (especially after treatment of 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae) was also recorded. Feeding 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae on treated leaves by spinosad increased the efficiency of converting ingested food (ECI) and efficiency of converting digested food (ECD) into body substance at 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar at higher concentrations (100 and 200 ppm) but decreased at 6<sup>th</sup> instar. On the other hand, the approximate digestibility (AD) was negatively affected by spinosad at 5<sup>th</sup> instar. The growth rate (GR), the assimilation rate (AR) and the relative metabolic rate (RMR) exhibited inconsistent values after feeding of 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae by spinosad. Interestingly, feeding the 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae on 100 and 200 ppm treated leaves, significantly increased the larval and pupal durations. However this observation was detected only for larval duration after feeding the 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae. Spinosad-treated leaves consumed by 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae resulted in decrement of deposited eggs/female and hatchability percentage.

**Key words:** bioinsecticide (spinosad), *Agrotis ipsilon*, food utilization.

**INTRODUCTION**

The cutworm, *Agrotis ipsilon* (hufn.) is a major destructive lepidopterous pest of cotton, corn and vegetable plants. High population of *A. ipsilon* can also cause reduction in the number of seedlings at the beginning of the season. Lepidopterous pests Control on vegetable plant is vital because larvae presence, feeding damage and the presence of faeces can seriously reduce the marketability of vegetable plants. Despite, potential resistance problems, environmental hazards and general public disapproved associated with extensive use of insecticides for controlling Lepidopterous pests. however the use of chemicals still remains the

most practical way of effectively reducing lepidopterous pests population on cotton plants. One solution to this dilemma is to shift the emphasis from using synthetic to using safer natural insecticides for control of *A. ipsilon* and other Lepidopterous pests. Natural insecticides have been shown to be effective against Lepidopterous pests. Spinosad is bioinsecticide derived from fermentation of *Saccharopolyspora spinosa*, a recently described species of actinomycete Mertz and Yao (1990). Spinosad has become a standard insecticide in pest management programs for the production of vegetables and cotton. It has both contact and stomach activity against Lepidopteran larvae, leaf miners and thrips with relatively long residual activity (Palumbo, 1999; Palumbo and Reyes, 1998 and Palumbo *et al.*, 2000). Previous researches has provided a strong basis for making wise decision on insecticide use, but there is still a need to study the effects of this compound on preventing plant damage. One assessment of plant damage is leaf consumption by *A. ipsilon*, but this variable is impractical to study in the field. Therefore, this research was conducted to study the effect of spinosad on mortality percent, food consumption and utilization by *A. ipsilon* larvae in the laboratory. Productions per female and hatchability percent of eggs were investigated.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Cutworm rearing.

*Agrotis ipsilon* used in this study originated from a susceptible colony from Plant Protection Institute Ministry of Agriculture, Dokki, Giza and reared on castor oil leaves (*Ricinus communis* L.) at Dept of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Cairo. Larvae reared on castor leaves under laboratory conditions at  $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  and 60-70% R.H.

### The bioinsecticide (Spinosad):

Spinosad is a new insecticide originally isolated from an organism found in soil samples taken in 1982 and identified in 1988 as a new bacterium species, *Saccharopolyspora spinosa* Mertz and Yao (Mertz and Yao 1990) and belong to Actinomycetales. This product is identical formulations and contain 480 g/L of the new active ingredient spinosad technical insecticide Spinosad (48%) produced by Dow AgroSciences Canada Inc (DWE). The following aqueous concentrations of the tested compound were prepared 5, 10, 25, 50, 100 and 200 ppm.

### Treatment technique:

Larvae of 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar were reared individually to avoid cannibalism and starved 4 hours, then they were fed for 48h on a castor bean leaves treated with different concentrations of spinosad (5, 10, 25, 50, 100 and 200 ppm) whereas, leaves were dipped in different concentrations then dried and used as contaminated food. The treated larvae were examined daily and mortality percentages were corrected according to natural mortality (Abbott 1925). Food consumption and utilization, larval and pupal duration, egg production/female and hatchability percent of eggs were recorded.

Food consumption, absorption and utilization parameter were estimated overall 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instars after treatment of 4<sup>th</sup> instar and the same parameter were estimated overall 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instars after treatment of 5<sup>th</sup> instar. Treated and control larvae were weighed before and after feeding, fresh food was weighed before offering to the larvae, and its fresh weight was recorded after feeding every day. The larvae of each replicate were starved for 3 h. before weighing to insure an empty intestine. Fresh leaves were kept in rearing jar under the same conditions to estimate the natural loss of moisture, which was used for calculating the corrected weight of the consumed leaves. Faeces discharged by larvae were weighed. Feeding rate was the amount of food consumed during the feeding period of the instar, generally expressed on a "per day" basis (consumption rate, CR) or on a "per day per unit body mass" basis (relative consumption rate, RCR) (Slansky, 1993).  $RCR = \text{mg consumed food} / \text{g mean fresh body weight/day}$  (Slansky and Scriber, 1985). Approximate digestibility (AD) =  $[\text{weight of ingested food} - \text{weight of faeces} / \text{weight of ingested food}] \times 100$ . The efficiency of conversion of ingested food to body substance (ECI) =  $[\text{weight gain} / \text{weight of ingested food}] \times 100$ . The efficiency of conversion of digested food to body substance (ECD) =  $[\text{weight gain} / \text{weight of ingested food} - \text{weight of faeces}] \times 100$ . Growth rate (GR) =  $\text{fresh weight gain during feeding period} / \text{feeding period} \times \text{mean fresh body weight of larvae during the feeding period}$  (Waldbauer, 1968). Relative weight gain (RWG) =  $\text{mg weight gain during the instar} / \text{days}$  (Johnson and Mundel, 1987 with correction for a single instar). Assimilation rate (AR) =  $RCR \times AD$  (Scriber and Slansky, 1981). Relative metabolic rate (RMR) was calculated according to Slansky (1993) but corrected for fresh weight as follows:  $RMR = (\text{mg weight ingested food} - \text{weight of faeces}) / \text{g mean fresh body weight} / \text{day}$ .

Data obtained were statistically analyzed using the student t-distribution refined by Bessel correction (Morony, 1957) for testing the significance of difference between means.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Effect of spinosad on percentage of mortality:

Data presented in Table (1) showed the effect of different concentrations of spinosad on the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae. It is noticed that 100 ppm caused 92.58 and 53.18 % as accumulative mortality percent after treatment of 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae. The low concentrations 5 and 10 ppm induced only 28.43 and 43.11 % after treatment of the 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae and 7.14 and 7.14 %, respectively with 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae. From these data it could be conclude that the 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae were more susceptible than 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae to spinosad. Similarly Temerak and Sayed (2001) stated that, all rates of spinosad (10, 20, and 40 ml/100 liter) showed significant control of *Deudorix livia* on date palm *Phoenix dactylifera* (100 % reduction) before harvest, in 1999 and 2000, respectively. Also, McPherson *et al.* (2003) represented that hornworm larvae (*Monduca sexta* (L)) were highly susceptible to tobacco foliage dipped in spinosad, with  $LC_{50}$  of  $4.3 \times 10^{-4}$  after 24h,  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  after 48h, and  $5.7 \times 10^{-7}$  after 72h (ml/ml). Also, data were coincided with other researches which indicate that, the active component of

spinosad, apparently has a mode of action different from all other insecticides or insect control agents, with high levels of both contact and oral activity against *Heliothis virescens* (Sparks *et al.*, 1995).

Table (1): Effect of different concentrations of Spinosad on corrected mortality percent of larval, pupal and adult stages of *A. ipsilon*

Conc. ppm	The treated larval instar			
	4 <sup>th</sup> instar			
	LS	P.S	A.S	Acc. M %
5.0	28.43± 8.5**	0.0	0.0	28.43± 8.4**
10.0	43.11± 6.6**	0.0	0.0	43.11± 6.6**
25.0	67.84± 9.6**	0.0	3.33± 0.7	74.08± 10.9**
50.0	78.56± 10.2**	3.44± 2.5	0.0	85.18± 14.8**
100.0	89.27± 12.9**	0.0	3.33± 0.8	92.58± 6.0**
200.0	92.82± 6.1**	0.0	0.0	92.59± 6.1**
	5 <sup>th</sup> instar			
5.0	7.14± 1.2***	0.0	0.0	7.14± 1.7**
10.0	7.14± 1.5***	0.0	0.0	7.14± 1.5**
25.0	14.29± 2.0**	0.0	3.30± 0.06	17.82± 1.5**
50.0	21.36± 1.6**	6.60± 0.7	0.0	28.43± 3.2**
100.0	50.03± 3.6**	3.30± 0.6	0.0	53.18± 5.3**
200.0	78.46± 3.2**	3.30± 1.2	0.0	82.0± 3.6**

L.S. = Larval stage, P.S. = Pupal stage, A.S. = Adult stage,  
Acc. M. % = Accumulative mortality percent

#### Effect of spinosad on Food consumption rates:

Most of the total consumption and growth usually occur during the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae and therefore performance values calculated for these instar tend to be representative of those calculated for the entire larval stage (Mackey, 1978). There is a directly proportion relationship between the eaten food amounts and the relative weight gained by the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae after treatment of 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae. The RWG decreased by decreasing the food consumption and also by increasing the concentration levels (39.35, 33.46 and 15.75 mg and 71.9, 37.6 and 35.62 mg at 5, 50 and 200 ppm in 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instars, respectively (Table, 2). As reported by Sundaramurthy, (1977) who cleared that the amount of growth

reduction was proportional to reduced food consumption. There is no significant difference in relative consumption rate by 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae after treatment of the 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae.

**Table (2): Food consumption rates (mg±SD) by the 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *A. ipsilon* when the 4<sup>th</sup> instar fed on leaves treated with Spinosad .**

Conc. ppm	4 <sup>th</sup> instar larvae			
	R.W.G.	F.C.	R.C.R.	Change%
5.0	28.55±3.6*	240.0±23.6**	0.64±0.1	0.0
10.0	26.62±4.4*	230.0±32.4**	0.67±0.1	+3.1
25.0	13.46±2.2**	250.0±32.3**	1.13±0.2*	+73.8
50.0	11.79±1.4**	170.0±22.6**	0.79±0.3	+21.5
100.0	31.22±3.4	216.0±54.2**	0.56±0.0	-13.8
200.0	31.77±4.2	240.06±40.0**	0.62±0.0	-4.6
Control	33.11±4.1	283.73±3.6	0.65±0.1	—
<b>5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae</b>				
5.0	39.35±6.1*	600.0±15.5**	0.53±0.1	-5.4
10.0	34.53±7.1*	600.0±20.5**	0.53±0.2	-5.3
25.0	40.94±14.5*	345.0±25.3**	0.36±0.1	-35.7
50.0	33.46±8.3**	205.0±15.6**	0.17±0.1*	-69.6
100.0	18.44±9.2**	267.7±30.5**	0.21±0.1	-62.5
200.0	15.75±10.1**	243.0±40.1**	0.20±0.1	-64.3
Control	45.79±5.0	641.5±13.2	0.56±0.1	—
<b>6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae</b>				
5.0	71.0±14.2**	845.0±28.4**	0.17±0.1	+6.3
10.0	78.57±14.6**	769.0±50.0**	0.13±0.1	-18.8
25.0	72.64±13.1**	363.3±60.0**	0.08±0.0	-50.0
50.0	37.6±15.5**	378.11±50.5**	0.09±0.1	-43.8
100.0	38.98±16.1**	536.60±106.0**	0.12±0.0	-25.0
200.0	35.62±16.0**	470.0±109.0**	0.11±0.1	-31.3
Control	93.9±16.5	949.5±36.3	0.16±0.5	—

RWG: relative weight gain, FC: food consumption Conc.: concentration, RCR: relative consumption rate of food,

\* : significantly different (P>0.05), \*\* : high significant (P<0.01).

Data of Table (3) showed the food consumption by the 5<sup>th</sup> and the 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae after feeding of 5<sup>th</sup> instar. Food consumption decreased by increasing the concentrations and averaged were 661.73, 666.98 and 621.59 mg and 1021.21, 788.31 and 744.21 mg at 5, 25 and 100 ppm, in 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instars respectively, compared with 731.59 and 1064.03 at control but the reverse was observed when 200 ppm was used. After treating 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae, last instar larvae gained larger body weights than those of 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae relative to control treatment. Also, after treatment of the 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae by spinosad, the RCR did not significantly decreased compared to untreated larvae at 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar. The values were 0.75, 0.55 and 0.64 mg compared with 0.83 in control at 5<sup>th</sup> instar and 0.20, 0.33 and 0.30 mg compared with 0.41 mg in control at 6<sup>th</sup> instar for 5,

50 and 200 ppm respectively. These results supported by Yee and Toscano, (1998) they stated that, the total leaf consumption of lettuce leaf by 5<sup>th</sup> - instar *S. exigua* treated with 50 ppm of success was  $0.58 \pm 0.5$  cm<sup>2</sup> compared with  $6.16 \pm 1.00$  cm<sup>2</sup> at untreated control leaf disks. Also, they reported that success was the most effect bioinsecticides tested against *S. exigua* based on leaf consumption, survival duration, and percentage of survival on lettuce. The lowest food-consumption level in *A. ipsilon* as affected by spinosad treatments was similar to that caused by IGR's (diflubenzuron and trifluron) on *S. littoralis* (Radwan *et al.*, 1986). Larvae fed on spinosad-treated leaves exhibited a proportional relationship between food consumed and values of RWG throughout this study.

Table (3): Food consumption rates (mg±SD) by the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *A. ipsilon* when the 5<sup>th</sup> instar fed on leaves treated with Spinosad

Conc. ppm	5 <sup>th</sup> instar larvae			
	R.W.G.	F.C.	R.C.R.	Change %
5.0	44.25±4.7	661.73±46.3**	0.75±0.4	-9.6
10.0	42.21±6.8	669.20±211.4**	0.64±0.2	-22.9
25.0	30.26±5.9*	666.98±69.4**	0.57±0.1	-31.3
50.0	37.20±4.3*	608.30±71.5**	0.55±0.1	-33.7
100.0	39.27±8.3	621.59±160.4**	0.50±0.1	-39.8
200.0	43.62±5.6	925.63±204.7**	0.64±0.3	-22.9
Control	45.0±3.0	731.59±55.6	0.83±0.3	—
6 <sup>th</sup> instar larvae				
5.0	86.65±9.6	1021.21±301.8*	0.20±0.1	-51.2
10.0	81.25±8.8	1008.40±311.4*	0.19±0.0	-53.7
25.0	107.30±8.8 *	788.31±205.8**	0.15±0.1	-63.4
50.0	76.60±6.9	1160.82±236.8**	0.33±0.0	-19.5
100.0	64.20±9.4*	744.21±47.9**	0.22±0.0	-46.3
200.0	59.10±0.8*	1041.13±234.6	0.30±0.1	-26.8
Control	78.30±4.6	1064.03±194.1	0.41±0.0	—

RWG, FC, RCR, Conc. \*, \*\* : see the footnote of Table (2).

#### Effect of spinosad on food utilization:

The efficiency of conversion of ingested food to body substance (E.C.I.) is a measure of the insect's ability to utilize food for growth. Likewise, the metabolic efficiency expressed as efficiency of conversion of digested food (E.C.D.) estimates the percentage of assimilated food to biomass (Slansky and Scriber, 1985). After exposure of 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae to spinosad treated leaves the approximate digestibility (AD) values for the 4<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae were remarkably higher than the corresponding values for the untreated larvae (84.8, 87.5, and 89.68 for control, 5, and 50 ppm at 4<sup>th</sup> instar respectively, whereas, at 6<sup>th</sup> instar were 80.86, 82.24 and 85.32 for control, 5, and 50 ppm, respectively, but at 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae the AD was lower especially at higher concentrations (62.60, 77.05 and 75.99 compared with untreated control (87.39)). These results indicate an increased ability to digest food at 4<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae (Table, 4).

Table (4): Food absorption and utilization by the 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *A. ipsilon* when the 4<sup>th</sup> instar fed on Spinosad - treated leaves.

Conc ppm	4 <sup>th</sup> instar larvae					
	AD	Change e%	ECI	Change %	ECD	Change %
5.0	87.50±3.8	+3.18	34.72±3.3	-1.1	40.80±2.4	-1.4
10.0	89.46±0.7*	+5.49	34.73±4.4	-1.1	30.80±5.5**	-25.6
25.0	90.50±2.2*	+6.72	16.15±2.8**	-53.9	17.80±4.3**	-56.9
50.0	89.68±1.2	+5.75	20.81±3.1**	-40.7	23.20±2.3**	-43.9
100.0	83.41±3.5	-1.63	43.36±0.5**	+23.5	51.98±5.1**	+25.6
200.0	92.17±2.2*	+8.69	39.72±3.2*	+13.2	43.09±2.9	+4.1
Control	84.80±3.5	-	35.10±4.2	-	41.39±1.2	-
5 <sup>th</sup> instar larvae						
5.0	85.00±1.8	-2.7	26.23±5.0	-8.1	30.80±3.5	-5.7
10.0	84.39±0.5	-3.4	21.23±1.9**	-25.7	25.0±2.9**	-23.5
25.0	78.91±2.5*	-9.7	47.47±5.2**	+66.3	60.0±5.4**	+83.7
50.0	62.60±5.0**	-28.3	81.64±4.1***	+185.9	130.0±2.2**	298.0
100.0	77.05±1.9**	-11.8	37.90±3.2***	+32.7	47.80±3.1**	52.6
200.0	75.99±3.3**	-13.0	35.66±5.2**	+24.9	96.90±4.9**	196.7
Control	87.39±4.2	-	28.55±4.3	-	32.66±4.0	-
6 <sup>th</sup> instar larvae						
5.0	82.24±2.5	+1.7	74.58±3.2*	+16.0	81.10±4.1	+2.1
10.0	84.82±3.2*	+4.9	71.52±3.2*	+11.3	84.30±2.7*	+6.2
25.0	86.52±5.2*	+6.9	129.97±2.8**	+102.2	87.0±7.1**	+9.6
50.0	85.32±4.2*	+5.5	55.25±4.1**	-14.0	70.0±3.2**	-11.8
100.0	84.94±3.9*	+5.0	58.12±4.3**	-9.6	68.40±5.6**	-13.9
200.0	76.19±2.9*	-5.7	60.60±2.9*	-5.7	70.0±4.6**	-11.8
Control	80.86±1.2	-	64.28±1.9	-	79.40±3.2	-

Conc. \* \*\* see the footnote of Table (2). AD: Approximate digestibility, ECI: Efficiency of conversion of ingested food, ECD: Efficiency of conversion of digested food

The ECI and ECD values increased during 4<sup>th</sup> instar, the change percentages of ECI and ECD were +23.5 & +13.2, and +25.6 & +4.1 for 100 and 200 ppm respectively. At the 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae the change percentages of ECI and ECD were increased (+185.9, +32.7 and +24.9 for ECI while ECD values were +298.0, +52.6 and +196.7, respectively) especially when the 4<sup>th</sup> instar fed on leaves treated with 50, 100 and 200 ppm of spinosad, but at low concentrations was no marked differences (Table 4). The prolongation of larval duration at higher concentration (100 and 200 ppm) may be explained the previous observation (Table 8). Interestingly, these treatments hindered the ability of last instar larvae to convert the ingested or the digested food to biomass at higher concentrations (50, 100 and 200 ppm). The ECI values were 55.25, 58.12 and 60.60 compared with 64.28 of untreated control. The ECD values were 70.0, 68.40 and 70.0 compared with 79.40 for untreated control. At 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar, no clear differences for ECI or ECD at lower concentration but increased at higher concentration compared with untreated control.

Feeding of 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae on spinosad-treated leaves, cleared that the AD, ECI and ECD values did not significantly different. But with 6<sup>th</sup> instar, the change percentages at higher concentration (100 and 200 ppm) were +34.5 & +45.7 for AD and +32.0 & +1.4 for ECI and -36.5 & -30.4 for ECD respectively. This means that, spinosad has positive effect on AD and ECI but negative effect on ECD compared with untreated control (Table. 5). Generally, it can be conclude that Spinosad-treated leaves increased the approximate digestibility than control indicating that, the quality of the food and the ability to digest material from the treated leaves to assimilate nutrients were unaffected. In this respect, Radwan *et al.*, (1986) observed that, the approximate digestibility coefficient increased considerably in larvae fed on leaves treated with diflufenzuron and triflurion

Table (5): Food absorption and utilization by the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *A. ipsilon* when the 5<sup>th</sup> instar fed on Spinosad – treated leaves.

Conc. ppm	5 <sup>th</sup> instar larvae					
	AD	Change %	ECI	Change %	ECD	Change %
5.0	88.91±5.4*	+6.6	8.92±2.9	+8.8	10.02±0.9	+1.9
10.0	86.92±8.3	+4.2	9.46±0.8	+15.4	11.50±1.3	+16.9
25.0	85.40±1.6	+2.4	8.69±0.1	+5.9	10.01±2.9	+1.8
50.0	83.46±4.7	+0.7	10.19±2.5	+24.3	12.35±1.5	+25.6
100.0	81.55±7.4	+0.2	11.58±1.9*	+41.2	14.20±1.5**	+44.5
200.0	84.26±2.4	+0.4	8.64±1.5	+5.4	9.94±1.8	+1.1
Control	83.39±8.2	-	8.20±2.1	-	9.83±1.9	-
6 <sup>th</sup> instar larvae						
5.0	77.88±4.8**	+37.8	10.74±1.4	+9.59	13.79±3.0*	-20.5
10.0	79.84±2.2**	+41.2	11.0±1.7	+12.2	13.78±1.3*	-20.5
25.0	78.05±4.3**	+38.1	11.05±0.9	+12.8	14.41±1.0*	-16.9
50.0	83.34±4.7**	+47.4	9.24±2.0	-5.7	10.09±0.9**	-36.0
100.0	76.06±3.6**	+34.5	12.94±2.7*	+32.0	11.01±1.7**	-36.5
200.0	82.39±4.5**	+45.7	9.94±1.1	+1.4	12.07±1.7**	-30.4
Control	56.53±3.1	-	9.80±1.9	-	17.34±1.5	-

Conc., \*, \*\*, see the footnote of Table (2).

AD, ECI, ECD: see the footnote of Table (4)

The assimilation rate (AR) indicates the ability of larvae to assimilate the digested and observed food overall the instars. The data provided the correlation of growth rate to assimilation rate and relative metabolic rate. Highly significantly declined rate of food assimilation occurred throughout the 5<sup>th</sup> larval instar as an effect of spinosad treatment on 4<sup>th</sup> or 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae. The AR after treatment of 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae were 10.64, 16.18 and 15.19 at higher concentrations (50, 100 and 200 ppm). Also after treatment of 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae the AR were 45.90, 40.77 and 53.92 for the previous concentrations, respectively (Table 6 & 7). The rates of AR of last instar larvae was significantly decreased (7.67, 10.19 and 8.38 compared with 12.93 at control) after feeding 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae on spinosad treated leaves with high concentrations (50, 100 and 200 ppm) meanwhile it insignificantly increased after treating 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae with all



concentration levels. The higher concentrations (50, 100 and 200 ppm) of tested compound against 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae inhibited the RMR of 5<sup>th</sup> instar, for instance the RMR were 2.68, 4.90 and 4.72 compared with 7.93 at untreated control. while no significant effect on 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae. Growth rate (GR) was increased with 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae while it decreased with 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae at higher concentrations (24.64, 8.08 & 7.21 for 100 ppm and 24.76, 7.32 & 7.12 for 200 ppm at 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instars, respectively), after treatment of 4<sup>th</sup> instar (table 6). After treatment of 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae, the effect of spinosad on the GR, AR and RMR not significantly affected at 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae. In general, GR, AR, and RMR were increased at highest concentration (200 ppm) in 6<sup>th</sup> instar after treating 5<sup>th</sup> instar and the values were 16.42, 24.71 and 3.03, respectively, compared with 11.09, 23.17 and 1.88 for untreated control, respectively (Table. 7). This may be due to the prolongation of the larval period (Table 8).

**Table (6): The correlation between GR, AR and RMR of the 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *A. ipsilon* after treatment 4<sup>th</sup> instar by Spinosad.**

Conc. ppm	4 <sup>th</sup> instar		
	GR (x100)	AR	RMR
5.0	22.85±0.3	56.0±1.9	5.03±0.2
10.0	23.38±1.0	59.93±3.3*	5.42±0.4
25.0	18.26±0.8**	102.26±5.5*	9.20±0.3**
50.0	16.52±0.6**	70.84±3.5**	6.40±0.1**
100.0	24.64±0.2*	46.70±1.6**	4.26±0.2
200.0	24.76±0.8	57.14±2.2	5.17±0.4
Control	22.83±0.5	55.12±2.9	4.96±0.1
5 <sup>th</sup> instar			
5.0	13.93±0.5*	45.05±1.9*	7.22±0.6
10.0	13.61±0.7*	44.72±3.3*	8.65±0.7
25.0	15.27±1.2*	28.40±2.9**	4.58±0.4**
50.0	14.02±0.8*	10.64±3.0**	2.68±0.3**
100.0	8.08±1.0**	16.18±3.0**	4.90±0.7**
200.0	7.32±1.2**	15.19±3.2**	4.72±0.8**
Control	16.20±0.9	48.93±2.9	7.93±0.5
6 <sup>th</sup> instar			
5.0	9.58±1.1	13.98±0.9	6.02±1.1
10.0	9.82±0.8	11.02±1.0	5.70±0.8
25.0	10.02±0.9	6.92±1.1**	2.70±1.0*
50.0	7.23±0.7*	7.67±1.5**	4.65±0.8
100.0	7.21±0.9*	10.19±0.3**	6.75±0.7
200.0	7.12±1.3*	8.38±1.3**	6.50±0.8
Control	10.51±1.0	12.93±0.8	5.58±0.9

Conc., \*, \*\*: see the footnote of Table (2).

AR: Assimilation rate, RMR: Relative metabolic rate,

GR: Growth rate

Table (7): The correlation between GR, AR and RMR of the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *A. ipsilon* after treatment 5<sup>th</sup> instar by Spinosad.

Conc. ppm	5 <sup>th</sup> instar		
	GR (x100)	AR	RMR
5.0	6.73±1.1	66.68±2.9	10.74±3.0
10.0	6.09±0.9	55.62±1.6**	10.72±2.1
25.0	4.41±1.4	48.67±1.5**	12.24±0.7
50.0	5.68±1.5	45.90±2.1**	11.50±2.6
100.0	5.80±0.3	40.77±2.9**	12.37±0.5
200.0	5.40±1.6	53.92±1.6**	16.42±1.9**
Control	6.81±1.9	69.21±3.3	11.09±1.7
6 <sup>th</sup> instar			
5.0	10.74±3.0	15.57±2.2**	2.23±0.7
10.0	10.72±2.1	15.16±1.0**	2.13±0.8
25.0	12.24±0.7	11.70±2.5**	3.01±1.0
50.0	11.50±2.6	27.50±3.9*	3.12±0.8
100.0	12.37±0.5	16.73±2.8**	2.85±1.0
200.0	16.42±1.9**	24.71±1.5	3.03±0.9
Control	11.09±1.7	23.17±2.1	1.88±0.8

Conc., \*, \*\*, : see the footnote of Table (2).

AR, RMR, GR: see the footnote of Table (6).

Table (8): The effect of Spinosad on the larval and pupal duration of *A. ipsilon* when 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae fed on Spinosad - treated leaves.

Conc. ppm	Treated at 4 <sup>th</sup> instar		Treated at 5 <sup>th</sup> instar	
	Larval duration	Pupal duration	Larval duration	Pupal duration
5.0	13.50±0.8	11.50±0.7	10.33±0.6	11.33±0.8
10.0	14.0±0.6	11.0±0.8	11.33±0.8	11.33±0.9
25.0	13.50±0.9	12.66±0.9	12.0±0.4	12.0±0.6
50.0	15.50±1.1*	13.0±1.0	12.0±0.7	12.33±0.8
100.0	16.50±1.2*	13.20±0.8*	12.66±0.4	12.0±0.6
200.0	16.50±1.1*	13.20±0.8*	13.0±0.9	12.33±0.9
Control	13.50±0.7	11.50±0.5	10.66±0.5	11.66±0.9

The potentially of insects for consumption and utilization of their food is known to be influenced by various factors such as: food derivation and host plant (Abdelfattah *et al.*, 1991- 1992, and Mohamed, 2003), larval instar (Banerjee and Haque, 1984), and rearing temperature (Reynold and Nottingham, 1975). These factors modify the ability of Lepidopterous insects to consume or utilize their plant food. Other factors, such as the control measures taken against these insects, antifeedant activity (Vinson and Barras, 1970)

#### The effect of spinosad on larval and pupal durations:

The effect of spinosad on larval and pupal duration of *A. ipsilon* treated as 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae were recorded in table (8). No significant differences in the larval period were observed after treating 4<sup>th</sup> or 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae at lower concentrations (5-25 ppm). There were significant differences in larval duration

(16.50 & 16.50 and 12.66 & 13.0 days compared with 13.50 and 10.66 days for untreated control, respectively at 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instars) at higher concentrations (100 and 200 ppm). No significant differences were noticed in pupal durations after treatment 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae with exception 100 and 200 ppm which averaged 13.20 & 13.20 days, respectively, after treating 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae. These results agree with Youssef *et al.* 1991, they stated that, the larval duration of *A. ipsilon* was prolonged when treated by 1 µl of juvenal hormone analogue.

**The effect of spinosad on deposited eggs and hatchability percentage:**

Data in table (9) stated that the total number of deposited eggs / female after treating 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *A. ipsilon* by different concentrations of spinosad. The highest mean numbers of deposited eggs at 4<sup>th</sup> instar was 230 eggs/female (5 ppm) and the lowest was 89.40 eggs/female (200 ppm). The hatchability percent of eggs were 56.60 and 43.40%, respectively, for the two previous concentrations. The 5<sup>th</sup> instar treatments showed that, 10 & 200 ppm resulted 230.70 & 100.50 eggs/female and the hatchability percentages were 52.80 & 36.10%, respectively, while the deposited eggs/female by untreated control were 242.70 and 250.50 and the hatchability percent of eggs were 69.80 and 65.70 respectively at 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar. There were significant differences between different concentrations and untreated larvae for deposited and hatchability percent of eggs. Additionally, Temerak and Sayed (2001) cleared that, unhatched eggs of *Deudorix livia* ranged from 20 to 29 % in 1999 and from 17 to 23 % in 2000 for spinosad at 10 to 40 ml/100 liters. Also, Fang *et al.* (2002) stated that, spinosad killed all exposed *Rhyzopertha dominica* adults and significantly suppressed progeny production (84-100%) and kernel damage (66-100) at both rates (0.1 and 1 mg (AI)/kg) compared with untreated wheat. Spinosad was extremely effective against *Plodia interpunctella* on all wheat classes at 1 mg/kg, based on larval mortality (97.6-99.6%), suppression of egg to adult emergence (93-100), and kernel damage (95-100), relative to similar effects on untreated wheat. *Corcyra cephalonica* strain was highly susceptible to spinosad at 0.5 and 1 mg/kg. at both spinosad rates, reduction in larval survival, egg-to-adult emergence, and seed damage relative to the control treatment was more than or equal to 93% on both corn and sunflower seeds (Huang and Subramanyam, 2004).

**Table (9): The effect of Spinosad on egg production/ female and hatchability % of *A. ipsilon* treated as 4<sup>th</sup> or 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae**

Conc. ppm	4 <sup>th</sup> instar		5 <sup>th</sup> instar	
	Laying eggs /female	Hatchability %	Laying eggs /female	Hatchability %
5.0	230.0±15.0**	56.60±6.7**	200.40±35.4**	57.86±10.6*
10.0	155.0±10.0**	57.0±2.0**	230.70±20.4**	52.80±7.5**
25.0	140.0±10.5**	51.60±9.1**	220.10±14.6**	46.20±11.6**
50.0	130.0±8.6**	45.38±4.9**	180.50±16.8**	36.40±7.7**
100.0	160.0±14.5**	40.0±6.8**	176.0±7.5**	38.80±10.6**
200.0	89.40±5.6**	43.40±10.5**	100.50±9.5**	36.10±11.5**
Control	242.70±11.9	69.80±7.1	250.50±15.2	65.70±9.8

## CONCLUSION

The results assured that, the 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae were more susceptible than the 5<sup>th</sup> instar to spinosad. On the other hand, the growth reduction was proportional to the reduction of food consumption after feeding 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae on spinosad-treated leaves, while this observation was not detected after feeding 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae on the same food. Spinosad has inconsistent effect on the treated 4<sup>th</sup> instar. AD, ECI and ECD values were positively correlated with high concentrations and negatively effect with the low concentrations in case of 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instar larvae, but the reverse effect was detected in case of 6<sup>th</sup> instar. GR and AR values were decreased with the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar after feeding 4<sup>th</sup> instar on spinosad-treated leaves. Treated 5<sup>th</sup> instar, generally, has a positive effect on 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> instar, except ECD in 6<sup>th</sup> instar. Finally, the larval and pupal durations were affected only at higher concentrations. Laying eggs and hatchability percent were significantly affected by spinosad treatment.

## REFERENCES

- Abbott, W.S. (1925): A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J. Econ. Ent.* 18, 265-67.
- Abdel-Fattah, M.I.; Farag, A.I.; Assal, O.M. and Tayer, R.A. (1991-1992): The relative nutritive suitability of food plants to lepidopterous larvae. 1- The purple-lined borer, *Chilo Agamemnon* Bles, (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). *Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt*, 70, 51-60.
- Banerjee, T.C. and Haque, N. (1984): Dry matter budgets for *Diacrisia casignctum* larvae feed on sunflower leaves. *J. Insect. Physiol.*, 30: 861-866.
- Fang, L.A.; Subramanyam, B.; and Arthur, F.H. (2002): Effectiveness of spinosad on four classes of wheat against five stored-product insects. *J. of Econ. Entomol.* 95 (3) 640-650.
- Huang, F.N. and Subramanyam, B. (2004): Responses of *Corcyra cephalonica* (Stainton) to pirimiphos-methyl, spinosad, and combinations of pirimiphos-methyl and synergized pyrethrins. *Pest Management Science.* 60: (2) 191-198.
- Johnson, D. and Mundel, H. (1987): Grasshopper feeding rates, preferences and growth on sawflower. *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, 11 (1): 43-52.
- Mackey, A.P. (1978): Growth and bioenergetics of the moth *Cycloohragma leucostica*. Grunberg, Berlin, 32: 367-376.
- McPherson, R.M.; Seagraves, M.P.; Ottens, R.J. and Bundy, C.S. (2003): Leaf dip bioassay to determine susceptibility of tobacco hornworm (Lepidoptera: Sphingidae) to acephate, methomyl and spinosad. *J. of Entomol. Scin.* 38: (2) 262-268.
- Mertz, F.P. and Yao, R.C. (1990): *Saccaropolispora spinosa* sp. Nov. isolated from soil collected in a sugar mill rum still. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 40: 34-39.

- Mohamed A. Hamdy** (2003) Comparative study of host plants on growth, development and fecundity of the cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis*. (Boisduval) Noctuidae Lepidoptera. J Egypt. Ger Soc. Zool. Vol (42E) Entomology 167-183
- Morony, M.J.** (1957) Facts from Figures. (Penguin Books Ltd. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Harmondsworth, Middlesex. 228 pp.)
- Palumbo, J.C.** (1999). A practical approach for managing Lepidopterous larvae with new insecticide chemistries in lettuce pp 88-93 In D.N. Berne and Baciewicz (eds.) 1999 Vegetable Report, University of Arizona, College of Agriculture, Series P-117, AZ 1143
- Palumbo, J.C. and Reyes, F J** (1998) Evaluation of experimental compounds for Leafminer control on Lettuce. Arthropod Management Tests, 23 107-108.
- Palumbo, J.C.; Mullis, C H. Reyes, F J. Amaya, A., Ledesma, L., and Carey, L.** (2000): Management of Western Flower Thrips in Head Lettuce with Conventional and Botanical Insecticides, pp. 50-63 In D.N. Byrne and P. Baciewicz (eds.) 2000 Vegetable Report, University of Arizona, College of Agriculture Life Sciences, AZ1143
- Radwan, H.S.A Assal, O.M Abo-Elghar G.E Riskallah, M.R. and Ahmed, M T** (1986) Some aspects of the action of diflubenzuron and trifluron on food consumption, growth rate and food utilization by *Spodoptera littoralis* larvae. J insect physiol 32 (2) 103-107
- Reynolds, S.E and Nottingham, S F** (1975) Effects of temperature on growth and efficiency of food utilization in fifth-instar caterpillars of the tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta* J Insect Physiol 31 129-132
- Scriber, H M and Slansky F Jr** (1981) The nutritional ecology of immature insects Annu Rev Entomol 26 83-211
- Slansky F JR** (1993) Nutritional Ecology the fundamental quest for nutrients In "Caterpillars Ecology and Evolutionary Constraints on Foraging" (ed s Stamp N F and Casey T M ) Chapman Hall, NY, pp 29-91
- Slansky, F JR and Scriber H M** (1985) Food consumption and utilization In "Comprehensive Insect Physiology Biochemistry and Pharmacology" (eds Kerket A and Gilbert L I ) vol 4 Pergamon, Oxford pp 87-163
- Sparks, T C Thompson, G.D Larson, L L Kirst, H.A Jantz, O.K Worden, T V Hertlein, M.B and Busacca, J D** (1995) Biological characteristics of the spinosyns, a new natural derived insect control agent, pp 903-908 In D A Richter and J Armour (eds ) Proceedings, Beltwide Cotton Conference National Cotton Council of America, Memphis, TN
- Sundaramurthy V T** (1977) Effect of inhibitors of chitin deposition on the growth and differentiation of tobacco caterpillar *Spodoptera litura* Fb (Noctuidae Lepidoptera) Z Pflanzenkrankheit & Pflanzenschutz 84 (10) 597-601
- Temerak S A and Sayed A A** (2001) Ovi-larvicidal activity of spinosad in comparison to *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp *kurstaki* for the control of *Tracholita livia* (Klug ) on date palm trees in the field, New Valley, Egypt Assiut Journal of Agricultural Sciences 32 17

- Vinson, S.B. and Barras, D.J. (1970): Effects of the parasitoid, *Cardiochiles nigriceps*, on the growth, development, and tissues of *Heliothis virescens*, J. Insect. Physiol., 16: 1329-1338.
- Waldbauer, G.P. (1968): The consumption and utilization of food by insects. Adv. Insect Physiol., 5: 229-282.
- Yee, W.L. and Toscano, N.C. (1998): Laboratory evaluations of synthetic and natural insecticides on beet armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) damage and survival on lettuce. J. Econ. Entomol. 91 (1) 56-63.
- Youssef, H.I.; Abdel-Monsef, S. and Tantawy, S. (1991): Effect of juvenile hormone analogue on the development, food consumption & degeneration of muscles in *Agrotis ipsilon* Hufn. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Fourth Arab Congress of Plant Protection Cairo 1-5 Dec. 133-142.

### التأثيرات البيولوجية والسيولوجية للمبيد الحيوي (سبينوساد) على الدودة القارضة (اجروتيس ايسيلون)

حمدي احمد محمد\* ، وشريف فاروق حافظ\* ، ومنى احمد حسين\*\*

\* قسم وقاية النبات-كلية الزراعة-جامعة الأزهر بالقاهرة

\*\* قسم الآفات ووقاية النبات-المركز القومي للبحوث-الدقي-القاهرة-مصر

تمت تغذية يرقات الدودة القارضة اجروتيس ايسيلون علي أوراق الخروع المعاملة بالمبيد الحيوي سبينوساد ووجد أن هناك اختلافا في الحماسية للسبينوساد بليت علي اساس نمية الموت الكلية بين الممرين الرابع والخامس ليرقات الدودة القارضة، وقد لوحظ انخفاض في الوزن النسبي المكتسب (RWG) خصوصا بعد المعاملة ليرقات العمر الرابع وبتغذية يرقات العمر الرابع علي الأوراق المعاملة بالسبينوساد زادت كفاءة تحويل الغذاء المتناول (ECI) وكذلك كفاءة تحويل الغذاء المهضوم (ECD) داخل الجسم في الممرين الرابع والخامس مع التركيزات العليا ولكن انخفضت في العمر اليرقي السادس. من جهة أخرى فان الانهضامية التقريبية (AD) تأثرت سلبيا بالسبينوساد في العمر اليرقي الخامس. أما معدل النمو (GR) ومعدل التمثيل (AR) ومعدل التمثيل النسبي (RMR) أظهرت فيما متذبذبة بعد تغذية العمر اليرقي الرابع علي الاوراق المعاملة بالسبينوساد. لقد أدت معاملة العمر اليرقي الرابع بتركيز ١٠٠ ، ٢٠٠ جزء في المليون من المبيد الحيوي إلى زيادة معنوية في الأعمار اليرقية وطور العذراء كما أدت معاملة العمر اليرقي الرابع والخامس بالسبينوساد إلى انخفاض ملحوظ في عدد البيض الموضوع للأنثى الواحدة وكذلك في نسبة قفص البيض.