EVALUATION OF SOME PROMISING MAIZE CROSSES FOR THEIR GENETIC BEHAVIOR IN SOME IMPORTANT TRAITS Abd El-Maksoud, M. M.¹; A.M. El-Adi¹; Z.M.El-Diasty¹ A.R. Galai² and R. S. Hassanien²

- 1- Dept. of Genet., Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ., Mansoura, Egypt.
- 2- Maize Section, Field Crop Institute, ARC, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The nature of gene action for yield and its components was studied in four populations of white maize (Zea mays L.). Six populations (P1, P2, F1, F2, BC1 and BC₂) of four promising crosses among five inbred lines for each cross were evaluated for silking date, plant height, ear height, number of kernels/row, number of rows/ear, ear length, ear diameter and grain yield/plant through two successive seasons. These crosses were Sd-7 x Sd-34, Sd-7 x L-7041, Gz-628 x L-8084 and L-7041 x L-8084. The results obtained revealed the presence of highly significant differences among crosses as well as populations within each cross with respect to all the studied traits. Furthermore, crosses and populations within crosses interacted significantly with years for all the studied traits. This finding detected that these crosses and their populations gave different performances in different years for the studied traits. In addition, the results showed that the non-additive genetic variance including dominance play the major role in the genetic expression of these traits. Also, the results indicated that most of the studied traits were significantly influenced by one or more types of epistatic gene effect, which included additive x additive (aa), dominance x dominance (dd) and additive x dominance (ad) gene effects as appeared in the four crosses for yield and other traits, indicating the role of non-allelic interaction in the genetic expression of studied traits. From the previous results, it could be concluded that the production of hybrids is the best breeding program for the improvement of maize with respect to the studied traits.

INTRODUCTION

Information about the relative importance of additive and non-additive gene action would help in selecting suitable breeding program. Selection program leads to maximum progress in improving a trait when the additive gene action is the main component. Heterosis effects in F, hybrids may exploited by choosing parental lines in which the non-additive (dominance and epistasis) effects are more important in the inheritance of the characters. Also, where both additive and non-additive gene action are important, it is advisable to adapt recurrent selection for handling such population. In this respect, Reddy and Agrawal (1992), Ochieng and Compton (1994), Abd El-Maksoud (1997), Amer et al. (1997), Galal et al. (2002) and Amer et al. (2003) reported that non-additive genetic variance plays the major role in the inheritance of most studied traits. While, Choukan (1999) and El-Shouny et al. (2003), reported that both additive and non-additive genetic variances involved in the inheritance of silking date, plant height, ear height, ear length, no. of kernels/row and grain yield per plant. On the other hand, Daune and Hallauer (1997) indicated that the epistatic gene effects (additive x additive,

dominance x dominance and additive x dominance) gene effects contributed in the genetic expression of plant height, ear height, silking date, no. of kernels/row, ear length and ear diameter. Thus, this study was conducted to obtain further information related to the nature of gene action for yield and some other traits in maize.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The genetic materials used in this investigation included five genetically divergent inbred lines namely Sids-7, Sids-34, Giza-628, inbred line-7041/6-6 and inbred line-8084. These inbred lines were provided by Maize Research Section, Sakha Agric. Res. Station. During the season of 1998, these lines were sown and all possible combination excluded reciprocal were made by hand crossing among them to obtain ten F₁ hybrids. During the season of 1999, the parental lines and their F₁ hybrids were split sown at the Farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station for preliminary evaluation. Four crosses were chosen according to their behavior in some vegetative and earliness traits. These chosen crosses were as follows:

Sd-7 x Sd-34, Sd7 x L-7041, Gz-628 x L-8084 and L-7041x L-8084.

During the summer season of 2000, seeds of these crosses and their parental lines were split sown. At the flowering time, each F_1 plants were back crossed to their respective parents to produce the first (BC₁) and the second (BC₂) backcrosses. In the same time, the crosses between these lines were done again in the same manner to produce more F_1 seeds. Also, the F_1 plants and their parental lines were self pollinated to produce F_2 generation seeds and increasing seeds from each line.

During 2001 and 2002 summer seasons, the obtained seeds of six generations (P_1 , P_2 , F_1 , F_2 , BC_1 and BC_2) for four crosses were evaluated using a split plot design with four replications. Each block/replicate consisted of four main plots which included the four crosses. Each main plot divided into six sub-plots, which included six generations of each cross. The sub-plot size was three rows for each parental line as well as each F_1 hybrids, four rows for each backcross and six rows for each F_2 generation. All rows were six m. long, 80 cm apart with spacing 25 cm between hills to obtain population density of 21.000 plants per feddan. All cultural practices were applied as recommended for maize cultivation. Data were recorded on guarded individual plants for following traits: silking date (days), plant height (cm), ear height (cm), no. of kernels/row, no. of rows/ear, ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm) and grain yield/plant (gram).

Analysis of variance according to split plot design for the studied traits was made to detect the significance of the observed difference among and within crosses (Singh and Narayanan, 2000). The scaling test which includes the three parameters (A, B and C) were determined according to the formulae outlined by (Mather and Jinks, 1982) for testing deviations of segregation from the additive and dominance model of gene effects. Then, the standard errors of A, B and C was worked out by taking the square root of corresponding variances and "t" values were calculated by dividing the effects

of A, B and C by their respective standard error. The calculated "t" values of these three tests were compared against tabulated values of "t" at 5% and 1% levels of significance. The significance of any one of these scales is taken to indicate the presence of non-allelic interaction. Therefore, the six parameters model is used to estimate various types of gene effects. While, if the t - test is insignificant differed from zero, the additive-dominance gene effect is adequate to interpret the nature of gene action. Six parameter models are m, a, d, aa, ad and dd, these stand for mean effects, additive, dominance, additive x additive, additive x dominance and dominance x dominance gene effects, respectively. These genetic components, variance, standard error and calculated "t" values were estimated according to Gamble (1962). In the absence of non-allelic interaction, the additive-dominance model is adequate. Thus, m, a and d were estimated according to Jinks and Jones (1958). Significance of the genetic effects is tested in a similar manner as done in case of scaling test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the analysis of variance and the mean squares of yield and other traits of crosses and their populations in 2001, 2002 and their combined data are presented in Table 1. The obtained results indicated the presence of highly significant differences among crosses for all the studied traits in the two years and their combined. Also, the results revealed that the populations within each cross exhibited highly significant differences for the studied four crosses. This significant variation suggested the existence of some sort of genetic variabilities between the used parental lines which might reflect their difference in the genetic background. Therefore, the comparisons between genotypic means are valid and the partition of this genotypic variance to its components could be made. On the other hand, the crosses and populations within crosses interacted significantly with years for all the studied traits. Also, populations within each cross interacted significantly with years in the cases of 2nd, 3rd and 4th crosses for silking date; 3rd and 4th crosses for plant height and ear height; four crosses for no. of kernels/row and ear length; 2nd and 4th crosses for no. of rows/ear; 3rd cross for ear diameter and 1st, 3rd and 4th crosses for grain yield/plant. These results refered that these crosses and their populations behaved differently in different environmental conditions. Numerous authers reported that the genotypes and their partitions (lines and crosses) differed in their performance from one year or location to another, among them, Jay and Hallauer (1997) for grain yield; and Amer (2002) for silking date, plant height, ear height and grain yield; Galal et al. (2002) for silking date, plant height and ear height and Amer et al. (2003) and Mosa (2003) for grain yield, no. of kernels/row, no. of rows/ear and ear diameter.

Table 1: Analysis of variance and mean squares for all studied characters of crosses and their populations in 2001, 2002 and their combined data

	T-		Silking da			lant heig	aht		Ear height		No. o	of kernels/r	ow
\$.O.V	d,f	2001	2002	Comb.	2001	2002	Comb.	2001	2002	Comb.	2001	2002	Comb.
Years (Y)	1			24.99**			13614**			49488.5**			473.1**
RY	6	8.76**	8.92**	8.84**	1476**	310.3**	893.1**	623.05**	343.86**	483.46**	13.01*	8.87	10.94**
Crosses (C)	3	3.18**	9.42**	7.98**	17330**	12371**	29242**	4693.26**	4953.94**	9524.61**	235.87**	373.01**	570.8**
CxY	3			4.63**			460.1**			122.60			38.03**
R. W. Y (Ea)	18	0.38	1.34	0.86	47.7	41.08	44.39	16.41	27.29	21.85	2.49	2.76	2.63
Pop. W.C.	20	17.30**	32.02**	45.20**	6126**	7940**	13917**	2507.62**	3370.81**	2757.29**	141.49**	185.89**	312.4**
Pop. W. C1	5	22.96**	19.49**	41.07	4767**	5986**	10687**	2057.37**	2727.14**	4720.28**	128.03**	156.38**	274.6**
Pop. W. C2	5	20.33**	32.53**	49.76**	10278**	1189**	22107**	4160.23**	4402.57**	8545.54**	194.16**	272.04**	450.3**
Pop. W. C3	5	19.30**	39.82**	55,69**	3378**	5690**	8777**	1161.91**	2534.81**	3393.94**	148.29**	81.32**	221.1**
Pop. W. C4	5	6.90**	36.25**	34.28**	6083**	8193**	14097**	2650.98**	3818.74**	6369.40**	95.48**	233.84**	303.5**
Pop. W. C x Y	20			4.12**			149.4**			121.14**			14.96**
Pop. W. C1 x Y	5			1.39			66.3			64.22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9.80*
Pop. W. C2 x Y	5			2.81**			61.1			17.26			15.85**
Pop. W. C3 x Y	5			3.43**			291.2**			302.77**	ļ		8.47**
Pop. W. C4 x Y	5			8.86**			179.1*			100.32*			25.74**
R. W. Pop x C. (Eb)	120	0.43	2.07	1.25	35.5	68.29	51.9	19.65	56.43	38.04	2.72	4.24	3.48
R. W. Pop. X C1	30	0.52	1.40	0.96	19.3	79.86	49.5	10.66	74.96	42.81	3.39	4.93	4.16
R. W. Pop. X C2	30	0.56	2.72	1.64	25.3	103.4	64.3	19.02	65.87	42.45	2.09	6.86	4.48
R. W. Pop. X C3	30	0.16	2.77	1.46	34.9	44.07	39.4	19.52	52.47	35.99	2.46	3.31	2.89
R. W. Pop. X C4	30	0.48	1.40	0.94	62.6	45.8	54.2	29.41	32.44	30.92	2.96	1.87	2.41

Table 1: Continued

s.o.v	d.f	No	o. of rows/e	ar		Ear length (c	m)	Ea	r dlameter	(cm)	Grain	yleld/plant (gram)
3.0.4		2001	2002	Comb.	2001	2002	Comb.	2001	2002	Comb.	2001	2002	Comb.
Years (Y)	1			15.45**			296.39**			13.25**			115287**
R/Y	6	1.18*	0.70*	0.94**	7.34**	1.04	4.19**	0.21**	0.04**	0.12**	678.68*	207,14	442.91*
Crosses (C)	3	27.96**	30.19**	57.29**	16.23**	62.05**	70.07**	0.12**	0.05**	0.13**	2937.83**	3486.7**	759.97**
CxY	3			0.87*			8.22**			0.04**			864.59**
R. W. Y (Ea)	18	0.27_	0.10	0.19	0.33	0.34	0.34	0.01	0.002	0.01	106.50	116.48	111.49
Pop. W.C.	20	5.38**	5.16**	9.38**	13.33**	17.73**	28.77**	0.90**	0.76**	1.60**	815451**	4653.4**	12256**
Pop. W. C1	5	2.51**	2.68**	5.02**	9.04**	9.60**	16,08**	0.61**	0.51**	1.05**	8563.59**	4868.4**	2808.6**
Pop. W. C2	5	6.91**	5.01**	9.10**	18.87**	30.40**	48.48**	0.99**	1.02**	1.95**	9948.11**	5862.4**	5339.8**
Pop. W. C3	5	5.90**	6.40**	12.21**	15.73**	10.62**	24.81**	1.10**	0.57**	1.59**	8162.22**	3354.8**	0786.9**
Pop. W. C4	5	6.21**	6.55**	11.18**	9.69**	20.28**	27.70**	0.89**	0.97**	1.82**	5944.11**	4527.8**	0091.5**
Pop. W. C x Y	20		1	1.17**			2.29**		[0.07**	1		551.18**
Pop. W. C1 x Y	5			0.18			2.56*			0.06	1.		623.39*
Pop. W. C2 x Y	5			2.82**			2.80**	1		0.05			470.66
Pop. W. C3 x Y	5		,	0.10]	1.54*	}		0.08**			730.22**
Pop. W. C4 x Y	5			1.58**			2.27**	T		0.04			380.45**
R. W. Pop x C. (Eb)	120	0.13	0.17	0.15	0.39	0.87	0.63	0.02	0.03	0.02	76.29	222.31	149.30
R. W. Pop. X C1	30	0.18	0.08	0.13	0.50	1.19	0.84	0.03	0.04	0.03	79.62	306.10	192.86
R. W. Pop. X C2	30	0.14	0.21	0.17	0.25	1.18	0.72	0.02	0.03	0.03	100.67	367.76	234.22
R. W. Pop. X C3	30	0.08	0.23	0.16	0.60	0.42	0.51	0.01	0.01	0.01	75.67	61.51	68.59
R. W. Pop. X C4	30	0.11	0.16	0.13	0.22	0.71	0.46	0.01	0.03	0.02	49.20	153.89	101.54

^{*,**}significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Cross1 = Sd-7 x Sd-34 Cross 2

The six populations means and their standard error of the studied crosses for vield and other traits were calculated and the obtained results are shown in Table 2. The means showed that the inbred line Sd-7 was the highest parent for no. of kernels/row (27.76), while, this inbred was the lowest parent for no. of rows/ear (10.51). The parental line L-7041 was the earliest parent for silking date (69.46 days) and it was highest parent for no. of rows/ear (13.04). The inbred line Sd-34 was the highest parent for plant height (212.13 cm). ear height (110.58 cm), ear length (15.44 cm), ear diameter (3.98 cm) and grain yield/plant (87.02 gram), but the inbred line L-8084 appeared to be the shorter parent for plant height (138.32 cm) and ear height (76.31 cm). All F₁ hybrids appeared to be earlier than their earliest parent in the two years and combined. Also, all F₁ hybrids showed superiority over their highest parent for plant height, ear height, no. of kernels/row, no. of rows/ear, ear length, ear diameter and grain yield/plant in the two years and their combined data. Generally, the results showed that the 3rd cross was the earliest hybrid (64.78 days) while, the 4^{th} cross was the latest hybrid (66.28 days). On the other hand, the 2^{nd} , 2^{nd} , 1^{st} , 3^{rd} , 1^{st} , 3^{rd} and $(1^{st}$ and $2^{nd})$ crosses were the highest crosses for plant height, ear height, no, of kernels/row, no. of rows/ear, ear length, ear diameter and grain yield/plant. The results also showed that the F2 generations of the four crosses in the two years and their combined appeared to be later than their F₁ hybrids for silking date. Furthermore, the F2 generation of the four crosses in the two years and combined were less than the corresponding values of F, hybrids for the remain traits. These results reflect the presence of heterotic effect and the non-additive genetic variance plays the major role in the inheritance of these traits with respect to these crosses. The obtained results revealed that the backcrosses means of most studied crosses strongly tended to be toward the respective recurrent parents in most of the studied traits, reflecting the role of additive and epistatic gene effects.

The results of scaling tests (A, B and C) for yield and other traits in each year in addition to their combined data are presented in Table 3. The values of scaling tests insignificantly differed from zero for the 1st cross for ear length in each year and their combined and 3rd cross for plant height in the growing season 2001. Thus, the additive-dominance model is adequate to interpret gene effects in these crosses. While, the six parameter model is valid to explain the nature of gene action for the other crosses with respect to these traits. Therefore, the gene effects using the populations means of the four crosses for yield and other traits in the two years as well as their combined are presented in Table 4. The results showed that the estimates of mean effects parameter (m), which reflects the contribution due to the overall mean (additive) plus the locus effects (dominance) found to be highly significant for all the studied traits in both years and their combined. In general, the crosses exhibited different magnitude and sign of gene action types with different years. Therefore, it could be more accurate, concentrating on the results obtained from the combined data over all both years. The results showed that the estimates of additive gene effects (a) values were negatively or positively significant for most of the studied crosses.

Table 2: Mean performances and standard errors of populations within each cross for yield and other traits in 2001,2002 and their combined data

		Sliking date Plant height (cm)																							
	1			Silkin	g date				Pl	<u>ant he</u>	ight (c	<u>m}</u>			Ea	ır helg	ht (cm)				No.	of ke	rnels/r	OW	
1	Y	P,	Pz	F ₁	F₂	BC ₁	BC ₂	P ₁	P2	F ₁	F ₂	BC,	BC ₃	P ₁	Pz	F,	Fz	BC,	BC₂	P,	Pz	F,	Fz	BC,	BC ₂
	D004	73.08	69.83	65.65	68.93	69.05	68.7	203.9	236.9	296.5	275.9	272.6	283.6	117.2	124.0	175.7	153.5	159.	159.1	29.90	26.58	41.86	34.45	38.20	38.53
	2001	±0.20	±0.25	±0.20	±0.18	±0.17	±0.2	±2.14	±2.06	±1.63	±1.49	±1.42	±1.35	±1.61	±1.61	±1.47	±1.21	±1.41	±1.31	±0.72	±0.85	±0.72	±0.49	±0.62	l±0.59
		72.1	71.35	65.87	68,46	68.72	69.0	141.9	182.0	250.3	224.0	220.4	224.1	81.91	93.98	151.5	132.9	130.4	125.0	24.73	26.61	41.03	35.93	36.71	34.88
3.3	2002	±0.30	±0.30	±0.23	±0.18	10.20	±0.2	±2.38	±1.67	±1.99	±1.21	±1.35	±1.51	±1,66	±1.73	£1.83	±1.11	±1.17	±1.39	±0.72	±0.69	±0.75	±0.47	±0.61	±0.60
2 ×	Com.	72.67	70.53	65.76	68.69	68.88	68.87	177.2	212.1	273.2	249.9	246.5	253.8	101.8	110.5	163.6	143.2	144.9	142.1	27.76	26.59	41.47	35.10	37.57	35.84
ပင	Com.	±0.18	±0.20	±0.15	±0.13	±0.13	±0.14	±3.13	±2.79	±2.09	±1.41	±1.68	±1.96	±1.94		±1.46				±0.56					
								203.9						117.2	96.54	188.8				29.90					
	2001							±2.14		±1.83				±1.61		±1.75				±0.72					. 1
7041)								141.9							55.52		116.5			24.73					
2.5	2002			t	1	1								±1.66		±1.81				±0.72				1	1
8 ×														101.8	79.77	170.1	132.5			27.76					_
ರ⊏	Com.	. –					4	L			ı		-	±1.94	±2.02	1				±0.56					
								186.3							93.36	143.6	118.2			26.37					
₹	2001				1					1				±1.36		£1.14			1	±0.65			L		
# 3 8084)	├ ──							131.0							53.18	116 4	88.59			22.80					_
	2002		·		1	1	1	1	1 ,			1		±1.30	±1.15					±0.53					.
Cross (628 x	 													93.20	_	130.0	103.4			24.87					
ථ ම	Com.							±2.56			ı			±1.93		±1.39	.,			±0.45					1
														96.54	93.36	160.1	132.0			21.48					
×	2001				t	•								±1.48		1				±0.50				1	
٠.	}							109.9			176 2		168.0		53.18	±1.44 129.7	±1.27			15.00					_
ـ ـ ا	けいいつ	10 29		1					1.		14 50				+	1				1					
# 2	<u> </u>	EU.20				*								±1.44		±1.89				±0.48					
K 8	Com.	09.40		L			- * -	151.0		I -		1		1	76.31	144.9			1 1	19.28					
	1	±0.18	±0.21	±0.20	<u>j±0.12</u>	<u> ±0.1:</u>) ±0.14	±3.24	<u> ±2./3</u>	TZ.41	±1.45	<u> ±1.69</u>	±1.08	±2.02	±1.99	<u> ±1.61</u>	±0.97	±1.26	[±1.3]	<u> ±0.46</u>	TU.3/	1±0.44	±0.26	±0.3	o <u>j±0.34</u>

Table 2: Continue

		00.1			 -													 .							
	•		No.	o. of re)W8/ea	r			E	ar len	gth (cn	n)			Ea	r diam	eter (c	m)			Grain	yleid/	plant (gram)	
	Υ	_P ₁	P ₂	F,	F ₂	BC.	BC ₂	P ₁	P2	_F,	F ₂	BC,	BC₂	Ρ,	P ₂	F ₁	F ₂	BC ₁	BC₂	Pι	P2	F,	F ₂	BC₁	BC ₂
	2001	10.64	12.58	12.65	12.20	11.78	12.42	16.20	15.44	19.37	17.70	18.31	18.46	3.74	4.12	4.87	4.38	4.39	4.50	89.5	101	214	147	160	170
	2001	±0.21	±0.17	±0.13	±0.10	±0.12	±0.12	±0.32	±0.37	±0.31	±0,20	±0.24	±0.25	±0.06	±0.06	±0.03	±0.03	±0.04	±0.04	±3.1	±4.1	±3.9	±3.8	±4.4	±4.2
<u> </u>	2002	10.33	12.33	12.39	11.28	10.98	11.76	14.09	15.45	18.61	17.31	15.83	16.16	3.28	3.80	4.29	4.19	3.80	3.96	50.7	69.6	144	119	118	105
	2002	±0.18	±0,13	±0.11	±0.10	±0.13	±0.13	±0.39	±0.23	±0.32	±0.23	±0.29	±0.28	±0.05	±0.03	±0,05	±0.04	±0.04	±0.04	±2.1	±2.5	±4.2	±2.8	±3.1	±3.1
٥×	~	10.51	12.47	12.53	11.79	11.45	12.14	15.33	15.44	19.01	17.53	17.27	17.50	3.55	3.9B	4.60	4.30	4.21	4.20	73.2	87.0	181	135	143	143
O C	Com.	±0.15	±0,11	±0.09	±0.07	±0.09	±0.09	±0.26	±0.23	±0.22	±0.15	±0.20	±0.20	±0.04	±0.03	±0.03	±0.02	±0.02	±0.03	±2.6	±2.9	±3.8	±2.5	±3.1	±3,3
		10.64	13.64	13.69	13.39	12.21	14.03	16.20	12.67	19.15	16.43	16.59	17.85	3.74	3.92	5.01	4.53	4.59	4.72	89.5	74.7	212	141	153.	157
	2001	±0.21	±0,19	±0.16	±0.11	±0.10	±0.12	±0.32	±0.22	±0.22	±0.19	±0.24	±0.21	±0.06	±0.05	±0.03	±0.03	±0.04	±0.04	±3.1	±2.0	±5.1	±3.3	±4.2	±4.1
₹ ₹		10.33					11.71									4.38	4.18	4.00	4.17	50.7	45.2	146	.101	111	90.6
2 R	2002	±0.18	±0.25	±0.14	±0.11	±0.14	±0.14	±0.39	±0.24	±0.29	±0.20	±0.26	±0.24	±0.05	±0.04	±0.04	±0.04	±0.04	±0.04	±2.1	±1.8	±5.0	±3.1	±3.8	±3.3
ğ×	Com.	10.51		_			13.06									4.71	4.37	4.42	4.41	73.2	64.0	181.	124	135	129
OC	Com.	±0.15														±0.03	±0.02	±0.03	±0.02	±2.6	±1.9	±4.3	±2.4	±3.1	±3.3
		12.40					14.17								3.92	5.15	4.61	4.65	4.81	92.2	73.7	192	146	168	145
•	2001	±0.18			•	f											±0.03			±3.8	±1.8	±3.0	±2.6	±3.0	±2.8
8084)		12.20					14.36									4.55	4.03	4.08	4.03	48.3	40.8	121	85.9	82.2	79.7
T ×			±0.21		J	,						1									±1.6	±3.8	±2.5	±2.5	±2.9
28	Com.	12.31					14.25									4.87	4.38	4.41	4.48	71.3	61.8	158.	120	132	117
26	Com.	±0.13												1				0.030			±1.9	±3.5	±2.2	±3.1	±2.7
		13.64	12.61				15.41									5.08	4.52	4.47	4.85	74.7	73.7	167	129	148	129
3	2001	±0.19					±0.14											-0.00		1:	±1.8	±2.8	±2.4	±2.5	±2.5
_ \$		11.86			_		14.15									4.55	4.06	3.80	3.18	45.2	40.8	130	88.0	94.3	64.4
* ×	2002	±0.25	1													''				±1.8	±1.6	±3.9	±2.6	±2.9	±2.6
88	Com.						14.88								3.78	4.83	4.32	4.19	4.57	64.0	61.8	150	111	125	102
36	Com.		±0.14								1										±1.9	±2.7	±1.9	±2.4	±2.6
	· '	40.17	<u> </u>	170,13	120.00	170.10	144.11	120,23	120-01	I	40.13	120.10	4-0-11	1-0.04	40.03	1-0.03	120.02	20.00	20.00		41.0	44.1	<u> </u>	<u>, -2</u>	

^{*,**}significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Table 3: Scaling tests (A,B and C) and their standard errors for earliness and morphological traits in 2001,2002 and their combined data

	LIIC	ii combili											
	l v l		Silking date		Pla	ant height (c	m)	E	ar height (cr	n)	No.	of kernels/	ow
C		A± S.E.	B± S.E.	C± S.E.	A± S.E.	B± S.E.	C± S.E.	A± S.E.	B± S.E.	C± S.E.	A± S.E.	B± S.E.	C± S.E.
	2001	-0.64	1.92	1.49	67.01	12.46	70.91	25.85	18.59	21.39	1.31	7.96	-2.40
	2001	± 0.45	± 0.47**	± 0.90	±4.11**	±3.87**	±7.41**	±3.56**	±3.56**	±6.18**	±1.54	±1.66**	±2.66
# ⊕	2002	-0.56	0.87	-1.89	56.05	8.49	71.45	27.52	4.51	52.96	4.00	5.78	10.33
Cross#1 (7 × 34)	2002	±0,55	±0.59	±0.94*	±4.33**	±3.75*	±6.89**	±3.41**	±3.75	±6.25**	±1.59*	_±1.59**	±2,60**
86	Comb.	-0.67	1.45	0.04	57.24	7.64	64.05	24.47	9.98	33.40	2.45	7.09	3,11
	Comb.	±0.35	±0.38**	±0.65	±5.34**	±4.84	±8.18**	±3.39**	±3.53**	±5.41**	±1.14*	±1.17**	±1.89
]	2001	-3.17	2.22	1.76	29.35	13.61	26.91	4.32	6.33	2.96	0.34	4.00	-6.61
	2001	± 0.43**	± 0.48**	± 0.77*	±4.82**	±4.51**	±7.75**	±3.83	±2.73*	±6.62	±1.37	±1.43**	±2.40**
£ £ €	2002	-1.47	0.03	-0.14	23.23	33.73	43.73	4.94	18.41	25.86	-1.80	16.14	9.10
SS 7	2002	±0.56*	±0.60	±1.00	±4.64**	±4.42**	±7.68**	±4.09	±3.72**	±6.71**	±1.52	±1.41**	±2.49**
Cross (7 × 7)	Comb.	-2.39	1.15	0.77	21.99	17.32	24.68	2.42	8.63	8.46	-0.37	8.78	-0.23
	COMB.	±0.36**	±0.41**	±0.67	±5.77**	±5.51**	±9.12**	±3.95	±3.84°	±6.44	±1.11	±1.07**	±1.81
×	2001	1.32	2.85	4.55	3.62	1.46	0.70	-6.36	15.76	-16.96	7.10	7.55	6.10
6	2001	± 0.46**	± 0.48**	± 0.77**	±3.85	±3.66	±6.87	_±2.82*	±3.08**	±4.85**	±1.16**	±1.07**	±1.92**
***	2002	1.31	-1.47	5.54	50.96	2.34	52,85	46.84	11.61	-0.19	5.46	6.48	14.88
Cross (628 8084)	2002	±0.55**	±0.68*	±1.07**	±4.27**	±3.87	±6,90**	±3.20**	±3.03**	±5.54	±1,29**	±1.19**	±2.07**
522	Comb.	1,32	0.84	5.20	21.30	-2.52	16.36	15.83	10.64	-16.03	6.84	7.30	10.13
000	Comb.	±0.36**	±0.43	±0.67**	±4.21**	±4.78	±7.34*	±2.91**	±3.49**	±5.37**	±1.00**	±0.94**	±1.59**
×	2001	0.81	2.24	6.10	20.53	-0.71	15.74	18.77	-0.39	17.87	7.32	8.47	12.57
!		± 0.48	± 0.47**	± 0.75**	±4.13**	±3.80	±7,01*	±3.41**	±3.05	±6.19**	±1.17**	±1.15**	±1.93**
#	2002	-1.26	2.64	1.10	59.61	14.31	56.66	26.72	-5.90	72.10	0.19	5.55	10.96
S 4 4		±0.53**	±0.60**	±0.93	±5.13**	±4.28**	±8.51**	±3.57**	±3.39	±6.33**	±1.20	±1.27**	±2.08**
Cross (7041 8084)	Comb.	-0.20	2.58	3.77	33.72	2.38	25.43	19.00	-6.19	38.20	3.63	6.84	10.71
L	1000.	±0.40	±0.41**	±0.69**	±5.27**	±4.95	±8,65**	±3.61**	±3.66	±5.79**	±0.95**	±0.88**	±1.48**

Table3: Continued

C	Y	No.	. of rows/ear		<u> </u>	ar length (cr	n)	Ear	r diameter (d	:m)	Grain	yield/plant	(gram)
	i	A± S.E.	B± S.E.	C± S.E.	A± S.E.	B± S.E.	C± S.E.	A± S.E.	B± S.E.	C± S.E.	A± S.E.	B± S.E.	C± S.E.
,	2001	0.27	-0.48	0.27	1.04	2.11	0.44	0.18	-0.004	-0.08	17.82	25.11	-28.71
	{}	±0.35	±0.23*	±0.53	±0.65	±3.97	±4.06	±0.09*	±0.10	±0.17	±10.15	±10.28*	±17.90
<u>.</u>	2002	-0.75	-1.20	-2.33	-1.03	-1.74	2.40	0.34	-0.49	1.09	42.94	-2.54	70.17
28 28	{	±0.34°	±0.30**	±0.50**	±0.76	±0.89	±1,28	±0.10**	±0.09**	±0.18**	±7.96**	±8.04	±14.61°
Cross#1 (7 × 34)	Comb.	-0.15	-0.72	-0.86	0.21	0,54	1.32	0.27	-0.17	0.46	31.97	17.93	18.77
U C	11	±0.25	±0.23**	±0.38*	±0.52	±0.51	±0.82	±0.08**	±0.08*	±0.13**	±7.79**	±8.23*	±13.36
	2001	3.73	-2.92	1.89	-2.18	3.88	-1.43	0.68	0.25	0,46	4.97	27,30	-21.40
	1 1	±0.35**	±0.31**	±0.60**	±0.61**	±3.58	±3.67	±0.09**	±0.09**	±0.16**	±10.41	±9.95**	±17.29
7041)	2002	-0.05	1.63	1.79	0.42	1.96	1.49	0.35	0.79	1.42	26.17	-10.66	18.20
" è	} }	±0.37	10.40**	±0.60**	±0.71	±0.61**	±1,08	±0.10**	±0.09**	±0.17**	±9.45**	±8.63	±16.33
Cross (7 × 7)	Comb.	2.17	-1.13	1.70	-0.98	2.89	-0.41	0.57	0.45	0.84	17.24	13.05	-2.60
06		±0,29**	±0.27**	±0.44**	±0.48°	±0.48**	±0.77	±0.08**	±0.08**	±0.13**	±8.11*	±8.21	±13.58
	2001	0.47	0.07	0.90	2,57	0.70	1.01	0.34	0.54	0.44	51.89	24.08	35.18
8084)] [±0.33	±0.34	±0.25*	±0.58**	±4.00	±4.06	±0.10**	±0.08**	±0.14**	±7.87**	±6.67**	±13.06*
	2002	0.05	0.93	0.79	1.83	2,54	3.27	0.03	-0.17	-0.08	-15.03	-2.58	12.29
×] [±0,37	±0.39*	±0.62	±0,56**	±0.57**	±0.92**	±0.09	±0,08*	±0,15	±6,54*	±7.25	±12.91
(628 (628	Comb.	0.31	0.42	0.84	2.51	1.34	1.88	0.25	0.32	0.22	34,18	14.66	29.17
U E	ì j	±0.25	±0.26	±0.42*	±0.47**	_±0.51**	±0.77**	±0.08**	±0.08**	±0.12	±7.80**	±6.83*	±12.03
×	2001	-1.03	2.10	0.30	1.78	-0.78	-0.17	0.70	-0.06	0.08	54.11	18.37	34.53
_		±0.38**	±0.38**	±0.62	±0.49**	±4.00	±4.05	±0.09**	±0.09	±0.16	±6.16**	±6.11**	±11.61*
4	2002	3,34	1.45	4.73	2.52	0.40	5.89	0.65	-0.46	0,45	13.13	-42.17	5.51
\$ = 4		±0.43**	±0.41**	±0.68**	±0.57**	±0.60	±1.01**	±0.09**	±0.09**	±0.16**	±7.28	±6.85**	±13.31
C7041 (7041 8084)	Comb.	0.74	1.89	2.14	1.90	-0.47	1.86	0.65	-0.23	0.17	37.16	-6.97	19.67
w	1 1	±0.30*	±0.29**	±0.48**	±0.44**	±0.50	±0.74*	±0.08**	±0.08**	±0.13	±5.92**	±6.20	±10.06

^{*,**}significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

	93 0.35 -6.01 8** ±0.24 ±0.92 46 -0.33 -4.17 18** ±0.30 ±0.98 69 0.01 -5.10 13** ±0.19 ±0.67 14 -0.72 -7.54	-0.21 -1, ** ±0.88 ±0.2 1.70 -0. ** ±0.93 ±0.1 0.75 -1. ** ±0.64 ±0.2 -2.72 -2.	.72 -2.01 .36' ±1.53 .06 -1.53 24" ±1.02	m 275.96 11 ±1.48" ±2. 224.02 3. ±1.21" ±2 249.99 7. ±1,41" ±2.	73 81.36 .03 ±6.76** 37 79.38	aa 8.56 ±7.28 ± -6.92	ad dd 27.28 -88.03 2.57" ±11.20" 23.76 -57.61 2.49" ±10.64"	132.98	a d 0.2\$ 78.09 1.92 ±6.47* 5.47 42.66	ght (cm) a2 ad 23.05 3.63 ±6.18**±2.29 -20.93 11.5	** ±9.87** -11.10	±0.49** ±	a d -1.67 25.; ±0.85* ±2.7 -1.83 14.8	1 -0.55	-3.33 ±1.02**	9.22
2001 ±0.16 2002 58.4 2002 ±0.16 5 Comb. 66.6 × 2001 69.1 × 2001 69.1	8" ±0.24 ±0.92 46	-0.21 -1. ** ±0.88 ±0.2 ** ±0.93 ±0.2 ** ±0.93 ±0.4 ** ±0.64 ±0.2 ** -2.72 -2.	.28 -1.08 29** ±1.33 .72 -2.01 .36* ±1.53 .06 -1.53 24** ±1.02	±1.48" ±2. 224.02 3. ±1.21" ±2 249.99 7.	73 81.36 .03 ±6.76** 37 79.38	8.56 ±7.28 ± -6.92 ±6.30 ±	27.28 -88.03 2.57" ±11.20" 23.78 -57.61 2.49" ±10.64"	±1,20** ±	1.92 ±6.47* 5.47 42.66	23.05 3.63 ±6.18" ±2.29 -20.93 11.5	-67.48 **±9.87**	±0.49** ±	0.85* ±2.7	1 -0.55	±1.02**	-20.92 *±4.34* -9.22
2001 ±0.16 2002 58.4 2002 ±0.16 5 Comb. 66.6 × 2001 69.1 × 2001 69.1	8" ±0.24 ±0.92 46	** ±0.88 ±0.2 1.70 -0. ** ±0.93 ±0. 0.75 -1. ** ±0.64 ±0.2 -2.72 -2.	29** ±1.33 .72 -2.01 .36* ±1.53 .06 -1.53 24** ±1.02	±1.48" ±2. 224.02 3. ±1.21" ±2 249.99 7.	73 81.36 .03 ±6.76** 37 79.38	±7.28 ± -6.92 ±6.30 ±	2.57**±11.20** 23.76 -57.61 2.49**±10.64*	±1,20** ±	1.92 ±6.47* 5.47 42.66	±6.18**±2.29	** ±9.87** -11.10	±0.49** ±	0.85* ±2.7	1 -0.55	±1.02**	9.22
2002 58.4 2002 50.11 2001 68.4 × 2001 69.1	46 -0.33 -4.17 8** ±0.30 ±0.98* 69 0.01 -5.10 3** ±0.19 ±0.67* 14 -0.72 -7.54	1.70 -0. ±0.93 ±0. 0.75 -1. ±0.64 ±0.2 -2.72 -2.	.72 -2.01 .36' ±1.53 .06 -1.53 24" ±1.02	224.02 3. ±1.21" ±2 249.99 7.	73 81.36 .03 ±6.76** 37 79.38	-6.92 ±6.30 ±	23.78 -57.61 2.49**±10.64*	132.98	5.47 42.66	-20.93 11.5	-11.10	35,93	-1.83 14.8	1 -0.55	-0.89	9.22
Comb. 68.6 ±0.13 × 2001 69.1	8° ±0.30 ±0.98 69 0.01 -5.10 13° ±0.19 ±0.67 14 -0.72 -7.54	10.93 ±0. 0.75 -1. ±0.64 ±0.2 -2.72 -2.	36° ±1.53 .06 -1.53 24° ±1.02	249.99 7.	.03 ±6.76** 37 79.38	±6.30 ±	2.49" t10.64"			-20.93 11.5 ±5.75**±2.18	11.10 19.59				-0.89	
Comb. 68.6 ±0.13 × 2001 69.1	69 0.01 -5.10 13" ±0.19 ±0.67" 14 -0.72 -7.54	0.75 -1. ±0.64 ±0.2 -2.72 -2.	.06 -1.53 24" ±1.02	249.99 7.	37 79.38			±1.11**±1	.82" ±6.15"	±5.75** ±2.18	+9.59	+0.47**	0.86° ±2.6	1º* ±2.54	+0.99	1
Comb. 68.6 ±0.13 × 2001 69.1	3° ±0.19 ±0.67°	±0.64 ±0.2	24" ±1.02		37 79.38											114.30
× 2001 69.1	14 -0.72 -7.54	-2.72 -2.		±1,41" ±2.	E 7+0 4 6 6 6 8		24.60 *00.63	[143.26] 2	2.86 58.46	1.06 7.24		35.10	1.74 20	2 6.43	-2.32	15.9
× 2001 ±0.15			70 167			±7.59 ±	3,29" ±13,02"				** ±8.90**	±0.34**±	0.61** ±1.9	***±1.85*	40.73**	123.10
10.1	5**±0.25**±0.83			262.22 20				148.64	9.37 89.65	7.69 -1.00				0 10.98		
		"±0.79"±0.3	29** ±1.28**	±1.56** ±2.			3.30" ±13.30"						0.79** ±2.5	** ±2 49*		
-loon 67.7	33 0.40 -8.52		75 2.74	204.99 10					6.46 80.12	-2.51 -6.74			4.11 23	2 5.24	-8.97	
5 LO.19		10.97 ±0.	37° ±1.57				2.15° ±11.73"						0.82** 12.6		±0.92**	
Comb. 68.2			77 3.24		44 141.17		2.34 -53.96		7.92 81.91	2.59 -3.10	13.64		0.34 25	8 8.64		F-17.0
Comp. #0.13		"±0.65"±0.2	24" ±1.06"		77** ±8,92**				.99**±6.42**	±5.99 ±2.4	±10.23	20.34	±0.50 ±1.9		120.70	
75.6		-0.38 -0.	76 -3.79						3.17 68.78	25.35 - 11.0		3171	2 68 21	9 8.54	6033	23.
	5**±0.25**±0.62*					<u> </u>	i J			15.00** 11.92		HO 38 **	0.62**+2.0		+0.73	
606		9 -5.70 1:		174 18 37			24.31 -53.75		5 24 114 32	58 84 17 6			2.27 5.7	1 -2.93	-0.84	-9.0
2002 ±0.2				±1.28** ±2.			2.52" 211.19"			25.31** ±1.88				5°° ±2.04	10.76	43.4
හිටි 2002 ම Comb. 69.6 ±0.1		3.04 0.	24 0.89		30 76.94			103.41 T					2.51 14	2 4 61	-0 23	Tit
Comb. ±0.13		**±0.68** ±0	26 ±1.11	±1.21" +2.	14** ±7.03**				1.51**±5.14*	±4.75** ±2.0	5 ±8.08**		0.52***±1.6	1°° ±1.55°	±0.50	
70.7		-3.05 -0.	71 0.01	224.84 18	83 103.29		10.62 -23.91	132.0 1	1.17 65.71	0.50 9.58	-18.8	29.50	-0.11 [13.9	0 3.23	-0.57	1 19.
	3" ±0.23" ±0.75"	"±0.70" ±0.	29° ±1.21	±1.54** ±2.	72** ±8.02**	±7.84 ±	2.66** ±11.97*		1.76""±6.42"	±6.17 ±2.04	** ±9.3*	±0,34**	±0.63 ±1.9	9" ±1.87"	±0.71	1±3.1
24	18 -3.51 -6.71	0.26 -1.	95 -1.66	176 38 25	27 127.06		22.65 -91.19	110.08 1		1-51.28 16.3	1 30.46	28.41	-3.80 13	8 -5.22	-2.68	0.6
	7**±0.30**±0.97		37** ±1.51	±1.50" ±2.			2.75**±12.74*			±6.02**±2.07		±0.39**	0.68** ±2.1	7** ±2.06	±0.7**	
69.4	46 -2.69 -5.88						15.67 -45.78								1 1 61	10
	2** ±0.20** ±0.68						3.19" ±12.87"						0.49**±1.5		±0.5**	12.4

***significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

Table 4: Continued

	· · ·	COMMI	No. of rows/ear Ear length (cm) Ear diameter (cm)																					
			No. of r	OM2/69	<u>r</u>				Ear len	<u>ılh (cm</u>	<u> </u>		L	Ε.	ar diam	eter (cr	<u>n) </u>			Gr	ain yleid/	plant (g	ram	
- 1	Y	m a	ď	22	ad	dd	m	2	<u>d</u>	aa	ad	dd	m	_a_	đ	aa .	ad	dd	m	_ a	_ d	aa .	ad	dd
বহা	2001	12.20 -0.63	0.84	-0.40	0.34	0.53	13.09	0.38	12,18	I		T	4.38	-0.10	1.18	0.24	80.0	-0.39	147.5	-9.35	190.55	71.64	-3.64	-114.58
× 8		±0.09**±0.17	±0,55	±0.51	±0.22	±0.87	±2.23**	±1.97	±6.47				±0.03°	±0.05°	±0.17**	±0.16	±0.06	±0.27	±3.79**	±6.13	±20.09**	±19.52**	±6.66	±30.36"
8	2002	11.28 -0.77	1.45	0,38	0.23	1.57	20.00	-0.66	-9.38				4.19	0.16	-0.50	•1.24	0.42	1.39	119.7	13.30	54.25	-29.76	22.74	-10.64
l		±0.10" ±0.18	±0.56"	±0.54	±0.21	±0.89	±1.24	±0.22**	£3.12**			L	±0.04**	±0.05°	±0.19**	±0.18**	±0.06**	±0.28**	22.84"	±4.47*	£15.17**	214,45*	±4.78~	±23.10
	Comb.	11.79 -0.69	1.04	0.01	0.29	0.87	15.96	0.06	3.23				4,30	0.01	0.47	-0.37	0.22	0.27	135.4	0.14	132.25	31,13	7.02	-81,03
1		±0,07**±0.13	**±0.41*	±0.39	±0.16	20.64	±0.84**	±0.17	±2.13			<u> </u>	±0.02**	±0.04	±0.14**	±0.13**	20.05**	±0,22	±2.54**	±4.55	±14.32**	±13,64°	±4.96	±22,60"
বিচা	2001	13.39 1.83	0.47	-1.08	3.33	0.27	16.43	-1.26	7.84	3,13	-3.03	-4.83	4.53	0.13	1.54	0.47	0.22	-1.41	141.7	-3.74	183.57	53,66	-11.17	-85,93
×g		±0.10**±0.15	+0.57	±0.53	±0.21**	±0.87	±0.15**	±0.31"	±2.04"	±0.97**	±1.81	±3.88	±0.03**	±0.05**	±0.16**	±0.16**	±0.06**	±0.25**	±3.36**	±5.94	±18.75**	±17.95**	±6.23	±29.40*
Ş #	2002	12.57 -1.61	1.84	-0.21	-0.84	-1.36	14.58	1.83	6.32	0.88	-0.77	-3.26	4.16	-0.16	0.87	-0.28	-0.22	-0.85	101.8	21.13	95.93	-2.69	18.42	-12.83
2 %		±0.11* ±0.20	±0.62**	±0.58	±0.25**	±1.00	±0.20**	±0,35**	±1.12**	±1.06	±0.42	±1.78	10.04**	±0.06**	±0.19**	±0.18	±0.07**	10.29**	±3.13**	±5.12**	±17.00	±16.17	±5.32**	±26,18
		13.03 0.39		-0.56	1.65	-0.38	15.62	0,03	7.06	2.33	-1.93	-4.25	4.37	0.003	1.28	0.19	0.06	-1.21	124.3	6.69	145.50	32,89	2,10	-63,17
- 1		£0.08**±0.14	H±0.45°	±0.42	±0.18**	±0.72	t0.14**	±0.26	±0.81~	±0.77**	±0.31**	±1.30**	±0.02**	±0.04	±0.14**	±0,13	±0.05	±0.22**	#2.48**	24.61	±14.31**	±13.54~	±4.89	±22.89*
8 8	2001	14.31 0.10	2.81	-0,36	0.20	-0.18	16.50	-0.67	6.36	2.23	0.95	-5.48	4.61	-0.16	1.74	0.45	-0.10	-1.34	146.4	23.16	150.13	40.79	13.90	-116.76
28 g)		±0.10** ±0.17	±0.58**	±0.55	±0.22	±0.90	±0.16**	±0.29*	±2.18**	±0.86**	±2,01	±4.22	±0.02**	±0.04**	±0.15**	±0.14**	±0.06	±0.24	±2.69**	±4,18°	±14.12	±13.63"	±4.70**	±21,22"
× 21	2002	14.07 -0.50	3.40	0.19	-0.44	-1.17	13,64	-0,66	4.74	1.11	-0.36	-5.49	4.03	0.05	1.09	0.09	0.01	-0.11	85.94	2,54	56.60	-19,89	-1.22	27.49
8084)		±0.11**±0.20	*±0.65**	±0.61	±0.25	±1.02	±0.18**	±0.32°	±0.99**	±0.95	±0.37	±1.57**	±0.03**	±0.02*	±0.16**	±0.15	±0.05	±0.25	±2.54**	±3.90	±13.43**	±12.83	±4.07	±20,27
E	Comb.	14,21 -0.15	3.06	-0.11	-0.06	-0.62	15.25	-0.67	5.59	1.98	0.58	-5.84	4.36	-0.07	1.47	0.35	-0.03	-0.91	120.0	14.52	111.89	19.66	9.76	-68.50
- 1		±0.08** ±0.13	±0.43*	±0.41	±0.17	±0.68	±0.13**	±0.25°	±0,78**	±0.73**	±0.31	±1.26"	±0.02**	±0.03°	±0.14**	±0.13**	±0.05	±0.22**	±2.27**	±4.20"	±12.98**	±12.37	24,54	±20,65"
হল	2001	14.69 -1.05	3.75	0.77	-1.57	-1.85	15.75	-0.29	4,27	1.17	1.28	-2.17	4.52	0.38	1.72	0.56	0.38	-1.21	129.6	18,38	131,44	37.95	17.87	-110.43
Cros	_	±0.10**±0.19	1±0.62*	±0.58	±0.23**	±1.00	±0.15"	±0.27	±2.17°	±0.83	±2.01	±4.20	±0.03**	±0.05**	±0.17**	10.15	±0.06**	±0.26"	±2.4**	±3,59*	±12.53**	±12.13"	±3.85**	±18.49*
→ W	2002	14.49 0.71	2.49	0.05	0.94	-4.84±	14.10	-D.15	2.10	-2.97	1.06	0.05	4.06	0.38	0.95	-0.26	0.55	0.06	88.00	29,86	52.55	-34.56	27.65	63.60
48		±0.13**±0.22	** <u>*</u> *0.72**	\$3.0±	±0.28**	1.12**	±0.20	±0.33	±1.07*	±1.02**	40.38**	±1.65	±0.04**	±0.06**	±0.19**	±0.18	±0.06**	±0.28	±2.50**	£3,95°	±13.71**	±13.07"	±4.15**	±20.66°
4.00	Comb.			0.43	-0.58		15.03	-0.23	3.07		1.19	-0.98	4.32		1.37	0.26	0.44		111.4	23,19	97.62	10.52		-40.71
~		±0.08**±0.15	±0.48"	±0.45	±0.19**	±0,77**	±0.13**	±0.24	±0.75**	±0.70	±0.30**	±1.20	±0.03**	±0.05**	±0.15**	±0.14	±0.06**	±0.23**	±1.99**	±3.56"	±11.11"	±10.67	±3.82**	±17.43°

*,**significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

While, the dominance gene effects (d) were highly significant and larger in magnitude than the corresponding values of additive effects (a) in the four crosses. This suggests that the major role of dominance gene action in the inheritance of these traits with respect to the four crosses and the higher frequency of dominance genes in the parental lines, which involved in these crosses for these traits. This fact may explain the presence of heterobilitosis in these crosses and reduction of F2 generations than their F1 hybrids mean in these crosses with respect to these traits. These results are in accordance with what reported by Nawar (1985) and Reddy and Agrawal (1992), who found that the non-additive including dominance genetic effects had an important role in the inheritance of silking date; Ochieng and Compton (1994) and Malvar et al. (1996) for grain yield; Mousa (1997) for no. of grains/row; Geetha and Jayaraman (2000) and El-Shouny et al. (2003) for no. of kernel/row, no. of rows/ear and grain yield. However, at least one type of epistatic gene action which involved additive x additive (aa), dominance x dominance (dd) and additive x dominance (ad) was significant in each cross for these traits, indicating the importance of non- allelic interactions (epistasis) in the inheritance of these traits. These results could be confirmed by Daune and Hallauer (1997), El-Kady et al. (2002) and Mosa (2003). In general, it could be concluded that the production of F1 hybrids is the best breeding program for improvement of maize production.

REFFERENCES

- Abd El-Maksoud, M.M. (1997). Heterosis and gene action for grain yield and ear characters in maize inbred lines. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 22(4): 1087-1100.
- Amer, E.A. (2002). Combining ability on early maturing inbred lines of maize. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 17(5): 162-181.
- Amer, E.A.; A.A. El-Shenawy and A.A. Metawei (2003). Combining ability of new maize inbred lines via line x tester analysis. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 7(1): 229-239.
- Amer, E.A.; F.A.A. El-Zeir and A.M. Shehata (1997). Inheritance of five traits through out six inbreds diallel set in maize. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 12(10): 63-74.
- Choukan, R. (1999). General and specific combining ability of ten maize inbred lines for different traits in diallel crosses. Seed and plant, 15 (3): 280 –295.
- Duane, P. W. and A.R. Hallauer (1997). Triple testcross a nalysis to detect epistasis in maize. Crop Sci., 37: 763-770.
- El-Kady, M.A.K.; A.M. Sabbour and E.A. Hassan (2002). Breeding behaviour of some morphological and yield characters in yellow corn. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 27(3): 1505-1525.
- El-Shouny, K.A.; Olfat, H. El-Bagoury; H.Y El-Sherbieny and S.A. Al Ahmed (2003). Combining ability estimates for yield and its components in yellow maize (Zea mays L.) under two plant densities. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 7(1): 399-417.
- Galal, A.A. E.A. Amer and A.A. El Shenawy (2002). Comparison among the four methods of Griffing (1956) in complete diallel set of maize inbred. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 27(2): 733-737.

- Gamble, E.E. (1962). Gene effects in corn (Zea mays L.). I Separation and relative importance of gene effects for yield. Cand. J. of Plant Sci., 42(2): 339-348.
- Geetha, K. and N. Jayaraman (2000). Genetic analysis of yield in maize (Zea mays L.). Madras Agriculture Journal, 87(10/12): 638-640.
- Jay R. S. and A.R. Hallauer (1997). Analysis of the diallel mating design for maize inbred lines. Crop Sci., 37: 400-405.
- Jinks, J.L. and R.M. Jones (1958). Estimation of the components of heterosis. Genetics, 43: 223-234.
- Malvar, R.A.; A. Ordas; P. Revilla and M.E. Cartes (1996). Estimates of genetic variance in two Spanish population of maize. Crop Sc., 36: 29-295.
- Mather, K. and J.L. Jinks (1982). Biometrical genetics. Great Brilin, 3rd ed. Chapman and Hall Ltd., London., 396 pp.
- Mosa, H.E. (2003). Heterosis and combining ability in maize (Zea mays L.). Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 5(1); 1375-1386.
- Mousa, S.Th. M. (1997). Breeding studies on maize (Zea mays L.). M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Nawar, A.A. (1985). Diallel analysis of the combining ability of inbred lines and its utilization in breeding maize hybrids. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 10: 2015-2027.
- Ochieng J.A.W. and W.A. Compton (1994). Genetic effects from full-sib selection in Krug maize (Zea mays L.). Journal of Genetics and Breeding 48(2): 191-196.
- Reddy K.H.P and B.D. Agrawal (1992). Estimation of genetic variation in an improved population of maize (Zea mays L.). Madras-Agriculture-Journal, 79(2): 714-719.
- Singh, P. and S.S. Narayanan (2000). Biometrical techniques in plant breeding. 2nd ed., Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi. Pp:17-36.
- تقييم بعض هجن الذرة الشامية المبشرة لسلوكها الوراثى فى بعض الصفات الهامة معدوح محمد عبد المقصود ، على ماهر محمد العلل ، زكريا محمد الديسطى ، عبدالرحمن جلال ، رزق صلاح حساتين .
 - ١ قسم الوراثة كلية الزراعة جامعة المنصورة مصر.
 - ٢- قسم الذرة الشامية معهد المحاصيل الحقلية مركز البحوث الزراعية الجيزة مصر.

لدراسة طبيعة الفعل الجينى للمحصول ومكوناته من خلال أربعة من هجن الذرة الشامية البيضاء ، تم تقييم منة عشائر (الأباء ، الجيل الأول ، الجيل الثاني ، الهجن الرجعية) لأربعة من الهجن المبشرة في صفات تاريخ ظهسور الحريرة ، طول النبات ، إرتفاع الكوز ، عدد الحبوب بالصف ، عدد الصفوف بالكوز ، طول الكوز ، قطسر الكسوز ، محصول الحبوب للبنات خلال موسمين متتاليين. وهذه الهجن هي:

للمحصد للمحتوية بين المحتوية بين المحتوية بين المحتوية بين المحتوية المحتوية Sd7 x L.7041 x L.8084, Gz.628 x L.8084 عليها بوجود إختلاف عالى المعتوية بين المهجن المدروسة وكذلك العشائر داخل المهجن في كل الصفات المدروسة. علاوة على ذلك فإن تداخل كل من المهجن والعشائر داخل المهجن مع المواسم الزراعية كان عالى المعتوية في كل الصفات مما يشير إلى أن هذه المهجن والعشائر تسلك سلوكا مختلفا بإختلاف الظروف البيئية. بضافة بلى ذلك أشارت النتائج ان الفعل الحينى السيادى يلعب الدور الرئيسي في التعبير الوراثي لهذه الصفات ، ولمضا معظم الصفات هذه تتأثر بواحد أو أكثر من طرز الفعل الجينى التقوقي والتي تشمل بضافة x إضافة ، إضافة x سيادة ، سيادة مصا يشدير إلى يدور التداخل بين العوامل الغير اليلية في توريث هذه الصفات. ومن النتائج السابقة فإنه من الممكن أن تسستخلص أن إنساج المهجن هو طريقة التزيية المثلى لتحسين إنتاجية الذرة الشامية.