# EFFECT OF DIETARY PROTEIN LEVEL AND DL-METHIONINE SUPPLEMENTATION ON THE PERFORMANCE OF BROILERS DURING THE FINISHER PERIOD.

Sonbol. S.M.\* and I.A.Azazi\*\*

\*Dep. of Poultry Prod., Faculty of Agric. Zagazig Univ., Zagazig. Egypt
\*\*Animal Production Institute, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

#### Accepted 8/2/2004

ABSTRACT: A total number of 320 unsexed Hubbard broiler chicks of 21 days old were divided into 8 experimental groups (2 × 4 factorial arrangements). The first four groups were fed a high dietary protein level (19.82% crude protein "CP") and the other groups were fed on adietary lower finisher crude protein level (17.80% CP) from 21 to 42 days of age. Synthetic DL – methionine was supplemented at levels of 0.00, 0.05, 0.10 and 0.15% to each protein level.

Results obtained indicated that, live body weight, weight gain, feed conversion and feed cost/Kg gain were improved during the experimental periods for chicks fed high dietary CP level. However, protein efficiency ratio (PER) was similar for all treatments during the experimental period. Live body weight, weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion, PER and feed cost/Kg gain were improved for the diets supplemented with 0.10 % synthetic methionine. The best live body weight, wight gain, feed conversion and feed cost/Kg gain were recorded for chicks fed a high protein finisher diet supplemented without synthetic methionine during the period from 21 – 42 days of age of age. While, feed intake and PER were improved as the dictary protein level decreased and supplemented with 0.1% synthetic methionine during this period. The dietary CP level supplemented with synthetic methionine had a significant (P < 0.05) effect on carcass, liver, dressing, feather and abdominal fat.

**KEY WORDS:** Protein, DL-methionine, Performance, Carcass, Broiler.

#### INTRODUCTION

Protein is the most expensive nutrient and must be provided from a high quality sources. The most common materials in broiler rations are plant products such as maize, soyabean meal, rice or wheat bran.

Dietary protein level must be provided with sufficient levels of essential amino acids to allow maximum protein synthesis and meet the demands of metabolic processes.

Methionine and lysine in plant products. generally low Animal protein products such as fish meal, meat and bone meal etc. good sources of the most are essential amino acids (EAA) but they are usually more expensive plant protein ingredients. than Synthetic methionine and lysine are usually added to the diets to amino balance the acids composition.

Many investigators concluded that broiler chicks fed on low protein diets supplemented with essential amino aicds could perform equivalently to those fed on high protein diets (Han et al., 1992; Deschepper and De Groote, 1995 and El-Sherbiny et al., 1997). However, Boomgaardt and Baker, 1971, 1973. Robbins, 1987, Morris et al., 1987 indicated that the

requirement of some of the EAA is directly related to protein concentration.

Some authers recomended starter diets with at least 24% crude protein and finisher diets with at least 20.5 %CP (Moran. 1980, EL-Moghazy et al., 1982 and Salman at el., 1983). Other authors recommended a finisher diets with only 15-19% crude protein (Proudefoot and Hulan, 1978). On the other hand, the total sulpher amino requirements for broiler (TSAA) chicks has been the subject of both many researchers in laboratory and practical situations.

Estimates of TSAA requirement of broiler chicks during the starting and growing period were 0.93 and 0.72%, respectivly (NRC, 1984). In addition, the dietary factors includiong the levels of protein and genetic factor may be influenced the apparent methionine of chicks (Babatunde et al., 1976, and Mushart and Latshaw, 1985).

The present study was under taken to investigate the effect of varying dietary protein levels and methionine supplementation on growth performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chicks during the finishing period.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total number of 320 unsexed 21 days Hubbard were divided into 2 × 4 factorial arrangements. The two groups were fed on a finisher diets which contain 19.82 and CP. Each group 17.8% divided into four sub-treatment groups (40 birds in each) and supplemented fed with 0.0, 0.05, 0.10 and 0.15 % synthetic DLmethionine level. The experimental basal diets finisher formulated to contain TSAA at a level of 0.78 % or 0.72 % for high or low protein diets, respectively, (Table 1).

Feed were and water ad-libitum and chicks supplied were kept under the same managerial conditions during the experimental periods. Chicks were individually weighed and feed consumption was weekly recorded for each treatment to the nearst gram and feed conversion was units calculated of feed as consunption per units live weight gain for each experimental group. At 42 days of age (end of experiment), a slaughter test was carried out on 4 birds of each treatment to estimate some carcass (liver, dressing, measurements feather, abdominal fat and carcass weights as, percentages of live weight).

The statistical analyses were carried out according to Senedecor and Cochran (1982). Percentage values were transformed to arcsin values before the statistical analysis. Duncan's New multiple range test (1955) was used for comparisons among the significant means.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Growth performance:

Results obtained for the live body weight during (LBW) the experimental periods are shown in Table 2. Regardless to dietary synthetic methionine effects, the chicks fed a high protein diet (19.82 %) showed the significant (P<0.05) heavier (LBW) compared with those fed a lower protein diet (17.80%) at 28,35 and 42 days old. Irrespective of dietary protein levels, statistical analysis showed a significant (P<0.05) effect of 0.05% dietary synthetic methionine supplementation body weight at 28 or 35 days of age. However, the differences in body weight at 42 days of age were insignificant (P<0.05). Also, interaction showed that the best live body weight was recorded for the chicks fed low protein diet supplemented with 0.1 % synthetic methionine at 42 days of age. However, chicks fed high protein

diet without synthetic DL – methionine supplementation showed highly significant increase in live body weight as compared with the other treatment groups.

These results were in agreement recommendation of with the Hargis and Greger (1980), Prasad (1980), EL Moghazy et al. (1982), Summers and Lesson (1985), Sonbol (1990) and Gongnet et al., (1995) who showed that increasing dietary protein levels improved body weight and feed conversion. It also agreed with Holsheimer and Janssen (1991) who showed that body weight of broiler chicks decreased when the diet had inadequate or excess methionine. Babatunde et al., (1976) who found that weight gain of broiler chicks was improved as dietary protein level increased and that increased methionine content improved N retentain. However, the optimun levels of TSAA during the growing period (21 - 42 days) were 0.78 and 0.72 for both levels of CP respectively. These results were in agreement with those reported by ARC (1975). Damron et al., (1977). Wheeler and Latshow (1991), NRC (1984 and 1994) and Summers and Leeson (1985) who showed that the optimum level of TSAA for broiler chicks during the finisher period was between 0.70 and 0.78 %.

The results concerning the effect of dietary protein level and synthetic methionine supplementation on the weight gain (g), feed intake (g), feed conversion, PER and feed cost /Kg gain are shown in Table 3.

Regardless the dietary synthetic DL-methionine supplementation, broilers chicks fed on high protein diet (19.82 %) at 42 days of age revealed improvement in weight gain, feed conversion and feed cost /Kg gain in comparison with chicks fed low protein diet (17.80%), protien efficiency ratio for both levels of protein during the finisher period were similar (1.81 g weight gain/g protein consumed for high and low CP).

Regardless the dietary protein levels, the best weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion, PER and feed cost/Kg gain were recorded for chicks fed a finisher diet supplemented with 0.1 % synthetic methionine as compared with other groups. Also, interaction showed that the best weight gain, feed conversion and feed cost / Kg gain were recorded for chicks fed a high protein diet not supplemented with synthetic - methionine. DL However, chicks fed a high protein diet with 0.1 % synthetic DL methionine supplementation showed improvement in feed intake and PER as compared with other groups.

The results of feed intake are in agreement with those obtained by Olomu and Offion (1980). On the other hand, Plavink and Bornstein (1978) found that chicks during the finishing period tended to increase their feed intake to maintain normal growth, when protein is results of feed limiting the conversion are in agreement with those obtained by Fancher and Jensen (1989) who found that feed efficiency improved by increasing dietary protein level. Gongnet et al., (1995) reported that the least favourable feed conversion was in feeding 20% (CP). Sonbol and Habeeb (1991) showed that feed conversion during the finisher period were 2.38 and 2.33 g feed/g gain for the chicks fed a finisher supplemented with 0.0 and 0.1% methionine. respectively. El-Hindawy (1992) found that, chicks fed on diet with 0.2% DL - methionine showed better PER (2.5) than those fed on no supplemented diet.

#### Carcass characteristics:

The effects of experimental treatments on carcass treats are showed in Table 4. Regardless the dietary synthetic DL- methionine supplementation, dietary protein levels affected significantly (P<0.05) on carcass%, dressing %, feather% and abdominal fat %. Carcass %, dressing % and

feather % increased significantly as dietary protein increased. While, liver % abdominal fat % decreased as dietary CP increased.

Regardless dietary protein levels, the feather and abdominal fat percentages of broilers fed dits without synthetic DL-methionine were significantly (P<0.05) lower and higher, respectively than those synthetic DLfed diets with methionine. In addition. methionine supplementation showed insignificant effect ... on liver dressing and carcass, percentages.

The interaction between dietary protein levels and synthetic methionine supplementation is also presented in Table 4 which showed that the percentage carcass and dressing of broilers fed diets contained high protein without synthetic DL-methionine supplesignificantly mentation were (P<0.05) higher than he other groups. Also, the percentage of liver and feather were significantly (P< 0.05) improved with broilers fed the diet contained 19.82% with 0.05% synthetic DL- methionine supplementation. Besides. the percentage abdominal fat of broilers fed diets contained 17.8% CP without synthetic DL methionine was insignificantly (P< 0.05) higher than those in broilers fed 19.82% CP with or without synthetic DLmethionine (Table 4).

These results are in agreement with those obtained by Prasad and Sadagopan (1976) who showed that methionine did not affected % but decreased carcass content of the carcass. Also, Marino and Woodroof (1966) Lipstein et al. (1982), Fancher and Jensen (1989), Sonbol (1990), Sonbol and Habbeb (1991 a), and Moran et al (1992) who who showed that increasing dietary decreased protein level significantly abdominal fat percentage. However, Sonbol (1990) and Shehata (1995) found that feather weight % increased significantly as ditary methionine increased.

In conclusion, it could be recommended that synthetic DL-methionine supplementation to low protein diet showed the best improvement with (17.8 %CP) compared with other treatment with low protein level. While, the high protein one without synthetic DL- methionine gave a remarked improvemment with (19.82%) under the same sulpher amino acid level.

#### REFERENCES

Agriculture Research Council 1975. The nutrient requirements of farm livestock. No. 1. poutry technical reviews and summaries. Agr. Res. Council, London

Babatunde, G.M, B.L. Fetuga, and E. Kassin. 1976. Methionine supplementation of low protein diets for broiler chicks in the tropics. Br. Poult. Sci., 17: 463-469.

Boomgaardt, J, and D.H. Baker 1971. Tryptophan requirement of growing chicks as affected by dietary protein level. J. Anim. Sci., 33: 595-599.

Bgoomgaardt, J, and D.H. Baker 1973. Effect of age on the lysine and sulfur amino acid requirements of growing chickens. Poult. Sci., 52:592-597.

Damron, B.L., R.H. Harms, A.S. Arafa and D.M. Janky 1977. The effect of dietary iasalocide or monensin in the presence of roxarsone and graded methionine levels on broiler performance and processing characteristics Poultr Sci., 56, (5):1487-1491.

Deschepper, K. and G. De Groote, 1995. Effect of dietary protein. essential and non essential amino acids on the performance and creass composition of male broiler chickens. Br. Poult. Sci., 36: 229-245

Duncan, D.B., 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics, 11:1-42.

- EL-Hindawy, M.M. 1992.Additive effect of DL-methionine and some growth promoting antibiotics in broiler. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. Vol. 19(1):243-260.
- EL-Moghazy, M.S.A. EL Boushy, A.R and Ketelaars, E.H 1982. Effect of dietary level of protein and energy with constant methionine and lysine supplemented ratio. Research Bulletin. Faculty of Agriculture Ain shams Univ., No. 2034, pp 18.
- El-Sherbiny, A.E., M.A. Mohamed, A.S. Hamza and T.M EL- Afifi 1997. Response of broiler chicks to low protein diets supplmented with lysine and methionine Egypt Poult Sci., 17, 23-38.
- Fancher, B.I. and L.S Jensen. 1989. Dietary protein level and essential amino acid content: influence upon female broiler performance during the finisher period. Poult. Sci., 68, 897-908.
- Gongnet, G.P; S. Sakande; R. Parigi-Bini and M.B. Hane 1995. Influence of dietary protein on growth and carcass yield of guineafowl (Numida meleagris) and broiler chikens (Gallus domesticus) in a tropical area. Revue Medecine vetrinairy 146: 3, 199-208.

- Han Y.; H. Suzuki; C.M. Parsons and D.H. Baker 1992. Amino acids fortification of a low protein corn soybean meal diet for chicks. Poult. Sci., 71-1168-1178.
- Hargis, P.H. and C.R. Greger. 1980. Effect of varing dietary protein and energy levels.on growth rate and body fat of broiler. Poult Sci,. 59(7)1499-1504.
  - Holsheimer, J.P. and W.M.M.A. Janssen. 1991. limiting amino acid in low protein maizsoybean meal diets fed to broiler chicks from 3 to 7 weeks of age. Br. Poult. Sci., 32:151-158.
- Lipstein, B; S. Bronstein and I. Batov. 1982. The replacement of some of the soybean meal by the first- limiting amino acids in practical broiler diet. 3. Effect of protein concentrations and amino acid supplementation in broiler finisher diets on fat deposition in the carcass. Br. poult. Sci., 16:627-635.
- Marino, J.E. and J.E. Woodroof, 1966. Composition and stability of broiler carcasses as affected by dietary protein and fat. Poult. Sci., 54-247.
- Moran, E.T. Jr. 1980. Early protein restriction of the broiler chicken and carcass quality upon later marketing .Poult Sci., 59:379-382.

- Moran, E.T., Jr; Bushong, R.D. and Bilgili, S.F. 1992. Reducing dietary crude protein for briolers while satisfying amino acid requirements by least-cost formulation: live performance litter composition and yield of lost-food carcass cuts at six weeks Poult. Sci., 71:1687-1694.
- Morris, T.R; I.A.I. Azzawi; R.M. Gous and G.L.Simpson.1987. Effect of protein concentration on responses to dietary lysine by chicks. Br. Poult. Sci., 28:185-195.
- Mushart, N.A. and J.D. Latshaw. 1985. Broiler chicken performance as affected by protein levels, amino acid levels and plant protein supplementation, Nutr. Re. Int. 32:583-596.
- National Research Council, 1984.

  Nutrient Requirements of poultry. 8<sup>th</sup> ed. National Academy press, Washington, DC.
- National Research Council, 1994
  Nutrient Requirements of poultry. 9<sup>th</sup>. ed. National Academy press, Washington, DC.
- Olomu, J.M. and .S.A. Offion. 1980. The effects of different protein and energy levels and time of change from starter to finisher rations on performance

- of broiler chickens in the tropices. Poult. Sci., 59:828-835.
- Plavnik, Y. and S. Bornsntein. 1978. The sparing action of inorganic sulphate on sulphur amino acid in practical broiler diets. Replacement of som the supplementary methionine in broiler finisher diets. Br. Poult. Sci., 19:159-167.
- Prasad, A. 1980. A note on the effect of feeding supplementes fat and methionine on processing losses of broilers. Indian Veterinary Journal. 57 (2), 152-154.
- Prasad, A. and V.R. Sadagopan 1976. Effect of supplemental methionine in broiler diets on dressing percentage and carcass composition. Indian Journal of nutrition and diets 13 (12), 206-40.
- Proudefoot, F.G. and H.W. Hulan 1978. The interrelated effects of feeding diet combination with different protein and energy levels to males and females of commercial broiler genotypes. Canadian Journal of Anim. Sci., 58: 391 – 398.
- Robbins, K.R. 1987. Theronine requirement of the broiler chicks as affected by protein level and source. Poult. Sci., 66, 1831-1534.

- Salman, R.E.; H.L. Classen, and P.K. McMillan. 1983. Effect of starter and finisher protein on performance, carcass grade, and meat yield of broiler. Poult Sci., 60: 2519 2528.
- Senedecor, G.W. and G.W Cochran, 1982. Statistical methods. The low state university press, ames, lowa.
- Shehata, .A.S.A. 1995. Productive performance of growing broiler chicks as affacted by energy / protein ratio and methionine level ph. D. Thesis. Fac. Agric. Zagazig. Univ., 1995.
- Sonbol, S.M., 1990. Effect of dietary protein and methionine levels on performance, carcass measurements and blood constituents of broiler chicks Egypt poultry Sci., 11:17-34.
- Sonbol, S.M. and A. Habeeb 1991a. Effected of dietary

- energy, methionine, choline and sulfate levels on productive performanc and some blood constituents of broiler chicks during the growing periods. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 6(3):310:328.
- Sonbol, S.M and A. Habeeb. 1991b. Effected of starter and finisher protein levels on performance and some blood constituents of broiler chicks. Egyptain. Poult. Sci, H, 1-15.
- Summers, J.D, and S. Leeson. 1985. Broiler carcass composition as affected by amino acid supplementation. Can. J. Anim. Sci., 65,3,717-723.
- Wheeler, K.B. and J.D. Latshaw 1981. Sulfur amino acid requirements and interasction in broilers during two growth periods. Poult. Sci., 60(1)-228-238.

Table (1): Composition and calculated analysis of the expermintal finisher diets .

Ingredients	Experimental finisher diets % (21 – 42 days)							
	High protein			Low protein				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Yellow corn	63.33	64.41	66.01	67.35	65.33	67.41	68 49	70.60
Soyabean meal 44%	5	12	18.5	25.2	-	6	13	19
Meat meal 55%	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Corn gluten meal 60%	12	8	4	_	12	8	4	-
Wheat bran	12	8	4	-	15	11	7	3
Premix*	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Salt	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Bone meal	1.20	1.35	1.50	1.70	1.20	1.35	1.50	1.65
Limestone	0.45	0.3	0.15	-	0.45	0.3	0.15	
Methienine	-	0.05	0.10	0.15	-	0.05	0.10	0.15
Lysine	0.42	0.29	0.14	-	0.42	0.29	0.16	-
Total .	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Caluctaed analysis**								
Crude protein %	19.82	19.82	19.82	19.82	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8
Keal ME/Kg feed	2960	2940	2938	2930	2960	2960	2960	2960
C/P rito	149	148	148	148	149	149	149	149
Calcium %	0.95	0.97	0.98	0.96	0.94	0.96	0.97	0.97
Total phosphorus %	0.72	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.74	0.74	0.74
Lysine	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94
Lysine %of protein	5.35	5.30	5.25	5.35	5.28	5.28	5.28	5.28
Methionine + Cystine	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72
M+C of protein	3.94	3.94	3.94	3.94	4.04	4.04	4.04	4.04
Cystine %.	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.35	0.34	0.33	0.32

<sup>\*</sup> Each Kg of Vit and Min . Permix contain : V.A 12.000.000 lu . Vit . D<sub>3</sub> 2.000.000 lU . Vit E 10 g , Vit K<sub>3</sub> 2 g , Vit B<sub>1</sub> . 1 g . Vit B<sub>2</sub> 5g . Vit B<sub>6</sub> 1.5g . Vit B<sub>12</sub> 10 mg . Niacin 30 g , Pantothenic 10g , folic acid 1g , Biotin 50 mg . Cholinc. chloride 260g Iron 30 g . copper 10 g , Zinc 50 g . Manganese 60 g . Iodine 1g , Selenium 0.1g , Cobalt 0.1 g .

<sup>\*\*</sup> According to NRC (1994).

Table (2). Live weight (g) as affected by dietary protein levels and DL – methionine supplementation.

184 - Spare Spare

·	Age in days							
ltems	Initial (21 days)	28	35	42				
P <sub>1</sub> (19.82%) P <sub>2</sub> (17.8%)	689.13± 0.36	951.06±5.15a	1229.92±15.19a	1637.35±20.74a				
	683.42± 0.56	914.59 ± 18,24b	.1180.68±26.49b	1511.22 ± 21.95b				
M <sub>1</sub> (0.0%)  M <sub>2</sub> (0.05%)  M <sub>3</sub> (0.10%)  M <sub>4</sub> (0.15%)	686.63±3.00	908.0± 46.87 c	1159.31 ±46.87c	1570.76 ±128.52				
	685.15±3.35	954.25 ±8.25 a	1245.02±13.22a	1545.91 ± 65.77				
	686.88±3.00	931.38 ±6.38 ab	1206.44 ± 5.19 b	1607.95 ±39.03				
	686.44±2.06	937.69±12.19b	1210.44±43.56 b	1572.52±48.70				
P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub> P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub> P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub> P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>4</sub> P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub> P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	689.63±8.30	954.13±15.67a	1206.18±32.52	1699.28±24.05a				
	688.50±8.17	962.50±14.44a	1258.24±28.89	1611.67±37.91b				
	689.88±8.28	937.75±1235a	1201.24 ±128.72	1617.24±28.72b				
	688.50±8.40	949.88±14.79a	1254.0±22.67	1621.22±31.62b				
	683.63±7.78	861.88±15.11b	1112.44±20.15	1442.24±29.87c				
	681.80±8.62	946.00±13.28a	1231.79 ±20.24	1480.14±26.74d				
	683.88±8.20	925.00±11.58a	1211.63±20.44	1598.65±25.67b				
	684.38±8.82	925.50±12.97a	1166.88±19.70	1523.82±38.78c				

a,b,c,d,e, Means within the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

Table (3). Weight gain feed intakes feed conversion, protien efficiency ratio and feed cost /Kg gain as affected by dietary protein levels and DL – methionine supplementation.

	Weight gain(g)		Feed int	ake(g)	Feed co	Feed conversion PER		Feed cost /Kg gain		
tems	Abs	%	Abs	%	Abs	%	Abs	%	Abs	<b>%</b>
P1 P2	948.22 812.93	100 85.73	2645.46 2519.05	100 95.22	2.79 3.10	100 111	1.81	100 100	276.87 290.01	100 105
M <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub> M <sub>4</sub>	884.13 860.76 891.35 886.08	100 97 101 100	2596.53 2622.77 2395.58 2613.94	100 101 92 101	2.94 3.05 .96 2.95	100 104 91 100	1.68 1.68 1.98	100 93 109 99	280.77 301.62 267.66 280.62	100 107 95 100
P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub> P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub> P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub> P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>4</sub> P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub> P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	1009.65 923.17 927.36 932.75 758.61 798.34 844.31 839.44	100 91 92 92 75 79 85 83	2637.76 2761.80 2479.56 2674.22 2556.21 2672.33 2313.71 2524.13	100 105 94 101 97 101 88	2.61 2.99 2.67 2.90 3.37 3.35 2.71 3.01	100 115 102 111 129 128 103	1.93 1.69 1.89 1.74 1.67 1.68 2.08 1.87	100 88 98 90 87 87 108	253.69 291.53 280.08 282.32 316.11 312.89 254.07 279.63	100 115 110 111 125 123 100 110

Table (4). Carcass characteristics as affected by dietary protein level and DL-methionine supplementation.

Items	Carcass	Liver	Dressing	Feather	Abdominal fat	
P <sub>1</sub>	67.06±0.64a	2.97±0.21b	73.27±0.3a	5.84±0.47	1.24±0.15b	
	64.68 ±0.94b	3.25 ±0.09a	71.49± 0.86 b	5.29±0.16	1.54±0.34a	
M <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub> M <sub>4</sub>	66.21±2.59	3.04±0.38	72.73±1.80	4.79±0.37b	1.99±0.32a	
	65.00 ±2.37	3.28±0.28	71.64±2.46	5.83±0.54a	0.83±0.15c	
	66.16±0.34	3.02±0.29	72.54±0.59	6.05±0.32a	1.46±0.29b	
	66.21±0.16	3.10±0.16	72.70±0.12	5.58±0.62a	1.27±0.14b	
P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub> P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub> P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub> P <sub>1</sub> M <sub>4</sub> P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	68.71±0.46a 67.36±1.71a 65.81±0.59c 66.37±0.33bc 63.53±0.84d 62.63±1.19d	2.66±0.18e 3.56±0.04a 2.73±0.13e 2.94±0.02d 3.43±0.06abc 3.00± 0.12d	74.53±0.65a 74.11±1.69a 71.84±0.45bc 72.59±0.40abc 70.92±0.72cd 69.18±0.79d	4.42±0.30e 6.37±0.06a 6.36±0.44a 6.20±0.28a 5.16±0.15cde 5.28±0.53bcd	1.67±0.24 0.98±0.24 1.18±0.25 1.13±0.15 2.31±0.15 0.68±0.12	
P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	66.50±0.65ab	3.31±0.11bc	73.03±0.56ab	5.73±0.33abc	1.75±0.14	
P <sub>2</sub> M <sub>4</sub>	66.05 ±1.07c	3.26±0.07c	72.82±0.98abc	4.96±0.15de	1.40±0.10	

a,b,c,d,e, Means within the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

### تأثير مستوى البروتين وإضافة الميثونين على أداء

#### بدارى التسمين خلال مرحلة الناهى

شريف محمد سنبل - إبراهيم علطف عزازى\* قسم إنتاج الدواجن - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق \* معهد بحوث الإنتاج الحيوانى - الدقى - جيزة

استخدم فى هذه التجرية ٣٢٠ كتكوت هابرد عمر ٢١ يوما قسمت إلى ٨ مجاميع تحتـوى الأربع مجاميع الأولى على مستوى عالى من البروتين ١٩,٨٢ % بروتين خام أمــا الأربــع مجاميع الأخرى تحتوى على مستوى منخفض من البروتين ١٧,٨ % بروتين خام وتم إضافة عستويات من الميثونين المخلق صغر % ، ٠٠٠ % ، ١٠٠ % ، ١٠٠ % ، ١٥٠ % ، لكل مستوى من مستويات البروتين المستخدمة واستمرت الدراسة حتى عمر ٢٤ يوما.

وفيما يلى أهم النتائج التي توصلت إليها الدراسة :

#### \* تأثير مستوى البروتين :

حققت مجموعه الطيور المغذاة على عليقه بها مستوى عالى من البروتين تحسنا معنويـــــــا (٠,٠٥) فى الوزن الحى للجسم وكذلك تحسن الوزن المكتسب ومعامل التحويل الغذائى بينمـــا تساوى كفاءة تحويل البروتين لكلا المستويين من البروتين.

#### \* تأثير إضافة الميثونين المخلق:

#### \* التداخل بين البروتين والمثيونين :

كانت احسن النتائج لوزن الجسم الحى والوزن المكتسب ومعامل التحويل الغذائـــى مـن مجموعه الطيور التى تغذت على علائق بها مستوى البروتين العالى مع عدم إضافة الميثونين المخلق إليها. بينما كانت كفاءة تحويل البروتين قد تحققت لمجموعه الطيور المغذاة على مستوى بروتين منخفض وإضافة ٠١٠ % ميثونين مخلق.

تأثرت النسب المنوية للأجزاء المأكولة من الذبيحة والكبد ووزن الريش ودهـــــن البطـــن بمستويات البروتين وكذلك بإضافة الميثونين المخلق إلى العلائق.