· his

1111 67

GROWTH RESPONSE OF KOCHIA INDICA WIGHT TO IRRIGATION WATER SALINITY LEVEL. MOSITURE STRESS AND CUTTING

INTERVALS

Kheraishi, A. H.**; M. Sh. Riad.*; M. Yassin* and A. A. El-Houssini

* Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Evgpt

** Range Management Unit, Desert Research Center, Matariya, Cairo, Egypt

Accepted 23 / 3 / 2004

ABSTRACT: Two field trails were performed at Ras Sudr Experimental Station, Desert Research Center (DRC), during the two successive years 2002 and 2003, to investigate the effect of two salinity levels of irrigation water (4000 & 8000 ppm), three irrigation intervals (2, 4 and 6 weeks) and two cutting intervals (2 and 3 months between cuts) on some growth parameters of Kochia indica Wight plants. The obtained results could be summarized as follows:

Plant height, number of branches/plant, crown cover, crown volume and succulence were negatively affected by raising salinity level of irrigation water, whereas water saturation deficit, leaf/stem ratio and specific leaf weight showed insignificant response.

The highest values of most growth criteria were achieved when the plants were irrigated every 4 weeks. Although prolonging irrigation intervals up to 6 weeks, water saturation deficit percent and succulence were adversely affected.

There was a tendency of increase plant height, number of branches / plant, crown cover, crown volume, leaf/stem ratio and specific leaf weight as a result of extending the period between cuts from 2 to 3 months. Water saturation deficit and succulence had no responses to cutting intervals.

Leafy plants with more branching capacity as well as elongation, crown cover and volume were produced as water irrigation salinity level and irrigation intervals were decreased. High values of crown cover and water saturation deficit were obtained at 3 months and 4 weeks and 3 month and 2 weeks of irrigation and cutting intervals, respectively.

Key words: Kochia indica Wight, salinity, irrigation intervals, cutting periods and growth parameters.

INTRODUCTION

Natural vegetation grows in arid and semi-arid regions is dominated by species of genera Kochia " bluebushes" (Wilson, This annual halophytic 1966). shrub grown as a wild plant in Egypt (Draz, 1954) which is accepted and grazed by many species of grazing animals (Abd-Alla et al, 2002) and also used for hay production (Sherrod, 1971). It is considered to be invaluable as drought reserve and salt tolerant species (Francois, 1976)). Little detailed information, however, is available about its management practices especially under saltaffected soils as in Wadi Sudr area. Such region is an arid area situated in South Sinai Governorate in Suez Gulf coastal zone where rainfall is very rare and the brackish-saline water from wells is considered the sole source of irrigation water (El-Houssini, 2000). The flocks in this

area suffer from lack of green forage particularly in summer season. Under these harsh condition, One of the promising solution is to propagate and establish such palatable xerophytic and/or halophytic shrub in dry deserts and/or salt-affected soils as an acceptable, nutritious and non-conventional productive forage species.

(1999)Zahran. et al conducted an experiment to study the combined effect of drought and salinity on plant growth of Kochia indica and Kochia scoparia. They revealed that Kochia species may be grown as non-conventional livestock-fodder shrub aridity and/or salinity stress of the hot deserts of Egypt and Arabian Increasing Peninsula conductances of NaCl and CaCl2 solutions from 25 to 40 mmhos by 5 mmhos increments progressively reduced germination of Kochia scoparia (Everitt et al 1983). Two

accessions of Kochia prostrate L. were tested by François (1976) using different salinity levels. He concluded that both Kochia accessions proved to be highly salt tolerant. Also, increasing salinity an increase of water caused saturation deficit, proline content and osmotic pressure of Limonium axillare (Abo Sitta and Taisan 1997). François (1986) stated that the threshold salinity levels at vield which initial declines occurred for Kochia prostrate and Kochia brevifolia were 2.3 and 1.3 ds/m, respectively.

However, Kochia indica could grow well and produce considerable vegetation yields with small amount of irrigation water (Zahran et al 1999). Moreover, Abohassan and Habib (1985)worked on four Atriplex species and noticed that all four species, particularly Atriplex nummularia, moisture deficiency. withstood Also, they pointed out that soil moisture stress decreased plant fresh and dry weight, plant height and leaf: stem ratio of Atriplex spp tested. They confirmed the findings of Everitt et al (1983) that germination of Kochia scoparia was reduced by moisture stress when osmotic potential reached 8 bar. Furthermore, Khalifa (1996)

worked on two fodder shrubs i.e. Atriplex and Acacia at Ras Sudr region and revealed that plant height and WSD decreased by water stress while, the highest values of crown cover and crown volume were obtained at 20 days intervals.

Cutting intervals profoundly affect growth measurements of fodder shrubs and consequently herbage production. El-Houssini (2000) mentioned that prolonging that period between cuts caused an increase in plant height and specific leaf weight of canary grass plants. Moreover, plant height and leaf area of *Cenchrus ciliaris* were gradually increased with prolonging cutting interval (El-Houssini *et al* 1999).

Hence, the present investigation was designed to figure out the effect of irrigation with saline water, irrigation and cutting intervals on some growth criteria of *Kochia indica* Wight to evaluate *Kochia* plants as a fodder shrub in South Sinai.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was performed at the Experimental Station Farm of Desert Research

Center at Wadi Sudr, South Sinai Governorate during the two successive years 2002 and 2003. The experimental soil was sandy clay in texture and calcareous with 49.75 % CaCO₃. Electrical conductivity of soil was 25.61 mmhos/cm with pH of 7.85.

The plant material used in this investigation was Kochia indica Wight seeds which were collected from Kochia (bluebushes) plants grown naturally in the desert areas. Seeds were sown in polyethylene bags containing sand and clay soil (1: 1) in January 1 and 12, 2002 and respectively 2003. under greenhouse conditions. Three · months later, uniform and healthy seedlings (about 30 cm in height) were choicen and transplanted in the experimental site. Kochia seedlings were cultivated in rows 1 m apart and spaced 1 m within the row (1 m² was devoted to each seedling). Plants were regularly irrigated 2, 4 and 6 weeks by interval using under ground brackish saline water containing 4000 and 8000 ppm as dissolved salts. After good establishment and when the plants aged 4 months, the shrubs were cut at stubble height of 30 cm. Thereafter, cutting was repeated every 2 and 3 months

where two cuts were harvested from each cutting treatment.

Twelve treatments which were the combinations between 3 irrigation intervals, 2 salinity levels of irrigation water and 2 cutting periods were arranged in split split-plot design with 4 replicates. The main plots were devoted to salinity levels and the sub plots were allocated irrigation intervals and sub sub plots were occupied by cutting periods.

Some growth criteria were measured immediately before each cut of both seasons. Plant height, number of branches per plant, leaf/stem ratio, specific leaf weight (SLW), Succulence as proposed by Dehan and Tal (1978) and water saturation deficit (WSD) of leaves according to Catsky (1963) were determined. Crown cover (m²) and volume (m^3) crown) were calculated using the following formulae proposed by Thalen (1979):

Crown cover = $\frac{1}{4} \pi \times D_1 \times D_2$.

Crown volume= $1/6 \pi xD_1 x D_2 x H$

Where, $\pi = 3.14$, D_1 and D_2 = the shortest and the longest diameters of the shrub, respectively and H = plant height.

The obtained data were subjected to the proper statistical analysis according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980). LSD was used to detect significant differences between treatment means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The response of some growth parameters of *Kochia indica* plants to different salinity levels, irrigation intervals and cutting periods in two cuts taken in both experimentation years namely 2002 and 2003 under Ras Sudr conditions is presented in Table (1).

1- Effect of salinity:

It is clear from data in Table (1) that raising salinity level of water irrigation from 4000 to 8000 ppm decreased plant height of Kochia plants. Such effect was noticed in the two cuts taken in significant both vears with decrease in the first season only. Increasing salt concentration of irrigation water did not exhibit any significant decrease on number of branches per plant except in the first season where this number of branches per individual plant was significantly decreased. Crown cover and crown volume were

affected negatively by raising salinity level. This harmful effect on crown cover and crown volume was observed in all cuts harvested in both seasons. However, the two formar traits were insignificantly decreased in the second cut of the second season.

Changing salinity level of irrigation water had insignificant effect and no clear trend on water saturation deficit, leaf/stem ratio and specific leaf weight. This was true in the first and second cuts of both seasons (Table 1). In this connection, Abo Sitta and Taison (1997) pointed out that water saturation deficit of Limonium axillare increased was increasing salinity. Whereas. significantly succulence was affected in both cuts of the second season only. The harmfull effect of salinity which observed on all growth parameters studied might be attributed to the increasing in the amount of energy required for absorption of water and minerals. Moreover, increasing concentration in irrigation water resulted in a decrease in partial molar free energy of water by increasing its osmotic pressure and decreasing its availability to the plants. Consequently, plants must expend more energy to absorb a

particular amount of water. The present data could be substantiated by those reported by Francois (1976), Everitt *et al* (1983) and Francois (1986).

2- Effect of irrigation intervals:

Data given in Table (1) show that irrigation every four weeks in shead of two or six weeks seems to be the best irrigation interval for *Kochia* plants which gave the highest values of most growth criteria tested.

Τt was noticed that prolonging irrigation intervals from 2 to 6 weeks had a significant effect on plant height in favour of irrigation every 4 weeks. Such trend was observed in the second cut of both seasons whereas, in the first one the abovementioned trait responded insignificantly. Although number of branches per plant was markedly decreased by prolonging the period between irrigations in the second season, it was insignificantly affected in the Extending irrigation first one. did intervals not exert statistical significant effect on both of crown cover and crown volume of Kochia shrubs. This trend was true in all cuts of both seasons except in the second cut of the first season. It could be concluded that

water deficit affected negatively *Kochia* plants growth and this may be attributed to the higher soil moisture stress which deceased the amounts of metabolites synthesized by leaves that were decreased and been reflected in growth inhibition.

The increase in water saturation deficit percent which occurred by prolonging irrigation intervals from 2 weeks to 6 weeks in the first and second cuts of the first season was not significant (Table 1). However, extending irrigation intervals up to 6 weeks caused a significant increase in water saturation deficit in both cuts taken in the second season. On the succulence other side. was adversely affected by increasing the period between irrigations. Such decrease in values succulence was significant in the second season only. It could be concluded that water saturation deficit increased and succulence decreased with moisture stress. Data in Table (1) showed also that the differences between means of leaf/stem ratio due to irrigation intervals were not great enough to reach the significance level. This effect was true in all cuts of both seasons except in the first cut of the first season which leaf/stem

ratio significantly increased with stress. Shortening prolonging irrigation intervals than weeks tended to decrease specific leaf weight which the highest values of this trait were when Kochia plants obtained irrigated every 4 weeks. Such effect was significant only in the second cut of the first season. In this concern, Khalifa (1996) stated that plant height and water saturation deficit of Atriplex and Acacia shrubs decreased by water stress. At the same time, he found also that the highest values of crown cover and crown volume were obtained at 20 days irrigation intervals.

3- Effect of cutting intervals:

It can be observed from results in Table (1) that plant height and number of branches of Kochia plants were increased by prolonging clipping interval from 2 to 3 months. This increment was significant in the second cut of the second season for both mentioned characters whereas. it significant in the second cut of first for number season branches/plant only. Crown cover and crown volume took similar trend in their response to changing cutting intervals. There was a tendency to increase in these traits

as a result of extending the period between cuts from 2 to 3 months. It can be observed also that both of crown cover and crown volume were insignificantly affected by cutting intervals in the first cut of seasons. however. characters were significantly increased in the second cut of both cutting interval seasons as increased. The increase in growth parameters of Kochia plants may be due to the longer growth period, which accompanied with clipping every 3 months. These results are in good agreement with those obtained by El-Houssini (2000).

Data listed in Table (1) showed that saturation water deficit was unaffected by cutting intervals in both cuts taken except in the second cut of the second season in which it was decreased by about 55 %. Succulence had no clear trend in its response to cutting intervals. Leaf/stem ratio was increased as cutting interval was prolonged and this hold fairly true over both seasons with a significant increase for leaf/stem ratio in the second cut merely. On the other hand, cutting intervals had no significant effect specific leaf weight. This result was noticed in two years of experimentation. In this concern,

El-Houssini et al (1999) recorded that there was a reciprocal relationship between leaf/stem ratio of Cenchrus ciliaris and cutting intervals.

4- Interaction effects:

Data of the interactions between the main investigated factors (irrigation water salinity, irrigation intervals and cutting divided periods) into were insignificant significant and effects. In this respect, it has to be mentioned that the insignificant interactions were excluded and the significant ones will only be presented and discussed. It can be also noticed that the effects of the interactions were significant in the first season only.

a) Interaction between water irrigation salinity levels and irrigation intervals:

Results in Table (2) indicted that the interaction between water irrigation salinity and irrigation intervals had a significant effect on plant height, crown cover and crown volume in both cuts taken in the first season only. The maximum values of these traits were achieved when Kochia plants were irrigated every 2 weeks with low salinity level (4000 ppm) in irrigation water. Whereas,

lowest values were obtained by more frequency irrigation (2 weeks intervals) using high salinity level in irrigation water. Such trend was found in both cuts of 2002 season.

It seems from the above finding that using low salinity level (4000 ppm) and irrigation at 2 weeks act together to produce shrubs with highest Kochia greatest crown cover and crown Leaf/stem volume. ratio and number of branches/plant were significantly responded to that interaction in the first cut of the first season merely. It was noticed that leafy plants with branching capacity were produced with decreasing both of salinity level in irrigation water and the period between irrigations.

b) Interaction between irrigation and cutting intervals:

cover and Crown water deficit saturation were significantly responded to interaction between irrigation intervals and cutting periods. This significant effect was observed in the second cut of the first season only (Table 3). Clipping Kochia plants every 3 months with irrigation interval of 4 weeks resulted in higher value of crown than other cover treatments.

Table: (1) Effect of irrigation water salinity, irrigation intervals and cutting periods on some growth parameters of *Kochia* in 2002 and 2003 growing seasons.

| Traits | No. of cut | Irrigation water salinity levels (ppm) | | LSD 5 % | Irrigation intervals (w eeks) | | LSD 5 % | Cutting periods (month) | | LSD 5 % | |
|--|-----------------|--|-------|------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------------------|-------|------------|---------|
| | } | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 4000 | 8000 | <u> </u> | 2 | 4 | 6 | | 2 | 3 | <u></u> |
| | | | | 200 | 2 | | | | | | |
| Discoulation (and the control of the | 1 <u>st</u> | 101 | 87 | 8 | 95 | 95 | 92 | NS | 94 | 95 | NS |
| Plant height (cm) | 2 <u>nd</u> | 101 | 86 | 8 | 94 | 98 | 89 | 8 | 92 | 94 | NS |
| No. of branches per plant | 1 1 5 t | 44 | 37 | 1 | 41 | 41 | 4 | NS | 40 | 41 | NS |
| | 2 nd | 45 | 36 | 7 | 39 | 42 | 41 | NS | 29 | 52 | 5 |
| Crown cover (m ²) | 151 | 1.05 | 0.83 | 0.10 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.89 | NS | 0.96 | 0.93 | NS |
| | 2nd | 1.04 | 0.80 | 0.06 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.88 | NS | 0.75 | 1.09 | 0.09 |
| 3 | 151 | 0.77 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.58 | NS | 0.64 | 0.62 | NS |
| Crown volume (m³) | 2nd | 0.71 | 0.47 | 0.08 | 0.61 | 0.64 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.49 | 0.70 | 0.19 |
| Water saturation deficit | 1 11 | 11.86 | 13.27 | NS | 11.73 | 12.30 | 13.67 | NS | 13.22 | 11.91 | NS |
| % | 2 nd | 22.94 | 25.09 | NS | 23.83 | 23.83 | 24.37 | NS | 24.26 | 23.76 | NS |
| G | 1 1 5 5 | 3.52 | 3.30 | NS | 3.48 | 3.44 | 3.31 | NS | 3.54 | 3.28 | 0.2 |
| Succulence | 2 nd | 2.97 | 2.93 | NS | 2.96 | 3.00 | 2.88 | NS | 2.91 | 2.98 | NS |
| Leaf / Stem Ratio | 1 21 | 0.77 | 0.82 | NS | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.84 | 0.08 | 0.79 | 0.80 | NS |
| | 2 <u>nd</u> | 0.99 | 1.07 | NS | 0.99 | 1.03 | 1.08 | NS | 0.94 | 1.12 | 0.1. |
| Specific leaf weight | 1 21 | 1.45 | 1.44 | NS | 1.33 | 1.49 | 1.51 | NS | 1.43 | 1.46 | NS |
| (mg/cm²) | 2 nd | 0.89 | 0.82 | NS | 0.80 | 0.99 | 0.78 | 0.16 | 0.80 | 0.92 | NS |

Table (1) Conti.

| · | | | | 200 | 3 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|
| Plant height (cm) | 1 ^{5t} | 116 | 107 | NS | 117 | 113 | 104 | NS | 112 | 111 | NS |
| _ | 2 nd | 83 | 77 | NS | 86 | 97 | 76 | 9 | 73 | 87 | 5 |
| No. of branches per plant | 1 at | 26 | 24 | NS | 30 | 29 | 18 | 5 | 26 | 24 | NS |
| | 2 nd | 24 | 20 | NS | 28 | 19 | 19 | 5 | 20 | 23 | 3 |
| Crown cover (m ²) | 1 ⁵⁶ | 0.98 | 0.83 | 0.08 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.86 | NS | 0.91 | 0.91 | NS |
| · | 2 nd | 0.69 | 0.63 | NS · | 0.70 | 0.95 | 0.63 | NS | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.09 |
| Crown volume (m³) | 1 <u>st</u> | 0.78 | 0.60 | 0.12 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.62 | NS | 0.69 | 0.69 | NS |
| | 2 nd | 0.41 | 0.40 | NS | 0.42 | 0.36 | 0.34 | NS | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.08 |
| Water saturation deficit | 1 ⁵¹ | 18.26 | 16.61 | NS | 13.00 | 16.47 | 22.81 | 5.99 | 16.03 | 18.84 | NS |
| % | 2 nd | 30.12 | 32.87 | NS | 28.30 | 30.26 | 35.26 | 5.47 | 38.35 | 24.65 | 3.70 |
| Succulence | 1 ⁵⁵ | 3.66 | 3.55 | 0.31 | 3.74 | 3.56 | 3.52 | 0.36 | 3.58 | 3.63 | 0.23 |
| | 2 nd | 3.42 | 3.60 | 0.62 | 3.80 | 3.34 | 3.39 | 0.29 | 3.62 | 3.40 | 0.32 |
| Leaf / Stem Ratio | 1 1 1 | 1.03 | 1.23 | NS | 1.20 | 1.17 | 1.01 | NS | 1.06 | 1.20 | NS |
| | 2 nd | 1.42 | 1.53 | NS | 1.69 | 1.36 | 1.37 | NS | 1.29 | 1.66 | 0.24 |
| Specific leaf weight | 155 | 1.95 | 2.04 | NS | 1.98 | 2.06 | 1.96 | NS | 2.01 | 1.99 | NS |
| (mg/cm²) | 2 nd | 0.91 | 0.72 | NS | 0.79 | 0.92 | 0.73 | NS | 0.70 | 0.93 | NS |

Table (2): Effect of interaction between irrigation water salinity levels and irrigation intervals on some growth parameters

of Kochia in first growing season.

| | No. | Traits | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| Treatments | of cut | Plant height | Crown cover | Crown volume | Leaf / stem | No. of branche | | |
| 1 |] | (cm) | (m²) | (m ³) | ratio | s/plant | | |
| I, | | 118 | 1.32 | 1.04 | 0.69 | 49 | | |
| S_1 I_2 | 1) | 92 | 0.97 | 0.64 | 0.70 | 42 | | |
| I ₃ | First cut | 95 | 0.87 | 0.61 | 0.91 | 41 | | |
| ; | | 73 | 0.60 | 0.29 | 0.80 | 33 | | |
| | iż | 97 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 0.88 | 40 | | |
| S_2 I_2 | \ - | 90 | 0.90 | 0.54 | 0.78 | 39 | | |
| I ₃ | j | 9 | 0.61 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 5 | | |
| LSD 5 % | 1 1 | | | | | | | |
| | } 1 | 107 | 1.15 | 0.83 | - | - | | |
| $\mathbf{I_1}$ | 1 1 | 110 | 1.10 | 0.81 | - | - | | |
| S_1 I_2 | E E | 86 | 0.87 | 0.50 | - | _ | | |
| I ₃ | 5 | 80 | 0.70 | 0.39 | - | - | | |
| I ₁ | Second | 86 | 0.83 | 0.48 | - | - | | |
| i e | | 92 | 0.89 | 0.56 | - | - | | |
| S_2 I_2 | Ň | 14 | 0.52 | 0.58 | - | - | | |
| l ₃ LSD 5 % | | · | | | · . | | | |

 $S_1 = 4000 \text{ ppm } S_2 = 8000 \text{ ppm}$ $I_1 = \text{Two weeks irrigation interval}$ $I_2 = \text{Four weeks irrigation interval}$ $I_3 = \text{Six weeks irrigation interval}$

Table (3): Effect of interaction between irrigation and cutting intervals on some growth parameters of Kochia in 2002

arowing season

| | | Traits | | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Treatments | No. of cut | Crown Cover (m²) | Water saturation deficit (%) | | |
| I ₁ C ₁ C ₂ I ₂ C ₁ C ₂ I ₃ C ₁ C ₂ LSD 5 % | Sec. ond cut | 0.83 1.01 0.79 1.13 0.63 1.13 0.35 | 20.85 26.82 25.47 22.19 26.48 22.26 3.67 | | |

 $C_1 = T$ wo months cutting interval $C_2 = T$ hree months cutting interval

Whereas, the highest value of water saturation deficit (26.82) was achieved by cutting every 3 months and irrigation each 2 weeks by interval.

REFERENCES

- Abd-Alla, Saida O. M.; Salwa A. El-Toukhy and A. Α. El-Houssini. **Forage** (2002).productivity and ecophysiological response of Kochia indica Wight to some agronomic practices saline condition at Wadi Sudr region. Minufiya J. Agric. Res. 27(5): 1229-1237.
- Abohassan, A. A. and M. M. Habib (1985). Effect of water deficit on yield and yield components of *Atriplex* spp. J. of the College of Agric. King Saud univ. 7 (1): 115-123.
- Abo Sitta, M. Yossria and Wafa A. Taison (1997). Salinity effects on water relations and proline accumulation in *Limonium axillare* (Forssk) Kuntze. Desert Inst. Bull., Egypt. 47, No. 2: 265-289.
- Catsky, J. (1963). Water saturation deficit and its development in young and old leaves. In. the water relations of plants. British Ecol. Soc. Symp., No. 3: 101-112.

- Dehan, K. and M. Tal (1978). Salt tolerance of wild relatives of the cultivated tomato, Responses of *Solanum pennelli* to high salinity irrigation. Science,1:71.
- Draz, O. (1954). Some desert plants and their uses in animal feeding: *Kochia indica* and *Prosopis julifolora*. Pub. Inst. Desert Egypt, 2: 1-95.
- El-Houssini, A. A. (2000). Response of canary grass (*Phararis canariensis* L.) to kinetin foliar application and cutting management under saline conditions at wadi Sudr. Arab univ. J. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams univ., Cairo, 8 (1), 197-210.
- El-Houssini, A. A.; Zeinab M. Nassar and I. B. Abou-Deya (1999). Agro-management of *Cenchrus ciliaris* L. as a perennial fodder crop under calcareous soil conditions. Arab univ. J. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams univ., Cairo 7 (2): 482-491.
- Everitt, J. H.; M. A. Alaniz and J. B. Lee(1983). Seed germination characteristics of *Kochia scoparia*. J. of range manag., 36 (5): 646-648.
- Francois, L. E. (1976). Salt tolerance of prostrate summer

- cypress (Kochia prostrate). Agron. J. 68: 455-456.
- Francois, L. E. (1986). Salinity effects on four arid zone plants. J. of Arid Environ., 11 (1): 103-109. (c.f. Herbage Abstr. 1986, 56; 11, 4324).
- Khalifa, E. A. M. (1996) Studies on some wild forage plants. P. 104, Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Al-Azhar univ., Cairo, Egypt.
- Sherrod, L. B. (1971). Nutritive value of *Kochia scoparia* 1. Yield and chemical composition at three stages of maturity. Agron. J. 63: 343-344.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1980). Statistical methods. Seventh Ed., Iowa State univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, USA, pp. 255-269.

- Thalen, D. C. P.(1979). Ecology and utilization of desert shrub rangelands in Iraq. Dr. W. Junk. B. R. Publishers, The Hegue.
- Wilson, A. D.(1966). The value of *Atriplex* (salt bush) and *Kochia* (Blue bush) species as food for sheep. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 17: 147-153.
- Zahran, M. A.; R. E. Redmann and N. Barakat (1999). Adaptability of Kochia spp. To drought and salinity stress as nonconventional forage crops in the deserts. The third conference on desertification and environmental studies beyond the vear 2000. 30/11 4/12/1999, King Saud univ. Center for Desert Studies. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

استجابة نمو نباتات الكوخيا لمستوى ملوحة مياه الرى والإجهاد الرطوبي وفترات الحش

احمد حسين خريشي " – محمد شكري رياض " – محمد ياسين " احمد عهد الحسيني ""

- * قسم المحاصيل كلية الزراعة جامعة عين شمس القاهرة مصر .
- ** وحدة المراعي مركز بحوث الصحراء المطرية القاهرة مصر .

أفيمت تجربتان حقليتان بمحطة التجارب الزراعية برأس سدر التابعة لمركز بحوث الصحراء خلل عاملي ٢٠٠٢ ، ٢٠٠٢ وذلك لدراسة تأثير مستويان لملوحة مياه الري (٢٠٤، معنويان للمليون) وثلاث فترات للري (٢،٤، أسابيع) وفترتان للحش (٢،٤، على بعض مقاييس نمو نباتات الكوخيا.

ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها كالأتى:

- استجابة بعض صفات النمو مثل ارتفاع النبات وعدد الأفرع بالنبات والغطاء التاجي
 والحجم التاجي والعصاريه سلبيا عند رفع مستوي الملوحة بمياه الري إلى ٨٠٠٠ جزء
 في المليون. في حين لم تتأثر كل من درجة نقص التشبع المائي ونسبة وزن الأوراق إلى
 السيقان وكذلك الوزن النوعي للورقة تأثراً معنويا و أيضا لم تظهر تلك الصفات استجابة
 للتغير في مستويات ملوحة ماء الري.
- كسان ري نباتات الكوخيا كل أربع أسابيع أفضل فترة للري. حيث أدي ذلك إلى الحصول على أعلى القيم لمعظم صفات النمو تحت الدراسة. ويلاحظ أنه على الرغم من أن إطالة فسترات الري حتى ٦ أسابيع قد أدي إلى زيادة درجة نقص التشبع المائي للأوراق إلا أن العصاريه قد تأثرت عكسيا بزيادة فترة الري.
- أدت زيادة الفترة بين الحشه والأخرى من شهرين إلى ثلاثة أشهر إلى وجود منعطف نحو
 السزيادة في ارتفاع النبات وعدد الأفرع وكل من الحجم التاجي والغطاء التاجي للشجيرة
 ونسبة الأوراق إلى السيقان و أيضا الوزن النوعي للوزقة . ولم تتأثر درجة نقص التشبع
 المائي و العصاريه نتيجة التغير في الفترة بين الحشه والأخري .
- أدي نقص كل من ملوحة مياه الري وكذلك فترة الري إلى إنتاج نباتات مورقة وذات قدرة أكسبر على النفريع كما أدي أيضاً إلى زيادة استطالة النباتات والحصول على أعلى قيمة لكسل من الحجم والغطاء التاجي. أمكن الحصول على أعلى قيمة غطاء تاجي عند ري النسباتات كل أربع أسابيع والحش كل ثلاثة أشهر وكذلك الحصول على أعلى قيمة لدرجة نقض التشبع المائي عند الري كل أسبوعين والحش كل ثلاثة أشهر.