

*Annals Of Agric. Sc., Moshtohor,*  
*Vol. 43(2): 687-697, (2005).*

**EVALUATION OF FIVE VARIETIES OF JUJUBE FRUITS FOR THEIR  
PROCESSING SUITABILITY  
BY**

**Youssef, S.M.**

Department of Hort. Crops Processing, Food Tech. Research Inst., Agric. Res.  
Center, Giza, Egypt.

**ABSTRACT**

Fruits of five cultivars of jujube (*Ziziphus mauritiana* L.) namely : Zaytoni, Lee, Balahi, Lang and Tophabee were evaluated in subsequent years 2003 and 2004 for their chemical components. The highest values were in Tophabee variety for moisture, total acidity, protein, ash, crude fiber, carotenoids and total polyphenols, whereas the highest value for total sugars and pectic substances were in Balahi variety. Concerning, elements the highest values of Ca, Na and Mg were in the Balahi variety but the highest values of Zn and Fe were in Tophabee variety. However, Lang variety was the highest in Mn. As such, Tophabee and Balahi varieties could be considered the most nutritious varieties among all tested ones. Organoleptic parameters revealed that Balahi jam had the highest scores for color, taste, aroma, texture and overall acceptability. Conclusively, best varieties for jam industry could be for Balahi followed by Tophabee variety.

Polyphenols were isolated and tested for their antioxidant potency in sunflower oil. They proved to be natural inhibitors against oxidation since they improved the sunflower oil stability.

-----  
**Key words:** Jujube (*Ziziphus mauritiana* L.), chemical constituents, polyphenols and processing.

**INTRODUCTION**

The jujube belongs to the genus *Ziziphus*, which is in the *Rhamnaceae* or buckthorn family. The genus includes about 40 species or plants in tropical and subtropical regions of the northern hemisphere, of which the species (*Z. jujube* Mill) and (*Z. mauritiana* Lamk) are the most important in terms of distribution and economic significance. *Ziziphus mauritiana* is evergreen and is commercially most important in India, where it is known as Indian jujube or *Ber*. Jujube fruits have a spongy, sweet-tasting pulp, and excellent source of ascorbic acid and carotenoids (Abbas, 1997).

Ristevski *et al.*, (1982) found that six *Z. jujube* fruits contained 28.73-40.34% dry matter, 24.60-33.40% sugars and 163.60-363.14 mg/100g ascorbic

acid, and were suitable for both fresh consumption and processing. Also, Sivakov *et al.*, (1988) reported that the stone was small (0.28-0.65g), whereas the fruits contained 30.6-34.92% dry matter, 24.54-30.86% sugars and 180.11-387.3 mg/100g ascorbic acid. The fruits had good flavour and were suitable for fresh consumption and for drying and processing. On the other hand, Abbas and Fandi (2002) stated that the jujube fruits (*Ziziphus mauritiana L.*) of cv. Zaytoni contained 20% T.S.S., 0.21% total acidity (as citric acid) and 2% protein (FWB) in fully ripe fruits.

Evaluation of mineral elements and ascorbic acid in fruits of some wild plants were studied by Eromosele *et al.*, (1991) who found that the concentration of ascorbic acid in fruit samples were in a range of 1.28 to 403.3 mg/100g (FWB). While, *Ziziphus mauritiana* contained of Mg 227.0 mg/100g, P in the fruits were in a range of 5 to 28 mg/100g and Fe concentration were in a range of 1.07 to 6.30 mg/100g, which are 2-5 times higher than the concentrations in either oranges (0.2 mg/100g) or mangoes (0.4 mg/100g).

Also, Bhargava *et al.*, (2003) reported that the *Ber* fruits contained in average 5.27 kg protein, 61.9 g P, 1.09 kg K, 120.8g Ca and 8.59g Fe in one ton of edible fruit parts.

Furthermore, Esterbauer *et al.*, (1992) reported that polyphenols have many favourable effects on human health, such as the inhibition of the oxidation of low-density lipoproteins, thereby decreasing the risk of heart diseases.

The purpose of this study was to find out the differences between five varieties of jujube fruits namely (Zaytoni, Lee, Balahi, Lang and Tophahce) pertaining chemical constituents and polyphenol compounds. The aim was also extended to find out their fitness of being used as antioxidant agent. Moreover, these varieties were tested for their suitability for jam manufacture.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Materials:

Fruits of five jujube (*Ziziphus mauritiana L.*) varieties namely: Zaytoni, Lee, Balahi, Lang and Tophahce were used in the present study during both seasons of 2003 and 2004. The fruits were picked from experimental orchard of the Horticulture Research Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Giza, at the maturing stage and transferred in the same day of harvesting to the laboratories of Food Technology Research Institute.

### Methods of analysis:-

Moisture content, total solids, total acidity (as citric acid), ash, protein (N x 6.25, Kjeldahl method), total sugars, crude fiber and ascorbic acid were determined according to methods described in the A.O.A.C. (1995). Total soluble solids (T.S.S) were determined by Abbe Refractometer and corrections were made for temperature differences. Carotenoids were determined according to the method described by Wettstein (1957). Total pectin was estimated as

(anhydrogalacturonic acid) according to Rouse and Atkins (1955). Polyphenol compounds were determined by Folin-Denis methods as reported by Swain and Hillis, (1959). Jam was prepared according to the method described by Cruess, (2000). Minerals content (Na, Ca and K) were estimated using emission flame photometer (Model Corning 410). The other minerals of Zn, Fe, Mg and Mn were determined using Atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Prekin-Elmer Instrument Model 2380). The oxidative stability of oils were determined by Rancimat 679 (Metrom Ltd., CH 9100 Herisau, Switzerland) at 100°C according to the method described by the A.O.C.S. (1993).

**Organoleptic evaluation:-**

Color, taste, aroma, texture and overall acceptability of jujube jam were subjectively assessed using ten expert panelists of food science and technology. The panelists were asked to check the properties which could describe the samples and score them for acceptability based on hedonic scale as mentioned by Ranganna (1977). The data were statistically analyzed according to Ott (1984).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Mature fruit of the jujube could be considered a good source of different micro-nutrients. Beside, they have a good taste and aroma. Results in Table (1) represent some chemical components for different jujube varieties. Data reveal that moisture contents were 72.63 and 77.98% on average in Balahi and Tophahce cultivars, respectively. Whereas, the moisture content in other cultivars were around 75%. On the other hand, total soluble solids (T.S.S) varied in different jujube varieties and clear differences could be observed since the highest value of T.S.S was 22.34% for Balahi jujube while the lowest value was 12.49% on average for Lang jujube. On the other hand, data in the same table indicate that the total acidity calculated as citric acid ranged from 0.238 to 0.338% (on fresh weight basis) on average for all tested jujube cultivars. Total soluble solids (T.S.S) and total acidity are in agreement with those obtained by Abbas and Fandi (2002), who found that the T.S.S and titratable acidity in fully ripe jujube were 20% and 0.21%, respectively.

Results in Table (2) represent some chemical constituents of different jujube varieties. Results reveal that the protein content were 5.82 and 7.51% (on dry weight basis) on average for Lang and Tophahce jujube cultivars, respectively. Whereas, the ash contents were in most cultivars had on average of 2.5% (on dry weight basis). Crude fibers have a special nutritional importance, they were 2.93 and 3.70% (on dry weight basis) on average for Zaytoni and Tophahce jujube cultivars, respectively.

Ascorbic acid was around 427 mg/100g (on dry weight basis) on average. However, jujube (Balahi variety) could be considered as a good source for ascorbic acid, since its value reached 508.84 mg/100g (on dry weight basis) on average. In general, all different jujube cultivars contained high level of ascorbic acid compared to other common fruits.

Table (1): Moisture content, total solids, total soluble solids (T.S.S) and total acidity (as citric acid) in fruits of different jujube varieties.

| Jujube varieties | Moisture content (%) |       |       | Total solids (%) |       |       | Total soluble solids (T.S.S) % |       |       | Total acidity (as citric acid) (%) (FWB) |       |       |
|------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|--|-------|-------|
|                  | 2003                 | 2004  | Av.   | 2003             | 2004  | Av.   | 2003                           | 2004  | Av.   | 2003                                     | 2004  | Av.   |
| Zaytoni          | 73.83                | 73.13 | 73.48 | 26.17            | 26.87 | 26.52 | 17.00                          | 17.24 | 17.12 | 0.245                                    | 0.263 | 0.254 |
| Lee              | 76.52                | 77.18 | 76.85 | 23.48            | 22.82 | 23.15 | 14.24                          | 14.40 | 14.32 | 0.236                                    | 0.239 | 0.238 |
| Balahi           | 72.87                | 72.39 | 72.63 | 27.13            | 27.61 | 27.37 | 22.14                          | 22.54 | 22.34 | 0.272                                    | 0.254 | 0.263 |
| Lang             | 77.33                | 77.11 | 77.22 | 22.67            | 22.89 | 22.78 | 12.58                          | 12.40 | 12.49 | 0.256                                    | 0.236 | 0.246 |
| Tophahce         | 77.62                | 78.34 | 77.98 | 22.38            | 21.66 | 22.02 | 15.75                          | 15.91 | 15.83 | 0.322                                    | 0.353 | 0.338 |

Table (2): Protein content, ash, crude fibers and ascorbic acid contents in fruits of different jujube varieties (on dry weight basis).

| Jujube varieties | Protein (%)<br>(N x 6.25) |      |      | Ash % |      |      | Crude fibers % |      |      | Ascorbic acid<br>(mg/100g) |        |        |
|------------------|---------------------------|------|------|-------|------|------|----------------|------|------|----------------------------|--------|--------|
|                  | 2003                      | 2004 | Av.  | 2003  | 2004 | Av.  | 2003           | 2004 | Av.  | 2003                       | 2004   | Av.    |
| Zaytoni          | 6.30                      | 6.81 | 6.56 | 2.48  | 2.57 | 2.53 | 2.98           | 2.87 | 2.93 | 387.81                     | 366.23 | 377.02 |
| Lee              | 6.39                      | 6.92 | 6.66 | 2.30  | 2.63 | 2.47 | 3.19           | 3.37 | 3.28 | 392.69                     | 407.18 | 399.94 |
| Balahi           | 7.08                      | 6.66 | 6.87 | 2.58  | 2.68 | 2.63 | 3.10           | 3.01 | 3.06 | 498.56                     | 519.12 | 508.84 |
| Lang             | 6.09                      | 5.55 | 5.82 | 2.38  | 2.49 | 2.44 | 3.26           | 3.32 | 3.29 | 410.63                     | 415.29 | 412.96 |
| Tophahee         | 7.02                      | 7.99 | 7.51 | 2.99  | 2.75 | 2.87 | 3.66           | 3.74 | 3.70 | 435.24                     | 439.42 | 437.33 |

Pectic substances in general are considered an important component of fruits, high pectin fruits is recommended to be used in jam industry.

Results in Table (3) indicated that the amount of total pectic substance in different jujube varieties were 18.38 and 31.11 mg/100g (on dry weight basis) on average for Lee and Tophahae cultivars, respectively. Also, carotenoids values were around 3.5 mg/100g (on dry weight basis) on average in the different jujube cultivars.

Polyphenols compounds were extracted from different jujube varieties and the obtained results are shown in Table (3). The results show that most jujube cultivars contained a high amount of polyphenols. The highest values were 657.47, 696.24 and 765.88 mg/100g on average (on dry weight basis) for Lee, Tophahae and Lang jujube cultivars, respectively. Also, the same Table (3) reveal that total reducing sugars were around 36% on average (on dry weight basis) in most jujube cultivars, except Balahi variety which had an average of 48.37% sugars (on dry weight basis).

Results in Table (4) reveal that macro-elements namely calcium, sodium and potassium ranged from 167.58 to 192.40, 132.72 to 152.14 and 122.75 to 145.39 mg/100g (on dry weight basis), respectively. The highest variety was Tophahae for calcium, Balahi for sodium and potassium, whereas, the lowest ones were Lang for calcium and potassium, Lee for sodium.

As for micro-elements, results in the same Table (4) reveal that zinc, iron, magnesium and manganese ranged from 3.89 to 5.72, 27.54 to 36.20, 13.78 to 17.37 and 1.13 to 2.37 mg/100g (on dry weight basis), respectively. The highest values were found in Tophahae for zinc and iron, Balahi for magnesium and Lang for manganese, whereas, the lowest ones were found in Lee for zinc and manganese, Balahi for iron and Zaytoni for magnesium. In general, these values fluctuated into a narrow range. Accordingly, differences among the studied varieties were moderate on average. Conclusively, these results indicate that jujube fruits in general were rich in minerals.

#### **Organoleptic evaluation:-**

Results in Table (5) show the different scores which were given for different quality parameters of jujube jams including color, taste, aroma, texture and overall acceptability.

The scores indicate that there were significant differences between jams prepared from fruits of different tested varieties.

The best cultivar for making jam was Balahi jujube, being due to the highest scores for color, taste, aroma, texture and overall acceptability followed by Tophahae variety. However, Lang, Zaytoni and Lee jams could be arranged in order according to different scores given to these different parameters, which reflected good quality.

Table (3): Total pectin, carotenoids, total polyphenols (mg/100g) and total sugars (%) in fruits of different jujube varieties (on dry weight basis).

| Jujube varieties | Total pectin (mg/100g) |       |       | Carotenoids (mg/100g) |      |      | Total polyphenols (mg/100g) |        |        | Total sugars (%) |       |       |
|------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|------|------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|------------------|-------|-------|
|                  | 2003                   | 2004  | Av.   | 2003                  | 2004 | Av.  | 2003                        | 2004   | Av.    | 2003             | 2004  | Av.   |
| Zaytoni          | 21.62                  | 21.51 | 21.57 | 3.32                  | 3.65 | 3.49 | 510.58                      | 462.41 | 486.50 | 42.26            | 41.98 | 42.12 |
| Lee              | 18.13                  | 18.62 | 18.38 | 3.11                  | 3.55 | 3.33 | 666.52                      | 648.42 | 657.47 | 37.43            | 38.17 | 37.80 |
| Balahi           | 28.41                  | 30.32 | 29.37 | 4.13                  | 3.73 | 3.93 | 480.46                      | 479.75 | 480.11 | 47.70            | 49.04 | 48.37 |
| Lang             | 25.45                  | 25.82 | 25.64 | 2.73                  | 2.93 | 2.83 | 739.35                      | 792.40 | 765.88 | 35.45            | 33.65 | 34.55 |
| Tophahee         | 30.12                  | 32.09 | 31.11 | 3.40                  | 3.79 | 3.60 | 712.10                      | 680.38 | 696.24 | 45.78            | 46.14 | 45.96 |

Table (4): Minerals composition in fruits of different jujube varieties (mg/100g) (on dry weight basis).

| Jujube varieties | Macro-elements |        |           | Micro-elements |       |           |           |
|------------------|----------------|--------|-----------|----------------|-------|-----------|-----------|
|                  | Calcium        | Sodium | Potassium | Zinc           | Iron  | Magnesium | Manganese |
| Zaytoni          | 181.12         | 145.32 | 141.76    | 5.14           | 31.14 | 13.78     | 2.28      |
| Lee              | 174.24         | 132.72 | 127.82    | 3.89           | 29.24 | 15.52     | 1.13      |
| Balahi           | 184.12         | 152.14 | 145.39    | 5.35           | 27.54 | 17.37     | 1.57      |
| Lang             | 167.58         | 136.79 | 122.75    | 4.98           | 32.76 | 14.48     | 2.37      |
| Tophahec         | 192.40         | 150.20 | 139.41    | 5.72           | 36.20 | 16.12     | 1.88      |

Table (5): Organoleptic evaluation of jujube jams prepared from tested varieties.

| Jujube varieties | Parameters* |        |        |         |                       |
|------------------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------------|
|                  | Color       | Taste  | Aroma  | Texture | Overall acceptability |
| Zaytoni          | 5.80 ±      | 6.65 ± | 7.00 ± | 7.25 ±  | 6.50 ±                |
|                  | 3.35        | 2.35   | 1.05   | 1.32    | 2.58                  |
| Lee              | 5.65 ±      | 5.50 ± | 5.70 ± | 6.25 ±  | 5.85 ±                |
|                  | 3.85        | 3.94   | 3.78   | 2.79    | 3.27                  |
| Balahi           | 8.00 ±      | 8.25 ± | 8.25 ± | 9.00 ±  | 8.65 ±                |
|                  | 1.63        | 1.26   | 2.06   | 1.15    | 1.35                  |
| Lang             | 7.00 ±      | 7.25 ± | 7.75 ± | 7.25 ±  | 7.25 ±                |
|                  | 1.83        | 1.29   | 2.07   | 0.85    | 1.66                  |
| Tophahce         | 7.25 ±      | 7.75 ± | 7.75 ± | 8.25 ±  | 8.00 ±                |
|                  | 1.89        | 2.06   | 2.07   | 1.26    | 1.50                  |
| L.S.D (P ≤0.05)  | 1.089       | 1.933  | 1.270  | 1.279   | 1.159                 |
| L.S.D (P ≤0.01)  | 1.527       | 2.711  | 1.781  | 1.794   | 1.626                 |

\* Values are means ± standard deviation.

**Effect of adding polyphenolic compounds extracted from jujube fruits on the oxidative stability of sunflower oil:-**

The polyphenolic compounds extracted from jujube fruits ranged from 480.11 to 765.88 mg/100g (on dry weight basis) on the average as tannic acid. Polyphenolic compounds extracted from jujube fruits were added to sunflower oil at various levels 0.02, 0.04, 0.1 and 0.2%. The stability of all samples measured by Rancimat method at 100°C. The results were tabulated in Table (6) as induction periods.

Results indicate that adding polyphenolic compounds increased the stability of sunflower oil at all concentrations from 8 hours in sunflower oil without addition of polyphenolic compounds to 10, 12, 13 and 14 hours for sunflower oil with 0.02, 0.04, 0.1 and 0.2% polyphenolic compounds, respectively.

Table (6): Effect of adding polyphenolic compounds (%) extracted from jujube fruits on the oxidative stability of sunflower oil.

| Items                  | Induction period at 100°C in hours |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Sunflower oil          | 8                                  |
| Sunflower oil + 0.02 % | 10                                 |
| Sunflower oil + 0.04 % | 12                                 |
| Sunflower oil + 0.1 %  | 13                                 |
| Sunflower oil + 0.2 %  | 14                                 |

It could be noticed that all concentrations used improved the oxidative stability of sunflower oil, being in accordance with results obtained by (Kiritsakis

*et al.*, 1983) who mentioned that polyphenols are natural inhibitors adhering in olive leaves while were found to favour the stability of olive oil.

#### REFERENCES

- Abbas, M.F. (1997): Jujube in postharvest physiology of tropical and subtropical fruits. Ed by Mitra, K.S., CAB International Wallingford., pp. 405-415.
- Abbas, M.F. and Fandi, B.S. (2002): Respiration rate, ethylene production and biochemical changes during fruit development and maturation of jujube (*Ziziphus mauritiana L.*). *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 82:1472-1476.
- A.O.A.C. (1995): Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official methods of analysis, 16<sup>th</sup> Ed., Verginia, U.S.A.
- A.O.C.S. (1993): Official and Tentative Methods for the American Oil Chemists Society 6<sup>th</sup> Ed., Pulpished by the American Oil Chemists Society, Champaign, U.S.A.
- Bhargava, B.S.; Varalakshmi, L.R. and Raturi, G.B. (2003): Nutrient concentration and uptake of fruits by Ber varieties. *Karnataka-J. of Agric. Sci.*, 16:1, 92-97.
- Cruess, W.V. (2000): Commercial fruit and vegetable products. New York, Toronto. London.
- Eromosele, I.C.; Eromosele, C.O. and Kuzhkuzha, D.M. (1991): Evaluation of mineral elements and ascorbic acid contents in fruits of some wild plants. *Plant-Foods-for-Human-Nutrition*, 41:2, 151-154.
- Esterbauer, H.J.; Gebicki, J.H. and Gugen, P.G. (1992): The role of lipid peroxidation and antioxidants in oxidative modification of LDL. *Free Radical Biol. Med.*, 13, 341-390.
- Kiritsakis, A.K., Stine, C.M. and Jr, Dugan L.R. (1983): Effect of selected antioxidants on the stability of virgin olive oil. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.*, 60(7):1286-1290.
- Ott, L. (1984): An introduction to statistical methods and data analysis. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, PWS Publishers, Boston, Ma., USA.
- Ranganna, S. (1977): Manual of analysis of fruit and vegetable products. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., Ltd., New Delhi.
- Ristevski, B.; Sivakov, L. and Georgiev, D. (1982): Introduction of jujube (*Ziziphus jujube*) into Macedonia. *Jugoslovensko-Vocarstvo*, 16:61-62, 71-76.
- Rouse, A.H. and Atkins, C.D. (1955): Pectin esterase and pectin in commercial citrus juices as determined by method used at the citrus. Experiment Station. Florida Agr. Expt. Sta. Bull., 570.
- Sivakov, L.; Georgiev, D; Ristevski, B. and Mitresk, Z. (1988): Pomological and technological characteristics of Chinese jujube (*Ziziphus jujuba*) in Macedonia., *Jugoslovensko-Vocarstvo*, 22:4, 387-392.
- Swain, T. and Hillis, A.E. (1959): The quantitative analysis of phenolic constituents. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 10, 65.
- Wettstein, D.V. (1957): Chlorophyll Letal und der submikroskopische formmech sell der plastiden. *Experimental Cell Research*, 12:427-433.

### تقييم خمسة أصناف من فاكهة العناب لمدى قابليتها للتصنيع

سعد ميخائيل يوسف

قسم تصنيع الحاصلات البستانية- معهد بحوث تكنولوجيا الأغذية- مركز البحوث  
الزراعية- جيزة- مصر

تم تقييم خمسة أصناف من فاكهة العناب للموسمين المتتاليين (٢٠٠٣، ٢٠٠٤) وهم (زيتوني ، لى ، بلحى ، لانج ، وتفاحى) وذلك بالنسبة لمكوناتها الكيميائية وقد وجد أن أعلى قيم للرطوبة والحموضة الكلية والبروتين والرماد والألياف الخام والكاروتينات والفينولات العديدة الكلية توجد في الصنف التفاحى ، في حين أن أعلى قيم للسكريات الكلية والمواد البكتينية وجدت في الصنف البلحى. أما بالنسبة للعناصر المعدنية وجد أن أعلى نسب للكالسيوم والصوديوم والمغنسيوم كانت في الصنف البلحى ولكن أعلى نسب للزنك والحديد وجدت في الصنف التفاحى في حين أن الصنف اللانج كان يحتوى على أعلى النسب من عنصر المنجنيز. وعلى ذلك وجد أن كلا من الصنفين (التفاحى والبلحى) يعتبران أفضل الأصناف من الناحية الغذائية. واطهر التقييم الحسى أن الصنف البلحى من أفضل الأصناف لصناعة المربى من حيث اللون ، الطعم ، النكهة ، القوام والقبول العام. وبصفة عامة فإن الصنف البلحى يلية الصنف التفاحى كانت أفضل الأصناف لصناعة المربى. كما اختبرت قدرة الفينولات الكلية العديدة المعزولة على العمل كمضادات للأكسدة بالنسبة لزيت عباد الشمس ووجد أن جميع النسب المختبرة أدت إلى تحسين ثباتية زيت عباد الشمس.