

HIGHLIGHT ON DESERT LOCUST LAST PLAGUE (2004-2005) AND ITS CONTROL OPTIONS

ABDEL AZIM N. EI-GAMMAL

*Locust and Grasshoppers Res. Department, Plant Protection Res. Institute,
ARC, Dokki-Giza, Egypt*

(Manuscript received 27 October 2005)

Abstract

Desert Locust plagues in 1989 and 2004 infested Red Sea Coasts, New Valley, Sinai and the mast of Delta governments in Egypt. These areas have received heavy swarms of this pest. Control efforts successfully combated these swarms and prevented any damage in Egyptian crops and fruit. During November, 2004 unexpected Desert Locust swarms attacked these areas on unusual Northern western winds. The direction of migrated swarms during 1984 plague was quite different; they were migrated on Northern eastern winds to Red Sea Coasts through the southern eastern desert of Egypt as usual. The swarms of the last plague (2004-2005), were chemically combated by liquid insecticides (E C formulation).

1. Desert Locust Plagues:

Large parts of Africa suffered a Desert Locust plague between 1956-1989 and 2004-2005. Egypt received heavy swarms on the Red Sea Casts and Western desert during this plagues The national efforts in Egypt were successfully competed unexpected invasions from West African and Sahel countries in 2004 and 2005 It is due to that Desert locusts often breed in remote, sparsely populated areas and impermanent breeding sites. The produced swarms were usually migrate on Northern eastern winds to south of Egypt, hut during the last plague, these swarms were migrated on Northern western winds to Northern of Egypt and infested New Valley, Nobaria, Sinai and Delta Governorates.

Chemical control was the only control option during the 1986-1989 and 2004-2005. Locust campaigns in Egypt, the Sahel region and North Africa In Egypt shout 2280 Km² Were treated with approximately 40 tons of liquid pesticides (EC. formulation)

2. Control Strategies:

The French research organization, PRIFAS (1989), conjectured that control efforts destroyed 20% of the desert locust population in late 1988 and early 1959,

30% perished in storms over the Atlantic, 30% were killed by low temperature, and 20% by insufficient rainfall. But, the usual view of those involved in control campaigns is that control measures are the key to ending plagues that is exactly what happened in 2004-2005 plague.

1. Upsurge Or Plague Prevention (Preventive Strategy):

It has been claimed that plague prevention costs less than plague control. This entirely depends on whether the outbreak would develop into a plague if it is controlled, and if so, whether this can be prevented.

The preventive control strategy was considered appropriate when used in conjunction with the persistent insecticide dieldrin. However, there is now great doubt about the effectiveness of this strategy when non-persistent pesticides are used. The preventive strategy therefore needs to be analyzed critically, and may have to be adjusted on the basis of such an analysis.

The current strategy of Locust affected countries and the FAO is that of "plague prevention". Surveillance and control of the Desert Locust consist of the following three steps:

- 1-Monitoring of ecological conditions in the potential breeding areas (they are not permanent areas)
- 2- Ground surveillance of potential breeding areas
- 3-Intervention where there is a threat of gregarization, based on density assessment of locusts.

In practice, the early stages described above are often not detected in time and gregarization occurs within the context of this control strategy, differing to make action and the object of control measures,

One group asserts that plague can be locusts to appear, insisting that there is little point in monitoring solitary populations (Jones, 1989).

The opposite view holds that effective control is possible after a majority of the overall locust population has made the transition to the gregarious phase. The arguments cited in favor of this view, are as follows:

Heavy rainfall causes the population of solitary locusts to reproduce, causing the population to spread out over large areas. The first gregarious insects appear at particularly favourable sites, and measures to combat them have no significant impact on the development of the population as a whole. As the solitary insects continue to spread throughout the area, increasing numbers of them make the transition to the

gregarious phase, which is associated with a tendency for them to aggregate. This concentration of insects in smaller areas lets the overall population be systematically combated, thus effectively preventing plagues (Jones, 1989).

1.2. Curative Strategy:

Also, there are two possible approaches. One is try to systematically put an end a plague, and the other is to protect crops without actually combating the locust population as a whole.

The advocates of the approach of completely eliminating existing gregarious swarms assume that a preventive approach would require a large number of expensive and labour-intensive monitoring units, and even so could not be correctly carried out. They assert that, in the final analysis, plagues can not be prevented; but after they have begun they can be successfully combated by deploying resources that can be mobilized on a short-term basis. Large-scale extermination of the locusts, thus putting an end to the plagues, can be achieved by using airplanes to fight the swarms (Symmons, 1992).

The opposite approach is quite different, concerning on protection of crops. It focuses not on controlling development of desert locust populations, but instead on directly shielding valuable crops. By means of specially designed measures, the attempt is made to combat only those locusts that directly threaten production. No efforts are made to influence the depending on climate conditions - always ends with a natural collapse of the locust population.

1.3. Applied Strategy:

As the locust outbreak of recent years have shown, the concept of preventive control favoured by the FAD has not been sufficiently effective.

The remoteness of the areas that have to be monitored and the lack of infrastructure make for difficult working conditions and an inadequate flow of information. Marital conflicts in parts of Mali, Niger, Chad, Sudan and Ethiopia have also impeded and endangered survey activities in the past. Consequently, frequent use is also made of the curative strategy. But ignorance about the potential damage desert locusts can realistically be expected to inflict results in rather aimless control efforts. When Swarms are sighted and control seems to be possible, the locusts are actively combated, but without attempting to assess potential losses.

2. CONTROL METHODS:**3.1. Insect Growth Regulators:**

Chemical control is still the main method for reducing locust in crop areas. In trials to explore less hazardous chemicals, attention was directed to the anti-chitin synthesis compounds. Fourth and fifth instar nymphs of *Shistocerca gregaria* were found to be sensitive to diflubenzuron (TH 60-40) and the percentage of moulting abnormalities proportionally increased with the dose (Many *et al*, 1981). Also the nymph of *Melanoplus Sanguinipes* were very sensitive to diflubenzuron when reared continuously on treated wheat seedlings (Elliot and Lyer, 1982). (Robertson and Boelter, 1979) reported that diflubenzuron foliar deposits were resistant to rainfall, leaching and photo-degradation factors and many remain active for 7 weeks.

Diflubenzuron (CME), Teflubenzuron and Chlorofluazuron (SKI) were tested against the nymph instar of Desert Locust under field conditions. All the treated nymphs were failed in ecdysis during the next moult or to the adult stage. All these compounds caused insecticidal and morphogenetic effect against this insect (El-Gammal and Hendy, 1992).

So, several attempts are directed to evaluate insect growth regulators (IGRs), anti-moulting agents and antifeedant aiming to prevent the multiplication and metamorphosis of the nymphal instars of *S. gregaria*. Some of these compounds are highly specific to hopper stage by contact or stomach action (El-Gammal, 1992), also Juvenile hormone analogues, fenoxycarb completely prevented metamorphosis of this insect (El-Gammal *et al*, 1989).

3.2. Pathogens Op Locust And Grasshoppers:

A variety of pathogens are available as potential control agents of locust and grasshoppers. These include fungi (Carruthers *et al*, 1997), Nematodes (Baker and Capinera, 1997).

Since, 1989 over 150 isolates virulent against Orthoptera have been found (Lomer *et al*, 1997), but, there are many biological reasons to searching the environments of the target species; isolates may be better adapted to, for example, UV radiation, temperature and humidity extremes (Mc Cammon and Rath, 1994).

Field trials to investigate the combination between *Metarhizium flavoviride* and used growth regulators revealed that, the integration between *M. Flavoviride* and She

full dose of consult (37.Ig.a.i. /g.) was the most effective integral action, which induced 67.2% reduction after 5 days of application and 96.8 % after 15 days. On the other hand, the efficiency of the integrated action of the antifeedant agent, azadirachtin with the antimoulting, Consult (as a bait) was more potent, than the bait of *M. Flavoviride*, especially in their latent effects. Thus, it was found that, the most potent integrated action was induced by the combination between the anti-moulting effect of Consult and She fungi, *M. Flavoviride*, while the liquid formulation *M. Flavoviride* spores exhibited high efficiency than the powder one giving sufficient percentages of reduction in the population density of *L.m. migratorioides* (44.0%, reduction after 5 days of application and 77.7 % after 11 days). (El-Gammal et al 2004).

3.3. Antifeedant:

Many years ago, the antifeedant properties of the neem tree *Azadirachta indica* A Juss, were first reported in field experiments with the adult desert locust (Pradhan and Jotwani, 1968). The primary antifeedant component of neem seeds is azadirachtin a tri-terpenoid (Nakanishi 1975).

Neem extracts contain many compounds; their combined activities include antifeedant properties, Insect Growth regular (IGR) activities, ovipositor deterrent effect, and effects on insect fecundity (Schmutterer, 1990).

Champagne et al, (1989) reported that the desert locust *S. gregaria* is the most sensitive insect. So the antifeedant action of azadirachtin. Moreover, the neem tree leaf extracts exhibited high antifeedant activity against the same pest (El-Gammal et al, 1988).

Champagne et al, (1989) added that the migratory grasshopper, *Melanoplus sanguinipes* was undeterred by feeding on cabbage leaf discs treated with up to 550 ppm azadirachtin; however, azadirachtin consumed by 5th instar nymph had a clear moulting disrupting effect that was dose dependant.

So, Neem or Margosa tree has attracted much attention as an effective antifeeding agent against wide variety of insects, Locust have received most of the preliminary trials with its powder or solvent extracts (El-Gammal et al 1988 and El-Gammal, 1994)

3.4. EGG PODS EXTRACTS:

3.4.1 Ethanol extracts:

Ethanol extract of *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forsk) egg pods (froth and masses) were prepared. The sediments of these extracts were re-dissolved in saline solution. These solutions were applied directly to the ovipositing sites (sand) of the ovipositing solitary or gregarious female adults. The numbers of egg pods, laid eggs, hatched percentages and the reproductive potential of the exposed adults were significantly reduced. This effect was more pronounced by the application of ethanol extracts from solitary phase to the gregarious one and vice versa, than their own phase. A disturbance in their haemolymph main metabolites (proteins, carbohydrates, lipids and cholesterol) was associated with the reduction in their reproductive parameters. These findings are the first record for the suppressive effects of a natural extract of some insect tissues on their reproductive potential, so this study could be considered as a new contribution for a novel research approach for insect control using some natural extracts from their own tissues, (El-Gammal et al, 2002).

3.4.2 Hexane extracts:

Froth (foam) and egg mass of *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forsk) egg pods were segregated and extracted separately in hexane. The ovipositing sites of the ovipositing 8 days old female adults of the two phases (gregarious or solitary) were contaminated with these extracts. Gregarious and solitary ovipositing females were exposed to froth and egg mass extracts in hexane, respectively. The exposure of these insects to both extracts significantly reduced their produced numbers of egg pods, laid eggs, hatched eggs and the reproductive potential, these results led to some trails to identify the effective compounds. So, GC-MS analysis was conducted by two different capillary columns (carbowax and 5 % phenylpolysiloxane). GC-MS profile of hexane froth extracts of gregarious and solitary egg pods disclosed 9 and 35 peaks for each phase, respectively. The obtained results indicated the presence of several volatile compounds such as eugonal, isoeugonal, it needs further separation and biological bioassay to give the complete chemical structure for the effective compounds in these extracts. (El-Gammal et al, 2003).

REFERENCES

1. Baker, G.L. and J L. Capinera (1997) Nematodes and nematomorphs as control agents of grasshoppers and locusts. PP.157-211 IN Goettel, M.S.. and D.L Johnson (Eds). Microbial control of grasshoppers and locusts. Memoirs of the Entomological of Canada 171 :40Opp.
2. Carruthers, RI ,M.E.Ramos, T.S. Iarkin; DL.Hostetter and R.S Soper (1997). The *Entomophaga gryli* (Fresenius) Batko species complex: Its biology, ecology and use for biological control of pest grasshoppers.pp.329-353 in Goettel, M S and D.L Johnson (Eds.) Microbal control of Grasshoppers and Locusts. Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Canada 171 :40Opp.
3. Champagene, D E.; M.S. Isman and G.h.n.t OWERS (1989). Insecticidal activity of phytochemicals and extracts of the Meliaceas, pp.95-1094n:
4. J. T. Arnason,B.J.R. Philogene and P. Morand (Eds.) Insecticides of plant origion. Amer Chem Soc. Symp., Series No: 387.
5. EI-Gammal, A.M.; El-Gawhary, H.M.; Abdel-Fattah, T.A. and Mohamed, M.T. (2004). Field trials to investigate the spores of *Metarhizium flavoviride* as microbial control agent and its integrated action with some insect growth regulators against *Locusta migratoria migratorioides* in Shark El-Uwainat area. Egypt. I Appl. Sri., 19 (6) 2004
6. El-Gammal, AM.; Mohamed, M.T.; Osman, M.E. and El-Gawhary (2003). Effects of *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forsk) egg pod extract in Hexane on its reproductive process with compounds in this extract. Egypt.J.Appl., Sci.; 18 (3B) 2003
7. El-Gammal, A.M.; Osman, M.E.; Mohamed, M.T. and El-Gawhary, H A (2002).Experimental evidence for the suppressive effect of ethanol egg pod extracts of *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forsk) on their reproductive potential.2nd International
8. Conference, Plant Protection Research Institute, Cairo, Egypt, 21-24, December, 2002 EI-Gammal, AM. (1994). Response of *Schistocerca*

gregaria (Forsk) to Azadirachtin

9. extracted from Neem tree seeds (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss.). Egypt 3. Agric Res, 72(2), 393-399.
10. El-Gammal, A M (1992). Evaluation of diflubenuron against the 4th instar nymphs of *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forsk) *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forsk). Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 70 (3): 835-843.
11. El-Gammal, AM and M.A. Hindy (1992). Field evaluation of the anti-chitin synthesis, diflubenuron against *Euprepocnemis plorans plorans* Charp. By ultra low volume ground spraying. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 70 (3): 827-833.
12. El-Gammal, AM.; M.S.Zohny, G.Z. Tabs and M. Abdel-Hamid (1989) The metabolic effect of the insect growth regulator, Fenoxycarb, on *Schistocerca gregaria* last nymphal instar. Agric. Res. Review, 67:125-132.
13. El-Gammal, AM ; M.H.Karrar; M.T. Mohamed and K S. Ghoneim (1988) Antifeeding effects of some wild plants in the Eastern of Egypt and Sudan to *Schistocerca gregaria* Forcal (orthoptera Acrididae). G. The Faculty of Education (Egypt) (13):251-263
14. Eliot, Rh. and R Lyer (1982). Toxicity of diflubenuron to nymphs of migratory grasshopper, *Melanoplus sanguipes* (Orthoptera: Acrididae). Can. Entomol, 114, 479-484.
15. Jones, T (1989) The present desert locusts plague, its genesis development and prognosis and priority actions required In special program for agricultural research, locust I grasshoppers research task force, Paris, 1-14.
16. Lomer, C.J., C Prior and C.Kooyman (1997) . Development of *Metachizium* pp for the control grasshoppers and locusts. Pp.265-286 Goettel, M.S. and D L.Johnsos (Eds.), Microbial control of grasshoppers and locusts Memoirs of the Entomological society of Canada 171-400pp.
17. Mariy, F M A , E.M EHussien, M.A.El-Guindy and E.E Ibrahim (1981). Studies on the biological effects of diflubenuron (TH-6040) ON THE

DESERT LOCUST (*Schistocerca gregaria* Forakal). In *S. pest control*,
Sept./ Oct. 1981~133-135

18. McCammon, S.A and A.C. Rath. Separation of *Metarhizium anisopliae* strains by temperature dependent germination rates. *Mycological Research* 98:1253-1257
19. Nakasishi, K (1975). Structure of the insect antifeedant azadirachtin, p.283-298 In: C. Runcie (ed) *Recent Advances in phytochemistry*, Plenum, New York, NY, Vol.9
20. Pradhan, S and M.G. Jotwani (1968). Neem as insect deterrent. *Chem. Age. (India)*, 19:756-759
21. PRIFAS (1989) "SAS 89" Newsletter No.13 (27 October, 1989), Operation SAS, CIRAD I PRIFAS, Montpellier.
22. Prior, C. and D.3. Greathead (1989). Biological control *BULLETIN* 37:37-48. Robertson, A and L.A. Boelter (1979) Toxicity of insecticide to Douglas-fir moth,
23. *Orygia pseudotsugata* (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae). 11 Residual toxicity and rain fastness *can entomol*, 111:1161-1176.
24. Schmutterer, H.(1990). Properties and potential of natural pesticides from the neem tree, *Azadirachta indica*. *Ann. Rev. Entomol.*, 35:271-297.
25. Symmons, P (1992) Strategies to combat the desert locusts. *Crop Prot.*, 11(6). 206-212.