Integrated Pest Control of Certain Citrus Eriophyid and Tenuipalpid Mites in Egypt

Ali, Fatma S.*; Safaa M. Abo-Taka**; M. A. Afifi* and M. M. El-Sayed ***

* Agricultural Zoology and Nematology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

**Economic Entomology and Agricultural Zoology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufiya University

***Egyptian Organization for Biological Products & Vaccines.

(Received, October 10, 2005; Accepted, October 29, 2005)

ABSTRACT

Integrated biological and chemical control showed that release of the predatory mite, *Amblyseius swirskii* (Athias-Henriot) at the rate of 50 or 60 individuals/citrus tree gave excellent control results after 3 months from release in case of Vertimec spray. This resulted in lowest numbers of phytophagous mites. Application of Vertimec spray integrated with *A. swirskii* release at 60 individual/tree also resulted in lowest russeted fruits, their percentages reached 9.4, 12.7, 10.3 and 86.8% for Vertimec, Bio-fly, Dithane compared with the control, respectively.

Key Words: Citrus Eriophyid and Tenuipalpid mites, Amblyseius swirskii, Bio-fly, Dithane, Vertimec, Egypt

INTRODUCTION

Eriophyid and tetranychoid mites are considered the most dangerous pests of citrus groves in Egypt (Zaher et al.1970 and Zaher1984). Thus, integrated control, both biological and chemical may be the ideal answer for controlling such pests. In this respect, chemicals should be used when necessary and in a manner which is least disruptive to biological control agents. Integrated control requires the augmentation and utilization of natural enemies in pest control programs involving chemical control (Smith and Papacek1991).

This work deals with integrated pest control program against phytophagous mites infesting Baladi orange groves in Menoufiya Governorate, Egypt.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted to determine the combined effect of biological and chemical control on phytophagous mites infesting Baladi orange trees. Vertimec (1.8EC40ml/100Lwater), Bio-fly (Beauveria bassiana 150ml/100L water) and DithaneM-45 (Manacozeb 720g/600L water) were tested on Baladi orange leaves. Fruit samples, pre- and post-treatments, were collected for mite inspection.

The phytoseiid mite Amblyseius swirskii (Athias-Henriot) was used for release after chemical control treatments. For rearing this predatory mite, large aluminum pans 30x20x7 cm were used. In the middle of each, a moistened cotton pad was placed, leaving a space provided with water as a barrier to prevent mite escape. Also, tangle foot was added around the cotton pad.

Citrus leaves were placed on the cotton pads saturated with water. Excised infested bean leaves with *Tetranychus urticae* Koch were provided every other day as a source of food. Pans were kept in an incubator at 28±2°C and 80±10% R.H.

Prior of release predatory mite intended for release were left in a refrigerator at 10°C for 5 minutes to reduce mite activity for handling. Discs of citrus leaves with predatory mites were inserted in vials (1x5cm), closed with cotton stoppers and kept in ice boxes for transfer to the field. Release was carried out 1-2 hours before sunset

and 3-4 days after tree irrigation to guarantee suitable temperature and a relative humidity for introduction. Vials containing 30, 40, 50 and 60 adults (4:1females: male) were hung at mid-level of each tree, then opened. Release was applied when the numbers of citrus rust mites, *Brevipalpus phoenicis* (Geijskes) reached 1-3 individuals a citrus flat mite, *Phyllocoptruta oleivora* (Ashmead) 7-12 individuals/30 leaves and 9 fruits.

Four plots for each experiment were arranged in a factorial randomized design. Three of them were sprayed with Vertimec, Biofly and Dithane and the fourth was sprayed with water as a control. Spraying was done in mid-May when the level of infestation was 1, 2/30 leaves for *P. oleivora* and *B. phoenicis*, respectively.

Each plot was divided into five subplots of three trees with a barrier of one untreated tree around the subplot from every direction. When the number of phytophagous mite increased about mid-August, the release of *A. swirskii* was carried out. The five subplots received 0, 30, 40, 50 and 60 predators/tree. The forth plot (control treatment) was untreated.

Samples were taken just before spraying and after that, weekly for a fortnight and then biweekly till the end of the experiment. Each sample included 30 leaves and 9 fruits. The predator was released after about 3 months of chemical spray.

The effect of chemical, biological and integrated control treatment on the number of russeted orange fruits was also investigated and estimated as a percentage. The data of mite numbers and russeted fruits obtained were subjected to analysis of variance test (ANOVA) with a mean separation at the 5 % level of significance according to the method of Snedecor and Cochran (1967). Percentages of reduction of the mite populations were estimated according to the equation of Henderson and Tilton (1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of integrated control on P. oleivora

Table (1) shows that spraying Bio-fly and Dithane completely eradicated P. *oleivora* populations two days after spraying for 3 weeks after application. Two

weeks after spraying Vertimec spraying began to reduce P. oleivora numbers. The residual effect of all compounds kent P. oleivora numbers low for six weeks, after which numbers began to increase again at early August. Populations increased gradually from the beginning of the experiment till mid-August (after about 3 months) reaching 28 individuals/30 leaves and 9 fruits. The mite numbers in treatments with the three compounds but without receiving the predatory mite (zero level of release) greatly increased, reaching maximum of 47, 49 and 52 individuals/30 leaves and 9 fruits for Vertimec, Bio-fly and Dithane, respectively. By mid-September, the numbers decreased gradually according to the natural trend of P. oleivora populations. P. oleivora numbers began to decrease two weeks after releasing A. swirskii at the level of 50 and 60 predators/tree, with a large drop evident from mid-October. Numbers of P. oleivora in the control increased gradually reaching its peak on 18th September, then decreased to lower levels by mid-November. The same results were obtained by Smith and Papacek (1991) who reported that integrating Amblyseius victoriensis (Womersley) with Mancozeb reduced the population of P. oleivora by 100 %.

Effect of integrated control on B. phoenicis

Results in Table (2) revealed that spraying Vertimec, Bio-fly and Dithane eradicated populations of *B. phoenicis* two days after spraying for a six week period after which the numbers began to gradually increase (5-10.5 individuals/30 leaves and 9 fruits in season 2001). Increasing numbers was found in the control. When the numbers reached 4-8 individuals/30 leaves, the

release of the predatory mite A. swirskii was undertaken at different levels. The number of B. phoenicis receiving treatments with sprayed chemicals but not predators (zero level) increased gradually, reaching its maximum by mid-September as the control did (21, 20, 22 and 23 individuals/sample) for Vertimec, Bio-fly, Dithane and control, respectively.

At the level 50 or 60 predators/tree, there was a slight increase in the numbers of *B. phoenicis* one week after spray which decreased gradually reaching its minimum by mid-October (one individual or zero/sample). The trees were free of *B. phoenicis* in November.

In conclusion, data proved that Vertimec sprayed in mid-May followed by release of 50 or 60 predators/tree after about 3 months was the best treatment for controlling *B. phoenicis*. These results ascertained the findings of McCoy *et al.* (1982).

The effect of integrated control on A. swirskii

Results in Table (3) showed the effect of different treatments on the numbers of A. swirskii. Spraying Vertimec, Bio-fly and Dithane completely eradicated the native A. swirskii two days after spraying for six weeks, meanwhile the number of A. swirskii increased gradually in the control. Two months after spraying, native A. swirskii began to reappear in small numbers. Before releasing A. swirskii, its native, numbers ranged between 0 and 4 individuals/sample in all pesticide treatments while it was 7individuals/sample in the control. After release, the overall mean of the predatory mite (native released) ranged between 2.9 and

Table (1): Effect of integrating *Amblyseius swirskii* with chemical and biopesticides for the control of *Phyllocoptruta*

		ieivo	ra on	Baia	di ora	nge u	ees c	iuring	, 2001	/2002.										
Dates								Num	ber of	mites/	30 lea	ves ar	id 9 fi	uits						
ST.		9	Samp	ling o	late b	efore	relea	se		, e			Sa	mplir	ng da	te afte	er rele	ase		
Treatments	15/5*	17/5	24/5	1/6	15/6	1/7	15/7	8/1	13/8	Level of release/tree	20/8**	27/8	4/9	11/9	18/9	3/10	18/10	3/11	18/11	Mean
	0.1	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	9.0	9.0	0	21.0	29.0	40.0	45.0	47.0	45.0	40.0	30.0	11.0	31.7a
/епітес	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	11.0	10.0	30	18.0	27.0	31.0	40.0	48.0	44.0	31.0	21.0	8.0	27.8a
٠Ħ	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	7.0	15.0	40	17.0	22.0	27.0	37.0	26.0	23.0	18.0	11.0	6.0	20.2ab
ζe	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	8.0	11.0	50	12.0	9.0	15.0	8.0	6.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	6.5c
	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	5.0	8.0	60	13.0	9.0	12.0	8.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	5.3c
	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	6.0	9.0	0	19.0	29.0	39.0	47.0	49.0	41.0	43.0	38.0	17.0	33.1a
<u> </u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	12.0	11.0	30	19.0	25.0	33.0	41.0	47.0	39.0	21.0	18.0	3.0	25.7ab
Bio-fly	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	5.0	11.0	40	18.0	11.0	19.0	21.0	23.0	19.0	13.0	7.0	1.0	14.3b
m	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	8.0	10.0	50	14.0	8.0	11.0	11.0	18.0	6.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	7.9c
	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	6.0	19.0	60	18.0	10.0	12.0	10.0	8.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	8.0c
	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	10.0	18.0	0	22.0	31.0	37.0	47.0	52.0	48.0	40.0	33.0	11.0	33.9a
5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	10.0	22.0	30	25.0	28.0	35.0	34.0	43.0	34.0	27.0	17.0	7.0	27.2a
Dithanc	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	11.0	14.0	40	18.0	11.0	17.0	12.0	15.0	19.0	10.0	6.0	1.0	12.3b
Ď.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0		13.0		50	20.0	9.0	12.0	8.0	10.0	6.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.6c
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	15.0	21.0	60	16.0	9.0	11.0	6.0	8.0	3.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	7.5c
Contro	1 1.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	18.0	24.0	28.0	29.0	-	23.0	34.0	38.0	52.0	63.0	52.0	42.0	32.0	13.0	37.8a

^{*:} Pre-chemical application

^{**:} Date of predator release

⁻ Means of *P. oleivora* with the same letter are not significantly different (P < 0.01 = 3.37), (P < 0.05 = 2.38) according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table (2): Effect of integrating Amblyseius swirskii with three chemical and biopesticides for the control of Brevipalpus phoenicis on Baladi orange trees during 2001/2002.

Dates	Number of mites/30 leaves and 9 fruits																			
			Sam	oling	date	before	relea	se					Sa	mplir	ıg da	te afte	r rele	ase		
Treatments	15/5*	17/5	24/5	1/6	15/6	1/7	15/7	1/8	13/8	Level of release/tree	20/8**	27/8	4/9	11/9	18/9	3/10	18/10	3/11	18/11	Mean
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	8.0	0	12.0	16.0	18.0	21.0	21.0	13.0	11.0	10.0	7.0	13.7a
Vertimec	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	5.0	7.0	30	8.0	14.0	17.0	22.0	17.0	13.0	8.0	2.0	1.0	10.9a
Ė	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	6.0	40	8.0	11.0	13.0	15.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.1	7.3c
S <	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	50	7.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.9d
	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	5.0	60	7.0	6.0	4.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6d
	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	5.0	8.0	0	11,0	14.0	17.0	19.0	20.0	18.0	15.0	9.0	6.0	13.7a
fly	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	4.0	7.0	30	9.0	16.0	16.0	18.0	14.0	11.0	6.0	2.0	1.0	10.0b
Bio-fly	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	5.0	,8.0	40	10.0	11.0	12.0	14.0	8.0	6.0	5.0	2.0	0.0	7.6c
Ä	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	10.0	50	10.0	7.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9cd
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	5.0	8.0	60	8.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	3.5cd
	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	5.0	4:0	0	11.0	15.0	19.0	25.0	22.0	20.0	16.0	11.0	7.0	15.0a
me	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	30	12.0	18.0	21.0	24.0		15.0	10.0	6.0	2.0	12.7a
Dithane	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	6.0	7.0	40	9.0	11.0	12.0	15.0	8.0	6.0	5.0	3.0	0.0	7.6c
Ä	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	8.0	50	11.0	7.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6cd
	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	5.0_	60	8.0	8.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9d
Control	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	7.0	11.0	12.0	11.0		15.0	17.0	21.0	27.0	23.0	16.0	13.0	9.0	5.0	15.7a

^{* :} Pre-chemical application

Table (3): Effect of integrating Amblyseius swirskii and three chemical and biopesticides on A. swirskii numbers on Baladi orange trees during 2001/2002.

		iiiiii c	, anige	, ti cos	dulli	18 200														
Dates							Nu	mber:	s of m	ites / 30) leav	es an	<u>d 9 fr</u>	uits						
<u></u>		_ 5	Sampl	ing d	ate be	fore i	releas	e					Sa	mplii	ng da	ite aft	er rel	ease		
Treatments	15/5*	17/5	24/5	1/6	15/6	1/1	15/7	1/8	13/8	Level of release/tree	20/8**	27/8	4/9	11/9	18/9	3/10	18/10	3/11	18/11	Mean
	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	00	2.0	3.0	2.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	6.00	6.00	3.8a
Vertimec	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	30	3.0	3.0	4.00	4.00	5.00	7.00	11.0	13.0	11.0	6.3ab
- 5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	40	6.0	8.0	8.00	7.00	6.00	8.00	11.0	17.0	19.0	9.2b
Ş.	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	50	3.0	4.0	6.00	9.00	11.0	13.0	17.0	25.0	27.0	11.5bc
	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	60	4.0	4.0	7.00	9.00	9.00	11.0	19.0	21.0	30.0	11.5bc
	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	0	2.0	1.0	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	2.9a
≥	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30	3.0	2.0	3.00	4.00	6.00	5.00	9.00	11.0	11.0	5.4ab
Bio-fly	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	40	5.0	7.0	6.00	9.00	6.00	8.00	10.0	12.0	14.0	7.9ab
B.	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	50	2.0	4.0	5.00	8.00	10.0	15.0	18.0	26.0	32.0	12.1bc
	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	4.0	60	3.0	4.0	6.00	11.0	13.0	14.0	15.0	23.0	27.0	12.0bc
	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	0	1.0	2.0	2.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	6.00	4.00	3.0a
ne	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	30	3.0	5.0	3.00	4.00	6.00	6.00	9.00	12.0	13.0	6.2ab
Dithane	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	40	4.0	5.0	7.00	6.00	8.00	10.0	9.00	14.0	17.0	8.2ab
Ö	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50	2.0	3.0	4.00	8.00	13.0	16.0	18.0	30.0	27.0	12.0bc
	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60	2.0	2.0	4.00	7.00	11.0	16.0	19.0	23.0	26.0	11.0bc
Control	3.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	6.0	7.0	7.0		9.0	8.0	10.0	9.00	9.00	10.0	11.0	13.0	9.00	9.5b

^{*:} Pre-chemical application

^{**:} Date of predator release

⁻ Means of *B. phoenicis* with the same letter are not significantly different (P < 0.01 = 3.37), (P < 0.05 = 2.38) according to Duncan's multiple range test.

^{**:} Date of predator release

⁻ Means of B. phoenicis with the same letter are not significantly different (P < 0.01 = 2.12), (P < 0.05 = 1.71) according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table (4): Average numbers and percentages of russeted citrus fruits after spraying different compounds during 2001/2002.

			- Gra	nd Total	age %							
		1			2		 	3		- Gra		er %
Treatments	Total	Russeted	R %	Total	Russeted	R %	Total	Russeted	R %	Total	Russeted	A.
Vertimec	135.0	48.0	35.6	152.0	68.0	44.7	178.0	66.0	37.1	465.0	182.0	39.1b
Bio-fly	196.0	80.0	40.8	163.0	51.0	31.3	171.0	61.0	35.7	530.0	192.0	36.2b
Diathane	153.0	51.0	33.3	181.0	53.0	29.3	172.0	66.0	38.4	506.0	170.0	33.6b
Vertimec + oil	184.0	48.0	26.1	166.0	41.0	24.7	145.0	34.0	23.4	495.0	123.0	24.8c
Control	149.0	114.0	76.5	167.0	140.0	83.8	192.0	149.0	77.6	508.0	403.0	79.3a

⁻ Average of russeted percentages of fruits with the same letter are not significantly different (P < 0.01 = 5.99), (P < 0.05 = 3.48) according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table (5): Average numbers and percentages of russeted citrus fruits after releasing of *Amblyseius swirskii* during 2001/2002.

				Fruits in th	ne 3 replic	ates					rage %
	1		•	2_			3		Grai	reray R %	
Total	Russeted	R %	Total	Russeted	R %	Total	Russeted	R % .	Total	Russeted	Α
138.0	57.0	41.3	186.0	78.0	41.9	175.0	68.0	38.9	499.0	203.0	40.7b
147.0	56.0	38.1	174.0	64.0	36.8	169.0	68.0	40.2	490.0	188.0	38.4b
191.0	63.0	33.0	172.0	55.0	30.2	163.0	51.0	31.3	536.0	169.0	31.5c
167.0	47.0	28.1	135.0	42.0	31.1	178.0	52.0	29.2	480.0	141.0	29.4c
157.0	125.0	79.6	184.0	153.0	83.2	172.0	138.0	80.2	513.0	41.6	81.1a

[•] Average of russeted percentages of fruits with the same letter are not significantly different (P < 0.01 = 5.99), (P < 0.05 = 3.48) according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table (6). Average numbers and percentages of russeted citrus fruits after integrating *Amblyseius swirskii* with different compounds during 2001/2002.

ats	e of tree				Fruits	in the 3 rep	olicates				Gran	d	_ &
mei			1			2			3		Tota	ıl	rerag R%
Treatments	Average release/ tr	Total	Russeted	R %	Total	Russeted	R %	Total	Russeted	R %	Total	Russleted	Aver age R %
	0	143.0	48.0	33.6	173.0	65.0	37.6	157.0	58.0	36.9	473.0	171.0	36.2b
င္သ	30	170.0	58.0	34.1	159.0	44.0	27.7	173.0	55.0	31.8	502.0	157.0	31.3b
Vertimec	40	164.0	31.0	18.9	190.0	45.0	23.7	178.0	38.0	21.3	532.0	114.0	21.4c
Ze.	50	171.0	18.0	10.5	151.0	12.0	7.90	157.0	15.0	9.60	479.0	45.0	9.4d
	60	158.0	9.00	5.70	174.0	21.0	12.1	165.0	17.0	10.3	497.0	47.0	9.5d
	0	171.0	58.0	33.9	183.0	72.0	39.3	177.0	74.0	41.8	531.0	204.0	38.4b
>	30	162.0	51.0	31.5	137.0	39.0	28.5	149.0	47.0	31.5	448.0	137.0	30.6b
Bio-fly	40	166.0	36.0	21.7	146.0	32.0	21.9	181.0	51.0	28.2	493.0	119.0	24.1c
Bi	50	178.0	21.0	11.8	135.0	18.0	13.3	169.0	22.0	13.0	482.0	61.0	12.7d
	60	156.0	23.0	14.7	152.0	17.0	11.2	148.0	18.0	12.2	456.0	58.0	12.7d
	0	184.0	68.0	36.9	156.0	42.0	26.9	169.0	64.0	37.8	509.0	174.0	34.2b
<u>ə</u>	30	179.0	66.0	36.8	147.0	41.0	27.8	173.0	60.0	34.7	499.0	167.0	33.5b
Dithane	40	168.0	50.0	29.8	181.0	61.0	33.7	134.0	21.0	15.6	483.0	132.0	27.3c
Ä	50	153.0	11.0	7.10	161.0	14.0	8.70	193.0	27.0	13.9	507.0	52.0	10.3d
	60	187.0	27.0	14.4	155.0	12.0	7.70	169.0	19.0	11.2	511.0	58.0	11.4d
Control		194.0	167.0	86.1	175.0	154.0	88.0	190.0	164.0	86.3	559.0	485.0	86.8a

⁻ Average of russeted percentages of fruits with the same letter are not significantly different (P < 0.01 = 2.74), (P < 0.05 = 2.04) according to Duncan's multiple range test.

3.8 individuals/sample when pesticides were used without release, while the overall means ranged between 5.4 and 6.3 individuals/sample when pesticide was integrated with releasing of 30 predators/tree. No differences were seen between the predatory mite numbers among 0 and 30individuals as levels of release. Predators populations in all treatments increased gradually at 6 different ratios depending on the level of release. At 40 predators/tree integrated with pesticides, the overall numbers of A. swirskii ranged between 7.9 and 9.2 individuals/sample. At levels of 50 or 60, the overall mean numbers of A. swirskii were nearly the same for the three pesticides treatments. There were no significant differences between A. swirskii numbers in control and in all treatments except for the trees sprayed without receiving predatory mites (Vertimec + zero level, Bio-fly + zero level, and Dithane + zero level release of A. swirskii). These results agreed with the findings of El-Halawany et al. (1987), Iskander (1993), Ibrahim (1994&1998) and Iskander and Darwish (1994), and Iskander (2000).

Average percentages of russeted citrus fruits

Results in Tables (4-6) indicate that spraying the tested pesticides decreased the percentages of russeted fruits from 79.3 % in the control to 24.8-39.1 %. When chemicals were used, these percentages were nearly the same as when the predatory mite, A. swirskii or Phytoseiulus plumifer (C. & F.) was used at the level of release ranging from 30 to 60 predators/tree. However, spraying the same compounds integrated with releasing A. swirskii, especially at the level of 50 or 60 individuals/tree, reduced the average russeted fruit percentages from 86.8 % in the control to 9.4-12.7%. Therefore, using biocides, especially Vertimec to control phytophagous mites and the release of A. swirskii at the level of 60 individuals/tree is recommended to control phytophagous mite, to reduce russeted fruits, and to restore the predatory mite population for the next season. This is in agreement with Smith and Papacek (199).

REFERENCES

- El-Halawany, M.E., M.E. Nassar and A.M. Metwally. 1987. Avermectin B1, a novel miticide against some mite species. Agric. Res. Rev., 65(1): 31-36.
- Henderson, C. E. and E. W. Tilton. 1955. Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mites. J. Econ.

- Entomol., 48: 157-161.
- Ibrahim, N. H. 1994. Ecological advantages for non-chemical control against spider mites infesting some leguminous and cucurbitaceous vegetables in Egypt. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., 110 pp.
- Ibrahim, S. M. 1998. Using the safety substitution of the traditional acaricides in controlling citrus rust mite, *Phyllocoptruta oleivora* (Ashmead), infesting citrus orchards in Egypt. Menoufiya J. Agric. Res., 23(3): 631-638.
- Iskandar, A. K. F. 2000. Influence of some chemicals and natural products on certain phytophagous and predaceous mites associated with citrus trees. Menoufiya J. Agric. Res., 25(2): 461-470.
- Iskander, N.G. 1993. Chemical control of the rust mite *Phyllocoptruta oleivora* (Ashmead), flat mite *Brevipalpus californicus* (Banks) and its side effect on *Amblyseius scutalis* (Athias-Henriot) on citrus trees. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 71(2): 463-472.
- Iskander, N.G. and M.A. Darwish. 1994. Effect of certain pesticides on some phytophagous and predaceous mites associated with citrus trees. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 72(4): 1015-1025.
- McCoy, C.W., R.C. Bullock and R.A. Dybas. 1982. Avermeetin B₁, a novel miticide active against citrus mites. Proc. Sta. Hort. Soc., 95: 51-56.
- Smith, D. and D.F. Papacek. 1991. Studies on the predatory mite, Amblyseius victoriensis (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) in citrus orchards in south-east Queensland. Control of Tegolophus australis and Phyllocoptruta oleivora (Acarina: Eriophyidae), effect of pesticides, alternative host plants and augmentative release. Exp. Appl. Acarol., 12(3-4): 195-217.
- Snedecor, G. W. and G. Cochran. 1967. Statistical Methods. 6th ed., Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa, USA, 560 pp.
- Zaher, M. A. 1984. Survey and ecological studies on phytophagous, predaceous and soil mites in Egypt. I- Phytophagous mites in Egypt. PL 480 Programs, U.S.A, Project No. EG 139, pp.228
- Zaher, M.A.; Wafa, A.K.; Maher, A.A. and Rasmy A. H. 1970. General survey of mites associated with citrus trees in Egypt and Gaza Strip. Bull. Soc. Ent. Egypt, 54:73-79.