ESTIMATION OF GENETIC PARAMETERS USING SIX POPULATIONS OF DIFFERENT WHEAT CROSSES

M.S.M. Abd El-Aty, Y.S. Katta and M.A. El-Hity

Crop Sci. Dept. Fac. of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University

ABSTRACT

The present experiment was conducted at the Experimental Farm of Faculty of Agriculture Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University, during the three successive growing seasons of 2001/2002, 2002/2003 and 2003/2004, to determine the types of gene effects and to develop new genetic combinations, using the six populations $(P_1, P_2, F_1, BC_1, BC_2 \text{ and } F_2)$ of four wheat crosses, Sakha 61 x Sids 7, Gemmeiza 9 x Sids 1, Sakha 93 x Sakha 61 and Sids 1 x Sids 7.

The results indicated that:

- 1. The F₁ mean values exceeded the mid-parent for all studied traits in the four crosses except for days to heading which was earlier than the mid-parent, indicating partial dominance.
- 2. The F_2 mean values were approximately equal to the mid parent values and less than the F_1 mean values, indicating that inbreeding depression has occurred.
- 3. BC₁ and BC₂ mean values varied according to the trait itself, it was in the direction of their respective recurrent parents for the studied characters with some exceptions.
- 4. Positive heterotic effects relative to the mid-parent were found for most of the traits in the four crosses, except for heading and maturity dates, also positive heterotic effects relative to the better parent were found for plant height in the second and third crosses.
- 5. The additive effect was more important and greater than the dominance effect for most traits.
- 6. Among the epistatic components, the dominance x dominance was greater in magnitudes than additive x additive and additive x dominance in most studied traits.
- 7. Heritability estimates in narrow sense were low to moderate for all the studied characters in all crosses, ranged from 25% for 1000 grains weight in the second cross to 57.5% for plant height in the first cross and spike weight in the third cross.
- 8. The predicted genetic advance was low in all the studied traits except for spike weight which was moderate.
- 9. The crosses Sakha 93 x Sakha 61 and Sids 1 x Sids 7 would be of interest in a breeding program, for improving characters of earliness, yield and its components.

Key words: Wheat, Crosses, Heterosis, Inbreeding depression, Heritability, Gene effects, Genetic advance, Scaling tests

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, L.) is the most important crop all over the world, and in Egypt. National wheat production is insufficient to meet the local consumption according to the higher increase of population especially in the recent years. The domestic wheat production is about six million tons from 2.5 million fed., while the imports totaled about six million tons of wheat grains.

Wheat breeders are always looking for means and sources of genetic improvement for grain yield, its components and other agronomic characters. Genetic diversity is the main tool for the breeders to have better recombinants by creating heritable variability upon which selection can be practiced.

Information on the genetics and gene effect of breeding materials could ensure long-term selection and better genetic improvements. The maximum progress in improving any character would be expected in a selection program when the additive gene action was the main component of genetic variance, whereas, the presence of non-additive gene action might suggest the use of hybridization program for achieving this goal, many genetic models were proposed by Mather (1949), Gamble (1962), Hayman and Mather (1955) and Mather and Jinkes (1971).

The present investigation was planned to determine the type of gene action and to estimate some genetic parameters in the four wheat crosses derived from five parental wheat genotypes using the six populations of each cross.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out at the Farm of Faculty of Agriculture Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University during three winter successive growing seasons i.e., 2001/2002, 2002/2003 and 2003/2004. In the first season the five parental wheat genotypes shown in Table 1 were grown and four crosses were chosen and crossing was made by hand, i.e. Sakha 61 x Sids 7 (cross 1), Gemmeiza 9 x Sids 1 (Cross 2), Sakha 93 x Sakha 61 (Cross 3) and Sids 1 x Sids 7 (cross 4). In the second season seeds of four F₁'s were sown to produce F₁ plants. Each of F₁ plants were crossed back to their respective parent to produce first backcross (BC₁) and second back cross (BC₂) in the same time, also the four crosses were recrossed again in the same season to produce their F₁'s seeds, the F₁ plants were selfed to produce F₂ seeds. In 2003/2004 season the obtained seeds of the six

populations P₁, P₂, F₁, BC₁, BC₂ and F₂ of the four crosses were evaluated using a randomized complete block design with four replications. All field plots consist of eight rows, 3.0 m long, spaced 25 cm apart and seeds were spaced 15 cm within each row. So, all the P₁, P₂, F₁, BC₁, BC₂ and F₂ generations each has the same field plot size to satisfy the equality of the field plot in each replicate for all the treatments which is required in the randomized complete block design (RCBD).

Table 1. Name and pedigree of the studied parental bread wheat cultivars.

Parent	Name	Pedigree
1	Gemmeiza 9	Ald "S"/Huac//cmh74A.630/Sx cGM4583-5 GM-1-OGM
2	Sids 1	HD2172/Pavon "s"//1158
		571 Maya74"S" SD 46-45D-25D-15D-05D
3	Sids 7	Maya "S" MON "S"//cMH 74 A, 292/3/Sakha 8*2
4	Sakha 61	Inia/RL 4220172/Yr
5	Sakha 93	Sakha 92/TR 810328
		S 887-1-15-25-15-05

To satisfy the analysis of variance of the characters recorded, 150 individual plants from each field plot were chosen at random from the six populations and all chosen characters were recorded.

All the recommended agricultural practices for wheat production were applied at the proper time.

Data were recorded on the chosen plants of the six populations in each cross for days to heading, days to maturity, plant height (cm), spike length (cm), number of grains/spike, 1000-grain weight (gm), spike grain weight (gm), grain yield/plant (gm) and grain yield/plot (kg).

Heterosis was determined as the percent of the deviation of F_1 hybrids over its mid-parent (MP) or its better parent (BP) values. Inbreeding depression was estimated as the average percentage decrease of the F_2 from the F_1 . Potence ratio (P) was also calculated according to Peter and Frey (1966).

The population means and the variances were used to compute the scaling tests A, B and C and to estimate the type of gene effects according to Mather (1949) and Hayman and Mather (1955).

The six parameters model proposed by Gamble (1962) was used to estimate different gene effects. Heritability in broad and narrow senses were calculated according to Mather's (1949) and the predicted genetic advance under selection was computed according to Johanson *et al* (1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Mean performance

Mean and standard error of the six populations $(P_1, P_2, F_1, BC_1, BC_2)$ and F_2 of the four wheat crosses for the studied characters are given in Table (2).

Table 2. Means \pm standard error of the six populations (P₁, P₂, F₁, BC₁ and BC₂) for all the studied characters in the four crosses.

Characters	Cross	P ₁	P ₂	F ₁	BC ₁	BC ₂	F ₂
Days to	1	87.05±0.75	91.93 <u>+</u> 0.81	88.65+0.93	89.0+0.14	92.29+0.98	89.00±1.05
heading	2	107.00±0.74	104.93±0.56	105.20±0.90	107.65+0.98	104.50±0.94	166.70+1.04
(day)	3	94.95±0.73	87.04±0.75	89.00±0.83	95.25±0.44	87.95±0.96	90.73 <u>+</u> 1.03
	4	194.93+0.63	91.93+0.61	95.93+0.94	104.45+1.04	93.00+1.08	97.76+1.26
Days to	1	140.9±0.33	142.7+0.34	141.00+0.38	140.1+0.98	142.4±0.95	142.7±1.09
maturity	2	161.20 <u>+</u> 0.62	155.13±0.62	151.90±0.91	157.35±0.97	154.04±0.98	153.50+1.10
(day)	3	145.20±0.21	140.95±0.70	140.20±0.77	145.10+1.04	139.75+1.00	143.66+1.19
	4	155.13±0.83	142.7+8.74	144.37±1.09	154.10+1.19	142.09+1.18	147.43-1.30
Plant	1	92.10±0.33	115.26+0.34	110.9±0.37	101.0+0.53	115.45±0.54	105,85±0,63
height	2	113.30±0.54	123.20±0.44	126,20±0.64	115.20±0.77	125.00±0.77	125.40±0.88
(cm)	3	96.95±0.72	92.10±0.82	101.00±0.83	95.40+1.09	92.80 <u>+</u> 1.09	95.27±1.3
	4	123.20+0.89	115.26±0.88	125,00+0.82	123.90+1.33	116.10±1.31	119.80+1.41
Spike	1	12.43+0.40	15.27±0.40	13.64±0.50	12.69+0.62	13.73+0.63	13.64±0.74
length	2	11.60±0.29	12.58±0.36	12.58±0.40	11.22±0.56	12.55±0.39	12.26±0.55
(cm)	3	12.55±039	12.43±0.33	12.62±0.54	12.49+0.63	12.04±0.55	12.43+0.67
` '	4	12.58+0.36	15.26+0.40	14.42±0.54	12.57±0.62	14.14+0.62	14.27±0.72
No. of	1	51.95+0.62	62.13±0.59	58.50+0.89	52.05±0.92	58.55±0.91	57.29+1.0
grains/	2	59.00+0.54	50.74+0.62	59.95±0.99	59.10±0.91	51.65±0.98	58.10+1.04
spike	3	56.95±0.78	51.95±0.82	58.65±1.09	54.95±1.14	52,95±1.04	52.53±1.20
- 1	4	50.74+0.63	62.13+0.74	58.18+0.90	59.05+1.91	61.30+1.14	56.60±1.22
1000	1	50.29+0.86	58.73±0.79	57.50±0.20	50.12±0.97	57.25±0.95	56.23+1.00
grains	2	45.10±0.78	47.80±0.62	49.54+086	44.65+0.98	48.15±0.87	46.55±1.00
weight (g)	3	46.00+0.57	50.29±0.63	49.15+0.92	45.15±0.97	51.45±0.97	47.03±1.14
	4	47.80±0.62	58.73+0.74	55.00+0.81	48.07+1.09	57.85+1.08	52.80±1.30
Spike	1	2.247+0.13	2.954+0.10	2.770±0.17	2.390+0.22	2.805±0.23	2.670±0.24
weight	2	2.18+6.11	2.240±0.16	2.280±0.17	2.228+0.19	2.410±0.18	2.13+0.21
(g)	3	2.17±0.10	2.248±0.10	2.270±0.12	2.41±0.17	2.33+0.16	2.34+0.20
	4	2.24+0.11	2.954+0.12	2.77+0.17	2.18+0.22	2.55+0.23	2.68+0.27
Grain	1	22.50+0.32	20.045+0.36	22.380+0.44	22.550±0.71	19.730±071	21.070±0.77
yield/plant	2	26.38±0.54	22.860±0.84	25.80+0.88	25.490+0.83	22.520±0.76	25.00+0.98
(g)	3	26.33 + 0.33	22.500±0.48	24.24±0.83	25.94±0.98	22.88±0.99	23.47±1.09
ve r ,	4	22.86+0.42	20.045+0.41	23.96+0.48	23.06+0.54	20.15+0.54	21.65±0.63
Grain	1	0.899+0.04	0.754+0.05	0.898+0.05	0.888+0.07	0.826+0.07	0.835±0.08
vield/plot	2	0.989+0.04	0.892+0.04	1.018+0.05	1.043+0.06	0.894+0.06	1.009+0.05
(kg)	3	1.033+0.01	0.899±0.01	0.981±0.04	1.034+0.05	0.930±0.03	0.962+0.06
, <i>,</i>	4	0.892+0.03	6.754+0.02	0.895+0.05	0.896+0.05	0.821+0.04	0.881+0.05

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

The F_1 mean values exceeded the mid-values of the two parental means for all the studied traits in the four crosses except that of heading date which was earlier than the mid-parent indicating the presence of partial dominance.

Regarding F_2 mean, the values were intermediate between the two parents and less than the F_1 mean values, indicating the importance of non-additive components of genetic variance for the studied crosses.

However, both BC₁ and BC₂ mean values varied according to the trait itself, it was tended toward the mean of recurrent parent for the studied traits with some exceptions.

2. Heterosis, inbreeding depression and degree of dominance

Heterosis, inbreeding depression and degree of dominance in the four crosses for the studied traits are given in Table 3. Significant negative heterotic effects relative to mid parent obtained for days to heading in the third and fourth crosses, while high significant negative heterotic effects were found for days to maturity in the second, third and fourth crosses. The results are in harmony with those of Khalifa et al 1998 and El-Sherbeny et al (2000).

Table 3.Estimates of heterosis, inbreeding depression percentages (ID%) and degree of dominance for the four crosses.

Characters	Cross	Heter	rosis	ID %	Degree of dominance	
j		M.P.	B.P	11) %		
Days to heading	1	-0.93	1.83	-0.39	0.81	
(day)	2	-0.72	0.26	-1.43	0.82	
	3	-2.19*	2.24	-1.94	0.58	
	4	-2.53**	4.35**	-1.91	0.39	
Days to	1	-0.59	3.54	-1,21	1.03	
maturity (day)	2	-3.96**	-2.08*	-1.05	0.76	
	3	-2.91*	-0.53**	-2.46	0.47	
•	4	-3.06**	1.14	-2.12	0.73	
Plant	1	6.96**	-3.78**	4.55	0.44	
height	2	6.72**	2.43**	0.63	0.57	
(cm)	3	6.85**	6.31**	4.68	0.42	
` ′	4	4.84	8.45	4.16	0.97	
Spike length	1	-1.52	-10.67*	0.01	0.42	
(cm)	2	4.05	0.00	2.55	0.68	
` ′	3	1.04**	0.56	1,51	0.68	
1	4	3.59**	-5.56	1,94	0.58	
No. of grains/	1	2.56	-5.84*	2,96	0.80	
spike	2	9.26**	1.61	3.08	0.74	
	3	7.71*	2.98	10.43	0.53	
	4	3.08**	-6.37**	2.72	0.93	
1000	1	5.49**	-2.09	2.21	1.07	
grains weight	2	6.87*	3.64	6.22	0.66	
(g)	3	2.09**	-2.27	4.31	0.44	
	4	3.26**	-6.35**	4.00	0.75	
Spike weight (g)	1	6.53	-6.10	3.61	1.90	
Spans Heagan (g)	2	3.21	1.79	6.57	0.61	
	3	2.79**	1.92	3.08	0.46	
	4	6.66**	-6.10	3.25	0.69	
Grain	1	5.22	-0.53	5.85	1.18	
yield/plant	ž	4.79	-2.01	3.10	0.30	
(g)	3	-0.79**	-7.93*	3.18	1.09	
•	4	11.70	4.81	9.64	0.60	
Grain yield/plot	1	8.65	-0.11	7.02	0.83	
(kg)	2	8.24	2.93	0.88	0.48	
\ \	3	1.55**	-5.03	1.94	1.41	
	4	8.74**	0.34	1.56	0.94	

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

Highly significant positive heterotic effects relative to mid parent were found for plant height in the first, second and third cross, spike length in the third and fourth cross, number of grains/spike in the second, third and fourth cross, 1000 grains weight in all crosses, spike grain weight in the third and fourth cross, grain yield/plot in the third and fourth cross. On the other hand, there was highly significant negative heterotic effect in the third cross for grain yield/plant.

The pronounced heterotic effect detected for most of the studied traits in the third and fourth cross would indicate that these crosses would be of interest in a breeding program for high yielding ability.

These results are in close harmony with those recorded by El-Shami et al (1996), Khalifa et al (1998), El-Sherbeny et al (2000), Abd El-Aty (2002), Esmail and Khattab (2002) and Darwish and Ashoush (2003).

With respect to heterotic effects relative to the better parent, the heterotic magnitude, differed according to the trait and cross, significant or highly significant positive heterotic effects were found for days to heading in the fourth cross and plant height in the second and third cross. On the contrary, significant or highly significant negative heterotic effects relative to the better parent were found for days to maturity in the second and third cross, plant height in the first cross, spike length in the first cross, number of grains/spike in the first cross and fourth cross, 1000 grains weight in the fourth cross and grain yield/plant in the third cross.

On the other hand, significant negative heterotic effects relative to high parent were found for grain yield/plant in the second and third crosses, while highly significant negative heterotic effects were obtained for days to maturity in the third cross, plant height, spike length and number of grains per spike in the first cross and 1000 grains weight in the fourth cross. These results are in close agreement with those of Abd El-Aty 2000 and 2002.

Positive values of inbreeding depression were obtained for all traits in all studied crosses except for days to heading and days to maturity, which had negative values (Table 3). Significant heterosis and insignificant inbreeding depression were obtained for most characters. This was logical, since the expression of heterosis in F_1 will be followed by considerable reduction in F_2 performance. The obtained results for most cases were in harmony with that reached by Abd El-Aty (2002) with some exceptions.

The average degree of dominance indicated the over dominance towards the higher parent for days to maturity, 1000 grains weight and grain

yield/plant in the first cross as well as grain yield/plant and grain yield/plot in the third cross.

A complete dominance was found for spike weight toward the higher parent in the first cross.

A partial dominance towards lower or higher parent in the remaining traits for all the studied crosses (Table 3) was obtained.

These results were in close agreement with those of Salem and Hassan (1991), Shehab El-Din (1997), Hagras (1999), Darwish and Ashoush (2003).

3. Estimation of type of gene action

Testing for non-allelic interaction (A, B, and C) together with the six parameters model and type of epistasis are given in Table (4). The results revealed the presence of non allelic interaction for all studied characters in all the studied crosses. It is worthy to mention that at least one of the A, B and C tests was significant for the previous characters, indicating the adequacy of the six-parameter model to explain the type of gene action controlling the trait in these crosses.

The estimated mean effect parameter (m) was found to be highly significant Initially, it is clear that all studied traits were quantitatively inherited.

The additive gene effects were found to be highly significant positive for grain yield/plant and grain yield/plot in the first cross, days to heading, days to maturity, number of grains per spike, grain yield/plant in the second cross, 1000 grains weight and spike weight in the third cross, days to heading, days to maturity, plant height, grain yield/plant and grain yield/plot in the fourth cross, suggesting the potential for obtaining further improvements of these traits by using pedigree selection program. These results are in close agreement with those of Hendawy (1998), Afiah (1999) and El-Hosary et al (2000).

On the other hand, highly significant negative additive effects were obtained for days to heading, days to maturity, plant height, spike length, number of grains/spike, 1000 grains weight and spike weight in the first cross, plant height, spike length, 1000 grains weight and spike weight in the second cross, days to heading, days to maturity, plant height, spike length number of grains/spike, grain yield/plant and grain yield/plot in the third cross, spike length, number of grains/spike, 1000 grains weight and spike weight in the fourth cross, indicating that the additive effects were less important in the inheritance of these traits.

Table 4. Estimates of scaling tests and gene effects for all the studied characters in the four crosses.

Character s	Crosse	Scaling test					Genetic components				
		- A-	· B-	— C	- m-	0	d -	25	- ad -	_dd	
Days to	1	2.350**	4.00**	-0.230	89.00**	-3.20**	5.765**	6.580**	-0.825	-12.93**	Duplicat
heading	2	5.170**	-3.20**	-4.470**	196.70**	3.15	-3.265**	-2.500**	4.185**	0.530	Duplicat
(day)	3	0.100*	7.01**	3.430*	90.73	-7.30**	1.735	3.480**	-3.555**	-10.390**	Duplicat
	4	8.940**	3.280**	2.320	97.76**	12.16**	-0.060	2.440	5.660**	-7.200**	Comp
Days to	1	-1.750**	1.179**	5.120**	142.70**	-2.35**	-6.540**	-5.70**	-1.460 **	6.28**	Duplicat
maturity i	2	7.670**	-5.00**	-6.139**	153.50 **	3.30**	2.535*	8.80**	6.335**	-11.470**	Duplicat
(day)	3	-1.650**	4.80**	8.096**	143.66**	-5.35**	-7.815**	4.94**	-3.225**	1.790	Duplicat
` - 1	4	8.700**	-2.200**	3.120*	147.43**	11.65**	-1.180	3.38*	5.450**	-9.880**	Comp
Plant	1	-1.00**	4.740**	-5.76*	105.85**	-14.45**	16.720**	9.50**	-2.870**	-13.24**	Duplicat
height	2	-19.00**	10.50**	12.70**	125.40**	-9.80×a	-13.250**	-21.20**	14.750**	29.70**	Duplicat
(cm)	3	-7.500**	-7.15**	-5.976**	96.27**	-2.60**	-2.205	-8.68**	-0.175	23.33**	Duplicat
` ′	4	-1.400*	-9.360**	-9.260**	119.80**	7.95	4.276**	-1.50	3.980**	12.26**	Comp
Spike	1	-0.690	-1.450**	-0.420	13.64**	-1.04**	-1.930**	-1.720*	0.380	3.86**	Duplicat
jength	2	-2.726**	0.920*	-0.300	12.26**	-1.33**	-1.010	-1.50*	-1.820**	3.30**	Duplicat
(cm)	3	-0.970**	-0.190	-0.5000	12.43**	-0.45*	-0.530	9.66	-0.390*	1.82	Comp
` `	4	-1.860**	-2,230**	0.390	14.27**	-1.16**	-3.985**	-4.48**	0.185	8.57**	Duplicat
No. of	1	-6.350**	-3,530**	-1.920*	57.29**	-6.50**	-6.50**	7.96**	-1.710**	17.84**	Duplicat
grains/	2	7.510**	-15.65**	2.760**	58.10**	7.45**	-5.82**	-10.90**	11.580**	19.04**	Duplicat
spike	3	-4.700**	-5.700**	-16.80**	52.53	-2.00**	9.880**	5.68**	0.500	4.72**	Comp
•	4	-8.820 A B	-3.210	-6.830**	55.60**	-8.50**	-3.455*	-5.20**	-2.805**	17.23**	Duplicat
1900	1	-7.550	-2.480	0.150	56.23**	-7.13**	-7.57×*	-10.18**	2.535	20.21**	Duplicat
grains	2	8.140	1,560**	-5.980**	46.55**	-3.50**	2.59**	-0.60	-4.850**	7.18**	Duplicat
weight (g)	3	3.460**	-4.850**	-6.458**	47.03**	6.39**	6.073**	5.06**	4.155**	-3.67*	Duplicat
	4	-6,660**	0.020	-8,960**	52.08^*	-9.18**	3.680*	2.32	-3.340**	4.32*	Comp
Spike	1	-0.237*	-0.110	-0.057	2.67**	-0.415**	-0.119	-0.290	-0.063	0.637	Duplicat
weight	2	-0.064	0.362**	-0.458**	2.13**	-0.182*	0.827**	0.756**	-9.213**	-1.054*×	Duplicat
(g)	3	-0.043	-0.366**	0.403*	2.34**	0.200**	-0.751*	-0.812**	0.162*	1.22**	Duplicat
	4	-0.650**	-0.110	-0.010	2.68**	-0.625**	-0.575*	-0.750**	-0.270**	1.51**	Duplicat
Graju	1	0.220	2.960**	-3.020**	21.07**	2.820**	1.390	0.280	1.590**	2.460*	Comp
yield/plant	2	4.320**	-7.146**	-0.840	25.00**	.970	-0.800	-1.980*	5.730**	4.800**	Duplicat
(g)	3	-0.980	1.310**	-3.430**	23.47**	-3.06**	3.585**	3.760**	-1.145**	-4.09*	Duplicat
,	4	-0.700*	-4.540**	-4.220**	21.65	3.330 **	1.490*	-1.02	1.920**	6.26**	Duplicat
Grain	1	-0.021	0.001-*	-0.109*	0.835**	0.062**	0.159**	0.088	-0.011	-0.067	Duplicat
yield/plot	2	-0.050	-0.187	-0.077	6.950**	0.020	-0.013	0.160	0.068**	0.397	Duplicat
(kg)	3	-0.020	0.054*	-0.046	0.962	0.104**	0.095	0.080	-0.037*	-0.114	Duplicat
\ \~~	4	0.005	0.003	0.088*	0.881**	0.070**	-0.008	-0.081	0.001	0.072	Duplicat

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively. Comp. = Complimentary

The estimates of dominance effects were highly significant for all the studied traits except for spike weight and grain yield/plant in the first cross, spike length, grain yield/plant and grain yield/plot in the second cross, days to heading, plant height, spike length and grain yield/plot in the third cross, days to heading, days to maturity and grain yield/plot in the fourth cross, indicating the importance of dominance gene effects in the inheritance of these traits.

Highly significant positive additive x additive types of epistasis was detected for days to heading and plant height in the first cross, days to maturity in the second cross, days to heading, number of grains/spike, 1000 grains weight and grain yield/plant in the third cross, days to heading and days to maturity in the fourth cross.

Highly significant negative additive x additive was found for days to maturity, spike length, number of grains/spike and 1000 grains weight in the first cross, days to heading, plant height, spike length and number of grains/spike in the second cross, days to maturity, plant height and spike weight in the third cross, spike length, number of grains/spike and spike weight in the fourth cross.

Highly significant positive additive x dominance types of epistasis was found for grain yield/plant only in the first cross, days to heading, days to maturity, number of grains/spike, grain yield/plant and grain yield/plot in the second cross, 1000 grains weight and spike weight in the third cross, days to heading, days to maturity, plant height and grain yield/plant in the fourth cross. While highly significant negative additive x dominance types of gene action were found for days to heading, days to maturity, plant height, number of grains/spike and 1000 grain weights in the first cross, plant height, spike length, 1000 grains weight and spike weight in the second cross, days to maturity, spike length and grain yield/plant in the third cross, number of grains/spike, 1000 grains weight and spike weight in the fourth cross.

Dominance x dominance epistatic types were significant or highly significant positive for most traits in all crosses except for days to heading and plant height in the first cross, days to maturity and spike length in the second cross, days to heading and grain yield/plant in the third cross, as well as days to heading and days to maturity in the fourth cross.

Among the epistatic components, the dominance x dominance was greater in magnitudes than additive x additive and additive x dominance in most studied traits.

Duplicate epistasis was observed, as revealed by differences in signs of (d) and (dd) in crosses which exhibited significant epistasis, while similar signs of (d) and (dd) in complementary epistasis. These findings illustrated that duplicate epistasis was prevailing for most traits in the first, second and third crosses, while complementary epistasis was prevailing for days to heading, days to maturity, plant height, and 1000 grain weight in the fourth cross. This indicated that duplicate epistasis was greater and important when compared with complementary epistasis for most studied traits.

4. Components of variance, heritability and % of genetic advance

Components of variance ($\sigma^2 A$, $\sigma^2 D$ and $\sigma^2 E$), heritability in both broad and narrow senses and % of genetic advance under selection are presented in Table (5).

Table 5. Estimates of additive $(\sigma^2 A)$, dominance $(\sigma^2 D)$, environmental $(\sigma^2 E)$ variances, heritability and percentage of genetic advance for all studied characters for the four crosses.

Characters	Crosses	$\sigma^2 A$	$\sigma^2 \mathbf{D}$	$\sigma^2 \mathbf{E}$	Heritability		Δg %
Ì	:	1	İ		Broad sense	Narrow	
					1	sense	
Days to	1	0.334	0.221	0.206	72.93	43.88	0.73
heading (day)	2	0.332	0.224	0.521	51.62	39,82	0.61
į	3	0.669	0.228	0.603	60.00	44.60	1.32
	4	0.928	0.145	0.588	64.59	55.86	1.54
Days to	1	0.520	0.555	0.124	89.53	43.33	0.69
maturity	2	0.445	0.257	0.498	58.53	37.08	0.55
(day)	3	0.752	0.169	0.508	64.41	52.62	0.90
	4	0.580	0.310	0.810	52,35	34.11	0.62
Plant	1	0.236	0.046	0.124	69.01	57.50	0.71
height	2	0.370	0.119	0.291	62.71	47.44	0.69
(cm)	3	0.910	0.164	0.626	63.16	53.52	1.55
	4	0.504	0.176	0.542	64.39	33,11	0.62
Spike	1	0.310	0.055	0.185	66.38	56.36	6.31
length	2	0.121	0.057	0.122	59.39	40,33	3.71
(cm)	3	0.190	0.089	0.170	62.13	42.22	4.69
	4	0.250	0.086	0.184	64.51	48.08	5.00
No. of grains/	1	0.317	0.205	0.478	52.20	31.70	1.13
spike	2	0,393	0.216	0.491	55.39	35.73	1.32
	3	0.510	0.147	0.793	45.32	35.17	1.66
	44	0.500	0.435	0.565	62.30	33.33	1.48
1000	1	0.401	0.467	0.266	76.51	35.36	1.37
grains weight	2	0.259	0.171	0.570	43.00	25.90	1.15
(g)	3	0.630	0.127	0.482	61.09	50,84	2.85
	4	0.830	0.468	0.552	70.16	44.86	2.38
Spike weight	1	0.921	0.021	0.018	70.00	35.00	6.51
(g)	2	0.021	0.008	0.016	64.44	46.67	9.57
	3	0.023	0.005	0.012	70.00	57,50	10.12
	4	0.039	0.019	0.017	77.33	52.00	10.54
Grain	1 :	0.190	0.268	0.142	76.32	31.66	2.40
yieid/plant (g)	2	0,480	0.046	0.454	53.67	48.98	3.99
,	3	0.430	0.511	0.260	78.38	35.83	3.44
<u> </u>	4	0.150	0.054	0.196	51.00	37.50	2.26
Grain	i	Ú.ĠĠŹĠ	0.0014	0.0034	50.00	29.41	6.37
yield/plot (kg)	2	0.0013	0.0003	0.0020	44.44	36.00	4.25
	3	0.0011	0.0020	0.0008	79.49	28.21	3,98
<u></u>	4	0.0010	0.0009	0.0011	63.33	33.00	4.26

Additive genetic variance ($\sigma^2 A$) were greater than that of dominance variance ($\sigma^2 D$) for all the studied traits except that of days to maturity, 1000 grains weight and grain yield/plant in the first cross, grain yield/plant and grain yield/plot in the third cross, indicating that the selection for these traits might be more effective in early generations for improving such traits in the

four studied crosses, however, it would be better if it was delayed to later generations.

Heritability estimates in broad sense were moderate to relatively high for all the studied traits in all crosses, ranged from 43% for 1000 grains weight in the second cross to 89.53% for days to maturity in the first cross, according to the cross and/or trait itself as shown in Table 5. Similar results were obtained by El-Shamy (1978), Shehab El-Dein (1997), Hagras (1999) and Abd El-Aty (2002).

Heritability estimates in narrow sense were low to moderate for all the studied characters in all crosses, ranged from 25% for 1000 grains weight in the second cross to 57.5% for plant height in the first cross and spike weight in the third cross, indicating that these characters greatly affected by non-additive and environmental effects. These results were coincident with those reported by Kheiralla and Tahany (1992), May and Van Sanford (1992), Shehab El-Dein (1997), Abd El-Aty (2002) and Salama (2002).

The expected genetic advance as percent of F_2 mean ($\Delta g\%$) was calculated and the results are presented in Table (5).

The predicted genetic advance was low in all studied traits except for spike length and grain yield/plot in the first cross and spike weight for all crosses which were moderate. These values depend on narrow sense heritability values, standard deviation of F_2 and the character under study. Similar results were obtained by Abd El-Aty (2002).

Generally, the most biometrical parameters resulted form the third and fourth cross (Sakha 93 x Sakha 61 and Sids 1 x Sids 7, respectively) were found to be higher in magnitude in comparison with those from other crosses. Consequently, it could be concluded that the above-mentioned crosses would be of interest in breeding programmes for improving traits for earliness, yield and its components.

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Aty, M.S.M. (2000). Estimates of heterosis and combining ability in diallel wheat crosses (*T. aestivum* L.). J. Agric., Tanta Univ. 26(3): 486-498.
- Abd El-Aty, M.S.M. (2002). Heterosis, gene effect, heritability and genetic advance in two wheat crosses (*T. aestivum* L.). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 27(8): 5121-5129.

- Afiah, S.A.N. (1999). Combining ability, association and path coefficient analysis of some wheat (*T. aestivum* L.) a diallel crosses under desert conditions Mansoura. J. Agric. Res. Vol. 24 N. (4): 1583-1596.
- Darwish, I.H.I. and H.A. Ashoush (2003). Heterosis, gene effects, heritability and genetic advance in bread wheat. Minufiya J. Agric. Res. 28(2): 433-444.
- El-Hosary, A.A., M.E. Riad, R.A. Nagwa and A.H. Manal (2000). Heterosis and combining ability in durum wheat. Proc. Gth Conf. Agron., Minufiya Univ. Sept., 2000; 101-117.
- El-Shamy, M.M., T.M. Shehab El-Din, A.H. Abd El-Latif and M.S. Sharsher (1996). Heterosis and combining ability for grain yield and some related characters in bread wheat. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 21: 2789-2796.
- El-Shamy, M.E.M. (1978). Studies on wheat breeding and technology. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agric. Alex Univ., Egypt.
- Ei-Sherbeny, G.A.R., M.H. Motawea, M.S. Hamada and P.S. Baen-Ziger (2000). Nature of gene action controlling yield at its components in the three crosses involving Egyptian and exotic bread wheat germplasm. Assiut. J. Agric. Sci. 31: 203-214.
- Esmail, R.M. and S.A.M. Kattab (2002). Genetic behaviour of yield and its components in three bread wheat crosses. Minufiya. J. Agric. Res. 27(2): 215-224.
- Gamble, E.E. (1962). Gene effects in corn (Zea mays L.). 1- Separation and relative importance of gene effects for yield. Can. J. of Plant Sci. 42: 339-343.
- Hagras, A.A.I. (1999). Inheritance of some quantitative characters in bread wheat.
 M.Sc. Thesis, Zagazig Univ. Egypt.
- Hayman, B.I. and K. Mather (1955). The description of gene interaction in continuous variation, Biometrics 10: 69-82.
- Hendawy, H.I. (1998). Combining ability and genetics of specific characters in certain dialiei wheat crosses Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agric., Menofiya Univ. Egypt.
- Johnson, V.A., K.G. Biever, A. Haunhold, and J.W. Schmidt (1955). Inheritance of plant height, yield of grain and other plant and seed characteristics in a cross of hard red winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Crop. Sci. 6: 336-338.
- Khalifa, M.A., A.A. Ismail, G.R. El-Nagar and I.A. Amin (1998). Genetical studies on earliness, grain yield and its components of bread wheat. Assiut J. Agric. Sci. 229(5): 59-69.

- Kheiralla, K.A. and Tahany H.I. Sherif (1992). Inheritance of earliness and yield in wheat under heat stress. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 23: 109-124.
- Mather, K. (1949). Biometrical Genetics. 1st Edition, Metheum and Co., London.
- Mather, K. and J.L. Jinkes (1971). Biometrical genetics 2nd Edition. Chapman and Hill Ltd., London, 382 pp.
- May, L. and D.A. Van Sanford (1992). Selection for early heading and correlated response in maturity of soft red winter wheat. Crop Sci. 32: 47-51.
- Petr, F.C. and K.J. Frey (1966). Genotypic correlation dominance and heritability of quantitative characters in Oats Crop Sci. 6: 259-262.
- Salama, S.M. (2002). Genetic analysis of yield and some yield attributes in some Egyptian wheat cultivars Zagazig J. Agric. Res. Vol. 29 No. (5): 1395-1410.
- Salem, A.H. and E.E. Hassan (1991). Estimates of some breeding parameters analysis. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 18, 1357-1368.
- Shehab El-Din, T.M.(1997). Three methods for studying the genetic behavior of heading date and plant height in several wheat crosses. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 22: 1297-1306.

تقدير التوابت الوراثية باستخدام العشائر السنة في هجن مختلفة لقمح الخبز محمد سعد مغازى عبدالعاطى ، يوسف صلى محمد قته ، محمود عبدالحميد الهيتى قسم المحاصيل _ كابة الزراعة بكفرالشيخ _ جامعة طنطا

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمزرعة كلية الزراعة بكفرالشيخ خلال ثلاثة مواسم ابتسداء مسن ٢٠٠٢/٢٠٠١ وإلى عام ٢٠٠٤/٢٠٠٢ بهدف تقدير طبيعة التأثير الجينى ومحاولة الحصول على تراكيب وراثية جديسدة يمكسن الانتخاب من خلالها في الأجيال الانعزالية التالية للحصول على معلالات (تراكيب) جديدة من القمح متفوقسة فمسى صفاتها المحصولية على الأصناف التجارية المنزرعة.

وقد استخدم لذلك خمسة أباء متباينة في صفاتها وهي (سدس ، مسدس ٧ ، مسخا ٢ ، مسخا ٣ ، (جيمزه ٩ × سدس ١) ، (مسخا ٣ × مدس ٧) ، (جيمزه ٩ × سدس ١) ، (مسخا ٣ × سدس ١) ، والحصول على العشائر السنة من كل هجين (الأب الأول والشاني والجيليسن الأول والثاني والهجينين الرجعيين الأول والثاني) لكل هجين منهم وزرعت جميعها في تجربسة قطاعسات كاملسة العشوائية ذو أربعة مكررات في الموسم الأخير ، وتمت دراسة كل من الصفات الآتية: عدد الأيام حتى طرد السنابل ، عدد الأيام حتى النضج ، ارتفاع النبات ، طول السنبلة ووزن السنبلة ، وزن الألف حبة ، عدد حبوب المسنبلة ، محصول النبات الفريى ، بالإضافة إلى محصول القطعة التجريبية .

ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها في النقاط الآتية:

- ١٠- تقوقت قيم متوسطات الجيل الأول على قيم متوسطى الأباء لجميع الصفات المدروسة في جميع الهجن وكانت كل من
 صفتي التزهير والنضج أبكر من متوسطى الأباء مما يعنى وجود سيادة جزئية في جميع الهجن تحت الدراسة.
- ٢٠- كانت قيم متوسطات الجيل الثاني وسط بين الأبوين إلا أنها كانت أقل من قيه متوسطات الجيه الأول بسبب الاخفاض الراجع للتربية الداخلية للصفات المختلفة.
- ٣. اختلفت فيم الهجن الرجعية تبعا للصفات المختلفة إلا أنها كانت معظمها في انجساه فيسم الأب الرجعسي للصفسات المدروسة.
- ٤. كانت تأثيرات قوة الهجين موجبة ومعنوية بالنسبه لمتوسط الأبوين لمعظم الصفات في جميع السهجن المدروسة فيما عدا صفتى التزهير والطرد. كذلك كانت تأثيرات قوة السهجين موجبة لسلاب الأفضل لصفات ارتفاع النبات في الهجينين الثالث و الرابع..
 - ه. كان تأثير القعل الجيني المصيف أكثر أهمية من تأثير القعل الجيني المبيادي لمعظم الصقات المدروسة.
- ت. دلت النائج على أهمية التأثير (المعادى × المعادى) عن التأثيرات (المضيف × المضيف) ، (المضيف × المعادى)
 لمعظم الصفات.
- ٧. كانت قيم معامل التوريث بمعناه الضيق منخفضة إلى متوسطه للصفات المدروسة فى كل الهجن وتراوحت مسا بيسن
 ٥ ٢% لصفة وزن الألف حبة فى الهجين الثانى إلى ٥٧٠٥% لصفتى ارتفاع النبات قسسى السهجين الأول وطسول السنبلة فى الهجين الثالث.
- أظهرت النتائج أن قيم النسب الملوية للتحمين الوراثي المتوقع في الانتخاب كانت منخفضة لمعظم الصفات فيمسا
 عدا صفة وزن السنيلة فكانت متوسطة لجميع الهجن المدروسة.
- 9- من محصلة نتائج هذه الدراسة يمكن لمربى القمح الاستفادة بالهجينين (سخا $97 \times m = 1$) و (سدس $1 \times m = 1$) و (سدس $1 \times m = 1$) لنحسين صفات كل من التبكير و المحصول ومكوناته.

مجلد المؤتمر الرابع لتربية النبات-الإسماعيلية ٥ مارس ٢٠٠٥ المجلة المصرية لتربية النبات ٩ (١): ٢١-٣٠ (عدد خاص)