# PERFORMANCE, BONE MINERALIZATION AND CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF BROILERS FED LOW PHOSPHORUS DIETS SUPPLEMENTED WITH PHYTASE

By

# A. E. El-Sherbiny <sup>1</sup>, Y. A. F. Hammouda<sup>2</sup>, H. M. A. Hassan<sup>2</sup> and M. A. Mohamed <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dep. of Animal Prod., Faculty of Agric., Cairo University. <sup>2</sup>Dep. of Animal Prod., National Res. Center, Dokki 12622, Egypt.

#### Received: 4/07/2005

#### Accepted: 08/08/2005

**Abstract:** Broiler growth experiment was conducted to further study the effect of dietary nonphytate-P (NPP) level and phytase supplementation on performance, bone mineralization and carcass characteristics. Four diets were formulated to contain 0.14, 0.25, 0.35 and 0.45% NPP for feeding from 1 to 21 days of age, and 0.13, 0.20, 0.30 and 0.35% NPP for feeding from 21 to 35 days of age, correspondingly. Phytase enzyme was added to all diets at two levels being, 0 and 500 U/Kg. Every dietary treatment was fed to 3 replicates (12 chicks each) of one day old Ross broiler chicks for 35 days experimental period.

The results showed that weight gain and feed intake increased with increasing level of dietary NPP. Also feed conversion ratio significantly (P<0.001) improved with increasing dietary NPP level. Addition of phytase improved body weight, feed intake and feed / gain equal to that occurred when the dietary NPP increased by 0.1%.

Length of tibia and weights of tibia and toe significantly (P<0.001) increased with increasing of dietary NPP level. The addition of phytase enzyme increased (P<0.01) length of tibia and improved weights of tibia (P>0.05) and toe (P>0.05). Phytase supplementation significantly (P<0.001) increased ash percentages in tibia and toe and increased concentration of P%, Ca % and Zn (mg/kg) in tibia bone ash.

Dressing percentage, liver, heart and gizzard (% of body weight) were significantly (P < 0.05) affected as dietary NPP increased, while addition of phytase enzyme had no significant effect.

It could be concluded that the addition of 500 U phytase/Kg to cornsoybean meal broiler diets containing low levels of NPP improved growth performance, bone mineralization and carcass characteristics.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Poultry diets are based largely on cereal grains and oilseed meals. These ingredients have a relatively high content of phosphorus (P); however, up to 80% of the P is bound to phytic acid to form phytate-P. The availability of phytate (p) is low in monogasteric animals, because of very low or no phytase activity in their digestive tracts (Pallouf *et al.*,1992). Denbow *et al.* (1995) indicated that the inability of poultry to utilize phytate P necessitates the dietary addition of inorganic P, which increases feed costs, phosphorus excretion and environmental contamination.

Nutritional approaches are currently being evaluated to deal with the poor availability of phytate P and the resultant potential for P pollution. These approaches include:-1) formulating diets at the requirements of birds to avoid excess P excretion, 2) adding microbial phytase to poultry diets to increase phytate P availability, and 3) genetically lowering the phytic acid content of cereals grains and oilseeds, thereby improving plant P availability.

Dietary supplementation with phytase is well established as an effective and practical method of improving phytate digestibility in poultry (Sebastian *et al.*, 1996; Qian *et al.*, 1997; Mohana and Nys, 1999; and Ravindran *et al.*, 2000).

In this concern recent studies have indicated that phytase supplementation in phosphorus deficient broiler diets improves phytate P utilization and broiler performance (Mohamed *et al.*, 2001; El-Medany and El-Afifi, 2002; Salem *et al.*, 2003 and Rutherfurd *et al.*, 2002).

The objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of added phytase to diets containing different levels of nonphytate P on performance, bone mineralization and carcass characteristics of broiler chicks.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A starter (23% CP and 3200 Kcal ME/Kg) and a grower (20% CP and 3200 Kcal ME/Kg) basal diet (diet A) were formulated with no inorganic phosphorus supplements. The starting period lasted from 1 to 21 days of age while the growing period lasted from 21 to 35 days of age. The nutrients content of such basal diets were adequate to cover the recommended chick requirements (NRC, 1994) except that of P. The

calculated total P (tP) and NPP contents were 0.39 and 0.14% for the starter diet and 0.37 and 0.13% for the grower diet, respectively. Commercial dicalcium phosphate (18.7% P and 22% Ca) was added to formulate diets of treatments B, C, and D containing 0.50, 0.60 and 0.70% tP and 0.25, 0.35 and 0.45 % NPP, respectively in starter period, and 0.44, 0.54 and 0.60% tP and 0.20, 0.30 and 0.35% NPP, respectively in grower period. Limestone and vegetable oil were used to adjust dietary Ca and calories content, respectively. Vitamin and mineral mixture was added in enough quantities to cover the chick requirements (NRC, 1994).

Tables 1 and 2 show the formulation and nutrient composition of the different starter and grower diets, respectively. Phytase enzyme (Ronozyme 2500) was added (500 FTU/Kg) to diets A, B, C and D and these diets were fed with or without phytase supplementation. Thus a number of 8 diets were formulated.

A number of 288 one-day old Ross broiler chicks were used. Every dietary treatment was fed to 3 replicates of 12 chicks each. The average initial live body weights of all replicates were nearly similar. Replicates were randomly allocated in batteries of three-tier system divided into 24 compartments (3 replicates X 8 dietary treatments). Gas heaters were used to keep the required temperature for the brooding period while light was provided 23 hr daily throughout the experimental period. Feed and water were allowed for *ad libitum* consumption. After fasting overnight, birds were individually weighed and feed consumption was recorded per replicate at 35 days of age. Gain in body weight and feed conversion ratio were calculated. At 35 days of age, 6 representative chicks with body weight close to the group average were selected from each group and slaughtered for carcass characteristics and bone measurements (as described by Mohamed *et al.*, 2001).

Determination of phosphorus and calcium in tibia ash was carried out based on the Official Methods of Analysis (AOAC, 1990). Zinc content of tibia ash was determined using flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer after ashing with 15 ml HNO<sub>3</sub> and 10 ml HClO<sub>4</sub>.as described by Scancar *et al.* (2000) based on the described method of Berg *et al.*(2000).

Data were statistically analyzed for analysis of variance as 4 X 2 factorial arrangement using the General Liner Model of **SAS (1990)**. Significant differences among treatment means were separated by Duncan's new multiple rang test (**Duncan, 1955**) at a 5% level of probability.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Performance at 35 days of Age.

Performance (weight gain, feed intake and feed /gain) at 35 days of age of broiler chicks fed the 8 dietary treatments is summarized in Table 3. Phytase supplementation significantly (P<0.05) improved weight gain among birds fed diets containing different NPP levels. Birds fed 0.14/0.13% NPP diet (0.14% on the starter and 0.13% on the grower diet) with no added phytase gave the lowest (P<0.05) weight gain compared to the other treatments.

Increasing the dietary NPP content resulted in pronounced increases in weight gain. Birds fed 0.35/0.30% NPP diet with phytase supplementation recorded the highest weight gain, which was higher than those recorded by birds fed diets containing 0.14/0.13 or 0.25/0.20% NPP with or without phytase addition or birds fed diets containing 0.35/0.30 or 0.45/0.35% NPP without phytase addition. No significant differences were detected between birds fed diets of 0.35/0.30 or 0.45/0.35% NPP with phytase addition.

The main effect of adding phytase enzyme showed significant (P<0.001) improvement in weight gain, which increased from 1118 to 1272 g (14% increase). Birds fed 0.14/0.13% NPP diet without phytase supplementation, consumed significantly (P<0.05) less feed than the other treatments. While birds fed diet containing 0.45/0.35% NPP with phytase addition recorded the highest feed intake. Addition of phytase to all dietary NPP levels significantly (P<0.05) improved feed intake.

The statistical main effects of dietary NPP level and phytase supplementation on feed intake indicated that dietary NPP level significantly (P<0.001) affected feed intake. Increasing dietary NPP level from 0.14/0.13 up to 0.35/0.30% gave significant increases (P<0.001) in feed intake. No significant difference was detected in feed intake between birds fed diets of 0.35/0.30 and 0.45/0.35% NPP. Addition of phytase enzyme significantly (P<0.001) improved feed intake.

Values of feed conversion ratio (feed/gain) showed that adding phytase enzyme to diet containing 0.35/0.30% NPP caused a significant (P<0.05) improvement in feed utilization compared to birds fed diets of 0.14/0.13 or 0.25/0.20% NPP with or without phytase and 0.35/0.30% NPP without phytase addition. No significant differences were detected among birds fed the different dietary NPP levels supplemented with phytase

enzyme and those fed 0.45/0.35% NPP diet without phytase supplementation.

The best feed conversion ratio was recorded for birds fed 0.35/0.30% NPP diet with phytase addition (1.58) while the worst ratio was recorded for birds fed 0.14/0.13% NPP diet without phytase supplementation (1.77). These results indicated that dietary NPP level significantly (P<0.001) affected feed/gain ratio. Addition of phytase enzyme significantly (P<0.001) improved feed/gain ratio.

The performance results of this experiment showed that addition of phytase enzyme to broiler diets of low NPP content significantly (P<0.001) improved weight gain, feed intake and feed/gain ratio. No significant interactions between dietary NPP level and added phytase enzyme on weight gain, feed intake and feed/gain ratio of birds were observed. Addition of 500 U phytase/Kg diet resulted in improvements in body weight, feed intake and feed/gain equal to that occurred when the dietary NPP increased by 0.1%. It could be concluded that phytase supplementation of the diet containing 0.35/0.30% NPP resulted in the best performance.

These results are in agreement with the previous findings of Cabahug *et al.* (1999), Mohamed *et al.* (2001), Yan *et al.* (2001), Lan *et al.* (2002), Attia (2003), Wu *et al.* (2003), Augspurger and Baker (2004), and Onyango *et al.* (2004) who obtained increases in body weight gain and feed intake when microbial phytase was added to low phosphorus broiler diets. Lan *et al.* (2002) obtained appreciable improvements in gain/feed with supplemental phytase. However, Denbow *et al.* (1995) and Viveros *et al.* (2002). found that gain/feed of broilers was unaffected by phytase supplementation.

On the other hand, Sohail and Roland (1999) observed significant decreases in feed intake, body weight and feed efficiency when available P was reduced from 0.325% to 0.225%. Phytase addition significantly increased body weight at the lower available P level but not at the higher available P level. Mohamed *et al.* (2001) reported that addition of phytase or increasing the dietary phosphorus level resulted in pronounced increases in weight gain and feed intake. Feed/gain ratio showed that addition of phytase to the lowest P diet caused a significant improvement in feed utilization. Viveros *et al.* (2002) demonstrated that low-P diets caused a negative effect on performance compared to the normal NPP (0.45%) diet. Addition of phytase gave favorable effects on weight gain at 3 and 6 wk of age. Feed efficiency was not affected by addition of phytase. Herms and Al-Homidan (2003) reported that addition of phytase enzyme at 500 U/Kg significantly (P<0.01) improved weight gain and

feed/gain ratio compared to the chicks fed diet containing 0.35 and 0.45% NPP with no phytase supplement. Shirley and Edwards (2003) indicated that supplementing phytase significantly (P<0.05) improved body weight gain, feed intake and gain to feed of broiler chicks fed a total P deficient (0.46%) comsoybean meal diet. Wu *et al.* (2003) found that phytase addition (500 U/Kg) to broiler diets based on maize caused improvements in weight gain and feed intake in the low-P (0.30% NPP) diet but had little effect in the adequate P diet. Also, Onyango *et al.* (2004) found that added phytase to a corn-soybean meal diet improved body weight gain and feed intake of broiler chicks fed low P diet (0.24% NPP).

The improvements in growth observed in chickens fed on a low phosphorus diet with phytase may be due to one or more of the following: (1) an increase in absorbed phosphorus (2) the release of other minerals from the phytate mineral complex, (3) the utilization of inositol, (4) an increase in digestibility, and/or (5) increased availability of amino acids (Simons *et al.*, 1990).

In conclusion, the results demonstrated that increasing dietary NPP level has appreciable effects in improving the chick body weight gain and feed conversion ratio. Also, phytase supplementation to low P diet increased body weight gain and improved feed/gain ratio.

## Bone Measurements at 35-Days of Age.

The effects of dietary NPP level and phytase supplementation on bone measurements of 35-day old chicks fed the different dietary treatments are shown in Table 4.

Increasing dietary NPP level from 0.14/0.13 to 0.35/0.30% without or with added phytase enzyme significantly (P<0.05) increased length of tibia. No significant differences in tibia length were detected among birds fed 0.35/0.30% NPP with phytase and birds fed 0.45/0.35% NPP with or without phytase supplementation.

The main effect of NPP level and phytase supplementation on tibia length showed that length of tibia significantly (P<0.001) increased either as dietary NPP increased or by the addition of phytase enzyme. Regarding weights of tibia and toe, bone weights were significantly increased for tibia and toe (P<0.001) with increasing dietary NPP level up to 0.35/0.30%. No significant differences on bone weights were recorded between birds fed 0.35/0.30 or 0.45/0.35% NPP. Supplementation of phytase significantly (P<0.05) increased toe weight, while the observed increase in tibia weight was not significant.

Birds fed the lowest dietary NPP level recorded the minimum ash percentage of tibia and toe. The maximum ash percentages were recorded for birds fed diet containing 0.45/0.35% NPP with phytase.

The main effects showed that increasing dietary NPP level and phytase supplementation significantly (P<0.001) increased bone ash percentages. No significant difference in bone ash percentages was detected between birds fed 0.35/0.30 or 0.45/0.35% NPP. Significant interaction between NPP level and phytase supplementation was detected in tibia length (P<0.01). While no significant interaction was observed on weight and ash of either tibia or toe.

It could be concluded, therefore, that increasing dietary non-phytate P or added phytase enzyme to low P diets increased percentages of bone ash of broilers.

#### **Tibia Mineral Concentrations**

The effects of dietary NPP level and phytase supplementation on concentrations of Ca, P% and Zn mg/Kg in tibia ash are shown in Table 5. Increasing dietary NPP level significantly (P<0.05) increased P and Ca (%) and significantly (P<0.05) decreased Zn (mg/Kg) in tibia ash. The main effects of NPP level and phytase addition on concentration of Ca, P and Zn of tibia ash showed that increasing NPP up to 0.35/0.30% significantly (P<0.001) increased Ca and P%. Concentration of Zn in tibia ash decreased significantly (P<0.001) by increasing dietary NPP level. However, phytase supplementation significantly (P<0.001) increased Ca, P and Zn (P<0.01) concentrations.

These data clearly indicated that increasing dietary NPP level or added phytase enzyme to broiler diets from 1 to 35 days of age improved bone mineralization. The improvement in tibia ash percentage could be considered as a good indication of increased bone mineralization (Sebastian *et al.*, 1996).

The results of bone measurements are in agreement with the previous studies of Qian *et al.* (1996), Sebastian *et al.* (1996), Carlos and Edwards (1997), Mohamed *et al.* (2001), Lan *et al.* (2002), Viveros *et al.* (2002), Wu *et al.* (2003) and Augspurger and Baker (2004).

Qian *et al.* (1996) found that supplemental phytase and inorganic phosphorus increased tibia length, shear force and bone ash content of broiler chicks. The results of Sebastian *et al.* (1996) showed that phytase supplementation to a low-P corn-soybean broiler diet improved percentages of tibia ash and apparent availability of Ca, P, Cu and Zn. The authors postulated

the importance of re-evaluating mineral requirements, particularly Zn, of broiler chicks when fed phytase supplemented diets. Phytase not only reduces the need for inorganic P but also serves to reduce the need for some other minerals. Mohamed *et al.* (2001) found that increasing dietary NPP level or adding phytase resulted in increasing length of both femur and tibia and bone ash percentages. Onyango *et al.* (2004) found that supplementation of low P diet (0.24% NPP) with any of the 3-phytase preparations equally improved the tibia ash and bone mineral content similar to those obtained in chicks fed the adequate-P diet.

### Carcass Characteristics at 35 Days of Age.

Carcass characteristics included carcass weight, percentages of dressing, abdominal fat, liver, heart and gizzard (% of body weight) at 35 days of age are shown in Table 6.

The results indicated that increasing dietary NPP level increased carcass weights. Addition of phytase to low NPP diet significantly (P<0.05) increased carcass weight. Such addition did not significantly affect carcass weight of birds fed higher dietary NPP level.

Increasing dietary NPP level significantly (P<0.05) increased dressing percentage. Addition of phytase significantly (P<0.05) affected dressing percentage only with birds fed 0.14/0.13% NPP diet. Abdominal fat (% of body weight) was not affected by either dietary NPP level or phytase supplementation. Liver weight (% of body weight) of birds fed the lowest NPP diet with or without phytase addition recorded significantly (P<0.05) higher values than other treatments. No significant effects were observed among other treatments fed diets with or without phytase addition. The effects of dietary NPP level and phytase supplementation on liver weight (% BW) indicated that increasing NPP from 0.14/0.13 to 0.25/0.20% NPP significantly (P<0.001) decreased liver weight. With higher dietary NPP level no significant effect on liver weight was detected. Phytase supplementation was of no significant effect upon liver weight. Heart weight was significantly (P<0.05) affected by dietary NPP level. Birds fed the lowest NPP diet showed the highest heart weight but those fed on higher level of NPP showed no significant effect on heart weight. Phytase addition did not affect heart weight (% of BW). Gizzard weight (% of BW) did not show consistent trend. However, increasing dietary NPP or added phytase slightly increased gizzard weight. The interactions between NPP and phytase were not significant for any of the measured organs.

It could be concluded therefore, that the dressing percentage, liver, heart and gizzard weights were significantly (P < 0.05) affected as dietary

NPP increased, while, addition of phytase enzyme was of no significant effect on weights of such organs.

These results agreed with those of Kornegay et al. (1998), Attia et al. (2001), EL-Medany and Afifi (2002), Abd-Elsamee (2002) and Salem et al. (2003) who found that phytase addition did not affect carcass characteristics. Kornegay et al. (1997) showed that carcass measurements were not affected by adding phytase of broiler fed diets containing low P. Viveros et al. (2002) found that decreasing NPP content of broiler diet caused an increase in relative liver weight. Phytase supplementation to low P diets reduced the relative liver weight by 6.3%. Spleen was not affected by phytase supplementation. The interaction between NPP and phytase was not significant for any relative organ weight measured. Abd-Elsamee (2002) reported that there were no significant differences in both carcass characteristics (dressing percentage and giblets) and meat analysis value due to phytase supplementation of broiler chicks. Salem et al. (2003) found that increasing dietary NPP level significantly (P<0.05) increased carcass percentage of broiler chicks.

It could be concluded that, supplementing phytase enzyme to cornsoybean meal diet renders the dietary phosphorus contents more available to the birds. Therefore, the amount of supplemental phosphorus could be remarkably reduced. Thus, it seems that phytase supplementation could have an appreciable sparing effect on the inorganic P content of broiler diets.

	Diet A	Diet B	Diet C	Diet D
Item	0.14%	0.25%	0.35%	0.45%
	NPP	NPP	NPP	NPP
Ingredients %				
Yellow corn	51.55	51.14	50.75	50.43
Soybean meal (44%)	32.00	32.00	32.00	32.00
Corn gluten meal (60%)	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Vegetable oil	5.21	5.37	5.52	5.63
Limestone	2.36	2.02	1.72	1.40
Dicalcium phosphate		0.59	1.13	1.66
Vitamin and Mineral mix. <sup>(1)</sup>	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Salt	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
L-Lysine HCl	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
DL-Methionine	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Total	100	100	100	100
Calculated Composition <sup>(2)</sup>				
%	23.08	23.06	23.02	23.00
Crude protein	3199	3200	3200	3199
ME (Kcal/Kg)	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10
Lysine	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54
Methionine	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Methionine + Cystine	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Calcium	0.39	0.50	0.60	0.70
Total phosphorus	0.14	0.25	0.35	0.45
Nonphytate P				

 Table 1: Formulation and nutrient composition of the starter diets.

<sup>(1)</sup> Vitamin - mineral mixture supplied per Kg of diet: Vit A, 12000 I.U; Vit D<sub>3</sub>, 2200 I.U; Vit E, 10 mg; Vit K<sub>3</sub>, 2 mg; Vit B<sub>1</sub>, 1mg; Vit B<sub>2</sub>, 4mg; Vit B<sub>6</sub>, 1.5mg; Vit B<sub>12</sub>, 10 $\mu$ g; Niacin, 20 mg; Pantothenic acid, 10 mg; Folic acid, 1 mg; Biotin, 50  $\mu$ g; Choline chloride, 500mg; Copper, 10 mg; Iodine, 1mg; Iron, 30 mg; Manganese, 55 mg; Zinc, 50 mg, Selenium, 0.1 mg and cobalt, 0.25 mg. <sup>(2)</sup> Calculated values based on feed composition Tables of NRC (1994)

Calculated values based on feed composition rables of NRC (1994

	Diet A	Diet B	Diet C	Diet D
Item	0.13%	0.20%	0.30%	0.35%
	NPP	NPP	NPP	NPP
Ingredients %				
Yellow corn	61.01	60.77	60.41	60.22
Soybean meal (44%)	26.00	26.00	26.00	26.00
Corn gluten meal (60%)	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
Vegetable oil	4.00	4.08	4.22	4.29
Limestone	2.13	1.92	1.61	1.45
Dicalcium phosphate		0.37	0.90	1.18
Vitamin and Mineral mix. <sup>(1)</sup>	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
Salt	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
L-Lysine HCl	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11
DL-Methionine	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Total	100	100	100	100
Calculated Composition <sup>(2)</sup>				
%	20.02	20.01	19.90	19.90
Crude protein	3199	3198	3198	3198
ME (Kcal/Kg)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Lysine	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38
Methionine	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72
Methionine + Cystine	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Calcium	0.37	0.44	0.54	0.60
Total phosphorus	0.13	0.20	0.30	0.35
Nonphytate P				

Table 2: Formulation and nutrient composition of the grower diets.

<sup>(1)</sup> Vitamin - mineral mixture supplied per Kg of diet: Vit A, 12000 I.U; Vit D<sub>3</sub>, 2200 I.U; Vit E, 10 mg; Vit K<sub>3</sub>, 2 mg; Vit B<sub>1</sub>, 1mg; Vit B<sub>2</sub>, 4mg; Vit B<sub>6</sub>, 1.5mg; Vit B<sub>12</sub>, 10µg; Niacin, 20 mg; Pantothenic acid, 10 mg; Folic acid, 1 mg; Biotin, 50  $\mu$ g; Choline chloride, 500mg; Copper, 10 mg; Iodine, 1mg; Iron, 30 mg; Manganese, 55 mg; Zinc, 50 mg, Selenium, 0.1 mg and cobalt, 0.25 mg. <sup>(2)</sup> Calculated values based on feed composition Tables of NRC (1994

Table	3:	Effect of dietary nonphytate-P level and phytase
		supplementation on performance of broiler chicks at
		35 days of age

-				
Item		Body weight gain (g)		
<b>Dietary treatment</b> <b>NPP<sup>#</sup> %</b> 0.14/0.13 0.25/0.20 0.25/0.20 0.35/0.30 0.35/0.30 0.45/0.35 0.45/0.35	ents Phytase U/Kg 0 500 0 500 0 500 0 500 0 500	819 ° 932 d 1037 ° 1232 b 1285 b 1465 a 1329 b 1459 a	1451 <sup>d</sup> 1631 <sup>c</sup> 1729 <sup>c</sup> 1999 <sup>b</sup> 2132 <sup>b</sup> 2313 <sup>a</sup> 2144 <sup>b</sup> 2331 <sup>a</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} 1.77 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.67 \\ b \\ 1.62 \\ bcd \\ 1.66 \\ bc \\ 1.58 \\ d \\ 1.61 \\ cd \\ 1.60 \\ d \end{array}$
SE of means		48.04	65.29	0.01
Main effects NPP <sup>#</sup> %				
0.14/0.	.13	876 °	1541 °	1.76 <sup>a</sup>
0.25/0.		1135 <sup>b</sup>	1864 <sup>b</sup>	1.65 <sup>b</sup>
0.35/0.	.30	1375 <sup>a</sup>	2223 <sup>a</sup>	1.62 bc
0.45/0.	.35	1394 <sup>a</sup>	2238 <sup>a</sup>	1.61 °
Phytase U/Kg				
0		1118 <sup>b</sup>	1864 <sup>b</sup>	1.68 <sup>a</sup>
500		1272 <sup>a</sup>	2069 <sup>a</sup>	1.64 <sup>b</sup>
Significances Source of variat	ion			
NPP effect		***	***	***
Phytase effect		***	***	***
NPP X Phytase		NS	NS NS	
INFF A Fliylase		110	110	NS

INTATInguescINSINSINSa-eMeans within each column for each effect with no common superscript are<br/>significantly different (P<0.05).</td>\*\*\* P< 0.001</td>NS: not significant (P>0.05)# NPP (starter/grower)

T.	Tibia	Weigh	t (g)	Ash %		
Item	length (cm)	Tibia <sup>(1)</sup>	Toe <sup>(2)</sup>	Tibia	Тое	
Dietary treatments						
NPP%         Phytas           0.14/0.13         0           0.14/0.13         500           0.25/0.20         0           0.25/0.20         500	6.33 <sup>e</sup> 7.23 <sup>d</sup> 7.33 <sup>cd</sup> 7.53 <sup>c</sup>	$1.70^{d}$ $2.20^{cd}$ $2.21^{cd}$ $2.48^{bc}$	0.89 <sup>e</sup> 1.00 <sup>de</sup> 1.09 <sup>cde</sup> 1.19 <sup>bcd</sup>	37.22 ° 40.59 <sup>d</sup> 40.83 <sup>cd</sup> 43.08 <sup>c</sup>	6.56 <sup>e</sup> 7.47 <sup>d</sup> 7.44 <sup>d</sup> 8.09 <sup>c</sup>	
0.35/0.30         0           0.35/0.30         500           0.45/0.35         0           0.45/0.35         500           SE of means         500	7.87 <sup>b</sup> 7.87 <sup>ab</sup> 7.97 <sup>ab</sup> 8.17 <sup>a</sup> 0.12	$3.04^{ab}$ $3.16^{ab}$ $3.15^{ab}$ $3.38^{a}$ 0.13	1.29 <sup>bc</sup> 1.33 <sup>b</sup> 1.32 <sup>b</sup> 1.53 <sup>a</sup> 0.05	46.36 <sup>b</sup> 47.86 <sup>ab</sup> 46.86 <sup>b</sup> 49.35 <sup>a</sup> 0.86	$\begin{array}{c} 8.39 \\ 8.81 \\ ab \\ 8.43 \\ 8.97 \\ a \\ 0.17 \end{array}$	
Main effects NPP % 0.14/0.13 0.25/0.20 0.35/0.30 0.450.35 Phytase U/Kg 0 500	6.78 <sup>d</sup> 7.43 <sup>c</sup> 7.87 <sup>b</sup> 8.07 <sup>a</sup> 7.38 <sup>b</sup> 7.70 <sup>a</sup>	1.95 <sup>b</sup> 2.34 <sup>b</sup> 3.10 <sup>a</sup> 3.26 <sup>a</sup> 2.52 2.81	0.95 <sup>c</sup> 1.14 <sup>b</sup> 1.31 <sup>a</sup> 1.43 <sup>a</sup> 1.15 <sup>b</sup> 1.26 <sup>a</sup>	38.91 <sup>c</sup> 41.96 <sup>b</sup> 47.11 <sup>a</sup> 48.11 <sup>a</sup> 42.82 <sup>b</sup> 45.22 <sup>a</sup>	7.02 ° 7.77 <sup>b</sup> 8.60 <sup>a</sup> 8.70 <sup>a</sup> 7.71 <sup>b</sup> 8.34 <sup>a</sup>	
Significances Source of variation NPP effect Phytase effect NPP X Phytase	*** *** **	*** NS NS	*** * NS	*** *** NS	*** *** NS	

Table 4: Effect of dietary nonphytate-P level and phytasesupplementation on bone measurements at 35-days ofage.

<sup>a-e</sup> Means within each column for each effect with no common superscript are significantly different (P < 0.05).

\*P<0.05 \*\*P<0.01 \*\*\* P<0.001 NS: not significant (P>0.05) (1) Fat free dry weight (2) Dry weight

	lays of age.	Tibia mineral				
Item		P %	Zn mg/Kg			
Dietary treatment	ts					
NPP <sup>#</sup> %	Phytase U/Kg					
0.14/0.13	0	18.42 <sup>d</sup>	37.96 <sup>e</sup>	431 <sup>ab</sup>		
0.14/0.13	500	18.80 °	38.37 <sup>d</sup>	446 <sup>a</sup>		
0.25/0.20	0	18.52 <sup>d</sup>	38.23 <sup>d</sup>	406 bc		
0.25/0.20	500	19.44 <sup>b</sup>	38.95 °	417 <sup>abc</sup>		
0.35/0.30	0	19.77 <sup>a</sup>	39.02 bc	364 <sup>d</sup>		
0.35/0.30	500	19.82 <sup>a</sup>	39.25 <sup>a</sup>	395 °		
0.45/0.35	0	19.74 <sup>a</sup>	39.18 <sup>ab</sup>	340 <sup>d</sup>		
0.45/0.35	500	19.82 <sup>a</sup>	39.32 <sup>a</sup>	360 <sup>d</sup>		
SE of means		0.12	0.10	7.68		
Main effects NPP %						
0.14/0.1	13	18.61 °	38.17 °	438 <sup>a</sup>		
0.25/0.2	20	18.98 <sup>b</sup>	38.59 <sup>b</sup>	412 <sup>b</sup>		
0.35/0.30		19.80 <sup>a</sup>	39.14 <sup>a</sup>	380 °		
0.45/0.3	35	19.78 <sup>a</sup>	39.25 ª	350 <sup>d</sup>		
Phytase U/Kg						
0		19.11 <sup>b</sup>	38.60 <sup>b</sup>	385 <sup>b</sup>		
500		19.47 <sup>a</sup>	38.97 <sup>a</sup>	405 <sup>a</sup>		
Significances						
Source of variation						
NPP effect		***	***	***		
Phytase effect		***	***	**		
NPP X Phytase		***	**	NS		

Table 5: Effect of dietary nonphytate-P level and phytase supplementation on tibia mineral concentration at 35-days of age.

<sup>a-e</sup> Means within each column for each effect with no common superscript are significantly different (P< 0.05). \*\*P<0.01 \*\*\* P< 0.001

<sup>#</sup>NPP (starter/grower)

NS: not significant (P>0.05)

	age.						
Item		Carcass	Dress-	ss- Abdo-	Giblets		
		weight (g)	ing %	minal fat %	Liver %	Heart %	Gizz-ard %
Dietary treatments							
NPP <sup>#</sup> %	<b>Phyt-ase</b> U/Kg						
0.14/0.13	0	590 <sup>e</sup>	70 <sup>d</sup>	1.81	3.74 <sup>a</sup>	0.63	1.97 <sup>b</sup>
0.14/0.13	500	702 <sup>d</sup>	72 <sup>c</sup>	1.80	3.74 <sup>a</sup>	0.62	2.04 <sup>ab</sup>
0.25/0.20	0	810 <sup>c</sup>	73 <sup>bc</sup>	1.81	3.16 <sup>b</sup>	0.60	2.11 <sup>ab</sup>
0.25/0.20	500	894 °	73 <sup>bc</sup>	1.79	3.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.61	2.20 <sup>a</sup>
0.35/0.30	0	1005 <sup>b</sup>	74 <sup>ab</sup>	1.79	3.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.57	2.19 <sup>a</sup>
0.35/0.30	500	1093 <sup>ab</sup>	74 <sup>ab</sup>	1.75	3.35 <sup>b</sup>	0.57	2.19 <sup>a</sup>
0.45/0.35	0	1061 <sup>ab</sup>	75 <sup>a</sup>	1.76	3.28 <sup>b</sup>	0.58	2.08 <sup>ab</sup>
0.45/0.35	500	1158 <sup>a</sup>	75 <sup>a</sup>	1.75	3.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.57	2.12 <sup>ab</sup>
SE of means	5	40.52	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.01
Main effects NPP <sup>#</sup> %	5						
0.14/0.13		646 <sup>c</sup>	71 <sup>d</sup>	1.81	3.74 <sup>a</sup>	0.63 <sup>a</sup>	2.01 <sup>b</sup>
0.25/0	0.20	852 <sup>b</sup>	73 °	1.80	3.27 <sup>b</sup>	$0.61^{ab}$	2.16 <sup>a</sup>
0.35/0	0.30	1049 <sup>a</sup>	74 <sup>b</sup>	1.77	3.33 <sup>b</sup>	0.57 <sup>b</sup>	2.19 <sup>a</sup>
0.45/0	0.45/0.35		75 <sup>a</sup>	1.76	3.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.57 <sup>b</sup>	2.10 ab
Phytase U/k	Кg	867 <sup>b</sup>					
-	0		73	1.79	3.37	0.59	2.09
50	0	962 <sup>a</sup>	73	1.77	3.42	0.59	2.14
Significance							
	Source of variation						
NPP effect		***	***	NS	***	*	*
	Phytase effect		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
NPP X Phyta	NPP X Phytase		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 6: Effect of dietary nonphytate-P level and phytase<br/>supplementation on carcass characteristics at 35-days of<br/>age.

<sup>a-e</sup> Means within each column for each effect with no common superscript are significantly different (P < 0.05).

\*P<0.05 \*\*P<0.01 \*\*\* P< 0.001 NS: not significant (P>0.05) \* NPP (starter/grower)

### REFERENCES

Abd-Elsamee, M. O. (2002). Effect of different levels of crude protein, sulphur amino acids, microbial phytase and their interaction on broiler chick performance. Egypt. Poult. Sci. J., 22: 999-1021.

Association of Official Analytical Chemists, AOAC (1990). Official Methods of Analysis. 15<sup>th</sup> ed., Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, DC.

- Attia, Y. A. (2003). Responses of growth performance, carcass characteristics, meat quality and plasma constituents of male Campbell ducks to dietary levels of methionine and phytase and their interactions. Egypt. Poult. Sci. J., 23: 557-580.
- Attia, Y. A.; Abd-Elrhaman, S. A. and Qota, E. M. (2001). Effects of microbial phytase without or with cell wall splitting enzymes on the performance of broiler fed marginal levels of dietary protein and metabolizable energy. Egypt. Poult. Sci. J., 21: 521-547.
- Augspurger, N. R. and Baker, D. H. (2004). High dietary phytase levels maximize phytate-phosphorus utilization but not affect protein utilization in chicks fed phosphorus or amino acid-deficient diets. J. Anim. Sci., 82: 1100-1107.
- Berg, T.; Hjellbrekke, A. G. and Larsen, R. (2000). Heavy metals and POPs within the EMEP region 1998. Kjeller, Norwegian Institute for Air Research (EMEP/CCC-Report 2/2000).
- Cabahug, S.; Ravindran, V.; Selle, P. H. and Bryden, W. L. (1999). Response of broiler chickens to microbial phytase supplementation as influenced by dietary phytic acid and nonphytate phosphorus contents. 1. Effects on bird performance and toe ash. Br. Poult. Sci., 40: 660-666.
- **Carlos, A. B. and Edwards, H. M. Jr. (1997)**. Influence of soybean meal particle size and chlortetracycline on the utilization of phytate phosphorus by broilers. Poultry Sci., 76: (Suppl. 1) 234.
- Denbow, D. M.; Ravindran, V.; Kornegay, E. T.; Yi, Z. and Hulet, R. M. (1995). Improving phosphorus availability in soybean meal for broilers by supplemental phytase. Poultry Sci., 74: 1831-1842.
- **Duncan, D. B. (1955)**. *Multiple Range and Multiple F Tests. Biometric, 11:* 1-42.
- El-Medany, N. M. and El-Afifi, S. F. (2002). The effect of microbial phytase on utilization of protein and phosphorus in broiler rations. Egypt. Poult. Sci. J., 22: 427-443.
- Herms, I. H. and Al-Homidan, A. H. (2003). Effect of microbial phytase enzyme supplementation on growth performance and bone mineralization of broiler chicks reared under mild heat stress. Egypt. J. Nutrition and feeds 6: 209-224.
- Kornegay, E. T.; Denbow, D. M. and Zhang, Z. (1997). Phytase of supplementation of corn-soybean meal broiler diets from three to seven week of age. Poultry Sci., 76: (Suppl. 1), 24.
- Kornegay, E. T.; Zhang, Z. and Denbow, D. M. (1998). Influence of microbial phytase supplementation of a low protein/amino acid diet on performance, ileal digestibility of protein and amino acids, and

carcass measurements of finishing broilers. Poultry Sci., 77: (suppl. 1), S33.

- Lan, G. Q.; Abdalla, N.; Jalaludin, S. and Ho, Y. W. (2002). Efficacy of supplementation of phytase producing bacterial culture on the performance and nutrient use of broiler chickens fed corn soybean meal diets. Poultry Sci., 81: 1522-1532.
- Mohamed, M. A.; El-Sherbiny, A. E.; Hammouda, Y. A.; El-Daly, Eman F. and Hassan, H. M. A. (2001). Effect of supplemental phytase on performance, bone characteristics and protein digestibility of broiler chicks. Egypt. J. Anim. Prod., 38: 143-156.
- Mohana, C. and Nys, Y. (1999). Change in zinc and manganese availability in broiler chicks induced by vegetal and microbial phytases. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech., 77: 241-253.
- **National Research Council, NRC (1994).** Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9<sup>th</sup> rev. ed. National Academy press, Washington, DC.
- **Onyango, E. M.; Bedford, M. R. and Adeola, O. (2004).** The yeast production system in which Echerichia coli phytase is expressed may affect growth performance, bone ash and nutrient use in broiler chicks. Poultry Sci., 83: 421-427.
- Pallauf, V. J.; Hohler, D. and Rimbach, G. (1992). Effect of microbial supplementation to a maize-soya diet on the apparent absorption of Mg, Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn and parameters of Zn-status in piglets. J. Anim. Physio. Anim. Nutr., 68: 1-8.
- Qian, H.; Kornegay, E. T. and Denbow, D. M. (1996). Effects of supplemental of phytase an phosphorus on histological and other tibia bone characteristics and performances of broilers fed simepurified diets. Poultry Sci., 75: 618-626.
- Ravindran, V; Cabahug, S.; Selle, P. H. and Bryden, W. L. (2000). Response of broiler chickens to microbial phytase supplementation as influenced by dietary phytic acid and nonphytate phosphorus levels. II. Effects on apparent metabolizable energy, nutrient digestibility and nutrient retention. Br. Poult. Sci., 41: 266-274.
- Salem, F. M.; El-Alaily, H. A.; El-Medany, N. M. and Abd El-Galil, K. (2003). Improving phosphorus utilization in broiler chick diets to minimize phosphorus pollution. Egypt. Poult. Sci. J., 79: 2134-2142.
- Rutherfurd, S. M.; Chung, T. K. and Moughan, P. J. (2002). The effect of microbial phytase on ileal phosphorus and amino acids digestibility in the broiler chicken. Br. Poult. Sci., 43: 598-606.
- **SAS Institute (1990).** SAS<sup>®</sup> / STAT User's Guide: Statistics. Version 6, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition . SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC.

- Scancar, J.; Milacic, R.; Benedik, M. and Bukovec, P. (2000). Determination of trace elements and calcium in bone of the human iliac crest by atomic absorption spectrometry. Clin. Chim. Acta. Mar; 293(1-2): 187-197.
- Sebastian, S.; Touchburn, S. P.; Chavez, E. R. and Lague, P.C. (1996). The effects of supplemental microbial phytase on the performance and utilization of dietary calcium, phosphorus, copper and zinc in broiler chickens fed a corn- soybean diets. Poultry Sci., 75: 729-736.
- Shirley, R. B. and Edwards, H. M. Jr. (2003). Graded levels of phytase past industry standards improve broiler performance. Poultry Sci., 82: 671-680.
- Simons, P. C. M.; Versteegh, H. A. J.; Jongbloed, A. W.; Kemme, P. A.; Slump, P.; Bos, K. D.; Wolters, M. G. E.; Beudeker, R. F. and Verschoor, G. J. (1990). Improvement of phosphorus availability by microbial phytase in broilers and pigs. Br. J. Nutr., 64: 5525-540.
- Sohail, S. S. and Roland, D. A. Sr. (1999). Influence of supplemental phytase on performance of broilers four to six weeks of age. Poultry Sci., 78: 550-555.
- Viveros, A.; Brenes, A.; Arija, I. and Centeno, C. (2002). Effects of microbial phytase supplementation on mineral utilization and serum enzyme activities in broiler chicks fed different levels of phosphorus. Poultry Sci., 81: 1172-1183.
- Wu, Y. B.; Ravindran, V. and Hendriks, W. H. (2003). Effects of microbial phytase, produced by solid-state fermentation, on the performance and nutrient utilization of broilers fed maize and wheat-based diets. Br. Poult. Sci., 44: 710-718.
- Yan, F.; Kersey, J. H.; Fritts, C. A. and Waldroup, P. W. (2001). Phosphorus requirements of broiler chicks three to six weeks of age as influenced by phytase supplementation. Poultry Sci., 80: 455-459

الملخص العربى

الأداء الإنتاجى ،المحتوى المعدني للعظام وصفات الذبيحة لكتاكيت اللحم المغذاة على علائق منخفضة من الفسفور والمضاف إليها أنزيم الفيتيز. أمال الشربينى – ياسر حمودة \* – حسين محمد حسن \* – محمد أمين محمد \* قسم الإنتاج الحيوانى – كلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهرة. • قسم الإنتاج الحيوانى - المركز القومي للبحوث.

تهدف هذه التجربة إلى در اسة تأثير إضافة إنزيم الفيتيز إلى علائق كتاكيت اللحم (ROSS) المحتوية على مستويات مختلفة من الفوسفور المتاح على الأداء وبعض صفات العظام والذبيحة. تم تكوين أربعة علائق احتوت على (0.00%) و(0.00%) و(0.00%) فوسفور متاح خلال الفترة من المحتوية على الأداء مرمن صفات العظام والذبيحة. تم تكوين أربعة علائق احتوت على (0.00%) و(0.00%) فوسفور متاح خلال الفترة من المحتوية ومن 0.00%) فوسفور متاح خلال الفترة من المحتوية على المحتوية على المحتوية على الأداء وبعض صفات العظام والذبيحة. تم تكوين أربعة علائق احتوت على (0.00%) و(0.00%) و(0.00%) والمحتوية على الترتيب. أضيف إنزيم الفيتيز إلى كل العلائق بمعدل (0.00% من عمر الكتاكيت على الترتيب. أضيف إنزيم الفيتيز الى كل العلائق بمعدل (0.00% من عمر الكتاكيت ولى الترتيب. أضيف إزيم الفيتيز المحتد الملائي العلائي المعاملات العظام من عمر الكتاكيت وبعض صفات العظام (طول عظمة الساق ووزن عظمة الساق والإصبع ونسبة الرماد في عظمة الساق والإصبع) وتركيز كل من الكالسيوم و الفوسفور والزنك في رماد عظمة الساق وبعض صفات الذبيحة (لسبة دهن الحام الحالية التريب أصفات العظام (أول علمة الساق الكالسيوم والفوسفور والزنك في رماد عظمة الساق والإصبع ونسبة الرماد في عظمة الساق والإصبع).

أظهرت النتائج أن زيادة محتوى العلائق من الفوسفور المتاح أو إضافة إنزيم الفيتيز بمعدل ٥٠٠ وحدة/كجم يؤدى إلى زيادة معنوية في وزن الكتاكيت وكمية الغذاء المأكول وكذا تحسن كفاءة التحويل الغذائي.

زيادة مستوى الفوسفور أو إضافة إنزيم الفيتيز أدت إلى تحسن معنوي فى طول ووزن عظمة الساق. سجلت اقل نسبة للرماد فى عظمة الساق والإصبع مع الكتاكيت التي غذيت على المستوى الاقل من الفوسفور المتاح بدون إضافة إنزيم الفيتيز بينما سجلت أعلى نسبة لرماد العظام مع الكتاكيت التي غذيت على المستوى الأعلى مع إضافة إنزيم الفيتيز . زيادة مستوى الفوسفور المتاح أدت إلى زيادة محتوى عظمة الساق من الكالسيوم والفوسفور بينما انخفض معنويا محتوى العظمة من الزنك. بينما أدت إضافة إنزيم الفيتيز إلى زيادة محتوى عظمة الساق من الكالسيوم والفوسفور المتاح أدت إلى ويادة محتوى والزنك.

أظهرت الكتاكيت التي غذيت على المستوى المنخفض من الفوسفور المتاح بدون إضافة الفيتيز اقل وزن للذبيحة واقل نسبة تصافى مقارنة بباقي المعاملات. زيادة مستوى الفوسفور أدت إلى زيادة فى وزن الذبيحة ونسبة التصافي. لم تتأثر نسبة التصافي ووزن الذبيحة معنويا بإضافة إنزيم الفيتيز إلى المستوى الأعلى من الفوسفور المتاح. لم يتأثر وزن دهن البطن بمستويات الفوسفور أو إضافة إنزيم الفيتيز. نستخلص من هذه الدراسة أن إنزيم الفيتيز يعطى نتائج جيدة عندما يضاف إلى علائق كتاكيت اللحم المكونة من الذرة وكسب فول الصويا حيث تحسن أداء الكتاكيت ومحتوى العظام من العناصر المعدنية عندما أضيف هذا الإنزيم إلى العلائق المنخفضة فى محتواها من الفوسفور المتاح •