

## PRODUCTION OF NEW TOMATO HYBRIDS UNDER EGYPTIAN CONDITIONS

Ramadan, W.A.; El-Sh. A. Amen and E.M. El-Said  
Horticulture Res. Ins. Vegetable Res. Station (Mansoura) ARC, Egypt.

### ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to estimate the degree of heterosis and inbreeding depression for different traits, in the F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generations. Six crosses of tomato cultivars (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) were evaluated. In summer growing season of 2000 all genotypes were planted at two locations. The combined data over both locations indicated the presence of significant heterosis versus the mid-parents and the better-parent for most studied traits.

The cross Lin 73 X Strain-B showed the highest heterotic effect for total yield as number and weight of fruits/plant. The heterosis values over mid-parent were 59.29 and 80.78% for total fruit number/plant and total fruit weight/plant, respectively. While, the values of heterosis over the better-parent were: 51.45 and 76.15% for total fruit number/plant and total fruit weight/plant, respectively. The results also revealed that the heterotic effects for total yield (number and weight of fruits/plants) were due to genes have an over-dominance type of inheritance. The obtained values of inbreeding depressions ranged from 38.76% for early fruit number/plant to 36.27% for early fruit weight/plant. Estimates of heritability in broad sense ranged from 11% for plant height to 79% for total fruit weight.

### INTRODUCTION

Filling the gap between food supply and the by increasing population requires increasing agricultural production from the already limited agricultural area. For a popular vegetable crop like tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.), the production of first generation hybrids offers a reliable solution to this problem. Also, tomato hybrids are currently dominated tomato cultivation world-wide including Egypt.

Several studies were conducted on heterosis of vegetative growth such as plant height and number of branches/plant in different crosses (Ahmed and Petrescu, 1983; Mahmoud and Gad El-Hak, 1988; Abd-El-Rahim, 1989; Brahma *et al.*, 1991; Dod *et al.*, 1992; Singh and Singh, 1993; Guirgis *et al.*, 1994; Abd-Allah, 1995; Hegazi *et al.*, 1995; El-Sharkawy *et al.*, 1997; Yossef, 1997; Amin *et al.*, 2001 and Ramadan 2001). Heterosis over mid-parents ranged between -17.64 and 8.55% to 18.75 and 21.05% for plant height and number of branches, respectively. Whereas, these values were -51 and 5.1% to 6.69 and 13.79% when compared with better parent, respectively.

The manifestation of heterosis in early yield were reported by (Ivanyuk and Antsugal 1989; Desouki *et al.*, 1989-b; Suresh Kumar *et al.*, 1995; Hegazi *et al.*, 1995 and Bhanan 1998). Heterosis over the better parent ranged from 4.23% (Luk'Yan's 1980) to 62.5% (Hegazi *et al.*, 1995).

Nuez and Tarrega, (1981); Singh and Singh, (1993) and Youssef, (1997), studied heterosis for earliness of flowering. They concluded that

most hybrids gave negative and positive values of heterosis over the better parent.

The fact that Most hybrids showed positive heterosis for fruit number/plant and total yield over the better parent was confirmed by Hegazi *et al.* (1995); Youssef (1997); Salib (1999); Amin *et al.* (2001) and Ramadan (2001). They found that heterosis was present for fruit number/plant and total yield. In this respect, El-Sharkawy *et al.* (1997) obtained heterosis values from the mid-parents for fruit number/plant and total fruit weight/plant with mean values at 33.2 and 37.6%, respectively. Whereas, these values were 15.6 and 31.2% when compared with better parent, respectively.

Concerning inbreeding depression, Zanata, 1994; El-Sharkawy *et al.*, 1997; Amin *et al.*, 2001 and Ramadan, 2001 obtained inbreeding depression values ranged between 14.76 and 4.03 (Abd El-Rahim 1989) to 7.6 and 11.83% (El-Sharkawy *et al.*, 1997), for plant height and number of banches/plant, respectively.

Zanata (1994) found that inbreeding depression was highly significant for total yield. Similarly, Singh *et al.* (1995) concluded that the hybrids with high heterosis for fruit number had high inbreeding depression. El-Sharkawy *et al.* (1997) found that all F<sub>2</sub> generation individuals decreased than their F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for these traits. Therefore, inbreeding depression was 21.5 and 22.4 for fruit number/ plant and total fruit weight, respectively. In addition, Amin *et al.*, (2001) found that all F<sub>2</sub> genotypes were decreased than their F<sub>1</sub> hybrid for number of fruit/plant and total yield/plant and inbreeding depression values were 29.23 and 21.46 for these traits, respectively.

Salib (1999) found that heritability estimates in broad and narrow sense for plant height were (95.59 – 77.79) and (82.4 – 52.14), respectively. However, these estimated values for number of branches/plant were (99.88 – 98.99) and (88.13 – 56.77), respectively. Amin *et al.* (2001) reported that heritability estimates in broad and narrow sense for plant height and number of branches/plant were (94.59 – 3.61) and (95.29 and 62.61) for these traits, respectively.

Several authors among them Metwally *et al.*, 1990; Reddy and Reddy 1992; Zanata 1994; Salib 1999 and Ramadan 2001 reported that, heritability in broad sense for earliness traits were high and ranged from (90.74 – 94.02) and (77.98 – 94.43) for early fruit number (EFN) and early fruit weight Kg/plant (EFW), respectively. Found Ramadan (2001) that, broad sense heritability estimate was high for total yield as number and weight/plant and ranged from (80.95 – 91.69) and (79.57 – 86.09) for total fruit number (TNF) and total fruit weight (TWF), respectively.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **1- Genetic materials:**

The genetic materials used in the present investigation included five genetically different varieties. All varieties belong, to the species *Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mill. These varieties were: Campbell 1327, Strain B, Lin 73, Homestead 24 F and Floradata.

On October 15<sup>th</sup> 1998 seeds were sown in seedling trays. The trays were protected under controlled conditions in Fiberglass green house at Kaha

Experimental Station, Kaliobia Governorate. Transplanting took place 45 days after sowing. Seedlings were transplanted in the Fiberglass green house (9 X 60 m., 3 m. high).

All varieties were crossed in all possible combinations excluding reciprocals to obtain 10 single crosses. During the 1998 late summer planting, preliminary evaluation for the parents and their hybrids was made to choose the best hybrids with respect to earliness and good fruit quality. These chosen crosses were as follows:-

Cross (1) Campbell 1327 X Floradata

Cross (2) Campbell 1327 X Lin. 73

Cross (3) Campbell 1327 X Homestead 24 F

Cross (4) Campbell 1327 X Strain-B

Cross (5) Lin 73 X Strain-B

Cross (6) Lin 73 X Homestead 24 F

Seeds of the chosen F<sub>1</sub> hybrids and their parents were sown on 10<sup>th</sup> August 1999 and transplanted after 30 days from seed sowing under fiberglass green house at Kaha Experimental station. Some flowers from each parent and F<sub>1</sub> plants were selfed in order to increase seeds from parental genotypes as well as to produce F<sub>2</sub> generations seed. The crosses between these parental varieties were done again in the same manner to increase F<sub>1</sub> seeds. The 17 genotypes (6F<sub>1</sub>'s, 6F<sub>2</sub> + 5 parent varieties), were evaluated in summer growing season of 2000 at two locations i.e. Baramon Experimental Farm of Horticultural Research Institute, Mansoura and Kaha Experimental Station, Kaliobia Governorate. The field experiment was arandomized complete blocks design with three replications. Each block/replicate consisted of 17 plots, which included five parents, 6F<sub>1</sub>'s and 6F<sub>2</sub> generations. Plot size was two rows for each parent as well as each F<sub>1</sub> hybrids and four rows for each F<sub>2</sub> generation. Each row was 5 meter long and 1 meter width, plants were spaced at 35 cm. on side ridges. All agricultural practices were applied at each location in the proper time as recommended for tomatoes production.

Data were recorded on individual plants, which were labeled for different populations for each cross on the following characters:- Plant height Cm (PH), Number of branches/plant (NB/P), Early fruit number/plant (EFN), Early fruit weight/plant (EFW), Total fruit number (TFN) and Total fruit weight/plant Kg (T.F.W).

### **Statistical Analysis:**

#### **1- Heterosis:**

The amounts of heterosis were determined as the percentage deviation of the F<sub>1</sub> hybrids mean over the average of its two parents or above its better parent. Therefore, the values of heterosis could be estimated as follows:

a) Heterosis over the mid-parents:

$$H (M.P) \% = \frac{F_1 - M.P}{M.P} \times 100$$

b) Heterosis over the better parent:

$$H (H.P) \% = \frac{\bar{F}_1 - B.P}{B.P} \times 100$$

**2- Inbreeding depression:**

It was measured as a percentage deviation of F<sub>2</sub> generations than their corresponding F<sub>1</sub> hybrids from the following equation:

$$I.D. = \frac{\bar{F}_1 - F_2}{\bar{F}_1} \times 100$$

The difference between any two means was tested for significance using the least significant difference value (LSD) at both 5% and 1% levels of probability, which would be obtained as follows:

$$LSD (5\%) = t_{0.05, E.df} \times Sd'$$

$$LSD (1\%) = t_{0.01, E.df} \times Sd'$$

$$Sd' = \sqrt{\frac{EM.S.}{r} \left( \frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 \cdot n_2} \right)}$$

Where:

E.df: is the number of error degree of freedom.

E.M.S: is error mean square.

r: is the number of replications.

n<sub>1</sub>: is the number of genotypes in the first mean.

n<sub>2</sub>: is the number of genotypes in the second mean.

**3- Heritability:**

Heritability values in broad sense was calculated using the formula outlined by Allard (1960) and Falconer (1981).

4- The phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV) and the genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV) were calculated according to the methods of Kumar et al., 1985:

$$GCV = \frac{\sigma_g}{\bar{X}} \times 100$$

$$PCV = \frac{\sigma_P}{\bar{X}} \times 100$$

Where: σ<sub>g</sub> and σ<sub>P</sub> are the genotypic and phenotypic standard deviation, and  $\bar{X}$  is the mean of the characters under consideration.

**5- The expected genetic gain:**

The expected genetic gain resulting from selection in a character (G<sub>s</sub>) was computed by the formula reported by Allard (1960) as follows:

$$G_s = K \sigma_p \left( \frac{\sigma_g^2}{\sigma_p^2} \right) = K \sigma_{ph} h^2$$

where: K: is constant equal

σ<sub>p</sub><sup>2</sup>: is phenotypic variance

σ<sub>g</sub><sup>2</sup>: is genotypic variance

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Due to difference in the performances of genotypes over different locations, it could be more worthy to discuss the results obtained from the combined data over both locations.

The combined analysis of variance over both locations is presented in Table 1.

The F-tests of the mean squares of genotypes were highly significant for all traits except for early fruit number. While, the interactions of genotypes by locations showed that their mean squares were significant or highly significant for all traits except for early fruit weight. These significant variations suggested the existence of some sort of variabilities between genotypes and locations.

**Table 1: The combined analysis of variance over both locations for all studied traits.**

Source of variance	d.F.	Traits					
		PH	N.B/P	EFN	EFW	TFN	TFW
Location	1	6771.48**	338.17**	9.54	0.06	16.14	0.31*
Reps. withers location	4	20.41	6.17	2.56	0.03	5.09	0.04
Genotypes (A)	16	385.59**	6.29**	35.12	0.34**	153.85**	1.23**
Location x genotypes (LA)	16	296.92**	4.80**	4.92*	0.03	12.36**	0.07*
Error	64	26.26	1.07	2.41	0.03	4.03	0.03

\*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% levels, respectively.

### The mean performances of genotypes:

The means of five parents, their F<sub>1</sub>'s and F<sub>2</sub> generations were obtained from the combined over two locations and the obtained results are presented in Table 2.

The means showed that, there was no specific parent, which was superior or inferior for all studied traits. Although, the variety Strain-B was the lowest parent for plant height, it was the earlier parent, the highest in the number of branches/plant and total fruit number with the mean values of 60.75, 12.78, 11.9 and 21.23, respectively. While, the Lin-73 was the highest parent for plant height, with the mean values of 84.42 Cm. However, the highest one for total yield as fruit weight and earlier yield as early fruit weight was the variety Campbell 1327 but it was the lowest parent for number of branches/plant, with the mean values of 1.94 Kg, 1.21 Kg and 10.15, respectively. On the other hand, the variety Homestead 24 F was the latest parent for early yield as number and fruit weight/plant and lowest one for total yield as number and weight/plant. The results indicated that, the crosses, which involved at least one of the highest parent with respect to any one of studied traits, had the highest mean values for these traits. For instance, the 5<sup>th</sup> cross (Lin-73 X Strain-B) was the highest hybrid for plant height, number of branches/plant and total yield as number and fruit weight /plant with mean values 85.43, 14.59 and (32.15 and 3.23 Kg), respectively. In general, the F<sub>1</sub> hybrids showed superiority over their high parent for total yield as number and weight/plant, indicating the prevalence of heterotic effects.

Table(2): Mean performances of the five parents and their F<sub>1</sub>'s and F<sub>2</sub>'s for earliness traits, data are combined over the two locations.

Traits	PH				B/P				E.F.N				E.F.W Kg				TFN				TFW			
	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>
1	65.35	69.77	69.25	84.86*	10.15	11.37	12.37	12.06	11.78	10.30	12.93	11.00	1.21*	0.97	1.43	1.04	20.17	20.26	22.55	19.63	1.94*	1.72	2.47	1.99
2	65.35	84.42	79.06	80.92	10.15	11.31	12.24	11.68	11.78	10.40	13.10	10.90	1.21	1.01	1.60*	1.02	20.17	19.14	24.03	19.50	1.94	1.74	2.74	1.85
3	65.35	76.50	72.00	74.50	10.15	10.95	11.68	11.60	11.78	9.43	11.17	15.50	1.21	0.87	1.21	1.25	20.17	18.86	21.53	27.53	1.94	1.54	2.18	2.17
4	65.35	60.75	65.50	64.78	10.15	11.90	11.93	12.18	11.78	12.78	17.77*	17.13	1.21	1.08	1.57*	1.46	20.17	21.23*	30.67	32.07	1.94	1.83	2.66	2.55
5	84.42	60.75	85.43*	81.08	11.31	11.90	14.59*	12.83*	10.40	12.78	11.40	10.94	1.01	1.08	1.18	0.91	19.14	21.23	32.15*	31.50	1.74	1.83	3.23*	2.60
6	76.50	84.42	75.98	84.03	10.95	11.31	10.23	10.89	9.43	10.40	14.13	14.25	0.87	1.01	1.53	1.07	18.86	19.14	24.27	29.86	1.54	1.74	2.55	2.09

This finding may explain the reduction existed in most F<sub>2</sub> generation than their corresponding F<sub>1</sub> hybrids. In addition, the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> crosses which involved the earlier parents (Campbell 1327 and Strain-B were the earlier crosses with respect to early fruit weight.

These findings reflected the presence of transgressive segregation and indicating the role by additive and additive by additive epistases in the inheritance of these traits with respect to the previous crosses. However, the remaining crosses indicated the role of non-additive gene action in the inheritance of these traits.

Data in Table 3 showed positive heterotic expression over mid-parental values for plant height and number of branches/plant in the F<sub>1</sub> hybrids of all crosses except the 6<sup>th</sup> cross. But it was only highly significant in the 5<sup>th</sup> cross for plant height and three out of six crosses showed significant or highly significant positive heterotic effects over mid-parent for number of branches/plant.

Two out of six crosses exhibited positive heterosis over-better parent for plant height but it was in significant, while all crosses except the 6<sup>th</sup> cross showed positive heterotic effects over-better parent for number of branches/plant. These results are in agreement with the findings obtained by Yossef, 1997 and Amin, 2001.

**Table 3 Heterosis (%) over mid-parent and better-parent for all studied traits, data are combined over the two locations.**

Traits Crosses	Ketorosis	PH	NB/P	E.F.N.	E.F.W Kg	T.F.N.	T.F.W. Kg
1	H. M.P%	2.5	14.93*	17.12	31.65**	11.57	35.15**
	H. H.P%	-0.03	8.81	9.76	18.72	11.32	27.53**
2	H. M.P%	5.57	14.09*	18.12	44.72**	22.29**	48.88**
	H. H.P%	-6.35*	8.27	11.21	32.73**	19.17*	41.13**
3	H. M.P%	1.51	10.75	5.28	16.02	10.35	25.20**
	H. H.P%	-5.88*	6.71	-5.18	-0.08	6.77	12.42
4	H. M.P%	3.88	8.24	44.71**	37.03**	48.17**	40.99**
	H. H.P%	0.23	0.28	39.05**	29.99**	44.46**	37.06**
5	H. M.P%	17.68**	25.73**	-1.64	12.73	59.29**	80.78**
	H. H.P%	1.19	22.56**	-10.80	8.77	51.45**	76.15**
6	H. M.P%	-5.6	-8.07	42.58**	62.32**	27.79**	55.27**
	H. H.P%	-9.99*	-9.51	35.86*	51.44**	26.81**	46.61**

The same data showed that heterosis over the mid-parent had desirable positive values for all crosses for early fruit number/plant and early fruit weight/plant, respectively. While, Two and four crosses from six showed highly significant positive heterotic effects over mid-parent for early fruit number/plant and early fruit weight/plant, respectively. With respect to heterosis over high-parent, four and five crosses from six gave positive with insignificant, significant or highly significant values ranged between 9.76 to 39.05 and 8.77 to 51.44 for early fruit number/plant and early fruit weight/plant, respectively. These results are in agreement with the findings obtained by several authors among them Yossef, 1997.

Regarding heterosis over mid-parent, positive and significant or highly significant values for total yield (as number and weight) in all crosses were recorded. The values of heterosis over mid-parents ranged from 10.35 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> cross to 59.29 in the 5<sup>th</sup> cross for total fruit number/plant, while, it ranged from 25.2 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> cross to 80.78 in the 5<sup>th</sup> cross for total fruit weight/plant.

All crosses showed positive heterotic effect over better-parent for total yield as number and weight/plant. It ranged from 6.77 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> cross to 51.45 in the 5<sup>th</sup> cross and from 12.42 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> cross to 76.15 in the 5<sup>th</sup> cross for total fruit number and total fruit weight/plant, respectively. The 5<sup>th</sup> cross (Lin-73 X Strain-B) showed the highest heterotic effect for total yield as number and weight/plant. The results also revealed that the heterotic effects for total yield (number and weight/plant) were due to over-dominance. These results are in agreement with the findings obtained by Ramadan 2001.

The inbreeding depression is presented in Table 4 where the reduction of F<sub>2</sub> generation due to inbreeding depression was observed in four and two crosses for plant height and number of branches/plant, respectively. This results indicated the role of dominant gene action in the inheritance of these characters. On the other hand, inbreeding depression was absent in (1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup>), (4<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup>) crosses for plant height and number of branches/plant, respectively. This result is in agreement with results of several authors among them Amin *et al.* (2001) and Ramadan (2001).

Four from six crosses showed positive inbreeding depression for early fruit number. On the other hand, two crosses showed negative values of inbreeding depression for this trait. However all crosses except for the 3<sup>rd</sup> cross showed positive values significant or highly significant for early fruit weight/plant. The positive values of inbreeding depression means that the F<sub>1</sub> is earlier than their F<sub>2</sub>.

**Table 4 Inbreeding depression for all studied traits, data are combined over two locations.**

Traits Crosses	PH	NB/P	E.F.N.	E.F.W Kg	T.F.N.	T.F.W. Kg
1	-21.67**	2.47	14.93	27.22**	12.96	19.48**
2	-2.34	4.59	16.79	36.27**	18.86**	32.58**
3	-3.47	0.74	-38.76**	-3.57	-27.86**	0.37
4	1.09	-2.03	3.60	7.14	-4.56	4.10
5	5.09	12.07*	4.04	22.92*	2.02	19.49**
6	-10.58*	-6.40	-0.85	29.7**	-23.05**	17.78**

Three from six crosses showed positive inbreeding depression for total fruit number, but, only one (2<sup>nd</sup> cross) was positive and highly significant. On the other hand, the remaining crosses showed negative inbreeding depression for this trait. However, all crosses showed positive values of inbreeding depression ranged between 0.37 – 32.58 for total fruit weight/plant, while, four crosses from that were positive and highly significant. The positive values of inbreeding depression means that the F<sub>1</sub> out yielded their F<sub>2</sub> generation. On the other hand, the negative values of inbreeding

depression means that F<sub>2</sub> generation out yielded their F<sub>1</sub> hybrid. This finding may be due to transgressive segregation in the advanced generations. These results were in accordance with results obtained by (Zanata 1994; Singh *et al.*, 1995; El-Sharkawy *et al.*, 1997 and Ramadan, 2001).

The estimates of genotypic variance ( $\sigma_g^2$ ), Phenotypic ( $\sigma_p^2$ ), phenotypic variance coefficient, genotypic variance coefficient, heritability ( $Hb^2$ ) and genetic gain are given in Table 5. It can be concluded that the phenotypic variability observed in most characters is influenced more by genetic factors than non-genetic. This is due to the fact that estimate of genotypic variance is higher than that of the error. Most traits such as early fruit number, early fruit weight, total fruit number and total fruit weight have high PVC values. The genotypic variance coefficient (GVC) for these traits appeared to be close to their corresponding estimates of PVC. Also, it showed the same trend as PVC and ranged from 17.75 in early fruit number to 19.89 in total fruit number. This finding was an evidence about the presence and importance of genetic variance on the expression of these characters. So that, the estimated values of broad sense heritabilities for this traits were high and ranged from 0.61 in early fruit number to 0.79 in total fruit weight. In general, the higher estimates of heritabilities indicated that epigenetic factors play a minor role in the expression of this traits. Similar results obtained by Zanata, 1994 and Salib, 1999. Direct selection for vegetative growth (plant height and number of branches/plant) has a limited scope for further improvement as indicated by its low values of heritability, GVC and genetic gain. The values of expected genetic gain depend on selection density of 5% superior plant of F<sub>2</sub> generation in order to improve these traits ranged from 0.31 in early fruit weight to 8.86 in total fruit number. These results indicated that there is a potential for total yields improvement indirectly through total fruit number selections.

**Table (5): Estimates of genotypic variance ( $\sigma_g^2$ ), phenotypic ( $\sigma_p^2$ ), phenotypic variance coefficient, genotypic variance coefficient, heritability ( $Hb^2$ ) and genetic advance, data are combined over two locations.**

Traits	$\sigma_g^2$	$\sigma_p^2$	PVC	GVC	$Hb^2$	GA
PH	14.78	131.26	15.29	5.13	0.11	2.60
NB/P	0.25	2.56	13.61	4.25	0.098	0.32
E.F.N	5.03	8.28	22.79	17.75	0.61	3.62
E.F.W	0.05	0.05	23.3	19.00	0.67	0.31
TFN	23.58	30.39	22.59	19.89	0.78	8.86
TFW	0.19	0.24	21.86	19.57	0.79	0.80

## REFERENCES

- Abd Allah, E.M. (1995). Genetic studies in tomato. Ph.D. Thesis, Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Abd El-Rahim, A.M.M. (1989). A breeding program for improving yields and yield component traits of tomato (*L. esculentum* Mill.) Ph.D. Thesis Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- Ahmed, S.U. and C.N. Petrescu (1983). Investigation of variability and heterosis effects in some morphological characters of tomato, with a view to the improvement of selection efficiency lucvari stintific, Institutul Agronomic "N. Balcescu" B. (Horticultural) 1983; 26; 21–26 (C.F. Plant Breed. Abst.).
- Allard, R.W. (1960). Principles of plant breeding , John, Willey and Sons. Inc., New York
- Amin, E.S.A.; M.M. Abd El-Maksoud and A.M. Abd El-Rahim (2001). Genetical studies on F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, F<sub>2</sub> generation and genetic parameters associated with it in tomato, (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mill.). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 26(6), 3667 – 3675
- Bhanan, E.Y. (1998). Production of some local tomato hybrids suitable for the different growing seasons. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ. 85 p.
- Brahma, R.C.; A. Bhowmik and M.S. Ali (1991). Inheritance of four quantitative traits in tomato. Annals. Bangladesh. Agric. 1(1): 41 – 43 (C.F. C.R.).
- Desouki, I.A.M.; A.A., Hassan and G.I. Shalaby (1989-b). Production of improved hybrid tomato cultivars for A.R. Egypt. J. Hort. 16(1) 93.
- Dod, V.N.; P.B. Kale and R.V. Wankhade (1992). Genetic analysis of fruit yield of tomato Crop. Res. (Hisar) 5(2): 319 – 325. (C.F. Plant Breed. Abst. 64: 10672).
- El-Sharkawy, E.L.S. M.S., Aida M. Abd El-Rahim and M.A. Ahmed (1997). The importance of genetic parameters and correlation coefficient for economical traits of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mill.). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 22(9): 2845 – 2855.
- Falconer, D.S. (1981). Introduction to quantitative genetics. Longman, London, 349 p.
- Govindarasu, P.; C.R. Muthukrishnan and I. Irulappan (1982). Studies on heterosis in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mill.). South Indian Hort. 30(1): 9 – 12 (C.F. Plant Breed. Abstr. 52: 10827).
- Guirgis, A.A.; T.A. Ismail and M.A. Ismail (1994). Heterosis, dominance and combining ability for earliness and some quantitative characters in tomato Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 21 (3A): 797 – 807.
- Hegazi, H.H.; H.M. Hassan; A.G. Moussa and M.A.E. Wahb Allah (1995). Heterosis and heritability estimation for some characters of some tomato cultivars and their hybrid combinations. Alex. J. Agric. Res. 40(2): 265 – 276.

- Ivanyuk, V.G. and F.I. Antsugal (1989). Use of mutants for breeding tomato in Byelorussia (In Russian with English Summary). Nauchno. Tekhnicheskii Byulleten, Vessoyuznogo ordena Lenina I ordena Druzh by Narodov Nauchno. Issledovatel' Skogo Instituta Rasteniievodstava Imeni N., I. Vavilova. No. 187, 34 – 37. (C.A. Plant Breed. Abst. 60, 12589, 1990).
- Luk'Yan, A.M. (1980). Heterotic tomato hybrids (In Russian) Intensifix Ovoshchevod Kishinev, Moldavian SSR. 39 – 41. (C.A. Plant Breed. Abst. 51 7704, 1981).
- Mahmoud, S.H. and S.H. Gad El-Hak (1988). Inheritance of yield and yield components in tomatoes. Minia, J. Agric. Res. & Dev. 10(2): 871 – 888.
- Metwally, E.I.; G. El-Fadly and A.Y. Mazrouh (1990). Inheritance of yield and fruit quality of some tomato crosses grown under heat stress conditions in Egypt. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ. 16: 517 – 527.
- Nuez, F. and J. Tarrega (1981). Earliness indecies in relation to yield in tomato. Versailles, France; INRA (1981) 45 – 55. (C.F. Plant Breed. Abst. 53: 1662).
- Ramadan, W.A. (2001). Nature of gene action of some economical traits in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mill.). Ph.D. Thesis, Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- Reddy, V.V.P. and K.V. Reddy (1992). Studies on variability in tomato south – Indian. Hort. 40(5): 257 – 260 (C.F. C.R.).
- Salib, F.S. (1999). Genetical studies on some morphological and physiological characters of tomato varieties. (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mill.). Ph.D. Thesis, Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.
- Singh, A.; P.K. Singh; J. Dixit and J.P.S., Gautam (1995). Heterosis and inbreeding depression in tomato. Hort. J. 8(2): 125 – 129.
- Singh, R.K. and V.K. Singh (1993). Heterosis breeding in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mill.). Ann. Agric. Res. 14(4): 416 – 420. (C.F. Plant Breed. Abstr. 65: 4109).
- Suresh Kumar, Banerjee, M.K. and P.S. Partap (1995). Heterosis study for fruit yield and its component in tomato. Ann. Agric. Res. 16(2) 212. (C.A. Plant Breed. Abst. 65, 12991, 1995).
- Youssef, S.M.S. (1997). Studies on some intervarietal crosses and hybrid vigor in tomato, M.Sc. Thesis Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.
- Zanata, O.A. (1994). Heterosis and gene action in varietal crosses of tomato in late summer season. M.Sc. Thesis, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ., Egypt.

## إنتاج هجن طماطم جديدة فى الظروف المصرية

الشبراوى عبد الحميد أمين، وهبة على السيد رمضان، والسعيد محمود السعيد

قسم بحوث الخضر - معهد بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر .

تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تقدير قوة الهجين والانخفاض الناتج عن التربية الداخلية فى صفات النمو الخضرى والتبكير والمحصول الكلى فى الجيلين الأول والثانى للهجن الستة الناتجة من تهجين خمسة أصناف من الطماطم التابعة للجنس (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) .

قيمت هذه التراكيب الوراثية المختلفة التى شملت (خمسة أباء والجيل الأول والجيل الثانى) فى الموسم الصيفى لعام ٢٠٠٠ فى موقعين مختلفين (المنصورة وقيا) . وتشير النتائج المتحصل عليها من الموقعين أن قوة الهجين منسوبة إلى أفضل الأباء وكذلك منسوبة إلى متوسط الأبوين كانت معنوية فى معظم الصفات المدروسة .

أظهر الهجين (73 X Strain-B) أعلى قوة هيجن بالنسبة للمحصول الكلى (كعدد ووزن ثمار)، وكانت قيمة قوة الهجين منسوبة إلى متوسط الأبوين ٢٩ر٥٩ و ٧٨ر٨٠ لعدد الثمار ووزن الثمار على التوالى . بينما كانت قوة الهجين منسوبة إلى أفضل الأباء ٤٥ر٥١ و ٥١ر٧٦ بالنسبة لعدد الثمار ووزن الثمار على التوالى . كما تعزى قوة الهجين الحادثة فى المحصول الكلى (لعدد ووزن ثمار) إلى سيادة فائقة .

كما تراوحت قيم الانخفاض الناتج عن التربة الداخلية ما بين ٧٦ر٢٨ للمحصول المبكر كعدد ثمار إلى ٢٧ر٣٦ للمحصول المبكر كوزن ثمار .  
تراوحت قيم معامل التوريث فى المدى الواسع ما بين ١١ر٠ فى طول النبات إلى ٧٩ر٠ فى المحصول الكلى كوزن ثمار .