Annals Of Agric. Sc., Moshtohor, Vol. 44(4): 1431-1446. (2006)

EFFECT OF NITROGEN AND POTASSIUM FERTILIZATION WITH OR WITHOUT SPRAYING BY IRON COMBINED WITH MANGANESE ON SOME PHYSIO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES, PRODUCTIVITY AND QUALITY OF SUGAR BEET CROP

Shafika N. Moustafa,* and EL-Masry, A.A.

- * Sugar Crops Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.
- ** Soil, Water and Environment Res. Inst., Agric Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted out during 2003-2004 and 2004-2005 seasons at Sakha Agriculture Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt to study the effect of N-fertilization at rates 80, 100 and 120 kg N/fed, K-fertilization at rates of 24 and 48 kg K_2 O/fed with or without spraying by iron combined with manganese at levels 0.06 % FeEDTA (6% Fe) with 0.03 % of MnEDTA (13% Mn) and their interactions on some physio-chemical properties, productivity and quality of sugar beet crop.

A multigerm sugar beet variety, Panther poly was used. The experimental design was split plot design with three replicates in the two seasons. After 120 days from sowing average leaf area/ plant cm² Photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, b and carotenoides) mg/g.f.w, as well as nutrients uptake N and K (g/plant), also Fe and Mn uptake (mg/plant) in leaves and root were determined. At harvesting yield of top, root and sugar (tons/ fed), as well as juice quality i.e. sucrose%, purity% and impurities% (Na, K and α -amino nitrogen %) were determined in both seasons. The obtained results indicated that:

- Foliar spraying with Fe + Mn increased average leaf area/ plant, Photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, b and carotenoides) and nutrients uptake (N, K, Fe and Mn) in both leaves and root of sugar beet plant, yield of top, root and sugar, sucrose% and purity % in both seasons. Otherwise, Fe + Mn treatment significantly decreased impurities% (Na, K and α-amino nitrogen %) in sugar beet juice in both seasons.
- Soil fertilization with nitrogen and potassium increased significantly average leaf area/ plant, photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, b and carotenoides) and nutrients uptake (N, K, Fe and Mn) in both leaves and root of sugar beet plant in both seasons. Meantime, yield of top, root and sugar, as well as juice quality percentages i. e. sucrose, purity and impurities (Na, K and α-amino nitrogen) significantly differed by nitrogen and potassium fertilization in both seasons.
- Spraying by iron combined with manganese under fertilization with 120 kg N/feil and 48 kg K₂O/fed as soil application gave the highest value of average leaf area/ plant. Photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, b and

- carotenoides) and nutrients uptake in leaves and root (N, K, Fe and Mn) after 120 days from sowing. Similar trend have been detected for top yield and potassium content in root juice at harvest in both seasons.
- Spraying by Fe + Mn, N- fertilization at a rate of 100 kg N/ fed and kfertilization at rate 48 kg K₂O/ fed produced the highest root and sugar yields
 (tons/ fed), sucrose and purity percentages, as well. Impurities content in root
 juice (Na, K and α-amino nitrogen) in both seasons showed vice verse trend.

Key words: Sugar beet- N-fertilization- K-fertilization- Iron- Manganese-Photosynthetic pigments- Leaf area- yield- quality.

INTRODUCTION

Sugar beet is one of just two crops (the other being sugar cane) which constitute the only important sources of sucrose. Sugar beet nutrition includes nitrogen and potassium fertilization and trace elements such as iron and manganese always have a high priority wherever, the sugar beet grown. In this connection, Cari and Roger (2001) reported that nitrogen is important for assimilation into amino acids for protein and amino acid synthesis, component of chlorophyll and vegetative growth. Potassium important for enzyme activation, carbohydrate transportation, amino acid synthesis, photosynthesis, translocation of assimilates nitrogen uptake, nutrient balancing. Iron is a component of plant cells and enzymes; it is involved in nitrogen fixation, respiration and photosynthesis. Manganese acts as an enzyme activator involved in carboxylic acid cycle and carbohydrate metabolism.

Many investigators studied the effect of these elements on sugar beet plant. Concerning the effect of nitrogen and potassium as soil fertilizers; Aly and Abou Bakr (1995) found that potassium application increased leaf area (cm²), sucrose% and sugar yield (tons/ fed). Ramadan (1997) indicated that increasing N-rate up to 120 kg N/ fed increased impurities (Na, K and α- amino nitrogen), while sucrose and purity percentages, sugar yield (tons/ fed) decreased. Kochi (1979) found that both nitrogen and potassium fertilization were affect root quality which influenced plant sugar content. Sugar concentration decreased linearly with the increase in N fertilization rate. On the other hand, sugar content was increased as K supply increased. Krauss (2000) reported that potassium is the vital nutrient for quality, via stimulation of assimilation and translocation of sugar from leaves into beet root. He added that potassium prepares somatically the beet cells to store large amount of sugars. EL-Shafai (2000) indicated that nitrogen rate at 92 kg N/fed and potassium rate at 48 Kg K₂O/ fed was significantly increased root and sugar yield, while root sucrose % decreased. Barik (2002) found that nitrogen doses at 150 kg N/ ha alone or with potassium fertilization at 150 Kg K₂O/ ha gave the maximum root and sugar yield. Grzebisz and Bartog (2002) showed that the insufficient N fertilization has a negative effect on yield, while overrated N application decreases yield of top, root and sugar. Ismail et al. (2002) found that potassium treatment was affected significantly purity % and yield of root and sugar (tons/ fed). El Kadi et al. (2003) indicated that the effect of K fertilization on sugar beet yield was associated with the higher rate of N

application. Lucie et al. (2004) showed that sugar content and yield were diminished as increases dose of nitrogen fertilizer increased. Moustafa, Shafika et al. (2003) found that increasing nitrogen fertilization rate up to 110 kg N/ fed increased sugar yield, juice impurities (Na, K and α - amino nitrogen) and photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, b and carotenoides) mg/ cm². Pytlarz, Maria (2005) found that the increase in N rate from 90 to 180 kg/ ha caused a significant increase in average root mass, potassium and α - amino nitrogen in root, and lowered sugar content.

Dealing with the effect of iron and manganese, Morsy and Taha (1986) reported that Mn increased uptake of N, K and Mn in both top and root of sugar beet plant. Negm and Hassan (1998) found that the amount of root yield and nutrient uptake were significantly higher in the combined treatment (Fe + Mn). Shalaby (1998) concluded that foliar application of Fe in combination with Zn and Mn was very effective in increasing root yield, sugar content and sugar yield, as well us juice purity. Ouda, Soheir (2000) found that application of iron and manganese, increased significantly root and top yield. Osman et al. (2003) found that application of Mn increased sucrose% and sugar yield in sugar beet al. so.

The main target of this work is to study the effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization and spraying by iron combined with manganese on some physio-chemical properties, productivity and quality of sugar beet crop.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field trails were conducted in 2003-2004 and 2004-2005 seasons at Sakha Agriculture Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh governorate, Egypt, to study the effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization with or without iron combined with manganese as foliar application and their interactions on some phylochemical properties, productivity and quality of sugar beet crop. The soil analysis was taken before planting and prepared for the determination physical and chemical analysis according to Chapman and Paratt (1961). The obtained results are shown in Table (1). It is clear from soil chemical analysis that the soil is tend slightly to alkalinity (pH >8), where iron and manganese frequently fixed and become are scarcely available to plant root (Shalaby, 1998). So iron and manganese as foliar application in particular useful under those condition.

A multigerm sugar beet variety, Panther poly was planted on 11th and 28th October in 2003 and 2004 seasons, respectively. The experimental design was split split plot design with three replicates. Irons combined with manganese were arranged in the main plots, while the nitrogen and potassium fertilization were randomly distributed in sub and sub sub plots. Plots size was 21 m² (6 ridges, 7 m long and 50 cm apart). Nitrogen fertilization was applied in the form of urea (46.1%) at the rates of 80, 100 and 120 Kg N/ fed; (namely N1, N2 and N3, respectively) in two equal doses the first was applied after thinning and the other was applied 30 days later. Potassium fertilization was applied in the form of potassium sulfate, (48% K₂O) at two rates 24 and 48 Kg K₂O/ fed; (namely K1 and K2, respectively) being added in one dose after thinning, iron in combination

with manganese were applied as foliar applications at levels of 0.06% as FeEDTA (6% Fe) and 0.03% of MnEDTA (13% Mn) after 45 and 75 days from sowing. Phosphorus fertilization was added during land preparation and before sowing at a rate of 30 Kg P_2O_5 /fed as calcium super phosphate (15.5% P_2O_5). The normal practices of sugar beet cultivation were maintained at level to assure optimum production.

Table (1): Physical and chemical analysis of experimental soil,

Soil analysis	2003	2004
Particle size distribution:		
Sand %	17.2	16.9
Silt %	27.8	28.5
Clay%	55.0	54.6
Textural class	Clay	Clay
Chemical analysis		
Soluble ions meL ⁻¹		
Ca ⁺⁺	16.42	19.0
Mg [↔]	8.87	10.0
Na ⁺	28.37	33,0
K⁺	0.36	0.52
CI.	26.24	26.00
CO ₃ -	-	-
HCO ₃ -	2.01	2.60
SO ₄ "	25.77	33.92
CaCO _{3 %}	1.80	1.94
EC dSm-1(soil paste)	3.02	3.95
pH (1:2.5)	8.00	8.20
Available nutrients (mg kg ⁻¹)		
N	122	135
K	260	289
Fe	9.68	10.03
Mn	3.66	3.85

- I- After 120 days from sowing date, seven successive guarded plants were taken randomly from each plot to determine the following traits in both seasons:
 - 1- Average leaf area/ plant (cm²) was determined using the area meter, model: 3000 A.
 - 2- Photosynthetic pigments (mg/g.f.w) i.e. chlorophyll a, b and caretenoides according to Wettstein (1957).
 - 3- Nutrients uptake of nitrogen (N) and potassium (K); as g/ plant, iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) as mg/ plant in both leaves and roots were determined in the digested solution of the dried powder as described in A.O.A.C (1990). Nitrogen was determined using micro-kjeldahl method, potassium was determined by Flame photometer (Page, 1982), iron and manganese were measured using the Atomic Adsorption Spectrophotometer, model 22 Brooklyn AVE.

- II- After 210 days from sowing (at harvest) the following traits were determined in both seasons:
 - 1- Yield of tops and roots (tons/ fed) were determined from the four guarder rows. Sugar yield (tons/ fed) was calculated according to the following equation: Sugar yield (tons/ fed) = Root yield (tons/ fed) x Sucrose %
 - 2- Juice quality was analyzed using an Automatic French System (HYCEL). -Sucrose % was polarimaterically determined according to the methods of Le-Docte (1927).
 - Total Soluble Solids % was measured using hand refractometer.
 - Purity % was determined according to formula Purity%= (Sucrose%/Total Soluble Solids %) x 100
 - Juice impurities including Na, K and α-amino nitrogen, Na% and K% were determined using Flame photometer as described by Page (1982), while α-amino nitrogen was determined using hydrogennation method according to Carruthers et al. (1962).

Data were statistically analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1981), and treatment means were compared by using the LSD at 0.05 level of probability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I- Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization and spraying by iron combined with manganese on augar beet plant after 120 days from sowing:

1- Average leaf area/ plant:

Data in Table (2) show significant increase in average leaf area/ plant cm² due to nitrogen and potassium fertilization, and also with spraying the foliage by iron combined with manganese in both seasons. A similar trend was found by Aly and Abou Bakr (1995) for the effect of potassium fertilization on leaf area. Regarding interactions effect in the first season the significant differences were detected for the interactions between Fe + Mn and N- fertilization, as well as N and K-fertilization, whereas, the other two interactions (Fe+ Mn x K and Fe+ Mn x N x K) were insignificant differences, while the interaction between Fe + Mn and N-fertilization showed a positive and significant effect on leaf area in the second season. Meantime, data in Table (2) cleared that maximum leaf area/ plant was recognized at the highest rate of N and K-fertilization, (120 kg N/fed and 48 kg K₂O), combined with spraying by Fe + Mn in both first and second seasons which recorded 187.4 and 192.9cm², respectively.

2-Photosynthetic pigments content:

Data in Table (2) presented the effects of N and K-fertilization, and foliar spraying with Fe + Mn and there interactions on the content of chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids (mg. g.f.w) in beet leaves. It is clear from data that all the individual treatments significantly increased photosynthetic pigments content. except chlorophyll a in both seasons and chlorophyll a and b at second season. Moustafa, Shafika (2005) found the same trend for the effect of nitrogen in photosynthetic pigments.

Table (2): Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization and foliar application by iron combined with manganese on average lenf area/piant (cm)² and photosynthetic pigments (Chlirophyll a, b and carotenoides (mg/ g.f.w) after 120 days from sowing on 2963/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

		_					2003	/2004		•			
Trestments		Average leaf area/plant		Mean	Chloro (mg/s	£w)	Mesa	Chloro (mg/	(f.w)	Mean	Care (mg	Mean	
	700		1140	103.7	713	+	***	3 83	4 00	4.61		+	0.02
NI	Ki	92.33	114.9	103.6	5.12	5.20	5.16	2.83	3.00	2.91	0.95	0.96	0.95
	K2	111.0	126.4	118.8	5.18	5.33	5,25	2.94	3.09	3.01	0.98	1.01	1.00
	Vienn	101.7	120.7	111.2	5.15	5.26	5,21	2.88	3.04	2.96	8.97	0.98	0.98
N2	Ki	127.7	139.0	133.3	5.25	5.39	5.32	3.05	3.12	3.09	1.02	1.07	1.05
	K2	131.5	149.8	140.6	5.32	5.46	5.39	3.11	3.24	3.17	1.08	1.14	1.11
	Vican	129.6	144.4	137.0	5.28	5.42	5,35	3.08	3.18	3,13	1.05	1.11	1.08
N3	Ki	139.2	162.5	150.8	5.39	5.58	5.48	3.25	3,37	3.31	1.13	1.20	1.18
	K2	152.8	187.4	170.1	5.45	5.85	5.65	3.40	3.39	3.44	1.19	1.31	1.25
	Vienn_	146.0	174.9	160.5	5.42	5.71	5.57	3,33	3.43	3.38	1.17	1.25	1.21
	of Fe+Mn	125.8	146.7	136.2	5.28	5.47	5.38	3,10	3,22	3.16	1.06	1.12	1.09
Meso	K1	119.7	139.8	129.3	5.25	5.39	5.32	3.04	3.16	3.10	1.04	1.08	1.06
30	K2	131.8	154.6	143.2	5.32	5.54	5,43	3,15	3.27	3.21	1.09	1.15	1.12
L	SD at 5 %A		_	12.4			N.S			0.08			0.05
	B	1		4.93	{		0.13	1		0.04			0.02
	<u>c</u> {	. }		4.02	}	}	0.05	}		0.03	}	}	0.02
	AB	1		6.97	Į.		0.09	1		N.S		!	0.03
	AC			N.S	[N.S]		N.S			N.S
	BC			6.90	[N.S	1		N.S			N.S
	ABC			N.S	<u> </u>		N.S	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	N.S		L	N.S
						2004	/2005						
NI	Ki	98.1	120.0	109.0	4.89	5.21	5.05	1	<u> </u>	2.97	1.95	1.96	1.95
191	K2	114.7	131.2	122.9	5.22	5.36	5.29	1		3,06	1.98	2.01	2.00
	Mean	106.4	125.6	116.0	5.05	5.29	5.17	2.94	3.09	3.01	1.97	1.98	1.98
N2	Ki	131.7	142.9	137.3	5.29	5.42	5.35	3.10	3.17	3.14	2.02	2.07	2.05
172	K2	137.1	154.4	145.7	5.35	5.49	5.42	3.15	3.29	3.22	2.09	2.14	2.12
	Mean	134.4	148.7	141.5	5.32	5.45	5.39	3.13	3.23	3.18	2.06	2.11	2.08
N3	Kı	144.5	169.4	156.9	5.42	5.91	5.66	3.30	3.42	3.36	2.15	2.20	2.18
143	K2	157.6	192.9	175.3	5.79	6.08	5.93	3.44	3.53	3.49	2.19	2.31	2.25
	Mean	151.0	181.1	166.1	5.60	6.00	5.80	3.37	3.48	3.42	2.17	2.25	2.21
Mean	of Fe+Mn	130.6	151.8	141.2	5.32	5.58	5.4	3.15	3.27	3.21	2.07	2.12	2.12
Mean	K1	124.7	144.1	134.4	5.20	5.51	5.36	3.10	3.21	3.16	2.04	2.08	2.06
of	K2	136.5	159.5	_148.0	5.45	5.64	5.55	3,20	3.32	3.26	2.09	2.15	2.12
I	SD at 5% A			12.17			N.S			0.06	T		0.05
	В	·		5.39]	0.24		[0.04		1	0.02
	Č	. !		4.40			N.S		1	N.S			0.03
	AB		l	7.63	1	i	N.S	l	1	0.03		t	002
	AC		1	N.S			N.S			N.S		i	N.S
	BC			N.S	1	}	N.S	1		N.S		1	N.S
	ABC	1	ļ	N.S	į		N.S	Į.	1	N.S		1	N.S

Various interactions degree among the three variables insignificantly affected photosynthetic pigments in both seasons, except the interaction between Fe+ Mn x N which significantly affected chlorophyll a, b and carotenoides in the first season and chlorophyll b and carotenoides in the second season Table (2). Spraying beet plant with Fe+ Mn in mixture, in addition to nitrogen at 120 kg N/fed and K at 48 kg/fed as soil application maximized the three photosynthetic pigments Table (2).

3-Nutrients uptake in sugar beet leaves:

Date collected in Table (3) reveals that each of the three factors i.e. Fe+Mn, N and K; increased significantly the uptake of N, K, Fe and Mn, except Fe+Mn treatment which insignificantly affected N uptake in the first season and K in both season. Similar effect had been observed also, for K fertilization on K and Mn uptake in the first season only Table (3).

Concerning the effects of various interactions degrees on the uptake of N, K, Fe and Mn in sugar beet leaves; it is clear from data in Table (3) that most of the interactions insignificantly increased minerals such as N, K, Fe and Mn in both seasons. In this connection Morsy and Taha (1986) found that application of Mn increased the uptake of N, K and Mn in sugar beet leaves.

3-Nutrients uptake in sugar beet roots:

Data of sugar beet roots on the following nutrients uptake i.e. nitrogen, potassium, iron and manganese revealed that increasing N or K-fertilization rates and spraying with Fe + Mn significantly increased the measured nutrients uptake in both seasons, except spraying with Fe + Mn on N uptake, where the increased was too small to reach the level of significance (Table, 4). It is worth to mention that the positive effect of Fe+ Mn on the uptake of K may be responsible for the increase in root biomass reflection the vital role of K element on translocation ion and accumulation of sugars in roots (Negm and Hassan. 1998).

Most the interactions order among N, K fertilization and spraying by Fe + Mn were insignificantly increased the nutrient uptake of N, K, Fe and Mn in sugar beet roots.

II- Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization and spraying by iron combined with manganese on productivity and root quality at harvest:

1- Yield of roots, tops and sugar:

The effect of nitrogen, and potassium fertilization, as well as spraying by iron in combination with manganese on tops, roots and sugar yield (tons/ fed) are presented in Table (5). Data cleared that spraying with Fe + Mn and increasing the rate of N and K fertilization up to 120 kg N/ fed and 48 kg K_2O / fed, respectively increased significantly tops, roots and sugar yield (tons/ fed) in both seasons compared with the lowest rates of N and K fertilization, but the increase of tops and roots yield were too small to reach the level of significant with respect to Fe + Mn treatment at second season

Table (3): Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization and foliar application by iron combined with manganese on Nitrogen, Potassium, Iron and Manganese uptake in sugarbeet leaves after 120 days from sowing on 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

		<u></u>	****				20	03/2004					
n	retments :	N (g/plant)		Mean	K (g/		Menn	Fe(mg/		Mean	Mn(mg/	plant)	Mean
	- 1	1 21	2.01		3.30	+	i		+			***	
Ni	Ki K2	1.71	2.01	1.86 2.01	2.20 2.23	2.33 2.52	2.27 2.37	5.73	8.06	6.89	1.81	3.20	2.50
	Mean	1.84	2.02	1.93	2.23	2.43	2.37	6.45 6.09	9.19	7.82	2.39 2.16	3.78 3.49	3.08
	Ki	2.95	3.15	3.05	3.19	3.31	3.25	8.89	8.63 13.54	7.36		5.20	2.79
N2	K2	3.24	3.15 3.16	3.05 3.20	3.19	3.06	3.23 3.22	9.95	13.54	11.21 11.95	3.14 3.86	5.34	4.17 4.60
	Mean	3.09	3.15	3.12	3.30	3.17	3.23	9.42	13.74	11.58	3.50	5.27	4.39
	Kı	3.99	4.16	4.08	3.62	3.94	3.78	12.62	20.82	16.72	136	6.59	3.47
N3	ik2	4.30	4.27	4.29	3.88	4.00	3.94	13.96	23.07	18.52	5.35	6.81	6.08
	Mean	4.15	4.22	4.18	3.75	3.97	3.86	13.29	21.94	17.62	4.85		5.78
M.	n of Fe+Mn	3.03	3.13	3.08	3.09	3.19	3.14	9.60	14.77	12.19	3.48		4.32
Mean	Kı	2.88	3.11	2.99	3.00	3.19	3.10	9.08	14.14	11.61	3.10		4.05
of	K2	3.17	3.16	3.17	3.17	3.18	3.18	10.12	15.41	12.76	3.87		4.59
<u> </u>	LSD at 5% A	70.		N.S			NS	10.12	13.71	0.89		 	0.97
	В			0.14	[0.19			0.89			0.94
	c c	,	ľ	0.12	[)	N.S)	0.80		1	N.S
	AB			N.S			N.S			1.39		1	N.S
	, AC	٠.		0.16	İ		N.S			N.S			N.S
	BC		'	N.S	ľ		N.S			N.S		ł	1.33
	ABC			N.S	<u> </u>		N.S			N.S		ļ	N.S
						200	4/2005						
N1	Ki	1.63	1.92	1.78	1.92	2.27	2.10	5.44	7.54	6.49	1.77	3.23	2.50
141	K2	1.92	2.00	1.96	2.05	2.46	2.57	6.85	8.82	7.83	2.32	3.72	3.02
	Mean	1.78	1.96	1.87	1.98	2.37	2.18	6,14	8.18	7.16	2.04	3.48	2.76
N2	Ki	2:75	3.01	2.88	2.96	3.11	3.03	8.37	12.54	10.46	2.81		3.62
142	K2	3.03	3.11	3.07	3.08	3.01	3.05	9.86	<u>] 14.32</u>	12.09	3.32		4.32
	Mean	2.89	3.06	2.97	3.02	3.06	3.04	9.12	13.43	11.27	3.07	5.15 5.00 5.31 3.23 3.72	3.97
N3	Kı	3.87	4.04	3.95	3.47	3.78	3.63	12.81	18.83	15.82	4.14		5.26
110	K2	4.04	4.22	4.13	3.74	3.81	3.78	13.48	22.44	17.96	4.79	7.96	6.38
	Mean	3.95	4.13	4.04	3.60	3.80	3.70	13.14	20.64	16.89	4.46		5.82
	of Fe+Mn	2.87	3.05	2.96	2.87	3.07	2.97	9.47	14.08	11.77	3.19		418
Mean	Kı	2.75	2.99	2.87	2.87	3.05	2.92	8.87	12.97	10.92	2.91		3.79
of	K2 LSD at 5% A	3.00	3.11	3.05	2.96	3.09	3.03	10.06	15.19	12.63	3.48	5.67	4.57
		Ī	1.	0.09	1		N.S	1		0.77	1	1	0.28
	B		- a	0.11			0.12	1		0.80			0.33
	C	[["	0.09	[ļ	0.10	Į	[0.32	Į	Į.	0.27
	AB	[1	N.S			0.17		ŀ	1.13			0.46
	AC	[1	N.S			N.S	1	1	N.S			N.S
	BC	[1	N.S N.S		ŀ	N.S N.S]	N.S			N.S
	ABC	L	4	1 N.2	1	<u> </u>	N.5	1	<u> </u>	N.S	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	N.S

Table (4): Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization and foliar application by Iron combined with manganese on Nitrogen, Potassium, Iron and Manganese uptake in sugarbeet root after 120 days from sowing on 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

							2003	/2004					
Trea	tments	N (g/plant)		Mean	K (g)	olant) +	Mean	Forme	plant) +	Mean	Mn (m	Mean	
	- Ki	1.03	1.31	1.17	1.28	1.53	1.40	5.65	7.57	6.61	1.65	2.19	1.92
NI	K2	1.38	1.51	1.44	1.55	1.81	1.68	7.04	8.97	8.00	2.13	2.72	2.43
N	lean	1.21	1.41	1.31	141	1.67	1.54	6.34	8.27	7.30	1.00	2.54	2.17
N2	Kı	1.01	1.99	1.95	2.14	2.45	2.29	9.23	11.66	10.44	2.80	3.52	3.16
		2.51	2.24	2.37	2.39	2.77	2.58	10.23	13.35	11.79	3.25	3.79	3.52
N	fean	2.21	2.11	2.16	2.26	2.61	2.44	9.72	12.50	11.12	3.02	3.65	3.34
N3	Kı	2.30	2.36	2.33	2.17	2.52	2.35	10.58	14.21	12.39	3.35	4.00	3.67
	K2	2.54	2.45	2.49	2.42	2.70	2,56	11.77	16.04	13.91	3.67	4.38	4.03
	lean	2.42	2.41	2.41	2.30	2.61	2.45	11.17	15.12	13.15	3.51	4,19	3.85
	of Fe+Mn	1.95	1.98	1.96	1.99	2.30	2.15	9.08	11.96	10.52	2.81	3.43	3.12 2.92
Mean Of	K1 K2	1.75 2.14	1.89 2.07	1.82 2.10	1.86	2.17 2.43	2.02 2.27	8.48 9.68	11.14 12.79	9.81 11.23	2.60 3.02	3.24 3.63	3.32
	SD at 5% A	2.14	2.07	N.S	2.12	2.43	0.07	7.08	12.79	0.62	3.02	3,03	0.11
	B	ì		0.22		Ì	0.10	<u>'</u>		0.39	1 }		0.14
	č			0.18	1	,	0.08			0.32	}		0.11
	AB]		N.S	}	1	N.S			0.55			N.S
•	AC	1		N.S	ì)	N.S	1		N.S	}		N.S
•	BC			N.S	{		N.S	1		N.S			N.S
	ABC			N.S		<u>. </u>	N.S	L	<u> </u>	N.S	l <u>.</u>		N.S
	•					2004	/2005	-					
N1	K1 1.36 1.54		1.54	1.45	1.70	1.84	1.77	6.78	8.77	7.78	2.21	2.84	2.52
	K2	1.78	1.67	1.72	1.94	2.12	2.03	7.98	10.32	9.15	2.68	4.51	3.59
<u> </u>	1ean	1.57	1.60	1.59	1.82	1.98	1.90	7.38	9.55	8.46	2.44	3,77	3.86
N2	Ki	2.04	2.01	2.02	2 17	2.38	2.27	9.74	11.59	10.66	3.06	3.82	3.44
	K2	2.23	2.16	2.20	2.40	2.69	2.55	10.57	13.41	11.99	3.46	4.10	3.78
N	leun	2.14	2.09	2.11	2.28	2.54	2.41	10.15	12.50	11.33	3.26	3.96	3.61
N3	K1	2.53	2.74	2.63	2.34	2.71	2.53	11.10	15.27	13.18	3.64	4.50	4.07
	K2	2.89	2.81	2.85	2.57	2.94	2.75	13.34	17.74	15.54	4.09	4.94	4.52
	iean	2.71	2.77	2.74	2.46	2.82	2.64	12.22	16.51	14.36	3.87	4.72	4.29
	of Fe+Mn	1.98	2.15	2.14	2.19	2.45	2.32	9.92	12.85	11.38	3.19	4.12	3.65 3.35
Mean of	K1 K2	2.30	2.09	2.26	2.30	2.58	2.19	9.21	11.88 13.82	10.54 12.23	2.97 3.41	3.72 4.52	3.96
	SD at 5% A	2.30	4.61	N.S.	4.50	4.20	013	10.03	13.02	0.11	3.41	4.54	0.52
	B	أة وريا	g 25 28	0.12		1	0.11]	Ì	0.44) ·	0.48
¥1 - 2	Č	}	1	0.10	}	}	0.09	}	}	0.37	}	}	0.39
	AB	la e		N.S		ļ	N.S	ļ		0.63		ł	N.S
	ÃĊ			0.14		1	N.S	1	1	N.S	[N.S
	BC	ſ i		N.S		1	N.S	}	1	0.63	}	1	N.S
	ABC	[N.S	{	1	N.S	1		0.90		ł	N.S

Table (5) Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization and foliar application by iron combined with manganese on yield of sugarbeet root, top and sugar (tons/ fed) at harvest in 2003/2004 and 1004/2005 seasons.

					20	03/200	4							20	04/200	5		Sugar yield Mean								
Treat	Treatments		yield	Mean	Top yield		Mean	Sugar yield		Mean	Root yield		Mean	Top yield		Mean			Mean							
L		-	+			+			+		-	+			+		-	+								
NI	K1	23.33	23.77	23.55	4.18	4.38	4.28	3.82	4.06	3.94	22.83	23.50	23.17	3.99	4.24	4.11	3.97	4.25	4.11							
	<u> K2</u>	24.87	25.17	25.02	4.57	4.69	4.63	4.42	4.74	4.58	24.50	25.00	24.75	4.39	4.47	4.43	4.57	4.96	4.76							
Mo	:an	24.10	24.47	24.28	4.12	4.53	4.46	4.12	4.40	4.26	23.67	24.25	23.96	4.19	4.35	4.27	4.27	4.60	4.44							
N2	K1	26.57	27.17	26.87	5.68	5.84	5.76	4.03	4.49	4.26	26.90	26.60	26.75	5.19	5.33	5.26	4.37	4.66	4.51							
	K2	27.57	28.47	28.02	5.97	6.08	6.02	4.74	4.99	4.86	26.93	27.80	27.37	5.43	5.85	5.46	4.90	5.25	5.08							
Mo	en e	27.07	27.82	27.44	5.82	5.96	5.89	4.39	4.74	4.56	26.92	27.20	27.06	5.31	5.59	5.45	4.64	4.95	4.80							
N3	Ki	25.80	26.30	26.05	6.73	6.93	6.83	3.71	4.17	3.94	25.43	25.50	25.47	6.17	6.32	6.24	3.92	4.37	4.14							
	K2	26.63	27.10	26.87	7.10	7.30	7.20	4.31	4.58	4.44	26.00	26.47	26.23	6.50	6.70	6.60	4.48	4.73	4.60							
Me	ean .	26.22	26.70	26.46	6.92	7.12	7.02	4.01	4.37	4.19	25.72	25.98	25.85	6.33	6.51	6.42	4.20	4.55	4.37							
	n of Mn	25.79	26.33	26.06	5.71	5.87	5.79	4.17	4.50	4.33	25.43	25.81	25.62	5.28	5.48	5.38	4.37	4.70	4.53							
Mean	K1	25.23	25.74	25.49	5.53	5.72	5.63	3.86	4.24	4.05	25.06	25.20	25.13	5.12	5.29	5.20	4.09	4.43	4.26							
Of	K2	26.36	26.91	26.63	5.88	6.02	5.95	4.49	4.77	4.63	25.81	26.42	26.12	5.44	5.67	5.56	4.65	4.98	4.82							
LSD	at 5%	ł	Į.	Į.	l	ļ		[ļ	ļ	!	Ţ	{	l		[
	A			0.52]	0.15		İ	0.33			N.S			N.S	ĺ	j	0 15							
ľ	В	1	1	0.33	1	1	0.29	}	1	0.15		1	0.50	1	1	0.31	1	1	0.16							
	C	1	!	0.27	1	ļ	0.24			0.12			0.41		1	0.25	İ	}	0.13							
lì	AB	Ì]	N.S	1)	N.S	Ì	1	N.S)		N.S)	1	N.S	Ì		N.S							
	AC	ſ	1	N.S	[(N.S		-	N.S	{	1	N.S		!	N.S	-	ļ	N.S							
	BC		[N.S			N.S	}		N.S	1		N.S			N.S	İ		N.S							
<u> </u>	ABC	1	<u> </u>	N.S	<u> </u>	1	N.S)		N.S		1	N.S	<u> </u>	<u>i </u>	N.S	1	<u> </u>	N.S							

On light of these results, it is worth to mention that increasing tops and roots yield with the increase in N-fertilization may be attributable to the increase in N uptake which positively influenced photosynthesis process indirectly through its enhancement leaf area and chlorophyll content as show in Table (2), which reflecting on top and root growth and consequently by enhanced and ultimately higher yield. In addition, the enhancing effect of spraying with Fe + Mn on yield could be attributed to that iron is involved in protein synthesis and root-tip merstem growth (Mangel and Dirkby, 1979)

Considering the interactions effects among nitrogen, potassium fertilization and spraying by iron combined with manganese; data in Table (5) cleared that the highest root and sugar yield were obtained by foliar application with Fe + Mn beside soil fertilization by N and K at rates 100 kg N/ fed and 48 kg K_2O , respectively. Furthermore, the highest top yield was recorded when spraying the foliage of sugar beet plant by Fe + Mn and fertilized with the highest rates of nitrogen and potassium (120 kg N/ fed and 48 kg K_2O), these results are true in both seasons. These results are in good agreement with those found by Ouda, Soheir (2000) for the effect of Fe + Mn, Barik (2002) and El-Kadi et al. (2003) on the interaction effect between N and K fertilization, Moustafa, Shafika et al. (2005) and Pytlarz, Maria (2005) on the effect of N-fertilization.

2- Juice quality of beet root:

a- Sucrose and purity contents:

Sugar beet quality depends primarily on sugar content, the content of soluble anxino compounds, and minerals in particular potassium and sodium. The presence of soluble amino compounds and minerals disturbs crystallization during sugar processing and thus affects the sugar output and its purity

Sucrose and purity percentages data in Table (6) revealed that foliar application with Fe + Mn and potassium fertilization as well had a positive effect on each of them, while nitrogen application especially at the highest rate (120 kg N/ fed) decreased sucrose% and purity significantly. This may be due to that excess N was responsible for the sugar reduction in beet plant, where N promotes the protein formation and depressed carbohydrate accumulation. In this respect Mangel and Kirkby (1979) demonstrated that at the high level of N-nutrition, photosynthesis is diverted from filling root tissue with sugar and are utilized to a marked extent for the growth of new leaves. Also, the drop in juice purity largely reflects increasing concentration of amino compounds caused by excess uptake of nitrate. This was in accordance with the finding of Pytlarz, Maria (2005). Also, Neveryanskaya et al. (1978) stated that treating sugar beet plant with trace elements has a considerable influence on the metabolic activities in plant and hence in turn exerts an increase in plant sugar content.

Eata in Table (6) reveal that the maximum sucrose and purity percentages recorded were 18.80 and 91.10 %, in the first season and 19.80% and 92.10% in the second season when sugar beet plant sprayed with Fe + Mn (this may be because manganese acts as activator in enzymes involved in carboxylic acid cycle and carbohydrate metabolism) and fertilized with 100 kg N/ fed and 48 kg K_2O / fed as soil application.

Table (6): Effect of nitrogen and petassium fertilization and foliar application by from combined with manganese on sucrose, purity and impurities
(Na, K and a-amino N) percentages in sugarbeet juice at harvest in 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons

							2063	/2004								
		Sucr	120°/-		Pur	ity %						purite	\$			
Treatments		3201		Mean			Mean	Na		Mean	K %		Mean	e-amino N %		Mean
			+	77.		+		-	+			+		1	+	
N1	Ki		16.47	15.82	88.37	88.63	88.50	0.78	0.71	0.74	1.22	1.15	1.18	1.79	1.51	1.57
	K2		17.87	17.52	89.27	89.83	89.55	0.69	0.61	0.63	1.43	1.33	1.38	1.64	1.38	1.41
	lean		17.17	16.67	88.82	89.23	89.02	0.73	0.66	0.70	1.32	1.24	1.28	1.56	1.42	1.49
N2	K1 K2	16.37	17.07	16.72	89.83 90.63	90.37	90.20	0.56	0.49	0.53	1.64 1.93	1.52	1.58	1.83	1.65	1.74
	lean	17.80 17.08	18.80 17.93	18.30 17.51	90.03	91.10 90.83	90.87	0.43	0.35	0.39	1.78	1.80	1.72	1.55	1.42	1.49 1.61
	Ki	14.37	15.77	15.07	86.73	87.30	87.02	1.23	1.13	1.18	2.09	1.97	2.03	1.94	1.87	1.90
N3	K2		16.83	16.48	87.77	88.20	87.98	1.23	0.89	0.96	2.49	2.25	2.37	1.73	1.59	1.66
	lean		16.30	15.77	87.25	87.75	87.50	1.14	1.01	1.07	2.29	2.11	2.20	1.84	1.73	1.78
	of Fe+Mn		17.13	16.65	88.77	89.27	89.52	0.79	0.70	0.74	1.80	1.67	1.73	1.70	1.56	1.63
Mean	Kl	15.30	16.43	15.87	88.31	88.83	88.57	0.86	0.78	0.82	1.65	1.55	1.60	1.89	1.67	1.74
Of	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	17.03	17.83	17.43	89.22	89.71	89.47	0.72	0.62	0.67	1.95	1.79	1.87	1.64	1.45	1.52
	D at 5% A	17.05	17.05	0.57	102:00	107.77	0.27	0.72	0.02	0.02	1.,,,	1	0.06	 	1	0.08
	B			0.43	1		0.43		1	0.04			0.06		1	0.06
	č			0.35	ì	1	0.35]	0.01	ļ		0.05			0.05
	AB			N.S	1		N.S		1	N.S			N.S			N.S
	AC	1	i	N.S	1	1	N.S	Ì	}	N.S	1	Ì	N.S		ì	N.S
	BC			N.S	1		N.S			0.05	İ		0.08			N.S
	ABC	<u> </u>		N.S	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>	N.S_			N.S			N.S			N.S
							2004	/2005								
	Ki	17.37	18.07	17.72	T 90.83	91.57	91.20	0.66	0.59	0.63	0.95	0.88	1.08	T 1.31	1.21	1.26
N1	K2	18.63	19.80	19.22	91.63	92 10	91.87	0.59	0.53	0.56	1.10	1.07	0.91	1.19	1.04	1.11
M	lean .	18.00	18.93	18.47	91.23	91.83	91.53	0.63	0.56	0.59	1.02	0.97	1.00	1.25	1.12	1.19
N2	Ki	16.17	17.47	16.82	89.37	89.63	89.50	0.45	0.39	0.42	1.36	1.30	1.54	1.53	1.28	1.40
132] K2]	18.17	18.87	18.52	90.27	90.83	90.55_	0.33	0.25	0.29	1.61	1.47	1.33	1.25	1.12	1.19
N	lenn	17.17	18.17	17.67	89.82	90.23	90.02	0.39	0.32	0.36	1.48	1.38	1.43	1.39	1.20	1.30
N3	Kı	15.37	16.77	16.07	87.73	88.30	88.02	1.12	1.04	1.08	1.79	1.69	2.10	1.64	1.57	1.60
	K2	17.13	17.83	17.48	88.77	89.20	88.98	0.91	0.78	0.84	2.22	1.99	1.74	1.43	1.29	1.36
	1ean	16.25	17.30	16.77	88.25	88.75	88.50	1.01	0.91	0.96	2.00	1.84	1.92	1.54	1.43	1.48
	of Fe+Mn	17.14	18.13	17.63	89.77	90.27	90,02	0.68	0.60	0.64	1.50	1.40	1.45	1.39	1.25	1.32
Mean	Kı	16.30	17.43	16.87	89.31	89.83	89.57	0.74	0.68	0.71	1.37	1.29	1.58	1.49	1.35	1.42
of	K2	17.98	18.83	18.41	90.22	90.71	90.47	0.61	0.52	0.56	1.64	1.51	1.33	1.29	1.15	1.22
LSD at 3% A		}	0.45	1	1	0.27	}	1	0.06	1	1	N.S		1	0.07	
	В	1	1	0.44		-	0.43		}	0.04			0.06			0.07
	C AB			0.36 N.S		1	0.35 N.S			0.03 N.S			0.05 N.S			0.06 N.S
	AB AC	1		N.S			N.S	1		N.S		ľ	N.S		ł	N.S
	BC	{	1	N.S	1	l	N.S	ł	(0.06		l	0.09		(N.S
	ABC	1	1	N.S	1		N.S		i	N.S			N.S			N.S
	ADC	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	111.3			1 17.0			14.5	1	L	14.3			111.3

b- Impurities percentages:

Regarding the effect of nitrogen and potassium as soil application and trace elements; iron combined with manganese as foliar application on juice impurities i.e. Na, K and α -amino nitrogen %, it could be notice from Table (6) that all the individual treatments has a significant and positive effect on juice impurities in both seasons, except spraying with Fe + Mn on potassium content was insignificant in the second season. In general, spraying the foliage of sugar beet plant with Fe + Mn decreased the impurities percentages (Na, K and α -amino nitrogen %). Furthermore the higher rate of K-fertilization (48 kg K_2O / fed) decreased significantly the concentration of sodium and α -amino nitrogen % but increased K concentration. The same trend was found in both seasons. The lowest α -amino nitrogen % that occurred under the higher rate of K fertilization could be due to the promoting effect of K on the incorporation of N into protein, thus the amount of soluble α -amino nitrogen % in cell sap was decreased. This stands in accordance with Mengel and Kirkby (1979).

For the interaction effects, data in Table (6) cleared that the interaction between N and K fertilization only has a significant effect in sodium and potassium percentages in juice of sugar beet roots in both seasons. It is worth to mention to that the lowest Na, K and α -amino nitrogen % were obtained with spraying the of sugar beet foliage with Fe + Mn and applying 100 kg N/ fed and 48 kg K₂O/ fed as soil application. Such effect be attributed to noxious effect of nitrogen in beet under adequate potash supply compared to plants that received inadequate potash because potash also improve nitrogen metabolism (Krauss, 2000).

Perusal of the obtained results, it could be concluded that under the condition of this work when foliar application of iron in combination with manganese and fertilized with nitrogen and potassium as soil application under the rates of 100 kg N/ fed and 48 kg K_2O / fed were very effective in increasing root and sugar yield, purity, sucrose, as well as reducing Na, K and α -amino nitrogen percentage which in turn improved sugar extractability.

REFERENCES

- Aly, A.M.A. and Abou Bakr, A.A., (1995): Response of sugar beet to potassium and boron fertilization. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 10 (7): 504-522.
- A.O.A.C. (1990): Official Methods of Analysis, Association of Official Analysis Chemists, 15th Ed. Washington, USA.
- Barik, S. (2002): Strategy to check falling sugar concentration of sugar beet. Indian J. of Agric. Bio. Chem., 14: (1-2), 47-50.
- Cari, J.R and Roger, E. (2001): Symptoms of micronutrients deficiencies in crops, and how to remedy them. Cari J. Rosen is an extension soil scientist and Roger Eliason is a scientist and laboratory director in the department of Soil, Water, and Climate, Univ. of Minnesota.
- Carruthers, A.J.; Oldfield, F T and Teague, H.J. (1962): Methods for assessment of beet quality. 15th Annual Technical conference, British sugar Corporation LTD 36pp. (C. F. sugar beet crop book, 572-573, 1st

- Chapman, D.H. and Paratt, P.E. (1961): Methods of analysis for Soil, Plant and Water. Univ. California, Agric. Sci. August 2nd printing, 150-179
- EL-Kadi, M.A.; Kamh, R.N. and Johnston, A.E. (2003): Response of sugar beet to Potassium fertilization under the desert conditions of Egypt. Potassium and water management in west Asia and North Africa proceeding of the Regional workshop of the International potash Institute- Amman- Jordan, 5-6-November, 41-48.
- El- Shafai, A.M.A. (2000): Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization on yield and quality of sugar beet in Sohag. Egypt. J. of Agric. Res., (78): 759-767.
- Grzebisz, W. and Bartog, P. (2002): Principals of fertilization. Contemporary beet production. Poznan: 20-28, 62-85.
- Ismail, A.M.A.; Aboushady, Kh.A. and Allam, S.M. (2002): Response of some sugar beet varieties to method of potassium application. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 17 (2): 86-101.
- Kochi, A. (1979): The effect of nitrogen and potassium nutrition on yield and quality of sugar beet. (C.F. Field Crops Abstracts, vol. 32, (5).
- Krauss, A. (2000): Dynamics of potash use and crop production of the world. International potash Institute, Basel, Switzerland.
- Le- Docte, A. (1927): Commercial determination of sugar beet root using the Sacks Le- Docteprocess. Sugar J. 29: 488-492.
- Lucie, J.; Josef, P. and Jaroslav, U. (2004): Effect of harvest time on sugar beet fertilized with increased nitrogen. Food Agric. And Envir. 2 (1): 232-237.
- Mengel, K. and KirKby, E. (1979): Principles of plant nutrition. International potash Institute. Warblaufen. Bern Switzerland.
- Morsy, M.A. and Taha, E.M., (1986): Effect of boron, manganese and their combination on sugar beet under El-Minia condition. 2-concentration and uptake of N, P, K, B and Mn. Annal. of Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., 31:(2), 1241-1259.
- Moustafa, Shafika, N.; Safaa S.M. El-Sayed; Gomaa, A.M.E. and Moustafa Zeinab, R. (2005): Response of sugar beet to nitrogen and sulphur as foliar application. Egypt. J. of Appl. Sci., 20 (9):45-58.
- Negm, N.A. and Hassan, H.M. (1998): Response of sugar beet grown in calcerous soil to iron and manganese. Egypt. J. of Agric. Res., 76: 895-901.
- Neveryanskaya, A.D.; Cromakorskii, L.K.; Volikamova, A.F. and Baranina, I.I. (1978): The effect of treating rootstock with boron, zink and copper on some physiological processes and on the production of grapevine transplants. Kishinev, Moldavich SSR., 67-78 (C. F. Hort. Abs. 49, 1789, 1979).
- Osman, A.M.H.; EL-Sayed, G.S.; Osman, M.S.H. and EL-Sogheir, K.S., (2003): Soil application of some micro- elements with relation to yield and quality of sugar beet varieties. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor 41 (3): 1135-1152.
- Ouda, Soheir, M.M. (2000): Effect of sugar beet in newly reclaimed land. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 27 (1): 1-12.
- Page, A. L. (1982): " Methods of Soil Analysis". Chemical and Microbiological properties. 2nd Ed., Am. Soc. Agron. Inc. Madison. wise, USA.

- Pytlarz, Maria K (2005) The effect of nitrogen fertilization and anti-fungal plant protection on sugar beet yielding. Plant Soil Environ, 51 (5): 232-236.
- Ramadan, B.S.H. (1997): Sugar beet yield and quality as affected by nitrogen and potassium fertilization. Pakistan Sugar J., 11: 8-13.
- Shalaby, S.A. (1998): The response of sugar beet to micro-nutrients as foliar application. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 13 (12): 320-331.
- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1981): Statistical Methods 6th Ed-Lowa State Univ. Press, Amers. Lowa, USA.
- Wettstein, D. (1957): Chlorophyll, Letal und der submikro svopische formmech, sall- plastiden- Exptl Cell Res., 12: 427-433.

تأثير التسميد النيتروجيني والبوتاسي مع أويدون الرش بخليط الحداد و المنجنيز على بعض الصفات الفسيوكيميائيه وإنتاجيه وجوده محصول بناجر السكر

شفيقه نصر مصطفى"، عاطف عبد المجيد المصرى""

- معهد بحوث المحاصيل السكريه مركز البحوث الزراعيه بالجيز.
- معهد بحوث الأراضى والمياه والبيئه مركز البحوث الزراعيه بالجيزه

اقيمت تجربتان حقليتان في موسمي ٢٠٠٤/٢٠٠٣ و ٢٠٠٥/٢٠٠٤ في محطه بحوث سخا بمحافظه كفر الشيخ لدراسه تأثير التسميد النيتروجيني و البوتاسي بمعدلات ٨٠ و ١٠٠ و ٢٠٠ كجم/فدان والتسميد بالبوتاسيوم بمعدلات ٢٠ و ٤٨ كج بو ١/فدان مع أو بدون مخلوط الحديد و المنجنيز بتركيسز ٢٠ و ٣٠ و ٣٠٠ المسحديد) و ٣٠ و ٣٠ و ١٣٠ المسحديد) و ١٠٠ و ١٠٠ المستحديد) و ١٠٠ و ١٠٠ و ١٠٠ المستحديد) و المنجنيز بنجر السكر ١٠٠ و ١٤٠ التعديد و التعديد و محصول بنجر السكر ١٠٠ و

استخدم الصنف بانثير عديد الأجنه. كان التصميم المستخدم قطعا منشقه مرتين في ثلاث مكررات في كلا موسمي الزراعسه، تسم تقدير متوسط مساحه الورقه/بنات سم ٢ وصبغات البناء الضوئي (كلوروفيل أ و ب والكارتينريدات) محم/حم . وزن طازج وأيضا إمتصاص العناصر النيتروجين والبوتاميوم (جم/بات) والحديد والمنجنيز (محم/بات) في كل من الأوراق والجذور في كلا من موسمي الزراعه بعد ١٢٠ يوم من الزراعه وأيضا تم تقدير محصول العرش والجذور والعكر طن/قدان وجوده العصير مثل النمب المؤيه لكل من السكروز و النقاوه وأيضا النسوائب مثل الصوديوم و البوتاميوم و الأحماض الأمينيه النيتروجينيه عند الحصداد. أوضحت النتائج ما يلي :

البناء المضوئي (كلوروفيل أ ، ب والكاروتينويسدات) محمر مساحه الورق/نيات وصديفات البناء المضوئي (كلوروفيل أ ، ب والكاروتينويسدات) محمر المساصر (نيتروجين وبوتاسيوم وحديد ومنجنيز) في كلا مسن الأوراق والجذور في نبات سجر السكر وكذا محصول العرش والجذور والمدكر (طن/ قدان) وكذلك النسب المنويه للممكروز والنقاوه في كلا موسمي الزراعه •

- أدي الرش بالحديد والمنجنيز الي انخفاض في محتوي الشوائب (صوديوم وبوتاسيوم والأحماض الأمينيه النيتروجينيه) في عصير البنجر في موسمى الزراعه ٠
- أدي التسميد بالنيتوجين والبوتاسيوم كل على حده الى زياده معنويسه لمتوسط مساحه الورقه/بنبات وكلوروفيل أ و ب والكارويتنويدات وامتصاص المغنيات في الأوراق والجذور في كلا الموسمين. لوحظ أيضا زياده في محصول العرش والجذر والسكر وأيضا جوده العصير مثل النسب المنويسة للسكروز والنقاوه والشوائب (الصوديوم والبوتاسيوم والأحماض الأمينية النيتروجينية) كنتيجه لتاثير التسميد بالنيتروجين والبوتاسيوم في كلا موسمى الزراعه •
- أعطى الرش بالحديد مع المنجنيز مع المعامله ب ١٢٠ كجم ن/فدان و ٤٨ كجم بوء الرائل بالحديد مع المنجنيز مع المعامله بوء الورقه/نبات وصبغات البنساء الضوني والمغذيات الممتصه في الأوراق والجذور (نيتروجين وبوتاسيوم وحديد ومنجنيز) بعد ١٢٠ يوم من الزراعه وأيضا محصول العرش ومحتوي البوتاسيوم عند الحصاد في كلا موسمى الزراعه .
 - أدى الرش بالحديد مع المنجنيز والتسميد بالنيتروجين بمعدل ١٠٠ كجم ن/فدان مع التسميد بالبوتاسيوم بمعدل ٤٨ كجم بو١/ فدان إلى الحصول على أعلى محصول للجذر والسكر ونسبه مئويه للسكروز والنقاوه. وعلى العكس من ذلك فقد حدث انخفاض واضح في النسبه المؤيه للشوائب (% صوديوم و % للأحماض الأمينيه النيتروحييه) في كلا موسمى الزراعه.