EFFECT OF FAT SUPPLEMENTATION ON PRODUCTIVE AND REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF LACTATING FRIESIAN COWS DURING EARLY LACTATION

Metwally, A M.1; E.M. Abdel-Raouf1; A.A. Shitta2 and Y.M. El-Diahy2

- 1- Animal Prod. Dept., Fac. Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ.
- 2- Animal Prod. Res. Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Min. of Agriculture

ABSTRACT

Eighteen lactating Friesian cows were assigned to three equal groups to study the effect of protected fat and palm oil supplementation on digestibility coefficients, rumen fermentation, some blood constituents, milk yield and its composition and reproductive performance during early lactation. The cows in the first group were fed a basal ration only (G_1) . While, in the other two groups 3% of concentrate feed mixture was replaced by protected fat (G_2) or palm oil (G_3) . The experimental period lasted 15 weeks after calving.

Digestibility trials were carried out and rumen liquor samples were collected at the end of the experimental period. Blood samples were regularly collected from animals at 3 week interval starting from day 21 after calving. Milk yield was recorded weekly, while its contents were analyzed once every three weeks.

Results indicated that feeding protected fat and palm oil significantly (P < 0.05) increased digestibility coefficients of DM, OM, CF, EE and NFE and subsequently TDN and DCP values compared to control group, while, ruminal pH and concentration of TVFA's were not affected. Adversely, ammonia-nitrogen concentration decreased significantly (P < 0.05) with supplementations.

Concentrations of total protein, albumin, globulin, total lipids and HDL in blood serum were highest in cows fed ration supplemented with paim oil. Cows fed protected fat expressed the highest concentrations of total cholesterol, LDL and triglycerides compared with the other two groups.

Actual milk yield and 4% fat corrected milk were significantly (P < 0.05) high for cows fed protected fat followed by cows in G_3 , while the lowest levels were found in the control group. Yields of milk fat, protein, lactose, total solids and solids not fat were significantly (P < 0.05) higher for cows fed protected fat and palm oil compared with cows fed the control rations.

Days open in G_3 recorded the longest period followed by G_2 and the least was in G_1 . Number of insemination per conception in G_2 was 2.0 being insignificantly less than that G_1 and G_3 (2.5). Conception rate within 150 day postpartum and after the third insemination was significantly (P < 0.05) higher in G_3 compared to G_1 and G_2 .

This study indicated that protected fat and palm oil supplementation in ration of dairy cows improved their feed efficiency and reduced the intake of DM and DCP required to produce 1 kg 4% FCM. Moreover, economic efficiency for cows fed protected fat and palm oil was significantly higher compared with cows fed control ration.

Keywords: Friesian cows, protected fat, palm oil, digestibilities, blood, milk composition, reproductive performance.

INTRODUCTION

During early lactation period dairy cows are often forced to draw on body reserves to satisfy energy requirements. For this reason, the addition of fat sources to the diets may be useful to overcome limitations in energy supplies. It is well know that digestible fat supplies 2.25 times as much energy as digestible starch or sugar (Grummer and Carroll, 1991).

Cows fed added fat usually attain the peak daily milk yield a couple of weeks later and they maintain their production with greater persistency, which increases milk production by 2-12%. Also, cows fed added fat have less weight loss in early lactation, which may improve reproductive efficiency and depress cases of ketosis (McDonald *et al.*, 1995).

Fats often tend to improve rations by reducing dusting, increasing palatability and generally increase absorption of fat-soluble nutrient such as the fat-soluble vitamins (Church, 1991).

Also, the resulting milk may have a fatty acid profile with perceived human health benefits such as reduced coronary vascuced inflammatory and autoimmune disorders (Christensen et al., 1994).

Fat is one of these nutrients and it apparently enhances postpartum reproduction either by increasing the energy status of the animal or by other processes independent of energy intake. In both cases, stimulation of ovarian follicular growth and luteal function (Lucy et al., 1992 and Thomas and Williams, 1996). Evidence also suggests that supplemental fatty acids may improve the fertility of dairy cows by influencing energy balance in early lactation (Staples et al., 1998).

Therefore, the present study was carried out to study the effects of adding protected fat or palm oil to the ration of dairy Friesian cows on digestibility coefficient, nutritive value, rumen fermentation, metabolic blood serum, milk production and its composition and reproductive performance of lactating Friesian cows during the first 15th weeks postpartum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eighteen healthy lactating Friesian cows from the Sakha Animal Production Research Station, Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture were used in the present study from the first week postpartum up to the 15^{th} week after calving. The animals were in the 3^{rd} or 4^{th} parity and their live weights ranged from 550 to 560 kg. The animals were randomly allotted into three equal groups (6 in each). The first group (G_1) was fed the basal ration consisted of concentrate feed mixture (CFM), berseem hay and rice straw (control group), while in the other two groups, 3% of CFM was replaced by either protected fat, Magnapac (G_2) or palm oil, Estiarin (G_3) Table (1). The offered daily feeds were assessed to cover the requirements according to NRC (2001). The CFM was individually weighed and offered twice daily during machine milking while roughages were fed in-group during the daytime. Water was available for animal all times.

At the end of the experiment, digestibility trials were conducted using three cows from each group to determine the digestion coefficient and nutritive value of the experimental rations. Feces samples were collected twice daily during the collection period (7 days). Rumen liquor samples were collected 3 hours after morning feeding on the last day of the collection period using a stomach tube. Ruminal pH was determined directly by using Beckman

pH-meter. Thereafter, 1 ml of saturated mercuric chloride was added to the samples to inhibit the microbial activity and then filtered for later analysis. The concentration of ammonia-N (NH₃-N) was determined by using magnesium oxide distillation (AOAC, 1990). Total volatile fatty acids (TVFA's) were determined applying steam distillation methods (Eadie *et al.*, 1967).

Blood samples were collected from the jugular vein every 3 weeks from all the experimental cows starting from the third week postpartum and continued until the week 15 after calving. Blood serum was separated by centrifugation of clotted blood at 3000 r.p.m for 10 minutes and kept at -20°C until chemical analysis. Total protein, albumin, globulin (by difference), lipids, triglyceride, cholesterol, low density lipoprotein (LDL), high density lipoprotein (HDL), urea, glucose, activity of glutamic oxaloacetic (GOT) and glutamic pyruvic transaminase (GPT) were determined as described by Varoley (1976).

Table (1): Average daily feed intake (kg/day) by lactating Friesian cows fed the different experimental rations.

14	Ē.	SEM		
item	G1	G2	G3	2 SEIM
Concentrate feed mixture	9.92	8.84	9.05	-
Berseem hay	6.07	5.78	5.54	-
Rice straw	4.01	3.80	3.66	-
Protected fat	-	0.51	-	-
Palm oil	-	-	0.49	-
DM intake	17.94 ^a	17.00 ^b	16.37 ^c	0.17
TDN intake	10.82⁵	11.69 ^a	10.84 ^b	0.12
DCP intake	1.40 ^a	1.35 ^{ab}	1.31 ^b	0.01

a, b and c: Value in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P < 0.05).

G1: control, G2 & G3: supplemented with protected fat and oil, respectively.

Milk yield was recorded individually weekly during the experimental period. Milk fat, protein, lactose, total solids and ash were determined biweekly from consecutive evening and morning milking by using MILKO SCAN (133 BN, FOSS Electric). The interval from calving to both of first estrus, first insemination and conception (days open) were calculated with the number of service per conception. Moreover, number of successful pregnancy was calculated during the first 150 days postpartum.

Economic efficiency of milk production calculated as follows:

Economic efficiency = income of 4% fat corrected milk / cost of feed consumption.

Whereas, the price of one ton were 1000 LE for 4% fat corrected milk, 700 LE for concentrate feed mixture, 400 LE for berseem hay, 50 LE for rice straw, 2900 LE for protected fat and 1700 LE for palm oil during year 2003.

The data were statistically analyzed using General Linear Models Procedure Adapted by SPSS (1997) for User's Guide, (one way ANOVA Model). Where appropriate means were separted using Dunchas multiple range tests (Duncan, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data in Table (2) show that cows fed protected fat ration (G_2) had significantly (P < 0.05) higher digestibility coefficients of DM, OM, CF EE, NFE and TDN value followed by cows fed ration supplemented with oil (G_3); while the control cows had the lowest values. Cows in G_3 had recorded the highest digestibility coefficient of CP and subsequently DCP value followed by the cows in G_2 and G_1 , respectively. These results cleared that protected fat as well as oil supplementation in the experimental ration of dairy cows improved the digestibility and nutritive values of the ration. In this respect, Chouinard *et al.* (1998) found that the addition of protected fat in the ration of Holestein dairy cows improved nutrients digestibilities and nutritive values. Also, El-Bedawy (1995) reported that fat supplementation eighter form oil or Ca-soap led to significantly increase EE digestibility from 70 to 90%, which could be due to the high digestibility of fatty acids in the supplementary fat ration.

Table (2): Average digestibility coefficients, nutritive values and rumen activity in lactating Friesian cows feed different rations.

activity in factating Frieslan cows feed different fations.								
ltem	Ex	SEM						
item	(G ₁)	(G ₂)	(G ₃)	SEM				
Digestibility coefficients								
Dry matter (DM)	62.38°	68.51 ^a	65.34 ^b	0.68				
Organic matter (OM)	65.76°	71.88 ^a	68.53 ^b	0.69				
Crude protein (CP)	61.56 ^b	65.29 ^a	65.99ª	0.62				
Crude fiber (CF)	59.47 ^b	64.88°	60.24 ^b	0.94				
Ether extract (EE)	63.80°	83.18 ^a	77.03 ^b	2.27				
Nitrogen free extract (NFE)	68.85°	74.54 ^a	71.10 ^b	0.69				
Nutritive value (%)				1				
TDN	60.30°	68.78ª	66.24 ^b	0.97				
DCP	7.79 ^b	7.94 ^{ab}	8.03 ^a	0.05				
Rumen activity								
pH value	6.51	6.51	6.46	0.06				
VFA's (mM/L)	20.86ª	18.34 ^b	18.90 ⁵	0.70				
Ammonia (mg %)	15.11 ^a	13.77 ⁶	11.88 ^c	0.94				

a, b and c: Value in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P < 0.05). G1: control, G2 & G3: supplemented with protected fat and oil, respectively.

In contrast, ruminal pH value was not affected by fat or oil supplementation. These results agreed with those obtained by Chalupa *et al.* (1986). In the same time, the concentrations of TVFA's and ammmonia-N in the ruminal liquor of the cows fed supplemental fat or oil were significantly lower compared with that in control cows. These results might be attributed to the fact that fat supplementation reduced the degradability of protein by rumen microbial mainly at addition of oil to ration. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Tjarde *et al.* (1998), Onetti *et al.* (2001) and Demeterova *et al.* (2002), who reported that the addition of Ca-salt of fatty acid in ration of cows caused decrease concentration of VFA's and NH₃-N in rumen animals compared with cows fed ration without fat addition.

The differences in the concentrations of blood serum protein, albumin, globulin, urea and glucose were significant (P<0.05) among the different experimental groups. In this respect, the highest values were shown by the cows fed ration treated with palm oil, while the cows fed supplemental protected fat had the lowest value. The differences in total protein, albumin and globulin concentrations were not significant between G1 and G2 (Table, These finding suggest that, supplementation of palm oil for the basal ration may increase the hepatic through an increase in synthesis of protein mainly albumin and globulin. This means that protected fat did not cause damage of liver. In addition, palm oil may increase glucose absorption. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Avila et al. (2000), Fahey et al. (2001) and Baraghit et al. (2003), who found that concentrations of blood total protein, albumin, globulin and glucose were higher in dairy cows and growing calves fed rations supplemented with protected fat. The increase in these parameters (Table 3) may reflect improvement of rumen fermentation, digestion rate and absorption (Kovacs et al., 1998).

It clearly appears the protected fat and oil supplemented with ration significantly (P < 0.05) decrease in the concentration of blood serum urea. These results agree with those reported by Demeterova *et al.* (2002), who reported that blood urea level decreased significantly with protected fat supplementation in ration of dairy cows.

In addition, concentrations of serum protein, albumin and globulin were significantly (P < 0.05) affected by lactation period, while urea and glucose levels were not affected (Table, 3). However, these parameters were fluctuated during the experimental period, which may be due to milk production, reproductive hormones or other factors. Petit *et al.* (2001) and Francisco *et al.* (2002) reported that these parameters fluctuated from calving to lactation end and such fluctuation may be due to activity of rumen fermentation, level of milk production, nutrition, and reproductive hormones. Furthermore, Avila *et al.* (2000) and Salado *et al.* (2004) demonstrated that the changes in blood glucose may be due to change in insulin hormone during this period.

Data in Table (4) indicate that the overall mean of total blood serum lipids, triglycerides, cholesterol, HDL and LDL concentrations were significantly (P < 0.05) higher in G_2 and G_3 when compared with control group. Moreover, the cows fed the basal diet with palm oil had a significant higher lipids and HDL while the concentrations of triglycerides, total cholesterol and LDL were recorded the highest value in cows fed protected fat. An increase in these parameters for treated cows could be attributed to increased their absorption from the gut to the blood or to increase of nepatic function. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Khalaf et al. (1986), Sklan et al. (1989) and Selberg et al. (2004), who found that the concentrations of the respective parameters in blood serum or plasma of dairy cows increased with protected fat or palm oil supplementation in ration. The increase might be due to increasing fat absorption in the elementary tract (Selberg et al., 2004).

(Mear Experimental		La	ctation period (we	ek)		Overall mean
group	3	6	9	12	15	
			Total protein (mg	/d L)	<u> </u>	
G ₁	7.08±0.06 ^{Bb}	7.47±0.10 ^{Aa}	7.33+0.05 ^{Ha}	7.53+0.07 ^{Ba}	6.82±0.06 ^{Cc}	7.25 <u>+</u> 0.06 ^B
G ₂	6.97+0.07 ^{Bb}	6.88+0.06 ⁶⁰	7.20+0.06 ^{Ba}	7.40+0.07 ^{Ba}	7.22+0.1 ^{Ba}	7.13 <u>+</u> 0.05 ^B
G_3	8.17+0.10 ^{A3}	7.42+0.06	7.90 <u>+</u> 0.07 ^{Ab}	7.87 <u>+</u> 0.03 ^{Ab}	7.73+0.07 ^{Ab}	7.82±0.05 ^A
Overall mean	7.41+0.10 ^{ab}	7.26±0.08 ^b	7.48±0.08 ^{ab}	7.60±0.06 ^a	7.26±0.10 ^b	7.40+0.04
			Albumin (mg/d	L)		
G ₁	4.03±0.04 ^{ABab}	4.05±0.04 ^{Aab}	3.97+0.07 ^{Bb}	4.15+0.04 ^{Aa}	3.52+0.05 ^{BC}	3.94±0.05 ^B
G ₂	3.90+0.06	3.73+0.05 ^{BC}	4.08+0.03 ^{Ba}	3.90+0.06 ^{Bb}	4.02+0.06 ^{Aab}	3.93+0.03 ^B
G_3	4.20+0.07 ^A	4.13+0.04 ^A	4.28 <u>+</u> 0.06 ^A	4.18±0.06 ^A	4.18 <u>+</u> 0.07 ^A	4.20±0.03 ^A
Overall mean	4.()4±0.04 ^{ab}	3.97±0.05 ^{ab}	4.11+0.04 ^a	4.08±0.04 ^a	3.91+0.08 ^b	4.02+0.02
			Globulin (mg/d	L)		
G ₁	3.05±0.08 ^{Bb} 3.07±0.10 ^{Bb}	3.41+0.10 ^a	3.35±0.10 ^{ABa}	3.38±0.07 ^{Ba}	3.30±0.30 ^{ABab}	3.30±0.05 ^B
G_2	3.07 ± 0.10^{86}	3.15 ± 0.09^{b}	3.23±0.10 ^{Bab}	3.50+0.05 ^{ABA}	3.20+0.10 ^{Bb}	3.23 ± 0.05^{B}
G_3	3.93 <u>+</u> 008 ^{Aa}	3.28±0.04d	3.62+0.09 ^{ADC}	3.68 <u>+</u> 0.08 ^{Ab}	3.45+0.07 ^{Ac}	3.59 <u>+</u> 0.05 ^A
Overall mean	3.35±0.10 ^{ab}	3.28±0.06 ^b	3.40 <u>+</u> 0.07 ^{ao}	3.52±0.05°	3.32+0.05 ^{ab}	3.37 <u>+</u> 0.03
			Urea (mg/dL)	_		
G ₁	17.68±0.4 ^{Ba}	16.80 <u>+</u> 0.2 ^{Bab}	15.73±0.3 ^{8b}	15.85±0.4 ⁸⁶	15.83±0.4 ^{Bb}	16.38±0.2 ⁸
G ₂	15.53±0.6 ^{Cab}	16.20 <u>+</u> 0.4 ^{Ba}	16.87 <u>+</u> 0.5 ^{Ba}	15.68±0.5 ^{Bab}	14.53 <u>+</u> 0.1 ^{Сь}	15.76 <u>±</u> 0.2 ⁰
G_3	19.25 <u>+</u> 0.4 ^A	19.65±034 ^A	20.03±0.4 ^A	19.50±0.4 ^A	18.93 <u>+</u> 0.3 ^A	19.47 <u>+</u> 0.2 ⁴
Overall mean	17.49+0.5	17.55 <u>+</u> 0.4	17.54 <u>+</u> 0.5_	17.01 <u>+</u> 0.5	16.43 <u>+</u> 0.5	17.21±0.2
			Glucose (mg/d	L)		
G ₁	67.33±0.3 ^B	67.38±0.2 ^B	67.43+0.2 ^B	67.45±0.28	67.43±0.2 ^B	67.41 <u>+</u> 0.1 ^B
G ₂	63.50 <u>±</u> 0.8 [℃]	64.38±0.4 ^{Cbc}	65.17 <u>±</u> 0.4 ^{Cbc}	66.40 <u>+</u> 0.7 ^{8a}	65.57±1.0 ^{Bab}	65.40±0.4°
G_3	70.62 <u>+</u> 0.4 ^A	70. <u>90±</u> 0.3 ^A	71.18±0.3 ^A	71.38+0.4 ^A	71.55 <u>+</u> 0.8 ^A	71.13 <u>+</u> 0.2 ^A
Overall mean	67.15+0.8	67.56+0.7	67.93+0.6	68.40±0.6	68.85±0.7	67.98+0.3

a, b, c and A, B, C: Values within rows and columns, respectively for each character with different superscripts significantly differ (P<0.05) G1: control, G2 & G3: supplemented with protected fat and oil, respectively.

Overall

161.13+0.8^C

184.1+2.3

67.41+0.3^c

159.80+2.1^c

185.7+5.1

67.55+0.4^e

Experimental

G٩ Overall mean

G₁

Table (4): Effect of supplemented protected fat and oil on some blood serum constituents in lactating Friesian cows during early lactation period (Mean + SE).

Lactation period (week)

3 6 group 12 15 mean Total lipids (mg/dL) 404.0+1.4^{Ca} 524.0±3.6^{ca} 553.8±6.7^{Bb} 456.5+7.6^{ct} 370.0+6.3^{cd} 461.67+5.2^{cb} 443.23+10.1 G₁ 513.87±15.5^B 376.2+11.5^{Bd} 555.8+3.6^{Bb} 472.0±5.8^{Bc} 611.50+4.0^{ba} G_2 420.83+5.9^{Ac} 584.0+5.3^{Ac} 626.3+6.5^{Ab} G_3 533.5+7.3and 668.67+7.2^{Aa} 566.67+16.12ª 400.33+6.1 458.5+16.7^b 553.9+6.6° 507.92+9.7 Overall mean 546.2+17.2ª 580.6+21.4ª Triglycerides (mg/dL) 27.28+0.8⁸ 27.45+0 48 27.87+0.4⁸ 27.25+0.6^t 27.52+0.4^c 27.47+0.2^E G۱ 39.08 ± 0.8^{A} 39.80 ± 0.7^{A} 40.23+0.4^A 39.00 ± 0.7^{A} 40.48 ± 0.2^{A} $39.72 + 0.3^{a}$ G_2 38.65+0.2^{Bb} 39.27+0.2^{Aa} 39.10+0.3^{Aab} 39.03+0.2^{aab} 39.53+0.2^{Aa} G_{3} $39.12 + 0.1^{A}$ 35.21+1.0 35.59+1.0 35 16+1.0 35.55+1.0 Overall mean 35.67+1.0 35.44+0.6 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 167.65+1.8^{Bt} 167.20+1.5^{Bc} 195.45+1.8⁸ 192.85+1.7^{Ba} 186.73+2.7^{Bb} G₁ 181.98+2.4 209.11+0.6^A G_2 208.73+1.9a $207.95 + 1.2^a$ 209.02+1.9^A 209.43+1.0^A $210.42 + 1.0^{A}$

161.03+1.5^C

188.5+5.0

High density lipoprotein HDL (mg/dL)

67.60+0.6°

160.30+1.5^C

187.5+5.0

67.93+0.9°

G_2	81.00±0.8 ^{Bb}	84 45+1 0 ^{Ba}	82.48+0.9 ^{Bab}	83.48+1.0 ^{Bab}	80.90+0.4 ^{Bb}	82.46+0.5 ^B
G_3	90.62±0.5 ^A	89.57 <u>+</u> 0.7 ^A	90.48±0.8 ^A	90.85±0.8 ^A	89.25 <u>+</u> 0.6 ^A	90.25 ± 0.3^{A}
Overall mean	79.4 <u>+</u> 2.0	80.5 <u>+</u> 2.0	80.2 <u>+</u> 2.0	80.8 <u>+</u> 2.0	79.2 <u>+</u> 2.0	80.0 <u>+</u> 1.0
			ity lipoprotein Ll			
G ₁	97.28±3.8 ^{Bb}	94.20 <u>+</u> 1.8 ^{8b}	121.07 <u>+</u> 2.0 ^{Aa}	119.43+2.0 ^{A3}	113.70+2.8 ^{8a}	109.14 <u>+</u> 2.4
G ₂	119.93+2.4 ^{Aab}		118.53+1.0 Aab	118.08+1.6 ^{Aab}		118.72±0.7 ^A
G ₃	63.85 <u>+</u> 1.7 ^C	64.57 <u>+</u> 2.1	62.72 <u>+</u> 2.0 ^B	61.63 <u>+</u> 1.6 ^B	62.82 + 2.2 ^C	63.12 <u>+</u> 0.8 ^c
Overall mean	93.69 <u>+</u> 6.0	91.44+5.0	100.77 <u>+</u> 7.0	99.72 <u>+</u> 7.0	99.34 <u>+</u> 6.0	96.99 <u>+</u> 2.7
a, b, c and A, B, C	: Values within r	ows and columns; resp	pectively for each ch	aracter with differen	t superscripts signifi	cantly differ (P <

^{0.05}).

G1: control, G2 & G3: supplemented with protected fat and oil, respectively. . .

162.02+1.8^C

179 1+5.0

67.38+1.0^c

162.52+1.7^B

179.6+5.1

66 58+0 9^c

This cleared with the results in Table (2), whereas the cows fed fat supplementation had better the digestibilities coefficient and autritive values.

The obtained data revealed that the lowest values were recorded at the start of the experiment and the highest values at the end of the experimental period. The corresponding balance changes may be due to changes in hormone and organs physiological function. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Thomas *et al.* (1997), who reported that these parameters increased gradually with progress of lactation period. An increase may be attributed to greater quantity of fatty acid absorbed from fat supplementation in rations (Graton, 1965) and/or the fact that feeding fat is associated with depression in lipogenic enzyme activities by liver and adipose tissue lead to increase synthesis of all components of lipids in blood (Steele, 1980).

Milk production expressed as actual milk yield and 4% fat corrected milk (FCM) were significantly (P < 0.05) greater for cows fed supplemented ration with either protected fat or palm oil for cows fed control ration (Table, 5). These results—could be attributed to increasing energy content of the treated rations by fat supplementation and due to improve the nutritive values of supplemented rations as shown in Table (2). Milk yield increased in G_2 and G_3 by 5.76 and 4.3 kg/day, while FCM increased by 4.97 and 4.15 kg/day, respectively when compared with animals in G_1 . Moreover, these results are in agreement with those obtained by Garbswortuy (1996) and Moallem *et al.* (1997), who found that the actual milk yield and fat corrected milk response to fat supplementation were significantly higher compared to the control group. Moreover, Petit *et al.* (2001) reported that milk production was greater for cows fed protected fat than those fed protected oil.

Furthermore, milk production progressively increased after calving reaching the peak production at 4-8 weeks of the lactation and afterwards it decreased progressively reaching its minimum level at the 14th week of lactation (Table 5). These results are similar with those reported by Valdez *et al.* (1988), who suggested that changes in milk yield and its contents during early lactation period may be due to changes in the level of profactin hormone secretion, efficiency of the udder secretory cells and some other metabolic factors.

Yields of fat, protein, lactose, total solids and solids not fat in milk of cows fed ration supplemented with protected fat increased by 34.62, 37.84, 36.36, 36.30 and 37.17%, while cows treated with palm oil increased by 30.77, 29.73, 28.79, 29.70 and 29.20%, respectively in comparing with cows fed ration without supplementation (Table, 6). The same trend was repoted by Bayourthe *et al.* (2000), Benson *et al.* (2001) and Reksen *et al.* (2002), who found that yields of milk contents increased significantly in dairy cows fed rations supplemented with either protected fat or palm oil as compared to unsupplemented. An increase in these contents may be due to improve the ruminal microbes in treated cows and improve efficiency both gut and udder in absorption to and from blood, respectively (Drackley and Elloitt, 1993 and Benson *et al.*, 2001).

ı	Experimental	Experimental Lactation period (week) Overall med						Overail mean		
	group	2	4	6	8	10	12	14		
	Milk yield (kg/d)									
	G ₁	12.70±0.70 ^{BE}	16.94±1.1 ^{Ba}	17.01±0.7 ^{Ba}	17.60±0.9 ⁶⁹	15.30±1.0 ^{Bab}	13.74 <u>+</u> 1.3 ^{Bh}	13.73 <u>+</u> 1.2 ^{Bb}	15.30 <u>+</u> 0.5	
İ	G ₂	18.76±0.6 ^{ad}	22.92 <u>+</u> 0.8 ^{Aab}		22.70±0.7 ^{Aab}	21.29 <u>1</u> 0.6 ^{Abc}	19.82±0.6 ^{Acd}	18.61±0.45 ^{Ad}	21.06 <u>+</u> 0.4 ^A	
L	G ₃	18.95±1.0 ^{Abc}	21.95±0.9 ^{Aa}	22.25±0.6 ^{Aa}	20.41±1.0 ^{Aab}		17.91±0.95 ^{Auc}		19.60 <u>+</u> 0.4 ^B	
	Overall mean	16.80±0.8 ^b	20.60±0.8°	20.85 <u>+</u> 0.7 ^a	20.26±0.7°	18.53±0.8 ^{ab}	17.20 <u>+</u> 0.8 ^b	16.34 <u>+</u> 0.7 ^b	18.65 <u>+</u> 0.3	
. [4% Fat corrected milk (kg/d)									
ı,	G ₁	11.27 <u>+</u> 0.6 ⁸⁶	15.90 <u>+</u> 1.6 ^{Ba}	14.60±1.0 ^{Bab}	15.84±1.4 ^a	13.82±1.1 ^{Bab}	11.83±0.63 ^{Bb}	14.43 <u>+</u> 1.2 ^{a5}	13.95 <u>+</u> 0.5	
1	G_2	18.60±1.0°	20.47 <u>+</u> 1.3 ^a	19.33 <u>+</u> 0.9 ^a	19.01 <u>±</u> 1.1	19.21±1.1 ^a	18.19 <u>+</u> 0.9 ^A	17.65 <u>+</u> 0.9	18.92 <u>+</u> 0.4 ^A	
	G ₃	19.28+1.3 ^{Aabc}	20.41+1.3 ^{aa}	19.90±1.2 ^{Aab}				16.89±1.2 ^{bc}	18.1 <u>+</u> 0.5 ^A	
Į.	Overall mean	16.38±1.0 ^{ab}	18.92±0.9 ^a	17.93 <u>+</u> 0.8 ^{ab}	17.17 <u>+</u> 0.7 ^{ab}	16.71 <u>+</u> 0.8 ^{ab}	15.45+0.8 ^b	16.33+0.7 ^{ab}	16.98±0.3	

a, b, c and A, B; C: Values within rows and columns; respectively for each character, with different superscripts are significantly differ (P < 0.05).

G1: control, G2 & G3: supplemented with protected fat and oil, respectively. . .

Table (6): Effect of supplemented protected fat and oil on some milk yields for lactating Friesian cows (Mean + SE).

Experimental	Lactation period (week)							Overall mean
group	2	4	6	8	10	12	14]
Fat yield (kg/d)								
G ₁	0.41±0.04 ^{Bc}	0.61±0.08 ^a	0.52±0.05 ^{Bab}	0.59 ± 0.08 ab	0.51±0.05 ^{Babc}	0.42±0.03 ^{Bbc}	0.60+0.05°	0.52±0.02 ⁸
G_2	0.74 ± 0.06^{A}	0.75±0.07	C	0.66+0.06	0.71 ± 0.06^{A}	0.68±0.05°	0.68±0.05	0.70±0.02 ^A
G_3	0.78 <u>+</u> 0.07 ^{Aa}	0.78±0.07°	0.67±0.06 ^{AB}	0.57±0.04 ^b	0.63±0.05 ^{abab}	0.61 ± 0.03^{aab}	0.68±0.05 ^{ab}	0.68 ± 0.02^{A}
			$0.73\pm0.08^{\text{Aab}}$				<u></u>]
Overall mean	0.64+0.05 ^{ab}	0.71±0.04 ^a	0.64 ± 0.04^{ab}	0.60 ± 0.03^{ab}	0.62±0.03 ^{ab}	0.57±0.03°	0.65 <u>+</u> 0.03 ^{ab}	0.64 <u>+</u> 0.015
			Pr	otein yield (k	g/d)			
G;	0.28±0.04 ^{Bh}	0.41±0.05 ^{Ba}	0.38±0.03 ^{Ba5}	0.43±0.03 ^a	0.36±0.01 ^{8ab}	0.32±0.03 ^{8ab}	0.39±0.06°	0.37±0.02 ^B
G_2	0.54 ± 0.09^{Aab}	0.54+0.03 ^{aab}	0.58+0.06 ^{Aa}	0.47+0.03 ^{ab}	0.49±0.03 ^{Aab}	0.52+0.03 ^{Aab}	0.42±0.03 ^b	0.51 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^A
G ₃	0.42+0.04 ^{abc}	0.52±0.02 ^{Aab}	0.57 ± 0.02^{Aa}	0.47+0.02 ^{bc}	0.44 ± 0.05^{abbc}	0.46±0.01 ^{Abc}	0.44+0.02 ^{bc}	0.48±0.01 ^A
Overall mean	0.41 <u>+</u> 0.04 ^b	0.49 ± 0.03^{ab}	0.51±0.03 ^a	0.46±0.02 ^{ab}	0.43+0.02 ^{ab}	0.43±0.03 ^{ab}	0.42±0.02 ^b	0.45±0.01
			La	ctose yield (k	(g/d)			
G ₁	0.53±0.03 ^{Bc}	0.74 ± 0.07^{Bo}	0.74±0.03 ^{Ba}	0.77±0.04 ^{Ba}	0.70 <u>+</u> 0.05 ^{Bab}	0.53 ± 0.03^{BC}	0.59 ± 0.06^{Bbc}	0.66±0.02 ^B
G₂	0.76±0.06 ^{Ac}	0.99+0.07 ^{Aa}	1.01 <u>+</u> 0.03 ^{Aa}	1.01 <u>+</u> 0.04 ^{Aa}	0.92+0.03 ^{Aab}	0.83±0.02 ^{Abc}	0.79 <u>+</u> 0.04 ^{Abc}	0.90 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^A
G ₃	0.79±0.04 ^{Abc}	0.94+0.04 ^{Aa}		0.91±0.04 ^{Aab}	0.83±0.05 ^{Aabc}	0.76±0.04 ^{Ac}	0.72±0.05 ^{abc}	0.85 ± 0.02^{A}
Overall mean	0.70±0.04 ^b	0.89±0.04 ^a	0.90±0.03 ^a	0.90 <u>+</u> 0.03 ^a	0.82±0.03°	0.71 <u>+</u> 0.04 ⁶	0.70±0.03 ^b	0.80+0.015
			Tota	l solids yield	(kg/d)			
G ₁	1.31±0.07 ^{Bb}	1.87±0.2 ^{Ba}	1.76±0.08 ^{8a}	1.91+0.1 ^{Ba}	1.68±0.1 ^{Hab}	1.36 <u>+</u> 0.08 ⁸⁶	1.67±0.2 ^{ab}	1.65 <u>+</u> 0.06 ⁸
G₂	2.16±0.08 ^{Aab}	2.44+0.2 ^{Aa}	2.38±0.1 ^{Aa}	2.30±0.09 ^{Aab}	2.27±0.1 ^{Aab}	2.17±0.1 ^{Aab}	2.02±0.09 ^b	2.25 <u>+</u> 0.04 ^A
G ₃	2.12+0.09 ^{Ab}	2.40+0.1 ^{Aa}		2.10±0.08 ^{abb}	2.04±0.1 ^{Ab}	1.95+0.06 ^{ab}	1.95 <u>+</u> 0.1⁰	2.14+0.04 ^A
Overall mean	1.87±0.1 ^b	2.24+0.1 ^a	2.20±0.09 ^a	2.10±0.07 ^{ab}	2.00 <u>+</u> 0.08 ^{a6}	1.83±0.09 ^b	1.88 <u>+</u> 0.08 ^b	2.10±0.04
	Solids not fat yield kg/d)							
G ₁	0.90 <u>+</u> 0.07 ^{Bc}	1.30+0.3 ^{Ba}	1.24±0.1 ⁸⁸	1.32+0.2 ^{Ba}	1.17±0.2 ^{Bab}	0.93±0.2 ^{Boc}	1.07±0.1 ^{abc}	1.13±0.04°
G₂	1.42+0.07 ^{Acd}	1.69±0.1 ^{Aab}	1.71+0.05 ^{Aa}	1.63±0.05 ^{Aab}	1.56+0.05 ^{Aabc}	1.49+0.06 ^{Abcd}	1.34 <u>±</u> 0.06 ^d	1.55±0.03 ^A
G₃	1.34+0.06 ^{Ac}	1.62 <u>+</u> 0.06 ^{Aa}	1.69±0.04 ^{Aa}	1.53 <u>+</u> 0.06 ^{Aab}	1.41±0.08 ^{ABC}	1.34+0.05 ^{Ac}	1.27±0.06°	1.46±0.03 ⁸
Overall mean	1.22±0.07 ⁶	1.53±0.07 ^a	1.55 <u>+</u> 0.06°	1.49+0.05°	1.38+0.05 ^{ab}	1.26 <u>+</u> 0.06 ^b	1.23+0.06 ^b	1.38+0.03

a, b, c, d and A, B, C: Values within rows and columns; respectively for each character with different superscripts are significantly differ (P < 0.05).

G1: control, G2 & G3: supplemented with protected fat and oil, respectively.

Other studies found that protected fat or palm oil had no effect on milk composition, but significantly affected its yield (Bayourthe *et al.*, 2000 and Petit *et al.*, 2001).

On the other hand, yields of milk components were significantly (P < 0.05) affected by lactation period, whereas they reached the maximum value between the 4th and 8th weeks postpartum and then gradually decreased. These results are in agreement with those reported by Spicer *et al.* (2000) and Francisco *et al.* (2002). Changes in these contents during early lactation period may be due to the change in the hormonal status efficiency of the udder, type of the nutrition and some other factors (Chouinard *et al.*, 1998).

The lactating Friesian cows fed ration supplemented with palm oil had shorter period to express the first estrous after calving and longer period of days open. While, the cows in G_1 had shorter period to days open. Moreover, number of insemination per conception was more than and equal in G_1 and G_3 compared with that in G_2 (Table, 7). It clearly appears that the differences in number of insemination per conception and day open length among the different groups were not significant. However, the cows fed ration treated with protected fat or palm oil had longer days open and this may be due to the increase in milk production, which would delay pregnancy.

These results are in agreement with these obtained by Moallem *et al.* (1997) and Thatcher *et al.* (1999), who found that cows fed protected fat or oil had longer days open when compared with cows fed ration without additives. This may be due to delay in resumption of ovarian cyclicity and disappearance of behavioral symptoms of estrus in Ca-salt of fatty acids fed cows (Butler and Smith, 1989).

Moreover, conception rate after the 3^{rd} insemination within 150 days postpartum was higher in G_3 (100%), while the cows in both G_1 and G_2 were similar (66.8%). In this respect, Thatcher *et al.* (1999) reported that reproductive hormones in blood plasma are enhanced by fat or oil supplementation, possibly by stimulating the synthesis of its precursor (cholesterol) whereas an increase inspection of these hormones may be enhance conception and embryo survival.

Data in Table (8) show that the amounts of DM, TDN and DCP intake required to produce 1 kg 4% FCM for cows given control ration were significantly (P < 0.05) higher than that for cows fed protected fat or oil. These results revealed that protected fat and palm oil supplementation improved feed efficiency of lactating Friesian cows and in the same time agree with the results in Table (2). Moreover, supplementation of protected fat and palm oil led to reduce their demanded of DM, TDN and DCP to milk production. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Omer (1999), who found that Ca-salts of fatty acids supplementation in ration of Friesian calves improved feed efficiency.

The present results show that cows fed supplemental protected fat and palm oil had greater economic efficiency by 35.59 an 29.71% than cows given control ration, respectively. These results could be attributed to the higher milk yield and lower intake of DM, TDN and DCP for cows treated with fat and oil.

Table (7): Effect of supplemented protected fat and oil on reproductive performance in lactating Friesian cows.

Item		Overall mean		
	G ₁	G ₂	G ₃	
Interval from calving to first estrus (day)	67.83±11.0°	69.00±8.5ª	40.67±3.6°	59.17+5.5
Interval from first estrus to conception (day)	24.75±5.5 ^b	33.0 <u>+</u> 15.0 ^{nb}	68.0±13.0 ^a	45.64 <u>+</u> 8.6
Days open (day)	92.58±9.8	102.00 <u>+</u> 2.00	108.67+12.4	99.93+8.3
Number of insemination/conception	2.5±0.4	2.00 <u>+</u> 0.2	2.5+0.3	2.33+0.20
Conception rate (%) at the:			_	_
First service	(0/6) 0.00 <u>+</u> 0.00	(1/6) 16.67 <u>+</u> 16.7	(0/6) 0.00 <u>+</u> 0.00	5.56 <u>+</u> 5.56
Second service	(4/6) 66.67 <u>+</u> 21.1	(2/5) 40.00 <u>+</u> 24.5	(3/6) 50.0±22.4	52.9±1 2 .5
Third service	$(0/2) 0.00\pm0.00^{b}$	(1/3) 33.33±33.3 ^{ab}	(3/3) 100.00 ^a	50.9+18.9
Conception rate from the 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd insem.	(4/6) 66.67±21.1 ^b	(4/6) 66.67±21.1 ^b	(6/6) 100.00±0.0°	77.8 <u>+</u> 10.08
Conception rate % at:			,	_
Day 90	(2/6) 33.33±21.1	(2/6) 33.33 <u>+</u> 21.1	(2/6) 33.33 <u>+</u> 21.1	33.33±11.43
Day 120	(4/6) 66.67 <u>+</u> 28.9 ^a	((2/6) 33.33±21.1 ^b	$(3/6) 50.00 \pm 25.0^{ab}$	50.00 <u>+</u> 13.06
Day 150	(4/6) 66.67±28 9 ^b	(4/6) 66.67±28.9 ^b	(6/6) 100.00°	77.78 <u>+</u> 17.6

a, b and c: Values in the same row with different superscripts are significantly differ (P < 0.05).

G1: control, G2 & G3: supplemented with protected fat and oil, respectively.

These results agreed with those obtained by Omer (1999), who found that Friesian calves fed ration supplemented with Ca-salts fatty acids had better in economic efficiency.

Table (8): Feed and economic efficiency of lactating cows fed the experimental rations

14	Experimental group						
1tem	G1	G2	G3	SEM			
Feed efficiency			·				
DM intake kg/kg FCM	1.29 ^a	0.90 ^b	0.90°	0.05			
TDN intake kg/kg FCM	0.78 ^a	0.62 ^b	0.60 ⁵	0.05			
DCP intake g/kg FCM	100.36ª	71.35 ^b	72.38°	3.07			
Economic efficiency							
Feed cost (LE/day)	9.74 ^b	10.33 ^a	9.42°				
Output of FCM (LE day)	15.35 ^b	20.81ª	19.91 ^a				
Economic efficiency	100.00 ^b	135.59 ^a	129.71 ^a				

a, b and c: Values in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P < 0.05).

G1: control, G2 & G3: supplemented with protected fat and oil, respectively. . .

It can be concluded that addition of protected fat or palm oil for rations of lactation cows lead to improve digestibility coefficients, nutritive values and metabolic blood which lead to increase milk production and total solids in milk. Moreover, supplementation of fat and oil lead to prove reproductive performance although an increasing in their production. Also, a result of these factors cost of one kg of milk decreased and income from treated animals increased.

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1990). Official Methods of Analysis, 13th Ed. Association of Analytical Chemists. Washington D.C., U.S.A.
- Avila, C.D.; E.J. Depeters; H. Perez-Montl; S.J. Taylor and R.A. Zinn (2000). Influences of saturation ratio of supplemental dietary fat on digestion and milk yield in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 83: 1505.
- Baraghit, G.A.; Nazley, M. El-Kholy; S.S. Omar; B.M. Ahmed and K.H.I. Zedan (2003). Effect of dietary fat sources on digestibility rumen fermentation and blood parameters of buffalo calves. Egyptian J. Nutr. and Feeds, 6 (Special Issue): 663.
- Bayourthe, C.; F. Enjalbert and R. Moncoulon (2000). Effect of different forms of canola oil fatty acids plus canola meal on milk composition and physical properties of butter. J. Dairy Sci., 83: 690.
- Benson, J.A.; C.K. Reynolds; D.J. Humphries; S.M. Rutter and D.E. Beever (2001). Effects of abomasal infusion of long-chain fatty acids on intake, feeding behavior and milk production in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 84: 1182.
- Butler, W.R. and R.D. Smith (1989). Interrelationships between energy balance and postpartum reproductive function in diary cattle. J. Dairy Sci., 72: 767.

- Chalupa, W.; B. Veccbiarelli; A.E. Elaer; D.S. Kroufeld; D. Skalu and D.L. Plamquist (1986). Ruminal fermentation. *In vitro* as influenced by long-chain fatty acids. J. Dairy Sci., 69: 1293.
- Chouinard, P.Y; V. Girard and G.J. Brisson (1998). Fatty acid profile and physical properties of milk fat from cows fed calcium salts of fatty acids with varying unsaturation J. Dairy Sci., 81: 471.
- Christensen, R.A.; J.K. Drackley; D.W. Lacout and J.H. Clark (1994). Infusion of four long-chain fatty acids mixtures into the abomasum of the lactating diary cow. J. Dairy Sci., 77: 1052.
- Church, D.C. (1991). Livestock feeds and feeding. 3rd Ed. Prentice Hali, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632.
- Demeterova, M.: V. Vajda; M. Pastierik and A. Kotoles (2002). The effect of protected fat and protein supplements on rumen metabolism on some parameters of intermediary metabolism and on the quality and production of milk in dairy cows. Folia Veterinarian, 46: 1.
- Drackley, J.K. and J.P. Elloitt (1993). Milk composition, ruminal characteristics and nutrient utilization in dairy cows fed partially hydrogenated tallow. J. Dairy Sci., 76: 183.
- Eadie, J.M.; P.N. Hobson and S.O. Mann (1967). A note on some comparisons between rumen content of barley fed steers and that of young calves also fed on a high concentrate ration. J. Animal Prod., 9: 247.
- El-Bedawy, T.M. (1995). Preparation of sunflower oil calcium soap as a protected fat and its use in ruminant nutrition. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 20: 231.
- Fahey, J.; J.F. Mee and D. Callaghan (2001). Can blood metabolites, body condition and milk production be used to predict reproductive performance in dairy cows. Irish Vet. J., 54: 572.
- Francisco, C.C.; C.S. Chamberlain; A.N. Waldner; R.P. Wettemann and L.J. Spicer (2002). Propionibacteria fed to dairy cows: effects on energy balance, plasma metabolites and hormones, and reproduction. Ind. J. dairy Sci., 85: 1738.
- Garbswortuy, P.C. (1996). The effects of milk yield and composition of incorporating lactose into the diet of dairy cows given protected fat. J. Anim. Sci., 62: 1.
- Graton, G.A. (1965). Physiology digestion in the ruminant, R.W. Daugherty (Ed), Butter worths Sci. Publs., Washington, D.C.
- Grummer, R.R. and D.J. Carroll (1991). Effect of dietary fat on metabolic disorders and reproductive performance of dairy cattle. J. Animal Sci., 69: 3838.
- Khalaf, S.S.; W.H. Brown; S.B. Swingleo; F.M. Whiting and T.N. Wegner (1986). Calcium treated animal fat for lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 69: 214.
- Kovacs, M.; Z. Zomborszky; S. Tubly; A. Lengyel and E. Horn (1998). The effect of thermolysised brewers yeast of high nucleotide content on some blood parameters in sheep wool. J. Technology and Sheepbreeding, 46: 255.
- Lucy, M.C.; W.W. Thatcher and C.R. Staples (1992). Postpartum function: Nutritional and physiological interactions. In: Van Horn, H.H. and Wilcox, C.J. (editors), Large Dairy.
- McDonald, P.; R.A. Edwards; J.F.D. Greenhalgh and C.A. Morgan (1995).

 Animal Nutrition. 5th Ed., Copyright licensing LTD., London.

- Moallem, U.; M. Kaim; Y. Folman and D. Sklan (1997). Effect of calcium soap of fatty acids and administration of somatotrophin in early lactation on productive and reproductive performance of high producing dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 80: 2127.
- NRC (2001). Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle, 7th Revised E., National Academy Press, Washington D.C., U.S.A.
- Omer, F.M. (1999). Using protected fat prepared from soap industry byproducts in finishing ration of Friesian bulls. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Univ. Cairo.
- Onetti, S.G.; R. Shaver; M.A. McGuire and R.R. Grummer (2001). Effect of type and level of dietary fat on rumen fermentation and performance of dairy cows fed corn silage-based diets. J. dairy Sci., 84: 2751.
- Petit, H.V.; R.J. Dewhurst; J.G. Proulx; M. Khalid; W. Haresign and H. Twagiramungu (2001). Milk production, milk composition and reproductive function of diary cows fed different fats. Can. J. Anim. Sci., 81: 263.
- Reksen, O.; Q. Havrevoll; Y.T. Grohn; T. Bolstad; A. Waldmann and E.R. Ropstad (2002). Relationships among body condition score, milk constituents, and postpartum luteal function in Norwegian cows. J. dairy Sci., 85: 1406.
- Salado, E.E.; G.A. Gagliostro; D. B. and I. Lacau-Mengido (2004). Partial replacement of corn grain by hydrogenated oil in grazing dairy cows in early lactation. J. Dairy Sci., 87: 1265.
- Selberg, K.T.; A.C. Lowe; C.R. Staples; N.D. Lunchini and L. Badiga (2004). Production and metabolic responses of periparturient Holstein cows to dietary conjugated linoleic acid and trans-octadecenoic acids. J. Dairy Sci., 87: 158.
- Sklan, D.; E. Bogin; Y. Avider and S.G. Arie (1989). Feeding calcium soaps of fatty acids to lactating cows. Effect of production, body condition and blood lipids. J. Dairy Res., 56: 675.
- Spicer, I.J.; C.C. Francisco; D. Jones and D.N. Waldnar (2000). Changes in milk urea nitrogen during early lactation in Holstein cows. J. Anim. Sci., 83: 169.
- SPSS (1997). Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. Release 10, SPSS Inc., Chicago, U.S.A.
- Staples, C.R.; M.B. Burke and W.W. Thatcher (1998). Influence of supplemental fats on reproductive tissues and performance of lactating cows. J. Dairy Sci., 81: 856.
- Steele, W. (1980). The effects of soy been oil and type of forage in the diet on the plasma lipid composition of sheep. Br. J. Nutr., 44: 333.
- Thatcher, W.W.; C.R. Staples; H.H. Van and C.A. Risco (1999). Reproductive and energy status interrelationships that influence reproductive-nutritional management of the postpartum lactating dairy cows. Proceedings of the 14th Annual South West Nutrition and Management Conference, 25-26 February, pp. 25 Phoenix, Arizona, U.S.A.
- Thomas, M.G. and G.L. Williams (1996). Metabolic hormone secretion and FSH induced superovulatory responses of beef heifers fed dietary fat supplemented containing predominately saturated or polyunsaturated fatty acids. Theriogenology, 45: 451.
- Thomas, M.G.; B. Bao and G.L. Williams (1997). Dietary fats varying in their fatty acid composition differentially. Influence follocular growth in cows fed isoenrgetic diets. J. Anim. Sci., 75: 2512.

Tjarde, K.E.; D.B. Faulkher; D.D. Buskirk; D.F. Parrett; L.L. Berger; N.R. Merchen and F.A. Ireland (1998). The influence of processed corn and supplemental fat on digestion of limit fed diets and performance of beef cows. J. Anim. Sci., 76: 8.

Valdez, F.R.; J.H. Harrison and S.C. Fransen (1988). Effect of feeding corn silage on milk production, milk composition and rumen fermentation of lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 71: 2462.

Varoley, V. (1976). Practical Clinical Biochemistry. 4th Edition, New Delhi.

India.

تأثير إضافة الدهون على الأداء الإنتاجي والتناسلي في أبقار الفريزيان الحلابة خلال المرحلة الأولى من الحليب

عبد السلام موسى متولى ، السيد محمد عبد الرؤف ، عبد الستار عبد العزيز شتا و ياسر ميروك الديهي

١ - كلية الزراعة بكفرالشيخ قسم الإنتاج الحيواني جامعة طنطا

٣- معهد بحوث الانتاج الحيواني ـ مركز البحوث الزراعية - وزارة الزراعة

استخدم في هذه الدراسة ثمانية عشر بقرة فريزيان حلابة قسمت إلى ثلاث مجموعات الأولى غذيت على العليقة الأساسية وكانت كمجموعة مقارنة ، والثانية والثالثة استبدل ٣٣ من العليقة المركزة بدهن محمى أو زيت اللخيل (الاستيارين) على التوالي. وذلك لدر اسة تأثير هما على القيمة الغذائية وتخمر ات الكرش ومكونات الدم وإنتاج اللبن والكفاءة التتاسلية مع دراسة القيمة الاقتصادية لتلك الإضافات وقد أستمرت الدراسة من الأسبوع الأول وحتى الاسبوع الخامس عشر من بعد الولادة.

أظهرت الأبقار التي غذيت على الدهن المحمي وزيت النخيل تحسن في معامات الهضم بصورة معنوية وترتب على ذلك تحسن في القيمة الغذائية لتلك العلائق عند مقارنتها بمجموعة المفارنة ، بينما لم تتأثُّر معنويا قيم رقم الحموضة والأحماض الدهنية الطيارة في الكرش بين المجموعات المختلفة.

وبالنسبه لسيرم الدم وجد أن البروتين الكلي والألبيومين والجاوبيولين والليبيدات الكلية والنبيوبروتين عالي الكثافة كانت مرتفعة معنويا في المجموعة الثالثة ، بينما في المجموعة الثانية سحل الكوليسترول والليبوبرونين المنخفض الكثافة والجليسريدات التّلانية اعلى القيد وذلك عند مقارنتها بالمجموعات الأخرى. سجلت الأبقار التي غذيت على الدهن المحمى (المجموعة الثانية) أعلى كمية من انتاج اللبن وكذلك من اللبن المعنل ؟ % دهن وتلتها المجموعة الثالثة ، بينما كان أقل مستوى مسجل في مجموعة المقارنة (الأولى) ، كما تم حساب كميات الدهن والبر وتبن واللاكتوز والموك الصلبة الكلية والمواد الصلية الغير دهنية في اللين. وقد وجد أنها اخذت نفس اتجاه كمية اللبن في المجموعات الثلاث، بالنسبة للكفاءة التناسلية وجد أن الفترة من الولادة وحتى الحمل (التلقيح المخصب) كانت أطول في المجموعة الثانثة تلتها الثانية بينما الأولى كانت أقصر المجموعات كما قل عدد التلقيحات اللازمة لحدوث الإخصاب (٢) في المجموعة الثانية مقابل ٢,٥ في الأولى ا والثالثة ولكن الفرق غير معنوى، وقد سجل أعلى معنل إخصاب خلال ١٥٠ يوم بعد الولادة في المجموعة التَّالتَّة عند مقار نتها بالمجموعات الأخرى.

كما أشارت الدراسة إلى أن إضافة الدهون المحمية أو زيت النخيل إلى علائق الأبقار الفريزيان الحلابة يؤدي إلى تحسين كفاءتها الغذائية مع انخفاض الكمية اللازمة من المادة الجافة والمركبات الكلية المهضومة والبروتين المهضوم اللازمة لإنتاج واحد كليوجرام لبن (% دهن) ، وأن العائد الاقتصادي من انتاج اللين زاد يصورة معنوية نتيجة المعاملة.

من هذه الدراسة يتضح أن اضافة تلك المركبات الدهنية الى علائق الابقار الفريزيان الحلابة عقب الولادة ذات أهمية اقتصادية في تحسين انتاج اللبن والكفاءة التناسلية.