# POPULATION DENSITY OF SOME COMMON WILD BIRDS AND THEIR FLUCTUATIONS IN UPPER EGYPT (ASSUIT GOVERNORATE)

Metwally, A. M.\*; N.A. Mahmoud\*\*; M. A. Ahmed\*\* and M.M.A. Omer\*\*

- \* Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Cairo
- \*\* Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Assuit Branch

### **ABSTRACT**

Three replicates were selected from the areas cultivated with two crops each one of two feddans in old land and the newly reclaimed land in Assiut district The first, cultivated with wintry crop ( Wheat) while the second was the summer crop ( sorghum ) which were selected to study the population density monthly seasons of some bird species. The results statistically analysis using Duncan analysis indicated that the House sparrow (Passer domesticus niloticus) was The highest population recorded from old land with monthly means 18.89 & 20.53 birds than 2.56 & 3.86 birds in new reclaimed land during 2003 & 2004 respectively Also, there was highly significant difference in population density of this bird during 2003 & 2004. Hooded crow (Corvus corone cornix )recorded highly significant difference between the population density in old land, with monthly means 2..64 & 4.67 birds compared with newly reclaimed land 0 .79 & 0.71 birds during 2003 & 2004 respectively. Also, there was highly significant difference between the population density during 2003 and no significant difference during 2004. Palm dove (Streptopelia senegalensis egyptica) gave highly significant difference between population density in the different habitat 4.36 & 4.89 birds compared with 1.42 & 2..22 birds in the different habitat during 2003 & 2004 respectively. Also, The results revealed that There was highly significance between the seasons during season of 2003 & 2004.

#### INTRODUCTION

Many researchers introduced their papers about birds i- e - Peter et al (1980) found that the house crow has been recorded since 1976 in Ismailiya, Suez, Ras Gharib and Safaga Hovel (1987) reported that Palm dove , Streptobelia senegalensis was a local resident in El-Arish , Rafah area and breeds sporadically in Palm groves west to Rummana . Small numbers were regularly observed along the coast of the Gulf of Agaba. Rana ( 1989 ) observed that density of Passer domesticus in India was lower during Summer and reached its peak during Spring . Barsy (1993) ravealed tha the most abundant bird species was Streptopelia senegalensis. The most densely in habitat was the shore of the islands. Also it was observed that the most abundant species was Passer domesticus with about 4000 individuals. The second species in abundance was the Palm dove (Streptopelia senegalensis) with about 500 individuals. Khattab (1993) noticed that House sparrow, Passer domesticus niloticus (L.) was the most prevalent species in both old and newly reclaimed lands throughout the different seasons of the year . In old land, Paim dove , Streptopelia senegalensis ranked the second followed by Hooded crow, Corvus corone cornix. EL-Deeb et al (1995) indicated that House sparrow, Passer domesticus niloticus (L.) was the most prevalent species in both old and newly reclaimed lands, throughout the different year seasons. In old land, Palm dove, Streptopelia senegalensis ranked the second followed by Hooded crow C. corone . EL-Danasory (2002) studied that Palm dove . (Streptopelia- senegalensis) showed a significant difference in habitat, while there was no significant variance between the population density at the two years ( 1998 & 1999). He noticed also that House sparrow ( Passer domesticus niloticus ) showed a high significant differences between the population density under different habitats through the two years. Also he stated that population density of Hooded crow ( Corvus corone cornix), recorded from old land during the period of study (1998 & 1999), but from new reclaimed land, the mean number reached their maximum during Summer 113.16. Aziz and Ilhami (2003) recorded during studies through the period from July 1995 to July 1996, a total of 102 birds species belong to thirty seven families were observed in the area and 37 of these species were resident, ten of them were winter visitors, forty six were summer visitors and only nine were found to be passed migrants.

#### MATERIELS AND METHODS

#### Population density, monthly and seasonal fluctuation of birds

Two different crops were cultivated in each type of the agricultural zone. The first, was with wintry crop wheat while the second was the summery crop sorghum which were selected to study the population density of some bird species. Three replicates were selected from the areas cultivated with two crops, each one of two feddans in old land and the newly reclaimed land in Assiut district, represented the three geographical directions i.e. south, west and east. The population density and fluctuations of bird species were studied monthly during daytime (Sunrise and Sunset) at six zone mentioned before, during the period from November 2002, to October 2004 to find the relationship between population of birds and different seasons of year The results were statistically analyzed using Duncan analysis.

#### RESULTS AND DISSCOINS

#### House sparrow, Passer domesticus niloticus:

The effect of habitats types on population density of House sparrow, *Passer domesticus niloticus* (L.) during November 2002 – October 2004 recorded in table. (1,2) and showed that there was highly significant difference between the population density of house sparrow at different habitats during 2003 and 2004. It was found that the mean of population density in old land was (18.89 & 20.53 bird) compared with the other habitat. The mean of population density in newly reclaimed land were (2.86 & 3.86 bird) during 2003 & 2004.Regarding to the effect of daytime, there was no significant differences between population at sunrise and sunset (9.59 & 42.15 bird) respectively during 2003, but the results revealed that there was highly significant difference between population at sunrise and sunset during 2004 (9.33 & 15.06 bird) respectively. Concerning the monthly fluctuation of

each habitats, these results showed that there was highly significant differences between the population density at months. The peak of the population density was in October, September and April of the two studied years (73.17, 30.08, 25.33 & 69.42, 32.08, 21.25 bird) respectively during 2003 & 2004. While the minimum number was noticed through, January, The higher numbers of House sparrow were recorded during dough stage in wheat crops (April) and dough and mature stage in Sorghum crops (September and October ). Concerning the effect of habitats types on seasonal fluctuation of house sparrow results which illustrated in table. (3,4 ) showed that there was significant differences between the habitat types. In the old land the total mean numbers of House sparrow (58.38 & 61.71) bird during 2003 &2004 compared with newly reclaimed land, the total mean were (8.53 & 12.46) bird during 2003 & 2004 respectively. Also, the results showed that there was significant differences between the seasons of the year during 2003 & 2004. The mean population reached its maximum during Autumn (70.83 & 104.33 bird), Spring 2003&2004 (32.92 & 25.33 bird ) and Summer 2003 & 2004 (22.92 &14.67 bird). While reached its minimum during Winter 2003 & 2004 (7.25 & 4.00 bird) respectively.

The previous results agree with that found by Peter et al (1980) in Egypt , the house crow has been recorded since 1976 in Ismailiya . Suez , Ras Gharib and Safaga .Goodman et al . (1989) raveled that Hooded crow were common resident in most cultivated parts of the Nile delta and valley (south to Aswan). Thy also found that individuals of House sparrow, were abundant breeding, resident along the North coast, in some parts of the western desert, the Nile delta and valley. Rana (1989) observed that density of Passer domestics in India was lower during Summer and reached its peak during Spring .Barsy (1993) ravealed that the most abundant bird species were Streptopelia senegalensis.

Table (1): Population density and monthly distribution of House sparrow on different habitats at Assiut district during (Nov. 2002 – Oct.2003).

								Month	1					
Habitats	Time	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Mean*
	S.r	1.00	4.00	2.33	3.67	2.67	40.67	4.00	22.67	14.00	2.33	24.00	84.33	17.14A
Old land	S. S	0.00	0.00	5.67	7.00	5.67	53.33	3.33	24.33	18.33	0.00	72.00	58.00	20.64A
	Mean*	0.50	2.00	4.00	5.33	4.17	47.00	3.67	23.50	16.17	1.17	48.00	71.17	18.89
L	}	E	E	DE	DE	DE	В	DE	C	CD	E	В	A	
Newly	S.r	5.67	2.67	0.67	0.00	0.00	1.67	0.00	2.00	0.67	0.00	8.67	2.67	2.06B
reclaimed	S.S	7.33	0.67	1.33	1.00	0.00	5.67	1.33	3.67	3.67	0.00	15.67	3.67	3.67B
lland	Mean*	6.50	1.67	1.00	0.50	0.00	3.67	0.67	2.83	2.17	0.00	12.17	3.17	2.86
<u> </u>		DE	E	E	E	E	DE	E	E	E	E	CDE	DE	1
	S.r	3.33	3.33	1.50	1.83	1.33	21.17	2 00	12.33	7.33	1.17	16.33	43.50	9.59
l	S. S	3.67	0.33	3.50	4.00	2.83	29.50	2.33	14.00	11.00	0.00	43.83	30.83	12.15
	Mean*	3.50 D	1.83D	2.50D	2.92D	2.08D	25,33B	2.17D	13.17C	9.17CD	0.58D	30.08AB	37.17A	

<sup>\*</sup> Means have the same are not significantly differed by using Duncan's analysis.

Table (2): Population density and monthly distribution of House sparrow on different habitats at Assiut district during (Nov. 2003 – Oct.2004).

		[	Month											
Habitats_	Time	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Mean*
	S.r	0.00	0.00	1.00	2.67	2.00	27.33	2.67	11.33	0.67	4.33	37.33	102.00	15.94B
Old land	S. S	1.00	0.00	0.00	7.33	3.67	51.00	7.33	19.67	9.67	1.00	74.33	126.33	25.11A
	Mean*	0.50	0.00	0.50	5.00	2.83	39.17	5.00	15.50	5.17	2.67	55.83	114.1	20.53
!		<u> </u>	E	<u>E</u> _	E	L E	С	E	DE	Ε	E	_B	7A	
Newly	S.r	1.67	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	2.33	0.00	2.67	1.67	0.00	4.67	18.67	2.72C
reclaimed	S.S	0.67	0.33	0.00	3.67	0.00	4.33	0.67	5.00	2.00	0.67	12.00	30.67	5.00C
iand	Mean*	1.17	0.17	0.00	2.33	0.00	3.33	0.33	3.83	1.83	0.33	8.33	24.67	3.86
'		E	E	E	E	E	E	Ε	E	E	_ E_	l_	ַם ַ	
	S.r	0.83	0.00	0.50	1.83	1.00	14.83	1.33	7.00	1.17	2.17	21.00	60.33	9.33
} .	S. S	0.83	0.17	0.00	5.50	1.83	27.67	4.00	12.33	5.83	0.83	43.17	78.50	15.06
}	Mean*	0.83 D	0.080	9.25D	3.67D	1.42D	21.25	2.67	9.67D	3.50D	1,50	32.08	69.42	
	1	ĺ	ĺ !				С	D,		]	ם	В	Α	

Means have the same are not significantly differed by using Duncan's analysis.

Table (3): Seasonal fluctuation of House sparrow 2003.

Habitats	Time		Se	ason		Mean*
Habitats	ime	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Mean
J	S.r	10.00	60.67	39.00	109.67	54.83 A
Old land	S. S	12.67	62.33	42.67	130.00	61.92 A
	Mean*	11.33 D	61.50	40.83 BC	119.83 A	58.38
Newly	S.r	3.33	1.67	2.67	17.00	6.17 B
reclaimed	S. S	3.00	7.00	7.33	26.67	11.00 B
land	Mean*	3.17 D	4.33 D	5.00 D	21.83 CD	8.58
	S.r	6.67	31.17	20.83	63.33	30.50
[	S. S	7.83	34.67	25.00	78.33	36.46
ĺ	Mean*	7.25 C	32.92 B	22.92 B	70.83 A	, ,

<sup>\*</sup> Means have the same are not significantly differed by using Duncan's analysis.

Table (4): Seasonal fluctuation of House sparrow on different habitats at Assiut district during 2004.

Habitats	Time		Se	eason		Mean*
nabitats	inne	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Mean
	S.r	2.67	32.00	16.33	140.00	47.75 B
Old land	S. S	8.33	62.00	30.33	202.00	75.67 A
1	Mean*	5.50 D	47.00 B	23.33CD	171.00 A	61.71
Newly	S.r	1.00	2.33	4.33	28.00	8.92 C
reciaimed land	S. S	4.00	5.00	7.67	47.33	16.00 C
	Mean*	2.50 D	3.67 D	6.00 D	37.67 BC	12.46
	S.r	1.83	17.17	10.33	84.00	28.33
	S. S	6.17	33.50	19.00	124.67	45.83
1	Mean*	4.00 C	25.33 B	14.67 B	104.33 A	

<sup>\*</sup> Means have the same are not significantly differed by using Duncan's analysis.

The most densely in habitat was the shore of the islands Also it was observed that the most abundant species was *Passer domesticus* with about 4000 individuals. The second species in abundance was the Palm dove (*Streptopelia senegalensis*) with about 500 individuals. (Soliman 1993), reported that the house sparrow *Passer domesticus niloticus* was the predominant noxious bird species over all other ones and existed in high numbers during the three seasons of the year (Spring, Summer and Autumn),

while it was found in moderate numbers during the Winter season ..Also Edgar and Kershaw (1994) stated that the only common birds species to all three areas tested was the House sparrow, *Passer domesticus- niloticus* (L.) comprising between 70% & 100% of the total bird population density of birds. El — Deeb *et al* (1995), revealed that the House sparrow *Passer domesticus niloticus* was the common bird throughout the different seasons of the year in old and reclaimed areas at Sharkia Governorate. .Als Melo Mederios (1996) recorded the population densities and movements of House sparrow throughout the year in Azores .Tolba (1999) stated that the survey revealed presence of only one species of House sparrow *Passer domesticus niloticus* (L.) occurring in Assiut area., EL — Danasoury (2002), mentioned that House sparrow, *Passer domesticus niloticus* showed a high significant difference between the population density of this bird under different habitat through the two years.

#### Hooded crow. Corvus corone cornix:

The effect of habitat types and daytime on population density of Hooded crow. Corvus corone cornix during November 2002 to October 2004 results illustrated in table. ( 5.6 ) showed that there was highly significant difference between population density of Hooded crow in different habitats during 2003 & 2004. It was found that the mean of population density in old land was (2.64 & 4.67 bird) compared with the newly reclaimed land ..the mean of population density were (0.79 & 0.71 bird) during 2003 & 2004. . Regarding to the effect of daytime, there was no significant difference between population density at sunrise and sunset (1.47 & 1.96 bird) during 2003. But results revealed that there was highly significant between population density of sunrise and sunset during 2004 (1.39 & respectively. May be mainly due to the area studied was near from maize field crops during 2004. Concerning the monthly distribution of each habitat these results showed that there were significant differences between population density through months during year 2003 where the peak was in November (3.33 bird) while the number decreased to April (0.42 bird) comprised with 2004 results which showed that there was highly

significant difference between population density of months while the peak was in June (7.92 bird). and decreased during April to (1.00 bird). Concerning the effect of habitat types on seasonal fluctuation of hooded crow .Results in table. (7,8) showed that there was highly significant differences between habitat types studied, in old land. The total mean of Hooded crow numbers were (7.92 & 7.71 bird) during 2003 & 2004 season compared with the newly reclaimed land, the total means were (2.38 & 2.29 bird). Also, these results showed that there was no significant differences between the habitats types and seasons year on population density of Hooded crow. The mean number at old land in seasons Winter, Spring, Summer and Autumn (8.67, 3.67, 11.00, 8.33 & 8.67, 6.00, 8.50, 7.67 bird) during 2003 & 2004 seasons while the mean numbers at newly reclaimed land in seasons were (1.00,1.00,2.67,4.83 & 2.17, 2.00, 2.67, 2.33 bird) during 2003 & 2004 respectivelyFrom the showed results it could be observed that the mean numbers reached their maximum through 2003 & 2004 during Summer (6.83)

& 5.58 bird) while minimum numbers were recorded during Spring 2003 & 2004 (2.33 & 4.00bird)

Table (5): Population density and monthly distribution of Hooded crow(Nov.2002-- Oct.2003).

Habitats	Time						Mo	nth						Moon
Habitats	rante	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Mean*
	S.r	6.33	3.33	2.00	3.00	1.33	1.00	1.33	3.67	2.67	2.33	1.33	0.67	2.42A
Old land	S. S	5.33	3.33	1.67	4.00	1.67	0.67	1.33	3.00	6.00	4.33	0.67	2.33	2.86A
[	Mean*	5.83	3.33	1.83	3.50	1.50	0.83	1.33	3.33	4.33	3.33	1.00	1.50	1
		A	ABCD	BCDEF	ABC	CDEF	CDEF	CDEF	ABCD	AB	ABCD	CDEF	CDEF	2.64
Newly	S.r	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.00	0.67	0.33	0.67	0.33	2.33	1.00	0.53 B
reclaimed	S. S	1.33	1.00	0.00	0.67	0.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	3.67	1.00	1.06 8
land	Mean*	0.83	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.17	0.00	0.83	1.17	0.83	0.67	3.00	1.00	0.79
	L_	CDEF	DEF	F	DEF	EF	F_	CDEF	CDEF	CDEF	CDEF	BCDE	CDEF	1
	S.r	3.33	1.67	1.00	1.67	0.83	0.50	1.00	2.00	1.67	1.33	1.83	0.83	1.47
	S. S	3.33	2.17	0.83	2.33	0.83	0.33	1.17	2.50	3.50	2.67	2.17	1.67	1.96
	Mean*	3.33	1.72	0.92	2.00	0.83	0.42	1.08	2.25	2.58	2.00	2.00	1.25	
ł	l	Α	ABC	ВÇ	ABC	BC	C	ВС	ABC	AB	ABC	ABC	BC	[

<sup>\*</sup> Means have the same are not significantly differed by using Duncan's analysis.

Table (6): Population density and monthly distribution of Hooded crow (Nov. 2003 – Oct.2004).

	(1404	2000	<u>,                                     </u>	· · · · ·	~~	/·		-						
	_						Me	onth						
Habitats_	Time	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Mean*
	S.r	1.33	0.67	1.67	2.33	4.00	0.67	2.67	11.33	0.67	0.33	0.33	0.67	2.22 B
Old land	S. S	6.00	6.00	6.67	3.67	5.33	1.67	7.33	19.67	9.67	15.00	0.33	4.00	7.11 A
	Mean*	3.67	3.33	4.17	3.00	4.67	1.17	5.00	15.50	5.17	7.67	0.33	2.33	4.67
		BC	ВС	BC	BC	ВС	С	BC	Α	вс	В	С	ВС	<u> </u>
Newly	S.r	0.00	0.67	0.67	0.00	0.00	1.33	1.33	0.00	0.67	1.33	0.00	0.67	0.56 B
reclaimed	S. S	0.33	2.00	0.67	0.33	1.00	0.33	0.00	0.67	1.00	1.67	0.67	1.67	0.86B
land	Mean*	0.17	1.33	0.67	0.17	0.50	0.83	0.67	0.33	0.83	1.50	0.33	1.17	0.71
		С	_c	C	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	C	С	
	S.r	0.67	0.67	1.17	1.17	2.00	1.00	2.00	5.67	0.67	0.83	0.17	0.67	1.39
	S. S	3.17	4.00	3.67	2.00	3.17	1.00	3.67	10.17	5.33	8.33	0.50	2.83	3.99
	Mean*	1.92	2.33	2.42	1.58	2.58	1.00	2.83	7.92	3.00	4.58	0.33	1.75	
		BC	ВС	BC	BC	BC	ВС	BC	Α	BC	В	C	BC	

<sup>\*</sup> Means have the same are not significantly differed by using Duncan's analysis.

Similar results were obtained by Goodman *et al.* (1989) raveled that Hooded crow were common resident in most cultivated parts of the Nile delta and valley (south to Aswan They also found that individuals of House sparrow, were abundant breeding, resident along the North coast, in some pasts of the western desert, the Nile delta and valley. EL-Deeb *et al.* (1995) cleared that Palm dove, *Streptopelia senegalensis* ranked the second followed by Hooded crow *C. corone*. El-Danasoury (2002) also stated that population density of Hooded crow (*Corvus corone cornix*), recorded from old land during the period of study (1998 & 1999), but from new reclaimed land, the mean number reached their maximum during Summer 113.16 birds and Spring 36.14 birds, through 1998 & 1999 respectively while minimum number were recorded during Autumn 10.81 birds and Summer 15.23 birds through 1998 & 1999 respectively.

Table (7): Seasonal fluctuation of Hooded crow on different habitats at Assiut district during 2003.

Li alaiteta	Time	_	Se	ason		Mean*
Habitats	IIIII6	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Mean
	S.r	8.33	3.67	8.67	8.33	7.25 A
Old. Land	S. S	9.00	3.67	13.33	8.33	8.58 A
	Mean*	8.67 AB	3.67 BC	11.00 A	8.33 AB	7.92
Newly	S.r	0.33	1.00	1.33	3.67	1.58 B
reclaimed	S. S	1.67	1.00	4.00	6.00	3.17 B
land	Mean*	1.00 C	1.00 C	2.67 C	4.83 BC	2.38
	S.r	4.33	2.33	5.00	6.00	4.42
	S. S	5.33	2.33	8.67	7.17	5.88
	Mean*	4.83 AB	2.33 B	6.83 A	6.58 A	

<sup>\*</sup> Means have the same are not significantly differed by using Duncan's analysis.

Table (8): Seasonal fluctuation of Hooded crow on different habitats at Assiut district during 2004.

Li-bitata	Time		Se	ason		Mean*
Habitats	i ime	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Wean
	S.r	8.00	6.00	8.33	5.00	6.83 A
Old. Land	S. S	9.33	6.00	8.67	10.33	8.58 A
	Mean*	8.67 A	6.00 AB	8.50 A	7.67 A	7.71
Newly	S.r	1.33	2.67	2.00	1.00	1.75 B
reclaimed	S. S	3.00	1.33	3.33	3.67	2.83 B
land	Mean*	2.17 B	2.00 B	2.67 B	2.33 B	2.29
	S.r	4.67	4.33	5.17	3.00	4.29
	S. S	6.17	3.67	6.00	7.00	5.71
	Mean*	5.42 A	4.00 A	5.58 A	5.00 A	

<sup>\*</sup> Means have the same are not significantly differed by using Duncan's analysis.

While he observed that the highest numbers of birds were recorded during Autumn (1718 birds) and Spring (828.35 birds) 1998 & 1999 respectively while the lower Numbers of birds were recorded in Winter ( 466.51 birds ) and Autumn ( 297.65 birds ) during 1998 &1999 respectively .On the other hand ,in old land the maximum numbers of birds were recorded during Autumn (1402.9birds) and Spring (528.9birds) of 1998 & 1999 respectively, while the lower numbers of birds were recorded in Winter ( 262.55 birds) and Autumn (163.5birds) in 1989 & 1999, respectively, when the fields were cultivated with Wheat followed by Maize, Cotton and Clover but in newly reclaimed lands number were recorded ( Summer 383.25 birds and Spring 186 birds ) and ( Spring 299.45 birds ) and ( Summer 76.5 birds ) in 1999 . respectively . Aziz and Ilhami (2003) recorded during his studies period from July 1995 to July 1996, a total of 102 birds species from 37 families were observed in the area and 37 of these species were resident, ten of them were Winter visitors, forty six were summer visitors and only nine were found to be passed migrants.

# Palm dove, Streptopelia senegalensis egyptica:

Data illustrated in table. (9,10) indicated that there was highly significant difference between population density of palm dove in old land and

newly reclaimed land during 2003 & 2004. It was found that the total mean of population density in old land (4.36 & 4.89 bird) during 203 & 2004.

Table (9): Population density and monthly distribution of Palm dove on different habitats in Assiut district during (Nov. 2002 – Oct. 2003).

	υυ <u>_</u> ).	_		_									_
Time						Mc	nth						Mean*
Time	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Mean
S.r	8.67	11.00	2.00	2.00	2.33	1.67	1.00	4.67	4.33	6.33	2.33	4.00	4.19 A
S. S	9.67	7.33	2.67	1.00	1.67	2.33	0.00	6.00	7.33	5.33	2.00	9.00	4.53 A
Mean*	9.17	9.17	2.33	1.50	2.00	2.00	0.50	5.33	5.83	5.83	2.17	6.50	4.36
	Α	Α	BCDE	CDE	CDE	CDE	E	ABCD	ABC	ABÇ	BCDE	AB	
S.r	2.67	1.67	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.33	0.00	1.00	1.33	2.00	1.67	0.00	1.19 B
S. S	2.67	2.00	1.67	1.00	0.67	1.00	0.67	1.67	2.00	3.00	1.33	2.00	1.64 B
Mean*	2.67	1.83	1.17	1.00	0.83	1.17	0.33	1.33	1.67	2.50	1.50	1.00	1.42
	BCDE	CDE	DE	DE	DE	DE	Ε	CDE	CDE	BCDE	CDE	DE	
S.r	5.67	6.33	1.33	1.50	1.67	1.50	0.50	2.83	2.83	4.17	2.00	2.00	2.69
S.S	6.17	4.67	2.17	1.00	1,17	1.67	0.33	3.83	4.67	4.17	1.67	5.50	3.08
Mean*	5.92	5.50	1.75	1.25	1.42	1.58	0.42	3.33	3.75	4.17	1.83	3.75	
	Α	_A_	BC	BC	BC	BC	С	ABC	AB	AB	BC	AB	
	S.r S. S Mean* S.r S. S Mean* S.r S. S	Time Nov. S.r   8.67 S. S   9.67 Mean*   9.17 A S.r   2.67 S. S   2.67 Mean*   BCDE S.r   5.67 S. S   6.17 Mean*   5.92 A	Time Nov. Dec. S.r   8.67   11.00 S. S   9.67   7.33 Mean*   9.17   9.17 A	Time Nov. Dec. Jan. S.r   8.67   11.00   2.00 S. S   9.67   7.33   2.67 Mean*   9.17   9.17   2.33 A A BCDE S.r   2.67   1.67   0.67 S.S   2.67   2.00   1.67 Mean*   2.67   1.83   1.17 BCDE CDE DE S.r   5.67   6.33   1.33 S. S   6.17   4.67   2.17 Mean*   5.92   5.50   1.75 A A A BC	Time Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb. S.r   8.67   11.00   2.00   2.00 S. S   9.67   7.33   2.67   1.00 Mean*   9.17   9.17   2.33   1.50 A A BCDE CDE S.r   2.67   1.67   0.67   1.00 Mean*   2.67   1.83   1.17   1.00 BCDE CDE DE DE S.r   5.67   6.33   1.33   1.50 S. S   6.17   4.67   2.17   1.00 Mean*   5.92   5.50   1.75   1.25 A A BC BC	Time Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb. Mar. S.r   8.67   11.00   2.00   2.00   2.33 S. S   9.67   7.33   2.67   1.00   1.67 Mean*   9.17   9.17   2.33   1.50   2.00 A	Time   Nov.   Dec.   Jan.   Feb.   Mar.   Apr.	Time   Nov.   Dec.   Jan.   Feb.   Mar.   Apr.   May.   S.r   8.67   11.00   2.00   2.00   2.33   1.67   1.00   S. S   9.67   7.33   2.67   1.00   1.67   2.33   0.00   Mean*   9.17   9.17   2.33   1.50   2.00   2.00   0.50   A   BCDE   CDE   CDE   E   S.r   2.67   1.67   0.67   1.00   1.00   1.33   0.00   S. S   2.67   2.00   1.67   1.00   0.67   1.00   0.67   0.33   BCDE   CDE   DE   DE   DE   E   E   S.r   5.67   6.33   1.33   1.50   1.67   1.50   0.50   S. S   6.17   4.67   2.17   1.00   1.17   1.67   0.33   Mean*   5.92   5.50   1.75   1.25   1.42   1.58   0.42   A   BC   BC   BC   C	Time   Nov.   Dec.   Jan.   Feb.   Mar.   Apr.   May.   Jun.	Time   Nov.   Dec.   Jan.   Feb.   Mar.   Apr.   May.   Jun.   Jul.   S.r   8.67   11.00   2.00   2.00   2.33   1.67   1.00   4.67   4.33   S. S   9.67   7.33   2.67   1.00   1.67   2.33   0.00   6.00   7.33   Mean*   9.17   9.17   2.33   1.50   2.00   2.00   0.50   5.33   5.83   A	Time Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May. Jun. Jul. Aug. S.r   8.67   11.00   2.00   2.00   2.33   1.67   1.00   4.67   4.33   6.33   S. S   9.67   7.33   2.67   1.00   1.67   2.33   0.00   6.00   7.33   5.33   Mean*   9.17   9.17   2.33   1.50   2.00   2.00   2.50   5.33   5.83   5.83   A	Time Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb.Mar. Apr. May. Jun. Jul. Aug. Sep. S.r   8.67   11.00   2.00   2.00   2.33   1.67   1.00   4.67   4.33   6.33   2.33   S. S   9.67   7.33   2.67   1.00   1.67   2.33   0.00   6.00   7.33   5.33   2.00   Mean*   9.17   9.17   2.33   1.50   2.00   2.00   0.50   5.33   5.83   5.83   2.17   A	Time Nov. Dec. Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May. Jun. Jul. Aug. Sep. Oct. S.r   8.67   11.00   2.00   2.00   2.33   1.67   1.00   4.67   4.33   6.33   2.33   4.00   5.5   9.67   7.33   2.67   1.00   1.67   2.33   0.00   6.00   7.33   5.33   2.00   9.00   Mean*   9.17   9.17   2.33   1.50   2.00   2.00   0.50   5.33   5.83   5.83   2.17   6.50   A

<sup>\*</sup> Means have the same are not significantly differed by using Duncan's analysis.

Table (10): Population density and monthly distribution of Palm dove on different habitats in Assiut district during (Nov. 2003 – Oct.2004).

Habitats	Time						h	/lonth						Mean*
nabitats	Time	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Mean
	S.r	9.33	9,67	3.00	2.67	2.33	1.67	1.00	6.67	7.00	6.67	2.33	5.00	4.78 A
Old land	\$. S	11.00	7.00	1.67	1.33	2.33	1.67	3.33	2.33	12.67	5.33	6.67	4.67	5.00 A
	Mean*	10.17	8.33	2.33	2.00	2.33	1.67	2.17	4.50	9.83	6.00	4.50	4.83	4.89
		Α	AB	CD	CD	CD	D	CD	CD	_A	BC	вс	BCD	
Newly	S.r	2.00	1.67	0.00	1.67	1.00	1.00	0.67	4.67	3.67	3.33	1.00	3.33	2.00 B
reclaimed	S. S	3.00	1.33	1.67	3.33	3.00	2.33	2.33	2.67	2.33	2.33	3.00	2.00	2.44 B
iand	Mean*	2.50	1.50	0.83	2,50	2.00	1.67	1.50	3.67	3.00	2.83	2.00	2.67	2.22
		CD	D	D	CD	CD	D	_ D _	CD_	CD	CD	CD	CD	
i	S.r	5.67	5.67	1.50	2.17	1.67	1,33	0.83	5.67	5.33	5.00	1.67	4.17	3.39
j	S. S	7.00	4.17	1.67	2.33	2.67	2.00	2.83	2.50	7.50	3.83	4.83	3.33	3.72
) [	Mean*	6.33	4.92	1.59	2.25	2.17	1.67	1.83	4.08	6.42	4.42	3.25	3.75	
	<u> </u>	Α	AB	D	BCD	CD	D	CD	ABCD	Α	A	BCD	ABCD	

<sup>\*</sup> Means have the same are not significantly differed by using Duncan's analysis.

While the total mean of population density in newly reclaimed land during 2003 & 2004 (1.42 & 2.22 bird) respectively. Regarding to the effect of daytime, there was no significant differences between population density at sunrise and sunset during 2003 & 2004 (2.69,3.08 & 3.39, 3.72 bird) respectively. Concerning the monthly fluctuation in old land and newly reclaimed land. The results showed that there were highly significant differences between population densities at different months where was the peak in November 2002&2003(5.92 & 6.33 bird), decreased through October 2003 & 2004 to (3.75 & 3.7 bird) respectively. While the minimum numbers were noticed during January 2003 & 2004 (1.75 & 1.59 bird), decreased through september (1.83 & 3.25 bird) respectively. Concerning the effect of habitat types on seasonal fluctuation of Palm dove (*Streptopelia senegalensis* 

egyptica). The results showed that there was highly significant differences between the studied habitat types during 2003 and significant difference in 2004 at old land. The total of mean numbers of palm dove birds were (13.25 & 12.75 birds) during 2003 & 2004, while the mean of numbers in newly reclaimed land were (5.83 & 9.00 bird).

Table (11): Seasonal fluctuation of Palm dove on different habitats in Assiut district during 2003.

Linkitoto	T:		Se	asons		Mean*
Habitats	Time	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Weali
	S.r	15.00	5.00	15.33	15.00	12.58 A
Old land	S. S	11.00	4.00	18.67	22.00	13.92 C
	Mean*	13.00 A	4.50 B	17. 00 A	18.50 A	13.25
Newly	S.r	3.33	2.33	4.33	11.33	5.33 B
reclaimed	S. S	4.67	2.33	6.00	12.33_	6.33 B
land	Mean*	4.00 B	2.33 B	5.17 B	11.83 A	5.83
	S.r	9.17	3.67	9.83	13.17	8.96
	S. S	7.83	3.17	12.33	17.17	10.13
	Mean*	8.50 B	3.42 C	11.08 B	15.17 A	

<sup>\*</sup> Means have the same are not significantly differed by using Duncan's analysis.

Table (12): Seasonal fluctuation of Palm dove on different habitats in Assiut district 2004.

Habitats	Timo	-	Se	eason		Mean*
nabitats	Time	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Wear
	S.r	3.67	4.00	15.00	16.33	9.75 BC
Old land	S. S	5.00	7.33	27.00	23.67	15.75 A
	Mean*	4.33 C	5.67 C	21.00 A	20.00 A	12.75
Newly	S.r	3.33	2.67	11.67	6.67	6.08 C
reclaimed	S. S	6.33	7.67	17.67	16.00	11.92 AB
land	Mean*	4.83 C	5.17 C	14.67 AB	11.33 BC	9.00
	S.r	3.50	3.33	13.33	11.50	7.92
	S. S	5.67	7.50	22.33	19.83	13.83
	Mean*	4.58 B	5.42 B	17.83 A	15.67 A	

<sup>•</sup> Means have the same are not significantly differed by using Duncan's analysis

Also, the results showed that there was highly significant differences between year seasons during 2003 & 2004. The mean population density reached its maximum during Summer and Autumn 2003 & 2004 (11.08, 15.17 & 17.83, 15.67 bird), it reached its minimum during Winter and Spring 2003 & 2004 (8.50, 3.42 & 4.58, 5.42 bird) respectively. While, these results showed that there was not significant differences between the habitat types and seasons in population density of palm dove the mean numbers of Palm dove birds at different seasons in old land were (13.00, 4.50, 17.00, 18.50 & 4.33, 5.67, 21.00, 20.00 bird). While the mean of numbers Palm dove at different seasons in newly reclaimed land were (4.00, 2.33, 5.17, 11.83 & 4.83, 5.17, 14.67, 11.33 bird ) during 2003 & 2004 respectively

Similar results were recoded by Goodman et al. (1986) reported that Streptopelia senegalensis, in Egypt, was locally common breeding birds

in all major western desert oases, except Farafra. Hovel (1987) repotted that Palm dove , Streptobelia sengalensis was a local resident in El-Arish . Rafah area and breeds sporadically in Palm groves west to Rummana . Small numbers were regularly observed along the coast of the Gulf of Agaba. Soliman (1993) studied that the House sparrow, Passer domesticus niloticus was the predominant noxious bird species in the studied area at Kafr EL -Sheikh Governorate, while Palm dove, Streptopelia senegalensis and Crested lark, Galereda cristata ranked in the second order after House sperrow. On the Other hand, Spanish sparrow, Passer hispaniolenis and starling, Sturns vulgaris were existed in the tested area, as amigrators during the three seasons. Autumn, Winter and Spring .Khattab (1993) noticed that House sparrow, Passer domesticus niloticus (L.) Was the most prevalent species in both old and newly reclaimed lands throughout the different seasons of the year. In old land, Palm dove, Streptopelia senegalensis ranked the second followed by Hooded crow, Corvus corone cornix .Soliman ( 1999) studied that Palm dove birds were recorded in fields, Buildings and Shouna of the ten counties belonging to Kafr EL- Sheikh Governorate. EL-Danasory (2002) studied that Palm dove, (Streptopelia- senegalensis) showed a significant difference in habitat, while there was no significant variance between the population density at the two years (1998 & 1999)...

#### SUMMARY

Population density, monthly and seasonal fluctuation of some bird species: House sparrow (*Passer domesticus niloticus* ). The highest population of this specie were recorded from old land then new reclaimed land during 2003 & 2004. Also, there was highly significant difference in population density of this bird during 2003 & 2004. Hooded crow (*Corvus corone cornix* ). There was highly significant difference between the population density of hooded crow in old land, compared with newly reclaimed land during 2003 & 2004. Also, there was highly significant difference between the population density during 2003 and no significant difference during 2004. Palm dove (*Streptopelia senegalensis egyptica*). There was highly significant difference between population density of the palm dove in the different habitat. Also, The results revealed that there was highly significant between the seasons during 2003 & 2004.

## REFERENCES

- Aziz, A. and Ilhami , K. (2003). A study on the Ornitho. Fauna of sakaryabasi / Eminekin pond and its vicinity. Turk J. 2001. Vol. 27. PP. 19 26.
- Barsy, S.A.I. (1993). Ecological study on bird life in Aswan area. M. Sc. Thesis faculty of science (Aswan.) Assiut University.PP.98-99.
- Edgar, D.R. and Kershaw, G.P. (1994). The density and diversity of the population in three residential communities in Edmonton Alberta. Canadion field. Naturalist 108 (2). PP.156 161.
- El Danasoury, M.A. (2002). Ecological and biological studies on some harmful birds for plants at Minoufia Governorate. M. SC. Thesis Faculty of Agric. Al-Azhar Univ..PP. 141.
- El Deeb, H. I.; Metwally, A.M.; Abdel Aal, S. and Khattab, M.M. (1995). Ecological and biological studies on some wild birds at Sharkia Governorate - Al – Azhar, J. Agric., Res., Vol. 21. PP. 425 – 438.

- Goodman, S.M.; Meininger, P.L and Mullie, W.C. (1986). The birds of Egyptian western desert. Mich. Mus. 2001.
- Goodman, S.M.; Meininger, P.L.; Bahaa El Dine, S.M.; Hoobs, J.J. and Mullie, W.C. (1989). The birds of Egypt. Univ. Oxf., New York.
- Hovel, H. (1987). Check list of the birds of Israel. Society for the protection of Nature in Israel, Tel Aviv.
- Khattab, M.M. (1993). Biological, Ecological and Toxicological studies on harmful birds of agriculture and of Sharkia Governorate. M.Sc. Thesis Fac. Agric., Al – Azhar Univ. PP.199.
- Melo Medeiros, F. (1996). Population structure, abundance and philopatry of the house sparrow (*Passer damesticus*) in the Azores. Intern. Stud. Sparrows, Vol. 22 . pp. 23 30.
- Peter, L.M.; Wim, C.M. and Bertel, B. (1980). The spread of the house crow, *Corvus splendens*, with special reference to the occurrence in Egypt le gerfout de givervalk 70: 245 250.
- Rana, B.D. (1989). Population ecology of *Passer domesticus* in the India arid Zone. International studies on Sparrow , 16 (1-2):1-7.
- Soliman, A.M.A. (1993) Studies on arthropods associated with the harmful birds and their nests at Kafr El Sheikh Governorate. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Zagazig Univ. PP.115.
- Soliman, A.M.A. (1999)). Eco Biological studies on some wild bird species at Kafr El – Sheikh Governorate with species reference to some Arthropods. Ph.D. thesis. Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ. PP.203.
- Tolba, F.M.T. (1999). Some ecological aspects on House sparrow attacking various crops in Assiut Governorate. M. SC. Thesis faculty of Agric., Assiut Univ. pp.105.
- Wilson, B.M. (1993). Integrated approaches for population management of harmful birds in agriculture areas of Egypt. Ph. D. thesis. Institute of environmental studies and research, Ain Shams University, Egypt.
- الكثافة العددية لبعض الطيور الشائعة وتذبذباتها خلال العام في محافظة أسيوط عبد الستار محمد متولي\* ، نشأت عبد العزيز محمود \*\* ، مسعد عبد الحليم أحمد و محمود مبارك عبد العال عمر
  - \* كلية الزراعة جامعة الأزهر بالقاهرة
  - \*\* كلية الزراعة جامعة الأزهر باسيوط
- ته نقدير الكثافة العددية والتوزيع الشهرى كناك الموسمى لبعض الانواع المختلفة للطيور اليريـــة في كلا من الاراضي القديمة و الاراضي حديثة الاستصلاح وقد اظهرت النتائج ما يلي :-
  - ۱- عصفور النيل الدوري Passer domesticus niloticus
- سجل أعلى تعداد لهذا النوع في الاراضي القديمة عن الأراضي الحديثة الاستصلاح خلال علمي ٢٠٠٣ و ٢٠٠٤، منذلك هذك فروة عنوية عالية بين الكنافة العددية لهذا الطائر خلال مواسم السنة.
  - ۲-انغراب البندي "Corvus corone cornix
- يوجد فرق معوى بين الكافة المددية نهذا الطائر في الاراضي القديمة عن الاراضي الحديثة الاستصلاح حيث سجل أعنى تعداد في الاراضي القديمة مقارنة بالأراضي الحديثة خلال عام ٢٠٠٣ و ٢٠٠٤م، كما يوجد فرق معنوى جدا بين تعداد هذا الطائر خلال مواسم السنة لعام ٢٠٠٣ و ٢٠٠٣م، وأعلى كثافة كانت في فصل الصيف والخريف خلال سنتي الدراسة.
  - ٣-اليمام المصرى Streptopelia senegalensis egyptica
- هناك فروق معنوية عالية بين الكثافة العديية لطائر اليمام البدى في البينات المختلفة محل الدراسة ، كما توجد فروق معنوية عالية بين مواسم السنة خلال عام ٢٠٠٣ و ٢٠٠٥ .