EFFECT OF SOWING METHODS AND IRRIGATION INTERVALS ON SOME WHEAT VARIETIES GROWN UNDER SALINE CONDITIONS AT SOUTH SINAI.

El- Afandy, K H. T.

Plant Production Dept., Desert Research Center Mataria, Cairo, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted during 2003 / 2004 and 2004 / 2005 seasons, under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr Research Station, South Sinai, D.R.C., Egypt, to study the effect of irrigation intervals (7, 12 and 17 days), sowing methods (Broadcasting, rows and furrows) on yield and yield components of wheat varieties (Sakha, 93 and Sakha 69). The results could be summarized as follows:

- 1. Significant differences were detected between irrigation treatments. Irrigation every 7 days significantly increased wheat yield and its components.
- Sowing methods showed a significant effect on all studied characters. Also, the salt tolerance of wheat increased by sowing grains on double sloping beds of furrows.
- Wheat varieties markedly differed in their salt tolerance. Sakha 93 variety significantly surpassed Sakha 69 for all studied characters in both seasons except for plant height under saline irrigation.
- 4. Pseudo, No. of tillers / plant, spike length, no. of spike lets/ spike, No. of grains / spike, grain weight / spike, 1000-grain weight, No. of spike / m² and grain yield / fed. were significantly affected by the interaction between irrigation intervals and sowing methods in the first season. While No. of grains/ spike, No. of spikes / m², biological yield / fed. and protein % were significantly affected by this interaction in the second season.
- 5. The effect of interaction between irrigation intervals and wheat varieties significantly affected No. of tillers / plant, No. of spikelets / spike, grain weight / spike, grain weight/ plant, 1000 grain weight, grain yield / fed., straw yield / fed., biological yield / fed. and protein % in the first season. Also, that interaction had a significant effect on plant height, grain weight / plant, grain yield / fed. straw yield / fed., biological yield / fed. and protein % in the second season.
- 6. The effect of interaction between sowing methods and wheat varieties had significant effect on No. of spikelets / spike, No. of grains / spike, 1000-grain weight and protein % in the first season. Similarly, plant height, grain weight / plant, straw yield / fed. and protein % were significantly affected in the second season.
- 7. The second order interaction of irrigation intervals, sowing methods and wheat varieties was significant on No. of spikelets / spike grain weight / spike, grain weight / plant, 1000 grain weight, straw yield / fed., biological yield / fed. and protein % in the first season. Plant height, straw yield / fed., biological yield / fed. and protein % were significantly affected by this kind of interaction in the second season. Moreover sowing wheat cultivars on furrows became more adapted to saline irrigation water.

Keywords: Wheat, Wadi Suder - South Sainai - Egypt, Saline conditions, Irrigation intervals, Sowing methods, Varieties, Yield and yield components -

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is a staple feed in Egypt. Raising wheat production through increasing unit land area and increasing the cultivated area are the most important national targets to minimize the gap between the Egyptian

production and consumption. Increasing wheat yield per unit area can be achieved by breeding high yielding varieties. Salinity is considered of the major obstacles in Wadi Sudr to increase wheat production. However, there are ways to salinity control, in other words we can not erase salinity but we can live with it i.e. cultural practices, land smoothing or grading, grading seed bed improvement, irrigation intervals, sowing methods and cultivars selection. Most of these areas are desert and have limited quantity and quality of irrigation water. Many researchers have proved the importance of irrigation treatment to maximize wheat productivity. In Egypt, sowing wheat crop by broadcasting or drill in rows are common practices. Whereas, sowing wheat grains on sloping of furrows is not acquainted for Egyptian farmers to belief a busy word load. However, it may be needed under saline irrigation water to salinity control by salt immigration to the top or leaching of salts in the bottom of furrows which were discussed by World Farming (1971), Bernstein et al., (1975) and Avers and Westcot (1981). On the other hand, Sadek (2001) obtained the heighest grain yield from the shortest irrigation interval of 10 days which increased by 30% than that obtained by irrigation every 14 days during the growth season.

Considerable research has been conducted on the salt tolerance of various wheat cultivars, which differed in yield and its components [Francois et al., (1986), Weimberg, (1987) Hassan (1989) and Hassan and Hassan (1994)]. The capability of crops to grow in saline soils varies among species and depends on the concentration of salts present in the root zone and on various environmental and cultural conditions (Shannon et al., 1994). Excessive soil salinity (salt) reduces the yield of many crops. This may range from a slight loss to complete crop failure, depending on the crop and the severity of the salinity problem. Several treatments and management practices can reduce the salt level in the soil. The aim of this investigation is to study the response of some wheat varieties, yield and yield components, to sowing methods and irrigation intervals under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr, South Sinai.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out at Wadi Sudr Research Stations, Desert Research Center, South Sinai, Egypt, during two successive seasons of 2003 / 2004 and 2004/ 2005. The aim of the study was investigate the irrigation intervals,(7, 12 and 17 days) sowing methods, (broadcasting, drilled in rows and on double row sloping bed of furrow) on yield and yield components of some wheat varieties, (*Triticum aestivum vulgar*) namely: Sakha 93 and Sakha 69 under saline conditions. Before sowing physical and chemical analysis of the soil were determined (Black 1965 and Jackson (1958), and the results are presented in (Table,1). Water analysis was performed to determine the used for irrigation (Table 2). Wheat grain were sown on 21 of November, 2003 and 24 of November, 2004 at seeding rate of 80 Kg/fed. for the two successive growth seasons, respectively. Grain of two wheat varieties were soaked with tap water for 12 hours before planting.

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31 (2), February, 2006

Table (1): Physical and chemical properties of the soils before and after sowing of the Experimental soil at Wadi Sudr during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005).

,	10 30430			l prope					
soil treatments	Med	hanical	compo	sition (%)	Ec	рН	CaCO ₃	O.M.
	Depth soil (cm)	coarse sand	Fine sand	Silt	clay	ds/m	pi,	%	(%)
Before	0-30	41.24	40.60	9.68	13.11	12.26	7.81	53,31	0.23
sowing	30-60	38.31	44.31	12.83	8.17	11.40	7.93	52.24	0.21
After sowing	0-30	41.00	40.38	9.31	14.84	12.47	7.75	51.00	0.24
B. castings	30-60	38.11	43.75	12.74	9.75	11.83	8.21	49.34	0.20
After sowing	0-30	39.83	40.52	10.80	13.63	13.91	7.90	50.24	0.23
Rows	30-60	38.00	42.88	12.65	9.12	12.42	8.10	49.11	0.18
After sowing	0-30	41.73	40.35	9.45	13.00	10.35	7.88	51.35	0.24
Furrows	30-60	38.54	44.52	12.81	9.17	12.92	8.00	49.22	0.17
		В. (hemic	al prope	erties		<u> </u>	···	
Soil treatments	Depth		ble cati	ons (me	q/L.)	Solub	le ani	ons (me	eq/L.)
	soil (cm)	Ca++	Mg++	Na+	K+	Co3	HCo ₃	Cl	SO4"
Before	0-30	61.78	51.17	79.54	3.56	-	15.38	92.81	69.41
sowing	30-60	19.34	12.78	41.70	1.53	-	2.33	30.42	48.30
After sowing	0-30	62.58	52.11	78.52	3.41	-	15.54	95.52	73.54
B.castings	30-60	18.73	11.24	42.74	1.61	-	2.33	31.84	48.21
After sowing	0-30	63.41	53.30	80.83	4.84	-	14.87	97.32	71.82
Rows	30-60	19.80	15.11	44.62	1.75	-	2.48	33.74	52.75
After sowing	0-30	58.20	46.73	61.79	3.32	-	15.19	78.27	61.88
Furrows	30-60	20.34	15.00	42.80	1.75	-	2.53	35.11	49.45

Table (2): Chemical properties of irrigation water at Wadi Sudr during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005).

Seasons	Ec	pН	GVD	T.D.S.	Cat	ions (r	neq/ l	.)			(meq/	
	ds/m	value	SAR	(ppm)	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Na [*]	K*	CO₃"	CI	HCo₃	SO4 2
2003/ 2004	5.72	7.93	8.33	3661	14.11	15.58	32.11	0.72	-	60.17	1.85	5.82
2004/2005	6.25	8.00	7.10	4000	17.20	19.11	30.27	0.30	-	62.10	1.73	3.62

Organic matter as farmyard manure (FYM) at the rate of $20m^3/$ fed. was mixed with the upper layer (30 cm depth) of the soil before cultivation, (Table 3). Calcium super phosphate (15.5% P_2O_5) was added at the rate of 150 kg/fed. during soil preparation. Nitrogen fertilization as ammonium nitrate (33.5% N) was applied at the rate of 100 kg N/fed.in three equal doses (at sowing, 30 and 45 days after sowing date), while potassium fertilization was applied at the rate of 24 kg $K_2O/$ fed. in two equal doses (after 30 and 45 days form planting date) .Split split plot design with four replicates was used in such experiments in both seasons. The main plots represented irrigation intervals, sub-plots represented treatments of sowing methods, while wheat varieties were allocated in sub-sub plots. The sub-sub plot area was $9m^2$ (3× 3 m) containing 10 rows (3m long and 30 cm apart) in the two former sowing methods.

Table (3): Chemical content of organic manure at Wadi Sudr during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005).

рН	Organic carbon %	Total nitrogen %	C/N Ratio	Total phosphorus %	Total potassium %	Total suiphate %
7.42	19.88	1.40	14.2	0.25	1.35	1.31

At harvest, after 165 days, plant height (cm), No. of tillers/ plant, spike length (cm). No. of spikelets / spike, No. of grains / spike, grain weight / spike (g), grain weight / plant (g), 1000 – grain weight (g) No. of spikes / m^2 , grain yield, ton / fed., straw yield, ton / fed., biological yield , ton / fed., harvest index as well were recorded (fed. = $4200m^2$). The micro-kjeldahl method was used to determine grain nitrogen content which was multiplied by factor 5.75 to obtain the percentage of crude protein according to A.O.A.C.(1980).

Data were statistically analyzed according to the methods of the analysis of variance. Least significant difference (LSD) was calculated as described by (Steel and Torrie, 1980) to detect the differences among treatment means.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

1. Effect of irrigation intervals:

Data in Table (4) revealed that studied characters i.e. plant height. No. of tillers / plant, spike length, No. of spikelets/ spike, No. of grains / spike, grain weight / spike, grain weight / plant, 1000 - grain weight. No. of spike / m², grain yield / fed., straw yield / fed. biological yield / fed. and harvest index were significantly affected by irrigation intervals under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr in the two seasons. The decrease in grain yield / fed. due to irrigation every 12 and 17 days compared with 7 days, were 23.5% and 39.7% in 2003 / 2004 season being 21.6% and 36.5% in 2004/ 2005 season, respectively. Increasing irrigation intervals to 17 days reduced the different growth characters and grain yield. This may by reduce the capacity of plant in building up metabolites and this might account in turn to depression of photosynthetic efficiency of the leaves with consequent reduction in yield of wheat and its components. So, irrigation must take place at 7 days intervals to remove salt ions from the upper layer of the soil. Similar results were reported by Abd El-Rahim et al., (1989), Fardad and Passarakli (1995) and Sadek (2001) .

2. Effect of sowing methods:

Data in Table (5) indicated that, the effect of sowing methods on yield and yield components. All the studied parameter were positively and significantly. Sowing wheat grain on sloping of furrows or rows significantly increased spike length, No. of spikelets / spike, No., of grains / spike, grain weight / spike , 1000-grain weight, No. of spikes / m², grain yield / fed., straw yield / fed., biological yield / fed., harvest index and protein %. On the other hand, sowing wheat grains on sloping of furrow or broadcasting significantly increased plant height , No. of tillers, / plant and grain weight / plant for both seasons. The highest biological yield (grain yield and straw yield) was obtained with sowing wheat grains on sloping of furrows.

Table (4): Yield and yield components of wheat as influenced by irrigation intervals under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005).

Treatments Irrigation intervals	Plant height (cm)		Spike length (cm)	No. of spikelets / spike	/spike	/ spike (g)_	(g)	weight	No. of spikes/ m²	Grain yield ton/ fed.	Straw yield ton/ fed.	Biological yield ton/ fed.	Harvest Index %	Protein%
					F	irst Sea	sons (2	003/ 200	4)					
7 days	72.5	3.45	9.1	16.3	41.5	1.56	3.54	39.60	474.4	1.508	2.453	3.961	0.381	13.48
12 days	67.0	3.32	8.6	14.3	37.4	1.35	2.78	37.22	435.6	1.182	2.061	3.243	0.364	12.42
17 days	64.5	3.10	7.7	12.8	35.4	1.25	2.35	36.72	385.3	0.942	1.747	2.689	0.350	10.88
L.S.D.	2.721	0.153	0.402	0.108	0.645	0.029	0.228	0.174	36.880	0.082	0.179	0.262	0.009	0.124
					Se	cond Se	asons (2004/ 20	05)					
7 days	66.2	2.91	8.2	15.9	36.8	1.42	4.15	39.03	422.0	1.483	2.337	3.820	0.388	12.84
12 days	62.0	2.69	7.6	14.2	32.5	1.15	3.12	36.31	384.8	1.135	1.944	3.079	0.369	11.71
17 days	59.0	2.46	6.5	12.3	30.4	1.07	2.66	35.38	334.2	0.894	1.627	2.521	0.355	10.30
L.S.D.	2.667	0.319	0.741	0.812	2.143	0.072	0.340	1.100	38.420	0.083	0.178	0.190	0.003	0.029

L.S.D.at 0.05 level.

Table (5): Yield and yield components of wheat as influenced by sowing methods under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005).

	uui uu	mig ur	CLYYOS	casulis (2000, 2	OVT all	u zvoti	2000)	'					
Treatments Sowing methods	Plant	No. of tillers / plant		No. of spikelets / spike	No. of grains /spike	weignt	weight		NO. OI	Grain yield ton/ fed.	Straw yield ton/ fed.	Biological yield ton/ fed.	Harvest Index %	Protein%
					Fi	rst Seas	ons (20	003/ 200	4)					
Furrows	63.5	3.40	8.7	15.4	41.2	1.54	3.40	39.70	458.8	1.395	2.377	3.772	0.370	12.75
Rows	68.0	2.94	8.6	14.6	38.0	1.37	2.40	37.50	430.4	1.209	2.079	3.288	0.368	12.25
B. casting	72.6	3.53	8.2	13.8	35.2	1.25	2.87	36.34	406.1	1.028	1.804	2.833	0.361	11.77
L.S.D.	1,826	0.109	0.122	0.0851	0.469	0.007	0.156	0.211	11.209	0.051	0.097	0.144	0.007	0.060
					Sec	ond Se	asons (2004/ 20	05)					
Furrows	58.4	2.61	7.6	15.1	36.5	1.39	3.66	38.26	407.6	1.345	2.260	3.605	0.373	12.08
Rows	62.1	2.46	7.5	14.1	33.1	1.21	2.95	36.76	379.3	1.164	1.963	3.127	0.372	11.61
B. casting	66.7	3.00	7.1	13.3	30.2	1.05	3 3 1	35.71	354.8	0.984	1.684	2.668	0.369	11.16
L.S.D.	1.322	0.164	0.122	0.380	0.785	0.040	0.529	0.460	11.400	0.056	0.097	0.151	0.002	0.002

L.S.D.at 0.05 level.

B. casting = Broad casting

Which was increased by 10.80%, 35.70 % and 14.33%, 31.76 in first season as well as15.55%, 36.69% and 10.13%, 34.20% in second season for grain yield and straw yield than of rows and broadcasting treatments respectively. While, No. of plants m² was decreased significantly with planting on rows and broadcasting. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Zeidan et al.(1990) and Maheshwari and Sharma(2002). It can be noticed that the most spikes, in case of furrows methods were produced from the main stern, whereas, in case of broadcasting they were produced from tillers. This indicate that the salt tolerance of wheat was increased with sowed grains on double sloping beds of furrows. Hassan and Hassan (1994) found that the salt tolerance of wheat increased with sowed grains on the double sloping beds of furrows.

3. Effect of wheat varieties:

Data in Table (6) show that studied characters were significantly affected by different varieties (Sakha 93 and Sakha 69) in both seasons. The highest values for No. of tillers / plant, spike length, No of spikelets/ spike, No. of grains / spike, grain weight / spike, grain weight / plant, 1000-grain weight, No. of spikes / m², grain yield / fed., straw yield / fed., biological yield / fed., harvest index and protein % were produced by sakha 93 variety. It could be noticed that grain and straw yield / fed. of Sakha 93 were increased by 14.4% and 11.4% respectively over sakha 69 in first season being 14.1% and 10.7% in second season respectively. These results may be due to the differences among studied cultivars in growth habit i.e., plant elongation and tillering which reflects on plant height, No. of tillers / plant and No. of spikes/ m2. The present findings may explain the genetic variability among studied cultivars and response of each one to environmental conditions during growing season. These results are in a full agreement with Duwayrie (1984) who Studied the yield of wheat CVs. "Hourant, wascana, and stroks" and spring wheat CV. "Pvan 76"under salinity and found that C.V. "Strok" produced the highest grain yield while C.V. "Hourant" produced the lowest grain yield. Francois et al., (1986), found that grain yield of one semidwarf wheat variety (Northrup king probred) and two durum cultivars (Westbred 1000-D and Northrup king Aldura) were not affected by soil salinity up to 8.6 and 5.9 ds/m, while Hassan and Hassan (1994) working on four wheat varieties, Sakha 8, Sakha 92, Sohaq1 and Sohaq2, reported that Sohaq 1 and Sohag 2 were more salt tolerant than those of Sakha 8 and Sakha 92 as indicated from their vegetative growth characters, yield and yield attributes. Generally, in the two seasons, Sakha 93 were more salt tolerant than Sakha 69 as indicated from yield and yield components. Sakha 93 gave significantly higher grain yield than Sakha 69. Differences in yield among the varieties were due to plant height, No. of tillers/plant, 1000- grain weight and No. of spikes /m2.

4.Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and sowing methods:

The results in Table (7) indicate that, the interaction between irrigation intervals (7, 12 and 17 days) and the different sowing methods (Furrows, rows and Broadcasting) increased significantly.

Table (6): Yield and yield components of wheat as influenced by wheat varieties under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005).

Treatments	Plant	No. of	Spike	No. of	No. of	Grain	Grain	1000 -	No. of	Grain		Biological	Harvoot	
Wheat	height	tillers /	length	spikelets	grains	weight/	weight /	grain	spikes/	yield	yield	yield ton/	index %	Protein%
varieties	(cm)	plant	(cm)	/ spike	/spike			weight (g)	m ^r	ton/ fed.	ton/ fed.	fed.	macx 70	
						First Sea	sons (20	03/ 2004)						
Sakha 69	68.9	3.21	8.3	14.2	37.1	1.33	2.63	37.20	420.4	1.131	1.982	3.113	0.363	12.11
Sakha 93	67.1	3.37	8.6	15.0	39.2	1.44_	3.15	38.49	443.1	1.290	2.192	3.482	0.588	12.45
L.S.D.	1.405	0.078	0.096	0.082	0.237	0.006	0.040	0.151	5.050	0.025	0.025	0.047	0.006	0.014
						Second Se	asons (2	004/ 2005))					
Sakha 69	63.7	2.62	7.3	13.7	32.2	1.15	3.07	36.22	369.2	1.087	1.863	2.950	0.368	11,44
Sakha 93	61.0	2.76	7.5	14.6	34.3	1.27	3.54	37.60	391.9	1.244	2.075	3.319	0.375	11.79
L.S.D.	0.387	0.082	0.106	0.161	0.286	0.018	0.044	0.344	5.036	0.025	0.031	Ü.069	0.006	0.002

L.S.D.at 0.05 level.

Table (7): Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and sowing methods on yield and yield components of wheat under saline conditions at Wadi Surd during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005).

s	DI	1	- "			Grain	0	1000 -	116	Grain	Straw	District		
Sowing methods		tillers	length		grains	weight / spike	weight /	grain weight	spikes/ m²	yield ton/ fed.	yield ton/ fed.	yield ton/ fed.	Harvest Index %	Protein%
					Firs	t Seasor	is (2003.	2004)						
Furrows	69.40	3.49	9.1	17.0	45.3	1.74	4.12	40.80	498.82	1.726	2.776	4.502	0.383	13.94
Rows	71.68	3.02	9.5	16.3	41.5	1.55	2.91	39.57	466.42	1.537	2.494	4.021	0.380	13.44
B.casting	76.35	3 .83	8.8	15.6	37.8	1.40			457.97	1.271	2.088		0.380	13.04
Furrows		3.43		15.7	40.38				475.13	1.381	2.392		0.366	12.92
1 ₂ Rows	68.28	2.94	8.6	14.6	37.3	1.32	2.28	36.42	431.88	1.162	2.012	3,175	0.366	12.42
B.casting	71.47	3.59	8.2	13.9	34.7	1.22	2.75	35.57	399.68	1.002	1.777	2.779	0.360	11.91
Furrows	59.65	3.27	8:0	13.6	38.0	1.37	2.78		402.60	1.077	1.962	3.040	0.355	11.40
12 Rows	64.03	2.85	7.7	12.9	35.0	1.25	2.01	36.52		0.937	1.731	2.668	0.353	10.88
B.casting	69.92	3.17	7.5	12.0	33.0	1.12	2.25	35.02	360.50	0.812	1.548	2.360	0.345	10.36
5.15.	N.S.	0.281	0.208	0.652	1.355	0.068	N.S		19.609	0.097	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S
					Seco	nd Seas	ons (200							
Furrows	63.1	2.57	8.5	16.7	39.0	1.53	4.11		447.0	1.682	2.660	4.342	0.387	13.30
Rows	65.5	2.56	8.1	15.8	36.7	1,42	2.91	38.96	414.9	1.483	2.378	3.861	0.384	12.84
13.casting	70.0	2.83	7.9	15.2	32.7	1.22	3.60	38.16	406.0	[1.227]	1.972	3,199	0.383	12.37
Furrows	58.4	2.48	7.9	15.3	35.7	1.33	3.31	37.81	424.4	1.330	2.275	3.605	0.369	12.20
Rows	62.1	1.94	7.6	14.1	32.0	1.13	2.28	36.18	381.0	1.118	1.896	3.014	0.371	11.71
13.casting	65.7	2.59	7.2	13.3	29.9	1.01	2.75	34.95	349.0	0.957	1.661	2.618	0.365	11.24
Furrows	53.7	2.27	6.7	13.2	32.7	1.19	2.78	36.98	351.4	1.033	1.846	2.879	0.359	10.74
Rows	58.8	1.85	6.5	12.3	30.5	1.05	2.01	35.13	341.8	0.891	1.614	2.505	0.356_	10.28
13.casting	64.5	2.34	6.2	11.5	28.0	0.950	2.25	34.03	309.3	0.767	1.420	2.187	0.351	9.86
.D.	N.S	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	1.368	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	19.810	N.S.	N.S.	0.261	N.S.	0.008
	methods Furrows Rows B.casting Furrows I ₂ Rows B.casting Furrows I ₂ Rows B.casting Furrows Rows B.casting	Sowing height	Sowing Half No. of Height Hei	Sowing methods Height methods Heig	Sowing height tillers length (cm) spike	Sowing methods Height methods Heig	Sowing methods Height Height Height Spike Spike Height Height Spike Height Spike Height Spike Height Height Spike Height Height	Sowing methods Cam Frait Weight Spike Spik	Sowing methods Height He	Sowing methods Com Plant Hength methods Com Plant Hength methods Com Plant Hength methods Com Plant Hength methods Plant Pla	Sowing methods Sowi	Sowing methods Com Flant methods Com Spike Spike	Sowing methods Cm First Seasons Com Spike Spike	Sowing methods Height He

L.S.D.at 0.05 level

B. casting = Broad casting

Pseudo, No. of tillers/ plant, spike length, No. of spikelets/ spike , No. of spikes/ m², and grain yield / fed. in the first season. Also, the same results were found concerning No. of grain / spike, No. of spike/m², biological yield / fed. and protein % in the second season. The greatest grain yield / fed. was obtained by irrigation intervals 7 days and sowing method of furrows. Favorable soil moisture (7day irrigation interval) increased the number of functioning green leaves and the amounts of metabolites synthesized by plants as well as the potentiality of plants in shifting metabolites to the growing areas which mostly accompanied with an increase in the number and width of the translocated vascular elements. Moreover sowing wheat on furrows become more adapted to saline irrigation water. These results may be attributed to improvement of seed bed and / or more tolerate to saline irrigation water.

5. Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and wheat varieties.

Data represented in Table (8) indicate that the effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and wheat varieties on yield and yield component. It can be noticed that, in the first season, it's clear that significantly in all of the studied traits except plant height, spike length, No. of grains / spike, No. of spikes/ m², harvest index and protein %. While, the second season, plant height, grain weight / plant, grain yield/fed., straw yield/ fed., biological yield / fed, and protein % were significantly increased in wheat varieties Sakha 93 and sakha 69. On the other hand, No. of tillers / plant, spike length, No. of spikelets / spike, No. of grains / spike, grain weight / spike ,1000-grain weight, No. of spikes / m² and harvest index don't affected significantly by this interaction. Therefore, the highest values of such parameters were attained at 7 days irrigation intervals and Sakha 93 in the first season, 71.18 tillers/plant, 16.48 spikelets/ spike, 1.63 (g) grain spike, 3.88 (g) grain plant, 40.08 (g) 1000 - grain weight 1.62 ton, grain vield/fed., 2.60 ton, straw yield /fed. and 4.22 ton, biological yield /fed. As well as characters,65.0 (cm) plant height, 4.44 (g) grain plant, 1.57 ton grain/fed. 2.49 ton, straw yield /fed. and 4.06 ton, biological yield /fed. In the second season, it could be concluded that in sandy soils under salinity conditions of Wadi Sudr increasing irrigation intervals 7, 12 and 17 days reduced the vegetative growth and grain yield, so, irrigation must take place at 7 days intervals to remove salt ions from the upper layer of the soil. While, these differences between varieties under different irrigation intervals treatments may be due to the differences in their genotypes, Sakha 93 cultivar to salt tolerance more than Sakha 69. These results are in harmony with those recorded by Blum et al., (1989) and Bakheit et al., (1994).

6. Effect of interaction between sowing methods and Wheat varieties:

Data recorded in Table (9) represent the effect of the interaction between sowing methods and wheat varieties. Wheat grains on sloping of furrows significantly increased No. of spikelets / spike, No. of grains / spike and 1000-grain weight and protein % were increased in the first seasons, while, plant height, grain weight / plant, straw yield / fed. and protein % were increased in the second season.

Table (8): Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and wheat varieties on yield and yield components of wheat under saline conditions at Wadi Surd during the two seasons (2003/ 2004 and 2004/ 2005).

Treatment		Plant	No. of	Spike	No. of	No. of	Grain	Grain	1000 -	No. of	Grain	Straw	Biological		
trrigation intervals	Wheat varieties	height (cm)	tillers / plant	length (cm)	spikelets / spike	grains /spike	weight / spike (g)	weight / plant (g)	grain weight (g)	spikes/ m	yield ton/ fed.	yield ton/ fed.		Harvest Index %	Protein%
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				F	irst Seasoi	ns (2003/ :					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
7 days	t akha69	73.8	3.33	9.∪	16.1	40.6	1.50	3.21	39.12	461.3	1.399	2.303	3.702	0.378	13.35
	tiakha93	71.2	3.56	9.3	165	42.5	1.63	3.88	40.08	487.5	1.617	2.603	4.220	0.384	13.61
12 days	takha69	67.0	3.20	8.4	142	36.,3	1.30	2.51	36.66	423.2	1,104	1.971	3.075	0.358	12.26
	Sakha93	67.0	3.44	8.7	153	38.5	1.40	3,05	37.79	447.9	1.259	2.150	3.409	0.369	12,57
17 days	Sakha69	66.1	3.10	7.5	123	34.3	1.20	2.18	35.82	376.6	0.890	1.673	2.563	0.348	10,59
	Sakha93	63.0	3.10	7.9	134	36.4	1.30	2.53	37.61	394.0	0.994	1.822	2.816	0.352	11.17
L.S.D.		N.S.	0.142	N.S.	0.279	N.S.	0.031	0.076	0.596	N.S.	0.043	0.054	0.120	N.S.	0.076
						Se	cond Seas	ons (2004	2005)						
7 days	Flakha69	67.4	2.33	8.1	15.5	35.7	1.35	3.86	38.28	376.3	1.355	2.187	3.542		12.69
	iakha93	65.0	2.56	8.3	16.3	37.9	1.48	4.44	39.80	402.4	1.573	2.487	4.06	0.387	12,98
12 days	tiakha69	63.1	2.20	7.4	13.7	31.4	1.11	2.87	35.27	339.2	1.06∪	1.855	2.915	0.346	11.57
	Sakha93	61.1	2.44	7.7	14.8	33.6	1.21	3.36	36.87	363.7	1.210	2.034	3.244	0.373	11,86
17 days	kiakha69	60.9	2.10	6.3	11.8	29.5	1.01	2.48	34.62	290.1	0.849	1.548	2.394	0.355	10.06
	\$akha93	57.1	2.21	6.7	12.9	31.4	1.12	2.83	36.15	309.6	0.949	1.705	2.654	0.357	10.53
L.S.D.		0.678	NS.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.077	N.S.	N.S.	0.044	0.054	0.121	N.S.	0.003

L.S.D.at 0.05 level

Table (9): Effect of the interaction between sowing methods and wheat varieties on yield and yield components of wheat under saline conditions at Wadi Sund during the two seasons (2003) 2004 and 2004 (2005)

		itions at	AAGOI 26	uru aumn	g the two	Seasons	120031 20	U4 and Z		<u>!:</u>					
Treatmen Sowing methods	ts	Plant height (cm)	No. of tillers / plant	Spike length (cm)	No. of spikelets / spike	No. of grains /spike	Grain weight / spike (g)	Grain weight / plant (g)	1000 – grain weight (g)	No. of spikes/ m²	Grain yield ton/ fed.	Straw yield ton/ fed.	Biological yield ton/ fed.	Harvest Index %	Protein%
						F	irst Seaso	ns (2003/	2004)						
Furrows	Sakha69	63.7	3.31	8.5	15.1	40.4	1.49	3.13	39.20	446.4	1.320	2.292	3.612	0.365	12.59
	£akha93	63.3	3.49	8.8	15.7	42.0	1.59	3.67	40.20	471.3	1.470	2.462	3.932	0.374	12.92
Rows	Sakha69	69.1	2.84	8.5	14.2	37.0	1.32	2.17	36.72	421.0	1.127	1.978	3.105	0.363	11.99
	Sakha93	66.9	3.03	8.7	15.1	39.0	1.43	2.63	38.28	439.7	1,291	2.180	3.471	0.372	12.51
Broad	Sakha69	74.1	3.49	8.0	13.3	33.9	1.89	2.58	35.68	393.8	0.947	1,676	2.623	0.361	11.62
casting	Sakha93	71.1	3.57	8.3	14.3	36.5	1.30	3.16	37.00	418.3	1,110	1,932	3.042	0.365	11.92
L.S.D.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.279	0.496	N.S.	N.S.	0.596	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.076
				'		Se	cond Seas	ons (2004	/ 2005)						
Furrows	Sakha69	59.6	2.31	7.6	14.7	35.5	1.35	3.13	37.69	359.9	1.269	2.175	3.444	0.368	11.92
	Sekha93	57.2	2.47	7.8	15.5	37.4	1.46	3.67	38.83	386.7	1,421	2.345	3,766	0.377	12.24
Rows	Sakha69	63.1	1.84	7.3	13.6	32.1	1.17	2.17	36.07	336.6	1.083	1.862	2.945	0.368	11,35
	Sakha93	61.0	2.03	7.5	14.6	34.1	1.28	2,63	37.46	355.6	1.245	2.064	3.309	0.376	11.87
Broad	Sakha69	68.5	2.49	7.0	12.8	29.1	1.03	2.58	34.91	309.1	0.902	1.553	2.455	0.367	11.04
casting	Sakha93	64.9	2.69	7.3	13.9	31.4	1.16	3.15	36.52	333.8	1.065	1.816	2.881	0.370	11.27
L.S.D.		0.677	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.077	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.054	N.S.	N.S.	0.003

L.S.D.at 0.05 level

B. casting = Broad casting

Sakha 93 were more salt tolerant than Sakha 69 as indicated from yield and yield components. Moreover sowing wheat cultivars on furrows become more adapted to saline irrigation water under saline conditions Whereas, No. of spikes / m² decreased significantly with broadcasting. On the other hand, sowing Sakha 93 in furrows produced the highest values of grain and straw yields/fed. under saline conditions. These findings may be due to the competition between plants under furrows sowing method and / or the short period of plant development of Sakha 93, especially under saline conditions. This result is similar with that obtained by Francois et al., (1986), Weimberg, (1987) and Hassan and Hassan (1994).

7.Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals, sowing methods and wheat varieties:

Results in Table (10) indicate that the studied traits were significantly affected by the interaction between irrigation intervals, sowing methods and wheat varieties. In the first season, characters of No. of spikelets/ spike, grain weight / spike, grain weight / plant, 1000-grain weight / straw yield /fed., biological yield / fed. and protein % . were significantly affected by this interaction. While the characters of plant height, straw yield/ fed., biological yield /fed. and protein % were significantly affected in the second season. The greatest grain and straw yields / fed, were obtained by drilling Sakha 93 grains at irrigation intervals every 7 days and planting on furrows. It could be concluded that, in sandy soils under saline conditions in Wadi Sudr. increasing irrigation intervals from 7 days and slopping of furrows reduced vegetative growth and grain yield of wheat, so, irrigation should take place at 7days intervals to remove salt ions from the upper layer of the soil. Generally, higher mean values for all characters were detected in first season. It could be concluded that the increase in grain yield /fed in the first season may be due to the increase of No. of tillers /plant, 1000 grain weight and No. of spikes /m². This result may be due to increase of with drawer of underground water and perception in the first year than the second.

Recommendation

From all previous results, it appears clearly that Sakha 93 wheat cultivars with irrigation intervals 7 days and sowing methods, furrows could be recommended as the best treatment for raising wheat production under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr, South Sinai.

Table (10): Effect of interaction among irrigation intervals, sowing methods and wheat varieties on yield and yield components of wheat under saline conditions at Wadi Sudr during the two seasons (2003/2004 and 2004/ 2005).

Treatmer	nts			No.				Crain	Grain	1000		Grain	Ctron			
Irrigation intervals	Sowing methods	Wheat varieties	(Citi)	of tillers / plant	length (cm)	No. of spikelets / spike	grains	weight / spike (g)	weight / plant (g)	grain weight (g)	No. of spikes/ m²	yield ton/ fed.	yield ton/ fed.	Biological yield ton/ fed.	Harvest Index %	Protein%
						First	Seaso	ns (20	03/ 200	4)						
7 days	Furrows	Sakha69	70.8	3.36	9.0	17.0	17.00	1.70	3.77	40.30	487.4	1.628	2.674	0.378	4.302	13.92
		Sakha93	68.0	3.62	9.2	16.9	16.93	1.78	4.46	41.30	510.3	1.825	2.878	0.388	4.703	13.97
	Rows	Sakha69	72.8	2.93	9.4	16.1	16.10	1.49	2.66	39,10	452.5	1.432	2.373	0.376	3.805	13.11
		Sakha93	70.6	3.11	9.6	16.5	16.50	1.61	3.17	40.03	480.4	1.623	2.615	0.383	4.238	13.78
	B.casting	Sakha69	77.8	3.71	8.7	15.2	15.20	1.31	3.20	37.97	444.2				3.001	13.01
	<u> </u>	Sakha93	74.9	3.95	9.0	16.0	16.00	1 49	4.00	38.90	471.8				3.718	13.07
12 days	Furrows	Sakha69	59.2	3.32	8.8	15.1	15.13		3.00	39.07	456.8				3.624	12.89
		Sakha93	63.5	3.54	9.0	16.2	16.23	1.57	3.62	40.30	493.5	1.456	2.466		3.922	12,95
	Rows	Sakha69	69.5	2.84	8.5	14.0	14.03	1.28	2.07	35.90	424.4		1.906		2.977	12.01
		Sakha93	67.1	3.04	8.7	15.1	15.13	1.35	2.49	36.93			2.119		3.373	12.84
	B.casting	Sakha69	72.4	3.44	8.0	13.4	13,40	1.17	2.49	35.00			1.688		2.625	11.88
	Ĺ	Sakha93	70.5	3.74	8.4	14.5	14.47	1.27	3.05	36.13	410.9		1.866		2.933	11.94
17 days		Sakha69	61.3	3.24	7.8	13.2	13,17	1.32	2.63	38.23	394.9		1.883		2.909	10.97
	+	Sakha93	58.3	3.31	8.2	14.1	14.07	1.43	2.94	39.00	410.3		2.042		3.171	11.83
	Rows	Sakha69	65.1	2.76	7.6	12.3	12,33	1.19	1.80	35.17			1.655		2.535	10.85
		Sakha93	62.9	2.95	7.9	13.5	13,53		2.22	37.87			1.807		2.802	10.92
		Sakha69	72.0	3.31	7.2	11.4	11.43	1.08	2.10	34.07			1.480		2.244	9.96
	<u> </u>	Sakha93	69.8	3.03	7.7	12.6	12.57	1.15	2,41	35.97			1.616		2.476	10.76
L.S.D.			N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.483	N.S.	0.054	0.132	1.032	N.S.	[N.S.]	0.093	0.208	N.S.	0.132

Tak	- ! -	14	^ -	-
121	นค	6-11		ж

Treatmer	ıts			No.			j	Grain	Grain	1000		Grain	Straw			
Irrigation intervals		Wheat varieties	Plant height (cm)	of tillers / plant	(cm)	No. of spikelets / spike	grains	weight / spike (g)	weight / plant (g)	grain weight (g)	No. of spikes/ m ²	yield ton/ fed.	yield ton/ fed.	Biological yield ton/ fed.	Harvest Index %	Protein%
						Secon	d Seas	ons (2	2004/ 20	005)						
7 days	Furrows	Sakha69	64.3	2.36	8.4	16.4	40.3	1.57	3.77	39.27	402.6	1.583	2.558	0.382	4.141	13.27
		Sakha93	61.9	2.88	8.6	17.0	41.6	1.68	4.46	40.70	424.9	1.781	2.762	0.392	4.542	13.34
	Rows	Sakha69	66.7	1.93	8.0	15.5	35.6	1.34	2.66	38.43	367.5	1.387	2.257	0.381	3.644	12.48
		Sakha93	64.3	2.11	8.2	16.2	37.8	1.47	3.17	39.50	395.7	1.579	2.499	0.387	4.078	13.21
	B.casting	Sakha69	71.3	2.71	7.8	14.7	31.3	1.15	3.20	37.13	358.8	1.095	1.745	0.385	2.840	12.33
		Sakha93	68.7	2.95	8.0	15.6	34.2	1.33	4.00	39.20	336.6	1.358	2.199	0.382	3.557	12.41
12 days	Furrows	Sakha69	59.3	2.32	7.8	14.8	34.4	1.27	3,00	37.40	372.8	1.262	2.202	0.364	3.464	12.16
		Sakha 93	57.5	2.54	7.9	15.8	36.9	1.39	3.62	38.23	409.3	1.398	2.349	0.373	3.747	12.23
	Rows	Sakha69	63.2	1.84	7.5	13.5	31.0	1.08	2.07	35.60	i	1.026	1.790	0.364	2.816	11.31
		Sakha93	61.1	2.04	7.7	14.7	33.0	1.20	2.49	36.77	355.2	1.210	2.003		3.213	12.10
	B.casting	Sakha69	66.7	2.44	7.0	12.8	28.9	0.990	2.45	34.30	304.6	0.892	1.572	0.362	2.464	11.23
		Sakha 93	64.7	2.74	7.4	13.9	30.9	1.08	3.05	35.60	326.7	1.023	1.750	0.369	2.773	11.24
17 days	Furrows	Sakha69	55.3	2.24	6.5	12.8	31.9	1.14	2.63	36.40	304.4	0.982	1.766	0.357	2.748	10.34
		Sakha 93	52.2	2.31	6.9	13.6	33.6	1.25	2.94	37.57	325.8	1.085	1.925	0.360	3.010	11.15
	Rows	Sakha69	59.8	1.76	6.3	11.7	29.7	1.01	1.80	34.17	302.0	0.836	1.538	0.352	2.374	10.26
		Sakha 93	57.7	1.95	6.6	13.0	31.4	1.12	2.22	36.10	315.0	0.947	1.690	0.359	2.637	10.30
	B.casting	Sakha69	67.6	2.31	6.0	10.9	26.9	0.88	2.10	33.27	264.0	0.719	1.341	0.349	2.060	9.58
		Sakha 93	61.4	2.36	6.5	12.1	29.1	1.00	2.40	34.8	288.0	0.815	1.499	0.352	2.314	10.15
.S.D.			1.174	N.S.	N.S.	NS.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.094	0.210	N.S.	0.005

L.S.D.at 0.05 level B. casting = Broad casting

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Rahim, H. M.; M.G. Mosad, E. M. Shalaby and M.M. Mosound (1989). Effect of watering regime on yield and its components of wheat. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 20 (1): 177- 187.
- A.O.A.C., Association of Official Analysis Chemists (1980). Official Methods of Analysis. Published by the Association of Official Analysis Chemists, 13th Ed., Washington, D.C., U.S.A.
- Ayers, R. S. and D. W. Westcot (1981). Water quality for Agriculture, FAO, Rome, pp. 41 56.
- Bakheit, B.R.; M.M.; Saadalla, F.H. Abdalla and T. A.. Ahmed (1994). Genotype by environment interactions in grain sorghum across water deficit environments Assuit J. Agric. Sci., 25 (2): 25-37.
- Bernstein, L.; M. Fireman and R.C. Rceve (1975). Control of salinity in the imperial valley, California, U.S. Dept. Agr. ARS., 41: 4-16.
- Black, C. A. (1983). Methodes of soil analysis part (1and2). Soil sci. Soc. and Am. jnc., Madison, Wisconsing USA..
- Blum, A.; J. Mayer and G. Golan (1989). Agronomic and physiological assessments of genotypic variation for drought resistance in sorghum. Australian. J. Agric. Res., 40: 49-61.
- Duwayrie, M. (1984). Comparison of wheat cultivars grown in the field under different levels of moisture. Creal Research Communication. 12 (1/2) 27-34. (C.F. Soils & Fert.Abst. 1984, 47 (12); 13068). dwarf wheat. Indian J. Agron. 33(1): 106-107.
- Fardad. H. and M.Pessarakli (1995). Biomass production and water use efficiency of barley and wheat plant with different irrigation intervals at various water levels. J. Pl. Nutrition. (18: 2643- 2654)
- Francois, L.E.; D.V. Mass; T.J. Donovan and V.L. Youngs (1986). Effect of salinity on grain yield of semi-dwarf and durum wheat. Agron. J. 78: 1053-1058.
- Hassan, H. Kh. and F. Hassan (1994). Response of some wheat cultivars to sowing methods under saline irrigation water. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain SHams Univ., Cairo, 39 (1): 167-176.
- Hassan, H.Kh. (1989). Physiological studies for improving salt tolerance of wheat plants. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, PP. 81-84
- Jackson, M. I. (1958): Soil chemical analysis. Co. London 38; 325.
- Maheshwari, S. K. and R. K. Sharma(2002). Differential response of seed rate and method of sowing on seed yield and quality of isabgol (*Plantago ovata*) in shallow black soil. Indian J. Agro. 47(1): 147-150.
- Sadek, I. M. (2001). Evaluation of two newly released wheat cultivars under three irrigation intervals and five nitrogen levels in sandy soil. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 26 (1): 23 – 31.
- Shannon, M. C.; C. M.Grieve. and L.E. Francois (1994). Whole-plant response to salinity P. 199-244. In: Plant Environment Interactions (R. E. Wilkinson, ed.) New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Sharma, B. D.; S. Kar and S. S. Cheema (1990). Yield, water use and nitrogen uptake for different water and N levels in winter wheat Fertilizers, Res. 22: 2, 119 127.

- Steel, R. G. D. and J. H. Torrie. (1980). Principles and procedures of statistics 2nd ed. Mc. Grow Hill Book Co., Inc., New York 633. pp.
- Weimberg, R. (1987). Solute adjustments in two species of wheat at two different stage of growth in response of salinity. Physiol Plantarum, 70: 381-388.
- World Farming, (1971). Salinity, you can't erase it, but you can live with it World Farming, Texas Univ., PP. 10- 13.
- Zeidan, E. M.; E. M. El-Naggar, S. A. I. Ghanem and M. I. I. Makhloof (1990). The influence of planting methods, plant densities and weed control treatments on seed yield and its quality of faba been. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. Vol. 17(4):1079-1092.

تأثير طرق الزراعة وفترات الرى على بعض أصناف القمح تحت ظروف الملوحة بجنوب سيناء

خالد طه الأفندي

قسم الإنتاج النباتي- مركز بحوث الصحراء- القاهرة.

أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان في محطة بحوث راس سدر بمحافظة جنوب سيناء والتابعة لمركز بحوث الصحراء خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٢/ ٢٠٠٤، ٢٠٠٤ لدراسة تأثير طرق الزراعة (بدار - تسطير - خطوط) وفترات الرى (٧- ١٢- ١٧ يوم) على صنفي القمح سخا ٩٢، سخا ١٩ تحت ظروف السرى بالمياه المالحة الناتجة من الأبار الموجودة بالمحطة البحثية.

وكانت أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها فيما يلي:

- اظیرت النتائج تفوق الری المتقارب کل ۷ أیام وقد أدی إلی زیادة معنویة فی صفات المحصول و مکوناته فی کلا الموسمین.
- ٢- أوضحت النّتائج أن لطرق الزراعة تأثيرا معنويا في كل الصفات تحت النراسة في كلا الموسمين وقد نفوقت طريقة الزراعة على خطوط في تحمل نباتات القمح للملوحة عن باقي المعاملات.
- أشارت النتائج إلى تفوق الصنف سخا ٩٣ عن الصنف سخا ٩٩ فى كل الصفات تحت الدراسة فى كلا موسمى الزراعة وأظير تأثيرا معنويا.
- ٤- سجل التفاعل بين فترات الرى وطرق الزراعة تأثيرا معنويا في عند الفروع/ النبات، طول السعبلة، عند السنبلة، وزن الألف حبه، عدد السعابل/ ٢٠، محصول المعنوب/ في الموسم الأول ، بينما كان عند الحبوب / السعبلة، عدد السعابل / ٢٠، المحصول المعنوب في الموسم الأول ، بينما كان عند الحبوب المعنوب في الموسم الثاني .
 - ٥- كان التفاعل بين فترات الرى وصنفي القمح سخا ٩٢، سخا ٩٦ تأثيرا معنوبا في عدد الفسروع/ النبات، عدد السنيبلات/ السنبلة، وزن لسنبلة، وزن حبوب النبات، وزن الألف حبه، محصول الحبوب/ فدان، نسبة البروتين % في الموسم الأول. بينما كان في طول النبات، وزن حبوب النبات، محصول الحبوب/فدان، محصول القش/ فدان، المحصول المبوب/فدان، محصول القش/ فدان، المحصول البيولوجي/ فدان، لمبة البروتين لهما تأثيرا معنويا في الموسم الثاني.
- ١- اظهر التفاعل بين طرق الزراعة وصنفي القمع سخا ٩٢، سَخا ٢٩ تَاثيراً معنوباً في عدد السنبيلات/ السنبلة، عدد الحبوب/ السنبلة، وزن الألف حبة، نسبة البروتين % في الموسم الأول، بينما كان لهما تاثيرا معنوبا في طول النبات، وزن حبوب/ النبات، محصول القش/ فدان ونسبة البروتين % في الدير الثانات
- ٧- أظهر التفاعل بين فترات الرى وطرق الزراعة وصنفى القمع سخا ٩٣ ، سخا ٩٣ تأثير المعنويا في عند السنيبلات/ السنبلة وزن السنبلة، وزن حبوب/ النبات، وزن الألف حبة، محصول القبش / فدان والمحصول النبولوجي/ فدان ونسبة البروتين % في الموسم الأول. بينما كان له تأثير! معنويا في طول النبات، محصول القش/ فدان، المحصول البيولوجي/ فدان، نسبة البروتين % في الموسم الثاني. من خلال الدراسة يمكن التوصية بزراعة أصناف قمح مقاومة للملوحة خاصة الصنف سخا ٩٣ في منطقة راس سدر والمناطق المشابهة بجنوب سيناء والتي يتم الرى فيها من خلال الأبار على أن تكون الزراعة في خطوط مع مراعاة أن يكون الرى كل ٧ أيام مع الزراعة مع الاهتمام بالمحاصلات الزراعية.

الأُخْرَى للحَصولُ على أعلى اِنتاجية ممكنة.