USING SOME FODDER SHRUBS AND INDUSTRIAL BY-PRODUCTS IN DIFFERENT FORMS FOR FEEDING GOATS IN SINAI

Allam, Sabbah M.1; K.M. Youssef2; M.A. Ali1 and S.Y. Abo Bakr2

- 1, Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza.
- 2, Animal and Poultry Department, Desert Research Center, Mataria, Cairo, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Berseem hay (BH) and mixture of fodder shrubs (FS), which consisted of 30% Acacia saligna (AS) and Atriplex nummularia (AN) were chopped and ground to be used as roughage in desert goats rations. Eighteen mature male goats with an average live body weight of 32.91 ± 0.414 kg were used in metabolism trials to assess the effect of FS and form of the ration on the digestibility, nitrogen balance and water balance and some rumen fermentation parameters. Animals were randomly assigned into sex feeding groups, R1(BH+CFM) as mash, R2 {(BH) as mash + (CFM) as block}, R3 (BH + CFM) as block, R4 (FS+CFM) as mash, R5 {(FS) as mash + (CFM) as block} and R6 (FS + CFM) as block. The experimental rations contained 60 % CFM + 40 % roughage, the concentrate feed mixture (CFM) consisted of (23.5% date seed, 15% olive pulp, 25% wheat bran, 25% radicell, 10% molasses and 1.5% urea).

The results showed a significant (P<0.05) difference in dry matter intake of CFM only between R1 and R6, the highest value of total dry matter intake was in R1 and the lowest value was in R5.Ration 1(R1) showed the highest digestion coefficients for all nutrients. The same trend was observed for the nutritive value as TDN and DCP.

There was a significant (P<0.05) difference in nitrogen balance among groups, the highest value was in R1, while the lowest value was in R5.

Twenty four male goat kids (4 months old and 15.67 \pm 1.11 kg average live body weight) were used in a growth trial for 6 months. Animals were divided randomly into three feeding groups, R1 (BH + CFM) as mash, R3 (BH + CFM) as block and R6 (FS + CFM) as block. The same CFM and roughage: concentrate ratio, which were used in the digestion trails were used in the growth trail. Average daily gains of animal R1 and R3 were significantly (P<0.05) higher than those in R6. On the other hand, animal in R6 had the highest economic efficiency than R1 and R3.

From the previous data, it could be concluded that using feed blocks consisting of 60% CFM and 40% FS seems to be a good, practical and economical for feeding system for goats in the desert area.

Keywords: feed blocks, digestion coefficient, fodder shrubs, rumen and blood parameters.

INTRODUCTION

In desert areas, sheep and goats mostly thrive on grazing of natural grass as well as browsing on shrubs and tree leaves. These feed resources are characterized by low palatability and poor nutritive value and imbalance of nutrient resource (Eid, 2003and Samanta et al., 2003). Many attempts have been done to increase the utilization of these roughage resources by various methods such as, supplying of the deficient nutrients mainly energy

and nitrogen (Leng, 1990) and using feed blocks in sheep and goats feeding (Nagpal and Aror, 2002). The use of complete feed blocks in animal feeding have several advantages as, simple and efficient technique giving a product having easer of transportation and minimum feeding selectivity which reflects on the feeding costs, as well as could be carrier of anathematic medicines (Ben Salem and Nefzaoui, 2003 and Samanta et al., 2003). Agro-industrial by-products are available in Egypt in large quantities and characterized by having nutritive value. So, it can be used as supplementary ingredient in animal rations (Mohamed and El-Saidy, 2003).

Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the effect of feeding fodder shrubs in different forms on the performance of growing goats in Sinai.

MARERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out in Ras Suder Research Station, Desert Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, in South Sinai Governorate. This study was divided into three parts, the first part included preparation of the ingredients of ration in different forms, the second part involved metabolism trials and the third part included a growth trial.

In the first part, both *Atriplex nummularia* (AN) and *Acacia saligna* (AS) as fodder shrubs (FS) were colleted and chopped into 3-5 cm and sun dried for 15 days then were ground to pass throeyd about 0.5- 1cm sieve. A mixture of FS contained 30% AS plus 70% AN was used as roughage in tested rations. Also berseem hay (BH) was chopped, ground and used as good quality roughage. Roughages were mixed with a concentrate feed mixture (CFM) ratio of 40%: 60 %, respectively. The CFM consisted of 23.5% date seed, 15% olive pulp, 25% wheat bran 25%, radicell 10%, molasses and 1.5 % urea. Urea and molasses were dissolved in a least amount of water and sprayed to the feed mixture. The feed mixture was set in a mould (20×10 cm) then pressed by hand or simple compressor. After demoulding feed blocks were stored in a ventilated place and preferably not directly exposed to sunlight for one week in summer and 2weeks in winter. Blocks were turned up side down from time to time to accelerate the drying process.

In the second part, metabolism trials were carried out for 28 days (21 days as preliminary period and 7 days as collection period) using eighteen mature male goats with 32.91±0.41 kg average live body weight. Animal were divided randomly into six feeding groups (3 animals each) as follows: R1: (BH + CFM) as mash, R2: (BH as mash and CFM as feed block), R3: (BH + CFM) as feed block, R4: (FS + CFM) as mash, R5: (FS as mash + CFM as feed block), R6: (FS+ CFM) as feed block. Rations in all groups were fed at 2.5% from live body weight of animals. Dry matter intake and water consumption were determined, meanwhile, water balance was calculated. At the end of digestibility trails, rumen samples were taken by stomach tube at zero time (just before feeding) and then at 6 hours post feeding to determine pH and NH3-N, and VFA's concentration. Blood samples were withdrawn before

feeding to determine total protein, albumin, urea, ceratinine and globulin concentration.

In the third part, In spite of the results obtained in the second part of this work, three-tested rations (differed in roughage and physical form) were chosen in a growth trail taking into consideration the ease in application and more profitability for the farmer. Growth trail was carried out for 180 days using 24 male kids (4 months age) with average body weight 15.67 ± 1.11 kg and treated against external parasites to ensure that the animals are in good health and are free from parasites. Animals were divided randomly, according to their live body weight into three feeding groups (8 each). First group, R1was fed (40% BH + 60% CFM) as mash, second group, R3 was fed (40% BH + 60% CFM) as feed block while the last group, R6 was fed (40% FS + 60% CFM) as feed block. During the growth trail, each group of animals was housed in separate pen. All groups were fed 5% of live body weight twice daily (8.00 am and 3.00 pm), refusals (if any) were collected just before offering the next ration, both feed intake and orts were recorded daily for all animals. Water was available all the time.

Animals were weighed at the beginning and then biweekly. Body weight changes were recorded for each animal. The production costs of rations, feed conversion were calculated based on the market prices in year 2002, when the ingredients and experimental rations were bought and prepared.

Chemical analysis

Samples of feed, urine and feces were analyzed according to A.O.A.C (1990). Fiber fraction constituents were determined according to Goering and Van Soet (1970). Ruminal liquor pH was immediately measured by using pH meter. Ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N) and total volatile fatty acid (VFA, s) were determined according to A.O.A.C (1990) and Warner (1964), respectively. Blood analysis was determined by using commercial Kits.

Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analyzed by one way of variance using SAS, (1998), and the model was:-

 $Y_{ii} = M + T_i + e_{ii}$

Where :- Yii = experimental observation

M = general mean

T_i = effect of treatment

e_{ii} = experimental error

Differences among means were compared by Duncan's multiple range test of SAS (1998).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Chemical composition of ingredients and experimental rations:

Chemical composition of ingredient and experimental rations were presented in table (1).

Table (1): Chemical composition of feed ingredients and the experimental rations (% as DM basis)

Items	DM	OM	CP	CF	EE	NFE	Ash	NDF	ADF	ADL	Cell.	Hemi.
(AN)	89.52	76.99	14.53	16.72	2.73	43.01	23.01	60.55	30.63	16.85	13.78	29.92
(AS)	89.41	89.48	13.62	18.09	2.97	54.80	10.52	58.72	35.31	18.4	16.91	23.41
(BH)	89.00	88.35	15.90	28.22	2.38	41.85	11.65	59.31	31.93	10.04	21.89	27.38
Date seed	90.1	98.27	8.89	12.3	6.04	71.04	1.73	58.13	40.68	14.77	25.91	17.45
Olive pulp	91.56	93.92	8.33	34.25	7.02	44.32	6.08	68.88	46.79	23.50	23.29	22.09
Radicell	92.46	95.71	24.85	11.68	2.46	56.72	4.29	62.16	18.1	4.35	13.75	44.06
Wheat bran	88.67	94.34	14.8	11.06	3.88	64.6	5.66	47.22	13.75	2.88	10.87	33.47
Rations					<u> </u>	_						
R1	90.00	92.67	17.90	19.90	3.29	51.58	7.33	54.40	26.95	9.75	17.20	27.45
R2	89.50	92.47	17.70	19.39	3.18	52.20	7.53	54.92	27.75	9.80	17.95	27.17
R3	88.50	92.25	18.25	20.00	3.00	51.00	7.75	54.68	27.72	9.99	17.73	26.96
R4	88.00	88.70	17.02	15.87	3.58	52.23	11.30	54.75	27.81	12.12	15.69	26.94
R5	88.20	89.00	16.94	15.10	3.35	53.61	11.00	54.92	27.74	12.98	14.76	27.18
R6	89.00	89.00	17.35	15.37	3.31	52.97	11.00	54.59	28.00	12.80	15.20	26.59

(AN): Atriplex nummularia , (AS): Acaci salegana , (BH): brseem hay. R1:(BH +CFM) as mach,

R2: BH as mach +(CFM as feed block), R3: (BH + CFM) as feed block, R4:(FS(70% AN+30% AS)+CFM) as mach,R5:FS as mach+(CFM as feed block), R6(FS + CFM)as feed block.

The data in this table showed that BH had the highest crude fiber compared with AS and AN .On the other hand, AN contained the highest ash (23.01%) compared with BH and AS. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Famhy (1998) and Eid (2003). On the other hand, olive pulp had the highest amount of CF, NDF, ash and ADF compared with the other ingredients. The highest value of NFE was in date seed followed by wheat bran. Radicell showed the highest value of CP. These results are similar to those found by Youssef and Fayed, (2001) and Abdou (2003).

Chemical composition of tested rations indicated that rations were similar in all nutrients except CF, ash, and ADL. However, rations consisted of FS, (R4, R5 and R6) were higher in ash content and ADL., while, CF was lower in these rations than others while contained BH. These differences may be due to the variation of chemical composition of FS mixture compared to berseem hay.

Voluntary feed intake and feeding value

Voluntary feed intake, digestibility, nutritive value and nitrogen balance data in table (2) indicated that a significant difference (p< 0.05) in dry matter intake (g/kg BW) of CFM only was observed between R1 and R6 being 13.50 and 12.62 g/kg BW respectively. While, there were no significant differences in roughage and total dry matter intake among groups. The highest intake of CFM and roughage was in R1and the lowest CFM intake was in R6, while, the lowest roughage intake was in R5. It could be observed that the highest total DM intake was recoded byR1and R3. These results may be due to mechanical treatment (chopping and grinding) of R1 and mixing roughage with concentrate and blocking of R3, also to higher content of protein and the quality of roughage source (BH compared to FS) in these rations (Nagpal and Arora, 2002). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Jaster and Murohy, (1983) who reported that reduction in particle size of feeds by chopping or grinding could result in an increase in forage intake and may prevent selection .Also , Nyarko-Badohu et al., (1994) found an increase of straw intake by sheep when supplemented with molasses containing blocks.

In the same table, the digestion coefficients were varied significantly (P<0.05) among treatments. Whereas, R1 was the highest in digestion coefficients of all nutrients except hemicellulose followed by R3, while the lowest digestion coefficient was in R2. The improvement of digestibility in R1may be due to the effect of mechanical treatment (chopping and grinding), which lead to increase the breakdown of the linkages between cellulose and lignin. These results are in agreement with those of El Ashry et al., (1997). Generally, improving nutrients digestibility in particular R1 and R3 indicated that available carbohydrate supplied by molasses might have resulted in better utilization of the ration (Leng, 1984 and Schier et al., 1989).

In the case of rations contained FS (R4, R5 and R6), the highest values of digestion coefficients were recorded by R5. These data may be due to the lower intake of roughage in this group. Similar results were obtained by Etman and Soliman (1999) who reported that the digestibility coefficients of all nutrients were affected by concentrate roughage ratio. Also, this result agreed with that obtained by Aly et al., (1982).

Table (2): Voluntary feed intake, digestion coefficients, nutritive value and nitrogen balance of experimental rations.

Items	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6
			Feed intake g/kg	BW		
CFM	13.50°±0.02	12.97 ^{ab} ±0.1	13.24 ^{ab} ±0.02	13.20 ^{ab} ±0.002	12.97 ^{ab} ±0.005	12.62 ^b ±0.49
Roughage	8.99±0.001	7.73±0.52	8.83±0.01	8.80±0.001	7.13±1.39	8.41±0.033
Total intake	22.49±0.004	20.70±0.52	22.07±0.005	22.00±0.004	20.10±1.39	21.03±0.82
		Dige	estibility coefficie	ents %		
DM	65.91°±0.55	58.7°±1.03	64.60 ^{ab} ±0.61	58.64°±0.60	62.74 ^b ±0.11	55.01 ^d ±0.28
OM	67.07 ^a ±0.67	59.81 ^d ±1.00	65.16 ^b ±0.58	59.41 ^d ±0.15	61.83°±0.24	54.82°±0.37
CP	70.17°±0.47	63.76 ^b ±0.65	68.31°±0.88	69.79°±0.65	69.98°±0.34	63.39 ^b ±0.55
CF	63.60°±0.64	48.38°±1.31	62.28°±0.64	52.3 ^b ±0.16	52.38 ^b ±.058	50.48 ^{cb} ±0.04
EE	75.42°±0.60	67.71 ^b ±1.90	73.09 ^{ab} ±0.40	71.78 ^b ±1.52	73.02 ^{ab} ±0.43	66.8°±0.25
NFE	66.82°±0.47	61.86 ^b ±1.11	64.7°±0.55	57.31°±0.16	61.16 ^b ±0.39	52.48 ^d ±0.83
			Nutritive value	%		
TDN	65.46°±0.69	58.32°±1.03	62.85 ^b ±0.55	55.90 ^d ±0.18	58.90°±1.02	51.52 ^e ±0.35
DCP	12.57°±0.08	11.35°±0.13	12.46°±.016	11.89 ^b ±0.11	11.91 ^b ±0.19	10.98°±0.09
			Nitrogen balance	o:-		
NI g/h/d	21.69±2.15	19.62±1.49	20.95±1.39	20.16±1.14	18.12±1.32	18.19±1.78
FN g/h/d	6.47±0.70	7.11±0.60	6.64±0.59	6.09±0.42	5.44±0.46	6.66±0.55
UNg/h/d	7.92 ^{bc} ±1.12	6.33°±0.90	8.12 ^{bc} ±0.86	10.77 ^{ab} ±1.07	12.40°±0.99	9.11 ^{abc} ±1.62
NBg/h/d	$7.30^{a}\pm0.48$	6.18 ^{ab} ±1.45	6.19 ^{ab} ±0.78	3.30 ^{bc} ±0.70	0.28°±0.13	2.42°±1.36

a,b,c and d means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05). NI: Nitrogen intake ,FN: Fecal nitrogen ,UN ,Urainy nitrogen ,NB, Nitrogen balance.

Generally, rations contained BH had the highest nutrients digestion compared with those contained FS. This result may be due to the higher content of ADL in rations contained FS. This result agree with those obtained by Jung and Allen, (1995) and Youssef and Fayed (2001), who reported a negative relationship between lignin concentration and forage digestibility.

The nutritive value as TDN and DCP (Table 2) indicated that BH rations showed the highest value of TDN% in R1 (65.46 %) and the lowest value was in R6 (51.52%). The higher TDN% may be due to the higher intake of CFM and higher digestibility of nutrients in R1. The DCP values showed the same trend of TDN values. These results are in agreement with those reported by Etman and Soliman (1999). On the other hand, in the FS rations, R5 was the highest in TDN % and the lowest value was in R6. This may be due to lower digestibility coefficients of R6.

Generally, rations contained BH had higher% TDN values than those contained FH. This may be due to higher content of CF of rations contained FS as showed by Aguilera (1987) and Youssef and Fayed (2001) who found that reduction in TDN and DCP values may be due to the high lignin content .Also, These results agreed with those obtained by Fahmy, (1998) and Eid, (2003) who reported that animals fed rations consisted of agro- industrial by products and halophytic shrubs had lower TDN values than those consisted of BH and agro- industrial by products.

Nitrogen and water balance

Nitrogen balance results in table (2) showed that in the case of BH rations, the highest value of N balance was in R1 and the lowest value was in R2 .this may be due to different of DMI and crude protein digestibility. While among FS rations, R4 had the highest N balance followed by R6, while R5 had the lowest N balance. This may be due to the higher excretion of urinary nitrogen which lead to negative effect on N balance.

Data of water consumption in table (3) showed that there was no significant difference among groups of free drinking water. Animals of R1 showed the highest free drinking water, while the lowest was in R2. These results may be due to the variation in DMI.

The highest total water intake was in R1 while the lowest was in R2. The increase in total water intake may be due to the higher intake of DM and CP. The same results were obtained by Youssef (1999) and Eid (2003).

Urinary water was higher in R6 followed by R5 (1410.55 and 1288.88 ml/h/d) respectively, than other groups. These results are in agreement with the findings of Fid (2003) who showed that the high content of ash in natural and cultivated halophytic (shrubs) plants mixtures lead to push animals to increase excretion of urine as natural chanel to excrete minerals. Increasing total water execration—in R6 and R5 lead to decreasing water balance in R6 and R5.

Rumen parameters

Data in table (4) showed that ruminal ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N) concentration was lower before feeding and increased post feeding with all rations. The mean value ranged from 22.81 in R3 to 28.7mg/100 ml RL in R1.

Table (3): Water consumption and balance of goats as affected by experimental rations.

Items	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6
			Water intake:-			
Free drinking	2816.66	2203.33	2651.66	2718.33	2504.44	2422.22
water ml/h/d	±262.95	±198.01	±197.82	±171.70	±214.96	±200.13
Combined	84.13	99.29	99.29	100.96	94.59	80.97
water ml/h/d	±8.43	±7.49	±8.66	±5.74	±7.01	±7.93
Metabolic	297.04 ^a	241.12 ^{ab}	270.58 ^{ab}	248,29 ^{ab}	235.23 ^{ab}	202,36 ^b
water ml/h/d	±28.15	±18.15	±25.40	±13.69	±16.60	±19.23
Total water	3197.83	2543.74	3021.53	3067.58	2834.56	2705.55
intake ml/h/d	±236.07	±222.76	±195.22	±155.80	±238.00	±226.49
			Water excretion:			
Feacal water	235.47 ^a	198.8 ^{ab}	202.47 ^{ab}	183.56 ^{ab}	130.86 ^b	157.32 ^b
ml/h/d	±15.18	±26.29	±33.16	±24.28	±6.19	±12.68
Urinary water	1052.66 ^{ab}	572.66 ^b	1163.33 ^a	1136.66 ^a	1288.88 ^a	1410.55°
ml/h/d	±144.36	±76.01	±168.73	±46.93	±29.00	±318.56
Total water	1288.13 ^a	771.46 ^b	1365.8 ^a	1320.22 ^a	1419.74°	1567.87 ^a
excre. ml/h/d	±14.53	±67.34	±170.58	±37.08	±33.98	±108.26
*WaterBalance	1909.7	1772.28	1655.73	1747.36	1414.82	1137.68
ml/h/d	±363.95	±215.99	±301.26	±186.57	±209.05	±307.21

a and b means in the same row with different superscripts differ significant (P<0.05). Metabolic water was calculated from TDN intake a yield of 0.6 g.water per g.(Farid et al.,1985).

^{*}Including insensible water loss.

Higher NH_3 -N concentration may be due to that higher dry matter and DCP intake as well as the increase of digestibility which lead to the improvement of microbial protein synthesis and rumen microflora growth . This agreed with that of Nagpal and Arora (2002) and Nagalakshimi and Reddy (2001).

Increasing ammonia nitrogen in the rumen was a result of increasing protein intake as mentioned by Abd El Gawad et al., (1994) and El Sayed (1994). Also, ammonia was higher for goats fed mash than those fed block. This result indicated that feeding of complete diet in the from of blocks slowed down the production of ammonia in the rumen and thus save the body system from the burden of recycling of excess ammonia (Samanta et al. 2003).

Concentration of VFA,s ranged from (6.72 m-equiv/100 ml) in R1 to (8.55 m-equiv/100ml) in R6 before feeding and increased after feeding to a range from (10.05m-equiv/100ml) in R5 to (12.47 m-equiv/100ml) in R4. Generally, the mean of VFA,s concentrations ranged from (8.45 in R1 to 10.38 in R4) and were within the normal level (3.07 to 19.90 ml.eq./100 ml) of rumen liquor reported by several researchers El-Sayed (1994), Youssef (1999), Abdou (2003) and Eid (2003).

Ruminal pH ranged from 6.46 in R 6 to 7.13 in R1 and R2 before feeding while, after feeding it ranged from 6.06 in R4 to 6.66 in R3. The mean pH values of rumen liquor in the present study are within the ranges reported by El Ashry *et al.*, (1997) and Rakha (1988). The pH values were always above 6.00 which ensure maximal cellulolytic activity and microbial protein synthesis (Hungate, 1966).

Blood parameters

Data in table (5) show that the concentration of total blood serum protein before feeding varied significantly (p<0.05) and ranged from 8.42 mg/100ml in R5 to 9.61mg/100ml in R6. The increase in total protein concentration may be due to the supplementation of urea and molasses and increasing the digestibility of CP. These results agree with those found by Abdel Aziz *et al.*, (1993) and Yousef and Zaki (2001).

Generally, the ranges obtained in this study were similar to those obtained by Talha et al., (2005).

Blood serum albumin concentrations ranged from 4.23 to 4.56 mg/100 ml in R5 and R1, respectively. The highest values of serum albumin may be due to the higher digestibility of CP than other ration as showed by Rowlands (1980) and Yousef and Zaki (2001).

Generally, values of albumin were within the normal range (3.5-5.0) obtained by Kaneko(1989).

Blood serum globulin had highest value in R6(5.33 gm), while the lowest was in R3 (4.17 mg). These values of globulin concentration were within the normal value indicating good immunity status of animals (Talha et al., 2005).

Ceratinine level ranged from 1.17 mg/100ml to 1.43 mg/100ml. These results agreed with those obtained by El Ashry *et al.*, (1997) who found that plasma cereatinine level ranged between 0.09 to 1.4 mg/100ml blood.

Table(4): Effect of tested rations on some rumen parameters.

Items	Time of	Experimental rations					
	sampling	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6
			NII ₃	-N,mg/100ml RL			
0 hours		26.41°±0.005	18.97°±0.003	20.42d±0.55	25.43b±0.25	25,11b±0.11	25.71°±0.13
6 hours		30.99°±0.03	30.08 ^{ab} ±0.27	25.2°±0.028	29.55 ^b ±0.65	29.23b±0.09	21.12 ^d ±0.13
Mean		28.7°±0.09	24.53°±0.13	22.81 ^d ±0.36	27.49 ^b ±0.24	27.17 ^b ±0.55	23.42d±0.06
			VFA,s (ı	mequiy /100 mg RL))		
0 hours		6.72°±0.10	8.37°±0.02	8.39°±0.02	8.28°±0.08	8.28°±0.02	8.55°±0.20
6 hours		10.17°±0.09	10.88 ^b ±0.17	10.67 ^{bc} ±0.31	12.47°±0.19	10.05°±0.03	10.41 ^{cb} ±0.23
Mean		8.45±	9.63±	9.53±	10.38±	9.17±	9.48±
				pH.			
0 hours		7.13°±0.04	7.13°±0.08	7.06°±0.07	6.70 ^b ±0.05	6.63 ^b ±0.03	6.46°±0.03
6 hours		6.66°±0.05	6.50°±0.17	6.46 ^{ab} ±0.05	6.06 ^b ±0.12	6.30 ^{ab} ±0.05	6.26 ^{ab} ±0.21
Mean		6.9°±0.04	6.82°±0.08	6.76°±0.06	6.38 ^b ±0.06	6.47 ^b ±0.03	6.36 ^b ±0.18

a,b and c means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table (5): Effect of tested rations on some blood parameters before feeding .

	Experimental rations						
ltems -	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	
Total protein (gm)	9.43°±0.21	8.62°±0.04	8.47 ^{co} ±0.15	8.59 ^{bc} ±0.07	8.42 ^c ±0.07	9.61 ^a ±0.01	
Albumin (gm)	4.56°±0.07	4.26 ^b ±0.01	4.30 ^{ab} ±0.10	4.40 ^{ab} ±0.11	4.23°±0.10	4.28 ^b ±0.03	
Globulin (gm)	4.87°±0.05	4.36 ^{bc} ±0.06	4.17°±0.06	4.19 ^b ±0.08	4.19 ^d ±0.17	5.33°±0.03	
A/G ratio	0.94 ^{ab} ±0.009	0.98 ^b ±0.03	1.03 ⁵ ±0.09	1.05 ^b ±0.10	1.00°±0.03	0.80°±0.10	
Creatinine (mg/100ml)	1.43°±0.06	1.28 ^b ±0.02	1.31 ^d ±0.05	1.17°±0.001	1.42°±0.05	1.42°±0.001	
Urea-N (mg/100ml)	34.69°±3.59	11.89°±0.42_	11.30°±0.57	28.06 ^b ±0.68	15.97°±2.75	11.40°±0.42	

a,b,c and d means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

Urea nitrogen concentration was higher in R1 (34.69 mg/100ml) followed by R4 (28.06 mg/100ml). This may be due to higher nitrogen intake in these groups. Samanta et al.,(2003) showed that plasma urea-N reflects the dietary CP intake.

Growth performance

Data in table (6) indicate that the highest daily weight gain (111.11 g/day) was recorded by animals fed R1 (berseem hay plus CFM) as mash followed by R3 group fed (berseem hay + CFM) as feed blocks (103.27 g/day) then the lowest daily weight gain was in group fed fodder shrubs + CFM as feed blocks R6 (83.83 g/day). These results may be due to the higher intake of TDN in R1 and R2 (587.83 and 556.22 g/h/d) respectinly, compared to 420.92 g/h/d in R3 and also, the higher CP digestibility in the same order. These results are in agreement with those obtained for growing goats under similar feeding by Youssef (1999) and Youssef and Fayed (2001).

Table (6): Effect of the experimental rations on live body weight gain, feed intake and feed conversion of growing goats.

	Experimental rations					
Items	R1	R3	R6			
Live body weight :-						
Initial body weight ,kg	15.35±0.40	15.67±0.48	15.98±0.27			
Final body weight ,kg	35.35°±0.50	34.26 ^a ±0.70	31.07 ^b ±0.03			
Total body weight gain,kg	20.00°±0.38	18.59 ^a ±0.57	15.09 ^b ±0.29			
Daily body weight gain,g	111.11°±0.45	103.27°±0.8	83.83 ^b ±0.71			
Feed intake :-						
Concentrate ,kg/h/d	0.539	0.531	0.519			
Roughage ,kg /h/d	0.359	0.354	0.298			
Total DMI,kg/h/d	0.898	0.885	0.817			
TDN intake ,g/h/d	587.83	556.22	420.92			
TDN intake,kg/kg w ^{0.75}	0.052	0.049	0.039			
Feed conversion g feed/g g	jain					
DMI	8.08	8.57	9.75			
TDN	5.29	5.39	5.02			

a and b means in the same row with differ significantly(P<0.050).

R1:(BH +CFM) as mash ,R3:(BH+CFM) as feed block, ,R6:(FS+CFM)as feed block .

The highest total DMI was recorded for kids fed R1 (0.898 kg/h/d) followed by R2 (0.885 kg/h/d), this may be due to high palatably of berseem hay compared to R3 contained fodder shrubs.

Feed conversion (DM feed / gain) was the lowest in R1 followed by R2 then R3 being (8.08,8.57 and 9.75), respectively.

Economical evaluation:

According to 2002 market prices, data in table (7) showed that the feeding cost to produce one Kg body gain was higher for goats fed berseem hay than those fed shrubs, which gave the best economical value. This may be due to that the price of berseem hay was expensive and fodder shrubs

was cheaper in R3, besides of the lower cost of transportation of fodder shrubs than berseem hay.

Table (7): Economical evaluation of the experimental ration

Items	E	cperimental rat	ions
- -	R1	R3	R6
Price of feed intake,h/d/L.E *			
Concentrate	0.268	0.264	0.258
Roughage	0.242	0.238	0.033
Feed cost /daily gain,L.E	0.510	0.502	0.291
Feed cost /kg gain,L.E	4.59	4.86	3.47
Economic feed efficincy**	2.17	2.05	2.88

^{*}Based on market prices at the beginning of the experiment .The price of ton on DM basis was as follows:

CONCLUSION

From the previous data, it could be concluded that using feed blocks from 60% concentrate feed mixture and 40% fodder shrubs was a good economical solution for feeding goats in the desert area.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Aziz, A.A., El-Nouby, H.M., Lashin, M.E. and Fouad, R.T. (1993). Effect of some mechanical treatment and feed additives on nutritional value of corn stalks. 11-feeding trials. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 18: 1-37.
- Abdel-El Gawad, A.M., Malik, W.H., Allam, S.M. and El-Sayed, I.M. (1994). Utilization of banana, tomato and by product by sheep. Egyptian J. Anim. Prod., 31: 215-230.
- Abdou, A.R. (2003). Performance of goats fed halophytic shrubs with organic wastes supplements in Sinia. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Aguilera, J. F. (1987). Improvement of olive and grape by products for animal nutrition. Degration of lignocelluloses in ruminants and industrial processes Proceeding of a Workshop held in Leystod, Netherlands, 17-20 March 1986.
- Aly, H.M., El-Serafy, A.M., Khattab, H.M., Soliman, H.S. Ahmed, S.M. and El Ashry, M.A. (1982). The effect of feeding different roughage: concentrate ratios on the performance of yearling rahmani sheep. J. Anim. Prod., 22: 73-82.
- A.O.A.C. (1990). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis, 15th ed. Washington, D.C., USA.

CFM,400 ,BH,600 and FS,100 L.E.

The price of 1kg live body weight at selling time was 10 L.E.

^{**}Economic feed efficiency expressed as the ratio between the price of total live body weight gain and the price of feed consumed to that gain.

- Ben Salem, H. and Nefzaoui, A. (2003). Feed block as alternative supplements for sheep and goats. Small Ruminant Research, 49: 275-288.
- Eid, E.Y.A. (2003). Feed utilization and performance of animal fed the natural and cultivated fodder shrubs in Sinai. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ. Egypt.
- El-Ashry, M.A., Ahmed, M.F., El-Saadany, S.A., Youssef, M.E.S., Gomaa, I.A. and Deraz, T.A.A. (1997). Effect of mechanical Vs mechanochemical or mechano-biochemical treatments of crop residues on their use in ruminant rations: digestibility, nitrogen balance and some blocd and rumen liquor parameters of sheep. Egyptian J. Nut. and Feeds., 1: 173-186.
- El-Sayed, H.M. (1994). Nutritive value evaluation of some crops, vegetable and fruit residues. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ. Egypt.
- Etman, K.E.I. and Soliman, E.S. (1999). Effect of feeding peanutt tops (*Erachis hypo* gaea L.) with different levels of concentrate on performance of growing lambs. Egyptian J. Nut. and Feeds, 2 (Special Issue): 223-230.
- Fahmy, A.A. (1998). Nutritional studies on halophytes and agricultural wastes as supplements for small ruminates in Sinai, Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ. Egypt.
- Farid, M.F.A., Abou El-Nasr, H.M. and Hassan, N.I., (1986). Effect of dietary available carbohydrate level on feed and nitrogen utilization in sheep given urea in the drinking water. World Rev. of Anim. Prod., 12, No. 3.
- Goering, H.K. and Van Soest, P.J. (1970). Forage Fiber Analysis Agric. Handbook, NO. 379, USDA, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Hungate, R.E. (1966). The Rumen and Its Microbes Academic Press, USA.
- Jaster, E.H. and Murphy, M.R. (1983). Effect of varying partial size of forage on digestion and chewing behavior of dairy heifers. J. Dairy Sci., 66: 802.
- Jung, H.G., and Allen, M.S. (1995). Characteristics of plant cell walls affecting intake and digestibility of forage by ruminants. J. Anim. Sci., 73: 2774-2790.
- Kaneko, J.J. (1989). Clinical Biochemistry of Domestic Animals. Academic Press, San Diego, New York. Berkeley, Boston. London. Sydney, Tokyo, Toronto. Cited from Talha, M.H., Abu-Ella, A.A. and Moawd, R.I. (2005). Egyptian J. Nut. and Feeds, 8(1) special Issue: 379-403.
- Leng, R.A. (1984). The potential of solidified molasses based blocks for correction of multinturitional deficiencies in buffaloes and other ruminants fed low quality agro-industrial by-products. The use of Nuclear Techniques to improve Domestic Buffalo Production in Asia. IAEA. Vienna . pp. 135-150.
- Leng, R.A. (1990). Factors affecting the utilization of poor quality forage by ruminants particularly under tropical condition. Nut. Res. Review, 3: 277-303.
- Mohamed, A.H. and El-Saidy, B.E. (2003). Effect of including filter cake blocks in lactating goats rations on digestibility and productive performance. Egyptian J. Nut. and Feeds., 6(1): 59-67.

- Nagalakshmi, D. and Reddy, D.N. (2001). Effect of expander extruder processing of cotton strew based complete diets on nutrient utilization and rumen fermentation pattern. Proceedings of 10th Anim. Nut. Conference, NDRI, Karnal (November 9-11). pp. 167-68. (abstract papers).
- Nagpal, A.K., and Arora, M. (2002). Utilization of guar phalgati and tree leaves based complete diets in camel. Indian J. Anim. Sci., 72: 712-714.
- Nyarko-Badohu, D.K., Khyouli, C., Ba, A.A., Gasmi, A., (1994). Valorisation des pailles de cereals en alimentation des ovins dan nord de la Tunisie: traitement a I uree et a I ammoniac et complementation par des blocks melasse-urea. Options Mediterranceennes, Serie B, Etudes et Recherches 6, 129-141 Cited from Ben Salem, H. and Nefzaoui, A. (2003). Small Ruminant Research, 49: 275-288.
- Rakha, G.M. (1988). Studies on the effect of using Agro industerial by products on health and production of some farm animal. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Vet. Med., Cairo Univ.
- Rowlands, G.J. (1980). A review of variation in the concentration of metabolism in the blood of beef daily cattle associated with physiology, nutrient and disease with particular reference to the interpretation of metabolic profiles. World Rev. Nut. Diet., 35: 172.
- Samanta, A.K., Singh, K.K., Das, M.M., Maity, S.B. and Kundu, S.S. (2003). Effect of complete feed block on nutrient utilization and rumen fermentation in Barbari goats. J. Small Ruminant Research., 48: 95-102.
- SAS (1998). Users Guide statistics version 6, 4th ed., vol. 2 SAS Institute, Inc., Cary. NC. USA.
- Schier, U.S., Ibrahime, M.N., Dewart, U.J.H., Zemmelinkm, G. (1989). Response of growing cattle given rice straw to lack blocks containing urea molasses. Anim. Feed Sci. and Tech., 26, 179-189.
- Talha, M.H., Abu-Ella, A.A. and Moawd, R.I. (2005). Effect of feeding diets containing deferent proportions from peanut vines hay on productive and reproductive performance of sheep. Egyptian J. Nut. and Feeds, 8(1) Special Issue: 379-403.
- Warner, A.C. (1964). Production of volatile fatty acids in the rumen methods of measurement. Nut. Abst. and Rev., 34: 339.
- Yousef, H.M. and Zaki, A.A. (2001). Effect of barley radical feeding on body weight gain and some physiological parameters of growing Friesian crossbred calves. Egyptian J. Nut. and Feeds, 4 (Special Issue) 465-472.
- Youssef, K.M. (1999). Improving the palatability and nutritive value of some range plants for goat feeding in Sinia, Ph.D. Thesis. Fac. of Agric. Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.
- Youssef, K.M., and Fayed, A.M. (2001). Utilization of some organic wastes as feed supplement for growing goats under desert condition. Egyptian J. Nut. and Feeds, 4(2): 91-99.

استخدام بعض الشجيرات الرعوية ومخلفات التصنيع الزراعى في اشكال مختلفة لتغذية الماعز في سيناء

صبّاح محمود علام ، كمال محمود يوسف ، على محمد على و صلاح أبو بكر ياسين ، ١- قسم الانتاج الحيواني - كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة ،الجيزة.

٣ - قسم تغذية الحيوان والدواجن - مركز بحوث الصحراء - المطرية - القاهرة - مصر.

اجريت هذه الدراسة بمحطة بحوث راس سدر التابعة لمركز بحوث الصحراء بمحافظة جنوب سيناء حيث ثم تجميع كميات من الشجيرات الرعوية (٧٠ % قطف و٣٠ % اكاسيا) وتجهيزها عن طريق التجفيف الهوائى والتقطيع وتقديمها مع مخلفات التصنيع الزراعى (نوى بلح ، نقلة الزيتون ، نقلة بيرة ، ردة ، مولاس) ثم تقديمها في اشكال مختلفة .

تم استخدام عدد ١٨ نكر ماعز بالغ بمتوسط وزن ٣٢،٩١ كجم بهدف دراسة تأثير التغذية على ٤٠ % أخشان (مخلوط الشجيرات الرعوية أو دريس البرسيم) وخلطهما مع ٦٠ % مركزات (مخلفات التصنيع الزراعي) ثم تقديمها للحيوان في اشكال مختلفة لدراسة تأثيرها على المادة الجافة الماكولة ومعاملات الهضم وميزان النتروجين وبعض مقاييس التخمر في الكرش ودلالات التمثيل الغذائي في الدم ٠

وكاتت العلائق المختبرة كالاتى:

المجموعة الاولى: (دريس البرسيم + مخلوط العلف المركز) مخلوطين بدون كبس • المجموعة الثانية : دريس البرسيم بدون كبس + مخلوط العلف المركز مكبوس فى صورة قوالب • المجموعة الثالثة : (دريس البرسيم + مخلوط العلف المركز) مكبوسين فى صورة قوالب •

المجموعة الرابعة : (مخلوط الشجيرات الرعوية + مخلوط العلف المركز) مخلوطين بدون كبس المجموعة الخامسة: مخلوط الشجيرات الرعوية بدون كبس + مخلوط العلف المركزمكبوس في صورة قو الب •

المجموعة السادسة: (مخلُوط الشجيرات الرعوية + مخلوط العلف المركز) مكبوسين في صــورة قو الن.

وكاتت اهم النتائج كما يلى:-

١- سجلت المجموعة الاولى اعلى معدلات في كل من كمية المادة الجافة المأكولة ومعاملات اليضم والمواد الغذائية الكلية الميضومة وميزان النتروجين .

٧- سجلت المجموعة الخامسة أقل قيمة للمادة الجافة الماكولة وكذلك أقل قيمة لميزان النتروجين ٠

٣- كانت قياسات الدم والكرش في المدى الطبيعي ٠

تبعت تجربة التقبيم بتجربة نمو استمرت لمدة ٦ شهور عن طريق استخدام عدد ٢٤ ذكر ماعز (عمر ٦ شهور بمتوسط وزن ١٥,٦٧ كجم) لتغذى على ثلات علائق مختبرة هى الاولى والثالثة والسادسة نظرا للاهمية التطبيقية والاقتصادية ٠

وكاتت اهم النتائج كما يلي:-

١- في تجربة النمو أظهرت المجموعة الاولى أعلى معدل زيادة يومية فسى حين سجلت المجموعة السادسة أقل معدل زيادة يومية .

٢- سجلت المجموعة السادسة أعلى معدل كفائة اقتصادية.

توصىي الدراسة بامكانية آستخدام مخلوط الشجيرات الرعوية بنسبة ٤٠ % مسع ٦٠ % مخلفات التصنيع الزراعي كحل اقتصادي جيد لتغذية الماعز في المناطق الصحراوية٠