Annals Of Agric. Sc., Moshtohor, Vol. 45(2): 873-887, (2007).

INFLUENCE OF SOME STIMULANTS AND NITROGEN SOURCES ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF CANTALOUPE PLANTS IN LOW PLASTIC TUNNELS UNDER SALINE CONDITIONS BY

Hassan, A. H.* and Hasanin N. M.**

- Vegetable Dept. Fac. of Agric. Cairo, Univ. Egypt.
- ** Vegetable Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out at El- Arish (North Sinai Governorate) on sandy soil farm under low plastic tunnels conditions during the two successive seasons of 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 to investigate the influence of some stimulants and nitrogen sources on growth and yield of cantaloupe cv. Galia grown in low plastic tunnels under saline conditions. All used biostumlants treatments and 50% chicken manure of added dose increased all growth parameters such as plant height, number of main stems, fresh and dry weight of plant foliage and average fruit weight, diameter, length, shape index, flesh thickness and total soluble solid, early and total yield with significant differences in most cases. In addition, the different tested stimulants significantly increased leaf and fruit mineral contents. In this respect, the best results of all studied vegetative growth, yield and chemical traits in the two seasons were obtained from the treatments of 50% chicken manure+ (EM) + (Ha) followed by 50% FYM manure + (EM)+ (Am)+ Ha).

INTRODUCTION

Organic manure play a direct role in plant growth as they are the source of all necessary macro and micro nutrients in available forms during mineralization. They also improve agrochemical, physical and physiochemical properties of the soil. They improve air and water regimes of the soil where heavy soils become less compact. While light soil acquire higher moisture and exchange capacities. Thus, growth of most vegetable crops improved by applying different forms of organic fertilizers. El-Desuki et al. (2001) found that plant height and leaves number of sweet pepper were significantly increased by ncreasing the level of organic fertilizers to 12 ton/ feddan. Also, Yousef et al. (2001) found that chicken manure had a favorable effect on tomato plant height. Ouda (2002) pointed out that adding chicken manure at a rate of 15 m³/ feddan to tomato plants produced higher fruit yield. Also, El-Seify et al. (2004) and Hassan et al. (2006) reported that the biofrtilizers (rhizobacterin) application increased vegetative growth (plant height, number of leaves, leaf area and dry weight) in potato plants,

Organic production systems are based on specific and precise standards of production aiming to achieve socially and ecologically sustainable agroecosystems (FAO 2000). Also, Hellian et al. (2000) found that plant height and leaves number per plant were significantly increased with increasing chicken manure up to $30\,\mathrm{m}^3$ /feddan. Additionally, dry weight of leaves was significantly increased with increasing chicken manure up to $45\,\mathrm{m}^3$ /feddan in both growing seasons of potato plants. However, Midan (1998) indicated that increasing organic manure rates up to $45\,\mathrm{m}^3$ farmyard manure/ feddan significantly increased TSS and yield components, of fruit pepper plants. Eissa (1996) reported that addition of organic manure (pigeon manure at a rate of $20\,\mathrm{m}^3$ /fed.) significantly increased fruit length, fruit diameter, fresh and dry yield of sweet pepper fruits.

Concerning, organic and mineral fertilizers, conversion to organic agricultural systems is triggered by different objectives such as securing a place on international markets export promotion economic self reliance finding alternatives to decrease access to agricultural inputs natural conservation, food self sufficiency and rural and social development Scialable (2000). However, Abd- Allah et al. (2001) found that the increase in fruit yield accompanied the high organic fertilizer rates i.e. 45 m³ chicken manure/feddan might be due to the increase of the vegetative growth and dry matter of plant which consequently lead to a higher early and total yield of tomato plants. Also, Abdel- Kader (2002) indicated that using 30m³ chicken manure/fed. Significantly increased length, diameter of fruit, fresh and dry weight of cantaloupe plants. Hasanin (2007) indicated that using 10 tons of biogreen compost or 10 tons of chicken manure per feddan increased leaves content of NPK and some micro nutrients of strawberry plants.

Effective microorganisms (EM) have been shown to improve growth, yield and quality of crops over a wide range of agro-ecological conditions. Effective microorganisms (EM) is particularly effective in promoting plant growth and production under the stress conditions such as drought, heat, insects, diseases and when the greatest loss in crop yield and quality can occur. Daly and Stewart (1999)

Effective microorganisms (EM) also, enhanced protein activity Konoplay and Higa (2001) and photosynthesis Xu et al. (2001). Zarb et al. (2001) reported that the interaction between microbes and plants could significantly enhance the productivity of most farming systems.

Application of effective microorganisms (EM) improved not only the production of some crops, but also the physical and chemical properties of cultivated soil (Cho-Cho –Myint et al. 1999 and Salib et al. (2003) who found that using EM decreasing clay soil bulk density and increasing soil porosity.

Effective microorganisms (EM) improved also, soil chemical properties as reported by Shao et al. (2001) who found that using EM decreased the soil electrical conductivity (EC). PH and increased the organic matter content.

Nguyen and Trinh (2003) reported that the use of EM positively affected the growth of cucumber compared with untreated plant .El-Sharkawy, et al. (2003) show that the highest values of plant height were recorded in the plants from plots inoculated with biofertilizers nitrobein.

Bio- fertilizers are microbial preparation containing primarily sufficient number of potent strains of microorganisms having definite role in furnishing a proper rhizosopher for plant growth. EM are microbial inoculants. Hassan, (2005) reported that application of EM with chemical fertilizers gave good yield under conditions of adequate irrigation .EM applied alone increased yield of tomatoes, phaseolus and capsicum. El- Banna and Tolba (2000) found that using biofertilizers increased plant height and number of leaves per plant in potato plants.

Humic acids have been found to have profound effect on the biological activity and soil properties Cheng et al. (1998). Humic acids had a simulative effect on plant growth reported by El-Fakhrani (1999) reported that the profound effect of HA on the plant growth and yield effect was due to its improve effect on the incensement of plant nutrients and their availability to the growing plants. Also, Nardi et al. (1999) attributed the beneficial effect of HA on plant growth to its acting as plant growth hormones .Markowiak (2001) related the positive role of HA on increasing the growth and nutrients uptake due its ability to improving soil physical properties.

Plants convert inorganic nitrogen to amino acids, the building blocks of proteins and a variety of out her functional compounds. However, when plants are under stress conditions, they unable to perform their normal physiological activities to synthesize their own amino acids. The exogenous application of amino acids reduces the energy requirements of plants and this say a energy can be used for vital processes, especially under extreme adverse conditions. Also, Sutha et al. (1998) reported an increases in protein content with significantly increases in the concentration of amino acids were noticed in tomato plants .Amino acid may be playing a synergistic role about 90% of the variation in color accounted for by variation in the sugars on potato plants.

In additionally, Ahmed et al. (2006) reported that application two amino acids i. e. proline and glycine at 100 ppm every seven days sign ficantly increased plant height, leaf area and total yield in bean plants. However, Gaafer and Hasanin (2006) indicated that using amino acid at a rate of 200 ppm significantly increased NPK percent in the watermelon plant tissues, which led to an increase in the plant vegetative growth, average fruit weight and total yield. The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of some stimulants and nitrogen sources on some growth, physical properties of a sandy soil and yield of cantaloupe plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out at El- Arish (North Sinai Governorate) on sandy soil farm under low plastic tunnels conditions during the two successive winter seasons of 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 to investigate the influence of same stimulants and nitrogen sources on growth and yield of cantaloupe cv. Galia grown under low plastic tunnels and saline conditions. The soil of the experimental field was sandy in texture with PH 7.79, the chemical analysis of soil samples and used organic manure were carried out at laboratories of soil and water Research. Inst. Agric. Res. Center according to the methods described Jackson (1973) and the results of these analysis were presented in Tables (1 and 2).

Table (1): Physical and chemical properties of experimental soil.

					1	005/2006				
physical properties Chemical prope									rties	
Sand Silt Clay 7 Texture OM PH EC N% available Available (ds/m) Total N ppm P ppm								Available K ppm		
97.76	2.36	1.88	Sandy	1.23	7.84	4.42	0.17	13.52	11.65	72.27
	2006/2007									
94.56	3.37	1.87	Sandy	1.31	8.23	4.54	0.16	12.85	13.16	70.82

Table (2): Some chemical characteristics of the studied organic manure.

	2005/2006													
Organic manure	PH	EC ds/m	C/N ratio	CaCo ₃	OM %	humidity %	Organic C %	N %	P %	K %				
Chicken	8.2	4.17	1:14	3.71	32.1	17.1	25.4	1,17	1.56	1,63				
Farmyard	8.6	3.74	1:16	3.46	25.7	15.6	23.3	0.91	1.32	1.49				
Olive	9.1	5.23	1:12	3.66	17.2	12.3	21.3	0.74	1.11	1.27				
				20	06/200	7								
Chicken	8.4	4.42	1:18	4.19	34.6	14.4	24.2	1.24	1.63	1.72				
Farmyard	8.5	3.62	1:15	3.85	27.2	13.9	23.8	0.97	1.37	1.58				
Olive	8.8	4.76	1:13	4.05	20.6	11.6	20.1	0.82	1.06	1.14				

Cantaloupe seeds cv. Galia sowing was done in the second week of December in the two seasons. A complete randomized block design with three replicate was adopted .Each experimental plot included one row each 10 m length and 1m width with an area $10\ m^2$.

The amount of organic manures were added before planting in the furrow and raked lightly with soil .Mineral nitrogen with 200 kg/ fed. added in the forms of ammonium sulphate (20.5% N) and ammonium nitrate (33.5% N). Two thirds of the total nitrogen as ammonium sulphate were equally divided and applied before planting and complete plant emergence .The rest of N fertilizer was soil dressed in the form of ammonium nitrate at two weeks following complete plant a mergence stage.

Total phosphorus (P₂O₅) with 300 kg/ fed. applied during soil preparation in the form of calcium super phosphate (15.5% P₂O₅) while potassium fertilizer was applied in the form of potassium sulphate (48% K₂O) at a rate of 150 kg/ fed, with soil application twice at 45 and 60 days after planting (DAP).

All the experimental plots received the same amount of water from planting till harvest, using drip irrigation system. Culture practices were applied according to the recommendation of the Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture.

Humic acid (HA), Amino plus (AP) and Effective microorganisms (EM) were used in this experiment as biostimulants. Humic acid (HA) applied at the rates of 50 mg/kg soil 15-days after transplanting.

Amino plus (AP) at the 200 ppm was applied after planting around plants. Also, the effective microorganisms (EM) stock solution that used in the study has been produced and a viable at Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt. EM content different of beneficial microorganisms about 80 species. The main species included in EM are as follows:-

(Lactic acid bacteria, Photosynthetic bacteria, Yeasts, Ray fungi, Fungi, Others)

The fertilization treatments of organic, inorganic and biofertilization either in a single form or in combination were conducted as follows:-

- 1- 100% of recommended NPK. (10 tons/ fed.) (NPK)
- 1- 100% of Chicken manure. (10 tons/ fed.) (CH)
- 2- 100% of Farmyard manure. (10 tons/ fed.) (FYM)
- 3- 100% of Olive manure. (10 tons/ fed.) (OL)
- 4- 50% Chicken manure (5 tons/ fed.) + Amino plus (200ppm) (AP), (CH+AP)
- 5- 50% Farmyard manure (5 tons/fed.)+Amino plus (200ppm)(AP), (FYM+AP)
- 6- 50% Olive manure (5 tons/ fed.) +Amino plus (200ppm) (AP).(OL +AP)
- 7- 50% Chicken manure (5 tons/ fed.) + (EM) (2L/ fed.). (CH+EM)
- 8- 50% Farmyard manure (5 tons/ fed.) +(EM) (2L/ fed.) . (FYM+EM)
- 9- 50% Olive manure (5 tons/ fed.) + (EM) (2L/ fed.). (OL+EM)
- 10- 50% Chicken manure (5tons/fed.)+ Humic acid (HA) (50gm/kg soi.). (CH+HA)
- 11- 50% Farmyard manure (5 tons/ fed.)+ Humic acid (HA) (50gm/kg soil. (FYM+HA)
- 12- 50% Olive manure (5 tons/ fed.) + Humic acid (HA) (50gm/kg soil (OL+HA)
- 13- 25% Chicken manure (2.5 tons/fed.)+25% Farmyard manure(2.5 tons/fed.)+ (AP)+(EM)+(HA)(MIX1)
- 14- 25% Chicken manure (2.5 tons/fed.)+25% Olive manure(2.5 tons/fed.)+ (AP)+(EM)+(HA)(MIX2)
- 15- 25% Farmyard manure (2.5 tons/fed.)+25% Olive manure(2.5 tons/fed.)+ (AP)+(EM)+(HA)(MIX3)

A random sample of three plants from each plot was used for the determination of growth and yield characters of the plant.

1- Vegetative growth characteristics:-

- Plant height (cm).
- Leaf area: the average leaf area (cm²) was measured for the 5th true leaf by using laser leaf area meter.
- Number of leaves per plant.
- Fresh and dry weight per plant.

2- Chemical composition:

Sample of the fourth top leaves were dried at 70 ° C till constant weight and wet digested to determine N,P and K contents.

- Total nitrogen (%) in leaves was determined by using the microkjeldahl by A. O. A. C. (1990).
- Phosphorus (%) was determined calorimetrically at 550 mm as described by Ranganna (1979).
- Potassium (%)was determined by flame photometer as described by Ranganna (1979).
- Micro nutrients Fe, Mn and Zn contents were determined for the above ground dried vegetative parts by using atomic spectrophotometer according to Chapman and Pratt (1961).
- Total Soluble Solid (T.S.S. %) of fruit was measured by hand refract meter.

3- Fruit physical characteristics:

- Fruit length and fruit diameter (cm).
- Fruit firmness were determined according to Hiataranta and Linna (1999).
- Flesh thickness (cm).

4- Yield and its components:

- Early yield ton/ feddan.
- Total yield ton/ feddan.
- Average fruit weight (g).

Statistical analysis:

The data were exposed to proper statistical analysis of variance of randomized complete block design by Snedecor and Cochran (1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Vegetative growth parameters of cantaloupe plant.

a- Plant height.

Results of the effect of some stimulants and nitrogen sources on cantaloupe vegetative growth (plant height, leaf area, number of leaves and fresh, as well as dry weight plant) were recorded in Table (3). Data show that greater plant height was obtained by using NPK (100%) than any other treatments used in both years. In other words applying CH(100%) gave the highest values of plant height 215.6 cm. While adding NPK100% treatment resulted in 219.4 cm,FYM 100% treatment gave 211.1 with significant difference between the treatments. Similar results were obtained by Konoplay and Higa (2001), Shao et al. (2001), El-Sharkawy et al. (2003) Nguyen and Trinh (2003) and Salib et al. (2003)

The lowest values were 157.0 and 165.5 cm receptivity under (50% OL+AP) treatment (control) with significant effect during the first and second seasons. This effect might be due to that EM increased the microorganisms in the soil which convert the ability of mobilizing the unavailable forms of nutrient elements to available forms. Moreover, the microorganisms produce growth promoting substances, which increase the plant growth characters. Also, EM improved the soil physical and chemical properties. The data agreed with Scialable (2000), Abd- Allah et al. (2001), El-Desuki et al. (2001), Yousef et al. (2001), El-Seify et al. (2004) and Hassan et al. (2006).

Table (3): Effect of some stimulants and nitrogen sources on different parameters of cantaloupe plants.

parameters of cantaloupe plants.												
	Plant height			farea	No	No. of		fresh		dry		
Treatments	(c	<u>m)</u>	(c)	m²)	leaves/plant		weight/plant		weight/plant			
	2005/	2006/	2005/	2006/	2005/	2006/	2005/	2006/	2005/	2006/		
	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007		
100%NPK	219.4	223.6	1753	168.8	77	79	732	719	168	162		
100% CH	215.6	220.3	172.1	165.4	74	76	721	706	163	158		
100%FYM	211.1	217.0	167.3	161.2	71	75	715	694	157	154		
100%OL	168.8	174.5	137.1	131.1	51	55	642	629	125	119		
50%CH+AP	185.0	190.9	148.2	143.1	63	64	667	659	144	140		
50%CH+H.M	191.1	196.7	151.1	145.0	65	67	674	666	147	143		
50%CH+EM	201.0	207.6	159.2	153.6	67	70	691	681	151	146		
50%FYM+AP	179.1	183 <i>.</i> 5	144.4	139.2	58	61	657	643	137	135		
50%FYM+H.M	181.6	188.8	146.2	141.0	61	64	661	652	141	138		
50%FYM+EM	196.4	202.2	153.1	147.2	66	69	683	672	148	143		
50%OL+AP	157.0	165.5	130.0	122.2	44	47	626	593	116	113		
50%OL+H.M	160.2	169.4	133.2	125.4	46	50	631	607	118	114		
50%OL+EM	164.3	172.4	136.2	128.3	49	53	637	615	121	117		
MIX(1)	206.6	213.7	162.5	157.3	59	72	706	686	153	149		
MIX(2)	174.9	178.6	142.6	137.1	57	59	631	639	132	129		
MIX(3)	171.1	176.3	138.2	133.6	55	58	647	634	128	121		
L.S.D. at 0.05	2.7	3.2	6.1	8.4	2.7	1.9	13.7	9.4	4.6	5.3		

Mix(1) = 25% CH + 25% FYM + AP + H.M + EM.

Mix(2) = 25% CH + 25% OL + AP + H.M + EM.

Mix(3) = 25% FYM + 25% OL + AP + H.M + EM.

b- Leaf area.

Leaf area lowest for plant grown in (50% OL+AP) in the first and second season whereas plant grown in 100% NPK, 100% CH or 100% FYM produced the greatest leaf area in both years of work Table (3). The increase in leaf area for plant grown in available substrates may be attributed to several advantages i.e. reducing EC and PH values in the soil and increasing nutrient uptake. Similar results were obtained by Xu et al. (2001), Zarb et al. (2001), Ahmed et al. (2006) and Hassan et al. (2006).

c- Number of leaves.

Superiority of 100% NPK or 100% CH on number of leaves per plant was observed in Table (3) Both 100% form NPK.CH and FYM treatments gave higher number of leaves compared with control plant in both seasons of study. Generally, the lowest number of leaves obtained for plants grown with (50% OL +AP) treatment. The data were in harmony with Nardi et al. (1999), El-Banna and Tolba (2000) and Hellian et al. (2000).

d- Fresh and dry weight per plant.

Responses of the fresh and dry weight of cantaloupe plants to some stimulants and nitrogen sources are illustrated in Table (3). The results indicate that applying 100%NPK or 100% CH and 100% FYM treatments added to the soil had a significant effect on fresh and dry weight of plant compared with other treatments at sampling data. The highest values of fresh and dry weight were obtained under 100%NPK and100% CH and reached 732,721,719 and 706 gm respectively. The lowest values were 626 and 593 gm respectively under (50%OL+AP) treatment (control). The data were in harmony with Cheng et al. (1998) and Markowiak (2001)

Using organic fertilizer such as chicken manure and farmyard manure in sandy soil improves the soil texture. The structural improvement can encourage the plant to have a good root development through the soil fertility which leads to a higher plant vegetative growth.

2- Chemical analysis of cantaloupe plants.

Cantaloupe plant analysis for N, P and K (%) with some micro elements Fe, Mn, and Zn (ppm) were recorded in Table (4).

Table (4): Effect of some stimulants and nitrogen sources on macro and micro nutrients of cantaloupe plants.

			نتح	Сап						_		
	N ((%)	P	(%)	K	(%)	Fe (ppm)	Mn	(ppm)	Zn (ppm)
Treatments	2005/ 2006	2006/ 2007	2005/ 2006	2006/ 2007	2005/ 2006	2006/ 2007	2005/ 2006	2006/ 2007	2005/ 2006	2006/ 2007	2005/ 2006	2006/ 2007
100%NPK	4.3	4.1	0.89	0.87	5.89	5.77	374	362	46	43	37	35
100% CH	4.1	3.8	0.87	0.86	5.62	5.55	356	345	42	41	34	34
100%FYM	4.0	3.8	0.85	0.84	5.55	5.34	346	336	40	39	31	32
100%OL	3.0	2.9	0.68	0.67	4.36	4.17	252	243	28	27	25	28
50%CH+AP	3.7	3.5	0.75	0.72	5.06	4.72	302	294	36	37	28	27
50%CH+H.M	3.8	3.6	0.77	0.74	5.17	4.83	314	307	37	35	27	26
50%CH+EM	3.9	3.8	0.81	0.80	5.32	5.19	332	322	38	36	27	29
50%FYM+AP	3.4	3.3	0.65	0.64	4.72	4.51	286	277	33	32	29	30
50%FYM+H.M	3.6	3.4	0.63	0.62	4.92	4.63	294	283	35	34	30	30
50%FYM+EM	3.8	3.7	0.79	0.78	5.26	5.07	325	313	38	36	28	31
50%OL+AP	2.6	2.4	0.96	0.92	3.71	3.67	215	207	24	21	18	17
50%OL+H,M	2.7	2.5	0.94	0.90	3.98	3.86	232	222	25	24	20	19
50%OL+EM	2.9	2.8	0.91	0.89	4.16	4.06	241	236	27	26	19	18
MIX(1)	3.9	3.7	0.83	0.82	5.46	5.39	341	327	39	37	26	24
MIX(2)	3.3	3.2	0.73	0.70	4.53	4.42	272	265	32	31	25	23
MIX(3)	3.2	3.0	0.71	0.69	4.41	4.27	263	253	30	29	24	22
L.S.D. at 0.05	0.2	0.1	0.04	0.03	0.3	0.5	11.2	9.7	N.S	N.S	1.7	1.1

Mix(1) = 25% CH + 25% FYM + AP + H.M + EM.

Mix(2) = 25% CH + 25% OL + AP + H.M + EM.

Mix(3) = 25% FYM + 25% OL + AP + H.M + EM.

Results show that application of 100% NPK treatment gave the highest values of N and K in cantaloune plants with significant effect compared with the control and other treatments. The values of N and K percentage under 100% NPK,CH and FYM (4.3,4.1 and 4.0) and (5.89.5.62 and 5.55) in the first season. respectively. The MIX(1) treatment gave (3.9.0.83 and 5.46) in the first season. respectively The lowest concentration of N and K were observed under applying (50%OL+AP) (control). Nitrogen known essential plant nutrient and plays a major role in nucleic acids and protein synthesis chlorophyll synthesis, cell division and consequently enhanced vegetative growth of plants.

As micro nutrients i.e. Fe, Mn and Zn concentration the results showed that there were significant differences between all treatments during the two seasons of study. Meanwhile, (50%OL+AP) control gave the lowest values of macro and micro elements. The results agree with those obtained by Hasanin (2007)

3- Chemical analysis of cantaloupe fruits.

Table (5) show that NPK (%) and Fe. Mn and Zn (ppm) contents in cantaloupe fruits. In these respect (50% FYM) plus microorganisms (EM) significantly increased nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents over the control and most other tested treatments in cantaloupe fruits tissues in the first and second seasons Data were in harmony with those of Gaafer and Hasanin (2006).

Table (5): Effect of some stimulants and nitrogen sources on macro and micro nutrients of cantaloune fruits.

100%FYM													
100%NPK	Í	N	(%)	P.0	%)	K	(%)	Fc (ppm)	Mn	(pp <u>n</u>)	Zn (ppm)
100%NPK	Treatments	05/ 2006	06/ 2007	05/ 2006	06/ 2007	05/ 2006	06/ 2007	05/ 2006	06/ 2007	05/ 2006		05/ 2006	06/ 2007
100% CH	Į.	2	20	70	20	20	20	70	20	70	17	20	2
100%FYM	100%NPK	3.2	3.1	0.66	0.53	3.96	4.12	211	229	34	.1	27	
100%OL 2.0 1.9 0.39 0.32 3.22 3.33 132 141 20 20 19 19 50%CH+AP 2.4 2.5 0.51 0.40 3.66 3.79 156 169 25 2.4 18 18 18 50%CH+H.M 2.6 2.5 0.53 0.43 3.71 3.84 163 174 26 2.6 20 17 50%CH+EM 2.8 2.6 0.57 0.46 3.87 4.02 186 197 28 2.7 23 22 50%FYM+AP 2.3 2.2 0.47 0.37 3.43 3.65 147 153 23 22 23 21 50%FYM+H.M 2.4 2.3 0.49 0.38 3.51 3.72 151 163 24 23 21 20 50%FYM+EM 3.3 3.2 0.76 0.57 4.42 4.71 216 234 37 33 28 26 50%GYYM+EM 1.7 1.5 0.76 0.62 2.95 3.09 120 126 17 8 17 16 50%OL+H.M 1.8 1.7 0.71 0.58 3.04 3.17 125 131 19 18 16 15 50%OL+EM 2.0 1.9 0.68 0.55 3.16 3.26 129 137 20 19 18 17 MIX(1) 2.8 2.7 0.59 0.47 4.06 4.25 193 204 29 28 24 23 MIX(2) 2.2 2.1 0.45 0.36 3.36 3.54 142 149 22 21 23 20 MIX(3) 2.1 2.0 0.42 0.34 3.27 3.41 138 145 21 20 22 19	100% CH	3.0	3.0	0.62	0.50	4.17	4.42	208	225	32	0	26	25
50%CH+AP	100%FYM	2.9	2.8	0.60	0.49	4.32	4.61	200	217	[31	30	25	25
50%CH+H.M 2.6 2.5 0.53 0.43 3.71 3.84 163 174 26 26 20 17 50%CH+EM 2.8 2.6 0.57 0.46 3.87 4.02 186 197 28 2.7 23 22 50%FYM+AP 2.3 2.2 0.47 0.37 3.43 3.65 147 153 23 22 23 21 50%FYM+H.M 2.4 2.3 0.49 0.38 3.51 3.72 151 163 24 23 21 20 50%FYM+EM 3.3 3.2 0.76 0.57 4.42 4.71 216 234 37 33 28 26 50%CH+AP 1.7 1.5 0.76 0.62 2.95 3.09 120 126 17 8 17 16 50%OL+AP 1.8 1.7 0.71 0.58 3.04 3.17 125 131 19 18 16 15 50%OL+EM 2.0 1.9 0.68 0.55 3.16 3.26 129 137 20 19 18 17 MIX(1) 2.8 2.7 0.59 0.47 4.06 4.25 193 204 29 28 24 23 MIX(2) 2.2 2.1 0.45 0.36 3.36 3.54 142 149 22 21 23 20 MIX(3) 2.1 2.0 0.42 0.34 3.27 3.41 138 145 21 20 22 19	100%OL	2.0	1.9		0.32	3.22	3.33		141	20	20	19	
50%CH+EM	50%CH+AP	2.4	2.5		0.40	3.66	3.79	156	169	25		18	
50%FYM+AP 2.3 2.2 0.47 0.37 3.43 3.65 147 153 23 22 23 21 50%FYM+H.M 2.4 2.3 0.49 0.38 3.51 3.72 151 163 24 23 21 20 50%FYM+EM 3.3 3.2 0.76 0.57 4.42 4.71 216 234 37 33 28 26 50%OL+AP 1.7 1.5 0.76 0.62 2.95 3.09 120 126 17 8 17 16 50%OL+H.M 1.8 1.7 0.71 0.58 3.04 3.17 125 131 19 48 16 15 50%OL+EM 2.0 1.9 0.68 0.55 3.16 3.26 129 137 20 19 18 17 MIX(1) 2.8 2.7 0.59 0.47 4.06 4.25 193 204 29 28 24 23 MIX(2) 2.2 2.1 0.45 0.36 3.36 3.54 142 149 22 21 23 20 MIX(3) 2.1 2.0 0.42 0.34 3.27 3.41 138 145 21 20 22 19	50%CH+H.M		2.5				3.84		174				
50%FYM+H.M 2.4 2.3 0.49 0.38 3.51 3.72 151 163 24 ::3 21 20 50%FYM+EM 3.3 3.2 0.76 0.57 4.42 4.71 216 234 37 ::3 28 26 50%OL+AP 1.7 1.5 0.76 0.62 2.95 3.09 120 126 17 8 17 16 50%OL+H.M 1.8 1.7 0.71 0.58 3.04 3.17 125 131 19 18 16 15 50%OL+EM 2.0 1.9 0.68 0.55 3.16 3.26 129 137 20 19 18 17 MIX(1) 2.8 2.7 0.59 0.47 4.06 4.25 193 204 29 28 24 23 MIX(2) 2.2 2.1 0.45 0.36 3.36 3.54 142 149 22 21 23 20 MIX(3) 2.1 2.0 0.42 0.34 3.27 3.41 138 145 21 20 22 19	50%CH+EM		_										
50%FYM+EM 3.3 3.2 0.76 0.57 4.42 4.71 216 234 37 33 28 26 50%OL+AP 1.7 1.5 0.76 0.62 2.95 3.09 120 126 17 8 17 16 50%OL+H.M 1.8 1.7 0.71 0.58 3.04 3.17 125 131 19 18 16 15 50%OL+EM 2.0 1.9 0.68 0.55 3.16 3.26 129 137 20 19 18 17 MIX(1) 2.8 2.7 0.59 0.47 4.06 4.25 193 204 29 28 24 23 MIX(2) 2.2 2.1 0.45 0.36 3.36 3.54 142 149 22 21 23 20 MIX(3) 2.1 2.0 0.42 0.34 3.27 3.41 138 145 21 20 22 19							-			23			
\$60%OL+AP						3.51		151	163	24		21	
50%OL+H.M								4 -	234		•	_	
50%OL+EM 2.0 1.9 0.68 0.55 3.16 3.26 129 137 20 19 18 17 MIX(1) 2.8 2.7 0.59 0.47 4.06 4.25 193 204 29 28 24 23 MIX(2) 2.2 2.1 0.45 0.36 3.36 3.54 142 149 22 21 23 20 MIX(3) 2.1 2.0 0.42 0.34 3.27 3.41 138 145 21 20 22 19	[-· - ·]								126		1	17	
MIX(1)	50%OL+H.M									19	1		
MIX(2) 2.2 2.1 0.45 0.36 3.36 3.54 142 149 22 21 23 20 21 2.1 2.0 0.42 0.34 3.27 3.41 138 145 21 20 22 19	50%OL+EM					3.16			137			18	
MIX(3) 2.1 2.0 0.42 0.34 3.27 3.41 138 145 21 20 22 19	MIX(1)									_	1		
						_							
L.S.D. at 0.05 0.2 0.1 0.02 0.04 0.4 0.3 2.7 1.9 N.S N.S N.S N.S N.S	MIX(3)			0.42		3.27	3.41	138					
	L.S.D. at 0,05	0.2	0.1	0.02	0.04	0.4	0.3	2.7	1.9	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S

Mix(1) = 25% CH + 25% FYM + AP + H.M + EM.

Mix(2) = 25% CH + 25% OL + AP + H.M + EM.

Mix(3) = 25% FYM + 25% OL + AP + H.M + EM

The margin curve of Fe, Mn and Zn in cantaloupe fruits (50% FYM) plus microorganisms (EM) were reached to the significant point in the two seasons.

These enhancing effects of the different bio fertilizers may be due to the efficiency of the different bacterial strains, on N2 fixation dissolving non soluble P and producing appropriate a mounts of phytohormones necessary for activating plant growth parameters.

4- fruit physical characteristics.

Fruit quality of cantaloupe average fruit weight, diameter, length, shape index, fresh thickness and Total Soluble Solid (TSS) as affected by different fertilization with biostimulants in two seasons in presented in Table (6). Results indicated that the highest average fruit weight, diameter, length, shape index, fresh thickness and Total Soluble Solid (SSC) were obtained by mineral fertilization and half rate of organic manure+ biostimulants treatments, while the lowest values were significant values were obtained by OL (10 tons/feddan) treatment only meanwhile other treatments were intermediate with significant differences.

Table (6): Effect of some stimulants and nitrogen sources on physical and chemical characteristics of cantaloupe fruits.

		fruit length (cm)		Fruit diameter (cm)		Shape index		esh kness m)	TSS (%)	
Treatments	2005/ 2006	2006/ 2007	2005/ 2006	2006/ 2007	2005/ 2006	2006/ 2007	2005/ 2006	2006/ 2007	2005/ 2006	2006/ 2007
100%NPK	8.5	9.0	12.7	12.4	1.441	1.330	3.8	3.7	14.0	13.9
100% CH	9.0	9.4	13.1	12.7	1.456	1.351	4.1	4.0	14.4	14.3
100%FYM	8.9	9.3	12.9	12.6	1.449	1.370	3.9	3.8	14.2	14.1
100%OL	7.2	7.4	10.2	9.8	1.327	1.271	2.7	2.6	11.8	11.5
50%CH+AP	8.0	8.5	12.2	11.6	1.525	1.364	3.5	3.4	13.5	13.4
50%CH+H,M	8.3	8.6	12.3	11.8	1.482	1.372	3.6	3.6	13.6	13.5
50%CH+EM	8.5	8.9	12.6	12.2	1.482	1.371	3.8	3.6	13.9	13.7
50%FYM+AP	7.7	8.1	11.7	11.3	1.520	1.395	3.3	3.2	13.4	13.1
50%FYM+H.M	7.9	8.3	12.0	11.4	1.519	1.373	3.4	3.4	13.5	13.3
50%FYM+EM	9.3	9.7	13.4	12.9	1.459	1.364	4.3	4.2	14.7	14.5
50%OL+AP	7.3	7.4	10.7	10.1	1.466	1.365	2.9	2.9	12.0	11.6
50%OL+H.M	7.3	7.6	10.8	10.3	1.479	1.355	3.0	2.9	12.3	12.0
50%OL+EM	7.4	7.7	11.0	10.6	1.487	1.377	3.1	3.0	12.6	12.3
MIX(1)	8.7	9.0	12.8	12.4	1.471	1.378	3.8	3.7	14.0	13.9
MIX(2)	7.6	8.0	11.6	11.0	1.526	1.375	3.3	3.2	13.2	12.9
MIX(3)	7.5	7.8	11.4	10.8	1.520	1.385	3.2	3.2	13.0	12.8
L.S.D. at 0.05	0.5	0.4	1.1	0.8	0.023	0.017	N.S	N.S	0.2	0.3

Mix(1) = 25% CH + 25% FYM + AP + H.M + EM.

Mix(2) = 25% CH + 25% OL + AP + H.M + EM.

Mix(3) = 25% FYM + 25% OL + AP + H.M + EM.

Such data confirmed with the recorded by Midan (1998), Eissa (1996), Sutha et al. (1998), Cho-Cho-Myint et al. (1999), Abdel-Kader (2002) and Gaafer and Hasanin(2006).

5- Yield and its components.

Results illustrated in Table (7) indicated that early, total yield and average fruit weight were significantly greater with FYM at a rate of 5 tons/feddan plus EM than any other treatments used in this experiment in both vears of study.

The average increments of total yield were significantly increased compared with the control in both years. Besides 100% FYM, 50% FYM plus (EM) and 100% CH gave the highest values of early, total yield and average fruit weight compared with other used treatments in the two years of study. EM had significantly the highest early and total yield compared with either 100% OL or 50% OL plus EM. Regarding average fruit weight results of Table (7) revealed that 50% FYM plus (EM) produced significantly highest fruit compared with that of other treatments. Data confirmed with the recorded by El-Fakhrani (1999).

Table (7): Effect of some stimulants and nitrogen sources on yield productivity of cantaloune fruits.

	ivity of C															
	_	y yield	Total		Average fruit weight (gm)											
Treatments	(ton	fed.)	(ton/f	ed.)	weigh	t (gm)										
	2005/	2006/	2005/	2006/	2005/	2006/										
	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007										
100%NPK	3.23	3.06	12.71	12.53	810	817										
100% CH	3.49	3.37	13.00	12.74	827	843										
100%FYM	3.55	3.41	13.16	12.94	833	852										
100% O L	2.37	2.33	11.83	11.62	684	695										
50%CH+AP	2.75	2.62	12.39	12,16	743	756										
50%CH+H.M	2.84	2.76	12.46	12.22	753	769										
50%CH+EM	3.12	2,96	12.62	12.43	795	805										
50%FYM+AP	2.63	2.51	12.26	11.94	729	739										
50%FYM+H.M	2.71	2.56	12.31	12.06	736	748										
50%FYM+EM	3.72	3,56	13.28	13.11	854	867										
50%OL+AP	2.11	2.06	11.27	11.12	525	647										
50%OL+H.M	2.31	2.21	11.42	11.36	6 5 3	666										
50%OL+EM	2,36	2.29	11.74	11.51	674	685										
MIX(1)	3.34	3.15	12.86	12.66	815	822										
MIX(2)	2.53	2.47	12.16	11.85	711	728										
MIX(3)	2.43	2.39	12.11	11.75	705	716										
L.S.D. at 0.05	0.3	0.2	0.09	0.08	11.2	13.9										

Mix(1) = 25% CH + 25% FYM + AP + H:M + EM.

Mix (2) = 25% CH + 25% OL + AP + H.M + EM.

Mix(3) = 25% FYM + 25% OL + AP + H.M + EM

The increase of fruit yield due to applying the suitable organic fertilizer rate might be due to the increase of the vegetative growth and dry matter and nutrient elements content of plants which consequently led to higher early and total yield. Such data confirmed with the recorded by Midan (1998), Eissa(1996), Daly and Stewart (1999), Ouda (2002), Hassan (2005), Gaafer and Hasanin (2005) and Hassan et al. (2006)

REFERENCES

- Abd- Allah, M.S.; Adam, M. and Abou- Hadid, A.F. (2001): Response of some tomato hybrid to the organic fertilizer under newly reclaimed soil conditions. Egypt J. Hort 28(3) 341-353.
- Abdel- Kader, A.E. (2002): Effect of some organic and mineral fertilizers on some cantaloupe cultivars. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. Agric. Mansoura Univ. Egypt.
- Ahmed, M.E.; El- Zainy, O.A. H. and Zaky, M.H. (2006): Studies on the effect of vitamin B, and some amino acids on growth, yield and chemical composition of bean. Egypt J. Appl, Sci. 21(4)567-581.
- A.O.A.C. (1990): Official methods of analysis 12th ed. Association of official analytical chemists .Washington DC.
- Chapman, N.D. and Partt, F. (1961): Method of analysis for soil. Plant and water Calif. Univ. USA.
- Cheng, F.J.; Yang, D.Q and We, Q.S. (1998): Physiological effects of Humic acid on drought resistance of wheat. Chinese's J. of Applied Ecology 1995 6-4:363-367.
- Cho- Cho- Myint, Y.D; Sananayake, A. and Sangakkara, U.R. (1999): EM farming technology research. Conference on Kyusi Nature Farming Bangkok Thailand 238 October 1999:263-280.
- Daly, M. J. and Stewart, D.P.C. (1999): Influence of effective microorganisms (EM) on vegetable production and carbon mineralization. J. Sustainable Agric. 14:15-25.
- Eissa, N. M. (1996) Studies on sustainable agriculture for some vegetable crops using animal manure. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. Agric. Ein Shams Univ. Egypt.
- El- Banna, E.N. and Tolba, A.F. (2000): Effect of microbial (biofertilizers) and different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of potato plant .J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 25(8) 5343-5352.
- El- Desuki, M.; Amer, A. H.; Sawan, O. M. and Khattab, M. F. (2001): Effect of irrigation and organic fertilization on the growth, bulb yield and quality of sweet fennel under Shark El- Qwinat conditions .J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 26(7) 4465-4481.
- El- Fakhrani, Y.M. (1999): Combined effect of P fertilization and organic manuring on barley production in candy soil. Fayoum J. Agric. Res. and Dev. 13(2) 81-97.
- El- Seify, S.K.; Sawsan, H.H.S.; Abdel- Fattah, A.L. and Mohamed, M.A. (2004): Effect of biofertilizers and nitrogen levels on the productivity and quality of Chinese garlic under sandy soil conditions .Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 31(3)889-914

- El- Sharkawy; Z.A.; Emam, M.S. and Hassanien, S.M. (2003): Effect of Jerusalem artichoke cultivars and biofertilizers nitrobein under different levels of NPK on growth tuber yield chemical constituent and storability. Zagazig J. Product 8(1) 147-168.
- FAO (2000): Food safety and quality as affected by organic farming 22 th FAO Regional Conference for Europe 24-28 July Portugal.
- Gaafer, S. A. and Hasanin, N. M. (2006); The effect of organic manure, amino acid and sulfur on watermelon vegetative growth and yield grown under winter low plastic tunnels, Egypt J. Appl, Sci. 21(9)54-67.
- Hasanin, N. M. (2007): A Comparison between the effect of compost and chicken manure fertilizers on productivity and fruit quality of two strawberry cultivars grown under transparent polyethylene low tunnels. Minufiya J. Agric. Res. 32: 419-440.
- Hassan, A. H. (2005): Growth and production of garlic plant as influenced by nitrogen fertilizer in the form organic and in organic .Proceeding of the sixth Arabian Conference for Hort. Ismailia, Egypt March 22-24, 47-59.
- Hassan, A.H.; Khreba, A.H.; Emam, M.S. and Atala, S.A. (2006): Effect of biofertilizers nitrogen sources and their interaction on the vegetative growth yield and quality of potato plants. Egypt J. of Appl. Sci., 21(11) 185-200.
- Hellian, Z.; Parr, J. P. and Umemura, H. (2000): Nature farming and microbial applications food products. Press. New York 402 pp
- Hiataranta, T. and Linna, M.M. (1999): Measurement of strawberry fruit firmness device testing. Hort. Technology 9(1): 103-105.
- Jackson, M. (1973) Soil chemical analysis prentice hall of India Private Limited New Delhi 18 pp.
- Konoplay, F.E. and Higa, T. (2001): Mechanisms of EM effect of the growth and development of plant and the application in Agricultural production in proceeding Nature Farming Res. in South Africa.
- Markowiak, C. L. (2001): Beneficial effects of Humic acid on rucronutrients availability to wheat .Soil Sci. Soc. of Am. J. 65(6):1744-1750.
- Midan, S. A. (1998): Response of some promising pepper gene typ is to different cultural treatments. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Minufiya Univ. Egypt.
- Nardi, M. R.; Diega, P.; Fabiana, R. and Mascolo, A. (1999): Biological activity of humic substances extracted from soils under different vegetation cover commune. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 30 (5)621-634.
- Nguyen, Y. T. and Trinh, L. V. (2003): Role of effective microbes in integrated pest management programmes in Vietnam Seventh, New Zealand 15-18 January 176-179(CF, CAB Abstr. 14-102).
- Ouda. A. M. M. (2002): Biological studies on tomato yield and its components .Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric, Mansoura Univ. Egypt.
- Ranganna, S (1979): Manual analysis of fruit and vegetable products. Data Magrow Hill Publishing Company Limited New Delhi 634pp.
- Salib, M.M., Auf, A.A. and Michael, I.M. (2003): Impact of organic and biofertilizers on some physical and chemical properties of a clay soil and its productivity of onion bulbs, Egypt J. Appl. Sci. 18(3)382-400.

- Scialable, N. (2000): Factors influencing organic agriculture policies with a focus on developing countries .Sci. Con. 28-31 Aug. Basel Switzerland.
- Shao, X.H.; Lin, D.Y.; Jiang, P. and Cao, W. L. (2001): Control of secondary salirization in soils through effective microbes. Proceeding of the 6th international conference on Nature Farming South Africa1999.
- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1980): Statistical analysis 6th ed. The Lawa State Univ. Press. Amer. Lowo. USA 593pp.
- Sutha, R.; Ramiah, M. and Rajappan, K. (1998): Changes in protein and amino acid composition of tomato. Indian Photo pathology 51(2): 136-139.
- Xu, H.L.; Wang, R.; Mrictha, M.A.U.; Katase, K. and Umemura, H. (2001): Effect of organic fertilization and EM inoculation on leaf photosynthetic and fruit quality of tomato plants. Proceeding of the 6th international conference on Nature Farming South Africa1999.
- Yousef, A.M.; El-Fouly, A.H.M.; Yousef, M.S. and Mohamedien, S.A. (2001): Effect of using organic and chemical fertilizers in fertigation system on yield and fruit quality of tomato .Egypt J. Hort. 28(1) 59-70.
- Zarb, J.; Leifert, C. and Litterick, A. (2001): Opportunties and sheathings for the use of microbial inoculants in agriculture. In Proceeding of the 6th international conference on Kyusel Nature Farming South Africa1999.

تاثير المخصبات الحيوية ومصادر النتروجين على نمو وانتاجية نباتات الكنتالوب النامية تحت الاتفاق البلاستيكية المنخفضة تحت ظروف الاراضي الملحية

حسن على حسن ، نظير محمد حسنين * *

قسم الخضر كلية الزراعة- جامعة القاهرة- مصر

قسم بحوث الزراعات المحمية - اقسام بحوث الخضر - معهد يحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية -الجيزة -مصر.

أجريت الدراسة في منطقة العريش محافظة شمال سيناء تحت الانقاق البلاستيكية المنخفضة لمحصول الكنتالوب خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٦/٢٠٠٥ و ١٠٠٧/٢٠٠٦ بهدف معرفة تاثير المخصبات الحيوية ومصادر النتروجين على جودة ثمار الكنتالوب وكانت المعاملات كالاتي:-

- 1- الاسمدة NPK بمعدل كامل .
- ٢- سماد الدواجن بمعدل ١٠ طن/فدان.
- ۳- سماد Farmyard بمعدل ۱۰ طن/فدان.
- ٤- سماد مخلفات الزيتون بمعدل ١٠ طن/فدان.
- ٥- سماد الدواجن بمعدل ٥ طن/فدان + الحامض الاميني AP.بمعدل ٢٠٠. PPm
- سماد Farmyard بمعدل ٥ طن/فدان + الحامض الاميني AP بمعدل ٢٠٠ بمعدل
- ٧- سماد مخلفات الزيتون بمعدل ٥ طن/فدان + الحامض الاميني AP. بمعدل ٢٠٠٠
 PPm.
 - ٨ سماد الدواجن بمعدل ٥ طن/فدان + EM.بمعدل ٤ لتر/ فدان.
 - 9- سماد Farmyard بمعدل ٥ طن/فدان +EM بمعدل ٤ لتر/ فدان.

- ۱− سماد مخلفات الزيتون بمعدل ٥ طن/فدان + EM بمعدل ٤ لتر / فدان
- ١١- معماد الدواجن بمعدل ٥ طن/فدان + حمض الهبومبك .بمعدل ١٥- مجمم كجم
- 1 − 1 − سماد Farmyard بمعدل ٥ طن/فدان + حمض الهبومبك .بمعدل ٥٠جم/ كجــم ترىة.
- -۱۳ -سماد مخلفات الزيتون بمعدل طن/فدان + حمض الهبومبك بمعدل ٥جم/ كجم تربة
- ۱٤- سماد الدواجن بمعــدل ۲٫۰ طــن/فــدان+ ســماد Farmyard بمعــدل ۲٫۰ طن/فدان+ خليط من (AP+EM+HA).
- 10− ميماد الدواجن بمعدل ٢,٥ طــن/فــدان+ ســماد Farmyard بمعــدل ٢,٥ طن/فدان+ خليط من (AP+EM+HA).
- 17− سماد الدواجن بمعدل ٢,٥ طن/فدان+ سماد Farmyard بمعدل ٢,٥ طن/فدان+ خليط من (AP+EM+HA). وكانت اهم النتائج المتحصل عليها:-
- ۱- ادى استخدام الاسمدة NPK بمعدل كامل و سماد الدواجن بمعدل ۱۰ طن/فدان و سماد Farmyard بمعدل ١٠ طن/فدان الى زيادة طول النبات ومعساحة الورقسة وعدد الاوراق على النبات والوزن الطازج والجاف بينما ادى استخدام سماد مخلفات الزيتون بمعدل ٥ طن/فدان + الحامض الاميني AP. بمعدل ٢٠٠ PPm الى قلة صفات النمو الخضري.
- ٢- ادى استخدام الاسمدة NPK بمعدل كامل الى زيادة النسبة المثوية للعناصس الكبرى NPk والعناصر الصغرى Fe, Mn, Zn في بناتات وتمار الكنتالوب مقارنة بالمعاملات الاخرى والكنترول.
- ٣− ادى است عدام سماد Farmyard بمعدل ٥ طن/فدان +EM .بمعدل ٤ لتر/ فدان الى زيادة المحصول المبكر والكلى ووزن الثمرة معنويسا علسي بساقي المعساملات و الکنتر و ی۔
- ٤- ادى استخدام سماد Farmyard بمعدل ٥ طن/فدان +EM .بمعدل ٤ لتر/ فدان الي زيادة الدمفات الطبيعية للثمار (طول و قطر الثمرة وسمك اللحسم) والصفات الكيماوية مثل المواد الصلبة الزائبة حيث تفوقت معنويا على باقى المعاملات.