POPULATION DENSITY AND AGRICULTURAL CONTROL OF THE NILE GRASS RAT *ARVICANTHIS NILOTICUS* DES., IN SEMI ARID AREA AT SOHAG GOVERNORATE.

ΒY

Bakri, Eman Ali A.* And Al-Gendy A., A.R. **

* Shandweel Agricultural Research Station, Sugar crops Research Institute. A.R.C. ** Agric. Zoology and Nematology Dept., Faculty of Agric., Al-Azhar University.

ABSTRACT: The population density of Nile grass rat Arvicanthis niloticus Des., is cleared by food consumption and active burrows methods, this methods showed the true density of Nile grass rat Arvicanthis niloticus Des., population. The highest peak is recorded in October 564g.(48 individuals) by food consumption method while the highest peak is recorded in February 444 active burrows (73%), by active burrows method. The reduction in food consumption by 57,71&64% in the1st. 3rd. &5th. days of treatment respectively by weed burn method, while by flooding method there were 62,65&56% reduction of food consumption in the the1st,3rd &5th days of treatment respectively. The reduction of Arvicanthis niloticus Des., active burrows number by weed burn were 55,55&64% in the 1st, 3rd. &5th day of treatment respectively , while by flooding method, there were reduction of Arvicanthis niloticus Des., burrows number by 60,60&76% in the 1st.3rd & 5th day of treatment respectively.

INTRODUCTION

The range of African grass rats, *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des., is traditionally held to extend along the Nile river valley and across most of sub-Saharan Africa, with the exception of the southern and southwestern regions of the continent. From genetic analysis, this species occurs only in Egypt and northern west Africa., Delany & Monro (1986) and Ducroz *et al*(1998). Average mass *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des., was118g, with a range of 50 to 183g. Males are slightly larger than females with reported average masses of 120 to 123g for males and 92 to 114g for female, Rosevear(1969). The Nile grass rat *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des., was the dominant rodent at Sohag governorate, Abdel-Gawad(1974) and Bakri(2004). This rat was the main burrowing rodent in cultivated rural

areas of the Nile Valley and the only burrowing species in the semi-arid aria, Mourad *et al* (1974). Abazaid (1990) studied the damage of this rat to sugar cane in Upper Egypt as he found 20 to 40 % reduction in yield and 30 % in final sucrose in the infested stalks of sugar cane. Previous studies showed that food consumption and active burrows were very essential in rat density determination Strecker & Emlen (1953) and Moustafa (2005) : Abdel-Gawad & Ali (1982) and Ali (1985). The present work was carried out under semi arid area at Sohag governorate.

MATERALS AND METHODS

Deterring the population density of the Nile grass rat Arvicanthis niloticus Des., in Sohag governorate (Gazert Shandweel village located at 15km. North of Sohag distract).Our previous studied this rat was the dominant species and its population was estimated monthly by food consumptions and active burrows method during one year. Ten plastic stations were used for three consecutive nights and supplied with crashed maize daily. Stations were distributed at 10 meters distance on rodents ways and besides active burrows. Every morning daily food intake was estimated by subtracting the spilled and the remaining food in each station from the original quantity. While numbers of the Nile grass rat active burrows were counted at one year by using the sand to close all burrows then count the opened one at next day during three consecutive days through each month. The locations above were treated by weed burn and flooding, and we evaluated this control method by food intake and active burrows pre and after treatment through 1st, 3rd and 5th.days. Data were analyzed according standard procedures for analysis of variance Duncan's multiple range- test (1955) and Steel and Torrie(1980).

RESULTS AND DISSCUTION

The food consumption by the Nile grass rat *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des., for three consecutive days is shown in (Table 1). This Table proved that, the higher consumption of crushed maize was 171,193 and 200g.recorded during October, while the lower consumption is 37. 41 and 79g. recorded during April through 1st, 2nd and 3rd day respectively. The monthly consumption fluctuation in grams per calculated number of *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des., for three consecutive days in descending order as follows 564, 526, 419, 389, 291, 252, 229, 218, 198, 158 and 127g (48, 45, 36, 33, 25, 21, 19, 18, 17, 13 and 11 individuals) during October,

Months	Daile	ey inta	ike /g	,		Number rat/day						
	1 ^{st.}	2 nd	3 rd	Total	Mean	1 st .	2^{nd}	3 rd .	Total	T.	R.H	
January	51	80	98	229	76	4	7	. 8	19	ŧ	+	
February	55	71	92	218	73	5	6	8	18	+	+	
March	64	85	103	252	80	5	7	9	21	+	-	
Winter	170	236	293	699	229	14	20	25	59			
April	37	41	49	127	42	3	3	4	11	-	+	
May	40	54	62	158	53	3	5	5	13	-	+	
June	110	125	127	389	121	9	11	11	33	-	+	
Spring	187	220	238	674	216	16	19	20	57			
July	99	104	105	291	97	8	9	9	25	+	+	
Augustus	78	83	85	246	82	7	7	7	12	-	+	
September	116	137	166	419	140	10	12	14	36	+	-	
Summer	239	324	358	956	319	20	27	30	81			
October	171	193	200	564	188	14	16	17	48	+	-	
November	160	186	180	526	175	14	16	15	45	+	+	
December	59	65	74	198	67	5	6	6	17	-	-	
Autumn	390	444	454	1288	430	33	38	38	109			

 Table (1) : Population fluctuation of the Nile grass rat Arvicanthis niloticus

 Des.by food consumption method.

T: temperature. R.H.: relative humidity.(-): negative correlation. (+): positive correlation.

November, September, June, July, March, January, February, December, May and April respectively. The seasonal consumption fluctuation are recorded as follow Autumn 1288g.(109 individuals), Summer 956g.(81 699g.(59 individuals) individuals), winter and Spring 674g.(57 individuals). The highest peak is recorded in October 564g.(48 individuals). The fluctuation in numbers of rodents active burrows is shown in Table (2). Our results showed that there were statistical significance differences between investigation food intake time (day, months and seasons) P ≤ 0.05 . There were negative correlation between temperature and daily intake during January, April, May, June, Augustus and December while there were positive correlation during February. March. July. September, October and November. In the same ward, there were negative correlation between relative humidity and daily intake during March, September, October and December while there were positive correlation during January, February, April, May, June, July, Augustus, and November.

				s	[]				
Months	1	st	2	nd	3	ra	s	ŇO	
	Active burrow	Non- Active burrow	Active burrow	Non- Active burrow	Active burrow	Non- Active burrow	Total Act burrow	Total burr	%
January	72 cde	51 bcd	91 cd	48 cde	92 cd	62 cd	255	416	61
February	139 a	53 bcd	152 a	57 bcde	153 a	52 cd	444	606	73
March	116 ab	59 bc	100 bcd	71 bc	126 abc	100 ab	342	572	60
Winter	327 cde	163	343	176	371	214	1041	1594	65
April	71 bcd	56 bcd	92 cd	62 bcde	74 de	54 cd	237	409	58
May	91 ab	112 a	102 cd	117 a	91 cd	115 a	284	628	45
June	113	75 b	113 bc	78 b	116 abc	79 bc	342	574	60
Spring	275	243	307	257	281	248	863	1611	54
July	132 a	47 bcd	134 ab	47 cde	134 ab	48 cd	400	542	74
Augustus	96 bc	68 bc	96 cd	68 bcd	96 bcd	68 bcd	288	492	59
September	47 e	30 d	73 be	32 e	73 de	33 d	193	288	67
Summer	275	145	303	147	303	149	881	1322	67
October	76 cde	43 cd	112 bc	38 de	97 bcd	<u>39 d</u>	285	405	70
November	86 bcd	42 cd	91 cd	40 de	91 cd	39 d	268	1389	69
December	58 de	41 cd	53 e	47 cde	48 e	47 cd	159	294	54
Autumn	220	126	256	125	236	125	712	1088	65

Table (2) :Population fluctuation of the Nile grass rat Arvicanthis niloticusDes by active burrows method.

4

Values in a Colum followed by active and non active burrows between all most months are significantly by ($P \le 0.05$)

The monthly active burrows fluctuation of Nile grass rat Arvicanthis niloticus Des., are 74% during July as follows 73,70,69,67,61,60,59,58,54 and 45% encountered during February, October, November, September, January, (March and June), Augusts, April, December and May 2005A.D.respectively. The highest peak is recoded in February 444 active burrows (73%). The seasonal burrows fluctuation of Nile grass rat Arvicanthis niloticus Des., are 1611, 1599, 1322 and 1088 burrows during Spring, Winter, Summer and Autumn respectively. Our results showed that, there were statistical significance difference between numbers active and non active burrow during the majority months. On the other hand comparison between food consumption and active burrows method from

population density assessment during January to December 2005A.D. at Sohag Governorate in Table(3) showed that, the population density of Nile grass rat Arvicanthis niloticus Des., is cleared by food consumption and active burrows methods, this methods showed the true density of Nile grass rat Arvicanthis niloticus Des., population. Delany & Monro (1985) recorded that the Arvicanthis niloticus Des., is capable of breeding yearround under highly favorable conditions. However, it usually experiences a sexual rest period beginning in March. This is the hot dry season prior to the rainy season and the rest period is induced at this time by long day. dry air, and high temperatures, which have an inhibitory effect on the gonads. Abdel-Gawad (1979) mentioned that Arvicanthis niloticus Des., was the predominant species in the clay-soil cultivated area and showed high density during Summer (June) and Autumn (September to November) which coincided with harvesting of Winter and Summer crops respectively. Asran et al., (1989) found that the number of nest and young rat/ burrow of Arvicanthis niloticus Des., were low in January and high in October.

	food cons	sumption	active bu		
Months	Total Dailey	Numbers rat/	Total Active	Total	0/
	intake /g.	day	burrows	burrows	70
January	229	19	255	416	61
February	218	18	444	606	73
March	252	21	342	572	60
Winter	699	59	1041	1594	65
April	127	11	237	409	58
May	158	13	284	628	45
June	389	33	342	574	60
Spring	674	57	863	1611	54
July	291	25	400	542	74
Augustus	246	12	288	492	59
September	419	36	193	288	67
Summer	956	81	881	1322	67
October	564	48	285	405	70
November	526	45	268	1389	69
December	198	17	159	294	54
Autumn	1288	109	712	1088	65

Table(3):Comparison between results of food consumption and active burrows methods during one year .

Evaluation of control methods of *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des. by food consumption is shown in Table(4): There were reduction in food consumption by 57,71 & 64% in the1st,3rd & 5th days of treatment respectively by weed burn method, while by flooding method there were 62,65&56% reduction of food consumption in the the1st,3rd &5th days of treatment respectively.

 Table (4) :Evaluation of control methods of Arvicanthis niloticus Des. by foodConsumption.

		l st .day		3 rd .day		5 th .day		l st .day		3 rd .day		5 th .day	
Treatments /days		intake /g.	Reduction %	intake /g.	Reduction %	intake /g.	Reduction %	Number rat/dav	Reduction %	Number rat/dav	Reduction %	Number rat/dav	Reduction %
Weed	Before	56	57	62	71	59	64	5	60	4	75	4	50
burn	After	24	57	18	71	21	04	2	00	1	27	2	50
Flooding	Before	52	62	55	65	48	56	4	75	4	75	3	22
riounig	After	20	02	19	05	21	0.	1	21	1	15	2	55

Evaluation of control methods of *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des., by active burrows as shown in Table (5):There were reduction of *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des., active burrows number by weed burn 55,55&64% in the $1^{\text{st}},3^{\text{rd}}\&5^{\text{th}}$ days of treatment respectively, while by flooding method, there were reduction of *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des., burrows number by 60,60&76% in the $1^{\text{st}},3^{\text{rd}}\&5^{\text{th}}$ days of treatment respectively.

Table (5) :Evaluation of control methods for A Arvicanthis niloticus Des.by active burrows.

		1 st .day					3	rd .day		5 th .day			
Treatments /days		Active burrow	Non-Active burrow	Population %	Reduction %	Active burrow	Non-Active burrow	Population %	Reduction %	Active burrow	Non-Active burrow	Population %	Reduction %
Weed	Before	90	52	63	55	87	52	63	55	89	50	64	55
burn	After	26	116	82	33	25	114	18	55	26	113	19	55
Flooding	Before	130	44	75	60	129	49	72	60	126	44	74	76
	After	33	24	85		32	28	53		19	13	59	/0

The observed loss in *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des. numbers may be due to transporting to new burrows and exposure to predators during this transporting. Packer(1983) & Senzota(1990) found that *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des., is a gregarious species that lives in underground burrows,

this burrows have multiple entrances and run about 20cm deep. Some anti-predatory behaviors have been documented for *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des., individuals typically stopping to hide under other ground cover from avian predators. Direct predation on *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des., by dwarf mongooses, black-backed jackals, spitting cobras, long-crested hawk-eagles, black-shouldered kites and black-headed herons has been observed in the field.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Deep thanks to Dr. Mohamed Abou-Bakr Bkheet, Researcher of Sugar Crops Research Institute Gazerat Shandweel Station for his contribution an enormous of time and help in the field experiments, valuable suggestion enthusiastic cooperation and perilous advice. Thanks also due to all staff member of Shandweel Research Station for their kind help in providing all needed facilities.

REFERANCES

- Abazaid, A. A. (1990): Efficiency of some common used rodenticides and some new alternatives against rodent in Qena Governorate. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Pp.93.
- Abd-el-Gawad, K. H. (1974): Ecological and toxicological studies on commensal and house hold rodents in Assiut area. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ. Pp.91.
- Abd-el-Gawad, K. H. and Ali, M. A. (1982): The active burrows as a parameter for the detection of population density of rodents during rat control campaigns. Assiut J. Agric. Sci.13(2):115-120.
- Ali, M. K. (1985): Studies on rodents and their ectoparasites in Sohag Governorate M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ. Pp.97.
- Asran, A. A. ;El-Deeb, H. I. and El-Halfawy M. (1989): Burrow system and storage habits of the Nile rat *Arvicanthis niloticus* Des.3rd Nat. Conf. of Plants and Diseases of vegetables of Fruits in Egypt and Arab count. Ismailia. Egypt :977-982.
- Badran, A. A. F. (1972): Field observation on the behavior of the field rat *Arvicanthis niloticu*. First Scientific Symposium of rodent and their control in Egypt 77-83.
- Bakri-Eman, A. A. (2004): Ecological and Toxicological studies on some rodent species infesting sugarcane crop. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Science (Girls) Al Azhar Univ., Pp.178.

- **Delany, M. and Monro, R. (1985):** Growth and development of wild and captive Nile rats, *Arvicanthis niloticus* (Rodentia: Muridae). African Journal of Ecology, 23:121-131.
- Delany, M. and Monro, R. (1986): Population dynamic of Arvicanthis niloticus (Rodentia: Muridae) in Kenya. Journal of Zoology, Series A, 209:85-103.
- Ducroz, J. ; Volobouev, V. and Granjon, L. (1998): A Molecular perspective on the Systematics and Evaluation of the Genus Arvicanthis (Rodentia: Muridae): Inferences from Complete Cytochrome b Gene Sequences. Molecular phylogenetics and Evoluation, 10(1):104-117.
- **Duncan's, D.B.(1955):** Multiple ranged multiple F-test. Biometrics, 11:1-17.
- Mourad, A.; Ali, M. A.; Salit, A. M. and Shata, A. I. (1974): Burrowing rodents in the Nile Volly, Upper Egypt.Zool. Soc. Egypt, Bull. 26:22-31.
- Moustafa-Fatma, M.M. (2005): Ecological study of rodents infesting agricultural area and new control approaches. Ph.D. Thesis,Institute of Environmental Studies & Research Ain Shams Univ. Pp.136.
- **Packer, C. (1983):** Demographic changes in a colony of the Nile grass rat (*Arvicanthis niloticus*) in Tanzania. Journal of Mammalogy, 64(1):159-161.
- Rosevear, D. (1969): London: Trustees of British Museum (Natural History).
- Senzota, R. (1982): Activity patterns and social behaviour of the Grass rats [Arvicanthis niloticus (Desmarest)] in the Serengeti National Park, Tanzania.Tropical Ecology,31(2):35-40.
- Steel, R.D.D. and Torrie, J.D. (1980): Principle and procedures of statistics. Mcgrow-Hill Book, Co., New York, 481pp.
- Strecker, R. L. and Emlen, J. T. (1953): Regulatory mechanism in house-mouse population: the effect of limited food supply on confined population. Ecology, 34:375-385.

الكثافة العدية و المكافحة الزراعية لجرذ الحشانش النيلي. في الأراضي القاحلة في محافظة سوهاج

إيمان على عبد السميع بكرى * - أحمد عاطف رياض الجندى **

محطة بحوث شندويل بسوهاج معهد بحوث المحاصيل السكرية مركز البحوث الزراعية. ** قسم الحيوان الزراعي والنيماتودا كلية الزراعة جامعة الأزهر بالقاهرة

الهدف من البحث تقدير أعداد جرذ الحشائش النيلي. Arvicanthis niloticus Des في الأراضي القاحلة التابعة لمحطة بحوث شندويل بمحافظة سوهاج بواسطة بعض طرق التعداد وهي طريقة الطعوم المستهلكة وطريقة الجحور العماللة وغير العمالة، وتقدير انخفاض أعداد جرذ الحشائش النيلي. Arvicanthis niloticus Des بواسطة طريقة حرق الحشائش وطريقة التغريق وتقدير كفاءة هاتين الطريقتين بواسطة طريقة الطعوم المستهلكة وطريقة الجحور العمالة وغير العمالة.

وأظهرت النتائج مايلى:- سجلت ذروة الأعداد فى شهر أكتوبر بواسطة طريقة الطعوم المستهلكه ٢٤ مجم (٤٨ فرد) وفى شهر فبراير بواسطة طريقة الجحور العمالة وغير العمالة ٤٤٤ جحر عمال (٢٣%).

كما أظهرت النتائج انخفاض أعداد جرذ الحشائش النيلى Arvicanthis niloticus عند مكافحت فى الأراضى القاحلة الموبوءه به بواسطة حرق الحشائش إلى ٥٩ و ١٦ و ٢٦ وتم تقدير كفاءة الحرق بواسطة طريقة الطعوم المستهلكة بينما كان الإنخفاض ٥٩ عندما تم التقدير بواسطة الجحور العمالة وغير العمالة فى اليوم الأول والثالث والخامس على التوالى.

فى حين إنخفضت أعداد جرذ الحشانش النيلى. Arvicanthis niloticus Des عند مكافحته بواسطة تغريق الأراضى القلحلة الموبوءه به إلى ٢٦و ٦٥و٦٦% وتقذير كفاءة الحرق بواسطة طريقة الطعوم المستهلكة بينما كان الإنخفاض ٦٠و ٦٠و٦٦% و تم التقدير بواسطة الجحور العمالة وغير العمالة فى اليوم الأول والثالث والخامس على التوالى.