

Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 15(1), 157-166, 2007

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SOME WEED CONTROL TREATMENTS ON DIFFERENT WEEDS GROWN IN ANNA APPLE ORCHARDS

[14]

El-Metwally¹, I.M. and Omaima, M. Hafez²
1. Botan, Department, National Research Center, Dokki Giza Egypt
2. Pomology F escarch Department, National Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

Keywords: weed control Anna Apple, Mulching, Rice straw, Polyethylene: heet, Hand hoeing

ABST RACT

This study was carried out during two successive seasons 2005 and 2006 on Anna apple trees budded on Balady apple rootstock, grown in sandy soil at (4 x 4m) apart under drip irrigation system, in a private farm at El-K atatba, Monofia Governorate. A comparative study of some weed control treatments have been stucied on Anna apple trees with its associated weeds. The tested weed control methods were using different colours of polyethvlene for mulching (green, blue, red, black and transparent), rice straw mulch, hand hoeing twice and two herbicides (Glyfosinate and Glyphosate) comparing with unweeded (control). All weed control treatments revented a significant reduction in fresh and dry weight of annual broad-leaved weeds, annual grasses, perennial and total weeds as compared with un werded. The most effective treatments in decreasing fresh and dry weight of annual broad-leaves weeds were rice straw mulching, followed by black polyethylene mulch and Glyfosinate. While, rice straw, black polyethylene and Glyphosate treatment; were the most effective in decreasing fresh and Iry weight of grass and total weeds. Also, the highest decrement in fresh and dry weight of perennial weeds were obtained by rice straw mulching and Glyphosate treatments. Moreover, results showed a remarkable improvement in growth, nutritional status of apple trees and yield (kg/tree). Also, physical and chemical properties of the fruits were improved. The most pronounced treatment developed under such study on the growth reduction of different grown weeds,

meanwhile increased the apple tree growth, fruit yield associated with higher fruit quality was in rice straw mulching, black polyethylene mulching, Glyphosate treatments followed by hand hoeing twice treatment.

Finally, it could be concluded that soil mulching with rice straw can be used as a method for weed control in apple orchards. This method developed such advantages over herbicides, polyethylene mulch and hand hoeing in this concern. The possibility of using rice straw mulch for their many positive effects such as low coasts, in harmony with ecosystem without no harmful residual effect especially for exported fruits and for producing safe fruits for human nutrition. In additions, soil mulch with rice straw improving growth, leaves mineral contents as well as produced higher yield and better quality of apple fruits.

INTRODUCTION

Apple is one of the most important fruit in the world. Anna apple is one of the introduced horticultural crops in Egypt, cultivated in different soil types and irrigation systems. Commercial production of apple in Egypt, has been developed since the early introduction of low chilling cultivars. So, Anna apple proved the most dominant cultivar up till now (Stino et al 1985).

Weed control is one of the important factors which control the successful growth and productivity of apple orchards. Egyptian climate offers a very conductive environment for continuous growth of weeds. Frequent irrigation and nutrient applications, further enhance the weed problem, in fruit orchards. Weed utilize a major portion of

nutrients and water which could be utilized by trees, resulting in poor tree growth and reduce yields. Also, weed harbor insects and disease organisms and reduce the efficiency of cultural and harvesting operations (Sabbah et al 1994). Since hand hoeing is laborious and expensive, so, different weed control methods were continuously evaluated in fruit orchards such as herbicides (El-Shamma and Hassan 2001 and Banaszkiewicz and Kopytowski 2003) and/or covering soil with several mulching materials (Sinbel et al 1997 and Pedersen 1999).

Soil mulch (covering the soil by organic or synthetic materials) was recorded as a safe method to control weeds in comparison to herbicides application (Hussein and Radwan 2002). Organic mulches include straw and residues from rice, wheat crops like banana and sugar cane. Synthetic mulches include polyethylene (plastic), polypropylene sheets or film. Mulch system suppress weeds through their physical presence with soil surface (by shading, lowering soil-surface temperature, allelopathic activity and black the light stimules required for germination of many smallseeded weed species (Radwan and Hussein 2001). Mulching is very effective against annual weeds and some perennial weeds such as Cynodon dactylon and Convolvulus arvensis in apple orchard (Bhutani et al 1994; Hartley and Rahman 1997 and Pedersen 1999). Some workers have reported increased yield, fruit quality and leaf minerals content of apple using rice straw mulch (Bhutani et al 1994; Merwin & Stiles 1994; Mika et al 1998 and Neilsen & Hogue 1998). Chemical weed control in apple orchard became of great importance due to the high cost of farm labours at the present circumstances. Several investigator reported that application of Glyphosate gave excellent weed control in apple orchards and increasing leaf minerals content, yield and fruit quality (Akanda et al 1997; Cerda et al 1999 and Banaszkiewicz & Kopytowski 2003).

This investigation tented to compare effectiveness of different weed control methods i.e., different colours of polyethylene mulch, rice straw mulch, herbicides and hand hoeing on weeds, leaf area, leaves mineral content, yield and fruit quality of Anna apple trees.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was performed during two successive seasons, 2005 and 2006 on four years old "Anna" apple trees (Malus domestica, Borkh),

budded on Baladi apple (Indian crab) rootstock. Trees were spaced 4x4r1 apart grown in a private farm at El-Khatatba, Monofia governorate. All trees were almost uniform in vigor, grown in a sandy soil under conventionally accepted practices, using drip irrigation system. The soil mechanical and chemical properties are shown in Table (1). Thirty unifor n trees were chosen randomly as 3 replicates (tree/replicate) in a randomized block design and prouped under ten treatments including unweeded.

Table 1. Mechanical and chemical analysis of the

Properties	Value
Mechanical analysis:	
Sandy %	88.8
Silt %	7.2
Clay %	4.0
Chemical analysis:	
pH (1:2.5 sus p.	8.63
E.C. mmhos/cm	0.16
CaCo ₃ %	2.8
Organic matter %	0.51
Available macroelemen's (me	eq/100g):
Р	1.8
K	10.45
Ca	390
Mg	12.6
Na	20.24
Available microelement: (ppr	n)
Fe	2.0
Mn	3.1
Zn	0.2
Cu	0.3

The experimental treatments as follows:

- 1. Únwedded.
- 2. Green plastic mulch.
- 3. Blue plastic mulch.
- 4. Red plastic mulch.
- 5. Black plastic mulch
- 6. Transparent plastic mul:h.
- 7. Rice straw mulch
- 8. Hand hoeing (twice).
- Glyfosinate (Basta): (2-amino-4-(hydroxylmethyl phosphinyl) butanoic acid) at 1.5L./200L. water/fed.
- 10. Glyphosate (Round 1p): (N-(phosphono methyl) glycine) at 2L/200L. water/fed.

In each season, rice straw nulch was setted in a layer of 15 cm in height in the 2nd week in Feb-

ruary around the tree trurk and covering the area under the tree canopy. Also, the different colours plastic mulch (0.08 mm tlick) were spread out on the soil surface as done with straw mulch. Hand hoeing treatment was car ied out twice to control weeds at the end of both April and May at a depth of 10-15 cm. Herbicides treatment were applied at the same time of hand hoeing.

Data were recorded during the two seasons of such study as follows

I- The effect on weed control

At harvest time, weed survey was conducted in one square meter from the middle part of each plot using hand-pulled and classified in to three classes

- Annual grasses.
- Annual broad-leaved weeds.
- Perennial weeds.

The fresh and dry veight of weeds at each class was determined as g/m².

II. The effect on Anna apple

Data of Anna apple tries include:

- 1- Leaf mineral content: samples of thirty leaves from the mi idle part of non fruited shoots were selected at random from each replicate to determinate both the leaf area and its mineral contents from macroelements (N, P, K, Mg and Ca) according to Evenhuis and De-Waard (1980) and microelements (Fe, Mn and Zn) according to Jackson and Ulrich (1959).
- 2- Leaf area (cm²): leaf area was estimated at first of June by planimeter, according to Nauliyal et al (1990).
- 3- Yield (Kg) /tree: At harvesting time the yield was estimated on basis of number and weight of fruits/tree.

4- Fruit characteristics

Samples of 15 fruits from each tree were randomly taken for determining the physical and chemical characteristics:

4.1. Physical character:

Fruit weight (g), frui length (L), fruit diameter (D) and L/D ratio we're calculated. Also, fruit firmness was determined as Lb/inch² by using fruit pressure tester mod. FT 327 (3-27Lbs).

4.2. Chemical characters

Total soluble solids percentage (TSS %) was determined in fruit juice using a hand refractometer.

Total acidity (TA%) was estimated as malic acid according to A.O.A.C. (1980).

Statistical analysis

The obtained data were subjected to proper statistical analysis of variance according to **Snedecor** and **Cochran** (1980). Means of treatments were compared using L.S.D. values at 0.05 level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I. Effect of weed control treatments on weeds

The dominant weed species encountered in the experimental plots during the two seasons could be arranged as follows

- a- Annual broad-leaved weeds: Malva parvillora L. (Small flowered mallon), Chenopodium album L. (White goosefoot), Amaranthus retroflexus L. (Pig weed red root) and Urtica urens L. (Small nettle).
- b- Annual grasses weeds: Echinochloa colonum (L.) Link (deccan grass), Stedria viridis (L.) Beav (Green bristle grass) and Dinebra retroflexa (Forssk) Panz (tigergruss).
- c- Perennial weeds: Cynodon dactylon (L) pers. Bermuda grass, Cyperus rotundus L. (nut grass) and Convolrulus arvensis L. (Lesser bind weed).

Fresh and dry weight of annual broad-leaved, annual grasses, perennial and total weeds in g/m² as affected by the tested weed control treatments (at harvesting) during both seasons were presented in **Table (2)**. The obtained results indicated that different weed control methods revealed significant effects on fresh and dry weight of annual broad-leaved, annual grasses and perennial weeds in the two seasons of this study.

I-1. Annual broad-leaved weeds

Data recorded in **Table (2)** revealed that fresh and dry weight of annual broad-leaved weeds was significantly affected by different weed control treatments.

Table 2. Fresh and dry weight of weeds at apple harvest as influenced by cifferent weed control treatments (2005 and 2006 seasons).

			Fresh	weight	of weed	ls (g/m²)	Dry weight of weeds (g/m²)										
Treatments	Annual broad teaved			Annual grasses		Perennial weeds		Total weeds		Annual broad leaved		nual Isses	Perennial weeds			otal eds	
	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	
Unweeded (control)	230	245	150	157.5	200	210	580	612.50	46.11	49,12	33,32	35,25	48.84	51.24	128.27	135.61	
Green polyethylene mulch	57.80	60,60	70	72,40	123	128.90	250.80	261.90	11.60	12.10	15.65	16.22	30.14	31.44	57,39	59.76	
Blue polyethylene mulch	39.51	41.32	54.63	57.14	13.62	14.21	107.76	112.67	7.91	8,26	12.11	12.71	3.32	3.55	23.34	24.52	
Red polyethylene mulch	97.50	101.41	101.52	105,60	60.53	62.92	259.55	269,93	19,52	30.34	22.62	23,64	14.84	15.33	56.98	59.31	
Black polyethylene mulch	0.52	0.66	0.49	0.62	1.72	1.83	2.73	3.11	0,10	0.13	0.10	0,14	0.42	0.45	0.62	0.72	
Transparent polyethylene mulch	190,51	2.00	130	136.56	161	169.12	481.51	505.68	38,12	40	28.92	30.51	39.32	41.24	106.36	111.75	
Rice straw mulch	0.0	0.0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	
Two band hoeing	11.50	12.40	18.25	21.17	19.52	21.34	49.27	54.91	2.41	2.52	4.05	4.70	4.90	5.20	11.36	12.42	
Glyfosinate herbicide	7.00	7.86	11.11	13.31	25.21	26.91	43.32	48,08	1.52	1.62	2.47	2.96	6,00	6.64	9.99	11.22	
Glyphosate herbicide	10.22	13.42	8.23	11.52	0.00	0.00	18.45	24.94	2.21	2.87	1.84	2.60	0.00	0.00	4.05	5.47	
L.S.D. _{9.05}	23.53	24.62	15.22	17.23	18.71	19.24	35.11	39.17	1.12	1.19	1.03	1.11	2.13	2.07	4.32	4.22	

Rice straw mulching treatment gave the best control when compared with the other treatments in both 2005 and 2006 seasons. It reduced fresh and dry weight of annual broad-leaved weeds than unwedded check by 100% reduction in both seasons. Treatments of black polyethylene, Glyfosinate, Gluphosate and two hand hoeing were very effective in controlling most annual broad-leaved weeds recorded. On the contrary, the highest fresh and dry weight of annual broad-leaved weeds were observed with unweeded followed by that of transparent polyethylene mulch and red polyethylene mulch treatments. These results could be due to the inhibition effect of rice straw mulch, black polyethylene mulch and herbicidal treatments on growth of weeds.

I.2. Annual grasses

Fresh and dry weight of annual grasses weeds as affected by different weed control treatments are presented in Table (2). It is obvious that weed control treatments revealed significant influence on fresh and dry weight of grassy weeds. Rice straw mulch treatment was more effective in controlling the annual grassy weeds spread in the tested apple orchard (100% reduction) followed by that of black polyethyle ie, Glyphosate, Glyfosimate herbicides and two hand hoeing treatments, respectively. These results may be due to the inhibition and deleterious effect of weed control treatments on growth of weeds. Mulching of rice or black polyethylene were the most effective on

controlling apple weeds. This may be due to attributable efficiency of rulching in stunting of weeds. On the other hand, the unweeded treatment resulted the highest values of fresh and dry weight of weeds in both seasons.

I.3. Perennial weeds

Relevant data show that fresh and dry weight of perennial weeds at harvesting were significantly decreased by different weed control treatments when compared with unweded check in both seasons (Table 2). Rice straw mulch treatment followed by Glyphosate, I lack polyethylene, two hand hoeing and Glyfosi rate were very effective in controlling most perennial weeds. These superior treatments decrease the average of fresh weight of perennial weeds than unweeded treatment by about 100, 100, 59.14, 90.24 and 87.40%, in the first season and by 100, 100, 99.13, 89.84 and 87.19%, in the second season, respectively. However, the maximum values of fresh and dry weight of perennial weeds were observed with unweeded treatment followed by that of transparent, red and green polyethylene mulch in both seasons as shown in Tab e (2). These results may be due to mulching smotl ering effect on weeds by excluding light from the photosynthetic portions of a plant which inhibiting the vegetative growth for such weeds. It is very effective against annual and some perennial weed: (Radwan and Hussein 2001).

I.4. Total weeds

The available results in Table (2) indicated that weed control treatments have a significant influence on fresh and dy weight of total weeds during both seasons. The highest reduction effect in fresh and dry weight was significantly obtained with rice straw mulch treatment followed by black polyethylene. The reduction percentage in fresh weight reached 100, 99.53, 96.82, 92.53 and 91.51 in the first season, and 100, 99.49, 95.93, 92.15 and 91.04%, in the second season for rice straw mulch, black polyethylene, Glyphosate, Glyfosinate and two hand hoein; treatments, respectively. In contrast, the maximum value of fresh and dry weight of total weeds were recorded by unweeded treatment.

Results concerning effect of mulching with rice straw or black polyethylene, may be due to reducing penetrability of light necessary for weed photosynthesis results in restriction of growth,

wilting and death. The results of rice straw mulching are in agreement with the finding of Hartley & Rahman (1997) Mika et al (1998); Pedersen (1999) and Abou Sayed et al (2005) who found that rice straw mulching gave complete control of annual weeds and the majority of prevailing perennial weeds as compared with the control. While, Cerda et al (1999) and Banaszkiewicz & Kopytowski (2003) reported that application of Glyphosate herbicide significantly decreased weed density and dry weight of annual grasses, annual broad-leaved, perennial and total weeds spread in apple orchard when compared with unweeded treatment.

Generally, results in Table (2) showed that all weed control treatments statistically decreased fresh and dry weight of total weeds grown with apple as compared to unweeded treatment. Rice straw mulch, black polyethylene, Glyphosate, Glyfosinate and two hand hoeing were the most effective for controlling of apple weeds. Similar conclusion was mentioned by Bhutani et al (1994); Akanda et al (1997); Pedersen (1999) and Abou Sayed et al (2005). However, there were no significant differences among mulching (rice straw and black polyethylene), herbicidal (Glyphosate and Glyfosinate) and two hand hoeing treatments, in fresh and dry weight of annual broad-leaved, annual grasses, perennial and total weeds.

II. Effect of weed control treatments on apple trees

II.1. Leaf area (cm²) and leaf mineral content affected by the different weed control treatments

Data recorded in **Table** (3) show that leaf area was significantly increased as a result of controlling weeds by different weed control treatments as compared to the unweeded treatment, in both seasons. The maximum value of leaf area was recorded by rice straw mulching followed by that of black polyethylene mulch and Glyphosate treatments, in both seasons. On the other hand, the lowest value of leaf area was recorded in the unweeded check. Application of the previous treatments was effective in controlling weeds and consequently the competition limites of light, water and nutrients available for promote apple trees when compared with other treatments.

Results in **Table (3)** revealed that all weed control treatments significantly increased leaf mineral content. The highest values of N%, P%,

Table 3. Leaf area (cm²) and leaf mineral content of Anna apple as influenced by different weed control treatments (2005 & 2006 seasons).

Treatments	Leafarea (cm²)		N %		P %			K %		Ca %		Mg		Fe ppm		Zn ppm		Mn		Cu
																		pm 	ppm —	
	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006
Unweeded	22.30	21.61	1.44	1,48	0,114 (0.107	1.29	1.38	0,60	0.67	0.36	0.30	133	156	30.42	28.51	60.13	57.38	5.44	5.23
Green polyeth- ylene mulch	24.79	24.41	1.59	1.71	0,125 (D.120	1.56	1.56	0.77	0.79	0,50	0.42	144.5	166.5	38.59	35.62	68,53	66.43	6.75	6.20
Blue polyethyl- ene mulch	25.01	24,86	1.63	1,76	0,138 (0.125	1.66	1.61	0.82	0.79	0.52	0,48	158	174	18.32	37.57	70.42	67.12	7.00	7.14
Red polyethyl- ene mulch	23.60	23.03	1.47	1.67	0.124 (0.119	1.47	1.52	0,74	0.77	0.48	0.40	139	157,5	. 8,53	34.30	65,50	64,00	6.31	6.82
Black polyeth- ylene mulch	26,06	26.79	1.93	1.95	0.157 0),145	2.00	1.85	0.91	0.86	0.63	0,55	207	195.5	£ 0,17	41.66	81.73	76.21	7,50	7.93
Transparent polyethylene mulch	23.15	22.88	1.46	1.55	0.118 0	0.110	1,38	1.45	0.67	0.74	0.46	0,39	142	137	3 5,64	29.86	65.17	60,25	5.97	5.90
Rice straw mulch	26,78	27.35	2.00	2.07	0.168 0	0.154	2.03	1.90	0,98	0.91	0.66	0.55	221	203	53.12	48.11	84.12	79.51	8.10	8.41
Two hand hoeing	25.17	24.92	1.68	1.84	0.153 0	.131	1.87	1.61	0.84	0.82	0.54	0,48	172.5	179.5	4 .81	39.22	74.12	71,00	7.00	7.52
Glyfosinate herbicide	25,51	25,07	2.07	2.18	0.168 0	.162	2.10	1.96	0.86	0.84	0.55	0.49	183	192.5	41 ,52	40,10	75.17	71.18	R.73	8,63
Glyphosate herbicide	25.83	25.28	2.19	2.25	0.174 0	.185	2.27	2.02	0.89	0,86	0.57	0.53	191.5	193	41 11	40,51	77.42	73.53	8.91	9,21
L.S.D. B.DS	0.94	0,88	0.23	0.29	0.112 0	.103	0.19	0.16	0.09	0.07	0.13	0.10	17	19	4.12	3,96	5.43	4.54	0.42	0,51

K%, Zn (ppm) and Cu (ppm) in apple leaves were obtained by Glyphosate, Glyfosinate, rice straw mulch, black polyethylene mulch and two hand hoeing treatments, respectively. While, application of rice straw mulch, black polyethylene mulch, Glyphosate, Glyfosinate and two hand hoeing treatments consecutively recorded the highest Ca%, Mg%, Fe (ppm) and Mn (ppm) in apple leaves compared to the other weed control treatments in both seasons. The lowest value of all the aforementioned characters was obtained when apple orchards were unweeded. These results may be due to the less competition for nutrients, water and light through limiting weeds infestation with mulching (rice straw and black polyethylene) and

herbicides (Glyphosate and Glyfosinate) as well as two hand hoeing treatments due to increasing the uptake of different nutrients. The results are in harmony with those obtained by Merwin and Stiles (1994); Merwin et al (1995); Neilsen and Hogue (1998) and Peders in (1999).

II.2. Fruit physical and chemical characteristics and yield of Anna apple

II.2.1. Physical Characters

Results in Table (4) indicated that fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm), fruit

Table 4. Yield and fruit physical and chemical characteristics of Anna apple as inf	fluenced by different
weed control treatments (2005 & 2006 seasons).	

Treatments	Average f uit weight (;)				Yield/tree (Kg)		Fruit length		Fruit diameter		L/D ratio		Fruit firmness (Lb/inch²)		TSS		TA (%)	
	2005	; 006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006
Unweeded (control)	124.30	1 2.11	126.7	132.4	15.75	14.84	6.07	6.90	6.33	5.77	0.96	1.20	10.13	10.15	11.53	10.73	0.62	0.66
Green polyethylene mulch	173.24	1 0.23	138.4	129.1	23.98	20.68	7.23	7.30	6,53	6.70	1.11	1.10	11.11	11.26	12.22	12.41	0.57	0.51
Blue polyethylene mulch	175.18	1 1.62	146. i	133.3	25.59	21.55	7.27	7.37	6.67	6.73	1.10	1.10	11.43	11.60	12.27	12.53	0.60	0.58
Red polyethylene mulch	160.21	I- 5,80	130.7	124.8	20.94	18.2	6.60	7.27	6.63	6.57	1.0	1.11	10.60	10.73	12.07	11.95	0.52	0.66
Black polyethylene mulch	205,72	I [.] 0.24	147.7	146.8	30.38	27.93	7.63	7.97	6.97	7.0	1.11	1.14	13.12	13,0	13.27	13.20	0.45	0.45
Transparent polyethylene mulch	150,50	1 6.13	111.9	111.0	16.84	i5 .11	6.50	7.07	6.33	6.07	1.03	1.16	10.24	10.4	11.59	10.90	0.49	0.45
Rice straw mulch	210.90	l' 8.74	156.8	143.2	33.07	28,46	8.23	8.20	7.30	7.13	1.13	1.15	13.31	13.4	13,60	13.53	0.43	0.45
Two hand hoeing	215,24	2(0.43	126.1	116.2	27.15	23.25	7.37	7.43	6.77	6.90	1 10	1.10	13.53	13.80	13.20	13.87	0.40	0.45
Glyfosinate herbicide	183.11	113.18	145.7	132.5	26.68	22.94	7.53	7.47	6.80	6.73	111	1.11	11.92	12.0	12.85	12.82	0.62	0.68
Glyphosate herbicide	186.44	118.16	155.0	138.0	28.9	24.58	7.60	7.67	6.87	6.83	1.11	1.12	12.12	12.24	13.01	12.93	0.62	0.7
L.S.D. _{Qu3}	14.53	1 !. 18	15.11	17.12	4.15	3.8	0.50	0.56	0.22	0.27	N.S.	N.S.	0.52	0.48	0.54	0.60	0.12	0.09

firmness (Lb/inch²), L/D ratio and number of fruits/tree were significantly increased by using weed control treatments. This was true for both experimental seasons. Rice straw mulching treatment recorded the highes values of fruit length, fruit diameter, L/D ratio and number of fruits/tree followed by that of black polyethylene, Glyphosate, Glyfosinate and two hand hoeing treatments. Meanwhile, the highest values of fruit weight and fruit firmness were recorded by two hand hoeing, rice straw mulch, black polyethylene mulch, Gluphosate and Gl ifosinate treatments. On the contrary, the lowest va ues of all the aforementioned characters were recorded with the unweeded treatment. The increase in major characters of fruits by different veed control treatments may be due to good control of apple weeds and minimized weed competition which gave good chance for apple trees growth and improved growth characters and fru t quality. These results are in harmony with the indings of Mika et al

(1998); Neilsen & Hogue (1998) and Pedersen (1999).

II.2.2. Yield (kg)/tree

In both seasons, weed control treatments developed significant effects on yield (kg)/ tree of apple trees as shown in Table (4). Mulching, both herbicides and two hand hoeing treatments markedly produced higher yield (kg) /tree than unweeded plots. Rice straw and black polyethylene mulching, Glyphosate, two hand hoeing and Glyfosinate treatments recorded the greatest yield/tree as compared with the other treatments. These superior treatments increased the average of yield (kg) /tree than unweeded treatment by about 110.0, 92.9, 83.5, 72.4and 69.4% in the first season and 91.8, 88.2, 65.3, 56.7 and 54.6% in the second season, respectively. In contrast the lowest value of yield/tree was recorded with the un-

weeded plots (15.75 and 14.84 kg/tree) consecutively in the both seasons.

The previous mentioned enhancement on growth and nutritional status of the trees surely reflected an improving tree productivity. The results obtained here indicate that rice straw mulch, black polyethylene, Glyphosate herbicide and two hand hoeing produced a promising effect against weeds prevailing on apple growth, nutritional status of the trees, yield(kg)/tree and main characteristics comparison with the other treatments. The superiority in tree productivity may be due to accumulative effect of weed control treatments that resulted in better eradication, otherwise liminated dangerous competitive weeds. These results are in agreement with those reported by Hassan (2001); Abou Sayed et al (2005) and Hassan et al (2006) observed that the rice straw mulching treatment gave the highest yield/tree when compared with all herbicides treatments. On the other hand, Merwin et al (1995) and Falta et al (2002) who reported that Glyphosate treatment gave the maximum value of yield/tree compared with other treatments.

II.2.3. Fruit chemical properties

As show in **Table (4)** all tested weed control treatments significantly improved fruit quality that enhanced total soluble solids % (TSS%) and depressed total acidity % (TA%) in fruit juice of Anna apple, in both 2005 & 2006 seasons.

Concerning the TSS% of these treatments ranged 11.59 to 13.6% in the 1st season and 10.9 to 13.87% in the 2nd season. The best TSS% results were obtained from rice straw mulch, black polyethylene mulch and two hand hoeing treatments, followed by the herbicide treatments. The lowest statistical values of TSS% received by the unweed treatment, recorded 11.53 & 10.73% respectively in both seasons. The other tested treatments revealed intermediate values.

Regarding to the effect of these treatments on (TA%), data presented in **Table (4)** show clearly that all treatments decreased TA% in apple fruit juice than the unweeded trees. Moreover, the best treatments showed nearly similar trend as shown for TSS%. Mean while, the herbicides treatments indicated insignificant differences considering the control treatment. These record showed the highest significant values of TA% when compared with the other treatments. These results were true in 2005 and 2006 seasons.

As a conclusion from the obtained results in this study, rice straw mulch, black polyethylene mulch and hand he eing twice treatments developed the best and good recommendation for weed control in apple or chard. Moreover, improving growth, leaves mineral content, and yield (kg/tree), as well as enhancing the physical and chemical properties of apple fruits.

REFERENCES

A.O.A.C. (1980). Official Methods of Analysis 13th Ed. Associations of Official Agricultural Chemists, Washingto 1. D.C., U.S.A.

Abou Sayed, A.T. A.; R.A. Al-Ashkar; L.A. El-Mashad and A.R. Bdr El-Deen (2005). Comparative study of sc me integrated weed control treatments on Washir gton Navel Orange trees and associated weeds. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 32(1): 35-56.

Akanda, R.U.; J.J. ! Iullahey; C.C. Dowler and D.G. Shilling (1997). Influence of post-emergence herbicides on tropica soda apple and bahiagrass. Weed Tech., 11(4): 656-661.

Banaszkiewicz, T. and J. Kopytowski (2003). Some aspects of glyphosate use in apple orchards. Sodininkyste-ir-Daraininkyste, 22(1): 41-46.

Bhutani, V.P.; S.S. Faina; U.U. Khokar (1994). Effect of herbicides, nulching and clean cultivation on weed population growth and cropping of apple trees. Horticult Iral J., 7(1): 7-13.

Cerda, J.J.; M. Men loza; J. Santiago; F. Nieto and S. Cortez (1999). Weed chemical control in apple (*Pyrus malus* 1..): Coadjuvants. Agronomia Mesoamericana, 10(1): 7-15.

El-Shamma, M.S. an 1 A.A.A. Hassan (2001). A comparative study of some weed control methods on Thompson seedle is vines. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 32(1): 145-155.

Evenhuis, B. and W. De-Waard (1980). Principales and practices in plant analysis. F.A.O. Soil Bull., 38: 152-163.

Falta, V.; M. Prazal; and M. Hudsky (2002). Elimination of weed influence through fruit thinning and fertilization in apple tree plantings. Zahradnictri. Horticultural Science, 29(4): 143-147.

Hartley, M.J. and A Rahman (1997). Organic mulches for weed con rol in apple orchards. Orchardist, 70(10): 28-31.

Hassan, A.A.A. (2001). A comparative study of some weed control treatments on Washington Navel orange orchard. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 26(3): 1381.

Hassan, A.A.A.; T.A. El-Shahawy and G.M. Metwely (2006). Annual and perennial weed control in citrus orchard. Bull. NRC, Egypt, 31(1): 77-86.

Hussein, H.F. and S.M.A. Itadwan (2002). Bioorganic fertilization of potato under plastic mulches in relation to quality of production and associated weeds. Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 10(1): 287-309.

Jackson, M.L. and A. Ulri:h (1959). Analytical methods for use in plant analysis. Coll. Agric. Exp. State Bull., 766: 35.

Merwin, I.A. and W.C. Siles (1994). Orchard ground cover management impacts on apples tree growth and yield and nutrient availability and uptake. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. S.i., 119(2): 209-215.

Merwin, I.A.; D.A. Rosenberger; C.A. Engle; D.L. Rist and M. Fargione (1995). Comparing mulches, herbicides and cultivation as orchard ground cover management systems. Hort. Tech., 5(2): 151-158.

Mika, A.; D. Krzewinskii and T. Olszewski (1998). Effects of mulches, herbicides and cultivation as orchard ground cover management system in young apple orchard. J. of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research, 6(1): 1-13.

Nauliyal, M.C.; P.K. Sin th; R.N. Shukla; S. Parkash and M. Kummar (1990). Correcting leaf area measurement by conventional methods: a new approach for apple (Molus domestica Borkh). J. Hort. Sci., 65: 15-18.

Neilsen, G.H. and E.J. Hogue (1998). Mulches

and composted organic wastes as components of integrated fruit production. Ecological aspects of nutrition and alternatives for herbicides in Horticulture. Proc. International Seminar, Warszawa. Poland, pp. 55-56.

Pedersen, H.L. (1999). Alternatives to herbicide use fo controlling weeds in apple orchards. Rivista-di-frutticoltura-e-di-Ortofloricoltura, 61(10):81-83.

Radwan, S.M.A. and H.F. Hussein (2001). Response of onion (*Allium cepa*, L.) plants and associated weeds to biofertilization under some plant mulches. Annals. Agric. Sci. Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 46(2): 543-564.

Sabbah, S.M.; Aida, T.Assal and Elham, A. Ghaly (1994). The relative influence of some herbicides on yield, fruit quality and leaf mineral composition of Washington Navel Orang trees. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 39(2): 721-729.

Sinbel, H.M.; Aida, S. Assal and El-ham, A. Ghaly (1997). A comparative study of weed control method. Effect on growth, yield and fruit quality of Washington Navel orange trees. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 22(7): 2403-2411.

Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980). Statistical Method 7th Ed. p. 507. Iowa State Univ. Press., Ames, Iowa, U.S.A.

Stino, G.R.; M.M. Zaki and A. Abd El-Aziz (1985). Introduction of low chilling apple cultivars in Egypt. Tech. Bulletin 4/1985, Ministry of Agriculture, Cairo A.R.E.

مجلة اتحاد الجامعات العربية للدراسات والبحوث الزراعية جامعة عين شمس ، القاهــــــة مجلد(١٥)، عدد (١)، ١٥٧–١٦٦، ٢٠٠٧



دراسة مقارنة لتأثير بعض معاملات مكافحة الحشائش على أنواع الحشائش المصاحبة لبساتين أشجار التفاح الآنا

[1 £]

إبراهيم محمد المتولى أ- أميمة محمد حافظ أ

١. قسم النبات المركز القومى للبحوث الدقى - الجيرزة - مصرر
٢. قسم بحوث الفاكهة - المركز القومى للبحوث الدقى - الجيزة - مصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة خـ لال موسمين متتاليين على أحريت هذه الدراسة خـ لال موسمين متتاليين على أصل بلدى وناميه في رض رملية على مـ سافة على أصل بلدى وناميه في رض رملية على مـ سافة بالخطاطبه محافظة المنوفيا لدراسة مقارنة تسأثير بعض معاملات مكافحة الحمائش وهي استخدام ألوان مختلفة من البولي إثيلين التعطية بين الأشجار (أخضر، أزرق، أحمر، أسود، شفاف) والتغطية بقش الأرز وإستخدام العزيق البدوي مـرتين ومبيدي الحشائش الجليفوسينات (معـدل ١٠٥ لتر/فدان "مـرتين") والجليفوسينات (معـدل ١٠٥ لتر/فدان "مـرتين") بالإضافة لمعاملة ترك الحمائش بدون مقاومة علـي بالإضافة لمعاملة ترك الحمائش المصاحبة لها.

أظهرت كل معاملات كافحة الحسائش نقيصاً جوهرياً في الوزن الغض الجاف للحشائش الحوليسة والمعمرة والحشائش الكلية مقارنة بمعاملة الكونترول. حيث أظهرت معاملة التغلية بقش الأرز والبلاستيك الأسود أو إستخدام مبيد جيفوسينات تفوقاً واضحاً في مكافحة الحشائش عريضة الأوراق مقارنة بالمعاملات الأخرى. بينما أظهرت ماملة التغطية بقش الأرز أو البلاستيك الأسود أو معاملة الجليفوسيت تفوقاً واضحاً البلاستيك الأسود أو معاملة الجليفوسيت تفوقاً واضحاً

فى مكافحة الحشائش الحولية ضيقة الأوراق والحشائش الكلية. أما الحشائش المعمرة فقد أظهر مبيد الجليفوسيت كفاءة عالية فى مكافحتها مقارنة بالمعاملات الأخرى.

أظهرت النتائج أيضاً تحسن ملحوظ في النمو والحالة الغذائية الأشجار التفاح ومحصول المشجرة وأيضاً أظهرت تحسناً في المصفات الطبيعية والكيميائية لثمار التفاح الآنا. كانت أفضل النتائج المتحصل عليها من ناحية قلة الحشائش النامية علاوة على زيادة النمو والمحصول وخصائص جودة الثمار مسن معاملات التغطية بقش الأرز والبلاسستيك الأسود.

ويمكن التوصية باستخدام قش الأرز في مكافحة الحشائش المختلفة في بساتين التفاح وذلك لرخص تكلفته وتوافقه مع البيئة حيث أنه معاملة غير كيماوية لا ينتج عنها تلوث بيئي وليست لها بقايا كيماوية ضارة في الثمار خاصة ثمار التصدير والاستخدام الطازج. كما ظهر أن استخدام قش الأرز يحسن من النمو الخضري لأشجار التفاح والمحتوى المعدني للأوراق علاوة على زيادة المحصول وجودة صفاته الثمرية.

تحكيم: الد ممدوح فوزي «بد الله الد يطرس تصر اطرس