

Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 15(2), 483-492, 2007

### MYCOBIOTA OF SOLARIZED AND UNSOLARIZED CUCUMBER SOILS AND ROLE OF SOLARIZATION IN CONTROLLING OF SCLEROTINIA SCLEROTIORUM (LIB.) de BARY UNDER GREENHOUSE CONDITIONS

[42]

Ibrahim<sup>1</sup>, M.E. and A.M. Abdel-Azeem<sup>2</sup>

1. Department of Biological and Geological Sciences, Faculty of Education, Suez Canal University, Al-Arish, Egypt

2. Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, 41522, Egypt

**Keywords:** Mycobiota, Solarization, cucumber, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* 

#### INTRODUCTION

#### ABSTRACT

Soil mycoflora play an important role in agricultural economy of a country. The current study was made to have the knowledge about soilborne fungi associated with cucumber crop in solarized and unsolarized soils. Solarization exerted various effects, some of which are biological, others are chemical and still others are physical. All together these changes affected directly or indirectly the mycoflora of the soil, especially the soilborne pathogenic ones. Forty-nine fungal species belong to thirty genera have been isolated from solarized and unsolarized soils. The diversity as well as the count was greatly affected by solarization. By comparison of the species lists of the fungal flora of solarized and unsolarized soils it was evident that soil fungi behave differently toward soil solarization, while some new species developed e.g. Absidia, Acrophialophora, Talaromyces, Gliocladium, some remained unaffected e. g. Aspergillus, Penicillium, Chaetomium, Botryotrichum, still others disappeared e. g. Acremonium, Cephaliophora. Eurotium and others. Regarding solarization for controlling white cucumber rot caused by Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, the obtained data clearly show that solarization had led to a marked increase in the number of healthy plants up to 72.5%.

Soil solarization is a mulching process that occurs in moist soil which is covered by polyethylene sheets and exposed to sunlight, especially during summer months. Heat is trapped in the soil and rising soil temperature up to levels which are lethal to many plant pathogens and pests. This process causes also complex changes in the biological, physical and chemical properties of the soil in a way or another that improve growth and development of plants (Pullman *et al* 1981). These changes include also sharp decreases in the populations of soilborne pathogens with increased populations of beneficial fungi and bacteria (De Vay, 1995).

Changes in populations of soilborne microorganisms, associated with the sharp decline of most plant pathogens during soil solarization, are changes in saprophytic fungi and bacterial species. After soil solarization, populations of soil fungi were reduced (Stapleton and De Vay, 1982, 1984; El-Zayat et al 1990; El-Shanawany et al 2004). However, population's densities of thermophilic fungi remained relatively high and increased to levels higher than those present in unsolarized soil (De Vay, 1995; Stapleton and De Vay, 1982). The effect of solarization on soil microbiota has been the target of many investigators in Egypt and different countries (Stapleton and De Vay, 1982, 1984, 1995; El-Zayat et al 1990; Gamliel and Katan, 1991; De Vay, 1995; Ibrahim, 1999; Botross et al 2000 and E-Shanawany et al 2004).

(Received July 5, 2007) (Accepted July 30, 2007)

In Egypt the total vegetable-growing area in 2003 was about 464997 Feddan (18%) of the total cultivated area. It reflects the high domestic consumption rate which is one of the highest all over the world. Cucumber-growing area in 2003 was 11881 Feddan (about 3%) of the total vegetablegrowing area with a production rate represented by 88575 Ton (Annual Report-Ministry of Agriculture, 2003). As an important vegetable, cucumber (Cucumis sativus) has been attracted the attention of many scientists. The data concerning mycobiota of cucumber soils is either fragmented or mystery. The aim of this study is to throw some light on the structure, diversity of mycobiota of solarized and unsolarized soils of cucumber growing under green house conditions and role of solarization in the reduction or preventing of cucumber white rot caused by Sclerotinia sclerotiorum.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Soil solarization

Mulching, with 1mm thick polyethylene clear sheets in single layers, was applied to soils moistened by irrigation for the purpose of increasing soil temperature. The mulch (8 m wide x 10 m lengths) was applied manually to plots and remained in place for 6 weeks. The experiments were carried out during summer (July through August) and were repeated twice during the two consecutive seasons 2005 & 2006. Soil temperature was measured daily at the depth of 5 and 10 cm in solarized and unsolarized plots.

#### Sampling:

Soil samples were collected from the upper soil layer (5–10cm deep) from solarized and unsolarized plots. Thirty soil samples (500 g each) were collected from solarized and unsolarized plots (15 samples each). Samples were transferred to the laboratory in tight sterilized polyethylene bags and kept at low temperature until plating.

#### Isolation and identification

Fungi were isolated from subsurface layer (ca. 5-10 cm) by using dilution plate method (Johnson et al 1960) in which six plates was used for isolation/sample. Czapek's agar supplemented with 0.5 % yeast extract (CYA) and potato dextrose agar (PDA), amended with rose bengal (1/15000) and chloramphenicol (50 ppm) was used for primary

isolation. Plates were incubated at 28 °C for 10 days and developing fungi were counted. For maintaining cultures and for proper identification, pure cultures of isolated fungi were grown on standard media such as Vegetable Agar (V8), Oatmeal Agar (OA), Malt Extract Agar (MEA) Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) and Potato Carrot Agar (PCA).

Taxonomic identification by morphology of fungal isolates was mainly based on the following identification keys: **Raper & Thom** (1949), **Pitt** (1980) for *Penicillium*; **Raper & Fennell** (1965) for *Aspergillus*; **Ellis** (1971 and 1976) for dematiaceous hyphomycetes; **Booth** (1971) for *Fusarium*; **Arx** (1981), **Domsch** *et al* (1980) for miscellaneous fungi; **Arx** *et al* (1986) for *Chaetomium*. The systematic arrangement follows the latest system of classification appearing in the 9<sup>th</sup> edition of Anisworth & Bisby's Dictionary of the fungi (Kirk *et al* 2001).

#### **Field experiment**

This experiment has been conducted in naturally infested soil (solarized and unsolarized). Solarized and unsolarized plots have been divided into beds where seeds of cucumber (Hasham cultivar) were planted 50 cm apart from each other. After a growth period of 70 days of sowing, disease incidence was determined.

#### RESULTS

## Microbial characterization of the investigated soils

During this study, a total number of 49 species belong to 30 genera, has been isolated from solarized and unsolarized soils. Taxonomically, isolated species were assigned to eleven families, eight orders, five subclasses, five classes and two phyla. Taxa with uncertain position were distributed among families, orders, subclasses and phyla (Table 1).

While order Eurotiales accommodates the greatest range of species (19 species), the order Pleosporales and Capnodiales accommodated the lowest range (one species each). Family Trichocomaceae had the highest contribution to the mycobiota (19 species out of 49) followed by Mucoraceae & Chaetomiaceae (5 species each) while, the remaining families were represented only by three to one species each.

Phylum	Class	Subclass	Order	Family
Zygomycota	Zygomycetes	Incertae sedis	Mucorales	Mucoraceae Syncephalas- traceae
	Dathudeomy-	Dothidio- mycetadae	Capnodia- les	Mycosphaerel- laceae
	cetes	Pleo- sporomy- cetid <u>ae</u>	Pleospo- rales	Pleosporaceae
	Euronomycetes	Eurotiomy -	Eurotiales	Trichoco- maceae
Ascomycota		cetidae	Ony- genales	Gymnoasca- ceae Onygenaceae
	Sordariomycetes	Hypocreo- mycetidae	Hy- pocreales	Hypocreaceae Nectriaceae Incertae sedis
			Microas- cales	Microascaceae
		Sordario- mycetidae	Sordaria-	Chaetomaceae
	Ascomycetes	Incertae sedis	Incertae sedis	Incertae sedis
Mitosporic Fungi	incertae sedis	Incertae sedis	Incertae sedis	Incertae sedis

# Table 1. Taxonomic position of the isolated taxaaccording to Kirk et al (2001).

# Table 2. Number of isolated species in unmulched and mulched soil plots.

Soil	Unsolarized	Solarized		
Classes	No. of spp. isolated	No. of spp isolated	Total	%
Mitosporic fungi	1	2	2	4 08
Ascomycota	8	10	11	22 44
(teleomorphic)				
Ascomycota	27	19	29	59 20
(anamorphic)*				
Zygomycota	6	4	7	14.28
Total No. of	42	35	49	100 00
species				

Table	3.	Genera	and	species	richness	of	isolated
		fungi.					

Genera	Unsolarized soil No. of species	Solarized soil No. of species	Total No. of species
Absidia	0		1
Acremonium	1	0	1
Acrophialophora	0	1	}
Agonomycete	1	1	ì
Alternaria	1	1	1
Aspergillus	7	7	8
Botryotrichum	1	1	1
Cephaliophora	I	0	1
Chaetomium	4	4	4
Chrysosporium	1	1	í
Circine!la	1	0	1
Cladosporium	I	1	1
Emericella	1	l	1
Eurotium	ł	0	1
Fusarium	3	2	3
Gliocladium	1	I.	I
Gymnascella	0	1	1
Gymnoascus	0	1	1
Humicola	· 1	1	1
Lophotrichus	ł	1	1
Microascus	1	I	I
Mucor	2	1	2
Mycocladus	1	1	ł
Myrothecium	1	U	ŀ
Paecilomyces	1	0	1
Penicillium	5	2	5
Rhizopus	1	0	1
Scopulariopsis	1	2	2
Syncephalastrum	1	0	l
Talaromyces	0	1	1
Trichoderma	l I	ł	)
Total	42	35	49

Number of species isolated was affected by solarization, while new taxa were developed, some remained unaffected, and few others disappeared (Table 2). The genera isolated have been arranged in decreasing order of species richness (Table 3). From the table, the prevailing genera were Aspergillus (10 species including anamorph stages of one Emericella and one Eurotium species; 20.40%), Penicillium (6 species including anamorph stage of one Talaromyces species; 12.24 %). They are followed by Chaetomium and Fusarium by showing a spectrum of 4 and 3 species respectively. The remainders are represented by only by 1 or 2 species. Concerning the total counts of fungi isolated from solarized and unsolarized soils of cucumber plants the counts ranged between 4080-14120 cfu with a mean colony count of 9108.67 cfu/g in solarized soil and 2520-9400 with a mean colony count of 5132.67 cfu/g in unsolarized soil (**Table** 4). The difference between the total counts of solarized and unsolarized soils has been proved to be highly significant.

Table 4. Total counts of isolated fungi (cfu/g) from solarized and unsolarized soils

Counts Treatment	Counts range (cfu/g)*	Mean
Solarized soil	4060-14120	9108.67
Unsolarized soil	2520-9400	5132.67

• cfu/g: colony forming units per gram dry soil

In view of species density, a total number of 49 species were isolated from solarized and unsolarized soils (Table 5). The following species are the most dominant in decreasing order: *Botryotrichum piluliferum* > *Scopulariopsis brevicaulis* > *Aspergillus versicolor* > *Aspergillus terreus* > *Aspergillus flavus* > *Fusarium oxysporum*. Regarding the range of species isolated, unsolarized soils revealed a spectrum of 42 species while solarized soils obtained only 35 species.

According to the frequency values, recorded species have been given in (Table 6) where they are arranged in decreasing order of frequency. Four ecological classes of occurrence are recognized: a high occurrence group (H), include species recorded in50 % or more; moderate occurrence (M), from 25 %-49%; low occurrence (L), from 12%-24 %; and rare occurrence (R), less than 12 %.

#### Impact of solarization on white rot disease

The results histogramed in Figure (1) clearly indicate that solarization, by covering soil with transparent polyethylene sheets for 7 weeks during the hottest summer months, had led to a marked increase in the healthy plants up to 72.5 %. Such a figure is highly significant by comparison to unsolarized soil which revealed only a mean of 20 % healthy plants.

#### DISCUSSION

Soil solarization induces various effects, some of which are considered physical, others are chemical, and still others are biological. All together these changes affect directly or indirectly the mycobiota of the soil especially the soilborne pathogenic ones.

Forty-nine species belonging to thirty genera of filamentous fungi were recorded from solarized and unsolarized soils during the present investigation. A-comycota (anamorphic) accounted for the major part 59.20 %, followed by Ascomycota (teleomorphic) and Zygomycetes where represented by 22.44 % and 14.28 % respectively, while Mitosporic by comparison is less frequent.

Regarding fungal counts, solarized soils held the higher counts, while unsolarized soil held the lowest counts. While solarized soils revealed a mean colony count of 9108.67 efuig, unsolarized plots showed a mean colony counts of 5132.67 efu/g. This result is in line with those reported in Egypt and elsewhere (Gamliel & Stapleton, 1993; Ibrahim, 1999 and Stapleton & De Vay, 1982, 1984)

According to the species density (number of colony forming unit per dry gram soil) the data revealed that solarization effected on the population density of isolated fungi. While the population density of some species increased by solarization e.g. Aspergillus versicolor, A. terreus, Scopulariopsis brevicaulis, Fusarium spp., Emericella nidulans and Penicillium evclopium; others decreased (in comparison with unsolarized soils) like: Botryotrichum piluliferum, Aspergillus flavus, Alternaria alternate and Chrysosporum xerophilum Similar observation on the survival and/or increase of some fungi following solarization has been noticed by some investigators. Increasing in the number of heat-resistant Aspergillus terreus was recorded by Tjamos & Paplomatas (1987 & 1988) and Tjamos et al (1990). Triolo et al (1988) recorded the prevalence of heat-tolerant species belonging to the genera of Aspergillus, Penicillium, Fusarium and Trichoderma.

In addition to species density, species frequency was also used to assure reasonable and fair characterization of the mycobiota of solarized and unsolarized soils. Species frequency calculated as percentage number of cases of isolation of each species regardless of its count. Based on the frequency value, fungal isolates were classified into four ecological groups: High, Moderate, Low, and Rare.

Table 5. Frequency and frequency	classes of isolated fungi from	solarized and unsolarized soils
----------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------

Species	Unsolarized	Solarized	NCI	F.%	FC.
Zygomycota					
Absidia glauca Hagem	0	1	ł	3.3	R
Circinella mucoroides Saito	1	•	1	3.3	R
Mucor circinelloides Tiegh	1		1	3.3	R
M racemosus Fresen	2	3	5	16.7	1
Mucacladus converbilecus (Cohn) LH Mirza	5	8	13	433	M
Rhizopus stolonifer var stolonifer (Ehrenh.) Vuill	Ĩ	•	1	33	R
Syncenhalastrum racemosum Cohn ex L Schröt	1		i	33	R
Ascomycota (teleomorphic)	•			010	
Chaetomium globosum Kunze	6	8	14	46.7	М
Ch. madrasense Natarajan	ĩ	ĭ	2	67	R
Ch nigricolor L M Ames	3	3	6	20.0	I
Ch niluliferum I Daniels	1	Ĩ	ž	67	R
Emericella nidulans (Eidam) Vuill	5		16	53.3	Н
Furotium chevalieri Mangin	3		3	10.0	R
Gymnascella dankaliensis (Castell ) Currah	•	5	5	16.7	1
Gymnascena aanaanensis (eusien.) earran	•	Ĩ	1	33	R
Lophotrichus plumbescens Morin Min & Lidag	3	×		36.7	M
Microuscus cinereus Curzi	1	i i	2	67	R
Talaronwees flavus var flavus (Noster) Stolk & Samson	,	1	1	33	R
Ascomucota (anamorphic)		1	•	5.5	ĸ
Ascomycota (anamorphic)	n		r	67	ρ
Acremonium implicatum (Ginnan & Abbott) Gains	2	1	4 1	67	D
Alternaria alternata (FL) KCISSI.	17	1	27	0.7	K LI
Asperginus Jiavus Link	15	14	27	20.0	r 1 +
A. Jumigutus Fresch.	.1	5	0 0	20.0	L N.f
A niger val. niger filegii.	4	21	31	30.0 73.3	1
A sydowii (Bain & Sart ) Thom & Church	17	41	5	25.5	ц. Т
A sydown (Bann. & Sant.) Thom & Church	4	12	1.9	60.0	1.
A versicalor (Vuill) Turab	12	13	10	82.2	11
A versicolor (vull.) That.	12	15	23	22	н р
Rotryotrichum piluliforum Sace & Marchal	15	13	28	02.2	N
Canhalionhora irregularis Thest	13	13	20	123	11
Chrysosporium xerophilum Link	4	2	7	733	L. 
Cladosportum cladosporioides (Fresen) de Vries	5	.1	5	16.7	1
Fusarum orysporum Schltd	12	10	22	733	11
Fusarium solanı (Mart.) Sacc	0	0	18	60.0	11
Fusarum solum (Mart.) Sace.	1	,	10	2 2	н D
Tusurium sp.	1	•	י ר	5.5 (7	
University transmission Tenner	1	1	2	0.7	K
Almothagium vannagug (Alb. & Schwain) Ditmon	ו ר	I	2	07	K
<i>Myroinecium verrucaria</i> (Alb. & Schwein.) Dumar	2	•	2	0.7	K
Panindlum auranticariaum Diarala	2	•	2	0/	K
P - Immunity and the second Dicicks	2	9	11	20 /	1/1
r chrysogenum var chrysogenum i nom	4	2	0	20.0	I
r roquejoru inom	2	•		67	R
renicultum sp. (1)	2	•	2	67	R
Penicillium sp.(2)	1	•	1	3.3	R
Scopulariopsis brevicaulis (Sacc.) Bainier	12	13	25	83.3	11
S. candida (Guég.) Vulli.	0	3	3	10.0	R
Tricnoaerma pseudokoningit Ritat	4	2	6	20.0	L
Mitosporic lungi					
Acrophiatophora levis Samson & L. Manmood	•	1	1	3.3	ĸ
Agonomycete	1	3	4	13.3	L.

NCI: number of cases of isolation,

F%: frequency percentage,

FC: frequency class

Table 6. Population density (cfu/g) of isolated fungi from solarized and unsolarized soils

Fungal species	Unsolarized	Solarized
Absidia glauca	0	29±8.8
Acremonium implicatum	10±3.0	0
Acrophialophora levis	•	15±3.1
Agonomycete	$2 \pm 0.0$	4±0.5
Alternaria alternata	18:0.0	9±0.8
Aspergillus flavus	161+12.2	78±4.9
Aspergillus fumigatus	$29 \pm 2.0$	0
Aspergillus niger var. niger	16:3.0	10:0.5
Aspergillus ochraceous	19:3.4	21±3.7
Aspergillus sydowii	32:±4.7	27:3.6
Aspergillus terreus	15+3.7	399±61.4
Aspergillus versicolor	222+16.5	637±56.4
Aspergillus wentii	0	92.5
Botryotrichum piluliferum	$755 \pm 52.7$	265+28.0
Cephaliophora irregularis	13±2.3	0
Chaetomium globosum	22±2.1	22+4.6
Chaetomium madrasense	6±4.1	8±1.2
Chaetomium nigricolor	$11 \pm 3.8$	19±4.5
Chaetomium piluliferum	$33 \pm 0.0$	32±1.7
Chrysosporium xerophitum	26±3.1	6±0.0
Circinelia mucoroides	3 = 0.0	•
Cladosporium cladosportoides	7±0.0	6±1.6
Emericella nidulans	2[±1.7	81±8.2
Eurotium chevalieri	$4 \pm 1.0$	0
Fusarium oxysporum	26+0.0	13377.6
Fusarium solani	20+0.6	58:3.8
Fusarium sp.	3=0.0	0
Gliocladium sp.	6::2.0	14:1.1
Gymnascella dankaliensis	e	4:1.0
Gymnoascus sp.	*	18:1.5
Humicola fuscoatra	11±3.5	17±1.7
Lophotrichus plumbescens	$20 \pm 7.6$	51±7.3
Microascus cinereus	$10 \pm 3.0$	33±2.5
Mucor circinelloides	5±1.5	2±0.0
Mucor racemosus	13±2.5	•
Mycocladus corymbiferus	23±1.7	45±4.8
Myrothecium verrucaria	38=11.0	0
Pacedomyces variotii	4±01.3	0
Penicillium aurantiogriseum	22±0.8	80¤2.0
Penicillium chrysogenum var. chrysogenum	23±2.6	<b>8</b> ⊐1.0
Penicillium roqueforti	$2 \pm 0.0$	0
Penicillium sp. (1)	1712.7	• )
Penicillium sp.(2)	3:0.5	0
Rhizopus stolonifer var. stolonifer	3±0.0	0
Scopulariopsis brevicaulis	237±16.5	655+28.3
Scopulariopsis candida	•	13+2.5
Syncephalastrum racemosum	9±0.0	•
Talaromyces flavus var. flavus	•	7±1.2
Trichoderma pseudokoningii	18:2.9	5±0.5



Fig. 1. Disease severity in solarized and unsolarized soils

High frequency group, contained species showing frequency values of 50 % or more. This group contained 8 species among which *Botryotrichum piluliferum*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. versicolor* and *Scopulariopsis brevicaulis* came first by revealing high frequency values of 93.3 %, 90.0 %, 83.3 %, and 83.3 % respectively. Moderate frequency group, consisting of species showing frequency values between 25 % and 49 %. Assigned to this group: *Chaetomium globosum*, *Absidia croymbifera*, *Lophotrichum sp.*, *Penicillium cyclopium*, *Aspergillus niger* 

Low frequency group containing species showing frequency values between 12 % and 24 %. This group consists of 11 species among which species known by having good antagonistic potentiality like *Trichoderma psuedokoningii* and *Chaetomium nigricolor*. Rare frequency group was isolated: accommodates species showing frequency values less than 12 %. This group includes species of heat-tolerant genera such as *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium*, as well as the newly developed taxa after solarization like *Talaromyces*, *Acrophialophora*, and *Gymnoascus*.

Concerning the impact of solarization on the cucumber white rot, our data clearly indicated that this approach, apart from being feasible is more effective. The number of healthy plants significantly increased from 20 % in unsolarized soils up to 72.5 % in solarized soils. A very similar level of increase has also been reported by many investigators in Egypt and elsewhere (Abdel-Rahim et al 1987; El-Shami et al 1990; Sarahan, 1990; Katan, 1980; Greenberger et al 1985; Tamietti et al 1987 and Torres et al 1987). However, by using the same approach very much acceptable results were obtained by several authors (Grinsten et al 1979; Ristaino et al 1991; Stevens et al 1992; Chellemi et al 1994 and Swaminathan et al 1999).

#### REFERENCES

Anynomus (2003). Ministry of Agriculture – Central of Agricultural Economy. Report on Vegetable Production in Egypt. pp. 103 1–131.

Abdel-Rahim, M.F.; M.M. Satour; K.Y. Mickail; S.A. El-Eraki; A. Grinstein; Y. Chen and J. Katan (1988). Effectiveness of soil solarization in furrow-irrigated soils Plant Dis. 72: 143–146.

Arx, J.A. Von. (1981). The genera of Fungi Sporulating in Pure Culture. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. 315 pp. Camer, J. Vaduz, Berlin.

Arx, J.A. Von; J. Guarro and M.J. Figueras (1986). The ascomycete genus *Chaetomium*. Nova Hedwigia Beiheft 14: 1-162.

Booth, C. (1971). The Genus Fusarium. 237 pp. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey, England. Botross, S.E.; E.M. El-Assiuty; M.F. Zeinab and T.M. Abd El-Rahman (2000). Long-term effects of soil solarization on density levels of soilborne fungi and stalk rot incidence in sorghum. Egypt. J. Agric. Res. 78: (2). 275–283.

Chellemi, D.O.; S.M. Olson and D. J. Mitchell (1994). Effects of soil solarization and fumigation on survival of soilborne pathogens of tomato in northern Florida. Plant Dis. 78: 1167–1172.

De Vay, J.E. (1995). Solarization: an environment-friendly technology for pest management. Arab J. Pl. Prot. 13 (2): 97–102.

Domsch, K.H.; W. Gams and T.H. Anderson (1980). Compendium of Soil Fungi. Academic Press Vol. 1, 859 pp. and Vol. 11, 405 pp. Ltd. London.

Ellis, M.B. (1971). Dematiaceous Hyphomycetes. 608 pp. Commonwealth Mycological Institute. Kew, Surrey, England.

Ellis, M.B. (1976). Morc Dematiaceous Hyphomycetes. 507 pp. Commonwealth Mycological Institute. Kew. Surrey, England.

El-Shami, M.A.; D.E. Salem; F.A. Fadl; W.E. Ashour and M.M. El-Zayat (1990). Soil solarization and plant disease management. II- Effects of solarization in comparison with soil fumigation on the management of *Fusarium* wilt in tomato. Agric. Res. Rev. 83: 601-613.

El-Shanawany, A.A.; A.A. El-Ghamery; H.H. El-Sheikh and A.A. Baashandy (2004). Soil solarization and the composition of soil fungal community in Upper Egypt. Assuit Univ. Bull. Environ. Res. 7 (1): 137–151.

El-Zayat, M.M.; W.E. Ashour and A.El-Shami-Mona (1990). Residual effects of soil solarization for management of *Fusarium* wilt of tomato in the Nile Delta. Proceeding of the First International Conference on Soil Solarization. pp. 35. Amman, Jordan.

Gamliel, A. and J. Katan (1991). Involvement of fluorescent pseudomonads and other microorganisms in increased growth response of plant in solarized soils Phytopathology, 81: 494-502.

Gamliel, A. and J.J. Stapleton (1993). Effect of soil amendment with chicken compost or ammonium phosphate and solarization pathogen control, rhizosphere microorganisms, and lettuce growth. Plant Dis. 77(2): 886–891.

Greenberger, A.; A. Yoger and J. Katan (1985). Produced suppressiveness in solarized soils. Phytopathology, 75: 1291 (Abstr.).

Grinstein, A.; D.Orion; A. Greenberger and J. Katan (1979). Solar heating of the soil for the control of *Verticillium dahliae* and *Pratylenchus*  thorner. In: Schippers B. and W. Gams. (eds.) Soilborne Plant Pathogens. pp. 431-438. Academic press, London

Ibrahim, M. (1999). Management of Tomato Fusarial Wilt through Integrated Control. 135 pp. Ph.D. thesis, Faculty of Science, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt.

Johnson, L.F.; E.A. Curl; J.H. Bond and H.A. Fribourg (1960). Method for Studying Soil Microflora-Plant Disease Relationship. 178 pp. Burgess Publishing Co. Minneapolis. USA.

Katan, J. (1980). Solar pasteurization of soil for disease control: Status and prospects. Plant Dis. 64: 450-454.

Kirk, P. M; P. F. Cannon; J. C. David and J. A. Stalpers (eds.) (2001). Ainsworth & Bisby's. Dictionary of the Fungi, 9<sup>th</sup> Edition. CAB International, 198 Madison Avenue, NewYork, USA

Pitt, J.I. (1979). The genus *Penicillium* and its teleomorphic states *Eupenicillium* and *Talaro-myces*, 398 pp. Academic Press, LTD, London.

Pullman, G.S.; J.E. De Vay and R.H. Garber (1981). Soil solarization and thermal death: a logarithmic relationship between time and temperature for four soil-borne plant pathogens. Phytopathology, 71: 959-964.

Raper, K.B. and D.I. Fennell (1965). The Genus *Aspergillus*. 1X+686 pp. Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore.

Raper, K.B. and C. Thom (1949). A Manual of *Penici!lium*. 1X+875 pp. Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore.

**Ristaino, J.B.; K.B. Perry and R.D. Lumsden** (1991). Effect of solarization and *Gliocladium virens* on *sclerotia* of *Sclerotium roffsu*, soil microbiota, and the incidence of southern blight of tomato. Phytopathology, 81: 1117-1124.

Stevens, C.; V.A. Khan; D. Collins; R. Rodriguez-Kabana; O. Ploper; J. Brown and P. Backman (1992). Use of soil solarization to reduce the severity of early blight, southern blight and root-knot in tomatoes (Abstr.) Phytopathology 82: 500.

Sarhan, A.R.T. (1990). Control of *Fusarium solani* in broad bean by solar heating of the soil in

northen Iraq. Proceeding of the First International Conference on Soil Solarization. pp. 108-117. Amman.

Stapleton, J.J. and J.E. De Vay (1982). Effect of soil solarization on populations of selected soilborne microorganisms and growth of deciduous fruit tree seedling. Phytopathology, 72: 323–326. Stapleton, J.J. and J.E. De Vay (1984). Thermal components of soil solarization as related to changes in soil and root microflora and increased plant growth response. Phytopathology, 74: 255 – 259

Stapleton, J.J. and J.E. De Vay (1995). Soil solarization a Natural Mechanism of Integrated pest management. pp. 309–322. In: Novel Approaches to Integrated Pest Management. Reuveni R., ed. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton.

Swaminathan, J.S.; K.L. Melean; J.M. Pay and A. Stewart (1999). Soil solarization: a cultural practice to reduce viability of sclerotia of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in New Zealand soils (Short communication). New Zealand J. Cr. Hort. Sci. 27: 331-335.

Tamietti, C.; R. Valentino and A. Garibaldi (1987). Solar heating in northern Italy to control soilborne pathogens on vegetable crops. Proceeding of the 7<sup>th</sup> Mediterranean Phytopathological Union Sept. 1987. pp. 73–75. Granada (Spain)

Tjamos, E.C. and E.J. Paplomatas (1987). Effect of soil solarization on the survival of fungal antagonists of *Verticillium dahliae*. EPPO Bulletin 17: 645-653.

Tjamos, E.C. and E.J. Paplomatas (1988). Long-term effects of soil solarization in controlling*Verticillium* wilt of globe artichokes in Greece. Plant Pathol. 37: 507-511.

Tjamos, E.C.; D.A. Biris and E.J. Paplomatas (1990). Recovery of olive trees with *Verticillium* wilt following individual application of soil solarization in established olive orchards. Plant Dis. 75: 557–562.

Torres, R.G.; R.M. Jimenez Diaz; J. Gomez Vazques and A.M. Nogales Moncada (1987). Use of solarization in plastic houses to control *Fusarium* wilt of watermelon. Proceeding of the 7<sup>th</sup> Mediterranean Phytopathological Union. Sept. 1987, pp. 58–59. Granada (Spain).

Triolo, E.; G. Vannacci and A. Materazzi (1988). La solarizzazione del terreno in orticoltura. II. Alcine indagini sui possibili meccanismi d' azione. Colt. Prot. 17: 59–63.





### الفلورا الفطرية لتربة نبات الخيار المشمسة والغير مشمسة و دور التشميس في مقاومة عفن الخيار الأبيض تحت ظروف الحقل

[ 2 7 ]

محسن السيد إبراهيم' – أحمد محمد احمد عبد العظيم' ١ - قسم العلوم الجيولوجية والبيولوجية -كلية التربية - جامعة قناة السويس - العريش - مصر ٢- قسم النبات- كليسة العلموم- جامعة قناة السويس- الإسماعيلية- مصم

> يعتبر التشميس عملية غير كيميانية و تتماشى مع المبادئ الرئيسية للمكافحة المتكاملة، فهي بديل كفء للتعقيم الكيمياني. في خلال هذه الدراسة تم عزل ٤٩ العالية على الترمم. نوع فطرى (من التربة المشمسة والغير مشمـسة) وهي تلك المتحملة لدرجة الحرارة العاليـــة وأختفــت اسكليروشيورم.

أخرى. كما أدى التشميس الى زيادة العدد الكلسي لبعض الفطرياتالمحبة للحرارة وأيـضا ذات القـدرة كما أظهـرت النتائــج الكفاءة العاليـــة تنتمي الى ٣٠ جنس موزعة على خمس طوائف. وقد التشميس في الحد ومقاومة مرض العفن الأبيض فسي أثر التشميس على فطريات التربة حيث ظهرت أنواع 🚽 الخيـــار المتــسبب عـــن فطـــر اســكليروتونيا

> تحكيم: ١.د الشحات محمد رمضان ا.د محمد إبراهيم أحمد على