

Dept. of Food Hygiene,  
Animal Health Research Institute-Dokki, Giza.

## **EFFECT OF GAMMA IRRADIATION ON THE MICROBIAL QUALITY OF SOME PRODUCTS OF ANIMAL AND PLANT ORIGIN**

(With 5 Tables)

By

**NASHWA M. HASSAN; WAFAA S. MOHAMED\*  
and ISIS G. ANTOWN**

\* National Center of Radiation Research and Technology-Nasr City,  
Cairo, Egypt.

(Received at 20/6/2007)

**تأثير المعالجة بالإشعاع على الحالة الميكروبيولوجية لبعض المنتجات  
ذات الأصل الحيوانى والنباتى**

**نشوى محمد حسن ، وفاء سيد محمد ، إيزيس جرجس أنطون**

أجريت هذه الدراسة على عدد ٨٠ عينة من منتجات اللحوم المحفوظة بالتجميد تم شرائها من أسواق الجيزة. إشمئلت هذه العينات على عدد ٢٠ عينة من كل من الكفتة البقرى والنباتى وكذلك البيرجر البقرى والنباتى وذلك لفحصها وتقييمها من الناحية الميكروبيولوجية إضافة إلى دراسة تأثير استخدام أشعة جاما بجرعات ٢,٠، ٣,٠، ٣,٥ و ٤,٠ ك جراى على المحتوى الميكروبي لهذه المنتجات. أظهرت النتائج وجود أعداد مرتفعة من البكتيريا الهوائية (١٠ إلى ١٠<sup>٧</sup> خلية/جرام) فى عدد كبير من العينات إضافة إلى تلوث بعض العينات بالميكروبات المرضية مثل المكور العنقودى الذهبى وميكروب الإيشيريشيا كولاي والميكروب المعوى والخمائر والفطريات. أدى تعرض العينات إلى أشعة جاما إلى انخفاض ملحوظ فى الأعداد الكمية للميكروبات التى سبق عزلها بدرجة تتناسب مع مقدار الجرعة المستخدمة. أدى استخدام جرعة إشعاعية مقدارها ٢,٠ ك جراى إلى انخفاض ملحوظ فى أعداد البكتيريا الهوائية كما أدى إلى القضاء تماما على المكور العنقودى الذهبى وميكروب الإيشيريشيا كولاي والميكروب المعوى بينما تم القضاء على الخمائر والفطريات بجرعة إشعاعية مقدارها ٣,٠ ك جراى. أوضحت نتائج هذه الدراسة أنه يمكن استخدام أشعة جاما بجرعة مقدارها ٤,٠ ك جراى لضمان السلامة الصحية لمنتجات اللحوم ذات الأصل الحيوانى والنباتى التى تم حفظها بالتجميد مع عدم حدوث أى تغيرات فى الخواص الطبيعية (اللون-القوام-الرائحة) لهذه المنتجات.

### **SUMMARY**

Eighty packages, ready-to-eat meat, of frozen beef kofta, vegetarian kofta, beef burger and vegetarian burger (20 each) were purchased from retail markets at Giza Governorate. The microbial quality as well as the

effect of gamma irradiation (dose level of 2.0, 3.0, 3.5 and 4.0 kGy) on the microbial population of these products were investigated. High aerobic counts of  $10^6$ - $10^7$ /g were recorded in 50% and 25%, 10% and 20% of beef and vegetarian kofta and burger, respectively. Moreover, some samples were contaminated with some pathogens such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacteriaceae*, Yeast and moulds. Gamma irradiation greatly reduced the microbial density of the studied meat product samples. The microbial reduction was increased as the dose level of irradiation increase, whereas irradiation of meat product samples at 2 kGy dose reduced aerobic counts and inactivated *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacteriaceae*. Moreover, irradiation at 3 kGy was sufficient in inhibiting Yeast and mould growth. The application of gamma irradiation (at a dose of 4 kGy) might to be great importance in increasing the safety and acceptability of frozen meat products of animal and plant origin with no adverse effect on their sensory quality.

*Key words: Irradiation, meat products, plant origin*

## INTRODUCTION

Food borne zoonotic pathogens have emerged as an important public health problems in developed and developing countries (Schlundt, 2001). Bacterial food borne infections are the most common cause of human intestinal diseases (Thorns, 2000).

Meat is an excellent source of particularly all the essential nutrients necessary to establish the microbial growth. Microorganisms play an important role in the quality of meat before, during and after processing by initiating many undesirable biological changes in the meat. Microbial contamination of meat and meat products caused from external sources during bleeding, skinning, deboning, handling and processing as well as from spices and other ingredients commonly used in the processed meat (Schwab *et al.*, 1982; Gracey, 1986; Rodriguez *et al.*, 1991; Little *et al.*, 2003).

High meat prices and technological advance in manufacturing vegetable proteins, such as Soya protein, have resulted in development of meat substitutions. It seems likely that lower cost vegetable proteins will be used as meat extender in combination products containing meat and vegetable proteins (Gassmann and Kroll, 1984).

Treatment of food by ionizing radiation is a technological approach which enhance the hygienic quality of food and contributes to reduce pathogen levels on raw meat and poultry (Thayer *et al.*, 1995),

processed meat (Sommers *et al.*, 2004), cheese (Bougle and Stahl, 1994; Ennhar *et al.*, 1994; Cecchi *et al.*, 1996) and processed fruit and vegetable products (Niemira, 2003; Prakash and Foley, 2004). Moreover, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended food irradiation as a safe and a non thermal effective process to eliminate food borne pathogens and food losses (Käferstein, 1992). An average (10kGy) irradiation dose of food presents neither toxicological hazard nor nutritional or microbiological problems (WHO, 1994; Diehl, 1995).

The present study was, therefore, aimed to evaluate the microbiological aspects of some frozen meat products (Kofta and burger) of animal and plant origin as well as to determine the effect of different dose levels of gamma irradiation on the microbiological and sensory patterns of the aforementioned meat products.

## **MATERIALS and METHODS**

### **Collection of samples:**

Eighty packages, of frozen beef kofta, vegetarian kofta, beef burger and vegetarian burger (20 each) were purchased from retail markets at Giza Governorate. The collected samples were immediately transferred in an ice box to the laboratory for sensory evaluation and microbiological examination. Thence after, the samples were exposed to different doses (2.0, 3.0, 3.5 and 4 kGy) of gamma irradiation and re-examined for sensory and microbiological changes.

### **I- Sensory evaluation:**

The samples were examined for colour, odour and texture by single number of judge's using 9- points hedonic scales as described by FAO/IAEA (1970).

### **II- Microbiological examination:**

#### **Preparation of samples:**

Ten grams of each sample were homogenized with 90 ml of 1% sterile buffered peptone water for 1 minute using stomacher (Lab-blender 400Seward, Serial No. 30469 type BA 7021, London), to provide dilution  $10^{-1}$ , then ten fold decimal serial dilutions up to  $10^{-7}$  were prepared (APHA, 1992).

#### **Enumeration and isolation techniques:**

- 1- Aerobic bacterial count was carried out on a standard plate count agar at 35°C for 48 hours according to Jay (2002).
- 2- Enterobacteriaceae count was determined using the Violet red bile glucose agar medium incubated at 37°C for 24 hours according to APHA (1992).

- 3- Yeast and mould counts were performed on Sabaroud's dextrose agar medium supplemented with chloramphenicol 0.05mg/ml and incubated at 25°C for 5 days as described by Koneman *et al.* (1994).
- 4- *Staphylococcus aureus* count was carried out on Baird Parker agar medium at 37°C for 24-48 hours according to FAO (1992).
- 5- *Escherichia coli* was isolated using Eosin Methylene Blue agar incubated at 37°C for 24 hours (Macfadin, 1980; FAO, 1992).

### III- Irradiation process:

The irradiation process was carried out using the Russian Medical Sterilizing CM-20 Gamma cell located at the National Center for Radiation Research and Technology (NCRRT), Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt. The source was giving a dose rate of 6 kGy/hour at the time of the experiment. Dose levels of 2.0, 3.0, 3.5 and 4.0 kilo Gray (kGy) were used to study the effect of gamma irradiation on the microbial density of the studied frozen meat product samples. Each kGy of gamma irradiation took about 10 minutes of exposure to the source. All irradiated samples were re-examined for sensory and bacteriological changes.

## RESULTS

**Table 1:** Aerobic bacterial counts in the studied frozen meat products.

Meat products	No. of samples	Count cfu/g					
		<10 <sup>6</sup>		10 <sup>6</sup>		10 <sup>7</sup>	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Beef kofta	20	6	30	10	50	4	20
Vegetarian kofta	20	12	60	5	25	3	15
Beef burger	20	17	85	2	10	1	5
Vegetarian burger	20	16	80	4	20	-	-
Total	80	51	64	21	26	8	10

**Table 2:** Yeast and mould counts in the studied frozen meat products.

Meat products	No. of samples	Yeast				Mould			
		No. +ve	% +ve	cfu/g		No. +ve	% +ve	cfu/g	
				<10 <sup>2</sup>	≥10 <sup>2</sup>			<10 <sup>2</sup>	≥10 <sup>2</sup>
Beef kofta	20	14	70	9	5	15	75	6	9
Vegetarian kofta	20	10	50	4	6	12	60	7	5
Beef burger	20	13	65	8	5	14	70	6	8
Vegetarian burger	20	8	40	3	5	10	50	5	5
Total	80	45	56	24	21	51	64	24	25

**Table 3:** Enterobacteriaceae counts in the studied frozen meat products.

Meat products	No. of samples	Count (cfu/g)			
		No. +ve	%	<10 <sup>2</sup>	≥10 <sup>2</sup>
Beef kofta	20	10	50	4	6
Vegetarian kofta	20	7	35	2	5
Beef burger	20	12	60	5	7
Vegetarian burger	20	9	45	3	6
Total	80	38	48	14	24

**Table 4:** Effect of Gamma irradiation on the initial microbial contamination of the studied frozen meat products.

Microorganisms	Dose (KGy)	Beef kofta		Vegetarian kofta		Beef burger		Vegetarian burger	
		Count	Log	Count	Log	Count	Log	Count	Log
APC	0.0	7.5×10 <sup>6</sup>	6.8	8.9×10 <sup>6</sup>	6.9	4.9×10 <sup>5</sup>	5.7	1.8×10 <sup>5</sup>	5.2
Enterobacteriaceae		3.5×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.5	3.9×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.6	2.1×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.3	5.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.7
Yeast and mould		5.6×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.7	7.4×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.9	3.8×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.6	2.6×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.4
<i>S. aureus</i>		4.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.0	2.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.0	1.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.0	3.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.0
<i>E. coli</i>		5.0×10	1.0	3.0×10	1.0	3.0×10	1.0	1.0×10	1.0
APC	2.0	3.0×10 <sup>5</sup>	5.5	4.1×10 <sup>5</sup>	5.6	2.5×10 <sup>4</sup>	4.4	3.0×10 <sup>4</sup>	4.5
Enterobacteriaceae		<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2
Yeast and mould		2.1×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.3	1.2×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.0	2.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.3	1.4×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.1
<i>S. aureus</i>		<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2
<i>E. coli</i>		<10	<1	<10	<1	<10	<1	<10	<1
APC	3.0	1.4×10 <sup>3</sup>	3.1	2.0×10 <sup>3</sup>	3.3	3.0×10 <sup>3</sup>	3.5	1.1×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.0
Enterobacteriaceae		<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2
Yeast and mould		<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2
<i>S. aureus</i>		<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2
<i>E. coli</i>		<10	<1	<10	<1	<10	<1	<10	<1
APC	3.5	2.4×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.3	3.1×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.5	1.8×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.3	1.1×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.0
Enterobacteriaceae		<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2
Yeast and mould		<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2
<i>S. aureus</i>		<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2
<i>E. coli</i>		<10	<1	<10	<1	<10	<1	<10	<1
APC	4.0	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2
Enterobacteriaceae		<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2
Yeast and mould		<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2
<i>S. aureus</i>		<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2	<10 <sup>2</sup>	<2
<i>E. coli</i>		<10	<1	<10	<1	<10	<1	<10	<1

**Table 5:** Sensory evaluation of unirradiated and irradiated frozen meat products.

Meat products	Colour					Texture					Odour				
	Dose/KGy					Dose/KGy					Dose/KGy				
	0.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	4.0
Beef kofta	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.0	1.7	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.7
Vegetarian kofta	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.2	1.6	1.0	1.9	1.4	1.3	1.6	1.2	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.3
Beef burger	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.8	2.0	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.7
Vegetarian burger	1.2	1.3	1.7	1.6	1.9	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.6

0.0 = Control sample (unirradiated samples)

KGy = Kilo Gray

Score system: 1: Extremely liked

7.5: Rejected

9: Extremely disliked

## DISCUSSION

### Microbiological quality of meat products:

Microbiological examination of retail packages of meat product (frozen beef and vegetarian kofta and burger) samples was carried out and their microbial aspects were evaluated.

Table (1) presents a wide variation in the total bacterial counts in the different products and within the same product. Total aerobic bacterial count ranged from  $<10^6$  to  $10^7$  cfu/g in the studied meat product samples. High cfu/g of  $10^6$  to  $>10^7$  were recorded in 50% and 25% of beef and vegetarian kofta, respectively. The respective values were 10% and 20% for beef and vegetarian burger. Meanwhile, a total aerobic bacterial count of  $10^7$  cfu/g was recorded in 20, 15 and 5% of beef kofta, vegetarian kofta, and beef burger samples, respectively. Variations in the total aerobic bacterial counts could be attributed to unhygienic handling, processing, transport and/or storage procedures (Sharma *et al.*, 1996). Moreover, spices and other ingredients commonly used in the processed meat may be implicated in the microbial contamination of meat product samples (Rodriguez *et al.*, 1991; Little *et al.*, 2003). In this respect, Palumbo *et al.* (1979) reported a higher number of bacteria reaching  $1.0 \times 10^8$  cfu/g in the spices commonly used in meat products. In addition, uncontrolled thawing and storage temperature can result in a significant increase in bacterial population of meat product samples (Kosic *et al.*, 1991; Kukay *et al.*, 1996).

Yeasts were found ( $<10^2$  to  $\geq 10^2$  cfu/g) in 70% and 50% of beef and vegetarian kofta and 65% and 40% of beef and vegetarian burger, respectively (Table 2). The corresponding figures for moulds were 75% and 60% for the former and 70% and 50% for the later products, respectively. Contamination of meat products with yeast and moulds could be attributed to bad hygienic conditions during processing, handling, transport and storage (Malin, 1983).

The present results revealed that the higher percentages (60% and 50%) of contaminated meat products with Enterobacteriaceae were detected in beef burger and kofta followed by (45% and 35%) vegetarian burger and kofta (Table 3). Enterobacteriaceae counts of all positive meat product samples were less than  $10^3$  cfu/g. The presence of Enterobacteriaceae in meat products may indicate microbial proliferation, which could allow multiplication of pathogenic and toxigenic microorganisms constituting public health hazard (ICMSF, 1978).

#### **Effect of gamma irradiation on the microbial pattern of meat products:**

The effect of the different dose levels (2.0, 3.0, 3.5 and 4 kGy) of gamma irradiation on the microbial counts of the studied meat product samples were determined (Table 4).

Unirradiated beef and vegetarian samples contained total aerobic bacteria of  $7.5 \times 10^6$  and  $8.9 \times 10^6$  cfu/g, Enterobacteriaceae of  $3.5 \times 10^2$  and  $3.9 \times 10^2$  cfu/g, *Staphylococcus aureus* of  $4.0 \times 10^2$  and  $2.0 \times 10^2$  cfu/g, *Escherichia coli* of  $5.0 \times 10$  and  $3.0 \times 10$  cfu/g, yeast and mould counts of  $5.6 \times 10^2$  and  $7.4 \times 10^2$  cfu/g, respectively. On other hand, unirradiated beef and vegetarian burger samples contained relatively lower aerobic, Enterobacteriaceae, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, yeast and mould counts (Table 4). Gamma irradiation greatly reduced the microbial density of the studied meat product samples. The microbial reduction was increased as the dose level increased, whereas irradiation of meat product samples at 2.0 kGy dose reduced aerobic count by one log cycle reduction, about three, four and more than four log cycle reduction occurred at 3.0, 3.5 and 4.0 kGy, respectively. Similarly, Lefebvre *et al.* (1992) reported a three log reduction in aerobic bacterial count of ground beef irradiated at 2.5 kGy. Results listed in Table (4) revealed that a dose level of 2.0 kGy was sufficient to inactivate the common food borne pathogens including *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* in different meat products (Frakas and Andrassy, 1993; Thayer, 1993; Lee *et al.*, 1995; Salwa *et al.*, 2001; Sommers and Boyed,

2006). Gamma irradiation at a dose level of 2.0 to 3.0 kGy was very effective in inhibiting yeast and moulds growth. The present results are in partial agreement with Mc-Carthy and Damoglou, (1993) who reported that irradiation (1.5-3.0 kGy) had a significant lethal effect on yeast count of fresh sausage. Moreover, Sallam *et al.* (2001) and Salwa *et al.* (2001) reported that irradiation (at a dose level of 2.0 kGy), almost inhibit the few cells of yeast and mould that were present in corned beef and frozen minced meat samples before irradiation. The effect of gamma irradiation (at a dose level of 2.0kGy) on Gram-negative bacteria belonging to Enterobacteriaceae group displayed a similar inhibitory pattern (Table 4). It is well emphathized that Enterobacteriaceae are very sensitive to gamma irradiation (Gibbs and Wilkunson, 1995; Thayer, 1993; Monk *et al.*, 1995).

#### **Sensory evaluation:**

In agreement with the finding reported by (Thayer, 1993; Lagunas-Solar, 1995; Hammad *et al.*, 1998; Niemira *et al.*, 2002; Bari *et al.*, 2005), no obvious difference in colour, texture and odour scores were observed between non-irradiated and irradiated meat product samples exposed to 2.0, 3.0, 3.5 and 4 kGy (Table, 5). Moreover, Clardy *et al.* (2002) and Lamb *et al.* (2002) found that irradiation (<4 kGy) of frozen sandwiches, that included a ready-to-eat meat and cheese products, produced an organoleptically acceptable product. In addition, Chen *et al.* (2004) found that irradiation of frankfurters (3.5 kGy) did not adversely affect their sensory quality.

Freezing of meat products for preservation will also preserve certain pathogenic organisms in a dormant state but when conditions are made favorable for their growth, they will create a hazard problem. Therefore, the performance of irradiation (at a dose level of 4.0 KGy) might be of great importance in increasing the safety and acceptability of frozen meat products of plant and animal origin with no adverse effect on their sensory quality.

It could be concluded that the application of gamma irradiation, at a dose of 4 kGy, is efficient as a mean of eliminating the contaminating and pathogenic bacteria, moulds and yeasts infecting ready to eat beef and vegetarian burger and kofta as well as improving the sensory quality of these products.



## REFERENCES

- APHA (*American Public Health Association*) (1992): Compendium of Methods for the Microbiological Examination of Foods. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. APHA technical committee on microbiological methods for food. Washington. DC. USA.
- Bari, M.; Nakauma, M.; Todoriki, S.; Juneja, V.; Isshiki, K. and Kawamoto, S. (2005): Effectiveness of irradiation treatments in inactivating *Listeria monocytogenes* on fresh vegetables at refrigeration temperature. J. Food Protect., 68: 318.
- Bougle, D.L. and Stahl, V. (1994): Survival of *Listeria monocytogenes* after irradiation treatment of camembert cheeses made from raw milk. J. Food Protect. 57: 811.
- Cecchi, L.; Brasca, M.; Lodi, R.; Batteli, G.; Nicosia, P. and Soldini, G. (1996): Irradiation of dairy products. Sensitivity of different microorganisms. Latte, 8: 84.
- Chen, C.; Sebranek, J.; Dickson, J. and Mendonca, A. (2004): Combing pediocin with postpackaging irradiation for control *Listeria monocytogenes* on frankfurters. J. Food Protect., 67: 1866.
- Clardy, S.; Foley, D.; Caporaso, F.; Caliccha, M. and Prakash, A. (2002): Effect of gamma irradiation on *Listeria monocytogenes* in frozen, artificially contaminated sandwiches. J. Food Protect. 65: 1740.
- Diehl, J.F. (1995): Safety of Irradiated Food. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Marcel Dekker, New York.
- El-Magoli Salwa, B.M.; El-Mongy, T.M. and Salamm, Y.I. (2001): Effect of gamma irradiation on the microbiological quality of some Egyptian meat products. Egypt. J. Rad.Sci. Applic., 14: 103.
- Ennhar, S.; Kuntz, F.; Strasser, A.; Bergaentzle, M.; Hasselman, C. and Stahl, V. (1994): Elimination of *Listeria monocytogenes* in soft and red smear cheeses by irradiation with low energy electrons. J. Food Sci. Technol., 29: 395.
- FAO (1992): Meat and meat products in human nutrition developed countries. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation. Rome. Food and Nutri. Paper, 53: 43.
- FAO/IAEA (1970): Training Manual on Irradiation Technology and Techniques. Technical Report Series. No. 114, Vienna.
- Frakas, J. and Andrassy, E. (1993): Interaction of ionizing radiation and acidulants on the growth of the microflora of a vacuum packaged chilled meat product. J. Food. Microbiol., 19: 145.

- Gassmann, B. and Kroll (1984)*: Reasons, basic and limits for the replacement of meat by vegetable protein products. *Ernahrungsforschung Wissenschaft und Praxis*, 29: 67.
- Gibbs, P.A. and Wilkinson, V.M. (1985)*: Feasibility of detecting irradiation food by reference to endogenous microflora. A Literature Review. *Sci and Tech. Survey*, No. 149. Leatherhead Food R. A.
- Gracey, J.F. (1986)*: *Meat Hygiene*. 8<sup>th</sup> Ed. English Language Book Society, Bailliere Tindall.
- Hammad, A.A.; El-Mongy, T.M. and Mabrouk, A.K. (1998)*: Shelf-life extension and improvement of the microbiological quality of fresh sausage with irradiation. 4<sup>th</sup> Arabic Conference on the Peaceful uses of Atomic Energy.
- ICMSF (1978)*: *Microorganisms in Food*. Vol. 1, their significance and methods of enumeration, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Univ. of Toronto Press, Toronto and Buffalo, Canada.
- Jay, J.M. (2002)*: A review of aerobic and psychotrophic plate count procedures for fresh meat and poultry products. *J. Food Protect.*, 65: 1200.
- Käferstein, F.K. (1992)*: Food irradiation. The position of the World Health Organization. In XXXVI General Conference of the IAEA Scientific Session, *Food Irradiation Newslet.*, 17: 16-19, International Energy Agency, Vienna.
- Koneman, E.; Allen, S.; Janda, M.; Schreckenberger, P. and Winn, W. (1994)*: *Diagnostic Microbiology*. 6<sup>th</sup> Ed. JB Lippincott, Philadelphia.
- Kosic, M.; Ivanovic, D.; Oljacic, E.; Jovelic, V. and Timcenko- Kostic, L. (1991)*: The influence of raw material on bacteriological contamination of hamburger. *Nauka-U-Praksi*, 21: 377.
- Kukay, C.; Halcomb, L.; Sofos, J.; Morgan, J.; Tatum, J.; Clayton, R. and Smith, G. (1996)*: Application of HACCP by small scale and medium scale meat processors. *Dairy Food and Environ. Sanit.*, 16: 74.
- Lagunas-Solar, M.C. (1995)*: Irradiation processing of foods in overview of scientific principles and current status. *J. Food Protect.* 58: 168.
- Lamb, J.; Gogley, J.; Thompson, M.; Soli, J. and Sumit, S. (2002)*: Effect of low dose of gamma irradiation on *Staphylococcus aureus* and product packaging in ready-to-eat ham and cheese sandwiches. *J. Food Protect.*, 65: 1800.

- Lee, M.S.; Sebranek, J.G.; Olson, D.G. and Dickson, J.S. (1995):* Irradiation and packaging of fresh meat and poultry. *J. Food Protect.*, 59: 62.
- Lefebvre, N.; Thibault, C. and Charbonneau, R. (1992):* Improvement of shelf life and wholesomeness of ground beef by irradiation. *Microbiol. Aspects. Meat Sci.*, 32: 203.
- Little, C.L.; Omotoye, R. and Mitchell, R.T. (2003):* The microbiological quality of ready-to-eat food with added spices. *J. Environ. Health Res.*, 13: 31.
- Macfadin, J. (1980):* Biochemical test for identification of medical bacteria. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Williams and Wilkins.
- Malin, G. (1983):* A study of microbial quality of vacuum packaged sliced bologna. *J. Food Protect.*, 41: 811.
- McCarthy, J.A. and Damoglou, A.P. (1993):* The effect of low dose gamma irradiation on the yeasts of British fresh sausage. *Food Microbiol.*, 10: 439.
- Monk, J.D.; Deuchat, I.R. and Doyle, M.P. (1995):* Irradiation inactivation of food borne microorganisms. *J. Food Protect.*, 58: 197.
- Niemira, B.A. (2003):* Irradiation of minimally processed fruits vegetable and huices. *Microbiological safety of minimally processed food.* CRC Press, Boca, Raton, Fl., 279.
- Niemira, B.A.; Fan, X. and Sommers, C.H. (2002):* Irradiation temperature influences product quality of frozen vegetables and radiation sensitivity of inoculated *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J. Food Protect.* 65: 1406.
- Palumbo, S.A.; Kissinger, J.C.; Miller, A.J.; Smith, T.I. and Zaiki, I.I. (1979):* Microbiology and composition of sausages. *J. Food Protect.* 42: 211.
- Prakash, A. and Foley, D. (2004):* Improving safety and extending shelf-life of fresh-cut fruits and vegetable using irradiation. American Chemical Society. Washington. DC. 90.
- Rodriguez, M.; Alvarez, M. and Zayas, M. (1991):* Microbiological quality of spices consumed in Cuba. *Rev. Latinom Microbiol.*, 33: 149.
- Sallam, Y.I.; El-Magoli Salwa, B.M. and El-Mongy, T.M. (2001):* Irradiation of refrigerated corned beef for shelf-life extention. *Egypt. J. Rad. Sci. Applic.*, 14: 79.
- Schlundt, J. (2001):* Emerging food borne pathogens. *Biomed. Environ. Sci.*, 4: 44.

- Schwab, A.H.; Harpestad, A.D.; Swartzentruber, A.; Lanier, J.M.; Wentz, B.A.; Duran, A.P.; Barnard, R.J. and Read, R.B. (1982): Microbiological quality of some spices and herbs in retail markets. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 44: 627.
- Sharma, D.; Sharma, V.D. and Kumar, A. (1996): Microbial quality of commercial pork products. *Indian J. of Animal Sci.*, 66: 211.
- Sommers, C.H. and Boyd, G. (2006): Variation in the radiation sensitivity of food borne pathogens associated with complex ready-to-eat food products. *Rad. Phys. And Chem.*, 75: 773.
- Sommers, C.H.; Keser, N.; Fan, X.; Wallace, F.M.; Handel, A.P.; Novak, J.S. and Niemira, B.A. (2004): Irradiation of ready-to-eat meats. Elimination *Listeria monocytogenes* while maintaining product quality. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 77.
- Thayer, D.W. (1993): Extending shelf-life of poultry and read meat by irradiation processing. *J. Food Protect.*, 56: 831.
- Thayer, D.W.; Boyd, G.; Fox, J.B.; Lakrtiz, J.I. and Hampson, J.W. (1995): Variation in radiation sensitivity of food borne pathogens associated with the suspending meat. *J. Food Sci.*, 60: 63.
- Thorns, C.T. (2000): Bacterial food borne zoonoses. *Rev. Sci. Tech.*, 19: 266.
- WHO (1994): Safety of Nutritional Adequacy of Irradiated Food. WHO, Geneva.