# Host-Parasitoid Relationship Between the Parasitoid, *Anagyrus kamali* Mourse (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) and the Pink Hibiscus Mealybug, *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* (Green), (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae)

Ahmed\*, S. A.; A. A. El-Saedi\*\*; H. M. Ahmed\*\* and A. M. Sayed\*\*\*

\*Faculty of Environ. Agric. Sci., Suez Canal Univ., El-Arish, Egypt

\*\*Faculty of Agric.., El Azahr Univ., Cairo, Egypt

\*\*\* Plant Protection Research Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt

(Received: October 7 and Accepted: October 30, 2007)

#### **ABSRATCT**

The encyrtid parasitoid, Anagyrus kamali Mourse (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) females parasitizes all stages of the pink hibiscus mealybug (PHM), Maconellicoccus hirsutus (Green), (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae). Mean number of hosts parasitized, total number of eggs laid and average number of deposited eggs/ adult female and third nymphal instar of PHM differed significantly than all other stages. Thus, A. kamali prefers older nymphal instars and seemed to be more efficient on adult females. Duration periods and number of the emerged parasitoids from the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> nymphal instars were more than that from 3<sup>rd</sup> nymphal instar and adult females. Developmental periods of 3<sup>rd</sup> nymphal instar and adult females were 8.1 days faster than that of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> nymphal instars. Time spent for oviposition significantly decreased with increasing host age. In contrast was the time spent for preening. Also, the results strongly suggest that the A. kamali has perfect discrimination capabilities. Studied females showed a reproductive period of 11.9±1.46 days. The mean total number of eggs was 98.4±8.64 eggs/female. Daily number of eggs/female laid was 8.5±0.41 eggs. Longevity of unmated females was generally longer than that of the mated females.

Key Words: Maconellicoccus hirsutus, Anagyrus kamali, Searching behavior, Reproductive capacity,
Longevity

## INTRODUCTION

Maconellicoccus hirsutus (Green) (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) commonly named the hibiscus or pink mealybug (PHM), has become a major pest on several crops. It injects a toxin at the site of feeding, causing severe distortion of leaves, new shoots, and fruits (Williams 1996). M. hirsutus was the most injurious mealybug species occurring in Egypt, following its introduction to Egypt about 1908, presumably from India, and by 1926 it was generally distributed all over the country (Mousa et al., 2001).

Because of its wide host range and rapid geographical expansion, not only to agricultural lands but also to home gardens and forest areas, biological control should be an important tactic to mange the hibiscus mealybug populations.

The parasitoid, *Anagyrus kamali* Moursi (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) is a solitary endoparasitoid, prefers the host hibiscus mealybug (Moursi, 1948a) and attacks; *Nipaecoccus viridis* (Meyerdirk *et al.*, 1988) and *Ferisia virgata* (Cross and Noyes, 1996). In Egypt, *A. kamali* was recorded as one of 8 primary parasitoids attacking PHM on *Hibiscus* plants (Mousa *et al.*, 2001). Few studies have been published on the behavior of the encyrtid parasitoid, *A. kamali*.

Understanding parasitoid-host interactions is

useful not only for mass production of this parasitoid, but also for optimization the timing of field releases. In nature, parasitoid frequently encounters mixed populations of mealybugs and must have evolved an array of behavioral, ecological, and physiological adaptations to discriminate among susceptible and preferred host stages (Boavida *et al.*, 1995 and Bokonon Ganta *et al.*, 1995). Hence, it might be important for implementation in biological and integrated control programs.

Objectives of the present study were determination of susceptible mealybug's stages for parasitization with *A. kamali*, host preference and preferred host stages for oviposition, development and mass production.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Maconellicoccus hirsutus culture

PHM was reared on sprouted potatoes in aluminium cheesecloth cages ( $60x 60 \times 100$  cm.) away from any pesticides contamination or insect infestation. Culture of PHM was kept under the controlled greenhouse conditions at  $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and  $65\pm 5$  % R.H. Three weeks after infestation, the potatoes had PHM individuals; consisted mainly of  $1^{st}$  nymphal instar (NI) (6-8 days),  $2^{nd}$  NI (10-12 days),  $3^{rd}$  NI (15-20 days) and adult females. This procedure minimized quality differences due to the

instar size and guaranteed that all hosts of each particular instar were of approximately have the same length.

# Anagyrus kamali culture

A. kamali was obtained from samples collected from different areas infested with PHM in Ismailia Governorate and was reared on sprouted potatoes as outlined by Fisher (1963). The culture was kept in cages (60x60x100 cm.) covered with cheese cloth away from pesticides contamination under the laboratory conditions of 26°C ± 2 and 65±5 % R.H... and 12 hrs daily illuminations by using fluorescent tubes of 40 watt. Adult females of the parasitoid were released weekly into the cages containing infested sprouted potatoes supporting 3-week old individuals of PHM. Two-day old mated females of the parasitoid were used for experiments. Parasitoid rearing was maintained at 25 ± 2°C and 65±5 % R.H. and a photoperiod of LD 12:12 using fluorescent tubes of 40 watt. Emerged parasitoid adults were collected after 20-25 days.

# Searching behavior of A. kamali

Choice and non-choice experiments were carried out in the morning to avoid possible differences in foraging behavior arising from the wasps' daily rhythm. Before each observation, Petri dishes (9 cm diameters) were left upside down for 24 h to allow settlement of PHM and to avoid excessive accumulation of honeydew on the leaf. The Petri dish lid was replaced by a clean one and a diagram identifying each mealybug and its location on the leaf was made to facilitate observations on frequency of host encounter and stinging. Under artificial light of a stereomicroscope started with introduction of one female parasitoid into the arena. Each parasitoid was continuously observed for 30 min, and the duration of each type of behavior was recorded. Observations on the parasitoids spent more than 10 consecutive min. walking on the lid were discontinued. For each sting, any defensive reaction by the host was observed, and stung hosts were not removed.

# 1. Host stage susceptibility (No-choice experiment)

Host stages of PHM were transferred from the culture to hibiscus leaf, (*Hibiscus rosasinensis*), placed into a 9-cm diameter glass petri dish on the top of a moistened cotton bottom. Its edges were sealed with cellotape to prevent the insects from crawling underneath and thus escaping observation. In this experiment, a single parasitoid female was introduced into each dish. Ten PHM at the same stage were offered to the parasitoid. Ten batches of each mealybug stage were exposed to individual

parasitoid females (total of 40 parasitoid tested). Adult parasitoids were removed. Each host was immediately dissected in a drop of saline solution (Ringer's solution). Number of encounters, ovipositor probing, hosts parasitized by each A. kamali female, total number of eggs laid per parasitoid female and numbers of parasitoid eggs per accepted host were used as the criteria for determining host susceptibility.

## 2. Host stage preference (Choice experiment)

A simultaneously exposed five hosts from two different PHM stages on a hibiscus leaf was placed into a 9-cm diameter glass Petri dish. The following combinations of mealybug stages were used in the two-choice-tests: L1 vs L2, L1 vs L3, La vs adults. L2 vs L3, L2 vs adults, and L3 vs adults. One parasitoid female was introduced into each dish. Each host was immediately dissected in a drop of saline solution (Ringer's solution). The number of eggs in each host was recorded. Ten parasitoid females were used for each combination (total of 60 parasitoids tested). Number of encounters, probing, and hosts parasitized per female, total number of parasitoid eggs laid per replicate and numbers of parasitoid eggs per accepted host were used as the criteria for determining host preference. Host discrimination was analyzed by comparing the number of attacks resulting from encounters with hosts not parasitized before with the one resulting encounters with hosts that had been parasitized. In the previous experiments after dissections, PHM were mounted on slides and examined under a microscope to ensure that all eggs were counted. Also, encounter rates were calculated for each instar by dividing the number of individuals observed in each replicate by the total visits of the wasp during searching in the replicate, multiplied by 100. Also, various parasitoid behaviors as well as developmental times and adult life span were used for analysis of variances. Time allocated by each female wasp to different behaviors was calculated by treatment as a mean of the time per replicate. Means were separated at the 5% probability level with the least significant difference (LSD) test.

#### 3. Host stage suitability

Twenty PHM, at the same stage were transferred onto a sprouted potato and placed into a glass jars (8 cm diameter covered with nylon mesh). Two adult female parasitoids were introduced into the jar for a period of 24 h. The glass jars were kept at  $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and  $65\pm5$ % R.H. The mealybugs were observed on a daily basis to record parasitoid emergence. Parasitoids were collected and sexed. The criteria used to determine host suitability were the number

of emerged parasitoids per replicate, the sex ratio (number of males divided by the total progeny number) and the durations of development.

Parasitoids were collected and each one was transferred to a plastic tube (1cm diameter). The tubes were checked daily. Drop honeybees were placed inside them until adults' death. The adult life span was recorded.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Host stage susceptibility

Obtained results are given in Tables (1 and 2). In the no-choice experiment, encounter (examining, antennation and attacking the PHM), stinging and ovipositional rates were calculated for each nymphal instar (NI). The encountered and ovipositional values were highest (57.53 and 32.70) for PHM, respectively. The values were 8.12, 5.43 and 3.09 for the 1<sup>st</sup> NI, 24.30, 19.0 and 12.67 for the 2<sup>nd</sup> NI and 45.47, 44.53 and 30.46 for the 3<sup>rd</sup> NI, respectively.

No differences were found between 3<sup>rd</sup> NI and adult female in the number of examining, stinging and ovipositing. Also, significant differences were found between the mean numbers of examining, stinging and ovipositing in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> NIs. However, fewer eggs were laid in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> NIs.

It was observed that, all the four PHM stages were parasitized by A. kamali (Table 2). Adult female and 3<sup>rd</sup> NI showed significant differences than all other stages. The mean no, of hosts parasitized by adult female and 3<sup>rd</sup> NI was not significantly different from each other, with 7.7 and 7.5 hosts parasitized, respectively. The 1<sup>st</sup> NI was the least parasitized stage 1.4 %.

The total number of eggs laid was higher in adult female (15.4 $\pm$  1.11), followed by 3<sup>rd</sup> NI 13.2 $\pm$  1.16, 2<sup>nd</sup> NI 7.1 $\pm$  0.71 and then the 1<sup>st</sup> NI 1.6 $\pm$  0.40. In the 1<sup>st</sup> NI of PHM, the average number of eggs/female deposited was 1.12 $\pm$  0.13 eggs per host. This was significantly fewer than that laid in the 2<sup>nd</sup> NI (1.49  $\pm$  0.09), the 3<sup>rd</sup> NI 1.78  $\pm$  0.08) and the adult female (2.02 $\pm$  0.10).

# Host stage preference

In the choice experiment, the 1<sup>st</sup> NI of PHM encountered, stung and oviposited by the parasitoid, A. kamali was significantly less often than other NIs and adult female stage offered simultaneously. In contrast, adult female was significantly high in encountering, stung and oviposition than the other NIs (Table 3 and 4). It was noted that the wasp encountered larger mealybugs instar significantly

more often than smaller ones. The reproductive success on the first NI host was significantly low (Table, 3). When offered a choice between two stages of PHM, parasitoid females showed significant preference for 3<sup>rd</sup> NI and the adult female compared to the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> NIs (Table 4). First NI was the least preferred.

The total number of deposited eggs in adult female was significantly higher than that in the 3<sup>rd</sup> NI, which was preferred than the 2<sup>nd</sup> NI. The 1<sup>st</sup> NI was the least preferred stage in terms of total number of eggs laid. Based on the number of deposited eggs per parasitized host, preference to 3<sup>rd</sup> NI and adult female were not significantly different and those stages had the highest number of eggs per parasitized host.

Table (1): Percentages of the indicated pink hibiscus mealybug (PHM) stages in oviposition sequence by A. kamali female

| PHM stages         | Encounter | Stinging | Oviposition |
|--------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Adult female       | 57.53a    | 40.51a   | 32.70a      |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> NI | 45.47a    | 44.53a   | 30.46a      |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> NI | 24.30b    | 19.00b   | 12.67b      |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> NI | 8.12c     | 5.43c    | 3.09c       |

Within columns, pairs of means followed by the same letters are not significantly different.

Table (2): Oviposition of *A. kamali* on pink hibiscus

| <del>_</del>       | Mean no.                  | Mean no.      | Mean no.                  |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| PHM stages         | hosts                     | parasitoid    | parasitoid egg/           |
| rnivi stages       | parasitized               | eggs          | parasitized host          |
|                    | $(X \pm S.E.)$            | $(X\pm S.E.)$ | (X± S.E.)_                |
| Adult female       | $7.7 \pm 0.52$ a          | 15.4±1.11 a   | $2.02 \pm 0.10 \text{ a}$ |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> NI | $7.5 \pm 0.65$ a          | 13.2±1.16 a   | $1.78 \pm 0.08$ a         |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> NI | $4.7 \pm 0.40 \text{ b}$  | 7.1 ±0.71 b   | $1.49 \pm 0.09  b$        |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> NI | $1.4 \pm 0.22 \mathrm{c}$ | 1.6 ±0.40 c   | $1.12 \pm 0.13$ c         |
| Within colum       | ns, pairs of r            | neans follow  | ed by the sam             |
| etters are not     | significantly d           | lifferent.    |                           |

Table (3): Percentage of PHM stages (A preferred over B) by a wasp female, A. kamali

|                    | IM stages<br>nation offered | inter<br>A     | ing<br>A        | ition                 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Stage (A)          | Stage (B)                   | Encoul<br>% of | Stinging % of A | Oviposition<br>% of A |
|                    | 2 <sup>nd</sup> NI          | 12.83          | 20.48           | 46.42                 |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> NI | 3 <sup>rd</sup> NI          | 5.36           | 13.12           | 31.55                 |
| al engl            | Adult female                | 8.25           | 22.34           | 24.79                 |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> NI | 3 <sup>rd</sup> NI          | 18.19          | 30.75           | 49.42                 |
| ∠ INI              | Adult female                | 32.96          | 38.41           | 39.85                 |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> NI | Adult female                | 73,15          | 77.01           | 65,54                 |

Table (4): Oviposition of the parasitoid, A. kamali offered a combination of two stages of PHM, M. hirsutus

| PHM stage | es combination offered | Mean no. host            | s parasitized    | Mean no. parasitoid e       | eggs per parasitized host |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Stage A   | Stage B                | Stage A                  | Stage B          | Stage A                     | Stage B                   |
|           | 2nd NI                 | $0.7 \pm 0.15 \text{ b}$ | $3.3 \pm 0.30 a$ | $0.40 \pm 0.16 \mathrm{b}$  | $1.18 \pm 0.13$ a         |
| 1st NI    | 3rd NI                 | $0.5 \pm 0.17 \text{ b}$ | $4.1 \pm 0.41$ a | $0.30 \pm 0.21 \text{ b}$   | $1.65 \pm 0.13$ a         |
|           | Adult female           | $0.5 \pm 0.17 \text{ b}$ | $4.4 \pm 0.34$ a | $0.40 \pm 0.22  \mathrm{b}$ | $1.69 \pm 0.14$ a         |
| 2nd NI    | 3rd NI                 | $1.4 \pm 0.22 \text{ b}$ | $3.4 \pm 0.27 a$ | $0.75 \pm 0.23 \text{ b}$   | $1.71 \pm 0.14$ a         |
|           | Adult female           | $1.9 \pm 0.23 \text{ b}$ | $4.2 \pm 0.20 a$ | $1.28 \pm 0.18 \mathrm{b}$  | $1.76 \pm 0.10$ a         |
| 3rd NI -  | Adult female           | $3.6 \pm 0.31 a$         | $4.1 \pm 0.28 a$ | $1.46 \pm 0.13$ a           | $1.85 \pm 0.2 a$          |

Within rows, pairs of means followed by the same letters are not significantly different.

Table (5): Percentage of parasitized and unparasitized mealybug examined by A. kamali on PHM, M. hirsutus stages

| PHM          | Encountered   |             | Stu           | Stung       |               | Accepted    |  |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| Stages       | Unparasitized | Parasitized | Unparasitized | Parasitized | Unparasitized | Parasitized |  |
| 1st NI       | 63.0          | 70.4        | 37.0          | 29.6        | 30.9          | 11.9        |  |
| 2nd NI       | 56.2          | 62.7        | 43.8          | 37.3        | 39.8          | 18.1        |  |
| 3rd NI       | 54.1          | 66.7        | 45.9          | 42.6        | 57.4          | 41.2        |  |
| Adult female | 53.6          | 71.2        | 46.4          | 46.4        | 64.9          | 33.2        |  |

In summary, the results showed that A. kamali females may oviposit in all NIs of the PHM, but the I<sup>st</sup> NI was the least encountered, stung and oviposited. Thus, A. kamali prefers older NIs and it seemed to be more efficient on adult females.

In contrast, Nechols and Kikuchi (1985) observed that the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> NIs of *N. viridis* were completely ignored by *A. indicus* in choice experiments when exposed together with the 3<sup>rd</sup> NI and adult females. However, in *A. kamali*, low rate of parasitism was recorded in 1<sup>st</sup> NI that might be due to the parasitoid's ovipositor remained stuck within the host. Also, Arai and Mishiro (2004) observed that the parasitism of *Anagyrus subalbipes* Ishii on the 3<sup>rd</sup> NI and adult females of *Pseudococcus cryptus* was lower than on the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> NIs. Therefore, the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> NIs were considered more suitable host for the parasitoid.

#### Host discrimination

A. kamali stings occurred more often in unparasitized hosts than in those already parasitized during previously encountered (Table 5).

There was no significant difference in the number of encountering and stinging unparasitized and parasitized host instars, but the total number of encounters in the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> nymphal instars and adult female parasitized was significantly more than in the unparasitized. However, the total number of encounters was higher in parasitized host instars than that in unparasitized host instars.

Percentages of accepted unparasitized host instars were 30.9, 39.8, 57.4 and 64.9 % in 1st, 2nd, 3rd nymphal instars and adult female, respectively. While, they were 11.9, 18.1, 41.2 and 33.2 % in 1st, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> nymphal instars and adult female of previously parasitized host, respectively. It seemed therefore that A. kamali discriminates its host after some short attempts of ovipositor insertion, although the number of stings without oviposition lasted much time as efficient oviposition. discrimination was perfect and restraint (avoidance of superparasitism) was remarkable, in case of host scarcity, this restraint was broken down and supparasitism occurred. Under forced conditions up to 4 eggs were found in one host, all of them were laid by the same female parasitoid. As it is known that Anagyrus spp. are solitary endoparasitoids that means only one individual/host individual is developed.

Several factors can lead to superparasitism; gene selection, inexperienced females, high parasitoid/host densities ratio (Van Alphen and Visser 1990) and encapsulation (Sagarra et al., 2000). Moreover, superparasitism can also be due to the physiological need for oviposition linked to the pressure of the mature eggs in ovarioles (Van Baaren and Nenon 1994). In the case of A. kamali when competing with Gyranusoida indica, the number of encounters and stinging unparasitized host stages were greater than parasitized one. The female did not lay eggs in parasitized host, but it laid eggs in all the unparasitized host stages (William et

al., 2006), this strongly suggests that the A. kamali has a perfect discrimination capabilities. Discrimination is based on several stimuli which act either simultaneously or successively. The rejection of parasitoid host instars was noticed either after antennal contact, as a result of the defense behavior of the parasitoid host, or after the insertion of the ovipositor. Host discrimination has been found in other encyrtids attacking mealybugs, as Leptomastix dactylopi (DeJong and Van Alphen, 1989), Gyranusoida tebygi (Boavida et al., 1995) and A. mangicola (Bokonon-Ganta et al., 1995).

## Host stage suitability

A. kamali developed and emerged successfully from all PHM stages. Parasitoid emergence was significantly higher (46.5 %) from the 1<sup>st</sup> NI, (40 %) the 2<sup>nd</sup> NI, (31.5 %) the 3<sup>rd</sup> NI and (37.5 %) the adult females (Table 6). Sex ratio (0.93 and 0.79) for 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> nymphal instars showed a very high proportion of males, whereas it was 0.57 and 0.49 for 3<sup>rd</sup> nymphal instar and adult females, respectively.

The mean total duration time of the parasitoid (from egg to adult emergence) on its host was greater when oviposition occurred in the 1st and 2<sup>nd</sup> nymphal instars and males developed and emerged faster than females (Table 6). The males emerged from egg oviposited in 1<sup>st</sup> nymphal instar lasted 25.3±1.67 days to complete development, whereas females developed 27.4±1.63 days. For the eggs laid in 2<sup>nd</sup> nymphal instar, the males lasted 24.1±0.80 days to complete their developed, whereas females developed in 28.2±1.09 days.

The number of parasitoids emerged from the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> nymphal instars was 1.5 times greater than the number emerged from the 3<sup>rd</sup> NI and the adult females. Emergence in *A. indicus* from 3<sup>rd</sup> nymphal instar and adult female was consistently the greatest

(Nechols and Kikuchi, 1985). However, Sagarra et al., 2000, mentioned that occurrence of encapsulation for A. kamali may explain the differences observed in the total number of adult parasitoids emerged from 3<sup>rd</sup> nymphal instar and adult females compared to that emerged from 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> nymphal instars, that is due to the eggs deposited in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> nymphal instars which were rarely encapsulated by its host.

The sex ratios were in agreement with those observed with other *Anagyrus* species; *A.indicus* (Nechols and Kikuchi, 1985), *A. mangicola* (Bokonon-Ganta *et al.*, 1995) and in contrast with *Anagyrus* sp. nov. nr. *Sinope* (Chong and Oetting, 2007). Sex ratio of the progeny emerged from 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> nymphal instars led to mainly males. This could be a problem in mass production of *A. kamali*, which males' impact poorly on the reproductive capacity of the population.

Developmental periods of males and females, emerged from 3<sup>rd</sup> nymphal instar and adult females were not significantly different. Developmental time of 3<sup>rd</sup> nymphal instar and adult females was 8.1 days faster than that of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> nymphal instars. Similar results were reported in previous investigations; *A. kamali* (Serrano and Lapointe, 2002), *A. indicus* (Nechols and Kikuchi, 1985) and *A. mangicola* (Bokonon-Ganta *et al.*, 1995) who stated that developmental time was affected by the host stage.

# Time budget

The time allocated to different activities during an approximately 30-min observation in the nochoice experiment is given in Table (7). Handling time was measured by antennal contact with a host, until it ended. Handling times (examining, attacking, and stinging the host) invested per host stung significantly decreased with increasing host instar. However, the time spent in preening per host stung significantly increased with host age.

Table (6): Progeny production and sex ratio of A. kamali on PHM stages of M. hirsutus

| Host stage                     | Average no. of adult parasitoid emerged | Sex ratio<br>(Males/total | Parasitoid developi<br>emergence (d |                   |
|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
|                                | (X± SE) pr                              | progeny)                  | Male                                | Female            |
| Adult female                   | $7.5 \pm 0.34 \text{ bc}$               | 0.49                      | $18.3 \pm 0.79 \text{ b}$           | $20.1 \pm 0.99$ b |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> nymphal instar | $6.3 \pm 0.63$ c                        | 0.57                      | 19.2 ± 0.92 b                       | 21.4 ± 1.00 b     |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> nymphal instar | $8.0 \pm 0.42 \text{ b}$                | 0.79                      | 24.1 ± 0.80 a                       | 28.2 ± 1.09 a     |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> nymphal instar | 9.3 ± 0.26 a                            | 0.93                      | 25.3 ± 1.67 a                       | 27.4 ± 1.63 a     |

Within columns, pairs of means, followed by the same letters are not significantly different.

Table (7): Mean time in seconds spent per oviposition by a female of A. kamali on different PHM M. hirsutus stages

| PHM stages         |                 | Mean time per ovipos | sition in seconds (X± SE |           |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
|                    | Feeding         | Handling             | Preening                 | Total     |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> NI | $23.3 \pm 2.22$ | 26.4±1.27            | 39.2±3.27                | 88.9±4.25 |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> NI | 19.1±4.05       | 24.7±1.03            | 32.3±2.29                | 76.1±3.19 |
| 3rd NI             | 21.4±1.45       | 15.3±1.56            | 58.7±4.93                | 95.4±5.82 |
| Adult female       | 14.8±2.21       | 15.7±0.81            | 61.3±5.33                | 91.8±5.89 |
| L.S.D.             | 7.72            | 3.48                 | 12.41                    |           |

Table (8): Reproductive capacity and durations of life periods in days and longevity of females of A. kamal.

| **            |                           | Reprod                | luctive capacity of a     | dult female                  |   | A -l14   |
|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| No. of female | Pre- ovipositional period | Ovi-positional period | Post-ovipositional period | No. of deposited eggs/female | Mean daily no.<br>of deposited<br>eggs/female | <ul> <li>Adult<br/>female<br/>longevity</li> </ul> |
| I             | 1                         | 15                    | 2                         | 104                          | 8.0   | 18   |
| 2             | 1                         | 14                    | 1                         | 106                          | 10.6  | 16   |
| 3             | 2                         | 5                     | 1                         | 60                           | 10.0  | 8  |
| 4             | 1                         | 16                    | 2                         | 154                          | 8.11  | 19   |
| -5            | 2                         | 13                    | 2                         | 121                          | 10.1  | 17   |
| 6             | 1                         | 12                    | 2                         | 101                          | 7.2   | 15   |
| 7             | 3                         | 20                    | 5                         | 108                          | 7.2   | 28   |
| 8             | 1                         | 10                    | 0                         | 88                           | 8.8   | 11   |
| 9             | 2                         | 7                     | 4                         | 73                           | 7.3   | 13   |
| 10            | 2                         | 7                     | 2                         | 69                           | 7.7   | 11   |
| Mean ± SE     | $1.6 \pm 0.22$            | $11.9 \pm 0.45$       | $2.1 \pm 1.46$            | 98.4 ±10.58                  | $8.5 \pm 0.41$                                | 15.6 ±1.74   |

Handling time for oviposition increased significantly with developmental host instar, from  $26.4 \pm 1.27$  seconds per egg laid in the 1<sup>st</sup> NI to  $24.7 \pm 1.03$  seconds in the 2<sup>nd</sup> NI,  $15.3 \pm 1.56$  seconds in the 3<sup>rd</sup> NI and  $15.7 \pm 0.81$  seconds in the adult female.

Female wasps preened significantly less time for oviposition when foraging among 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Nls, respectively, 39.2 and 32.3 seconds than among 3<sup>rd</sup> NI and adult female, namely 58.7 and 61.3 seconds. Handling time decreased with developed host stages, despite the fact that older mealybugs defended themselves much more vigorously than younger ones.

#### Reproductive capacity and longevity of A. kamali

Life span of females of *A. kamali* consists of three successive periods: sexual maturation (pre-oviposition), a very short period that precedes oviposition, the period of reproductive activity (oviposition) characterized by continues, through rhythmical, deposition of eggs and senescence (post-oviposition), prior to death and during which oviposition does not take place. The results of ten females studied for adult longevities are presented in Tables (8 and 9). The pre-ovipositional period was

Table (9): Longevity of A. kamali parasitoid when fed on different types of food at 25±1°C and 65±5 % R.H.

| Town of food   | Longevity in | ı days X±SE |  |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|--|
| Type of food - | Female       | Male        |  |
| Starvation     | 2.1±0.34     | 1.4±0.26    |  |
| Water          | 4.7±0.77     | 3.1±0.54    |  |
| Honey          | 14.4±2.21    | 9.8±1.16    |  |
| Honey+water    | 20.1±2.83    | 13.3±1.46   |  |

 $1.6 \pm 0.22 \text{ days}.$ 

The reproductive period was 5-20 days, with an average of 11.9±1.46 days. The total number of eggs ranged from 60-154 eggs, with an average of 98.4±10.58 eggs. The mean daily number of eggs laid through this period ranged between 7.2 to 10.1 eggs, with a general average of 8.5±0.41 eggs per day. The senescence period (post-oviposition) lasted 0-5 days, with the mean of 2.1±0.45 days.

Longevity fluctuated between 8-28 days, with a mean of  $15.6 \pm 1.74$  days for mated female fed on honey and water with the host PHM (Table, 9). Longevity of unmated females and males without host was longer than that of mated females with host (Table, 9).

The mean longevity was 20.1±2.83 and 13.3±1.46 for females and males, when fed on honey + water and 14.4±2.21 and 9.8±1.16 days when fed on honey only, respectively. The longevity reduced to 4.7±0.77and 3.1±0.54 days when fed on water only. The shortest longevity was that of the starved females and males, it was 2.1±0.34 and 1.4±0.26 days, respectively.

#### REFERENCES

- Arai, T. and K. Mishiro. 2004. Development of *Allotropa citri* Muesebeck (Hymenoptera: Platygastridae) and *Anagyrus subalbipes* Ishii (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) on *Pseudococcus cryptus* Hempel (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae). Appl. Entomol. Zool. 39 (3): 505–510
- Boavida, C; Ahounou; M. M. Vos; Neuenschwander and J. M. Van-Alphen. 1995. Host stage selection and sex allocation by Gyranusoidea tebygi (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), parasitoid of the mango mealybug, Rastrococcus invadens (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae). Biological Control. 5 (4): 487-
- Bokonon-Ganta, A. H.; P. Neuenschwander; J. M. Van-Alphen and M. Vos. 1995. Host stage selection and sex allocation by *Anagyrus mangicola* (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), a parasitoid of the mango mealybug, *Rastrococcus invadens* (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae). Biological Control. 5(4): 479-486.
- Chong, J. H. and R. D.Oetting. 2007. Functional response and progeny production of the Madeira mealybug parasitoid, *Anagyrus* sp. nov. nr. *sinope*. The effect of host stage preference. Biological Control, 41(1): 78-85
- Cross, A. E. and J. S. Noyes. 1996. Dossier on *Anagyrus kamali* Moursi, biological control agent for the pink mealybug, *Maconellicoccus hirsutus*, in Trinidad and Tobago. IIBC-Ascot, UK. 16 pp.
- DeJong, P. W. and J. J. M. VanAlphen. 1989. Host size selection and sex allocation in *Leptomastix* dactylopii, a parasitoid of *planococcus* citri. Entomol. Exp. Appl., 50: 161-169.
- Meyerdirk, D. E.; S. Khasimuddin and M. Bashir.
  - 1988. Importation, colonization and establishment of *Anagyrus indicusi* [Hym.: Encyrtidae] on *Nipaecoccus viridis*

- [Hom.: Pseudococcidae] in Jordan. Entomophaga, 33: 229-237.
- Moursi, A. A. 1948. Anagyrus kamali Moursi, a parasite of the hibiscus mealybug, *Phenacoccus hirsutus* Green. Bulletin de la Société Fouad 1st d'Entomologie 32: 9-16.
- Mousa, S. F.; A. H. El-Heneidy; A. S. Hendawy;
  Dalia Adly; D. Gonzalez and S. V. Trjaptsyn.
  2001. Pink Hibiscus Mealybug, *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* (Green), Parasitoids in Egypt. 1-Preliminary Record. Egyptian journal of biological pest control, 11(2): 195-196
- Nechols, J. R. and R. S. Kikuchi. 1985. Host selection of the spherical mealybug (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) by *Anagyrus indicus* (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae): influence of host stage on parasitoid oviposition, development, sex ratio, and survival. Environ. Entomol. 14(1): 32-37.
- Sagarra L. A.; D. D. Peterkin; C. Vincent and R. K. Stewart. 2000. Immune response of the hibiscus mealybug, *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* Green (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae), to oviposition of the parasitoid *Anagyrus kamali* Moursi (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). J. Insect Physiol., 46: 647–653
- Serrano, M. S. and S. L. Lapointe. 2002. Evaluation of host plants and a meridic diet for rearing *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* (Hemiptera: pseudococcidae) and its parasitoid *Anagyrus kamali* (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). Florida Entomologist. 85(3): 417-425.
- VanAlphen, J. J. M and M. E. Visser. 1990. Superparasitism as an adaptative strategy for insect parasitoids. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 35: 59 -79.
- Van Baaren, J. and J. P. Nenon. 1994. Factors involved in host discrimination by *Epidinocarsis lopezi* and *Leptomastix dactylopii* (Hym., Encyrtidae). J. Appl. Ent 118: 76-83.
- Williams, D. J. 1996. A brief account of the hibiscus mealybug *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), a pest of agriculture and horticulture, with descriptions of two related species from southern Asia. Bull. Entomol. Res. 86: 617-628
- Willim J. R.; D. E. Meyerdirk; R. Warkentin; E. R. Andress and K. Carrera. 2006. Classical biological control of the pink hibiscus mealybug, *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* (Green), in southern California. Biological control, 37:155-166.