

NUTRITIOUS ATTEMPTS TO DETOXIFY AFLATOXIC DIETS OF TILAPIA FISH: 1. FISH PERFORMANCE, FEED AND NUTRIENTS UTILIZATION, ORGANS INDICES, RESIDUES AND BLOOD PARAMETERS

A.M. Abdelhamid¹; M.F.I. Salem², A.I. Mehrim¹, M.A.M. El-Sharawy¹

¹Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt.

²Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research, Abbassa, Sharkia. Kafr El-Shiekh Aquaculture Research Unit, Egypt.

(Received 19/10/2006, accepted 25/1/2007)

SUMMARY

This study was conducted to investigate the toxic effects of aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) on mono-sex Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* fingerlings and attempting to detoxify these drastic effects by using some nutritious agents. Therefore, half percent of each of these nutritious agents namely; Bio-Buds-2x, chamomile flowers, aspirin and ginger were added to aflatoxic (100 ppb aflatoxin B₁) diet for fingerlings. These diets were offered 6 days a week at 3% daily of actual biomass in glass aquaria in duplicate/treatment in an indoor feeding experiment lasted 14 weeks. The aflatoxic diet led to the worst fish growth performance and survival rate, feed and protein utilization, internal organs indices, carcass composition and residues of AFB₁ (ppb) in the whole body of fish and the tested parameters of blood haematology and biochemistry of the experimented fish. Dietary ginger inclusion alleviated aflatoxicosis symptoms by fish, since it improved all the above tested parameters of aflatoxicated fish. Generally, the obtained results in the present study indicated that the ginger was the best detoxifying agent of aflatoxin, followed by aspirin and chamomile flowers respectively.

Keywords: Nile tilapia, ginger, aspirin, chamomile flowers, aflatoxin

INTRODUCTION

Mycotoxins are secondary metabolites produced by certain filamentous fungi, which can be produced in foods as a result of fungal growth. They cause a toxic response, termed a mycotoxicosis, when ingested by higher vertebrates and other animals.

Consumption of mycotoxin contaminated foods has been associated with several cases of human poisoning, or mycotoxicosis, sometimes in death (Sweeney and Dobson, 1998 and Bathnagar and Garcia, 2001).

The Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO) estimated that 25% of the world's crops are affected by

mycotoxins, of which the most notorious are aflatoxins. Aflatoxins are considered the most carcinogenic, mutagenic and teratogenic poisonous by-products of the growth of the molds *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus*, and are important contaminants of certain foods and animal feeds because of their ability to produce aflatoxins (Farr *et al.*, 1989). As well as, Gradelet *et al.*, (1997) suggested that these metabolites cause liver damage to humans and to most experimental animal species tested. Also, aflatoxins caused economic and health problems because of their ability to contaminate human food and animal feeds, in particular cereals, nuts and oilseeds (Arim 1995 and Njapau *et al.*, 1998). Aflatoxin losses to livestock and poultry producers from aflatoxin-contaminated feeds include death and more subtle effects of immune system suppression, reduced growth rates, and losses in feed efficiency (Vincelli *et al.*, 1995 and FAO 1997 & 2002).

Therefore, some scientific efforts were conducted to use the herbs or natural plants which, detoxification the drastic effects of mycotoxins or aflatoxins on some animals such as, glucomannan (Karaman *et al.*, 2005) or yeast cell wall mannanoligosaccharide (MOS) (Devegowda *et al.*, 1998), or *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* which were found to have beneficial effects in poultry during mycotoxicosis (Raju and Devegowda 2000), chamomile (Abdelhamid *et al.*, 1985; Soliman and Badeaa 2002 and Ibrahim, 2004), ginger (Vimala *et al.*, 1999 and Abdelhamid *et al.*, 2002e).

Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* may represent a model (as a sensitive

model for mycotoxicosis), since this fish is highly susceptible to nutritional deficits and is extremely vulnerable to toxic insult from various chemicals and poisons including aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁). Therefore, the present work aims to study the drastic effects of AFB₁ on growth performance and survival, feed and nutrients utilization, some organs indices, carcass composition, residues of AFB₁ and some parameters of blood hematology and biochemistry of the experimented fish *O. niloticus*. Also, this study conducted to evaluate the ability of some nutritious agents namely, Bio-Buds-2x, chamomile flowers, aspirin and ginger (at a level of 0.5%) to detoxify the drastic effects of this dangerous toxic AFB₁ on the Nile tilapia fish for 14 weeks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted to evaluate the ability of some nutritious agents namely Bio-Buds-2x (T₃), chamomile flowers (T₄), aspirin (T₅), and ginger (T₆), (at a level of 0.5%), to detoxify the drastic effects of this dangerous toxic AFB₁ on the Nile tilapia fish for 14 weeks. A group of 180 of mono-sex Nile tilapia *O. niloticus* fingerlings (obtained from the private fish farm at Tolombat 7, Kafr El-Sheikh), with an average initial body weights of 10g were used in this study. Fish were maintained in the aquaria for one month before the beginning of the experiment for acclimatization purpose. The fish in all experiments were distributed into the aquaria at stocking rate of 15 fish per aquarium. The

experimental treatments were tested in two aquaria for each.

A basal diet (30.38% crude protein, 8.79% ether extract, 4.40% crude fiber, 6.24% ash, 478.4 Kcal/100g DM gross energy and 63.5mg cp/Kcal GE, P/E ratio) was formulated from commercial ingredients (fish meal 10%, soybean meal 38%, yellow corn 35.5%, sun flower oil 4%, wheat bran 12% and vit. & min.0.5%). The basal diet was considered as a control (T₁). These ingredients were pressed by manufacturing machine (pellets size 1mm), they were milled and toxin AFB₁ was added at a concentration of 100ppb to all diets (T₂,T₃,T₄,T₅,T₆), except control (T₁). Anti-toxin was added at a concentration of 0.5%.The ingredients and supplements were bought from the local market, aflatoxin B₁ was produced through pellets fermentation using *Aspergillus parasiticus* NRRL 2999 according to the method described by Abdelhamid and Mahmoud (1996).Concentration of the produced aflatoxin B₁ was calculated and incorporated into the experimental diets at a rate of 100 ppb.

The experiment continued for 14 weeks. During the experimental period the fish were fed the experimental diets at a rate of 3% of the live body weight daily, six days a week. The diets were introduced twice daily, at 8 a.m. and 2 p.m.. The amount of the feed was adjusted bi-weekly based on the actual body weight changes. Light was controlled by a timer to provide a 14h light: 10h dark as a daily photoperiod.

At the end of the experiment, one fish from each aquarium was taken immediately to determin the residues of AFB₁ in the whole fish body. Also, the

remained fish were sampled from each aquarium and kept frozen for chemical analysis. The chemical analyses of the basal diet and whole fish body were carried out according to the AOAC (2000). Aflatoxin B₁ determinations in the media extract and the basal diet were determined as described by Abdelhamid (1996). Water quality parameters were measured weekly (Abdelhamid, 1996) including temperature (via a thermometer), pH (using Jenway Ltd., Model 350-pH-meter) and dissolved oxygen (using Jenway Ltd., Model 970- dissolved oxygen meter).

Body weight of individual fish was measured biweekly to point feed quantity and to calculate growth performance and feed utilization in form of: Average weight gain (g/fish) $AWG = \text{Average final weight (g)} - \text{Average initial weight (g)}$, Average daily gain, (g/fish/day) $ADG = AWG (\text{g}) / \text{Experimental period (days)}$, Specific growth rate (SGR, %/day) = $[\ln \text{ final weight} - \ln \text{ initial weight}] \times 100 / \text{Experimental period (d)}$, Feed conversion ratio (FCR) = $\text{Feed intake (g)} / \text{Live weight gain (g)}$, Protein efficiency ratio (PER) = $\text{Live weight gain (g)} / \text{Protein intake (g)}$, Protein productive value (PPV %) = $\text{Retained protein (g)} / \text{Protein intake (g)} \times 100$, and Survival rate (SR%) = $\text{End number of the alive fish} / \text{The beginning number of the fish} \times 100$. Total tissue residues of aflatoxin B₁ were estimated by TLC (Thin Layer Chromatography) method described by Abdelhamid (1981). At the end of the experiment, the liver, spleen, kidneys and gonads were removed and weighted individually. The liver, spleen, kidneys and gonads indices were calculated, where: Hepato-

somatic index (HSI) = Liver weight (g) x 100/Gutted fish weight (g) (Jangaard *et al.*, 1967), Spleno-somatic index (SSI) = Spleen weight (g) x 100/fish weight (g), Kidney – somatic index (KSI)=Kidneys weight (g) x 100/fish weight (g) (Alabaster and Liloyd, 1982) and Gonado-somatic index (GSI) = Gonads weight (g) x 100/fish weight (g) (Tseng and Chan, 1982).

Blood samples from the different groups were collected from the caudal fish peduncle. Adequate amounts of whole blood in small plastic vials containing heparin were used for the determination of hemoglobin (Hb) by using commercial kits (Diamond Diagnostic, Egypt). Also, total erythrocytes count (RBCs) and total leucocytes count (WBCs) were measured on an A₆ Bright –Line Haemocytometer model (Neubauer improved, Precicolor HBG, Germany). Other blood samples were collected and transferred for centrifugation at 3500 rpm for 15 min to obtain blood plasma for determination of total protein according to Gornall *et al.*, (1949), albumin according to Weichsebum(1946), globulin by difference according to Dumas and Biggs (1977), cholesterol according to Richmond (1973), uric acid according to Barham (1972), alkaline phosphatase (AIP) according to Belfield and Goldberg (1971), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) according to Varley (1976) using a spectrophotometer (model 5010, Germany) and commercial kits.

The data collected statistically analyzed using general linear models procedure adapted by SAS (1997) for

users guide, with a one-way ANOVA. Means were statistically compared for the significance ($p \leq 0.05$) using Duncan (1955) multiple range test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Quality parameters of rearing water:

All tested water quality criteria were suitable for rearing mono-sex Nile tilapia *O. niloticus* fingerlings as cited by Abdelhamid (2000b) and Abd El-Hakim *et al.* (2002). Since water temperature ranged between 22 and 25°C, pH values 6.9 – 7.8 and dissolved oxygen 6.71 – 8.77 mg/l. Also, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2002c) suggested that these values are suitable for rearing Nile tilapia *O. niloticus*. In the same trend, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2004 b&c) found that all the tested water quality (temperature °C, pH value, conductivity mg/l and dissolved oxygen mg/l) criteria were suitable for rearing Nile tilapia fish *O. niloticus*.

2- Growth performance and survival rate:

Data presented in Table (1) showed that there were no significant ($P \geq 0.05$) differences among the initial body weights and survival rate of the different dietary groups of fish. Yet, there were significant ($P \leq 0.05$) differences among various group of fish concerning final body weight, AWG, ADG and SGR of the experimented fish. Being the best values in favor of T₆ (aflatoxin-contaminated diet plus 0.5% ginger), which seem even more better than the control (uncontaminated diet, T₁) and significantly ($P \leq 0.05$)

Table (1): Means* ± standard errors of the growth performance of the experimented tilapia fish as affected by the dietary treatment for 14 weeks.

Treat. No.	Body weight (g/fish)		Body gain		SGR (%/day)	SR %
	Initial	Final	AWG (g/fish)	ADG (g/fish/)		
T ₁	10.00 ± 0.10	25.34 ^{ab} ± 1.13	15.34 ^{ab} ± 1.03	0.15 ^{ab} ± 0.01	0.94 ^{ab} ± 0.03	100.00 ± 0.00
T ₂	10.00 ± 0.00	23.45 ^b ± 0.05	13.45 ^b ± 0.05	0.13 ^b ± 0.00	0.86 ^b ± 0.00	93.33 ± 0.00
T ₃	9.95 ± 0.05	22.35 ^b ± 1.65	12.40 ^b ± 1.60	0.12 ^b ± 0.01	0.82 ^b ± 0.07	100.00 ± 0.00
T ₄	10.10 ± 0.10	23.82 ^b ± 0.28	13.72 ^b ± 0.37	0.13 ^b ± 0.00	0.87 ^b ± 0.02	86.66 ± 13.33
T ₅	10.00 ± 0.00	25.38 ^{ab} ± 0.62	15.38 ^{ab} ± 0.62	0.15 ^{ab} ± 0.00	0.95 ^{ab} ± 0.02	100.00 ± 0.00
T ₆	10.00 ± 0.00	29.25 ^a ± 1.97	19.25 ^a ± 1.97	0.19 ^a ± 0.02	1.09 ^a ± 0.06	89.99 ± 3.34

*Means (in the same column) superscripted with different letters significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) differ. T₁= Control diet, T₂= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb), T₃= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5% Bio-Buds-2x, T₄= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5% Chamomile flowers, T₅= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Aspirin, T₆= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Ginger.

Table (2): Feed intake and conversion as well as protein utilization in the experimented tilapia fish (X* ± SE) as affected by the dietary treatments during the 14 weeks experiment.

Treat. No.	FI (g/fish)	FCR	Protein utilization	
			PER	PPV %
T ₁	37.26 ^{ab} ±0.46	2.43 ^{ab} ±0.13	1.35 ^b ±0.07	24.18 ^{ab} ±1.69
T ₂	33.39 ^c ±0.62	2.48 ^{ab} ±0.03	1.32 ^b ±0.01	21.97 ^b ±0.26
T ₃	33.53 ^c ±1.35	2.73 ^a ±0.24	1.21 ^b ±0.10	21.28 ^b ±1.01
T ₄	33.74 ^c ±1.01	2.46 ^{ab} ±0.01	1.34 ^b ±0.00	23.15 ^b ±1.13
T ₅	34.93 ^{bc} ±0.78	2.27 ^{ab} ±0.03	1.45 ^{ab} ±0.02	24.48 ^{ab} ±1.00
T ₆	38.44 ^a ±0.81	2.01 ^b ±0.16	1.65 ^a ±0.12	28.48 ^a ±1.92

* Means (in the same column) superscripted with different letters significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) differ. T₁= Control diet, T₂= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb), T₃= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5% Bio-Buds-2x, T₄= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5% Chamomile flowers, T₅= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Aspirin, T₆= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Ginger. FI= Feed intake, FCR= Feed conversion ratio, PER= Protein efficiency ratio, PPV= Protein productive value.

better than the aflatoxin-contaminated diet (T₂) and aflatoxin-contaminated diets plus 0.5% Bio-Buds-2x (T₃) or 0.5% chamomile flowers (T₄). The aflatoxin-contaminated diet plus 0.5% aspirin gave performance values not significantly ($P \geq 0.05$) differ than those given by T₁ and T₆ groups. But, T₃ was the worst one, even than T₂.

In this context, similar negative effects of AFB₁ on different growth performance parameters and survival rate of tilapia fish were recorded by other authors (Hussein *et al.*, 2000; Abdelhamid *et al.*, 2002 b&c and 2004 b&c ; Nguyen *et al.*, 2002 and Shehata *et al.*, 2003). Recently, also Abdelhamid *et al.*, (2004 b&c) found that the effects of aflatoxins B₁ (AFB₁) were significant decreases on growth performance and survival rate of *O. niloticus* fish. Yet, the effects of mycotoxins on fish depend on potency of mycotoxin, dose, species and strain of the fish, state of health, stage of life, temperature of the water and presence or absence of substances that can modify the toxicity (El-Said, 1997 and Abdelhamid, 2000a).

The positive effects to alleviate the toxic effects of AFB₁ on growth performance and survival rate of tilapia fish by dietary addition of *Nigella sativa* seeds was recorded by Hussein *et al.* (2000). As well as, Shehata *et al.* (2003) found that adding the adsorbent agents significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) reduced the toxic effect of aflatoxin on growth performance and mortality rate of Nile tilapia fish. Recently, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2004 b&c) found that the best feed additives led to significant overcoming the aflatoxic symptoms on growth performance and mortality were egg

shell and clay, respectively. Also, they added that the effects of either adsorbents namely, egg shells and shrimp wastes at levels of 1 and 2%, respectively, were useful to reduce the toxic effects of AFB₁ on *O. niloticus* fish via adsorbing the toxin from the fish diets. On the other side, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2002e) suggested that no one of the tested medicinal herbs (thyme, safflower, ginger, black cumin and/ or garlic) completely overcome the effects of foodborne aflatoxicosis.

Meanwhile, in the present study useful effects of some nutritious agents which, used to detoxify aflatoxic diets of tilapia fish, namely ginger and aspirin due to their chemical and physical properties and/or their positive effects on immune system. Ginger stimulates digestion as it influences positively on the terminal enzymes of digestive process (Ahmed and Sharma, 1997 and Platel and Srinivasan, 2000). However, aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid) is known to inhibit the cyclooxygenases and enhancement of cellular immune response, or induction of apoptosis (Shiff and Rigas, 1999 and Subongkot *et al.*, 2003).

3- Feed and Protein Utilization:

All criteria studied and presented in Table (2) showed that once again, T₆ was the best ($P \leq 0.05$) treatment (even than the control, T₁) concerning FI, FCR, PER and PPV in tilapia fish; then followed by T₅. There were no significant ($P \geq 0.05$) differences among T₁, T₅ and T₆ for FCR and PPV. While, there were no significances between T₆ and T₅ in data of FCR, PER and PPV. Again, T₃ was the worst one, even than the aflatoxin-contaminated diet without additives (T₂).

Similar negative effects of AFB₁ on feed and protein utilization parameters of tilapia fish were recorded by Hussein *et al.* (2000), Abdelhamid *et al.* (2002 b&c and 2004 b&c), Nguyen *et al.* (2002) and Salem (2002). This negative effect of AFB₁ may be attributed to its causative pathological alterations in the gastro-intestinal tract (Murjani, 2003). Also, the present results agree with the finding of Nguyen *et al.* (2002) who suggested that fish fed diets containing 10 and 100 mg AFB₁/kg were observed to expel feed after ingestion. As well as, the authors added that because fish fed the highest levels of aflatoxin-B₁ did not consume all of the feed, the AFB₁ dose was not directly related to the concentration in the feed. Therefore, fish fed the 100 mg AFB₁/kg diet consumed a total of 59 mg AFB₁/kg of body weight, which was only three times than the amount for fish fed the 10 mg AFB₁/kg. Yet, Salem (2002) indicated that feed and protein utilization parameters significantly ($P < 0.05$) reduced by *O. niloticus* fed the dietary AFB₁. Similar results were obtained by Abdelhamid *et al.* (2002 b&d).

Moreover, Kasper *et al.* (2002) found that Betafin[®] did not significantly change feed efficiency and protein utilization. Also, Abdelhamid *et al.*, (2002b) found that dietary Biogen[®] supplementation was not useful in AFB₁ detoxification. As well as, Abdelhamid *et al.*, (2002a) mentioned that adsorbents, e.g. Antitox plus, Fix-a-tox and tafla did not significantly reduce the aflatoxicity. On the other side, recently Abdelhamid *et al.* (2004 b& c) found that the best feed additives led to significant overcoming the aflatoxic symptoms on growth, mortality, feed

and protein utilization were egg shell and clay, respectively. However, in the present results, better results of ginger and aspirin used may be due to their chemical and physical properties and/or its positive effects on immune system. Ginger stimulates digestion as it influences positively the terminal enzymes of digestive process (Ahmed and Sharma, 1997 and Platel and Srinivasan, 2000). However, aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid) is known to inhibit the cyclooxygenases and enhancement of cellular immune response, or induction of apoptosis (Shiff and Rigas, 1999 and Subongkot *et al.*, 2003).

4- Internal organs indices:

The only significant ($P \leq 0.05$) differences were found among the dietary treatments for gonado-somatic index (GSI), but not ($P \geq 0.05$) for hepato (HSI), kidney (KSI), and spleen-somatic index (SSI) as presented in Table (3). Treatment No.5 (aflatoxin-contaminated diet plus 0.5% aspirin) reflected significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher value for GSI than those of the contaminated diet (T₂) and contaminated diet plus 0.5% Bio-Buds-2x (T₃).

Generally, from these results in the present study, the aflatoxic diets caused negative effects on the internal organs indices significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) in GSI or not significantly ($P \geq 0.05$) in other indices (HSI, KSI and SSI) comparing with the control diet (T₁). This means that AFB₁ not only reduced growth performance of the tested fish, but also negatively altered internal organs function as a consequence of increasing their relative weights, which may be due to increasing their cells number or volume or elevating their water and/or blood contents (Glaister, 1986). The

Table (3): Means \pm standard errors of the internal organs indices of the tilapia fish at the end of the 14-weeks period as affected by the experimental diets.

Treat.	HSI%	KSI%	SSI%	GSI%
T ₁	3.85 \pm 1.25	0.51 \pm 0.02	0.26 \pm 0.08	0.85 ab \pm 0.20
T ₂	4.34 \pm 0.11	0.41 \pm 0.06	0.47 \pm 0.15	0.59 b \pm 0.12
T ₃	3.32 \pm 0.71	0.38 \pm 0.03	0.24 \pm 0.05	0.79 b \pm 0.04
T ₄	3.68 \pm 0.85	0.35 \pm 0.03	1.44 \pm 1.04	1.37 ab \pm 0.47
T ₅	3.72 \pm 0.16	0.39 \pm 0.07	0.25 \pm 0.03	1.69 a \pm 0.27
T ₆	3.02 \pm 0.09	0.50 \pm 0.11	0.25 \pm 0.01	0.91 ab \pm 0.07

*Means (in the same column) superscripted with different letters significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) differ. T₁= Control diet, T₂= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb), T₃= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5% Bio-Buds-2x, T₄= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5% Chamomile flowers, T₅= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Aspirin, T₆= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Ginger.

Table (4): Proximate chemical analysis and energetic value of the whole tilapia body as affected by the experimental diets ($X^* \pm SE$).

Treat. No.	Chemical composition % (On Dry matter basis)				
	DM %	CP	EE	Ash	EC** (Kcal/100g)
At the start of the experiment:					
	24.80	54.30	8.70	22.10	388.38
At the end of the experiment:					
T ₁	26.55 \pm 0.18	60.61 ab \pm 0.17	15.26 e \pm 0.07	24.09 a \pm 0.17	486.05 c \pm 0.86
T ₂	25.68 \pm 0.12	59.37 d \pm 0.07	16.82 a \pm 0.06	23.85 ab \pm 0.03	493.71 a \pm 0.35
T ₃	26.19 \pm 0.21	60.05 c \pm 0.18	16.49 b \pm 0.13	23.61 b \pm 0.07	494.35 a \pm 0.78
T ₄	25.95 \pm 0.43	60.37 bc \pm 0.25	15.99 c \pm 0.12	23.71 ab \pm 0.11	491.43 b \pm 0.37
T ₅	26.11 \pm 0.30	60.26 bc \pm 0.10	15.66 d \pm 0.05	24.09 a \pm 0.10	487.72 c \pm 0.67
T ₆	26.18 \pm 0.35	60.99 a \pm 0.15	15.24 e \pm 0.09	23.83 ab \pm 0.23	487.21 c \pm 1.05

*Means (in the same column) superscripted with different letters significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) differ.

** Calculated after Macdonald *et al.* (1973). T₁= Control diet, T₂= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb), T₃= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5% Bio-Buds-2x, T₄= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5% Chamomile flowers, T₅= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Aspirin, T₆= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Ginger.

same negative effects of AFB₁ on internal organs indices of *O. niloticus* were recorded too by Hussein *et al.* (2000) and Abdelhamid *et al.* (2002c and 2004 a, b & d).

In this context, recently, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2004a) suggested that the aflatoxic diets increased obviously relative weights of all tested organs (liver, kidneys, spleen, testes, heart and lungs) of rats comparing with the aflatoxin free diets. As well as, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2004 b & d) reported that the aflatoxic diet (100 ppb AFB₁) led to significant increases ($P < 0.05$) all organs indices comparing with the control diet (zero ppb AFB₁).

Anyhow, AFB₁ effects are variable depending on level and exposure time of/ to the toxin as well as animal species, age, sex and physiological and nutritional states (Abdelhamid. 2000a). Moreover, although AFB₁ is a strong hepatic mycotoxin (Hussein *et al.*, 2000 and Nguyen *et al.*, 2002), it has also nephritic (Abdelhamid and Saleh, 1996) as well as sexual negative effects (Constantini *et al.*, 1999), therefore, it affected either of the tested indices.

Hussein *et al.* (2000) reported that *Nigella sativa* seeds reduced the negative effect of 1.0 μ g AFB₁/kg BW on internal organs indices of *O. niloticus* fish. Also, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2004 b & d) reported that the best feed additives led to significant overcoming the aflatoxic symptoms on organs indices were egg shell and clay, respectively. Also, they added that the effects of either adsorbents namely, egg shells and shrimp wastes at levels of 1 and 2%, respectively, were useful to reduce the toxic effects of AFB₁ on *O. niloticus* fish via adsorbing the toxin

from the fish diets. On the other side, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2002a) found that adsorbents, e.g. Antitox plus, Fix-a-tox and tafla did not significantly reduce aflatoxicosis symptoms. As well as, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2002b) reported that dietary Biogen[®] supplementation was not useful in AFB₁ detoxification. Also, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2004a) added that the additives (tafla, ammonia and hydrogen peroxide) did not alter the organs weight; yet, they slightly diminished- to some extent- the negative effect of dietary aflatoxin inclusion on the relative weights of all tested organs. However, in the present study, the effects of ginger and aspirin may be due to their adsorbative characteristics as mentioned before, so prevented or reduced the absorption of AFB₁ and hence hide its negative effects on internal organs indices of fish.

5- Biochemical analysis and AFB₁ residues of the whole body:

Data of the proximate chemical analysis of the whole body of the tested tilapia fish are given in Table (4). Dry matter (DM) content increased by age advance, but not significantly ($P \geq 0.05$) affected by the dietary treatments. Also, crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE), and ash contents increased by aging, but reflected significant ($P \leq 0.05$) differences among the dietary treatments. The highest CP and the lowest EE and energy contents were determined in the fish group of T₆, which was even more better than the uncontaminated control group (T₁). The best treatment (T₆) did not differ significantly ($P \geq 0.05$) in ash content of the fish than in the other dietary treatments. The fish group of T₃

reflected the lowest CP and the highest energy contents among the dietary treatments tested.

Similar results were recorded by Hussein *et al.* (2000) and Abdelhamid *et al.* (2002 b&c) concerning fish carcass analyses. The same adverse effects of AFB₁ on carcass composition of *O. niloticus* were recorded too by Abdelhamid *et al.* (2004 b & c) and Salem (2002).

The present results agree with the findings of Salem (2002) who found that the control group of fish had the highest ($P < 0.05$) DM and CP values and the lowest ($P < 0.05$) EE percentage. The latest author added that percentages of DM and CP decreased as the levels of the dietary aflatoxin B₁ increased, while the values of EE and ash increased with increasing the levels of AFB₁. Also, Hussein *et al.* (2000) found that AFB₁ administration led to reduce fish muscular protein, but it was improved by feeding fish on 1-2% *Nigella sativa* seeds. Yet, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2004 b&c) found that aflatoxin B₁ significantly reduced DM and CP contents of the *O. niloticus* fish carcass but, it significantly increased EE and ash contents of the fish. Meanwhile, they added that dietary addition of clay or egg shell and shrimp wastes to the AFB₁ including diets improved this picture.

In accordance with the present findings, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2002b) reported that the aflatoxic diets significantly ($P < 0.01$) reduced the fish flesh crude protein content but increased its fat and ash contents proportional to the dietary levels of the aflatoxin. The opposite trends were recorded with the Biogen® inclusion, since it increased

crude protein and decreased fat contents ($P < 0.01$) of the whole fish body, without significant ($P > 0.05$) effect on the ash percentage. However, the dietary Biogen® addition improved these parameters. Anyhow, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2002 c) confirmed that adsorbents still neither obstacle nor sufficient mean for removing AFB₁ and its toxic effects. Yet, the positive effects of ginger and aspirin used in the present study may be due to their adsorbative characteristics as mentioned before, so prevent or reduce absorption of AFB₁ and hence hide its negative effects on carcass composition of *O. niloticus* fish.

However, the control fish were free from the aflatoxin residues; whereas, T₂ reflected the highest level being 28.95 ppb aflatoxin B₁, followed by T₃, T₄, T₅ and T₆, being 27.50, 26.72, 21.21 and 15.64 ppb respectively. So, T₆ was the best treatment in reducing the level of the residues, followed by T₅.

In this respect, similar results were recorded by (Soliman *et al.*, 1998 and 2000). Yet, Abdelhamid *et al.* (1998) recorded high level of aflatoxin-B1 (246-303 ppb) in whole body of Nile tilapia fish. As well as, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2004d) found that residues of AFB₁ in the whole body of the aflatoxicated *O. niloticus* fish directly at the end of the experiment were high and tended to decrease after freezing periods. On the other hand, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2002 b&c and 2004 b) reported that there were no AF- residues in *O. niloticus* body. They added that the absence of AFB₁ residue may be attributed to the lost appetite of fish to feed. Thus, AF may be mobilized or excreted from the fish. These variable results may be due to AF level and exposure time as well as

to sensitivity variation among fish species to AF.

Moreover, Soliman *et al.* (1998) found that the presence of Fix-A-tox in the contaminated diet led to a significant decrease in aflatoxin residue ($p < 0.05$) in *O. niloticus* fish. Also, recently Abdelhamid *et al.* (2004d) found that AFB₁ levels were reduced by going on the freezing time of the fish samples in all treatments of aflatoxicated fish. As well as, they reported that addition of 1% egg shell and 2% shrimp wastes to aflatoxicated diets led to adsorptive effects of the dietary aflatoxin and reduced its residue in fish carcass. However, in the present results the effects of ginger and aspirin may be due to their adsorbative characteristics as mentioned before, so prevent or reduce absorption of AFB₁ and hence there were no AFB₁ residues in the fish body and muscles.

6- Blood analysis:

Data of some hematological parameters are illustrated in Table (5) which presented significant ($P \leq 0.05$) differences among the tested dietary treatments. The ginger diet (T₆) led to higher ($P \leq 0.05$) hemoglobin content (Hb), red blood cells (RBCs) count and white blood cells (WBCs) count at all, followed by the aspirin diet (T₃). The first three treatments (T₁, T₂ and T₃) did not differ significantly ($P \geq 0.05$) in these hematological parameters tested.

Table (6) presents some biochemical parameters in fish plasma known as kidney function indicators. There were significant ($P \leq 0.05$) differences among the dietary treatments for concentrations of uric acid, total protein, albumine and

globuline, but not ($P \geq 0.05$) for albumine/ globuline ratio. The lowest values were recorded for T₆ followed by T₅.

Liver function indicators were studied in the treated fish plasma. Data of these plasma biochemical criteria are given in Table (7). All toxic diets with different additives (T₃, T₄, T₅ and T₆) used elevated the values of the tested parameters [aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine transaminase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), and cholesterol] than in the toxic diet without additives (T₂). Yet, there were no significant ($P \geq 0.05$) differences among T₆ and T₅ on one side and T₁, on the other side concerning activity of AST and ALT and concentration of cholesterol.

Similar negative effects of AFB₁ on blood parameters of tilapia fish were recorded also (Soliman *et al.*, 2000; Abdelhamid *et al.* 2002 c, d & e and Nguyen *et al.*, 2002). Moreover, the present findings confirm those reported by Abdelhamid *et al.* (2002b) who mentioned that the aflatoxin contaminated diets reduced the blood values of PCV, Hb and total protein ($P < 0.01$). Also, the authors added that the dietary addition of Biogen® did not improve this blood picture of *O. niloticus* fish.

Moreover, Hussein *et al.* (2000) suggested that AF negatively affected blood parameters of tilapia fish. Also, Shehata *et al.* (2003) found that the activity of AST and ALT enzymes increased significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) in the fish fed aflatoxin-B₁ contaminated diet. Also, in the present results; ALP, AST and ALT activity increased by the AFB₁ treatments indicating a damage of the

Table (5): Means* and standard errors of some hematological parameters of the tilapia fish at the end of the 14- weeks experimental feeding.

Treat. No.	Hb (g/dl)	RBCs (x10 ⁶ /mm)	WBCs (x10 ³ /mm)
T ₁	7.70 b±0.10	28.50 bc±0.50	200.00 b±0.00
T ₂	7.60 b±0.10	27.50 c±0.50	200.00 b±0.00
T ₃	7.89 b±0.02	30.50 abc±0.50	200.00 b±0.00
T ₄	6.60 c±0.30	27.50 c±1.50	300.00 ab±99.99
T ₅	8.45 b±0.45	31.00 ab±1.00	400.00 ab±0.00
T ₆	9.70 a±0.20	33.50 a±0.50	500.00 a±99.99

* Means (in the same column) superscripted with different letters significantly (P≤0.05) differ. T₁= Control diet, T₂= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb), T₃= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5% Bio-Buds-2x, T₄= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5%Chamomile flowers, T₅= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Aspirin, T₆= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Ginger.

Table (6): Means* and standard errors of some plasma biochemical (kidney function) parameters at the end of the 14-weeks experimental feeding of the tilapia fish.

Treat. No.	Uric acid mg/dl	Total protein g/dl	Albumine g/dl	Globuline g/dl	Al/Gl ratio
T ₁	2.85 a ±0.05	4.50 a ±0.00	2.26 a ±0.05	2.25 a ±0.05	1.11 ±0.35
T ₂	2.75 ab ±0.15	4.20 a ±0.20	2.20 a ±0.00	2.00 ab ±0.20	1.11 ±0.11
T ₃	2.43 bc ±0.13	3.60 b ±0.20	1.70 b ±0.20	1.90 b ±0.00	0.90 ±0.11
T ₄	2.15 c ±0.15	3.33 bc ±0.08	1.44 bc ±0.04	1.89 b ±0.04	0.77 ±0.01
T ₅	1.65 d ±0.50	3.18 bc ±0.03	1.40 bc ±0.05	1.78 b ±0.03	0.80 ±0.04
T ₆	1.40 d ±0.10	3.14 c ±0.04	1.33 c ±0.03	1.81 b ±0.01	0.74 ±0.02

* Means (in the same column) superscripted with different letters significantly (P≤0.05) differ. T₁= Control diet, T₂= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb), T₃= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5% Bio-Buds-2x, T₄= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5%Chamomile flowers, T₅= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Aspirin, T₆= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Ginger.

Table (7): Means* and standard errors of some plasma biochemical (liver function) parameters at the end of the 14-weeks experimental feeding of the tilapia fish.

Treat. No.	AST UL	ALT U/L	ALP U/L	Cholest. mg/dl
T ₁	26.00 b ±1.00	20.50 b ±0.50	17.00 c ±2.00	104.50 ab ±25.50
T ₂	29.50 ab ±1.50	27.00 ab ±3.00	23.00 bc ±3.00	87.50 b ±7.50
T ₃	34.00 ab ±4.00	35.00 a ±1.00	27.50 ab ±1.00	99.50 ab ±9.50
T ₄	37.00 a ±3.00	34.00 a ±5.00	34.00 a ±2.00	115.50 ab ±3.50
T ₅	34.00 ab ±2.00	29.00 ab ±1.00	29.50 ab ±2.50	122.00 ab ±2.00
T ₆	34.00 ab ±2.00	31.00 a ±1.00	32.00 a ±1.00	132.50 a ±2.50

* Means (in the same column) superscripted with different letters significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) differ. T₁= Control diet, T₂= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb), T₃= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5% Bio-Buds-2x, T₄= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100ppb) + 0.5% Chamomile flowers, T₅= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Aspirin, T₆= Diet₁ + AFB₁ (100 ppb) + 0.5% Ginger.

liver and probably also the kidney. Evidence for acute aflatoxin B₁ nephrotoxicity was provided by distended gall bladder indicating disrupted osmoregulation (i.e. water retention) as reported by Carpenter *et al.* (1995).

In the same trend, recently Abdelhamid *et al.*, (2004 b&d) found that AFB₁ caused significant decrease in hemoglobin concentration, red blood cells count and uric acid and significantly increase in white blood cells count and alkaline phosphatase and transaminases activity of aflatoxicated *O. niloticus* fish.

Yet, Hussein *et al.* (2000) added that *Nigella sativa* seeds (1 and 2%) alleviated the negative effects of aflatoxicosis by fish. Recently, Abdelhamid *et al.* (2004 b&d) found that the best feed additives led to significant overcoming the aflatoxic symptoms on blood hematological and biochemical parameters were egg shell and clay, respectively. As well as, the positive effects of some nutritious additives used in the present study, namely Bio-Buds-2x, chamomile flowers, aspirin and ginger may be due to their adsorbative characteristics as mentioned before, so prevent or reduce absorption of AFB₁ and hence hide its negative effects on blood parameters of *O. niloticus* fish.

This positive effects of the best nutritious additives [namely 0.5% ginger (T₆) and 0.5% aspirin (T₃)], may be due to their chemicals and physical properties and/or its positive effects on immune system. Ginger stimulates digestion as it influences positively the terminal enzymes of digestive process. (Ahmed and Sharma, 1997 and Platel

and Srinivasan, 2000). However, aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid) is known to inhibit the cyclooxygenases and enhancement of cellular immune response, or induction of apoptosis (Shiff and Rigas, 1999 and Subongkot *et al.*, 2003).

CONCLUSION

From the foregoing results it could be concluded that aflatoxin contamination of fish diets caused many drastic effects on all the tested parameters. Also, AFB₁ is very dangerous from the view point of fish production and public health. It could be recommended for the beneficial using of 0.5% ginger and/or 0.5% aspirin to alleviate the toxic effects of AFB₁ contaminated fish diets. Also, it is a must to conduct a lot of scientific efforts in this trend to use the medical herbs and other natural agents to detoxify the aflatoxic diets of fish. But, the wisdom still right, that prophylaxis, from toxic effects of mycotoxins especially AFB₁, is more useful than treatments.

REFERENCES

- Abd El- Hakim, N. F., M.N. Bakeer and M.A. Soltan (2002). Water Environment for Fish Culture. Deposition No.: 4774, ISBN: 977-298-228-5.
- Abdelhamid, A.M. (1981). Mykotoxine in österreichischen Futtermitteln. Dissertation-Bodenkultur University-Wien.

- Abdelhamid, A.M. (1996). Field and Laboratorial Analysis in Animal Production. 1st Ed. Dar Al-Nashr for Universities, Cairo. Depos. No. 11318/96.
- Abdelhamid, A. M. (2000 a). Fungi and Mycotoxins, 1st Ed. Dar Anashr for Universities, Cairo, Deposition No. 13738/97, ISBN: 977/5526180/9. 539p.
- Abdelhamid, A. M. (2000 b). Scientific Fundamentals of Fish Production and Husbandry. 2nd. Ed., Mansoura Faculty of Agriculture.
- Abdelhamid, A.M. and K.I. Mahmoud, (1996). Elimination or adsorption of aflatoxin from poultry feedstuffs. Proc. Conf. Foodborne contamination & Egyptian's Health, Mansoura Univ., 26-27 Nov., pp. 61-69.
- Abdelhamid, A.M. and M.R. Saléh, (1996). Are aflatoxin and ochratoxin endemic mycotoxins in Egypt? Proc. Conf. Foodborne Contamination and Egyptian's Health, University of Mansoura, Nov. 26 - 27, pp. 51-59.
- Abdelhamid, A.M., A.E. Abdel-Khalek, A.I. Mehrim, and F.F. Khalil, (2004 c). An attempt to alleviate aflatoxicosis on Nile tilapia fish by dietary supplementations with chicken-hatchery by-products (egg shells) and shrimp processing wastes (shrimp shells). 1- On fish performance and feed and nutrients utilization. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 29 : 6157 -6173.
- Abdelhamid, A.M., A.E. Abdel-Khalek, A.I. Mehrim, and F.F. Khalil, (2004 d). An attempt to alleviate aflatoxicosis on Nile tilapia fish by dietary supplementations with chicken-hatchery by-products (egg shells) and shrimp processing wastes (shrimp shells). 2- On clinical, blood and histological parameters. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 29 : 6175 - 6196.
- Abdelhamid, A.M., A.M. Ahmed and Kh.M. El-Meleigy (2002 a). Detoxification of aflatoxins – contaminated diet by some physical and chemical means. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27: 8213 – 8224.
- Abdelhamid, A.M., A.A. Ahmed, and Kh.M. El- Meleigy (2004 a). An attempt to alleviate the histological alterations of some internal organs of rats fed on aflatoxin contaminated diets. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 29: 2355-2370.
- Abdelhamid, A. M., F. F. M. Khalil,, M. I. El-Barbary, V. H. Zaki and H. S. Hussein, (2002 b). Feeding Nile tilapia on Biogen[®] to detoxify aflatoxic diets. Proc.1st Conf. Animal & Fish Prod., Mansoura, 24&25, Sept., pp:207-230.
- Abdelhamid, A. M., F. F. Khalil and M. A. Ragab, (1998). Problem of mycotoxins in fish production. Egypt. J. Nutr. and Feeds, 1: 63-71.
- Abdelhamid, A. M., F.I. Magouz, M.F.E. Salem, A.A. Mohamed and M.K. Mohsen (2002c). Effect of graded levels of aflatoxin B₁ on growth performance and biochemical, chromosomal and histological behaviour of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. Proc.1st Conf. Animal & Fish Prod.,

- Mansoura, 24&25, Sept., pp:231-250.
- Abdelhamid, A.M., A.I. Mehrim, and F.F. Khalil (2004b). Detoxification of aflatoxin-contaminated diet of tilapia fish using dietary supplementation with egg shell, Betafin, clay or silica. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 29: 3163-3174.
- Abdelhamid, A. M., Ragab M. A. and A.F. El-Shaieb (2002d). The use of tafla or aluminosilicate for alleviating toxic effects of aflatoxin-contaminated diets of growing rabbits. *Proc.1st Conf. Animal & Fish Prod., Mansoura, 24&25, Sept.*, pp:389-413.
- Abdelhamid, A.M., E.A. Sadik and E.A. Fayzalla (1985). Preserving power of some additives against fungal invasion and mycotoxin production in stored-crushed-corn containing different levels of moisture. *Acta Phytopathologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica*, 20(3-4): 309-320.
- Abdelhamid, A. M., A. E., Sallam, G. A. Abd Allah, and S. H. El-Samra (2002e). Effect of feeding male rats on aflatoxic diets without or with medicinal herbs (thyme, safflower, ginger, black cumin and/or garlic). *Proc. 2nd Conf. Foodborne contamination and Egyptian's Health*, 23-24 April, El-Mansoura, pp: 99-121.
- Ahmed, R.S. and S.B. Sharma (1997). Biochemical studies on combined effects of garlic (*Allium sativum* Linn) and ginger (*Zingiber officinal* Rosce) in albino rats. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.*, 35: 841
- Alabaster, J. S. and R. Lioyd (1982). *Water Quality Criteria for Fresh Water*. Fish. Second edition. Bulter Work Scientific, London.
- AOAC (2000). *Association of Official Analytical Chemists of Official Methods of Analysis*, 17th Ed. Washington, DC.
- Arim, R.H. (1995). Present status of the aflatoxin situation in the Phillipines. *Food Addit. and Contaminants* 12: 291 – 296
- Barham, D. (1972). A cute toxicity of aflatoxin B1 and G1 in the rainbow trout .*Toxicology and Applied pharmacology*; 15;415-419.
- Bathnagar, D. and S. Garcia (2001). *Aspergillus*. In: Labbe', R.G., Garcia, S. (Eds.), *Guide to Foodborne Pathogens*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. 35– 49.
- Belfied, A. and D.M. Goldberg (1971). OchratoxinA in the food chain. *Anim. Res. Develop.*,33:80-97.
- Carpenter, H.M., Q., Zhang, C., El-Zahar, D.P., Selivonchick, D.E. Brock and L.R. Curtis (1995). *In vitro* and *in vivo* temperature modulation of hepatic metabolism and DNA adduction of aflatoxin B₁ in rainbow trout. *J. Biochem. Toxicol.*, 10: 1-10.
- Constantini, A.V., H. Wieland, and L. Qvick (1999). *The Fungal / Mycotoxin Etiology of Breast Cancer-Foods That Cause & Foods That Prevent*. WHO Collaborating Center for Mycotoxins in Food/Albert Ludwigns School of Medicine, Freiburg-Germany.

- Devegowda, G., M. V. L. N. Raju, Afzali, N. and H. V. L. N. Swamy, (1998). Mycotoxins picture worldwide: Novel solutions for their counteraction. In T.P. Lyons and K.A. Jacques (Eds.) Biotechnology in the feed industry, pp.241-255. Proc. of All tech's 14th annual Symposium, Nottingham, U.K.
- Doumas, B.T. and H.G. Biggs, (1972). Determination of serum albumin in standard method of clinical chemistry. Vol.7 Edited by G.R. Cooper, New York Academic press.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F-test. Biometrics, 11:1-42.
- El-Said, M.E.F. (1997). Physiological responsiveness of freshwater fish to food contamination. M.Sc. Thesis, Zagazig Univ., Fac. of Science, Egypt.
- FAO, (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) (1997). Aquaculture Production Statistics 1986-1995. FAO Fish. Circ. No. 815, Rev. 9, Rome, Italy. 179 pp.
- FAO, (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations) (2002). Evaluation of Certain Mycotoxins in Food: Fifty-sixth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, World Health organization, Geneva.
- Farr, D.F., G.F. Billis, G.P. Chamuris, and A.Y. Rossman, (1989). Fungi on plants and plant products in the United States. American Phytopathological. Society Press, St. Paul, MN, pp. 1-152.
- Glaister, J.R. (1986). Principles of Toxicological Pathology. Taylor & Francis, London and Philadelphia.
- Gornall, A. G., G. J. Bardawill and M. M. Parid, (1949). Method of protein in serum blood J.Biol.Chem.,177: 751.
- Gradelet, S., P. Astorg, A.M., Le, R. Berges and M. Suschetet, (1997). Modulation of aflatoxin B₁ carcinogenicity, genotoxicity and metabolism in rat liver by dietary carotenoids: evidence for a protective effect of CYP1A inducers. Cancer Letters,114:221-223.
- Hussein, S.Y., I.A.A., Mekkawy, Z.Z. Moktar and M. Mubarak, (2000). Protective effect of *Nigella sativa* seed against aflatoxicosis in *Oreochromis niloticus*. Proc. Conf. Mycotoxins and Dioxins and the Environment, Bydgoszcz, 25 - 27 Sept., pp: 109 - 130.
- Ibrahim, D.H.E. (2004). Biochemical studies on fungi toxins of feedstuffs in Dakahlia and Damietta governorates. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Mansoura Univ.
- Jangaard, P. M., R. G. Ackman and J. C. Spios, (1967). Seasonal studies of the fatty acids composition of cod liver flesh, roe and milt lipids. J. Fish Res. Bd. of Canada, 24: 613-627.
- Karaman, M.H., Basmacioglu, M. Ortatatl and H. Oguz, (2005). Evaluation of the detoxifying effect of yeast glucomannan on aflatoxicosis in broilers as assessed

- by gross examination and histopathology. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 46:394-400.
- Kasper, C.S., M.R. White and P.B. Brown (2002). Betaine can replace choline in diets for juvenile Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Aquaculture*, 205: 119 – 126.
- McDonald, P., R.A. Edwards, and J.F.D. Greenhalgh (1973). *Animal Nutrition*, 2nd Ed., Longman, London.
- Murjani, G. (2003). Chronic aflatoxicosis in fish and its relevance to human health. E-mail: Cifa @ ori.nic.in.
- Nguyen, A. T., J. M., Grizzle, R. T., Lovell, B. B. Manning and E. G. Rottinghaus, (2002). Growth and hepatic lesions of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* fed diets containing aflatoxin B₁. *Aquaculture*, 212: 311-319.
- Njapau H., E. Muzungaile and C.C. Changa, (1998). The Effect of Village Processing Techniques on the Content of Aflatoxins in Corn and Peanuts in Zambia *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 76: 450 – 456
- Platel, K. and K. Srinivasan, (2000). Influence of dietary spices and their active principles on pancreatic digestive enzymes in albino rats. *Nahrung*, 44: 42.
- Raju, M. V. L. N. and G. Devegowda, (2000). Influence of esterified-glucomannan on performance and organ morphology, serum biochemistry and haematology in broilers exposed to individual and combined mycotoxicosis (aflatoxin, ochratoxin and T-2 toxin). *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 41:640-650.
- Richmond, W. (1973). Effect of different feeds and food and intoxicate various animal and man. *Clin. Chem.*, 19: 1350-1356.
- Salem, M.F.E. (2002). Effect of dietary graded levels of aflatoxin B1 on growth performance and chromosomal behaviour of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. Ph.D. Thesis, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ.
- SAS (1997). SAS/STAT Guide for personal computer. SAS Inst. Cary, N. C.
- Shehata, S.A., M.S. Mohamed and G.A. Mohamed (2003). Reducing the toxicity of aflatoxin B, by different adsorbents in fish. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 28: 7157-7167.
- Shiff, S.J. and B. Rigas (1999). The role of cyclooxygenase inhibition in the antineoplastic effects of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). *J Exp Med*, 190:445–50.
- Soliman, K. M., A.M. Ayesh, M. A. M. Essa, and K. Naguib, (1998). Fix-A-tox in aquaculture: 1-Effect of aflatoxin decontamination by a selective chemisorbent materials on the *Oreochromis niloticus* with considering fish processing efficiency. *J. Egypt. Ger. Soc. Zoot. Vol. 25 (A) Comparative Physiology*, 1 – 19.
- Soliman, K.M. and R.I. Badeaa, (2002). Effect of oil extracted from some medicinal plants on different mycotoxigenic fungi. *Food and*

- Chemical Toxicology, 40 (11): 1669-1675.
- Soliman, M.K., R.H. Khalil,, S.A. Youssef and N.M. Mahfouz, (2000). Aflatoxicosis among cultured freshwater fish. Abstracts AQUA-2000, Nice-France, May 2 - 6, p: 801.
- Subongkot, S., D. Frame, W. Leslie, and D. Drajer (2003). Selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition: a target in cancer prevention and treatment. Pharmacotherapy, 23:9-28.
- Sweeney, M. J. and A. D.W. Dobson (1998). Mycotoxin production by *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium* and *Penicillium* species. (A Review) Int. J. of Food Microbiology, 43: 141-158
- Tseng, W Y. and K. L. Chan (1982). The reproductive biology of the rabbit fish in Hong Kong. J. World Maricul. Soc., 13: 313-321.
- Varley, V. (1976). Parical clinical Biochemisstry. 4th Edition, Newdelhi, India. Etal.
- Vimala, S. , A. W. Northanom and M. Yadav, (1999). Anti-tumor promoter activity in Malaysian ginger rhizobia used in traditional medicine. Br. J. Cancer, 80: 110.
- Vincelli P., G. Parker and S. Mcneill, (1995). Aflatoxins in Corn. Cooperative Extension Service, University of Kentucky, College of Agriculture, Publication ID-59.
- Weichsebum, T. E. (1946). Method for determination of albumin in serum blood. Amer. J. Clin. Pathol., 16-40.

محاولات غذائية لإزالة سمية علائق اسماك البلطي الملوثة بالأفلاتوكسين: 1- أداء الأسماك واستفادتها من الغذاء والمغذيات، لدلائل الأعضاء، المتبقيات، و قياسات الدم

عبدالحميد محمد عبد الحميد¹، محمود فؤاد إسماعيل سالم²، أحمد إسماعيل محرم¹، محمد عبد الفتاح محمد الشعراوي¹

¹ قسم إنتاج الحيوان- كلية الزراعة- جامعة المنصورة ، المنصورة- ج.م.ع.

²المعمل المركزي لبحوث الثروة السمكية بالعباسة- شرقية- وحدة بحوث الأسماك بسخا- ج.م.ع.

أجريت هذه الدراسة للكشف عن التأثيرات السامة للأفلاتوكسين ب₁ على أصبغيات البلطي النيلي وحيد الجنس ، وكذا لمحاولة إزالة تلك الآثار السمية باستخدام بعض الإضافات الغذائية. لذلك تم إضافة 0.5 % من كل من هذه المواد وهي مادة ال Bio-Buds-2x ، زهر البابونج ، الأسبرين و الجنزيبيل لعلائق أسماك البلطي النيلي الملوثة بالأفلاتوكسين (100 جزء في البليون أفلاتوكسين ب₁). قدمت هذه العلائق على مدار 6 أيام في الأسبوع بمعدل 3% من الكتلة الحيوية الحقيقية للأسماك في الأحواض الزجاجية ، حيث تمثلت كل معاملة في مكررتين (حوضين) ، وتم تغذية الأسماك على هذه العلائق لمدة 14 أسبوعاً. حيث أوضحت النتائج أن العلائق الملوثة بالأفلاتوكسين أدت إلى تأثيرات سمية على كل من معدل النمو و الإعاشة للأسماك ، الاستفادة من الغذاء والبروتين ، ودلائل الأعضاء الداخلية ، والتحليل الكيماوي لجسم الأسماك ، وكذا سجلت النتائج وجود متبقيات من الأفلاتوكسين ب₁ في جسم الأسماك المعاملة ، كما أثر هذا السم تأثيرات سمية على قياسات الدم المختلفة للأسماك. كذلك أظهرت النتائج أن العليقة المحتوية على الجنزيبيل قد خففت من تلك التأثيرات السمية للأفلاتوكسين على الأسماك ، حيث تحسنت كل القياسات المباشرة للسكر للأسماك المعاملة بالأفلاتوكسين. بصفة عامة أوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها في هذه الدراسة الحالية أن الجنزيبيل يعد أفضل مادة مستخدمة لإزالة التأثيرات السمية للأفلاتوكسين ب₁ يليها الأسبرين ثم زهر البابونج على التوالي.