GENETICAL ANALYSIS OF SOME Vicia faba GENOTYPES FOR TOLERANCE TO Orobanche INFESTATION

M.M. Abd EL-MAKSOUD¹, M. S. HAMADA¹, M.I. Amer² and W.M. El-Rodeny²

 Genetics Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, , Egypt
 Food Legumes Section, Field Crop Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Eleven faba bean genotypes and their 28 F, hybrids produced according to 4x7 factorial mating design were evaluated under natural infested soil with Orobanche crenata seeds. The results revealed that mean squares of genotypes were significant for all studied traits, indicated the existence of genetic variability among studied genotypes particularly for reaction to Orobanche. The mean performances of the studied parents could be arrunged into three groups, the first group included resistant genotypes, second group, included the partial tolerant to Orobanche and the third group includes susceptible parents. In addition, the best heterosis value for earliness was detected in the crosses; Misr 1 x Sakha 1 and Misr 1 x Sakha 2 relative to their mid-parents. Moreover, 20 out of 28 crosses exhibited highly significant positive heterosis relative to midparents value for seed yield/plant. The resistant genotypes Misr 1, C.1657 and Misr 2 and also the early genotypes Sakha 1, T.W and C. 1543 have greater combining ability effects for seed yield/plant than the other genotypes. The most desirable crosses which had desiruble values of SCA effects were C. 1657 x C. 1534 and T.W. x BPL710 for number of pods/plant and seed yield/plant. Furthermore, the best combination for reaction to Orobanche was C.1657 x C.1543. The additive genetic variance appeared to be larger than non-additive genetic variance for studied traits except for plant height and number of seeds/plant. This indicated that additive genetic variance played an important role in the inheritance of these traits. Concerning the other studied trait as plant height and number of seeds/plant, non-additive genetic variance was more important than additive genetic variance. The estimation of dominance degree ratio was confirmed that the importance of non-additive genetic variance for plant height and number of seeds/plant. Heritability in the broad sense estimates were close to the corresponding values of narrow sense heritability for most studied traits, indicating that a major part of the total genetypic variance is additive. Reaction to Orobanche appeared to be genetically and phenotypically negative correlated with yield and its components. It could be concluded that the recurrent selection is the proper method for developing desiruble lines in advanced generations for their tolerance to Orobanche

Key words: Vicia faba, Orobanche crenata, Heterosis, Combining ability, Genetic parameters

INTRODUCTION

Faba bean (Vicia faba L.) is the most important source of puls protein for both man and animals in the Mediterranean area (Scarasia and

Marzie, 1970). In the Mediterranean region, the most important biotic stress on faba bean is broomrape (Orobanche crenata Forsk). This is an obligate parasitic weed which severely stresses faba bean plants, often leading to complete crop loss. The extent of the damage caused by Orobanche is often hidden because many farmers who have lost their crop in the past simply stop growing faba bean in Orobanche infested fields. Therefore, the most important factor limiting faba bean production throughout the Mediterranean region is broomrape (Grenz et al 2005). Different parameters have been used by different authors to evaluate the susceptibility/resistance of Vicia faba and other crop plants to Orobanche spp. (Radwan et al 1988 and Perez-de-Luque et al 2005). On the other hand, knowledges about nature of gene action are needed to determine the desirable breeding programe for improvement of different traits. Additive and non-additive genetic variance could be derived from the combining ability analysis. In this respect, Abaas (2001) and El-Hifny et al (2001) reported that both additive and non-additive gene action contribute in the inheritance of most studied traits in faba bean, while additive gene efficts played the major role in the genetic expression of days to flowering, plant height, number of branches/plant, number of seed/pod, 100-seed weight and seed yield/plant. Therefore, this investigation was carried out to partition the genetic variance to its components for some faba bean traits, which may play a role in the resistance mechanism to *Orobanche crenata*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eleven faba bean genotypes with different background were obtained from the Field Crops Research Institute. Agricultural Research Center, Sakha Station. These cultivars were; Misr 1, Cross 1657, Determinant (6), Triple White, Sakha 1, Sakha 2, C. 1534, Misr 2, Luz de Otona, Rena Mora and BPL 710. During 2003/2004 season, the parental genotypes were sown under the insect free cage. The first four genotypes were crossed as male parents to each of the remaining seven genotypes as females in a factorial mating design. In 2004/2005 growing season, the obtained 39 genotypes which included eleven parental genotypes and 28 F1 hybrids were evaluated under naturally heavily infested soil with Orobanche crenata seeds. In the experiment, data were recorded on the following traits; no. of branches/plant, no. of pods/plant, no. of seeds/plant, no. of seeds/pod, seed yield/plant, 100-seed weight and reaction to Orobanche (number of Orobanche spikes and Orobanche spikes dry weight). Analysis of variance was done according to Steel and Torrie (1960). Heterosis was estimated as the percentage of increase in the mean of the F₁ hybrids over mid-parents (M.P) or better parent (B.P) values. General and specific combining ability were estimated according to Kempthorne (1957) procedure as outlined by Singh and Chaudhary (1985).

Subsequently, genetic variance was partitioned to its components as additive and non-additive (including dominance) genetic variances, according to Comstock and Robinson (1952). The coefficient of inbreeding for maternal and paternal varieties was considered to be equal to one. Subsequently, heritability and dominance degree were estimated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The significance mean squares of 4×7 factorial F_1 mating and for studied traits are presented in Table (1). The results cleared the presence of

Table 1. Analysis of variance and mean squares of 4 x 7 factorial mating design for all studied traits under *Orobanche*-infestation

| | | | | Seeda/ plant | Sylvide | 100 0-4 | | Reaction to Orobanche | | |
|---------|-----|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| s.o.v | d.f | Plant Plant | Pode/ plant | | Plant (g) | 199-Seed Weight (g) | poeds /pod | No. of Orobanchesp ikes | Spikes dry Weight | |
| Repo | 2 | 0.17 | 1.14 | 5.79 | 9.44 | 1.78 | 8.35 | 1.14 | 15.03 | |
| Gene. | 38 | 7,36** | 123.3** | 1126.7 | 928.4** | 489.5** | 1.73** | 665.3** | 1953** | |
| Creases | 27 | 4.61** | 82.8** | 996.5** | 745.6** | 503.1** | 1.30** | 339.7** | 614.5** | |
| Mintes | 3 | 23.5** | 187,8** | 1062** | 1057.5** | 1491.7** | 5.02** | 973.8** | 1683** | |
| Females | 6 | 4.7** | 153.7* | 1509.7 | 2002.4** | 723.9 | 1.30 | 57.6* | 1410.5* | |
| M. X F. | 18 | 1.4** | 41.7** | 679.9** | 274.6** | 264.7** | 0.00** | 155.9** | 171.1** | |
| Errer | 76 | 0.15 | 1.32 | 3.62 | 3.17 | 1.85 | 0.10 | 5.30 | 19.05 | |

[&]quot;," Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability respectively.

highly significant differences were recorded among all genotypes (eleven parents and their 28 F_I hybrids) for studied traits except no. of seeds/plant. This finding indicated the existence of genetic variability among studied genotypes, particularly for reaction to *Orobanche*. In addition, partitioning the mean squares to crosses male parents and females interaction were highly significant for studied traits except the male x female interaction was highly significant for all studied traits, this indicating that female parents differed in the order of performance in crosses with each of the male parents. female parents for seeds/seed index and seeds/pod mean squares were significant. Similar results were obtained by Darwish *et al* (1999) and Toker (2004).

Mean performance of parents and crosses for the studied traits are presented in Table (2). Significant differences were observed among most genotypes for measured traits. Judging by the level of yield and its components, the studied parental cultivars could be arranged into three groups. The first group contains the genotypes of Misr 1, C. 1657 and Misr 2, which considered being the most tolerant group to *Orobanche*. These genotypes accompanied with high yielding (51.10, 50.65 and 59.92, respectively.), and least number of *Orobanche* (10.33, 11.33 and 12.33, respectively). The second group of parents, includes Sakha 1 and C.1534 is partial tolerant to *Orobanche* which parasitized by moderate levels of *Orobanche* and accompanied with medium seed yield/plant (30.52 and

Table 2. The mean performances of parents and their F1 hybrids for studied characters under *Orobanche*-infested soil

| | cters unc | 161 O/O | Duntine. | .1111.03 | icu svii | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | L .] | Pods/ | | Ι. | Seed, yield/ | 100-Seed | Reaction | |
| Genotypes | Branches/ Plant | | Seeds/ plant | seeds | Plant | Weight | No. of Orobanch | Spikes drv |
| | PARET : | plant | pennt | /pod | (g) | (g) | e spikes | ery Weight |
| Misr 1 | 4.34 | 20.34 | 61.34 | 3.02 | 51.10 | 83.31 | 10.33 | 25.00 |
| C.1657 | 2.42 | 18.91 | 59.65 | 3.15 | 50.65 | 84,92 | 11.33 | 30.00 |
| D6 | 6.58 | 3.95 | 12.43 | 3.14 | 11.88 | 95.58 | 60.00 | 65.00 |
| T.W | 1.10 | 4.13 | 9.64 | 2,33 | 6,10 | 63.32 | 55,00 | 77,67 |
| Sekha 1 | 2.52 | 11.99 | 42.26 | 3.52 | 30.52 | 72.24 | 17.67 | 70.00 |
| Sakha 2 | 5.28 | 3.72 | 16.14 | 4.34 | 14.29 | 88.58 | 51.90 | 102.33 |
| C. 1534 | 2.25 | 9.25 | 27.70 | 3.00 | 22,12 | 79.85 | 22.67 | 82.33 |
| Misr 2 | 4.24 | 25.50 | 76.01 | 2.98 | 59.92 | 78.84 | 12.33 | 21.67 |
| Rena Mora | 7.37 | 4.68 | 23.46 | 5.02 | 25.61 | 109.13 | 40.67 | 96.00 |
| Luz de Otona | 5.63 | 3.26 | 16,91 | 5.19 | 18.53 | 109.63 | 38.00 | 93.00 |
| PBL710 | 6.23 | 3.97 | 8.15 | 2.05 | 6.67 | 81.87 | 52.67 | 121.67 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Mier I x Sakha 1 | 5.34 | 22.80 | 57.39 | 2.52 | 55.89 | 97.38 | 7.67 | 30.00 |
| Misr 1 x Sakha 2 | 5,68 | 13.39 | 33.35 | 2.49 | 27.11 | 81.30 | 19.00 | 40.00 |
| Misr 1 x C. 1534 | 3.58 | 17.64 | 52.98 | 3.00 | 46,94 | 88.59 | 9.33 | 36,67 |
| Misr 1 x Misr 2 | 5.39 | 35.11 | 125.59 | 3.58 | 116.67 | 92.89 | 6.00 | 13.33 |
| Misr 1 x Rena Mora | 6.45 | 8.60 | 35.76 | 4.16 | 38.81 | 108.54 | 17.00 | 45.00 |
| Misr 1 x Luz de etena | 6.48 | 6.12 | 30.34 | 4.96 | 29.42 | 96,95 | 19.67 | 44.67 |
| Misr 1 x BPL710 | 5.40 | 6.79 | 26.25 | 3.87 | 22.20 | 84.58 | 17.00 | 40.67 |
| C. 1657 x Sakha 1 | 4.06 | 14.77 | 50.57 | 3.42 | 40.37 | 79.82 | 9.00 | 29.00 |
| C. 1657 z Sakha 2 | 4.63 | 16.11 | 44.16 | 2.74 | 39.62 | 89,73 | 16.67 | 34.33 |
| C. 1657 x C. 1534 | 3,47 | 22.82 | 72.44 | 3.17 | 68.03 | 93.91 | 12,33 | 38.33 |
| C. 1657 x Misr 2 | 4.55 | 25.03 | 80.99 | 3.24 | 77.81 | 96.08 | 11.00 | 25.00 |
| C. 1657 x Rena Mora | 5.25 | 13.98 | 58.93 | 4.21 | 56,75 | 96.30 | 19.00 | 54.00 |
| C. 1657 x Luz de Otona | 5.81 | 9.16 | 42.44 | 4.63 | 39.32 | 92.64 | 19.00 | 46.33 |
| C. 1657 x BPL710 | 5,60 | 9.36 | 29.24 | 3,12 | 26.24 | 89.75 | 18.67 | 55.00 |
| D. (6) x Sakha 1 | 5.10 | 14.35 | 55.04 | 3.84 | 47.54 | 86.38 | 22.67 | 45.00 |
| D. (6) x Sakha 2 | 5.60 | 6.76 | 26.83 | 3,97 | 22,95 | 89.48 | 40.00 | 45,67 |
| D. (6) x C. 1534 | 6.58 | 10.27 | 38.65 | 3.76 | 32.21 | 83.34 | 23.67 | 45.00 |
| D. (6) x Misr 2 | 6.53 | 16.18 | 54.11 | 3.35 | 51.43 | 95.05 | 22.33 | 35.00 |
| D. (6) x Rena Mora | 7.95 | 7.49 | 39.64 | 5.29 | 42.08 | 84.78 | 28.00 | 52.33 |
| D. (6) x Luz de otona D. (6) x BPL710 | 7.46 | 6.12 5.18 | 28.09 17.79 | 3.43 | 35.04 14.53 | 93.65 | 26.33 | 48.00 |
| T.W x Sakha 1 | 3.77 | 14.38 | 37.10 | 2.58 | 31.70 | 81.65 85.43 | 29.00 21.67 | 55.90 42.33 |
| T.W x Sakha 2 | 4.64 | 5.03 | 15.46 | 3.07 | 12.58 | 81.39 | 28.33 | 49.67 |
| T.W x C. 1534 | 3.53 | 19.26 | 27.56 | 2.73 | 21.97 | 78.52 | 30.33 | 46,67 |
| T.W x Misr 2 | 3.86 | 20.70 | 62.44 | 3.02 | 56,80 | 90.96 | 20.00 | 40.33 |
| T.W x Rene Morn | 4.52 | 7.54 | 33.32 | 4.42 | 28.83 | 86.52 | 36,00 | 57.67 |
| T.W x Luz de otona | 4.39 | 6.72 | 29.51 | 4.39 | 32.72 | 82.83 | 38.67 | 64.33 |
| T.W x BPL719 | 4.13 | 6.38 | 16,06 | 2.52 | 12,95 | 85,84 | 38,33 | 86,67 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.62 | 1.87 | 3.09 | 2.89 | 2,21 | 0.51 | 3,74 | 7.09 |
| 0.01 | 0.83 | 2.48 | 4.10 | 3.83 | 2,93 | 0.68 | 4.96 | 9.40 |

22.12, respectively.) and small seeds size. These two groups of genotypes exhibited high percentage of podded plants accompanied by reliable characters of the individuals in addition to lower rate of *Orobanche* infestation (as number of spikes per plant) reflected in a better seed yield per plant compared to the stocks of the rest group. Third group includes D6, T.W., Sakha 2, Rena Mora, Otona and BPL710 which may be considered as susceptible parents. This group is parasitized by highest number of *Orobanche* accompanied with low yielding stocks (11.88, 6.11, 14.29, 25.61, 18.53 and 6.6, respectively.), and large seeds size. The low parasitism is generally accompanied by relatively better host growth, a higher percentage of fruiting plants and higher seed yield as reported by Abdalla and Darwish (1996).

Out of 28 crosses 20 exhibited highly significant positive heterosis % over mid-parent for seed yield/plant which ranged from 13.67 to 110.16% (Table 3). For better parent heterosis, highly significant heterotic effect (3.85 to 94.69%) were observed in 12 crosses for this traits. In addition to, the record negative heterosis % for *Orobanche*. In this respect, nine hybrids showed significant negative heterotic values over their respective tolerant parent (-15.38 to -38.46%). Generally, the results of this study revealed the presence of heterosis over mid-parents value for most traits either concerning *Orobanche* infestaion or those of yield and its components. Therefore, the expected selection program in these materials in the advanced segregating populations would not be limited to the superior specific hybrids, and the expected improvement would be fruitful. These results are in harmony with those reported by EL-Galaly (1997), EL-Hifny (2001) and Zeid (2003).

Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects of parents for studied traits are given in (Table 4). Generally, wide variation could be observed among genotypes for their combining ability effects for the traits under study. Results showed that irrespective of the statistical differences, the resistant genotypes Misr 1, C.1657 and Misr 2 and also the early genotypes Sakha 1, T.W and C. 1543 have greater combining ability effects for seed yield/plant than the other genotypes. While, the susceptible genotypes and also the late ones; D6, Rena Mora, Otona and BPL 710 were inferior combiner for most important traits as yield and yield components. The most interesting aspect that facing plant breeders is to know whether GCA for a given parent agrees with its own performance or not. The results obtained here showed an excellent agreement between the parental performance and its GCA effects for most studied traits. Similar results were previously reported by Khalil et al (1994), Helal (1997) and Abaas (2001).

Table 3. Precentages of heterosis over mid-parents M.P and better parent B.P for all studied traits

| | N | No. of branches/plant No. of pods/j | | 4-4-0 | | | Se | ed | 100- | seed . | No | . of | Reaction to Orobanche | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Genotypes | No. of branc | | | rie. or poda/plant | | No. of seed/plant | | yield/plant (g) | | weight | | seeds/pod | | No. of Orobanche spikes | | Spikes dry weight |
| | M.P. | B.P. | M.P. | B.P. | M.P. | B.P. | M.P. | B.P. | M.P. | B.P. | M.P. | B.P. | M.P. | B.P. | M.P. | B.P. |
| Mis 1 x Sk1 | 55,68** | 23.0** | 41.1** | 12,1** | 10.80** | -6.43* | 36.94** | 9.37** | 25.21** | 16.9** | -23.** | -28.** | -45,44 | -25,8 | 36.8** | 20.0 |
| Mis1 x Sk 2 | 18.10** | 7.61** | 11.31 | -34.1** | -13.9** | 45.6** | -17,1** | -46.9** | -5.40 | 12,55 | -32.** | -42.** | -38.** | 83.** | 37.2* | 60* |
| Mis1x 1534 | 8.68 | -17.5** | 19.22** | -13.3** | 19.00** | -13.6** | 28.20** | -8.2** | 8.60 | 6.34 | -0.06 | -0.38 | 43.** | -9.** | 31.7** | 46,7** |
| Mia1xMia 2 | 25.54** | 24.2** | 53.19** | 72.60** | 82.88** | 65.23** | 110.2** | 54.69** | 14.58* | 11.5** | 19.31* | 18.6** | -47.** | -41** | -42.86 | -38.4** |
| Mis1x R.M | 10.11* | -12.5** | -31,23** | -57.7** | -15.7** | -41.7** | 1.19 | -24.1** | 12.80* | -0.5** | 3,49 | -17.** | -33.** | 64,5 | -21.7** | 80.0** |
| Misix L de | 30.00** | 15.1** | -48.11** | -69.90* | -22.4** | -50.5** | -15.5** | -42.4** | 0.50 | -11.56 | 20.8** | -4.46 | -18.** | 90.** | -24.3** | 78,7** |
| Mis1 x 710 | 2.10 | -13.4** | -44.15** | -66.6** | -24.5** | -57.21 | -23.2** | -56.56 | 2.41 | 1.52 | 52.6** | 28.2** | -46.** | 64.5* | -44.6** | 62.7** |
| 1657 x Sk 1 | 64.24** | 85.50 | -4.40 | -21.8** | -0.75 | -15.2** | -0.55 | -20.3** | 1.58 | -6.01 | 2.53 | -2.8** | -37.** | -20.5 | -42.0** | -3.33 |
| 1657 x Sk 2 | 20.33** | -12.2** | 42.33** | -14.8** | 16.54** | -25.9** | 22.02** | -21.7** | 3.44 | 1.30** | -26.** | -36.8 | -46,** | 47.** | 48.1** | 14,4** |
| 1657x1534 | 48.55** | 43.3** | 62.11** | 20.68** | 65.86** | 21.45** | 86.96** | 34.30** | 13.99* | 10.5** | 3.22 | 0.64 | -27.5* | 8.8** | -31.7** | 27.8** |
| 1657xMis 2 | 36.63** | 7.30** | 12.74** | 32.37** | 19.40** | 6.55** | 40.74** | 29,85** | 17.35* | 13.1** | 5.46 | 2.58 | -7.04 | -2.44 | -3.23 | 15.4** |
| 16571R.M | 7.16 | -28.81 | 18.57** | -26.0** | 41.82** | -1.19 | 48.85** | 12,05 | -0.75 | -11.75 | 3.15 | -16,01 | -26.** | 67.** | -10.0 | 80.0** |
| 1657 x L de | 44.3** | 3.16 | -17.33* | -51.5** | 10.89** | -28.8** | 13.67** | -22,3** | -4.76 | -15.5* | 11.06 | -10.71 | -22.9* | 67.6 | -24.7** | 54.44 |
| 1657 ±710 | 29.53** | -10.1** | -18.21* | -50.5** | -13.7** | -50.9** | -8.45 | -48.2** | 7.61 | 5.68 | 20.02* | -0.9** | -41.** | 64.** | -27.5** | 83,3** |
| D.(6) x Sk 1 | 12.00* | -22.58 | 79,99** | 19,67** | 81.29** | 30.24** | 64.23 | 55,7** | 2.95 | -9.62 | 15.05 | 8.84 | -41.** | 28.** | -33.3** | -30.8** |
| D. (6) 1Sk 2 | -5.58 | -14.9** | 76.07** | 79.89 | 87.84** | 66.25** | 75.39** | 60.57** | -7.89 | -10.** | 6.17 | -8.5 | -27.** | -21** | 45.4** | -29.74 |
| D.(6) x1534 | 48.98** | -0.05 | 55.59** | 11.06** | 92.64** | 39.52** | 89.50** | 45.62 | -4,99 | -12.** | 22.6** | 25.6** | -42.44 | 4.41 | -38.9** | -30.77 |
| D.(6) xMis2 | 20.67** | -0.76** | 9.85 | -36.5** | 22,37** | -28.8** | 43.27** | -14.2** | 8.99 | -0.55 | 9.24 | 12,22 | -38,** | 81.0* | -19.23 | 61.54 |
| D.(6) 1R.M | 13.88** | 7.79** | 73.60** | 60.18** | 90,87** | 68.92** | 64.54** | 64.34 | 3.73 | -2.7** | 29.7** | 5.5** | -44,41 | -3144 | -32.5** | -19.5** |
| D.(6) x Lde | 22.06** | 13,3** | 69.79** | 54.89** | 91.52** | 66.15** | 60.42** | 89.03** | 21.57** | 13,8** | 10.14 | -11.55 | -46,44 | -30** | -39.2** | -26.2** |
| D. (6) x710 | 16.56** | 13.4** | 30.86 | 31.11** | 72.94** | 43.17** | 56.64** | 22.31** | -7.97 | -14,5* | 32.1** | 9.20 | -48.** | -44** | 41.1** | -15,4** |
| T.W x Ski | 78.36** | 49.84* | 78.39** | 19.92** | 43.01** | 12.2** | 73.09** | 3.85** | 26.04** | 18.27* | -11.89 | -26.** | -40.** | 22.44 | 42.7** | -39.5** |
| T.W xSk 2 | 45.39** | -12.1* | 28.09 | 21.74** | 19.96* | -4.20** | 23.38 | -11.9** | 7.17 | -\$.1** | -7.81 | -29.** | -46.** | -44** | -44.8** | -36.05 |
| T.W x1534 | 75,51** | 56.9** | 53.37** | 10.94 | 49.88** | 1.01** | 55.71** | -0.67** | 9.69 | -1.7** | 2.38 | -8.9** | -21.** | 33** | 41.7** | -39.91 |
| T.W xMis2 | 44.48** | 8.98** | 39.76** | -18.6** | 45.81** | 17.8** | 72.04** | -5.22** | 27.9** | 15.38 | 13.51 | 1.17 | -40.** | 62, | 18.79 | 86.2** |
| T.W x R.M | 6.54 | -38.75 | 71.30** | 61.29** | 91.33** | 42.00** | 81.83** | 12.58** | 0.34 | -20,72 | 20.2** | -11.** | -24.** | -11** | -31.2** | -25.75* |
| T.W x L de | 30.33** | -22.0** | 81.89** | 62.72 | 92.33** | 74.52 | 65.65** | 76.55** | 28.25** | 1.16** | 16,77* | -15.35 | -16.** | 1.75 | -24.6** | -17.2** |
| T.W ±710 | 12.72 | -33.7** | 57.65** | 60.86** | 80.58** | 66.65** | 85.82** | 94.16** | 11.11 | -1.47 | 14.70 | 7.82** | -28.** | -27** | -13.0** | 11.6** |

^{*} and ** significant at 0,05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Table 4. Estimates of general combining ability (g_i) effects of faba bean parental genotypes for all studied traits

| | g0201) P | | | Γ | | | | ios to |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | No. of | No. of | No. of | Seed | 100- | No. of | Orok | anche |
| | branches/ phost | 11 | seed/ phot | yield/ plant (g) | seed weight | seeds/ pad | No. of Orobanche spikes | Spikes dry weight |
| Mules Mier 1 | 0.14 | 3.20** | -2.06** | 2.84** | 7.52** | 0.240** | -3.82** | -7.95** |
| C.1657 | -0.27** | 1.88** | 10,09** | 6.85** | 6.80** | 0.57** | -7,25** | -6.28** |
| D 6 | 1.33** | -2.52** | -1.42** | 0.09 | -5.23** | -0.39** | 3.22** | 2.85** |
| T.W | -1.20** | -2.57** | -6,60** | -9.79** | 9,09** | -9.41** | 7.84** | 11.38** |
| LSD (g-l) 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.77 | 0.59 | 0.13 | 0.99 | 1.89 |
| 6.61 | 0.22 | 0.66 | 1.09 | 1.02 | 9.78 | 0.18 | 1.32 | 2.51 |
| LSD (p-g) 0.85 | 0.23 | 0.70 | 1.16 | 1.09 | 0.83 | 0.19 | 1.41 | 2.67 |
| 4.61 | 0.31 | 8.94 | 1.55 | 1.44 | 1.10 | 0.25 | 1,87 | 3.55 |
| Females | | | | | | | | |
| Sekha I | -0.26* | 1.32** | 7.43** | 2.10** | 3.59** | 0.52** | -7.09** | -7.13** |
| Sakha 2 | -4.19 | 4.18** | -10.14** | -11.95** | -9.26** | -0.21* | 3.15** | -1.79 |
| C. 1534 | -1.03** | 1.45** | 6.67** | 2.26** | -7.13** | -0.14 | -5.92** | -7.04** |
| Minr 2 | -0.24* | 5.25** | 17.69** | 24.90** | 6.71** | 0.43** | -7.51** | -15.29** |
| Resa More | 0.71** | 1,40** | -6,42** | 1.60** | 10.34* | -0.18* | 3.40** | 8.53** |
| Luz de Otoma | 0.70^* | 8.96** | -1.24* | -4.64** | 7.60** | -0.25** | 10.51** | 7.11** |
| PBL710 | 9.70** | -8.96** | -1.24* | 464** | 7.60** | -0.25** | 10.57** | 7.11** |
| LSD (g-i) 0.0 5 | 0.22 | 0.66 | 1,09 | 1.62 | 0.78 | 0,18 | 1.32 | 2.50 |
| 0.61 | 0.29 | 0.87 | 1.45 | 1,35 | 1.03 | 0.23 | 1.75 | 3.32 |
| LSD (g,-g ₂) 0.05 | 0.31 | 0.93 | 1.54 | 1,44 | 1.10 | 0.25 | 1.86 | 3.54 |
| 0,01 | 8.41 | 1.24 | 2.05 | 1.91 | 1.46 | 0.33 | 2.47 | 4.70 |

^{*} and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Specific combining ability SCA effects of the crosses for the studied traits are presented in (Table 5). In general, the most desirable crosses which had desirable values of SCA effects was Misr1 x Sakha 2 for number of branches. While, in yield and yield components crosses; C. 1657 x C. 1534 and T.W. x BPL710 for number of pods/plant and seed yield/plant. In addition, for number of seeds/plant crosses; C. 1657 x Otona and T.W. x BPL710. Furthermore, the best combination for reaction to Orobanche was C.1657 x C.1543, Misr 2 x T.W, T.W. x Sakha 2 followed by C.1657 x Sakha 1 with SCA effects value of -12.04, -10.71, -9.81 and -7.01 respectively. These findings explain the presence of heterosis over better parent with respect to these crosses and indicating high frequency of

Table 5: Estimates of specific combining ability effects (S_{ij}) for all studied traits on F, hybrids

| | Branches | | Scods / plant | Seed | 100- | Ţ | Reaction to | Orobanche |
|--|----------|-------|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| Genotypes | /plant | Pods/ | | yleid | seed | No. of | No. of | Spikes |
| | | plant | | /plant(g) | weight(g) | seeds/ ped | 0.000 | dry |
| | | | | | | | spikes | weight |
| Misr I x Sakha I | | | 9.43** | 3.92** | 2.56** | -0.35* | -3.76** | |
| Misr 1 x Sakha 2 | 1.26** | • | -9.54** | -8.68** | -14.31** | -0.47** | | |
| Misr 1 x C. 1534 | -1.30** | 3,847 | 6.4344 | 5,32** | 4.50** | 0.64** | 4.19** | 5.55* |
| Misr 1 x Misr 2 | | | -6.31** | | 7.24** | | | -5.63* |
| Misr 1 x Rena | | | 2.96** | -3.79** | -11.77** | | -2,67* | 6.03* |
| Misc I x Luz de | | 3.35 | | 4.69** | 4.38** | | | |
| Misr I x BPL710 | -0.87** | | 5.80** | 4.79** | 8.28** | | 11.27** | |
| C. 1657 x Sakha 1 | 0.70** | | -10.38** | -5.68** | | | -7.01** | -5,63* |
| C. 1657 x Sakha 2 | -0.85** | • | 5.78** | 1.85 | 3.35** | 0.63** | -3,21* | 7.95** |
| C. 1657 x C. 1534 | -0.54* | 4.43* | 13.08** | 18,88** | 10.76** | -0.63** | 3.164 | -12,04** |
| C. 1657 x Mise 2 | 0.95** | | -4.18** | -10.18** | -3.54** | | -5,97** | 5.47* |
| C. 1657 x Rens | 0.48* | • | -14.67** | -10.51** | -10.57** | | 6.07** | |
| C. 1657 x Luz de | | 1.68* | 17.37** | | 9.39** | | -5.01** | -7.13** |
| C. 1657 x BPL710 | | 2.88* | | -3.97** | 11.84** | -0.52** | 3,41* | |
| D. (6) x Sakha 1 | | • | -26,74** | 9.41** | -14.02** | | 4.27** | |
| D. (6) x Sakha 2 | | 3.01* | 8.76** | -4.33** | -7.20** | | -2.67* | |
| D. (6) x C. 1534 | | | -31.34** | 8.37** | 6.96** | | | |
| D. (6) x Misr 2 | -0.56* | | 12.67** | | -4.48** | 0.40* | | 8.03** |
| D. (6) z Rena | 0.57* | | 14.89** | -3.62** | -4.06** | | -3.97** | |
| D. (6) x Luz de | | | 3.76** | -2.99** | 1.57* | -0.46* | | -5.96* |
| D. (6) x BPL710 | | 3.88* | -8.96** | -9.80** | -2.18** | -0.77** | 18.57** | |
| T.W x Sakha 1 | | - | -8.95** | -2.91** | -5.53** | 1.14** | -6.66** | |
| T.W x Sakha 2 | | | 13.16** | 5.56** | 7.35** | | -9.80** | -5,69* |
| T.W x C. 1534 | -0.40* | | 4.76** | 7.14** | | | -2.09** | 2.11 |
| T.W x Mier 2 | | • | 4.72** | | -8.32** | | -4.92** | -10.71** |
| r.W x Rena Mora | | - | -9.43** | -6.34** | -2.57** | | | |
| T.W x Luz de | | 1.52* | -9.36** | -11.29** | | | | -7.19** |
| T.W x BPL710 | | 4,77* | 14.07** | 17.01** | 9.48** | | 4.73** | 15,95** |
| LSD (S _{r.j}) 0.05 | 0.44 | 1.32 | 2.18 | 2.04 | 1.56 | 0.36 | 2.64 | 5.01 |
| 0.01 | 0.58 | 1.75 | 2.89 | 2.70 | 2.07 | 0,47 | 3.50 | 6.64 |
| LSD (S ₄ -S ₄) 0.05 | 0.622 | 1.875 | 3.09 | 2.88 | 2.21 | 0.51 | 3.73 | 7.08 |
| 0.01 | 0.825 | 2.487 | 4.10 | 3.83 | 2.93 | 0.67 | 4.95 | 9.40 |

^{*} and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

dominance genes involved in these combinations. The highest SAC effects were obtained from crosses between parents of high x high or high x low GCA effects. Similar results were obtained by Attia et al (2002) and Toker (2004).

Estimates of genetic parameters Table 6 showed that the additive genetic variance (σ^2 A) appeared to be large than non-additive genetic variance (σ^2 D) for studied traits except for number of seeds/plant. This indicated that additive genetic variance played an important role in the inheritance of these traits. The number of seeds/plant, non-additive

Table 6: Estimates of genetic parameters for studied traits

| | Branches / | | Seeds | Seeds | 100-seed | Sceds / pod | Reaction to Orobanche | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------|--------|--------|----------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| | Branches / pleast | Desir /places | /pleas | /plant | weight | | N. of Oro. Sp | Sp. Dry W. | |
| σ^2_A | 3.06 | 31.27 | 146.96 | 0.57 | 304.38 | 204.39 | 149.76 | 333.50 | |
| σ² _D | 0.43 | 13.47 | 225.45 | 9,24 | 90.48 | 87.61 | 50.23 | 50.69 | |
| н,% | 95.97 | 97.13 | 22.84 | 89.14 | 99.20 | 99.37 | 97.42 | 95.28 | |
| н.% | 84.14 | 67.88 | 39.88 | 63.92 | 76.47 | 69.56 | 72.95 | 82.71 | |
| D.d | 0.38 | 9.66 | 1.24 | 0.64 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.58 | 0.39 | |

genetic variance was more important than additive genetic variance. This finding could be confirmed by dominance degree ratio which exceeded unity for number of seeds/plant, while it was less than unity in other traits. This finding might explain the absence of heterosis in most crosses with respect this trait. Results indicated that broad sense heritability (h²_b) estimates were larger or close to the corresponding values of narrow sense heritability (h²_n) for studied traits. Heritability in broad sense ranged from 89.14% for seed yield/plant to 99.37% for number of seeds/pod. Whereas, narrow sense heritability values ranged from 39.08% for number of seeds/plant to 84.14% for number of branches/plant, indicating that a major part of the total genotypic variance is additive. Accordingly, it is expected that an effective phenotypic selection in these traits could be achieved with a satisfactory degree of accuracy. These results were in agreement with those reported by Helal (1997), El-Rodeny (2002) and Toker (2004).

The covariances analysis between pairs of the studied traits was made, subsequently, phenotypic (rph) and genotypic (rg) correlations were determined and are presented in Table (7). The results showed that the magnitudes of phenotypic correlations were close to the corresponding values of genotypic correlations in most of cases. These results were expected, since the values of covariance error relatively were small than the respective values of genotypic covariance in these cases. The reaction to Orobanche which represented by Orobanche spikes and spikes dry weight appeared to be genetically and phenotypically negative correlated with yield and its components. These results in agreement with the results obtained by many investigator, among them Abdalla et al (1994), Ahmad et al (2001) and Belen et al (2002).

In conclusion, from the previous results which mentioned that the importance of additive and non-additive genetic variance in the genetic expression of studied traits of yield components under Orobanche infestation. It could be concluded that the recurrent selection is the proper way for selecting resistant faba bean types to Orobanche, infestation.

Table 7: Phenotypic (rph) and genotypic (rp) correlation coefficient between pairs of all studied traits

| | Branches / plant | | Seeds /plant | Seeds /pod | | 100-seed | Reaction to Orobanche | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|----------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | Bods /plant | | | Seed yield /pinnt | weight | No. of Orobunch explises | Spikes dry weight | |
| No. of branches/plant | | -0.28 | -0.16 | 0.52** | -0.05 | 0.55** | 9.15 | 0.06 | |
| No. of pode/plant | -0.15 | | 0.95** | -0.33 | 0.92** | 0.04 | -0.75** | -0.73** | |
| No. of seeds/plant | -0.19 | 0.82** | | -0.07 | 6.98** | 0.14 | -0.75** | -0.73** | |
| No. of seeds/pad | -0.30 | 0.59** | 0.53** | | 0.02 | 0.50** | 0.09 | 0.12 | |
| Seed yield/plant | -0.06 | 0.82** | 0.78** | 0.58** | | 0.26 | -0.72** | -0.70** | |
| 100-seed weight | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 9.08 | | -0.09 | -0.02 | |
| No. of Orobanche spikes | 0.15 | -0.70** | -0.72** | 0.76** | -0.70** | 0.03 | | 0.79** | |
| Spikes dry weight | 0.08 | -0.78** | -0.70** | -0.58** | -0.74** | 0.00 | 0.76** | | |

Note: Upper diagonal denote to the phenotypic correlations values and lower diagonal denote to the genetypic correlations values.

and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

REFERENCES

- Abass, M.A.I. (2001). Studies of inheritance of some quantitative characters in field bean. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Abdalla, M. M. F. and D.S. Darwish (1994); A.H. Pieterse; J.A.C. Verkleij and S.J. ter Borg (Eds). Breeding faba bean for *Orobanche* tolerance at Cairo University. Biology and management of *Orobanche*. Proceedings of the third international workshop on *Orobanche* and related Striga research, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 8-12 November 1993. RTI:, 450-454.
- Abdalla, M. M. F and D. S. Darwish (1996). Investigation on faba bean, Vicia faba L.5-Improvening faba bean yield accompany selection to Orobanche tolerance. Proc.7th Egypt. Agron. Conf., Mansoura, Vol.(1): 171-177.
- Ahmed, M.A.; M.M.A. Abdalla; M.F. Mohamed and E.A. Waly (2001). Performance of some faba bean (Vicia faba) genotypes in Orobanche infested soil. Assiut-Journal-of-Agricultural-Sciences.,32: 263-290.
- Attia, Sabah, M. and Somaya, M.M. (2002). Effect of Orobanche parasitism on yield and some technical characters of faba bean. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.; 17: 25-30
- Belea, R.; A.M. Torres, D. Rubiales; J.I. Cubero, Z. Satovic (2002). Mapping of quantitative trait loci controlling broomrape (*Orobanche crenata* Forsk.) resistance in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Genome, 45:1057-63.
- Toker, C. (2904). Estimates of broad-sense heritability for seed yield and yield criteria in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Hereditas 140, 222-225.
- Comstock, R.E. and H.F. Robinson (1952). Experiments for estimation of the average dominance of genes affecting quantitative characters. Heterosis, pp. 494-516. Ames. Iowa State Univ. Press.
- Darwish, D.S.; M.M.F. Abdalla; E.A. El-Metwally; M.H. El-Sherbiny and S.M. Attia (1999). Investigations on faba beans (*Vicia faba* L.). Performance of some faba

- bean genotypes and their hybrids under *Orobanche* infestation. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 3, 231-246.
- El-Galaly, O.A.M. (1997). Genetical and serological studies on Vicia faba and nitrogen fixing bacteria. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minufiya University, Egypt.
- El-Hifny, M.Z.; M.M. Eissa; B.R. Bakheit and Raghen (2001). Inheritance of some agronomic characters method in five faba bean (Vicia faba L.) crosses using six population. The Second Pl. Breed. Conf., October 2, 2001 (Assiut University). 345-360.
- El-Rodeny, W.M. (2002). Genetically and biochemical studies on some Vicia faba cultivars and their relative to Orobanche crenata. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ., Egypt.
- Grenz J. H.; A.M. Manschadi; F.N. Uygur and J. Sauerborn (2005). Effects of environment and sowing date on the competition between faba bean (Vicia faba) and the parasitic weed Orobanche crenata. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Hohenheim, Germany, 132 pp.
- Helal, A. G. (1997). Studies on breeding of some genotypes in faba bean (Vicia faba L.) M.Sc. Thesis Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Egypt.
- Kempthorne, O. (1957). An introduction to genetic statistics. John Wiley and Sons. Inc. NY. USA.
- Khalil, S. A.; H. A. Saber, M. H. EL-Sherbeeny; M. M. EL-Hady and S. R. Saleeb (1994).
 Present state of *Orobanche* resistance breeding in faba bean in Egypt. In: Pieterse,
 A. H; J.A.C. Verkleij and S.J. ter Borg (Eds.). Biology and Management of *Orobanche*, Proc. 3rd Inter. Work. on *Orobanche* and related *Striga* research Amsterdam, Nethelands, 455-462.
- Perez-DE-Luque, A.; D. Rubiales; J.I. Cubero; M.C. Press; J. Scholes; K. Yomeyama; Y. Takeuchi; D. Plakhine and D.M. Joel. (2005). Interaction between *Orobanche crenata* and its host legumes. Ann.Bot., 95, 22-28
- Radwan, M. S.; M. M. F. Abdalla; G. Fishbeck; A. A. Metwally and D. S. Darwish (1988). Selection in faba bean for tolerance to broomrape Orobanche crenata Forsk. Plant Breeding 100: 289-298.
- Scarasia, G. T. and V. Marzi. (1970). Retrospective and prospective view for the Vicia faba crop in Southern Italy, In: Some Current Research on Vicia faba in Western Europe. D.A. Bond, G.T. Scarasia-Mugnozza and M.H. Poulsen.(eds). pp. 7-22. Pub. EEC, EUR 62244EN, Luxem.
- Singh, R.K and B.D. Chandhary (1985). Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Line x Tester analysis. Pp 205-214. Kalyani, Publishers, Daryagani, New Delhi.
- Steel, R.G. and J.H. Terrie (1960). Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill Bock Company, Inc. New York, 633 pp.
- Zeid M. M. (2003). Analysis of genetic diversity based on molecular markers (AFLP) and of heterosis in fabe bean (Vicia faba L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Georg-August, Univ., Germany.

التحليل الوراثى لبعض التراكيب الوراثية للقول البلاى لتحملها الإصابة بالهالوك معدوح محمد عد المقصود ، محمد سعد حمادة ، محمد ابراهيم عامر ، وليد محمد الرضيني "

أ- قسم الوراثة ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة المنصورة .
 أسم المحاصيل البقراية ، معهد بحوث المحاصيل ، مركز البحوث الزراعية ، سخا ، كفر الشيخ

أجريت النراسة في محطة البحوث الزراعية بسفا داخل صويه سلكيه وذلك خلال مواسم الزراعة من 2003/2004 و حتى 2005/2004 أجريت كلفة الهجن الممكنة بين احدى عشر تركيبا وراثيا من الفول البلسدى طبقاً لنظام النزاوج العاملي منها لريعة كإباء (مصر 1، هــ1657محنود 6 ، T.W.) و سبعة أسهات (سخا 1 ، سمة 2 ، هـ 1534 ، مصر 2 ، رينا مورا، اتونا، PPL 710) للحصول على 28 هجيين . تهدف هددة الدراسة الى تقدير قوة الهجين والفعل الجيني بالإضافة لمعامل التوريث لبعض الصفات الاقتسصادية فسي الفسول البلدي. تم تقييم كل الهجن النقتجة والأباء الدلفلة في التهجين تحت الروف الإصابة الشديدة الهالوك وفلك فسي موسم 2005/2004 في تجرية ذات تصميم قطاعات كاملة المشوائية في ثلاث مكررات لدراسة السمنات التاليسة :- عند القروع للنبات ، حند القرون للنبات ، عند البقور للنبات ، عند البقور في القرن ، محصول بقور النبات ، وزن 100يذره للنيات عند شماريخ الهالوك ، الوزن الجاف لشماريخ الهالوك. و اشارت النتائج السي وجسود إختلافات معنوية عالية جدا بين الأباء الدلخله في التهجين وكذلك الهجن النلتجة منها وهذا يدل على وجود مدى وضع من الاختلافات بين فتراكيب فورفية في سلوكها فورفي للمقاومة للهلوك ، كذك كن متوسيط فيسطوك الورائى للتزاكيب الورائية للصفات المدروسة معوى وعلى أسلس مكونات المعصول تم تقسيم التراكيب الورائسة المختلفة إلى ثلاث مجموعات أسلسيه الأولى – متعملة تلإصلية بالهالوك وذات محصول عسالي كاتست أفسضل الترلكيب الوراثية الأيوية (مصر 1؛ هـ- 1657و مصر 2. الثانية ، متحمله للأعمليه بالهـــالوك وذلت محــمــول متوسط كانت أفضل التركيب الررائية الأبوية T.W سخا 1 ، هـ. 1534. الثالثة ، حساسة للأسفيه بالهـــالوك وذات محصول متخفض جدا كانت التراكيب الوراثية الأبوية هي D. 6 ، رينا مورا، اتونا و BPL710. اللهسرت النتائج الة بمقارنة متوسط الهجن يمتوسط الإياء ان هناك ألوة هجين معنوية للمحصول و مكوثاتة وتراوحت بين 12.74 للى 81.89% لصفة عند القرون. و بالتعبية لصفة المقارمة لهاتوك التبارك التتالج فيضا أن قوة الهجين كانت مطوية وتراوحت بين -15.38 في -38.46. كانت تأثيرات القدرة على الانتلاف لمختلسف السمعانات ثو تباين كبير بين التراكيب الوراثية المختلفة . كان الأياء مصر T.W،1 سنةا 1 ، هــ 1534 ، مصر 2 أو قدره عللية على الانتلاف لصفة محصول لليثور / نيات. بينما أظهرت التراكيب الأخرى قدره منخفضة على الاستلاف لنفس المعقة. ونشور أن الاغتلافات في الكورة العامة على الإلتلاف لعبقة معصول اليثور للنيسات تجميد قسي لِمُتَلِّقُهَا عَلَى كلا الصَّفِينَ وهما عند القرون /النبات ، عند البذور /النبات وهذا يشير إلى أن الإنتفساب للقسدره العامة العالية لمنفة عند القرون أو عند البذور تفعّس بطبيحها على إنتاج محصول البذور/النبات . كما أظهرت صفة عند شماريخ الهالوك بالتمنية للهجن هـ. 1657 x هـ. 1534 ، مصر 2 × T.W. × و x T.W مسخا 2 قرما ساليه مما يشير إلى إمكانية تحمل هذا الهجين للهالوك. في حين أن الإشاره الموجيه بالنسبة للكرة الخاصة لأى من الهجن تدل على تقوق هذه الهجن في المحصول عن الأباء الداغلة فيها . ومن خلال دراسة الفعل الحيني للصفات إتضح أن كل من الفط الجيتي المضيف والقير المضيف يلعب نورا في التغيير الوراثي. لكافة الـصفات، المدروسة ويرجع الجزء الأكبر من هذا التبلين إلى تأثير الفيل الجيني المضيف مما يجيل الانتخاب المتكرر أفضل ومولة لتحسين هذه الصفات تحت الدرضة بما فيها صفة المقاومة الهالوك خلال الأجيال الإنعزاليه.

> مجك المؤتمر الخامس لتربيه النبات ـ الجيزو٢٠٠ مايو ٢٠٠٧ المجله المصريه لتربية النبات ١١(٢): ٨٩٨٨٨٨ عد خاص