# EFFECT OF USING ORGANIC ACIDS AND ENZYMES ON PERFORMANCE OF JAPANESE QUAIL FED OPTIMAL AND SUB- OPTIMAL ENERGY AND PROTEIN LEVELS 1. MALIC ACID

#### Mohammed. A. A. Abdel-Mageed

Animal Prod. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Ministry of Agric, Doki, Giza, Egypt.

#### **ABSTRACT:**

An experiment was conducted to study the effectiveness of dietary malic acid supplementation as a growth promoter on Japanese quail performance, carcass characteristics, intestinal villi and pH, bacteria enumeration, blood parameters, digestibility coefficients and economical efficiency. A total number of 360 unsexed day-old Japanese quail chicks were equally divided into 4 groups of 6 replicates each. Two starter-grower corn-soybean meal (C-SBM) basal diets were formulated to contain 24 % CP & 2900 kcal ME /kg diet and 22 % CP & 2750 kcal ME /kg diet, respectively. Also, two layer C-SBM basal diets were formulated to contain 20 % CP & 2900 kcal ME /kg diet and 18 % CP & 2750 kcal ME /kg diet, respectively. Each of the 4 basal diets was either unsupplemented or supplemented with 0.05 % malic acid. Therefore, 4 experimental treatments were used in both startinggrowing and laying periods. Each chick group fed one of the 4 experimental diets. At 35 days of age, a slaughter test was performed to determine carcass traits, edible giblets, lymphoid organs and intestinal villi, microflora count and pH. Blood samples were taken and assayed to determine some serum blood parameters. Digestibility trials were conducted to determine nutrients digestibility for starter-grower experimental diets. At laying period, egg number, weight, mass and production as well as feed intake and conversion were recorded. At the end of the 90-day period, egg samples were taken and broken out to determine internal egg quality and analysis. From nutritional and economical point of view, it was observed that using malic acid at a level of 0.05 % in Japanese quail diets containing sub-optimal energy and protein levels helped in reducing microflara count, particularly pathogens and in turn, improving quail performance and immunity. This can alleviate the financial pressure on the farmer.

Key words: Acidifier, organic acid, malic acid, malate, performance, intestinal pH, carcass, serum, egg quality, Japanese quail.

#### INTRODUCTION

Livestock performance and feed efficiency are closely interrelated with qualitative and quantitative microbial load of host animal, including load in alimentary tract and environment (Garrido et al., 2004). Antibiotic growth promoters (AGP) for poultry diets have been banned for use due to the possibilities of antibiotic residue, the development of drug-resistant bacteria and a reduction in the ability to cure these bacterial diseases in humans (Jensen, 1998). Therefore, searching for alternative products that can be used in poultry feed and aid in growth promotion, feed utilization improvement, and maintenance of gut health are taking place.

Supplementing poultry diets with organic acids has become an important nutritional strategy aimed at improvement of performance and health status of

poultry fed diets devoid of AGP. Organic acids, as feed additives have received increasing attention as alternative AGP. It has made a tremendous contribution to the profitability in the intensive husbandry and providing people with healthy and nutritious poultry products (Patten, and Waldroup, 1988). Organic acids may stimulate endogenous enzymes, regulate gut microbial flora and help in maintaining animal's health. The key basic principle on the mode of action of organic acids on bacteria is that nondissociated (non-ionised, more lipophilic) organic acids can penetrate the bacteria cell wall and disrupt the normal physiology of certain types of bacteria (Dhawale, 2005).

Malic acid (MA), an alpha-hydroxy organic acid, is a colorless, crystalline compound, COOH CH2 CHOH COOH, that occurs naturally in a wide variety of unripe fruit, including apples. It is sometimes referred to as a fruit acid. It is also formed in metabolic cycles in plant and animal cells, including chickens. Peripheral malate derives from feed sources and from synthesis in citric acid or Krebs cycle located in cells' mitochondria (Lehninger, 1978). Little literature was found on dietary MA effect in poultry and the evidence by which exogenous MA may affect on quail performance. Therefore, the purpose of the current study is to evaluate the effects of dietary MA supplementation on Japanese quail (*Coturnix Coturnix Japonica*) performance, carcass characteristics, intestinal villi and pH, bacteria enumeration as well as economical efficiency.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS Experimental birds and housing

Three hundred and sixty unsexed day-old Japanese quail chicks were used in a 35 day growing trial. Chicks were individually wing-banded, weighed, and randomly distributed into 4 experimental groups of similar mean body weight  $(7.62\pm0.05 \text{ g/bird})$  of 90 birds each, which consists of 6 replicates of 15 birds each. At 35 days of age, birds were transferred to layer quail cages for a 90 day laying trial.

#### **Experimental diets**

Two starter-grower corn-soybean meal (C-SBM) basal diets were formulated, from the same batches of components, to contain 24 % CP & 2900 kcal ME /kg diet (HPHE-diet) and 22 % CP & 2750 kcal ME /kg diet (LPLEdiet). Also, two layer C-SBM diets were formulated to contain 20 % CP & 2900 kcal ME /kg diet and 18 % CP & 2750 kcal ME /kg diet. Each of the 4 basal diets was either unsupplemented or supplemented with 0.05 % MA. Therefore, 4 experimental treatments were used in both starting-growing and laying periods. Each chick group fed one of the 4 experimental diets. The composition and chemical analysis of the experimental diets are shown in Table (1).

# Management

Quail chicks were reared under similar management conditions. Ambient temperature was maintained at 34-36 °C during the 1<sup>st</sup> week and weekly decreased by 5 °C for the next 3 weeks. During the weeks 5 and 6 temperature was maintained at 20-22 °C. Birds received continuous artificial daily lighting during growing trial and 17 h afterwards. Chicks were fed the starter-grower diets from 0 to 35 d and the layer diets from 35 to 125 d of age. Mash feed and clean fresh tap water were provided *ad liblitum*.

# EFFECT OF USING ORGANIC ACIDS AND ENZYMES ON.....

layer basar ulets.	I			
		Percent	age (%)	
Ingredients	Starter-grow	er basal diets	Layer ba	sal diets
	<b>B</b> <sub>1</sub>	B <sub>2</sub>	B <sub>1</sub>	B <sub>2</sub>
Yellow Corn, ground	56.09	54.54	62.90	61.30
Soybean meal (44% CP)	33.00	32.50	19.22	21.02
Corn gluten meal (62% CP)	7.75	3.30	10.00	4.40
Wheat bran	0.00	6.80	0.00	5.52
Dicalcium phosphate	0.90	0.50	1.23	0.95
Limestone	1.30	1.50	5.68	5.80
Common salt (NaCl)	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32
Premix**	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
DL-Methionine	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.13
L-Lysine	0.26	0.14	0.28	0.26
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Price (L.E./Ton)	1447	1390	1303	1287
Calculated analysis****				
СР %	24.09	22.05	20.00	18.05
ME (kcal/kg)	2903	2763	2907	2757
CF %	3.64	4.27	2.86	3.48
Ca %	0.80	0.80	2.50	2.50
Av. Phosphorus %	0.31	0.31	0.35	0.35
L-Lysine %	1.31	1.20	1.00	1.00
DL-Methionine %	0.50	0.46	0.45	0.45
Methionine + Cyst %	0.90	0.89	0.80	0.81

Table (1): Composition and calculated analysis of the experimental starter-grower and laver basal diets.

\*Starter-grower and layer basal diets were assigned to 2 levels of MA (0 & 0.05%).

\*\*Vitamins and minerals premix provides per kg of diet: 10000 IU Vit. A;. 1100 IU Vit. D<sub>3</sub>, 1.1 mg Vit E; 0.02 mg Vit B<sub>12</sub>; 1 mg Vit B<sub>1</sub>; 0.16 mg Choline chloride; 3 mg Cu; 30 mg Fe; 40 mg Mn; 45 mg Zn and 3 mg Se.

\*\*\*The Price (L.E./Ton) of B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> starter-grower basal diets were 1547 and 1490 as well as The Price of B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> layer basal diets were 1402 and 1337, respectively when MA was added.

\*\*\*\*According to Feed Composition Tables for animal & poultry feedstuffs used in Egypt (2001).

### Measurements and data collection

# Growth performance:

Individual body weight (BW, g) and feed intake (FI, g/bird) were weekly recorded to determine body weight gain (BWG, g), feed conversion ratio (FCR, g feed/g gain), protein intake (PI), caloric intake (CI) protein conversion ratio, (PCR) and caloric conversion ratio (CCR). Mortality rate (MR) % was also calculated on weekly basis.

#### **Carcass parameters**

At the end of the starting-growing period (35 days), 48 birds (6 3+6 2/treatment) with BW similar to the mean were slaughtered to determine carcass characteristics. Obtained criteria were eviscerated carcass, dressing, breast and thigh weights. Abdominal fat was removed from gizzard and abdominal region and individually weighed for each carcass. Ovary-oviduct was carefully separated and accurately weighed. Edible giblets (liver, heart and gizzard) were individually separated and weighed. Lymphoid organs (thymus, bursa and spleen) were individually removed, weighed and calculated for each organ as % of live BW.

# Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol. 21, No. 2, July, 2007

#### Villus height and width

Digesta from gastrointestinal tract were flushed at pH 7.4 to avoid damage to tissues. Intestinal samples of 1 cm in length were taken from the middle of each segment of the duodenum, jejunum, and ileum. Samples were then fixed in 10 % buffered neutral formaldehyde solution (pH 7.4), processed, and cut to  $6\mu$ m sections that were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and examined with a light microscope. A digital camera was used and villus height was measured from tip to villus bottom. Villus width was measured at villi bottom.

# Bacteria enumeration and intestinal pH

At the time of slaughter test, 3 samples of ileum content for each treatment were taken. Total microflora, colibacillus and lactobacillus of ileum content were enumerated. Lactobacilli/colibacillus ratio was also calculated. The pH of intestinal contents was directly determined by pH-meter.

# **Blood serum parameters**

At the time of slaughter test, 48 blood samples (6  $3^{\circ}$  & 6  $9^{\circ}$  / treatment) were withdrawn from wing vein and serum was separated by centrifugation for 10 minutes (3000 rpm) and stored in vials at -20 °C for later analysis. Frozen serum was thawed and assayed to determine, on individual bases, some biochemical parameters by using suitable commercial diagnostic kits and Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer, following the same steps as described by manufactures. Calorimetric determination of serum total protein (TP, g/100 ml) was measured according to **Henry (1974)**. Albumin concentration (Alb, g/100 ml) was determined. Globulin concentration (Glo, g/100 ml) was calculated by the difference between TP and Alb, since the fibrinogen usually comprises a negligible fraction (**Sturkie, 1986**). The Alb/Glo ratio was also calculated. Total lipids (TP, g/100 ml) and cholesterol (Cho, mg/100ml) were also determined.

#### **Digestibility trials:**

A total number of 24 adult  $3^{\circ}$  quail of 6-wks old were selected at the end of the growing trial and individually housed in metabolic cages for carrying out 4 digestibility trials (6  $3^{\circ}$  /treatment) to determine the nutrient digestibility coefficient of dietary treatments. Digestibility trials lasted for 7 days, a 4-day preliminary period for adaptation to metabolic cages followed by a 3-day main collection period in which FI was offered on an *ad libitum* basis and excreta output was daily quantitatively collected for each  $3^{\circ}$  over 3 consecutive days.

## Egg traits and quality

Eggs were daily collected and weighed. Averages of egg number (EN), egg weight (EW), egg mass (EM) and FC per EM were weekly calculated per each replicate for 90-day laying period. Egg quality was assessed in 5 eggs collected per replicate during 3 days at the end of the 90-day period. Egg shape index (ESI) was determined according to **Stadleman (1977)**. Eggs were broken out and the liquid contents were put a side and shell plus membranes washed to remove adhering albumen. After drying, shell weight % was measured. Shell thickness (STh) was measured by using a micrometer as an average of 3 points (top, medial and base). Egg analysis including albumin protein % as well as yolk protein %, ether extract % and cholesterol (mg /gm yolk) were also performed according to **Washburn and Nix (1974)**.

#### Chemical and statistical analysis

Experimental diets and excreta were analyzed following procedures detailed by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC 1990) for CP, CF, DM and EE. NFE was calculated by difference. Metabolizable energy (ME) of

experimental diets was calculated considering the ME values of different feed ingredients (NRC, 1994). Fecal nitrogen was determined according to Jakobsen *et al.* (1960).

Obtained data were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard error and statistically analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) as a factorial arrangement of 2 x 2 according to **Steel and Torrie (1980)**. Also, the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of **SPSS** computer statistical program for MS Windows release 6.0 June 1993 was used. Significant means were ranked using Duncan's Range Test (**Duncan, 1955**). Statistical significance level was tested at probability of P $\leq 0.05$ .

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Growth performance:

The mean values of live performance parameters are shown in Table (2). Apart from MA, it was observed that feeding HPHE-diets resulted in significant increase in BW, BWG, FI, PI, CI, PCR and CCR values and significant decrease in MR % as compared to LPLE-diets. However, FCR was not significantly affected. Aside from diet type, feeding MA-supplemented diets gave significant improvement in BW, BWG, FCR, PCR and CCR as well as significant decrease in FI and MR % in comparison to MA-free diets. However, both PI and CI were not significantly affected. Supplementing MA to HPHE-diet had no significant effect on BW, BWG, FCR, PI, CI, PCR and CCR, whereas it significantly decreased FI and MR % as compared to the corresponding control diet. However, supplementing MA to LPLE-diet had significantly improved BW, BWG, FCR, PCR and CCR significantly decreased FI and MR %, but it had no significant effect on PI and CI.

These results are in agreement with those that have shown that organic acids have positive effects on poultry growth (Chaveerach *et al.*, 2004) and FI was decreased with increasing dietary propionic acid levels (Cave, 1984). The improvement in FC may be due to the acidic conditions that make the nutrients more available (Boling *et al.*, 2001) which monitors better performance. Oppositely, other results have shown that BW was not significantly affected by adding MA in drinking water (Moharrery and Mahzonieh, 2005) or dietary organic acid treatments (Denli *et al.*, 2003).

#### **Carcass characteristics:**

Data concerning carcass characteristics are presented in Table (3). Regardless of MA, it was noted that birds given HPHE-diet had significantly increased eviscerated carcass %, dressing % and breast %, but significantly decreased abdominal fat % and ovary-oviduct % as compared to those fed LPLE-diet. Irrespective of diet type, no significant influence was found due to MA supplementation on carcass parameters except for abdominal fat % that was significantly decreased and ovary-oviduct % that was significantly increased. Adding MA to HPHE-diet had no significant influence on eviscerated carcass %, dressing %, breast %, abdominal fat % and ovaryoviduct % as compared to the corresponding MA- free diet. However, supplementing MA to LPLE-diet had significantly increased eviscerated carcass %, dressing %, breast % and ovary-oviduct %, but it significantly decreased abdominal fat % as compared to the corresponding MA- free diet. However, supplementing MA to LPLE-diet had significantly increased eviscerated carcass %, dressing % and ovary-oviduct %, but it significantly decreased abdominal fat % as compared to the corresponding MA- free diet. Edible giblets and lymphoid organs:

The results illustrated in Table (4) indicated that regardless of MA, feeding HPHE-diet had significantly increased liver % and heart %, however, gizzard

#### Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol. 21, No. 2, July, 2007

% was not significantly affected as compared to LPLE-diet. Regardless of diet type, MA supplementation caused no significant effect on edible giblets. Supplementing MA to HPHE- or LPLE-diets had no effect on edible giblets as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet. Concerning lymphoid organs data, it was noticed that either diet type or MA showed no significant effect, except for thymus gland that had significantly increased by MA supplementation. Adding MA to HPHE- or LPLE-diets had significantly increased lymphoid organs % as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet.

The present results are in agreement with those that have shown that liver % was not significantly affected by MA (Moharrery and Mahzonich, 2005) and organic acids (Denli *et al.* 2003). Thymus is a good indicator of immune function. Shelat *et al.* (1997) revealed that thymus size is a sensitive indicator of health and acute or chronic stress response.

#### Villus height and width:

The mean of intestinal villus height and width are summarized in Table (5). Apart from MA, diet type caused no significant effect on villus height and width in different intestinal segments. Irrespective of diet type, MA supplemented-diets had significantly increased intestinal villus height and width in different intestinal segments as compared to MA-free diets. Supplementing MA to HPHE- or LPLE-diets had significantly increased intestinal villus height and width in different intestinal segments as compared to the corresponding MA-free diets.

#### Bacteria enumeration and intestinal pH:

The mean of total microflora count, colibacillus, lactobacillus and lactobacillus/colibacillus ratio of the ileum content as well as intestinal pH are given in Table (6). Regardless of MA, diet type caused no significant effect on total microflora count, colibacillus and lactobacillus and lactobacillus/ colibacillus ratio of the ileum content as well as intestinal pH. Irrespective of diet type, MA supplementation resulted in significant decrease in total microflora count, colibacillus count and intestinal pH as well as significant increase in lactobacillus count, lactobacillus/colibacillus ratio as compared to MA-free diets. Supplementing MA to HPHE- or LPLE-diets resulted in significant decrease in total microflora count, colibacillus count, colibacillus count, colibacillus count, colibacillus count, colibacillus count, colibacillus/colibacillus count and intestinal pH as well as significant decrease in total microflora count, colibacillus count, lactobacillus count, lactobacillus/colibacillus count, lactobacillus/colibacillus/colibacillus count, lactobacillus/colibacillus/colibacillus/colibacillus/colibacillus/colibacillus/colibacillus/coliba

These results are in agreement with those of **Moharrery and Mahzonieh**, (2005) who found that E. coli count was significantly decreased by MA. This due to organic acids can inhibit growth of many bacteria and toxin-producing molds (Roy, 2002). Intestinal pH was not affected by formic and propionic acids (Thompson and Hinton, 1997). The acidic pH allows establishment of microorganisms, particularly Lactobacillus spp (Sarra *et al.*, 1985) and prevents E. coli growth and these conditions make the absorptive area more beneficial (Dofing and Gottschal, 1997).

Table	: (2): E	ffect of dietar	y treati	ments o	n performance	of growing Jap	anese quail at	t 0 – 5 weeks	of age.	<u></u>			FFEC
Treatments Starter-Grower Diet	MA (%)	Initial BW (g/bird)	Final (g/bi	BW ird)	BWG (g/bird/35 d)	FI (g/bird/35 d)	FCR (feed: gain)	PI (g/bird/d)	CI (kcal/bird/d)	PCR (protein: gain)	CCR (kcal: gain)	MR (%)	TOF
24% CP&2900	0	7.64±0.06	190.25	±2.70*	182.61±1.21*	521.11±2.88ª	2.85±0.10 <sup>b</sup>	3.57±0.04ª	43.18±2.12*	0.68±0.01ª	8.28±0.06*	8.89 <sup>b</sup>	1 C
kcal	0.05	7.58±0.05	192.37:	±3.00*	184.79±1.32	500.20±5.01 <sup>b</sup>	2.71±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	3.43±0.02*	41.45±2.34*	0.65±0.04ª	7.85±0.09*	2.22°	S
22% CP&2750	0	7.61±0.03	155.10	±2.90°	147.49±1.24°	468.51±3.27°	3.18±0.12ª	2.94±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	36.81±2.29 <sup>b</sup>	0.70±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	8.74±0.08ª	11.11*	<u>Z</u>
kcal	0.05	7.66±0.07	176.75	±2.22 <sup>b</sup>	169.09±1.29 <sup>b</sup>	447.89±4.10 <sup>d</sup>	2.65±0.04°	2.82±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	35.19±2.40 <sup>b</sup>	0.58±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	7.28±0.11 <sup>b</sup>	3.33°	5
24% CP&2900 k	cal	7.61±0.09	191.31=	±3.12 <sup>A</sup>	183.70±1.40 <sup>A</sup>	510.66±4.16 <sup>A</sup>	2.78±0.09	3.50±0.05 <sup>A</sup>	42.31±2.04 <sup>A</sup>	0.67±0.03 <sup>A</sup>	8.06±0.05 <sup>A</sup>	2.56 <sup>B</sup>	$\left  \right\rangle$
22% CP&2750 k	cal	7.64±0.04	165.93	±3.08 <sup>B</sup>	158.29±1.27 <sup>B</sup>	458.20±4.21 <sup>B</sup>	2.89±0.11	2.88±0.04 <sup>B</sup>	36.00±2.10 <sup>B</sup>	0.64±0.04 <sup>B</sup>	7.96±0.09 <sup>B</sup>	7.22	ິດ
	0	7.63±0.03	172.68:	±2.89 <sup>B</sup>	165.05±1.33 <sup>B</sup>	494.81±3.88 <sup>A</sup>	3.00±0.13 <sup>A</sup>	3.25±0.06	39.99±2.17	0.69±0.02 <sup>A</sup>	8.48±0.10 <sup>A</sup>	10.00 <sup>A</sup>	$ \Delta $
	0.05	7.62±0.07	184.56=	±3.00 <sup>A</sup>	176.94±1.24 <sup>A</sup>	474.05±2.94 <sup>B</sup>	$2.68 \pm 0.06^{B}$	3.12±0.03	38.32±2.08	$0.62 \pm 0.02^{B}$	7.58±0.07 <sup>в</sup>	2.78 <sup>B</sup>	3
	Table (3): Effect of dietary treatments on carcass characteristics of Japanese quail at 5 weeks of age.												
	T	able (3): Effe Treatm	ect of die nents	ietary tr	eatments on ca	rcass character	istics of Japai (% of E)	nese quail at <u>(</u> 3W)	weeks of age.				ACID
		able (3): Effe Treatm Starter-Grow	ect of die nents ver	MA	BW	Eviscerated	istics of Japan (% of E	nese quail at § 3W)	5 weeks of age.	Ovary-			ACIDS
		able (3): Effe Treatm Starter-Grow Diet	ect of die nents ver	MA (%)	BW (g/bird)	Eviscerated carcass	ristics of Japan (% of F Dressing*	nese quail at 5 3W) Breast	5 weeks of age. Abdominal fat	Ovary- oviduct			ACIDS AI
		able (3): Effer Treatm Starter-Grow Diet 24% CP&290	ect of did nents ver	MA (%)	eatments on ca BW (g/bird) 188.12±2.10 <sup>a</sup>	Eviscerated carcass 67.50±2.12 <sup>a</sup>	ristics of Japan (% of E Dressing <sup>®</sup> 74.54±0.37 <sup>a</sup>	nese quail at 5 3W) Breast 36.60±1.12 <sup>4</sup>	Abdominal fat 2.16±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	Ovary- oviduct 7.28±0.19 <sup>a</sup>			ACIUS AND
		able (3): Effe Treatm Starter-Grow Diet 24% CP&290 kcal	ect of dia nents ver	MA (%) 0 0.05	BW (g/bird) 188.12±2.10 <sup>a</sup> 191.22±2.04 <sup>a</sup>	Eviscerated carcass 67.50±2.12 <sup>a</sup> 67.66±2.30 <sup>a</sup>	istics of Japan (% of E Dressing <sup>®</sup> 74.54±0.37 <sup>a</sup> 73.74±0.91 <sup>a</sup>	nese quail at 4 3W) Breast 36.60±1.12 <sup>4</sup> 36.90±1.04 <sup>8</sup>	Abdominal fat           2.16±0.02 <sup>b</sup> 2.01±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	Ovary- oviduct 7.28±0.19 <sup>a</sup> 7.41±0.22 <sup>a</sup>			ACIDS AND E
		able (3): Effe Treatm Starter-Grow Diet 24% CP&290 kcal 22% CP&275	ect of dim nents ver	MA (%) 0 0.05 0	BW (g/bird) 188.12±2.10 <sup>a</sup> 191.22±2.04 <sup>a</sup> 152.00±2.35 <sup>c</sup>	Eviscerated carcass 67.50±2.12 <sup>a</sup> 67.66±2.30 <sup>a</sup> 58.19±1.96 <sup>c</sup>	istics of Japan (% of E Dressing° 74.54±0.37° 73.74±0.91° 64.00±0.46°	nese quail at 5 3W) Breast 36.60±1.12 <sup>4</sup> 36.90±1.04 <sup>4</sup> 25.11±1.10 <sup>6</sup>	Abdominal fat           2.16±0.02 <sup>b</sup> 2.01±0.04 <sup>b</sup> 3.10±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	Ovary- oviduct 7.28±0.19 <sup>4</sup> 7.41±0.22 <sup>4</sup> 4.10±0.11 <sup>c</sup>			ACIDS AND EN
		able (3): Effe Treatm Starter-Grow Diet 24% CP&29( kcal 22% CP&275 kcal	ect of did nents ver	MA (%) 0 0.05 0 0.05	BW (g/bird) 188.12±2.10 <sup>a</sup> 191.22±2.04 <sup>a</sup> 152.00±2.35 <sup>c</sup> 173.62±3.02 <sup>b</sup>	Eviscerated carcass 67.50±2.12 <sup>a</sup> 67.66±2.30 <sup>a</sup> 58.19±1.96 <sup>c</sup> 62.47±2.00 <sup>b</sup>	istics of Japan (% of E Dressing° 74.54±0.37° 73.74±0.91° 64.00±0.46° 68.55±0.67°	nese quail at 5 3W) Breast 36.60±1.12 <sup>4</sup> 36.90±1.04 <sup>4</sup> 25.11±1.10 <sup>6</sup> 30.96±1.02 <sup>b</sup>	Abdominal fat           2.16±0.02 <sup>b</sup> 2.01±0.04 <sup>b</sup> 3.10±0.01 <sup>a</sup> 2.11±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	Ovary- oviduct 7.28±0.19 <sup>4</sup> 7.41±0.22 <sup>4</sup> 4.10±0.11 <sup>c</sup> 5.23±0.09 <sup>b</sup>			ACIDS AND ENZ
		able (3): Effe Treatm Starter-Grow Diet 24% CP&290 kcal 22% CP&275 kcal 4% CP&2900	ect of did nents ver 00 50 0 kcal	MA (%) 0 0.05 0 0.05	eatments on ca BW (g/bird) 188.12±2.10* 191.22±2.04* 152.00±2.35° 173.62±3.02° 189.67±2.10 <sup>4</sup>	Eviscerated carcass 67.50±2.12 <sup>a</sup> 67.66±2.30 <sup>a</sup> 58.19±1.96 <sup>c</sup> 62.47±2.00 <sup>b</sup> 66.58±1.88 <sup>A</sup>	istics of Japan (% of E Dressing* 74.54±0.37* 73.74±0.91* 64.00±0.46° 68.55±0.67* 73.64±0.80^	nese quail at 4 3W) Breast 36.60±1.12 <sup>a</sup> 36.90±1.04 <sup>a</sup> 25.11±1.10 <sup>c</sup> 30.96±1.02 <sup>b</sup> 36.75±1.06 <sup>A</sup>	Abdominal fat           2.16±0.02 <sup>b</sup> 2.01±0.04 <sup>b</sup> 3.10±0.01 <sup>a</sup> 2.11±0.03 <sup>b</sup> 2.09±0.01 <sup>B</sup>	Ovary- oviduct 7.28±0.19 <sup>4</sup> 7.41±0.22 <sup>4</sup> 4.10±0.11 <sup>c</sup> 5.23±0.09 <sup>b</sup> 3.71±0.19 <sup>B</sup>			ACIDS AND ENZIN
		able (3): Effe Treatm Starter-Grow Diet 24% CP&290 kcal 22% CP&275 kcal 4% CP&2900 2% CP&2750	ect of diments ver	MA (%) 0 0.05 0 0.05	eatments on ca BW (g/bird) 188.12±2.10 <sup>4</sup> 191.22±2.04 <sup>a</sup> 152.00±2.35 <sup>c</sup> 173.62±3.02 <sup>b</sup> 189.67±2.10 <sup>A</sup> 162.81±2.66 <sup>B</sup>	Eviscerated carcass 67.50±2.12 <sup>a</sup> 67.66±2.30 <sup>a</sup> 58.19±1.96 <sup>c</sup> 62.47±2.00 <sup>b</sup> 66.58±1.88 <sup>A</sup> 60.33±2.07 <sup>B</sup>	istics of Japan (% of E Dressing" 74.54±0.37" 73.74±0.91" 64.00±0.46° 68.55±0.67° 73.64±0.80 <sup>A</sup> 66.28±0.71 <sup>B</sup>	nese quail at 4 3W) Breast 36.60±1.12 <sup>4</sup> 36.90±1.04 <sup>4</sup> 25.11±1.10 <sup>6</sup> 30.96±1.02 <sup>b</sup> 36.75±1.06 <sup>A</sup> 28.04±1.00 <sup>B</sup>	Abdominal fat           2.16±0.02 <sup>b</sup> 2.01±0.04 <sup>b</sup> 3.10±0.01 <sup>a</sup> 2.11±0.03 <sup>b</sup> 2.09±0.01 <sup>B</sup> 2.61±0.04 <sup>A</sup>	Ovary- oviduct 7.28±0.19 <sup>s</sup> 7.41±0.22 <sup>s</sup> 4.10±0.11 <sup>c</sup> 5.23±0.09 <sup>b</sup> 3.71±0.19 <sup>B</sup> 4.67±0.17 <sup>x</sup>			ACIDS AND ENZIME
	1 2 2	able (3): Effe Treatm Starter-Grow Diet 24% CP&290 kcal 22% CP&275 kcal 4% CP&2900 2% CP&2750	ect of diments ver 00 50 50 0 kcal	etary tr MA (%) 0 0.05 0 0.05 0 0.05	eatments on ca BW (g/bird) 188.12±2.10 <sup>4</sup> 191.22±2.04 <sup>a</sup> 152.00±2.35 <sup>c</sup> 173.62±3.02 <sup>b</sup> 189.67±2.10 <sup>A</sup> 162.81±2.66 <sup>B</sup> 170.06±2.00 <sup>B</sup>	Eviscerated carcass 67.50±2.12 <sup>a</sup> 67.66±2.30 <sup>a</sup> 58.19±1.96 <sup>c</sup> 62.47±2.00 <sup>b</sup> 66.58±1.88 <sup>A</sup> 60.33±2.07 <sup>B</sup> 62.35±2.03	istics of Japan (% of E Dressing" 74.54±0.37" 73.74±0.91" 64.00±0.46° 68.55±0.67° 73.64±0.80 <sup>A</sup> 66.28±0.71 <sup>B</sup> 68.78±0.94	nese quail at 4 3W) Breast 36.60±1.12 <sup>4</sup> 36.90±1.04 <sup>4</sup> 25.11±1.10 <sup>6</sup> 30.96±1.02 <sup>b</sup> 36.75±1.06 <sup>A</sup> 28.04±1.00 <sup>B</sup> 30.86±1.08	Abdominal fat           2.16±0.02 <sup>b</sup> 2.01±0.04 <sup>b</sup> 3.10±0.01 <sup>a</sup> 2.11±0.03 <sup>b</sup> 2.09±0.01 <sup>B</sup> 2.61±0.04 <sup>A</sup> .2.63±0.01 <sup>A</sup>	Ovary- oviduct 7.28±0.19 <sup>s</sup> 7.41±0.22 <sup>s</sup> 4.10±0.11 <sup>c</sup> 5.23±0.09 <sup>b</sup> 3.71±0.19 <sup>B</sup> 4.67±0.17 <sup>A</sup> 5.69±0.15 <sup>B</sup>			ACIDS AND ENZYMES
	22 22	able (3): Effe Treatm Starter-Grow Diet 24% CP&290 kcal 22% CP&275 kcal 4% CP&2900 2% CP&2750	ect of diments ver	etary tr MA (%) 0 0.05 0 0.05 0 0.05	eatments on ca BW (g/bird) 188.12±2.10 <sup>4</sup> 191.22±2.04 <sup>4</sup> 152.00±2.35 <sup>c</sup> 173.62±3.02 <sup>b</sup> 189.67±2.10 <sup>A</sup> 162.81±2.66 <sup>B</sup> 170.06±2.00 <sup>B</sup> 182.42±2.21 <sup>A</sup>	Eviscerated carcass 67.50±2.12 <sup>a</sup> 67.66±2.30 <sup>a</sup> 58.19±1.96 <sup>c</sup> 62.47±2.00 <sup>b</sup> 66.58±1.88 <sup>A</sup> 60.33±2.07 <sup>B</sup> 62.35±2.03 64.57±2.00	istics of Japan (% of E Dressing" 74.54±0.37" 73.74±0.91" 64.00±0.46° 68.55±0.67° 73.64±0.80 <sup>A</sup> 66.28±0.71 <sup>B</sup> 68.78±0.94 71.16±0.90	nese quail at 4 3W) Breast 36.60±1.12 <sup>a</sup> 36.90±1.04 <sup>a</sup> 25.11±1.10 <sup>c</sup> 30.96±1.02 <sup>b</sup> 36.75±1.06 <sup>A</sup> 28.04±1.00 <sup>B</sup> 30.86±1.08 27.86±1.05	Abdominal fat           2.16±0.02 <sup>b</sup> 2.01±0.04 <sup>b</sup> 3.10±0.01 <sup>a</sup> 2.11±0.03 <sup>b</sup> 2.09±0.01 <sup>B</sup> 2.61±0.04 <sup>A</sup> .2.63±0.01 <sup>A</sup> 2.06±0.03 <sup>B</sup>	Ovary- oviduct 7.28±0.19 <sup>s</sup> 7.41±0.22 <sup>s</sup> 4.10±0.11 <sup>c</sup> 5.23±0.09 <sup>b</sup> 3.71±0.19 <sup>B</sup> 4.67±0.17 <sup>A</sup> 5.69±0.15 <sup>B</sup> 6.32±0.18 <sup>A</sup>			ACIDS AND ENLYMES OF

### Table (3): Effect of dietary treatments on carcass characteristics of Japanese quail at 5 weeks of age.

Treatments		(% of BW)							
Starter-Grower Diet	MA (%)	BW (g/bird)	Eviscerated carcass	Dressing	Breast	Abdominal fat	Ovary- oviduct		
24% CP&2900	0	188.12±2.10*	67.50±2.12*	74.54±0.37*	36.60±1.12*	2.16±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	7.28±0.19*		
kcal	0.05	191.22±2.04*	67.66±2.30*	73.74±0.91*	36.90±1.04*	2.01±0.04°	7.41±0.22*		
22% CP&2750	0	152.00±2.35°	58.19±1.96°	64.00±0.46°	25.11±1.10 <sup>c</sup>	3.10±0.01*	4.10±0.11°		
kcal	0.05	173.62±3.02 <sup>b</sup>	62.47±2.00 <sup>b</sup>	68.55±0.67 <sup>b</sup>	30.96±1.02 <sup>b</sup>	2.11±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	5.23±0.09 <sup>6</sup>		
24% CP&2900 kca	l	189.67±2.10 <sup>A</sup>	66.58±1.88 <sup>A</sup>	73.64±0.80 <sup>A</sup>	36.75±1.06 <sup>A</sup>	2.09±0.01 <sup>B</sup>	3.71±0.19 <sup>B</sup>		
22% CP&2750 kca		162.81±2.66 <sup>B</sup>	60.33±2.07 <sup>8</sup>	66.28±0.71 <sup>B</sup>	28.04±1.00 <sup>B</sup>	2.61±0.04 <sup>A</sup>	4.67±0.17 <sup>*</sup>		
	0	170.06±2.00 <sup>B</sup>	62.35±2.03	68.78±0.94	30.86±1.08	.2.63±0.01 <sup>A</sup>	5.69±0.15 <sup>B</sup>		
	0.05	182.42±2.21 <sup>A</sup>	64.57±2.00	71.16±0.90	27.86±1.05	2.06±0.03 <sup>B</sup>	6.32±0.18 <sup>A</sup>		

Treatments		Ed	ible giblets (%	6)	Lym	phoid organs	(%)
Startar Crowar Diat	MA	Liver	Heart	Gizzard	Thymus	Bursa	Spleen
Starter-Grower Diet	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
249/ CD& 2000 keel	0	3.03±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	1.00±0.05ª	3.01±0.14	$0.20 \pm 0.02^{b}$	0.06±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.08±0.03 <sup>b</sup>
24 % CF & 2900 KCal	0.05	3.01±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	$1.04 \pm 0.07^{a}$	3.03±0.11	0.36±0.01ª	$0.17 \pm 0.03^{a}$	0.13±0.01 <sup>a</sup>
229/ CD 8-2750 keel	0	2.00±0.15 <sup>b</sup>	0.79±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	3.02±0.16	0.18±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.05±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	$0.06 \pm 0.02^{b}$
2270 CF & 2750 KCal	0.05	2.22±0.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.82±0.08 <sup>b</sup>	3.04±0.19	0.38±0.03ª	$0.14 \pm 0.01^{a}$	$0.12 \pm 0.02^{a}$
24% CP&2900 kcal		$3.02 \pm 0.10^{A}$	1.02±0.03 <sup>A</sup>	3.02±0.09	0.28±0.01	0.12±0.02	0.11±0.02
22% CP&2750 kcal		2.11±0.18 <sup>B</sup>	$0.81 \pm 0.07^{B}$	3.03±0.21	0.28±0.03	0.10±0.02	0.09±0.01
	0	2.52±0.16	0.89±0.05	3.02±0.06	$0.19 \pm 0.02^{B}$	0.06±0.01	0.07±0.01
	0.05	2.62±0.14	0.93±0.06	3.04±0.14	$0.37 \pm 0.02^{A}$	0.16±0.02	0.13±0.02
farma in the same calum	h h and in a st	1:00		Al., J:00	-+ - <0.05		

Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol. 21, No. 2, July, 2007

Table (4): Effect of dietary treatments on edible giblets and lymphoid organs % of Japanese quail at 5 weeks of age.

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at  $p \le 0.05$ .

Table (5): Effect of dietary treatments on the intestinal villi of growing Japanese quail at 5 weeks of age

Treatments		Intestinal segment							
	MA (%)	Duode	enum	Jeju	num	lleum			
Starter-Grower Diet		Villus height (µm)	Villus Width (µm)	Villus height (µm)	Villus Width (µm)	Villus height (µm)	Villus Width (µm)		
24% CP 8-2000 konl	0	447.2±2.10 <sup>b</sup>	100.3±1.11 <sup>b</sup>	323.8±3.01 <sup>b</sup>	91.2±2.12 <sup>b</sup>	205.7±2.13 <sup>b</sup>	90.3±1.15 <sup>b</sup>		
24 /6 CF & 2900 KCal	0.05	486.1±5.02 <sup>a</sup>	124.5±3.03*	361.1±4.00 <sup>a</sup>	113.9±3.03ª	246.2±2.04 <sup>a</sup>	104.6±1.09 <sup>a</sup>		
2294 CP& 2750 keel	0	454.4±4.00 <sup>.b</sup>	105.1±2.23 <sup>b</sup>	317.6±2.13 <sup>b</sup>	96.6±2.10 <sup>b</sup>	213.5±1.08 <sup>b</sup>	94.1±1.10 <sup>b</sup>		
2276 CF & 2750 KCal	0.05	479.6±3.22 <sup>a</sup>	118.7±4.02*	355.3±5.04ª	116.7±1.22ª	252.1±1.04 <sup>a</sup>	110.4±1.31ª		
24% CP&2900 kcal		466.65±4.54	112.40±2.00	342.45±4.11	102.56±2.13	225.95±2.10	97.45±1.19		
22% CP&2750 kcal		467.00±3.87	111.90±2.23	336.15±3.46	106.65±2.06	232.80±2.02	102.25±1.14		
	0	450.80±3.70 <sup>B</sup>	102.70±2.17 <sup>B</sup>	320.70±3.80 <sup>B</sup>	93.90±2.11 <sup>B</sup>	209.60±2.15 <sup>B</sup>	92.20±1.07 <sup>B</sup>		
	0.05	482.85±5.00 <sup>A</sup>	121.60±3.14 <sup>A</sup>	358.20±3.32 <sup>A</sup>	115.30±2.09 <sup>A</sup>	249.15±2.04 <sup>A</sup>	107.50±1.11 <sup>A</sup>		

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at  $p \le 0.05$ .

i

ł

ł

# EFFECT OF USING ORGANIC ACIDS AND ENZYMES ON.....

Table (6): Effect of dietary treatments on total microflora count, colibacillus and lactobacillus and their ratio of the ileum content as well as intestinal pH of Japanese quail at 5 weeks of age.

23

				<b>NT</b> ( )		
Treatment	ts	Microfi	ora count (Lo	g No/g)	Ś	
Starter- Grower Diet	MA (%)	Total Microflora count	Colibacillus	Lactobacillus	Lactobacillu Colibacillus ratio	Intestinal pH
24% CP&2900	0	$10.83 \pm 0.12^{a}$	$6.21\pm0.10^{a}$	$4.40\pm0.18^{b}$	0.71±0.12 <sup>b</sup>	6.70±0.09 <sup>a</sup>
kcal	0.05	8.21±0.09 <sup>b</sup>	4.53±0.12 <sup>b</sup>	$6.11 \pm 0.15^{a}$	$1.35 \pm 0.10^{a}$	6.20±0.07 <sup>b</sup>
22% CP&2750	0	$11.03\pm0.14^{a}$	6.33±0.13ª	$4.31\pm0.10^{b}$	0.68±0.11 <sup>b</sup>	6.90±0.06 <sup>a</sup>
kcal	0.05	8.32±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	4.37±0.12 <sup>b</sup>	$6.24\pm0.12^{a}$	$1.43\pm0.15^{a}$	6.10±0.03 <sup>b</sup>
24% CP&2900	kcal	9.52±0.07	5.37±0.06	5.26±0.14	1.03±0.13	6.45±0.08
22% CP&2750	kcal	9.68±0.14	5.35±0.11	5.28±0.11	1.06±0.14	6.50±0.05
	0	$10.93 \pm 0.09^{A}$	6.27±0.04 <sup>A</sup>	$4.36\pm0.10^{B}$	$0.70\pm0.11^{B}$	6.80±0.04 <sup>A</sup>
	0.05	8.27±0.15 <sup>B</sup>	4.45±0.09 <sup>B</sup>	$6.18 \pm 0.10^{A}$	1.39±0.08 <sup>A</sup>	$6.15 \pm 0.04^{B}$

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at  $p \le 0.05$ .

#### **Blood scrum parameters:**

Results concerning TP, Alb, Glo, Alb/Glo ratio, TL and Cho are shown in Table (7). There were no significant differences in either TP or Cho among different treatments. Irrespective of MA, HPHE-diet caused significant increase in Alb and Alb/Glo ratio and significant decrease in Glo and TL. Regardless of diet type, MA supplementation resulted in no significant differences among all studied traits. Supplementing MA to HPHE-diet had similar Alb, Glo, Alb/Glo ratio and TL values as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet. The same trend was observed in case of supplementing MA to LPLE-diet.

 Table (7): Effect of dietary treatments on some serum blood parameters of growing

 Japanese quail at 5 weeks of age.

Treatm	ients	ТР					Cho	
Starter- Grower Diet	MA (%)	(g/100 ml)	Alb (g/100 ml)	Glo (g/100 ml)	Alb/Glo ratio	TL (g/100 ml)	(mg/100 ml)	
24% CP&	0	4.40±0.05	1.91±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	2.49±0.17 <sup>b</sup>	$0.77 \pm 0.16^{a}$	1.53±0.14 <sup>b</sup>	110.00±7.10	
2900 kcal	0.05	4.42±0.11	1.86±0.13ª	2.56±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.73±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	1.56±0.12 <sup>6</sup>	107.11±6.21	
22%CP&	0	4.40±0.05	1.00±0.10 <sup>b</sup>	3.40±0.11*	0.29±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	2.00±0.11ª	109.14±5.16	
2750 kcal	0.05	4.43±0.10	1.07±0.14 <sup>b</sup>	3.36±0.19*	$0.45 \pm 0.16^{b}$	1.96±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	106.24±6.96	
24% CP&2	900 kcal	4.41±0.09	$1.89 \pm 0.06^{A}$	$2.52\pm0.13^{B}$	$0.75 \pm 0.04^{A}$	1.55±0.14 <sup>B</sup>	108.56±5.43	
22% CP&2	750 kcal	4.42±0.10	$1.04\pm0.08^{B}$	$3.38\pm0.11^{A}$	$0.31 \pm 0.09^{B}$	$1.98 \pm 0.13^{A}$	107. <u>6</u> 9±8.00	
	0	4.40±0.07	1.46±0.04	2.94±0.14	0.50±0.12	1.77±0.05	109.57±4.94	
	0.05	4.43±0.12	1.47±0.11	2.96±0.05	0.50±0.10	1.76±0.07	106.68±6.11	

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at  $p \le 0.05$ . Nutrients digestibility coefficients:

Data regarding digestibility coefficients of CP, CF, EE and NFE values for experimental starter-grower diets are given in Table (8). There were no significant differences in CF and NFE digestibilities among different treatments. Apart from MA, HPHE-diet caused significant increase in CP and EE digestibility as compared to LPLE-diet. Away from diet type, MA supplementation caused significant increase for only CP digestibility. Supplementing MA to HPHE-diet had similar CP and EE digestibility as

compared to the corresponding MA-free diet. However, supplementing MA to LPLE-diet caused significantly increase in CP and similar EE digestibility as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet

In general, the improvement due to adding MA may be attributed to improving intestinal microbial balance. In other words, MA helps to keep the intestinal tract healthy and when the epithelial tissue is healthy, there is improved and better absorption of all nutrients (Kaisths *et al.*, 1996). Economical efficiency:

Economical evaluation parameters for MA supplementation in Japanese quail diets varying in CP and ME in terms of feeding cost of the experimental diets, net revenue, economical efficiency ( $EE_f$ ) and relative economical efficiency ( $REE_f$ ) of meat production are listed in Table (9).

Taking MR % into account, results showed that MA-supplemented diets gave higher  $\text{REE}_f$  % than MA-free diets. Also, supplementing MA to HPHEdiet failed to increase  $\text{REE}_f$  % as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet. The highest  $\text{REE}_f$  % was obtained by supplementing MA to LPLE-diet. This may be due to FCR improvement and low FI for birds fed this experimental diet. Other explanation is the beneficial effect of MA which improved absorption of nutrients and depressed harmful bacteria that causes growth depression.

Treatments		Digestibility coefficients (%)					
Starter-Grower Diet	MA (%)	СР	CF	EE	NFE		
24% CP & 2000 kast	0	90.01±1.16 <sup>a</sup>	20.09±1.12	73.52±1.31ª	78.20±1.21		
24 70 CP & 2900 Kcal	0.05	90.05±1.14 <sup>a</sup>	20.11±1.14	73.66±1.23ª	78.14±1.10		
229/ CD 8-2750 head	0	79.60±1.10 <sup>c</sup>	20.13±1.33	68.04±1.11 <sup>b</sup>	78.17±1.34		
$2270$ CP $\alpha 2750$ Kcal	0.05	84.36±1.30 <sup>b</sup>	20.07±1.28	67.19±1.34 <sup>b</sup>	78.16±1.13		
24% CP&2900 kcal		$90.03 \pm 1.22^{A}$	$20.10 \pm 1.10$	73.59±1.20 <sup>A</sup>	78.17±1.08		
22% CP&2750 kcal	_	81.98±1.18 <sup>B</sup>	20.10±1.07	$67.62 \pm 1.32^{B}$	78.17±1.05		
	0	84.81±1.37 <sup>B</sup>	20.11±1.23	70.78±1.13	78.19±1.21		
	0.05	87.21±1.06 <sup>A</sup>	20.09±1.20	70.43±1.17	78.15±1.31		

Table (8): Effect of dietary treatments on the digestibility coefficients.

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05.

## Laying performance:

Results concerning laying performance in terms of EP %, EN, EW, EM, FI and FCR values are shown in Table (10). Irrespective of MA, HPHE-diet caused significant improvement in EP %, EN, EW, EM and FCR as well as significant decrease in FI as compared to LPLE-diet. These results are in harmony with those of Abdel-Rahman (1993); Shrivastav *et al.*, (1993); Zanaty *et al.* (2001); Yakout *et al.* (2004) and Garcia *et al.*, (2005) who reported that EP, EW, EM and FCR were improved with increasing dietary CP level. However, Garcia *et al.*, (2005) reported that FI was not significantly affected by dietary CP level.

Regardless of diet type, MA supplementation caused significant improvement in EP % and FCR, non significant differences in EN, EW and EM as well as significant decrease in FI. Supplementing MA to HPHE-diet had similar EP %, EN, EW, EM and FCR to the corresponding MA-free diet. The only exception was FI that was significantly decreased as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet. On the other hand, supplementing MA to LPLE-

# EFFECT OF USING ORGANIC ACIDS AND ENZYMES ON.....

diet caused significantly improvement in EP %, EW, EM and FCR except for FI that was significantly decreased as compared to the MA-free diet. **Egg quality:** 

25

Data regarding egg quality in terms of ESI, SG, STh, shell (S) %, yolk (Y) % and albumin (Alb) % are presented in Table (11). There were no significant differences in SG, Y % and Alb % among different treatments. These results are in a relative harmony with the results of **Garcia** *et al.*, (2005) who reported that dietary CP levels had no effect on Y %. On the contrary, increasing CP level increased Y % and reduced Alb % (Akbar *et al.*, 1983), increased Y % (Yakout *et al.*, 2004) and decreased Y % (Zanaty, 2006).

Regardless of MA, HPHE-diet caused significant increase in ESI, STh and S %. Similar observations have been reported by **Yakout** *et al.* (2004) and **Zanaty** (2006) who found that STh was significantly increased with increasing CP. This may be due to the increase in EW or the enhancing of Ca deposition in the shell matrix.

Irrespective of diet type, MA supplementation caused significant increase in ESI, STh and S %. Supplementing MA to HPHE-diet had similar ESI, STh and S % to the corresponding MA-free diet. However, supplementing MA to LPLE-diet caused significant increase in ESI, STh and S % as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet.

#### Egg analysis:

Results concerning egg analysis in terms of albumin protein  $(Alb_p)$  %, yolk protein  $(Y_p)$  %, yolk ether extract  $(Y_{EE})$  % and yolk cholesterol  $(Y_{Cho})$  are shown in Table (12). Regardless of MA, HPHE-diet caused significant increase in  $Y_p$  % and significant decrease in  $Y_{EE}$  % and  $Y_{Cho}$  %. These results are in agreement with previous studies of Andersson (1979); Akbar (1983) and Garcia *et al.*, (2005) who reported that  $Y_p$  contents increased with higher dietary CP levels.

Irrespective of diet type, MA supplementation caused significant decrease in  $Y_{Cho}$  %. Supplementing MA to HPHE-diet caused similar  $Y_p$  % and  $Y_{EE}$  % as well as significant decrease in  $Y_{Cho}$  % as compared to the corresponding MA-free diet. The same trend was observed in case of supplementing MA to LPLE-diet.

From nutritional and economical point of view, it could be concluded that using MA at a level of 0.05 % in Japanese quail diets containing sub-optimal energy and protein levels helped in reducing microflara count, particularly pathogens and in turn, improving quail performance and immunity. This can alleviate the financial pressure on the farmer. If MA is used correctly along with nutritional, managerial and biosecurity measures, it can be a powerful tool in maintaining the health of the gastrointestinal tract, thus improving quail zootechnical performances.

Table (9): Input-output analysis and economical efficiency ratio of experimental treatments during the starter-growing period.

Treatments			Total	Total	Total	Total	Net		
Starter-Grower Diet	MA (%)	Livability <sup>*</sup>	Fl (kg/ treatment)	FI price (L.E / treatment)**	BW gain (kg/ treatment)	BW gain price (L.E / treatment)***	revenue (LE / treatment)	EE <sub>f</sub> ****	REE <sub>f</sub> (%)
249/ CD 8-2000 keel	0	91.11	42.73	61.82	14.97	224.61	162.79	2.63	100.00
24 % CF & 2900 Kcal	0.05	97.78	44.02	68.09	16.26	243.92	175.83	2.58	98.06
229/ CD 8-2750 kast	0	88.89	37.48	52.10	11.80	176.99	124.89	2.40	91.03
$22.76$ CF $\alpha 2.750$ Kcal	0.05	96.67	38.97	58.07	14.71	220.66	162.59	2.80	106.33
24% CP&2900 kcal		97.44	43.41	64.98	15.61	234.22	169.24	2.60	98.91
22% CP&2750 kcal		92.78	38.26	55.09	13.22	198.26	143.17	2.60	98.69
	0	90.00	40.08	56.85	13.37	200.54	143.69	2.53	95.98
	0.05	97.22	41.48	62.98	15.48	232.23	169.25	2.69	102.05

\* Livability = 100 - MR %

\*\*According to the local market price of feed ingredients at the experimental time.

\*\*\*According to the local market price of one kg live body weight which was 15 L.E at the experimental time.

\*\*\*\* $EE_f$ : Economical efficiency, net revenue per unit of total feed cost. \*\*\*\*\* Relative economic efficiency, assuming that the control treatment = 100 %.

Treatments		ED	EN	EW	EM	FI	FCR
Layer Diet	MA (%)	(%)	(No./hen/day)	(g)	(g/hen/day)	(g/hen/day)	(g feed/g egg)
200/ CD 8 2000 11	0	82.07±3.22 <sup>a</sup>	0.82±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	10.10±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	8.28±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	20.24±0.21 <sup>b</sup>	$2.44 \pm 0.02^{bc}$
20% CP&2900 Kcai	0.05	81.78±2.44 <sup>a</sup>	0.82±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	10.12±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	8.30±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	18.01±0.37°	2.17±0.01°
100/ CD 8 2750 havel	0	72.05±4.04°	0.75±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	7.93±0.04°	5.95±0.02°	22.50±0.22ª	3.78±0.02 <sup>a</sup>
18% CP&2/50 Kcal	0.05	78.09±3.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.78±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	9.00±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	7.02±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	20.04±0.32 <sup>b</sup>	2.85±0.03 <sup>b</sup>
20% CP&2900 kcal		81.93±2.91 <sup>A</sup>	0.82±0.05 <sup>A</sup>	$10.11 \pm 0.05^{A}$	8.29±0.05 <sup>A</sup>	19.13±0.20 <sup>B</sup>	2.31±0.01 <sup>B</sup>
18% CP&2750 kcal		75.07±2.20 <sup>B</sup>	$0.77 \pm 0.07^{B}$	8.47±0.07 <sup>B</sup>	6.52±0.07 <sup>B</sup>	21.27±0.27 <sup>A</sup>	3.26±0.03 <sup>A</sup>
	0	77.06±3.09 <sup>B</sup>	0.79±0.04	9.02±0.04	7.13±0.04	21.37±0.30 <sup>A</sup>	$3.00\pm0.02^{A}$
	0.05	79.94±2.70 <sup>A</sup>	0.80±0.06	9.56±0.06	7.65±0.09	$19.03 \pm 0.12^{B}$	$2.49 \pm 0.01^{B}$

Table (10): Effect of dietary treatments on performance of laying Japanese quail from 8 to 20 weeks of age

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at  $p \le 0.05$ .

Mohammed. A. A. Abdel-Mageed

Treatments				STh		% of EW	
Layer Diet	MA (%)	ESI	SG $(\mu)$		S	Y	Alb
200/ CD & 2000 least	0	78.00±0.21ª	1.03±0.001	321.30±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	10.44±0.11ª	30.09±0.23	59.47±0.81
20% CP&2900 Kcai	0.05	79.00±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	1.08±0.003	321.81±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	$10.51 \pm 0.10^{a}$	30.32±0.41	60.40±0.92
100/ CD 8-2750 head	0	65.00±0.21°	1.02±0.002	200.10±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	9.00±0.14 <sup>c</sup>	33.54±0.32	56.78±0.74
18% CP&2/50 Kcal	0.05	73.00±0.20 <sup>b</sup>	1.10±0.002	314.63±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	9.60±0.12 <sup>b</sup>	33.21±0.43	57.09±0.61
20% CP&2900 kcal		78.50±0.16 <sup>A</sup>	1.06±0.03	321.56±0.04 <sup>A</sup>	10.48±0.07 <sup>A</sup>	30.21±0.23	59.94±0.55
18% CP&2750 kcal		69.00±0.19 <sup>B</sup>	1.06±0.02	257.37±0.03 <sup>B</sup>	9.30±0.13 <sup>B</sup>	33.38±0.20	56.94±0.74
	0	71.50±0.24 <sup>B</sup>	1.03±0.01	$260.70\pm0.01^{A}$	9.72±0.11 <sup>B</sup>	31.82±0.24	58.13±0.69
*	0.05	76.00±0.11 <sup>A</sup>	1.09±0.03	$318.22 \pm 0.01^{B}$	10.06±0.09 <sup>A</sup>	31.77±0.20	58.75±0.71

Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol. 21, No. 2, July, 2007

Table (11): Effect of dietary treatments on egg quality of laying Japanese quail from 8 to 20 weeks of age.

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at p≤0.05.

.

 Table (12): Effect of dietary treatments on egg analysis of laying Japanese quail from 8 to 20 weeks of age.

Treatments		A 11.	v	v	V
Layer Diet	MA (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	r <sub>Cho</sub> (mg/gm yolk)
200/ CD & 2000 keep	0	79.68±0.80	31.62±0.53 <sup>a</sup>	57.89±0.71 <sup>b</sup>	24.03±0.47 <sup>a</sup>
2070 CF & 2900 Kcal	0.05	79.71±0.78	31.71±0.77 <sup>a</sup>	57.99±0.66 <sup>b</sup>	20.71±0.78 <sup>b</sup>
199/ CD 8-2750 keel	0	79.52±0.67	29.84±0.91 <sup>b</sup>	60.41±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	26.05±0.83 <sup>a</sup>
10 /0 CF & 2 / 50 KCal	0.05	79.68±0.51	29.99±0.66 <sup>b</sup>	60.62±0.82 <sup>a</sup>	23.06±0.50 <sup>b</sup>
20% CP&2900 kcal		79.70±0.49	$31.67 \pm 0.72^{A}$	57.94±0.52 <sup>B</sup>	22.36±0.39 <sup>B</sup>
18% CP&2750 kcal		79.60±0.91	$29.92 \pm 0.60^{B}$	$60.52 \pm 0.90^{A}$	24.56±0.61 <sup>A</sup>
	0	79.60±0.55	30.73±0.81	59.15±0.76	25.04±0.48 <sup>A</sup>
	0.05	79.70±0.70	30.85±0.73	59.31±0.80	21.89±0.33 <sup>B</sup>

Means in the same column having different letters are significantly different at  $p \le 0.05$ .

#### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Rahman, S. A. (1993). Effect of dietary protein level with antibiotic or probiotic supplementation on performance of laying hens. Egypt. Poult. Sci. 13: 501-519.
- A.O.A.C. (1990), Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of analysis 15<sup>th</sup> Ed., published by Assoc. Office. Anal. Chem., Washington, D.C., USA.
- Akbar M.K.; Gavora J.S.; Friars G.W. and Gowe R.S (1983). Composition of eggs by commercial size categories: Effects of genetic group, age and diet. Poult. Sci.; 62:925-33.
- Andersson K. (1979). Some unconventional feedstuffs to laying hens 1. Effects on production and gross chemical composition of eggs. Swedish Journal of Agricultural; 9:29-36.
- Boling, S.D.; J.L. Snow; C.M. Parsons and D.H. Baker, (2001). The effect of citric acid on calcium and phosphorus requirements of chicks fed corn soybean meal diets. Poult. Sc., 80: 783-788.
- Cave, N.A.G., (1984). Effect of dietary propionic and lactic acid on feed intake by chicks. Poult. Sci., 63: 131-134.
- Chaveerach, P.; D.A. Keuzenkamp; L.J. Lipman and F. Van Knapen, (2004). Effect of organic acids in drinking water for young broilers on Campylobacter infection, volatile fatty acid production, gut microflora and histological cell changes. Poult. Sci., 83: 330-334.
- Denli, M.; F. Okan and K. Celik, (2003). Effect of Dietary Probiotic, Organic Acid and Antibiotic Supplementation to Diets on Broiler Performance and Carcass Yield. Pak. J. Nutr., 2: 89-91.
- Dhawale, A., (2005). Better eggshell quality with a gut acidifier. Poult. Int., 44: 18-21.
- **Dofing, J. and Gottschal, (1997).** Microbe-microbe interactions. Pages 373-389 in Gastrointestinal microbiology. Mackie, R.I. ed. Chapman and Hall. New York.

Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F- test. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.

Garcia E.A; Mendes A.A; Pizzolante C.C; Saldanha E.S.P.B; Moreira J; Mori C. and Pavan A.C. (2005). Protein, methionine + cystine and lysine levels for Japanese quails during the production phase. Rev. Bras. Cienc. Avic. vol.7 no.1 Campinas Jan. /Mar.

- Garrido, M.N.; M. Skjervheim; H. Oppegaard and H. Sorum, (2004). Acidified litter benefits the intestinal flora balance of broiler chickens. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 70: 5208-5213.
- Henry, R.F. (1974). "Clinical Chemistry Principles and Technics" 2 nd Ed., Harper and Row, Hagerstein, MD.
- Jakobsen, P.E.; Kirston, S.G; Gertovey and Nielson, H. (1960). Digestibility trials with poultry. 322 beretning Fraforsgs labooratoriet udgivet of statens. Husdybugsudvalg, Kobenhaven, 56:1-34.
- Jensen, B.B., (1998). The impact of feed additives on the microbial ecology of the gut in young pigs. J. Anim. Feed Sci., 7: 45-64.
- Kaistha, M.; S. Katoch; B.S. Katoch; M. Kumari; K.K. Dogra and C. R. Sharma (1996). Effect of dietary supplementation of useful microbes isolated from luffa cylindrical (luffa aegytiaca) and momordica charantia on the performance of broilers. Indian J. Poult. Sci., 31: 56-162.
- Lehninger, A.L., (1978). Biochemistry. Worth publishing. 509-542.
- Moharrery and M. Mahzonich (2005). Effect of Malic Acid on Visceral Characteristics and Coliform Counts in Small Intestine in the Broiler and Layer Chickens. International Poult. Sci., 4 (10): 761-764.
- National Research Council, N.R.C. (1994). Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9<sup>th</sup> Revised. Ed., National Academy of Sciences Press, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Patten, J.D. and P.W. Waldroup, (1988). Use of organic acids in broiler diets. Poult. Sci., 67: 178-1182.
- Roy, (2002). Influence of a propionic acid feed additive on performance of turkey poults with experimentally induced poult enteritis and mortality syndrome. North Carolina State University, Department of Poultry Science, Raleigh, 27695-7635.
- Sarra, P.G.; F. Dellaglio and V. Bottazzi, (1985). Taxonomy of lactobacilli isolated from the alimentary tract of chickens. System Appl. Microbiol., 6:86-89.
- S.G.; Arid Shelat, **F.** and E. Redei, (1997). Exposure to dehydroepiandrosterone in utero affect T cell function in males only. Neuroimmunomodulation, 4: 154-162.
- Shrivastav A.K.; Raju M.V.L.N. and John T.S (1993). Effect of varied dietary protein on certain production traits in breeding Japanese quail. Indian Journal Poultry Science 1993; 28(1):20-5.
- SPSS (1993). SPSS Statistical software Package for the social sciences. 2 nd ed. HA33-N48. McGrow-Hill, Inc., USA.
- Stadleman, W.J. (1977). Quality identification of shell egg in: Egg science and technology. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed by W. J. Stadleman and O. J. Cotterill pub by AVI publishing company Inc. Connecticut USA.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie (1980). Principles and procedures of statistics:
- A Biometrical 2 nd ed. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, USA. Sturkie, P. D. (1986). "Avain Physiology". 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc. USA.
- Thompson, J.L. and M. Hinton, (1997). Antibacterial activity of formic and propionic acids in the diet of hens on Salmonellas in the crop. Br. Poult. Sci., 1997 Mar, 38: 59-65.
- Zanaty, G.A. (2006). Optimum dietary protein and energy levels for Norfa hens during the laying period. Egypt Poult. Sci. Vol (26) (I): (207-220).

Zanaty, G.A.; Rady, A.S.; Abou-Ashour, A.M. and Abdou, F.H. (2001). Productive performance of Norfa chickens as affected by dietary protein level, brooding system and season. Egypt. Poult. Sci. Vol. 21 (1): 237-254.

-----

- Washburn, K.W. and D.F. Nix (1974). A rapid technical for extraction of yolk cholesterol. Poult. Sci. 53: 1118-1122.
- Yakout, H. M.; Omara, M. E.; Maric, Y. and Hassan, R. A. (2004). Effect of incorporating growth promoters and different dietary protein levels into Mandarah hens layer's diets. Egypt. Poult. Sci. 24 (IV): 977-994.

تأثير استخدام الأحماض العضوية والأنزيمات على أداء السمان الياباني المغذى على مستويات مثلي وتحت المثلي من الطاقة والبروتين ١- حمض الماليك

# محمد أحمد على عبد المجيد

قسم بحوث تغذية الدواجن– معهد بحوث الإنتاج الحيواني – الدقي – جيزة – مصر .

أســتخدم فى هذه الدراسة ٣٦٠ كتكوت سمان يابانى غير مجنس عمر يوم تم توزيعها بالتساوى علــى ٤ معــاملات غذائية (٦ مكررات/معاملة) استمرت حتى عمر ٢٠ أسبوع وذلك بهدف معرفة تأثــير إضــافة حمض الماليك على أداء النمو والأداء الإنتاجى وبعض صفات الذبيحة ومقاييس الدم وكذا الكفاءة الاقتصادية للسمان اليابانى.

تم تكوين عليقتين نمو (كنترول) الأولى تحتوى على ٢٤ ٪ بروتين خام و٢٩٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقمة مماثلة/كجم علف لتغطى الاحتياجات الغذائية للسمان اليابانى طبقا للمجلس القومى الأمريكى للمحوث (NRC) سنة ١٩٩٤ والثانية تحتوى على ٢٢ ٪ بروتين خام و٢٧٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة مماثلة / كجم علف وذلك لتغذية الكتاكيت خلال فترة النمو (١-٣٥ يوم). تم تكوين عليقتين بياض (كنمترول) الأولمى تحتوى على ٢٠ ٪ بروتين خام و٢٩٠٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة / كجم علف لمتعطى الاحتمياجات الغذائية للسمان اليابانى طبقا للمجلس القومى الأمريكى للبحوث (NRC) سنة اعتفى الاحتمادية الغذائية للسمان اليابانى طبقا للمجلس القومى الأمريكى للبحوث (NRC) سنة لمتنظمى الاحتمادية تحتوى على ٢٠ ٪ بروتين خام و٢٠٢٠ كيلو كالورى طاقة ممثلة / كجم علف لمتنفية الكتاكيت خلال فترة البياض (٢-٢٠ أسبوع). تم إضافة أو عدم إضافة ممثلة / حمض الماليك لتغذية الكتاكيت خلال فترة البياض (٢-٢٠ أسبوع). تم إضافة أو عدم إضافة ممثلة / مض الماليك والمياض.

فى نهاية فترة النمو (عمر ٣٥ يوم) تم ذبح ١٢ طائر (٦ إناث + ٦ ذكور) من كل معاملة (أنثى + ذكر/مكرر) لمتقدير صفات الذبيحة كما تم جمع ١٢ عينة دم من طيور كل معاملة وقت الذبح لتقدير بعض مكونات سيرم الدم كما تم أيضا إجراء تجربة هضم فى نهاية فترة النمو لتقدير معاملات هضم المركبات الغذائية باستخدام ٦ ديوك من كل معاملة. وفى فترة البياض (٦-٢٠ أسبوع) تم تسجيل عدد ووزن وكتلة البيض ومعدل إنتاج البيض والغذاء المأكول ومعدل تحويل الغذاء كما تم تكسير عدد ١٠ بيضات من كل معاملة فى نهاية فترة الماكول ومعدل تحويل الغذاء كما تم البيض وكذا التحليل الكيماوى للبيض.

من وجهة النظر الغذائية والإقتصادية يمكن أن يستنتج من النتائج تحت ظروف التجرية الحالية أن إضافة حمض الماليك بمعدل ٥٠,٠٥٪ إلى علائق السمان اليابانى المحتوية على مستويات تحت المائلى من البروتين والطاقة ساعدت فى تقليل أعداد الكائنات الحية الدقيقة الضارة الموجودة بأمعاء الطيور وهذا بدوره يحسن أداء ومناعة الطيور كما يخفف أيضا من الأعباء المالية على المزارع وبعارة أخرى إذا استخدم حمض الماليك بطريقة صحيحة مع ظروف جيدة من التغذية والرعاية والأسان الحيوى فإن حمض الماليك يصبح أداة قوية فى المحافظة على صحة القياة الهضمية ما