

## THE DETECTION OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS OF VARIATION IN BREAD WHEAT (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

F. A. Hendawy, H. A. Dawwam and Mona M. Serage EL-Din

Field crops Dept. Fac. Of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt

(Received: May , 30 , 2007)

---

**ABSTRACT** : Six populations of the three wheat crosses i.e. Sakha 93 X Gemmieza 3, Sids 1 X Gemmieza 7 and Giza 170 X Gemmieza 7 were used to study (i) The potentiality of heterosis expression for grain yield and some of its components and (ii) Investigation of genetical behaviour, heritability and expected genetic advance under selection for grain yield and some of its components in the three wheat crosses.

The obtained results can be summarized as follows

The genetic variances within  $F_2$  populations were found to be highly significant for all traits studied in the three crosses under investigation. Highly significant useful heterosis was detected for heading date in the second cross Sids 1 X Gemmieza 7 and for 1000-grain weight in the first cross Sakha 93 X Gemmieza 3 (12.51%). Inbreeding depression values were found to be highly significant for mostly all traits studied in the three crosses under investigation. The  $F_2$ -deviation ( $E_1$ ) found to be significant for all traits studied in the three crosses except number of productive tillers, main culm spike weight, main culm spike density, spike length and grain weight per spike in the second cross Sids 1 X Gemmieza 7 and main culm spike density and 1000-grain weight in the third cross Giza 170 X Gemmieza7. Backcross deviation ( $E_2$ ) was found to be significant for number of both tillers and productive tillers per plant, number of grains per main culm spike, grain weight per main culm spike and grain weight per plant in the first and second cross and for heading date and main culm spike length in the first cross and for main culm spike weight in the second cross and 1000-grain weight in both second and third cross. The additive genetic effects (a) were found to be highly significant for mostly all traits studied in the three crosses under investigation. Dominance gene effects (d) were found to be highly significant in twenty three cases of the forty two cases studied in the three crosses. Highly significant additive X additive (aa) were detected in twenty out of the forty two cases studied. The epistatic type additive X dominance was found to be significant in seventeen cases of the forty two cases studied. Dominance X dominance types of epistasis were detected to be significant in twenty seven cases of the forty two cases studied in the three populations studied. Heritability estimates in both broad and narrow sense were found to be high in magnitudes for most traits studied in the three populations under investigation. High genetic advance under selection was found to be

*associated with high narrow sense heritability estimates for main culm spike weight, main culm spike density, grain weight per spike and grain weight per plant in the three crosses studied and for plant height, number of productive tillers, main culm spike length, number of spikelets per main culm spike, grains per main culm spike, grain yield per main culm spike and spike length in the first cross and for plant height and spike length in the second cross and for number of productive tillers per plant, number of grains per main culm spike, grain yield per main culm spike and 1000-grain weight in the third cross.*

**Key words :** *Heterosis – gene action – wheat – heritability genetic advance under selection- six generations model*

---

## **INTRODUCTION**

Before the initiation of any breeding programme, the materials under investigation should be subjected to genetic analysis to find out the relative magnitude of various types of the genetic variances to formulate the most efficient breeding procedures to achieve quick and maximum improvement.

Improvement of yield in wheat through conventional breeding methods has reached a level at which phenomenal increase seem to be rather difficult. This warrant to think of some new breeding approach which might increase the yield considerably. The development of hybrid wheat is one way of increasing wheat production. Heterosis has long been observed in wheat, but to be of potential value, a hybrid should be more profitable than the best available commercial wheat varieties. The basic tools for hybrid wheat production, such as cytoplasmic male sterility, the fertility- restoring system and cross- pollination under field conditions are available (Wilson, 1968).

The objectives of the present study are to investigate the genetical behaviour using six generations model (Gamble 1962), heritability and expected genetic advance under selection for grain yield and some of its components in three selected crosses.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present experiment was carried out at the Experimental farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Minufiya University at Shebin El-Kom during the three successive seasons 2003/2004, 2004/2005 and 2005/2006. Five common wheat varieties were used to establish the experimental materials for this investigation. Each two parents were crossed in 2003/2004 to obtain F<sub>1</sub> seeds of the three crosses. In 2004/2005 season, a part of the obtained hybrid seeds of the three crosses Sakha 93 x Gemmeza 3, Sids 1 x Gemmeza 7 and Giza 170 x Gemmeza 7, was sown and the rest being saved to the next season. F<sub>1</sub> plants were self-pollinated and backcrossed to both parents to obtain F<sub>2</sub> and backcross seeds. The six populations P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, BC<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub> were sown

using randomized complete block design with three replicates in 2005/2006 growing season. Each replicate comprised 25 rows of  $F_2$ , 10 rows of both  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$  and 5 rows of any nonsegregated populations. The rows were 3 meters long with 20 cm. between rows and 10 cm. between plants within rows. Normal agricultural wheat practices were applied as usual for the ordinary wheat fields in the area. Heading date was recorded on an individual plant basis. At maturity, twenty guarded plants were randomly chosen from each row for subsequent measurements as follows:

Heading date, plant height, number of tillers per plant, number of productive tillers per plant, main culm spike length, number of spikelets per main culm spike, main culm spike weight, number of grains per main culm spike, grain weight per main culm spike, main culm spike density, spike length, grain weight per spike, grain yield per plant and 1000-grain weight.

### **Statistical analysis:**

The t-test was used to examine the existence of genetic variance between parental means. Statistical procedures used herein would only be computed if the  $F_2$  genetic variance was found to be significant. A one tail (F) ratio was used to examine the existence of genetic variance within the  $F_2$  population. The degree of freedom for this test was considered as infinity. If calculated (F) ratio was equal to or larger than the tabulated ones, various biometrical parameters needed in this investigation would be computed. Heterosis (H), was expressed as percent increase of the  $F_1$  mean performance above the respective better parent, i.e.  $(F_1 - B.P) / B.P \times 100$ . Inbreeding depression (I.d.) was measured as the average percent decrease of the  $F_2$  from the  $F_1$ .  $F_2$  deviation ( $E_1$ ), was calculated as the deviation of the  $F_2$  mean performance from the average of  $F_1$  and mid-parent value. Backcrosses deviation ( $E_2$ ), was computed as the deviation of the two backcrosses performance from the  $F_1$  and mid-parent performances. The validity of some estimates were examined by t-test. Nature of gene action was studied according to the relationships illustrated by Gamble (1962). In this procedure the means of the six populations of each cross were used to estimate six parameters of gene action. A test of significance of these parameters was conducted by the t-test. Heritability was estimated in both broad and narrow senses for  $F_2$  generation, according to Mather's procedure (1949). The predicted genetic advance under selection ( $\Delta G$ ) was computed according to Johnson *et al.*, (1955). This genetic gain represented as percentage of the  $F_2$  mean performance was also obtained following (Miller *et al.*, 1958).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Varietal differences in response to their genetic background were found to be highly significant in most characters under investigation in each of the three crosses studied. The genetic variances within  $F_2$  populations were also

found to be highly significant for all traits studied in the three crosses under investigation. Consequently, the various genetical parameters used in this investigation were estimated for all traits studied. The existence of the significant genetic variability in  $F_2$  populations in spite of the insignificant differences between the parental cultivars for some characters in the three crosses, may suggest that the genes of like effects were not completely associated in the parental cultivars i.e. these genes are dispersed ( Mather and Jinks , 1982). Means and variances of the six populations  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $BC_1$ , and  $BC_2$  for all traits studied in the three crosses are given in Table ( 1 ).

Heterosis was estimated as the percent increase of the  $F_1$  mean performance above the respective better parent values. The expression of heterotic effects for all traits studied are presented in Table ( 2 ). Highly significant negative useful heterosis was detected for heading date only in the second cross Sids 1 X Gemmieza 7 which consider to be a potential advantageous materials in this concern. Highly significant useful heterosis was also detected by Hendawy (1994b), Hewezi (1996), EL-Sayed (1997), Hendawy (1998), Saad (1999), EL-Seidy and Hamada (2000), Comber (2001) and Seleem (2001). As for plant height, no useful heterotic effects were detected in the three crosses under investigation. Similar results were previously obtained by Hewezi (1996). As for 1000-grain weight, only Sakha 93 X Gemmieza 3 was found to exhibit highly significant useful heterosis which amounted to 12.51% over the respective better parent. Highly significant useful heterosis for 1000-grain weight was obtained by EL-Sayed (1997), Saad (1999), Afiah *et al.* (2000), EL-Hosary *et al.*, (2000), Esmail and Kattab (2002), Hendawy (2003) and Seleem (2006).

Inbreeding depression is measured as the percent deviation of  $F_2$  from  $F_1$  mean performance. The estimates of inbreeding depression values are presented in (Table 2). Inbreeding depression values were found to be highly significant for nearly all traits in the three crosses under investigation. It is of interest to note that heterosis in  $F_1$  generation should be followed by appreciable reduction in  $F_2$  generation, since the two parameters are two sides of the same phenomena. The present results were found to agree with this expectation in most cases and that was previously obtained by Esmail and Kattab (2002), Darwish and Ashoush (2003) and Hendawy (2003). On the contrary, this expectation was not fulfilled in some cases, where significant heterosis and insignificant inbreeding depression were obtained. The contradiction between heterosis and inbreeding depression estimates could be due to the presence of linkage between genes in these materials ( Van der Veen, 1959 ).

Genetic analysis of generation means to give estimates of additive (a), dominance (d) and the three epistatic effects (aa), (ad) and (dd) were obtained according to relationships illustrated by Gamble (1962) and

## **The detection of the different components of variation in bread.....**

presented in Table (2). The estimated mean effects parameter ( $m$ ), which reflects the contribution due to the over-all mean plus the locus effects and interaction of the fixed loci, were found to be highly significant for all traits in the three crosses. The additive gene effects ( $a$ ) were found to be highly significant for all traits in the three crosses studied except main culm spike length, number of spikelets per main culm spike, main culm spike weight, number of grains per main culm spike, grain weight per main culm spike, main culm spike density, spike length and grain weight per plant in the first cross. Also, the additive genetic effects did not reach the significant level in the second cross for heading date, plant height, number of spikelets per main culm spike, spike length, grain weight per spike and 1000-grain weight, however, in the third cross, the estimates of the additive genetic effects were found to be insignificant for number of grains per main culm spike, grain weight per spike and grain weight per plant. It is of interest to mention that the presence of the additive genetic effect in the inheritance of these traits would suggest the potential for obtaining further improvement of the characters which exhibited highly significant estimates of additive effects ( $a$ ). Dominance gene effects ( $d$ ) were found to be highly significant for heading date and main culm spike weight in the three crosses under examination. Also, the estimated values of dominance gene effects were detected to be highly significant for plant height, main culm spike length, main culm spike density, spike length, grain weight per spike and grain weight per plant in the first cross. In the second cross, dominance gene effects were found to be significant for plant height and number of spikelets per main culm spike. Dominance gene effects were also found to be highly significant in the second and third cross for number of productive tillers, number of grains per main culm spike and 1000-grain weight. However, in the third cross only, the estimated values of dominance gene effects were detected to be highly significant for number of tillers per plant, spike length and grain weight per plant.

Highly significant additive X additive ( $aa$ ) epistatic type was found for heading date, main culm spike weight and 1000-grain weight in the three crosses studied. The estimated values of additive X additive epistatic type were detected to be significant for main culm spike length, spike length and grain weight per plant in the first and third cross and for number of tillers per plant, number of productive tillers per plant and number of grains per main culm spike in the second and third cross and for plant height, main culm spike density and grain weight per spike in the first cross and for plant height and grain weight per main culm spike in the second cross only. The estimated values of additive X dominance ( $ad$ ) types of digenic epistasis were found to be significant for heading date, plant height, main culm spike length, grain weight per spike and 1000-grain weight in the first cross

Table (1): Means (X) and variances (S<sup>2</sup>) of P1, P2, F1, F2, BC1 and BC2 populations of the three crosses 1 (Sakha 93 X Gemmieza 3) , 11 (Sids 1 X Gemmieza 7) , 111 (Giza 170 X Gemmieza 7), for all traits studied .

Characters		Cross 1						Cross2						Cross3					
		P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	BC <sub>1</sub>	BC <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	BC <sub>1</sub>	BC <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	BC <sub>1</sub>	BC <sub>2</sub>
Heading date (days)	X	85.2	94.48	96.52	81.7	90.85	82.72	90.93	91.33	85.5	82.96	88.17	87.08	92.38	85.8	86.76	85.43	90.43	86.39
	S <sup>2</sup>	6.71	5.12	0.973	35.18	21.72	28.72	2.13	4.19	2.74	23.39	20.72	17.91	2.74	3.82	2.19	22.36	15.02	14.78
Plant height (cm)	X	85.4	114.1	100.7	117.2	90.15	107.6	120.3	113.3	114.3	110.2	117.6	116.5	92.67	115.3	114.5	111.5	106.3	117.3
	S <sup>2</sup>	6.32	7.27	14.96	125.6	62.69	73.03	5.15	4.85	7.83	149	67.5	127.1	12.37	8.27	6.95	88.78	45.45	85.9
Number of tillers per plant	X	4.1	4.63	4.77	6.93	5.8	6.75	7.6	5.37	5.97	6.18	7.78	6.18	5.83	5.9	5.37	7.07	6.49	4.92
	S <sup>2</sup>	1.33	1.41	2.74	8.65	5.15	5.85	3.35	2.38	3.07	6.49	3.7	4.48	2.14	2.02	2.25	4.69	4.84	2.31
Number of productive tillers	X	3.87	4.63	4.27	6.36	5.37	6.17	6.8	4.87	5.53	5.51	7.08	5.78	5.47	5.4	5.07	6.95	5.73	4.62
	S <sup>2</sup>	1.02	1.01	1.4	7.71	4.07	5.23	2.58	2.05	2.81	5.29	3.5	4.61	2.46	3.63	3.17	9.83	6.96	6.31
Main culm spike length (cm)	X	11.78	11.13	11.45	12.24	10.94	11.06	13.87	14.13	14.8	14.04	14.36	13.46	10.8	13.92	12.65	13.13	11.91	13.63
	S <sup>2</sup>	0.309	0.344	0.144	2.12	1.2	1.34	0.447	0.926	0.683	1.661	1.18	1.57	0.66	0.519	0.441	1.51	1.52	0.603
No. of spikelets / main culm spike	X	20.43	20.67	20.57	21.38	21.15	21.23	23.07	24.13	24.07	23.26	23.28	23.78	21.85	24.57	23.17	23.69	22.73	24.2
	S <sup>2</sup>	0.39	0.58	0.25	4.62	2.6	2.64	1.51	1.085	1.03	3.43	2.44	2.72	1.59	0.875	0.833	2.97	2.51	2.03
Main culm spike weight (gm)	X	3.13	3.32	3.45	4.13	3.62	3.45	4.53	5.3	4.71	4.89	5.44	6.08	4.01	5.09	4.32	4.64	4.12	4.55
	S <sup>2</sup>	0.24	0.23	0.31	1.52	0.91	1.02	0.215	0.953	0.424	2.774	1.655	1.812	0.332	0.111	0.163	0.768	0.425	0.611
No. of grains per main culm spike	X	45.57	48.34	40.6	50.24	50.52	51.11	74.04	72.77	70.7	64.31	71.27	79.85	59.07	68.63	64.8	71.59	64.1	66.76
	S <sup>2</sup>	53.29	54.24	53.83	317.9	198.6	182.9	78.52	110.2	82.42	191.9	207.6	106.6	31.86	33.76	32.17	137.7	95.09	83.15
Grain weight per main culm spike (gm)	X	2.45	2.44	2.45	3.08	2.97	2.91	3.8	4.39	3.55	3.5	4.06	5.06	2.81	3.86	3.31	3.56	3.45	3.72
	S <sup>2</sup>	0.133	0.262	0.24	1.19	0.81	0.77	0.44	0.58	0.293	0.88	0.52	1.00	0.059	0.144	0.139	0.66	0.509	0.293
Main culm spike density	X	1.88	1.84	1.8	1.78	1.93	1.91	1.67	1.71	1.63	1.67	1.63	1.78	1.96	1.77	1.84	1.82	1.92	1.78
	S <sup>2</sup>	0.013	0.003	0.001	0.042	0.021	0.028	0.007	0.008	0.004	0.024	0.018	0.013	0.026	0.004	0.009	0.031	0.012	0.003
Spike length (cm)	X	10.07	10.09	10.1	11.23	10.02	9.91	12.64	13.23	13.28	13.04	13	13.61	9.95	13.06	11.96	12.33	10.92	12.41
	S <sup>2</sup>	0.595	0.335	0.329	2.68	1.53	1.8	0.88	0.65	0.66	2.38	1.4	1.76	0.51	0.562	0.554	1.301	1.42	0.665
Grain weight per spike (gm)	X	2.14	1.82	1.82	2.36	2.27	1.63	2.086	3.065	2.316	2.79	2.66	2.63	1.87	3.23	2.41	2.58	2.67	2.85
	S <sup>2</sup>	0.126	0.153	0.153	0.85	0.63	0.59	0.598	0.71	0.84	2.62	1.71	1.83	0.054	0.186	0.138	0.74	0.571	0.347
Grain weight per plant (gm)	X	8.18	8.46	8.46	15.52	11.69	9.98	14.13	14.14	12.06	15.26	18.29	13.72	10.25	17.24	12.37	17.73	15.3	12.94
	S <sup>2</sup>	4.52	12.21	12.21	102.2	62.63	69.04	47.42	16.19	15.07	52.18	35.04	37.13	11.09	24.73	27.02	76.55	48.4	62.72
1000 grains weight (gm)	X	53.96	53.71	60.71	60.76	58.66	54.8	47.38	59.98	50.23	55.9	61.45	63.96	46.86	56.12	50.97	50.43	54.25	57.34
	S <sup>2</sup>	5.7	61.89	51.26	94.86	72.19	67.76	46.39	48.59	17.22	111.9	92.32	77.32	19.67	7.18	13.21	53.98	52.43	23.35

Table (2): Heterosis, inbreeding depression and gene action parameters in the three crosses 1 (Sakha 93 X Gemmieza 3), 11 (Sids 1 X Gemmieza 7), 111 (Giza 170 X Gemmieza 7) for all traits studied.

Characters	Cross	Heterosis %	Inbreeding depression %	F2 deviation E1	Backcross deviation E2	Genetic action parameter					
						M	a	d	aa	ad	dd
Heading date (days)	1	13.29**	15.35**	-11.48**	-12.80**	81.7**	8.13**	826.98**	20.3**	12.77**	5.22
	2	-5.97**	2.97**	-5.36**	-1.38	82.96**	1.09	13.03**	18.66**	1.29	-15.9**
	3	1.12*	2.35*	-4.73**	0.97	85.43**	4.04**	9.59**	11.82**	-0.75	-13.86**
Plant height (cm)	1	17.95**	-16.37**	16.98**	-2.78	125.55**	-17.4**	-72.52**	-73.5**	-3.05*	79.1**
	2	0.618	3.34*	-5.2**	3.3	110.2**	1.07	24.61**	27.38**	-2.4	-33.98**
	3	30.86**	2.61**	2.28*	4.16	115.5**	-12.05**	9.75	-0.78	-0.75	-7.54
Number of tillers per plant	1	3.02	-0.453**	2.36**	3.41**	6.93**	-0.95*	2.41	-2.62	-0.68	-4.21
	2	-47.76**	-55.67	1.36**	4.32**	6.18**	1.6**	1.495	3.2*	-0.325	-11.83**
	3	-8.98	-31.66**	1.45**	0.175	7.07**	1.57**	-5.96**	-5.46**	1.61**	5.11**
Number of productive tillers	1	-7.78	-48.95**	2.1**	3.02**	6.36**	-0.8*	-2.35	-2.36	-0.42	-3.88
	2	-18.68**	0.362	-0.173	1.5*	5.51**	1.3**	3.38**	3.68**	0.335	-6.67**
	3	-7.31	-37.08**	1.7**	-0.155	6.95**	1.11*	-7.47**	-7.1**	1.08*	7.41**
Main culm spike length (cm)	1	-2.8*	-7.16**	0.818**	-0.91**	12.12**	-0.12	-5.085**	-5.08**	-0.445*	6.89**
	2	4.74**	5.14**	-0.36*	-0.98	14.04**	0.9**	0.28	-0.52	1.03**	2.48*
	3	-9.12**	-3.8**	0.625**	0.53	13.13**	-1.72**	-1.15	-1.44*	-0.16	0.38
No. of spikelets / main culm spike	1	-0.484	-3.94**	0.82**	1.26	21.38**	-0.08	-0.74	-0.76	0.04	-1.76*
	2	-0.249	3.37**	-0.575**	-0.61	23.26**	-0.5	1.55*	1.08	0.03	0.14
	3	-5.7**	-2.24*	0.5*	0.55	23.69**	-1.47**	-0.94	-0.9	-0.11	-0.2-
Main culm spike weight (gm)	1	3.92	-19.71**	0.793**	0.395	4.13**	0.17	-2.16**	-2.38**	0.265	1.59
	2	-11.13**	-3.82	0.078	1.9**	4.89**	-0.64**	3.28**	3.48**	-0.255	-7.27**
	3	-15.13**	-7.41**	0.205*	-0.2	4.64**	-0.43**	-1.45**	-1.22**	0.11	1.62*

Table (2) : Cont.

Character	Cross	Heterosis %	Inbreeding depression %	F2 deviation E1	Backcross deviation E2	Genetic action parameter					
						m	a	d	aa	ad	dd
No. of grains per main culm spike	1	-16.03**	-23.74**	6.46**	14.06**	50.24**	-0.59	-4.07	2.3	0.81	-30.42*
	2	-4.51	9.04**	-7.74**	7.02*	64.31**	-8.58**	42.3**	45**	-9.22**	-59.03**
	3	-5.58**	-10.48**	7.27**	2.21	71.54**	-2.66	-23.69**	-24.64**	2.12	20.22*
Grain weight per main culm spike (gm)	1	0	-25.71**	0.633**	0.985**	3.08**	0.06	-0.555	-0.56	0.055	-1.41*
	2	-19.13**	1.41	-0.323**	1.48**	3.5**	-1**	3.7**	4.24**	-0.705**	-7.19**
	3	-14.25**	-7.55**	0.24*	0.525	3.56**	-0.27*	0.075	0.1	0.255*	-1.15
Main culm spike density	1	-4.26**	1.11	-0.05*	0.18	1.78	0.02	0.5**	0.56**	0	-0.92**
	2	-4.68**	-2.45	0.01	0.09	1.67	-0.15**	0.08	0.14	-0.13**	-0.32**
	3	-6.12**	1.09	-0.033	-0.005	1.82	0.14**	0.095	0.12	0.045	-0.11
Spike length (cm)	1	0.099**	-11.19**	1.14**	-0.25	11.23**	0.11	-5.04**	-5.06**	0.12	5.56**
	2	0.378	1.81	-0.068	-0.605	13.04**	0.39	-0.595	-0.94	0.685**	2.15
	3	-8.42**	-3.09*	0.598**	-0.135	12.33**	-1.49**	-2.21**	-2.66**	0.065	2.93**
Grain weight per spike (gm)	1	-14.95**	-29.67**	0.46**	0.1	2.36*	0.64**	-1.8**	-1.64**	0.48**	1.44*
	2	-24.47**	-20.47*	0.344	0.395	2.79**	0.03	-0.84	-0.58	0.52*	-0.217
	3	-25.39**	-7.05	0.1**	0.56	2.58**	-0.18	0.58	0.72	0.5	-1.84**
Grain weight per plant (gm)	1	0	-83.45**	7.13**	4.89**	15.52**	1.71	-18.6**	-18.7**	1.85	8.96
	2	-14.71*	-26.53**	2.16*	5.82**	15.26**	4.57**	0.905	2.98	4.58**	-14.61**
	3	-28.25**	-43.33**	4.67**	2.13	17.73**	2.36	-15.82**	-14.44**	5.86**	10.19
1000 grains weight (gm)	1	12.51**	-0.082	3.49**	-1.09	60.76**	3.86*	-9.25	-16.1**	3.74*	18.29*
	2	-16.26**	-11.29**	3.95**	21.5**	55.9**	-2.51	23.77**	27.2**	3.79*	-70.22**
	3	-9.18**	1.06	-0.8	9.13**	50.43**	-3.09**	5.35**	21.46**	1.54	-39.72**

\*, \*\* Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels respectively.



## **The detection of the different components of variation in bread.....**

and for main culm spike length, number of grains per main culm spike, grain weight per main culm spike, main culm spike density, spike length, grain weight per spike, grain weight per plant and 1000-grain weight in the second cross and for number of tillers per plant, number of productive tillers per plant, grain weight per main culm spike and grain weight per plant in the third cross.

Dominance X dominance (dd) epistatic effect was found to be significant for number of grains per main culm spike and 1000-grain weight in the three crosses and for plant height, main culm spike length, grain weight per main culm spike and main culm spike density in the first and second cross and for heading date, number of tillers and number of productive tillers per plant in the second and third cross and for spike length and grain weight per spike in the first and third cross. However, dominance X dominance epistatic effects were detected to be significant for number of spikelets per main culm spike in the first cross only and for main culm spike weight and grain weight per plant in the second cross only. It is worth to mention that the three epistatic types aa, ad and dd were found to be accompanied by significant estimates of both  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  epistatic scales in most traits studied and that would ascertained the presence of epistasis in such large magnitude as to warrant great deal of attention in wheat breeding programs. Also, concerning the relative importance of both additive and dominance gene action, it was found that the later was greater in magnitude in all traits studied in the three crosses under investigation (Table 2 ). Consequently, it could be concluded that the presence of both additive and non-additive gene action in mostly all traits studied would indicate that selection procedures based on the accumulation of additive effects should be successful in improving all traits under investigation. However, to maximize selection advance, procedures which are known to be effective in shifting gene frequency when both additive and non-additive genetic variances are involved would be preferred. Similar results were previously reported by EL-Hennawy (1995) and Hendawy (1998) for heading date, EL-Hosary *et al.*, (2000) and Moussa (2005) for plant height, EL-Sayed and Moshref (2005) for number of productive tillers, Comber (2001) for main culm spike length, EL-Hennawy (1991) for number of spikelets per main culm spike, Hewezi (1996) for number of grains per main culm spike, Comber (2001) for grain weight per main culm spike , El-Seidy and Hamada (2000) and Shahid *et al.*, (2005) for spike length, Hewezi (1996) and Moussa (2005) for grain weight per spike, EL-Sayed and Moshref (2005) and Moussa (2005) for grain weight per plant and Hendawy (1994b), Hamada (2003) and Moussa (2005) and for 1000-grain weight.

Heritability in both broad and narrow sense and genetic advance under selection were computed and the obtained results are presented in Table (3). High heritability estimates in broad sense were found for all traits studied in the three crosses under investigation except for number of productive tillers, main culm spike length, number of grains per main culm spike and grain weight per main culm spike in the second cross and; number of tillers per plant and spike length in the third cross, where moderate estimates of broad sense heritability were detected for these characters. High estimates of narrow sense heritability were found for main culm spike weight, main culm spike density and grain weight per spike in the three crosses under examination and; plant height, spike length and grain weight per plant in the first and second cross and; heading date in the second and third cross. Also, in the first and third cross, high heritability estimates in narrow sense were found for number of productive tillers, main culm spike length, number of grains per main culm spike and grain weight per main culm spike and for tillers number per plant and number of spikelets per main culm spike in the first cross and for 1000-grain weight in the third cross only. Moderate narrow sense heritability estimates were found for the rest of the traits studied except for main culm spike length, number of grains per main culm spike and grain weight per main culm spike in the second cross where low estimates of narrow sense heritability were detected (Table 3). The differences in magnitudes of both broad and narrow sense heritability estimates were found for most traits under investigation would ascertain the presence of both additive and non-additive genetic variance in the inheritance of most traits in the three crosses under investigation as previously obtained from gene action parameters study. The same conclusion previously reached by Hendawy (1994a) and Moussa (2005) for heading date, EL-Hennawy (1995), EL-Hosary *et al.*, (2000) and EL-Sayed and Moshref (2005) for plant height, Hendawy (1994b) and EL-Hennawy (1995), for number of productive tillers, Comber (2001) for main culm spike length, Hewezi (1996) for number of spikelets per main culm spike, Hewezi (1996) for number of grains per main culm spike, Comber (2001) for grain weight per main culm spike, Shahid *et al.*, (2005) for spike length, Seleem (1993) and Moussa (2005) for grain weight per spike, EL-Hennawy (1991), Hendawy (1994c) and Moussa (2005) for grain weight per plant and Eissa *et al.*, (1994), EL-Hosary *et al.*, (2000) and Shahid *et al.*, (2005) for 1000-grain weight.

Genetic advance under selection which are given in (Table 3) show the possible gain from selection as percent increase in the  $F_3$  over the  $F_2$  mean when the most desirable 5% of the  $F_2$  plants are selected. Genetic advance under selection ( $\Delta G\%$ ) was found to be high in magnitude for number of tillers per plant, number of productive tillers per plant, main culm spike weight, number of grains per main culm spike, grain weight per main culm

***The detection of the different components of variation in bread.....***

**Table (3): Heritability estimates, genetic advanced ( $\Delta g$ ) and genetic advance expressed as a percent of  $F_2$  means ( $\Delta g\%$ ) for all characters studied in the three crosses under investigation .**

CHARACTERS	CROSS	HERITABILITY		GENETIC ADVANCE	
		Broad sense	Narrow sense	$\Delta g$	$\Delta G\%$
Heading date (days)	1	87.86	56.91	6.95	8.51
	2	90.07	72.89	7.26	8.75
	3	86.96	66.73	6.5	7.61
Plant height (cm)	1	92.42	91.9	21.21	18.09
	2	96.01	69.45	17.47	15.85
	3	89.64	52.05	10.1	9.06
Number of tillers per plant	1	78.84	72.83	4.41	63.64
	2	61.94	54.39	2.85	46.12
	3	54.23	47.55	2.12	29.99
Number of productive tillers	1	85.21	79.38	4.54	71.38
	2	53.11	46.61	2.21	40.11
	3	68.60	65.01	4.2	60.43
Main culm spike length (cm)	1	87.49	80.19	2.41	19.65
	2	58.74	34.62	0.919	6.55
	3	64.24	59.40	1.5	11.42
No. of spikelets / main culm spike	1	91.20	86.58	3.83	17.91
	2	64.73	49.48	1.89	8.13
	3	62.99	47.14	1.678	7.05
Main culm spike weight (gm)	1	82.08	73.03	1.86	45.04
	2	80.87	75.02	2.57	52.56
	3	73.70	65.10	1.18	25.43
No. of grains per main culm spike	1	83.08	79.99	29.38	52.51
	2	52.90	36.29	10.36	16.11
	3	76.32	70.52	17.05	23.82
Grain weight per main culm spike (gm)	1	82.21	67.23	1.51	49.03
	2	50.27	27.27	0.527	15.06
	3	82.73	78.49	1.31	36.8
Main culm spike density	1	86.51	83.33	0.352	19.78
	2	73.61	73.83	0.226	13.54
	3	74.51	68.63	0.249	13.68
Spike length (cm)	1	84.34	75.75	2.56	22.8
	2	69.33	67.32	2.14	16.41
	3	58.34	47.43	1.12	9.08
Grain weight per spike (gm)	1	83.06	56.47	1.07	45.34
	2	72.67	64.89	2.16	77.42
	3	82.97	75.95	1.35	52.17
Grain weight per plant (gm)	1	90.56	71.18	14.82	95.49
	2	68.50	61.69	9.18	60.16
	3	72.67	54.84	9.88	55.75
1000 grains weight (gm)	1	58.24	52.47	10.53	17.33
	2	66.57	48.19	10.5	18.78
	3	75.26	59.62	9.02	17.89

spike, main culm spike density, grain weight per spike, grain weight per plant and 1000-grain weight in the three crosses studied and; main culm spike length, number of spikelets per main culm spike and spike length in the first cross only and for spike length in the second cross and plant height in the first and second cross. Relatively low genetic advance were obtained for the rest of the traits studied (Table 3). Johnson *et al.* (1955) reported that heritability estimates along with genetic gain upon selection were more valuable than the former alone in predicting the effect of selection.

On the other hand, Dixit *et al.* (1970) pointed out that high heritability is not always associated with high genetic advance, but in order to make effective selection, high heritability should be associated with high genetic gain. In the present investigation, high genetic gain was found to be associated with high narrow sense heritability estimates for main culm spike weight, main culm spike density, grain weight per spike and grain weight per plant in the three crosses studied and for plant height, number of productive tillers, main culm spike length, number of spikelets per main culm spike, number of grains per main culm spike, grain weight per main culm spike and spike length in the first cross and for plant height and spike length in the second cross and for number of productive tillers per plant, number of grains per main culm spike, grain weight per main culm spike and 1000- grain weight in the third cross only. Therefore, selection for these traits in these three populations should be effective and satisfactory for successful breeding purposes, however, selection for the rest of the characters studied could be of less effectiveness.

## REFERENCES

- Afiah, S. A. N.; S. A. M. Khattab and A. M. Abd el-Aziz (2000). F<sub>2</sub> diallel cross analysis of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under normal and saline environments: Proc. 9<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agron., Minufiya Univ., Sept., 2000: 35-47.
- Comber, R. M. (2001). Estimation of the different components of genetic variance of some quantitative traits in bread wheat. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Darwish, I. H. and H. A. Ashoush (2003). Heterosis, gene effect, heritability and genetic advance in bread wheat. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 28 (2): 433-444.
- Dixit, P. D. Saxena and L. K. Bhatia (1970). Estimation of genotypic variability of some quantitative characters in ground nut. Indian J. Agric. Sci., 40 : 197 - 201.
- El-Hennawy, M. A. (1991). An analysis of combining ability in bread wheat crosses. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 18 (6): 1866-1865.

**The detection of the different components of variation in bread.....**

- El-Hennawy, M. A. (1995). Heterosis and combining ability in diallel crosses of eight bread wheat varieties. *Al-Azhar, J. Agric. Res.*, 26 (12): 379 – 392.
- El-Hosary, A. A.; M. E. Raid, Nagwa, R. A. and A. H. Manal (2000). Heterosis and combining ability in durum wheat. *Proc. 9<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agron., Minufiya Univ.*, Sep. 2000, pp. 101-117.
- El-Sayed, E. A. M. and M. Kh. Moshref (2005). Breeding for yield, yield components and some agronomic characters in bread wheat. *Egypt, J. Agric. Res.*, 83 (2): 665-679.
- El-Sayed, R. M. A. (1997). Quantitative inheritance of yield and some of its contributory characters in common wheat. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- El-Seidy, E. H. and A. A. Hamada (2000). Interaction of wheat genotypes x water sources. *Proc. 9<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agron., Minufiya Univ.* Sept. 2000, pp. 17-34.
- Esmail, R. M. and S. A. M. Kattab (2002). Genetic behaviour of yield and its components in three bread wheat crosses. *Minufiya J. Agric. Res.*, 27 (2): 215-224.
- Gamble, E. E. (1962). Gene effects in corn (*Zea mays* L.) 1. Separation and relative importance of gene effects for yield. *Canadian J. of Plant Sci.*, 42: 339-348.
- Hamada, A. A. (2003). Heterosis and gene action of yield and its components and some growth traits in an eight parents diallel cross of bread wheat under three sowing dates. *Minufiya J. Agric. Res.*, 28 (3): 787-819.
- Hendawy, F. A. (1994 a). General and specific combining ability estimates in a diallel cross of seven bread wheat varieties. *Minufiya J. Agric. Res.*, 19 (1): 75-93.
- Hendawy, F. A. (1994 b). Influence of location on heterosis and combining ability in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Minufiya J. Agric. Res.*, 19 (1): 2201-2224.
- Hendawy, F. A. (1994 c). Combining ability for grain yield and its contributory characters in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Minufiya J. Agric. Res.*, 19 (1): 2315-2338.
- Hendawy, H. I. (1998). Combining ability and genetics of specific characters in certain diallel wheat crosses. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agric. Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Hendawy, H. I. (2003). Genetic architecture of yield and its components and some other agronomic traits in bread wheat. *Minufiya J. Agric. Res.*, 28 (1): 71-86.
- Hewezi, T. A. (1996). Graphical analysis of diallel cross of some bread wheat varieties. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agric. Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Johnson, H. W.; H. F. Robinson and R. E. Comstock (1955). Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. *Agron. J.*, 47: 314-318.

- Mather, K. (1949). **Biometrical genetics**. Dover Publication, Inc., New York.
- Mather, K. and J. L. Jinks (1982). **Biometrical genetics**. ( 3<sup>rd</sup>. edition ). Chapman and hall., London.
- Miller, P. A.; J. C. Williams; H. F. Robinson and R. E. Comstock (1958). **Estimates of genotypic and environmental variances and covariance in upland cotton and their implications in selection**. *Agron. J.*, 50: 126-131.
- Moussa, A. M. (2005). **General and specific combining ability and its interactions with two nitrogen fertilizer levels for yield and yield components in bread wheat**. *Minufiya J. Agric. Res.*, 30 (2): 579-618.
- Saad, F. F. (1999). **Heterosis parameters and combining ability for crosses among Egyptian and Austrian durum wheat entries**. *Assiut J. of Agric. Sci.*, 30 (1): 31-42.
- Seleem, S. A. (1993). **Heterosis and combining ability in common wheat**. M.Sc. Thesis. Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Seleem, S. A. (2001). **Breeding studies of some characters in common wheat**. Ph.D. Thesis, Minufiya Univ., Egypt.
- Seleem, S. A. (2006). **Combining ability and type of gene action in common wheat**. *Minufiya J. Agric. Res.*, 31 (2): 399-420.
- Shahid, N., S. K. Abdus and A. Zufiqar (2005). **Combining ability analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in bread wheat**. *Journal of Agriculture and Social sciences*. 1813-2235/ 2005/01-2-129-132.
- Van der Veen, J. H. (1959). **Test of non-allelic interaction and linkage for quantitative characters in generations derived from two diploid pure lines**. *Genetics* 30: 201 – 232.
- Wilson, J. A. (1968). **Problems in hybrid wheat breeding**. *Euphytica*, 17: 13-33.

## تقدير مكونات التباين المختلفة في قمح الخبز

فتحي أحمد هندأوي ، حسان عبد الجيد دوام ، منى محمد سراج الدين

قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنوفية

### الملخص العربي

أجري هذا البحث في مزرعة كلية الزراعة بشبين الكوم جامعة المنوفية بهدف دراسة كل من قوة الهجين والسلوك الوراثي ودرجة التوريث والتحسين السوراثي المتوقع بالانتخاب لصفات محصول الحبوب وبعض مكوناته .

و لتحقيق هذه الأهداف الثلاثة تم استخدام خمسة أصناف من القمح العادي و تم عمل التهجين بين كل صنفين منهم في موسم ٢٠٠٣/٢٠٠٤ و تم الحصول على العشائر الستة المتمثلة في الأبوين والجيلين الأول والثاني والجيلين الرجعيين وذلك في موسم ٢٠٠٤/٢٠٠٥ لعدد ثلاثة هجن هي الأول سخا ٩٣ X جميزة ٣ و الثاني سدس ١ X جميزة ٧ و الثالث جيزة ١٧٠ X جميزة ٧ و قد تم تقييم هذه العشائر الستة لكل من الهجن الثلاثة في موسم ٢٠٠٥/٢٠٠٦ في تصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوائية في ثلاثة مكررات و قد تم تحليل البيانات باستخدام طريقة جامبل (١٩٦٢) لتقدير طبيعة الفعل الجيني في العشائر الستة و تم دراسة كل من الصفات التالية: تاريخ طرد السنابل - طول النبات - عدد الفروع الجانبية على النبات - عدد الفروع المنتجة على النبات - طول سنبله الساق الرئيسية - عدد السنيبلات في سنبله الساق الرئيسية - وزن سنبله الساق الرئيسية - عدد الحبوب في سنبله الساق الرئيسية - وزن الحبوب في سنبله الساق الرئيسية - كثافة سنبله الساق الرئيسية - طول السنبله - وزن حبوب السنبله - محصول النبات الفردي - وزن الألف حبة و يمكن تلخيص النتائج المتحصل عليها كما يلي :

وجود اختلافات وراثية معنوية في عشائر الجيل الثاني لكل الصفات المدروسة في الهجن الثلاثة. كانت قوة الهجين مفيدة و عالية المعنوية لصفة ميعاد طرد السنابل في الهجين الثاني و صفة وزن الألف حبة في الهجين الأول ( ١٢,٥١ %). كان تأثير التربية الداخلية

معنويا لمعظم الصفات تحت الدراسة. كانت انحرافات الجيل الثاني معنوية لجميع الصفات في الهجن الثلاثة المستخدمة فيما عدا صفات عدد الفروع المنتجة ووزن سنبله الساق الرئيسية و كثافة سنبله الساق الرئيسية و طول السنبله ووزن الحبوب في السنبله في الهجين الثاني و صفات كثافة السنبله الرئيسية ووزن الألف حبة في الهجين الثالث جيزة ١٧٠ x جميزة ٧. كانت انحرافات الهجن الرجعية معنوية لصفات عدد الفروع و عدد الفروع المنتجة و عدد الحبوب في سنبله الساق الرئيسية ووزن الحبوب في سنبله الساق الرئيسية و محصول النبات الفردي في الهجينين الأول و الثاني و كذلك لصفات ميعاد طرد السنابل و طول سنبله الساق الرئيسية في الهجين الأول و لصفة وزن سنبله الساق الرئيسية في الهجين الثاني ووزن الألف حبة في الهجينين الثاني و الثالث. كان فعل الجينات من النوع المضيف عالي المعنوية لمعظم الصفات المدروسة في الهجن الثلاثة تحت الدراسة و كان تأثير الجينات من النوع السيادي عالي المعنوية في ثلاثة و عشرون حالة من الاثنين و الأربعون حالة المدروسة في الهجن الثلاثة و كان فعل الجينات من النوع التفوقي ( المضيف x المضيف ) عالي المعنوية في عشرون حالة كما كان الفعل الجيني التفوقي ( المضيف x السيادي ) معنويا في سبعة عشرة حالة و كذلك الفعل الجيني التفوقي ( السيادي x السيادي ) معنويا في سبعة و عشرون حالة من الاثنين و الأربعون حالة المدروسة في العشائر الثلاثة المستخدمة في الدراسة . كانت قيم الكفاءة الوراثية بمعناها العام و الدقيق عالية لمعظم الصفات المدروسة في العشائر الثلاثة تحت الدراسة. كانت القيم العالية للتحسين الوراثي المتوقع بالانتخاب مرتبطة مع التقديرات العالية لدرجة التوريث بمعناها الدقيق و ذلك في صفات وزن سنبله الساق الرئيسية - كثافة سنبله الساق الرئيسية - ووزن حبوب السنبله و محصول النبات الفردي في الهجن الثلاثة المستخدمة في الدراسة و كذلك لصفات طول النبات ، عدد الفروع المنتجة ، طول سنبله الساق الرئيسية ، عدد السنييلات في سنبله الساق الرئيسية ، عدد حبوب سنبله الساق الرئيسية ، محصول سنبله الساق الرئيسية و طول السنبله في الهجين الأول و كذلك لصفات طول النبات و طول السنبله في الهجين الثاني و كذلك لصفات عدد الفروع المنتجة ، عدد حبوب سنبله الساق الرئيسية ، محصول حبوب سنبله الساق الرئيسية ووزن الألف حبة في الهجين الثالث.