

## **ESTIMATION OF SOME GENETIC PARAMETERS AND GENE ACTION FOR YIELD, YIELD COMPONENTS AND FIBER PROPERTIES IN TWO INTER-VARIETAL COTTON CROSSES**

**Najib, M. A. A.**

Cotton Research Institute, Agricultural Research Centre, Giza, Egypt

### **ABSTRACT**

This investigation was carried out during the three growing seasons of 2004, 2005 and 2006 at Sids Agricultural Experiment Station (Beni-Swief), the two inter-varietal crosses [Dandara x {Giza 83 x (Giza 75 x 5844)}] and [Giza 90 x {Giza 83 x (Giza 75 x 5844)}] with its six populations P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, BC<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub> were grown in a randomized complete block design with four replications. The obtained results showed significant positive heterosis relative to mid-parents, in both the two crosses for all studied characters except PI in cross I and L % and 2.5% SL in cross II. Positive significant better parent heterosis were found for PFN, BW, SCY/P, LY/P, LI, Mic and 2.5% SL while showed negative significant PI in cross I. In cross II, the values of better parent heterosis were positive and significant for BW (g) and PI and negative for L%. The inbreeding depression values were significant and positive for BW, SCY/P and LI in both crosses and PFN and 2.5% SL in cross I as well as LY/P, Mic and PI in cross II, while negative value was recorded for PI in cross I. Potence ratio values showed partial-dominance or over-dominance for all studied characters in both crosses. Significant additive gene effects were found for PFN, L %, St, LI and PI in both crosses, also 2.5% SL in cross I and B/P, SCY/P and LY/P in cross II. Significant dominance gene effects were detected for B/P, SCY/P, LY/P, SI and Mic in both crosses, as well as PFN and LI in the first cross, BW (g) and PI in the second cross.

The values of epistatic gene effect additive x additive (i), were significant for B/P, SCY/P, LY/P, L% and PI in both crosses. Significant additive x dominance (j) values of epistasis were observed for most studied characters in the two crosses.

Relative high values of heritability in broad sense (over 50%) were noticed for all studied characters in both crosses except for B/P, SCY/P, LY/P, and L%, in cross I and for B/P, BW and LUR %, in cross II. High heritability values in narrow sense (over 50%) were recorded for PI in cross II, while moderate heritability values (ranged from 30 to 50%), in narrow sense, were recorded for PFN, BW, 2.5% SL and LUR % in the first cross and for PFN, L %, SI, Mic, PI and 2.5% SL, in the second cross. The other character showed low heritability values in narrow sense. Maximum predicted genetic character showed low heritability values in narrow sense. Maximum predicted genetic advance from selecting the desired 5% of F<sub>2</sub> population were achieved for PFN and BW in cross I and for PFN and SCY/P in cross II.

The exerted values of genotypic coefficients between most characters were higher than the corresponding values of phenotypic correlation coefficients in both crosses.

Both phenotypic and genotypic correlations were highly significant between (B/P and each of BW, SCY/P, LY/P), (SCY/P and LY/P) and between (SI and LI) in both crosses. The coefficients of genotypic correlation were significant between (BW and each of SCY/P, LY/P, L%, SI and 2.5% SL), as well as between (SCY/P and each of L %, SI, LI and LUR) in the first cross. While, in the second cross, highly significant positive genotypic correlations were detected between (BW and each of L%, SI, LI and LUR), (SCY/P and each of SI, LI and Mic).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The means of the six populations and their standard error for the studied characters are shown in Table 1. The results indicated that the parental genotypes revealed significant differences for PFN, L%, SI, LI, in the two crosses and 2.56% SL, LUR % in cross I, B/P, SCY/P, LY/P, SI and LI in cross I. Meanwhile, F<sub>1</sub> population means was higher than F<sub>2</sub> generations for most studied characters in both crosses except PI in cross I, PFN, B/P, SI and 2.5% SL in cross II. On the other hand, BC<sub>2</sub> population means surpassed BC<sub>1</sub> for all characters studied except PFN, BW, L% and PI in cross I, while BC<sub>1</sub> population means exceeded BC<sub>2</sub> population means for all characters studied except SI in cross II.

Heterosis, inbreeding depression and potence ratio are presented in Table 2. Highly significant or significant positive heterotic values relative to better parent were found for PFN, BW, SCY/P, LY/P, LI, Mic and 2.5% SL and highly significant negative value for PI in the first cross. Likewise, highly significant or significant positive heterosis values relative to better parent was recorded for SI, PI and LUR %, while highly significant negative value was found for L % in the second cross. Significant heterosis relative to better parent indicated that the main cause of heterosis effects were over-dominance and epistatic gene effects. In this respect, Hassan (2007) recorded positive significant heterosis relative to better parent for number of bolls/plant and seed cotton yield/plant.

Highly significant or significant positive heterosis values relative to mid-parents were found for all studied characters in both crosses except for PI in cross I and for PFN, L% and 2.5% SL in cross II. The remaining characters in both crosses showed insignificant heterosis relative to mid-parents, indicated that additive gene effect play a major role in the inheritance of these characters. Similar results were reported by Khattab *et al.* (1984), El-Disouqi *et al.* (2000) and Eissa (2004a).

Inbreeding depression values were positive and significant for all studied characters in both crosses except B/P, LY/P and Mic in cross I and for PFN, L%, SI and 2.5% SL in cross II. In theory, inbreeding depression is caused by decreased in the heterozygosity, which conditions strong dominance or over-dominance gene action. Awad *et al.* (1986) concluded that inbreeding depression estimates were significant for first fruiting node, boll weight and seed index.

Both heterosis and inbreeding depression are coinciding to the same particular phenomenon.

Potence ratio indicated over-dominance towards the better parent or the lower parent for all most characters in the two crosses except for PFN, SI and 2.5% SL in cross I and for PFN, LI and 2.5% SL in cross II which showed partial dominance. These results were in accordance with the findings obtained by Abou-Zahra *et al.* (1987), Eissa (2004a) and El-Adly (2004).

Table (1): Means of  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$  and their standard errors for studied characters of the two intra-specific crosses Dandara x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)] and Giza 90 x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)].

Generation	Characters												
	PFN	B/P	BW (g)	SCY/P	LY/P	L %	SI (g)	LI	Mic	PI	2.5% SL	LUR %	
<b>Cross I: Dandara x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)]</b>													
$P_1$	7.45±0.17	33.8±2.586	2.65±0.036	90.32±7.47	34.25±2.61	38.2±0.3**	7.69±0.124	4.76±0.086	2.99±0.042	10.3±0.1**	30.7±0.1**	88.0±0.3**	
$P_2$	6.65±0.1**	35.05±2.57	2.64±0.033	92.08±6.79	33.33±2.36	36.29±0.17	10.5±0.1**	5.96±0.1**	3.10±0.062	9.45±0.08	28.76±0.15	83.86±0.25	
$F_1$	7.35±0.98	39.73±1.98	2.81±0.031	112.1±6.27	43.61±2.48	38.85±0.17	10.44±0.11	6.62±0.076	3.95±0.035	9.82±0.073	31.24±0.14	87.82±0.32	
$F_2$	6.89±0.080	37.39±1.12	2.66±0.024	100.89±3.3	38.5±1.26	38.34±0.11	9.46±0.064	5.87±0.046	3.89±0.031	10.03±0.06	30.83±0.09	86.29±0.17	
$BC_1$	7.92±0.112	39.58±1.91	2.80±0.031	112.05±5.7	43.48±2.25	38.65±0.16	9.35±0.097	5.89±0.06	3.93±0.049	10.11±0.10	30.42±0.14	85.19±0.27	
$BC_2$	6.92±0.112	44.58±1.66	2.64±0.035	119.53±4.8	44.18±1.75	37.07±0.18	10.18±0.10	6.03±0.079	3.95±0.048	9.57±0.101	30.01±0.13	85.34±0.22	
<b>Cross II: G. 90 x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)]</b>													
$P_1$	7.30±0.128	41.7±2.2**	2.74±0.053	113.9±4.8**	46.14±1.99**	40.53±0.2*	9.19±0.1**	6.27±0.1**	4.06±0.02	9.27±0.075	30.50±0.29	85.03±0.34	
$P_2$	6.75±0.1**	33.75±1.25	2.63±0.039	89.36±3.65	34.94±1.53	39.74±0.24	8.4±0.087	5.54±0.78	3.95±0.052	10.3±0.1**	30.16±0.30	84.15±0.44	
$F_1$	7.00±0.095	45.45±1.87	2.81±0.035	126.76±4.5	50.38±1.81	39.71±0.18	9.44±0.087	6.22±0.079	4.09±0.026	10.79±0.06	30.27±0.20	86.19±0.26	
$F_2$	7.11±0.064	36.47±0.98	2.68±0.023	99.64±2.84	38.42±1.13	38.45±0.12	9.51±0.057	5.97±0.047	3.82±0.026	10.23±0.05	30.49±0.15	87.00±0.19	
$BC_1$	7.37±0.101	44.57±1.74	2.69±0.035	120.41±5.1	47.63±2.07	39.46±0.17	9.38±0.088	6.12±0.078	3.81±0.038	10.34±0.07	31.08±0.16	86.54±0.27	
$BC_2$	6.98±0.090	40.80±1.35	2.57±0.036	105.12±3.7	40.71±1.50	38.69±0.18	9.58±0.081	6.07±0.068	3.69±0.039	10.53±0.08	30.22±0.27	87.12±0.30	

\*, \*\* Significant and highly significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

Table (2): Heterosis inbreeding depression and potence ratio for studied characters of the two intra-specific crosses Dandara x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)] and Giza 90 x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)].

Estimates		Characters											
		PFN	B/P	BW (g)	SCY/P	LY/P	L %	SI (g)	LI	Mic	PI	2.5% SL	LUR %
<b>G. 90 x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)]</b>													
Heterosis	H.P.	10.53**	13.35	6.04**	21.74*	27.33**	1.65	-0.19	11.07**	27.42**	-4.47**	1.92**	-0.25
	M.P.	4.26*	15.41*	6.24**	22.92**	29.06**	4.28**	15.04**	23.51**	29.72**	-0.46	5.17**	2.18**
Inbreeding depression	I.D.%	6.67**	5.89	5.34**	10.00**	11.72	1.31*	9.39**	11.33**	1.52	-2.14*	1.31*	1.74**
Potence ratio	P.R.	0.75	-8.49	0.75	-23.75	21.35	1.65	-0.99	-2.10	-16.45	-0.11	1.62	0.89
<b>G. 90 x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)]</b>													
Heterosis	H.P.	3.70	8.99	2.55	11.27	9.19	-2.02**	2.72*	-0.80	0.74	4.35**	-0.75	1.36**
	M.P.	-0.36	20.48**	4.66**	24.71**	24.27**	-1.06	7.33**	5.33**	2.12*	10.05**	-0.20	1.89**
Inbreeding depression	I.D.%	-1.57	19.76**	4.63**	21.39**	23.74**	3.17	-0.74	4.02**	6.60**	5.19**	-0.73	-0.94*
Potence ratio	P.R.	-0.09	1.94	2.27	2.05	1.76	-1.08	1.63	0.86	1.55	-1.84	-0.35	3.64

\*, \*\* Significant and highly significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

Mather's scaling test A, B and C values for studied characters are given in Table (3). The estimates of parameter A, B and C (one or more of these parameter), were deviated highly significantly or significantly from zero for all studied characters in both crosses. It is interesting to note that, the significant of any one or more of these tests indicates epistasis on the scale of characters used. Therefore the results confirmed the presence of non-allelic interaction in the inheritance of all studied characters in the two crosses. These results are supported by Awad *et al.* (1989), El-Okkia *et al.* (1989), Ismail *et al.* (1991), Abd El-Zaher (1999), Eissa (2004a) and El-Adly (2004).

**Table (3): Scaling test for studied characters studied in two intra-specific crosses.**

Characters	Cross I			Cross II		
	Dandara x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)]			G. 90 x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)]		
	A	B	C	A	B	C
PFN	1.04+0.302**	-0.16+0.277	-1.36+0.43**	0.44+0.257	0.21+0.266	0.39+0.358
B/P	5.63+5.02	14.38+4.64**	1.25+6.996	1.99+4.512	2.40+3.514	-20.5+5.97**
BW (g)	-0.14+0.078	-0.17+0.08**	0.27+0.124*	-0.17+0.95	-0.3+0.089**	-0.27+0.133*
SCY/P	21.68+15.011	34.88+13.4**	-3.04+20.842	0.14+12.075	-5.88+9.485	-58.2+15.7**
LY/P	9.100+5.761	11.42+4.90*	-0.80+7.897	-1.26+4.934	-3.90+3.815	-28.16+6.3**
L %	0.23+0.47	-1.00+0.435*	1.15+0.649	-1.32+0.43**	-2.07+0.47**	-5.89+0.68**
SI (g)	0.57+0.253*	-0.54+0.247*	-1.19+0.37**	0.13+0.214	1.32+0.203**	1.57+0.312**
LI (g)	0.40+0.166*	-0.52+0.19**	-0.48+0.262	-0.25+0.195	0.38+0.176*	0.37+0.272
Mic	0.92+0.112**	0.85+0.12**	1.57+0.161**	-0.53+0.09**	-0.66+0.10**	-0.91+0.13**
PI	0.12+0.255	0.13+0.229	0.75+0.332*	0.62+0.169**	0.07+0.174	-0.27+0.258
2.5 % SL	-1.05+0.34**	0.02+0.322	1.43+0.498**	1.39+0.476**	0.01+0.641	0.76+0.836
LUR %	-5.48+0.69**	-1.00+0.59	-2.38+1.00**	1.86+0.692**	3.90+0.788**	6.44+1.065**

\*, \*\* Significant and highly significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

The results in Table 4, illustrated type of gene effects using generation mean analysis for studied characters in the two intra-specific crosses. It could be clearly observed that the constant mean ( $\mu$ ) values were highly significant for all studied characters in the both crosses except SCY/P and LY/P in cross I. The additive gene effects ( $d$ ) were highly significant and positive or negative for PFN, L%, SI, LI and PI in both crosses, 2.5% SL and LUR % in cross I, B/P, SCY/P and LY/P in cross II. While the remaining characters in both crosses computed insignificant and negligible values.

The dominance gene effects ( $h$ ) appeared to be of very important role in the inheritance of B/P, SCY/P, LY/P, SI, Mic and LUR% in both crosses, PFN, LI and 2.5 SL in cross I, BW and PI in cross II, which had positive or negative significant values. These results indicated that improvement of these characters could be achieved through recurrent selection.

The additive x additive type of epistatic gene effects ( $i$ ) values, were positive and highly significant for B/P, SCY/P, LY/P, L% and PI in both crosses, in addition to PFN, SI, 2.5% SL and LUR % in cross I. Whereas, the remaining studied characters were insignificant and of positive or negative values.

Most studied characters were significantly affected by one or two types of epistatic gene effects ( $j$  and  $L$ ) in both crosses except PI in cross I and PFN, SCY/P, LY/P, L%, and 2.5% SL in cross II.

Table (4): Type of gene effect for characters studied of the two intra-specific crosses Dandara x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)] and Giza 90 x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)].

Generation	Characters											
	PFN	B/P	BW (g)	SCY/P	LY/P	L %	St (g)	LI	Mic	PI	2.5% SL	LUR %
Cross I: Dandara x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)]												
m	4.81**	15.66*	2.41**	31.6	12.47	39.17**	7.85**	5.00**	2.85**	10.63**	32.16**	90.05**
d	0.40**	-0.62	0.00	-0.88	0.46	0.97**	-1.38**	-0.60**	-0.50	0.41**	0.94	2.09**
h	5.66**	62.84**	0.61	196.66**	72.98**	-3.01	3.84**	1.86**	3.07**	-1.58	-4.41**	-12.81**
i	2.24**	18.76**	0.24	59.60**	21.32**	1.92**	1.22**	0.36	0.20	0.76*	-2.46**	-4.10**
j	1.20**	-8.75	0.31**	-13.20	-2.32	1.23*	1.11**	0.92**	0.07	-0.25	-1.07*	-4.48**
L	-3.12**	-38.77	-0.21	-116.2**	-41.84**	2.69*	-1.25	-0.24	-1.97	0.77	3.49**	10.58**
Cross II: G. 90 x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)]												
m	6.77**	12.84*	2.89**	49.14**	17.54*	37.64**	8.92**	5.40**	4.28**	8.98**	29.69**	85.27*
d	0.28**	3.98**	0.05	12.28**	5.60**	0.39*	0.39**	0.37*	0.05	-0.53**	0.17	0.44
h	1.14	61.83**	-0.75*	124.38**	50.68	1.18	1.86*	1.45	-1.66**	3.18**	2.62	6.00*
i	0.26	24.86**	-0.20	52.5**	23.00**	2.50**	-0.12	0.50	-0.28	0.82**	0.64	-0.68
j	0.23	-0.41	0.13	6.02	2.64	0.75	-1.19**	-0.63	0.13	0.69**	1.38	-2.04*
L	-0.91	-29.25**	0.67**	-46.76	-17.84	0.89	-1.33*	-0.63	1.47**	-1.37**	-2.04	-5.08**

\*, \*\* significant and highly significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

These results are in accordance with the findings obtained by Khattab *et al.* (1984) for (L%); El-Okkia *et al.* (1989) for (B/P, L% and SI); El-Adly (1996) for (BW, B/P, SI and LI); Abd El-Zaher (1999) for (L %, SI and LI in both crosses and LYP in cross II); Hassan (2007) for (BW, B/P and SI in cross I); Eissa (2004a) for (BW and SI) and El-Adly (2004) for (BW, SCY/P, LY/P and L%), who observed that additive, dominance gene effects and epistatic action played a major role in the inheritance of their studied characters.

Heritability estimates in broad and narrow senses as well as expected genetic advance upon selection are presented in Table 5. High broad sense heritability values (over 50%) were detected for all studied characters in both crosses except for SCY/P, LY/P and L% in cross I and for B/P, BW and LUR% in cross II which recorded moderate heritability estimates (from 30% to 50%).

On the contrary, low broad sense heritability value (less than 30%) was obtained for B/P in cross I. The relative high value of heritability in broad sense could be due to dominance and epistatic effects. This indication means that the selection for high expression of that on the basis of phenotype could be highly effective. While, low or moderate values of heritability in broad sense may be due to the effect of environment, which had a considerable share in the inheritance of these characters.

Moderate or low narrow sense heritability estimates (less than 50%) were calculated for all studied characters, could be due to the relative great amount of environmental and dominance effects. These findings were in harmony with those obtained by Ismail *et al.* (1991) and Mohamed *et al.* (2001).

**Table (5): Heritability in broad and narrow senses and the expected genetic advance upon selection for characters studied in the two crosses.**

Characters	Cross I Dandara x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)]			Cross II G. 90 x [G. 83 x (G. 75 x 5844)]		
	Heritability		Genetic advance %	Heritability		Genetic advance %
	Broad sense	Narrow sense		Broad sense	Narrow sense	
PFN	57.46	49.25	14.97	55.53	33.69	7.94
B/P	29.22	7.31	5.69	41.68	9.76	6.81
BW (g)	67.64	49.68	11.48	47.96	28.34	6.40
SCY/P	31.22	8.13	6.95	60.12	16.73	12.45
LY/P	34.98	7.61	6.48	57.98	7.60	5.81
L %	38.65	13.59	1.01	55.62	43.91	3.64
SI (g)	50.97	22.95	4.04	61.80	35.76	5.60
LI (g)	53.52	24.47	4.97	51.21	19.74	4.06
Mic	63.47	13.18	2.69	69.31	31.11	5.35
PI	64.85	8.62	1.43	77.45	50.22	6.72
2.5 % SL	60.39	41.11	3.15	54.38	41.90	5.43
LUR %	52.10	40.20	2.08	46.59	23.40	1.32

The expected genetic advance values from selection of the 5% superior plants in the F<sub>2</sub> generation were high (over 7%) for PFN (in both crosses), BW (in cross I) and SCY/P (in cross II). The high values of the predicted gain upon selection were also linked with high estimates of heritability indicating the possibility improvement of those characters through selection. While, moderate or low values of expected genetic advance under selection (less than 7%) were obtained for the remaining characters in both crosses, indicating that the improvement of these characters has low effect through selection.

In general, it could be concluded that the traits, which controlled by additive gene effect and high heritability values, could be improved by simple selection. On the other hand, the existence of high dominance gene effect would need hybrid program.

Phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients between all possible pairs of studied characters in cross I [Dandara x (Giza 83 x (Giza 75 x 5844))] are presented in Table (6). The results of Phenotypic and genotypic correlation revealed positive or negative and highly significant coefficients between (B/P with each of BW and LY/P), (SCY/P with both of B/P and LY/P), (LI with each of L% and SI) and between (LUR % with each of Mic and 2.5% SL).

Genotypic correlation in cross I, revealed positive and highly significant or significant correlation coefficients between (B/P with each of BW, L %, SI, LI, 2.5% SL, LUR %) (BW with each of L%, SI and 2.5% SL), (SCY/P with B/P, BW, LY/P, L%, SI, LI and LUR %), (LY/P with each of B/P, BW, L %, SI, LI and LUR %) (L % with both of SI and LI), (SI with both of LI, Mic and PI), (LI with each Mic, PI, and LUR %) and (2.5% SL with LUR). The remaining relationships under study gave insignificant phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients. The relationship between SCY/P and other traits might be useful for cotton breeder who desires to improve seed cotton yield, to select plants superior in number of bolls per plant, consequently. These results are in harmony with those reported by El-Adl *et al.* (1981), El-Beily (1983), Allam (1992), Hassan (2007) and Eissa (2004b).

Regarding the second cross [Giza 90 x (Giza 83 x (Giza 75 x 5844))], it could be clearly observed from Table (7) that, positive and highly significant phenotypic correlation coefficients were obtained between (B/P and BW), as well as between (SCY/P with each of B/P, BW and LY/P), (LY/P with each B/P and BW), (LI with each of L% and SI), and (2.5% SL with LUR %).

Positive and highly significant phenotypic correlation coefficients were found between (B/P and each of BW, SI, LI and MIC), (BW and each of L%, SI, LI and LUR %), (SCY/P and each of LY/P, SI, LI and Mic), as well as (LY/P with each of SI, LI and Mic) and between (LI with both L % and SI). Furthermore, highly significant or significant negative genotypic correlations were detected between (PFN and each of B/P, LY/P, LI and 2.5% SL), (B/P with each of L%, and 2.5% SL), (SCY/P with L%, PI and 2.5% SL), LY/P and each of L%, PI, 2.5% SL and LUR%), (L % with both Mic and 2.5% SL) and between (SI with PI). The remaining relationships under study gave insignificant phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients.

It is clear from the results of both crosses that genotypic correlation coefficients for most characters studied were higher than the phenotypic correlation coefficients. It seemed that the environmental factors had depressed the phenotypic correlation estimates.

In this connection, our results are supported by Ismail *et al.* (1991), El-Adly (1996), Eissa (2004) and El-Ameen *et al.* (2004). In contrary, El-Adl *et al.* (1981), Ismail *et al.* (1991), Allam (1992), who pointed out that genotypic correlation coefficients were less than phenotypic correlation coefficients or equal to zero value with most characters.

Table (6): Phenotypic (P) and genotypic (G) correlations between yield and its components and fiber properties for the intra-specific cross I Dandara x [G. 83 x G. 75 x 5844].

Characters		B/P	BW (g)	SCY/P	LY/P	L %	SI (g)	LI	Mic	PI	2.5% SL	LUR %
PFN	P.	0.112	0.096	0.129	0.145	0.111	0.054	0.112	0.129	0.117	0.201	0.276
	G.	-0.142	-0.088	-0.068	-0.079	-0.014	-0.313**	-0.251*	0.093	-0.149	-0.215*	-0.263**
B/P	P.		-0.524**	0.942**	0.931	-0.058	0.018	-0.009	0.073	0.054	0-0.171	-0.084
	G.		1.943**	1.53*	1.169**	1.312**	0.412**	1.447**	0.24	0.176	0.486**	0.448**
BW	P.			-0.227	-0.222	-0.012	-0.093	-0.079	-0.049	0.034	0.239	0.057
	G.			1.073**	1.05**	0.376**	0.438**	0.093	0.156	0.149	0.302**	-0.032
SCY/P	P.				0.991**	-0.057	-0.009	-0.027	0.037	0.086	-0.106	-0.104
	G.				0.997**	0.522**	0.248*	0.647**	0.083	0.028	0.132	0.205*
LY/P	P.					0.067	0.014	0.057	0.021	0.073	-0.085	0.107
	G.					0.609**	0.198*	0.685**	0.111	-0.006	0.120	0.206*
L %	P.						0.193	0.694**	-0.055	-0.021	0.125	0.006
	G.						-0.261**	0.660**	0.103	-0.185	-0.058	-0.088
SI	P.							0.838**	-0.010	-0.236	0.219	0.197
	G.							0.472**	0.310**	0.413**	0.137	-0.231*
LI	P.								-0.030	-0.171	0.228	0.137
	G.								0.347**	0.236*	-0.167	0.258**
SI	P.									-0.112	0.067	0.330
	G.									0.180	0.102	0.016
2.5% SL	P.										-0.108	-0.171
	G.										0.193	0.119
LUR %	P.											0.499**
	G.											0.279**

\*, \*\* Significant and highly significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.



Table (7): Phenotypic (P) and genotypic (G) correlations between yield and its components and fiber properties for the intra-specific cross II G. 90 x [G. 83 x G. 75 x 5844].

Characters		B/P	BW (g)	SCY/P	LY/P	L %	SI (g)	LI	Mic	PI	2.5% SL	LUR %
PFN	P.	-0.030	0.054	0.001	0.022	0.178	0.165	0.260	0.055	0.311	0.266	0.156
	G.	-0.278**	-0.108	-0.489	-1.044**	-0.023	-0.183	-0.210*	0.089	0.140	-0.293	-0.145
B/P	P.		0.327*	0.984**	0.982**	0.139	-0.171	-0.068	-0.249	0.307	0.068	-0.039
	G.		-0.310**	0.906**	1.144**	-0.54**	1.318**	0.715**	0.951**	-0.742**	-0.278**	-0.201*
BW	P.			0.474**	0.463**	-0.037	0.135	0.094	0.045	0.108	0.012	0.137
	G.			0.110	0.189	0.298**	0.358**	0.470**	0.045	-0.044	0.164	0.454**
SCY/P	P.				0.997*	0.128	-0.158	-0.063	-0.220	0.304	0.069	-0.051
	G.				1.035**	-0.587**	2.095**	1.299**	1.306**	-1.064**	-0.338**	0.135
LY/P	P.					0.202	-0.170	-0.028	-0.195	0.293	0.085	-0.043
	G.					-1.041**	4.048**	2.462**	2.564**	-2.119**	-0.760**	-0.273**
L %	P.						-0.194	0.446**	0.224	-0.063	0.120	0.018
	G.						-0.108	0.313**	-0.151	0.018	-0.389**	0.146
SI	P.							0.785**	0.102	-0.082	0.068	0.068
	G.							0.666**	0.415**	-0.270**	0.306**	-0.023
LI	P.								0.229	-0.131	0.101	0.049
	G.								0.145	-0.089	0.061	0.407**
SI	P.									-0.075	-0.059	0.287
	G.									-0.145	-0.030	-0.764**
z	P.										-0.037	-0.147
	G.										-0.083	0.290**
LUR %	P.											0.537**
	G.											0.573**

\*, \*\* Significant and highly significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

## REFERENCES

- Abd El-Zaher, G.H. (1999). Genetical studies on yield and its components and earliness in Egyptian cotton. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Abd El-Zaher, G.H.; T.M. El-Ameen and A.F. Lasheen (2003). Genetic analysis of yield and its components in intra-specific cotton crosses. Egypt. J. Plant Breed., 7(1): 23-40. Special Issue (2003).
- Abou-Zahra, S.I.S.; H.Y. Awad and S.H. Ismail (1987). Estimation of heterosis, inbreeding depression, potence ratio, gene action and epistasis in the inter-specific cross of cotton, Dandara x DPL 703. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Vol. 25(1): 189-196.
- Allam, M.A.M. (1992). Genetic studies of some economic characters in two Egyptian cotton crosses. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., El-Azhar Univ., Egypt.
- Allard, R.W. (1960). Principles of plant breeding. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, London.
- A.S.T.M. (1967). American Society for Testing Materials. Part 25, Designation, D-1448-59 ad D-1445-67.
- Awad, H.Y.; S.I.S. Abou-Zahra and A.S. Marzook (1986). Genetic analysis of cleistogamic flowers and some other characters in an Egyptian cotton cross. Agric. Res. Rev., Vol. 64(5): 761-768.
- Awad, H.Y.; S.I.S. Abou-Zahra and M.O. Ismail (1989). Studies of gene action in a cross of Egyptian cotton. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Vol. 27: 161-168.
- Burton, G.W. (1951). Quantitative inheritance in pear millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*). Agron. J., 43: 409-417.
- Eissa, A.E.M. (2004a). Inheritance of some quantitative characters in two inter-varietal cotton crosses. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. 24(3): 367-380.
- Eissa, A.E.M. (2004b). Phenotypic and genotypic correlations between yield components of some cotton hybrids. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. 24(4): 691-700.
- El-Adly, A.M.; Z.A. Kosba and A.M. Zeina (1981). Genotypic and phenotypic correlations between economic traits of Egyptian cotton. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 6(2): 473-482.
- El-Adly, H.H. (1996). Studies on earliness, yield components and lint properties in two crosses of cotton. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. , Alex Univ., Egypt.
- El-Adl, H.H. (2004). Genetic studies on some quantitative characters in an intraspecific cotton cross of (*G. barbadense* L.). Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., Vol. 19(11): 188-198.
- El-Ameen, T.M.; M.N.A. Nazmy and A.E.M. Eissa (2004). Genotype and Genotype-environment components of variation in some cotton breeding lines of *G. barbadense*. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. 24(2): 285-296.
- El-Beily, M.A. (1983). Studies on cotton yield and its relation to related characters. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Tanta Univ., Egypt.
- El-Disouqi, A.E. and A.M. Zeina (2001). Estimates of some genetic parameters and gene action for yield components in cotton. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., Vol. 26(6): 3401-3409.
- El-Disouqi, A.E.; Z.F. Abo-Sen and A.R. Abo-Arab (2000). Genetic behaviour of yield and its components in Egyptian cotton. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., Vol. 25(7): 3831-3840.

- El-Okkia, A.F.; H.A. El-Harony and M.O. Ismail (1989). Heterosis, inbreeding depression, gene action and heritability estimates in an Egyptian cotton cross (*Gossypium barbadense* L.). Comm. In Sci. and Dev. Res., Vol. 28: 213-231.
- Hassan, S.A.M. (2007). Inheritance of yield components and fiber properties in intra and inter-specific crosses of cotton. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Egypt.
- Hemida, G.M.K.A.; S.A.S. Mohamed and I.S.M. Hassan (2001). Combining ability and its interaction with locations for some economic characters in diallel mating of Egyptian and Upland cottons. J. Agric. Res., Vol. 33: 91-102.
- Ismail, M.O.; E.M. Ghoneim; A.A. El-Ganayni and F.G. Yunis (1991). Genetical analysis of some quantitative traits in six populations of an Egyptian cotton cross (Giza 80 x Dandara). Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., Vol. 6(8): 350-362.
- Khattab, A.M.; H.Y. Awad and Y.M. Atta (1984). Estimation of heterosis, inbreeding depression, potency ratio and gene action in an Egyptian cotton cross. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Vol. 21: 93-100.
- Mather, K. (1949). Biometrical Genetics. Dover Publication, Inc., London.
- Mather, K. and J.L. Jinks (1971). Biometrical Genetics, the study of continuous variation. Chapman and Hall. Ltd., London, (pp. 249-284).
- Mohamed, S.A.S.; I.S.M. Hassan and G.M. Hemaida (2001). Genetical studies on yield and some yield components in the Egyptian cotton cross (Giza 80 x Giza 85). Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Vol. 39(2): 751-761.
- Warner, J.N. (1952). A method for estimating heritability. Agron. J. Vol. 44: 427-430.

## تقدير بعض القياسات الوراثية وفعل الجين للمحصول ومكوناته والصفات التكنولوجية في هجينين صنفين من القطن

محمد عبد الحكيم على نجيب

معهد بحوث القطن - مركز البحوث الزراعية - جيزة - مصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة في مزرعة محطة البحوث الزراعية في سدس بمحافظة بني سويف خلال مواسم 2004 ، 2005 ، 2006 وذلك لدراسة هجينين صنفين من القطن المصري وهما {جيزة 83 × جيزة 75 (5844 × 75)} و {جيزة 83 × جيزة 90} وقد تم تقييم ستة عشائر الخاصة بكل هجين (الأبوين والجيل الأول والجيل الثاني والجيل الرجعي الأول والجيل الرجعي الثاني) في تجربة قطاعات كاملة العشوائية بأربعة مكررات ، وقد أظهرت النتائج ما يلي:

- 1- أعطت قيم معدل قوة الهجين (منسوبة لاحتسب الآباء) قيمة موجبة وعالية المعنوية لصفة عقدة أول فرع ثمرى ، متوسط وزن اللوزة ، محصول القطن الزهر والشعر للنبات ، معامل الشعر ، والنعمومة ، وطول التيلة عند 2.5% ، بينما كانت معنوية وسالبة لمئات التيلة في الهجين الأول. وأعطت في الهجين الثاني قيمة موجبة ومعنوية لصفات معامل البذرة ومئات التيلة ، ومعنوية وسالبة لتصافي الحليج ، بينما أعطت باقي الصفات في كلا الهجينين قيمة غير معنوية لقوة الهجين منسوبة لاحتسب أب.
- 2- أظهرت قيم معدل قوة الهجين (منسوب لم توسط الأبوين) في كلا الهجينين قيمة معنوية وموجبة لجميع الصفات فيما عدا مئاة التيل في الهجين الأول ، وطول التيلة عند 2.5% وتصافي الحليج في الهجين الثاني حيث أظهرت قيمة سالبة عالية المعنوية. أما باقي الصفات الأخرى في كلا الهجينين في كلا الهجينين فقد أعطت قيمة غير معنوية لقوة الهجين (منسوبة لم توسط الأبوين) مما يدل على وجود تأثير للفعل الجيني المضيف على وراثه هذه الصفات.
- 3- كان الانخفاض الراجع للتربية الداخلية عالي المعنوية وموجبا لصفات متوسط وزن اللوزة ، محصول القطن الزهر للنبات ومعامل الشعر في كلا الهجينين ، عقدة أول فرع ثمرى ، وطول التيلة عند 2.5% في الهجين الأول ، و محصول القطن الشعر للنبات ، النعمومة والمئاة في الهجين الثاني ، ومن جهة أخرى كانت القيم سالبة ومعنوية لصفة مئاة التيلة في الهجين الثاني.
- 4- أظهرت جميع الصفات المدروسة في كلا الهجينين سيادة فائقة أو سيادة جزئية.

- 5- باختبار معنوية مدى تطابق نموذج الاضافة والسيادة بين الصفات المدروسة ، نستطيع ان نقرر ان معنوية واحد او اكثر من هذه المفردات (A, B, C) تدل على انحراف هذه القيم عن الصفر في اغلب الصفات المدروسة في كلا الهجينين ، وهذا يؤكد ان هذا النموذج غير كافي للتعبير عن نموذج الاضافة والسيادة لهذه الصفات.
- 6- كان لتأثير الفعل الوراثي المضيف دورا كبيرا في وراثته صفات عقدة اول فرع ثمرى ، تصافى الحليج ، معاملي البذرة والشعر ، متانة التيلة (في كلا الهجينين) وفي توريث صفة طول التيلة عند 2.5% (في الهجين الاول) وعدد اللوز على النبات ، محصول القطن الزهر والشعر للنبات (في الهجين الثاني) ، مما يدل على اهمية الانتخاب لتحسين هذه الصفات.
- 7- اما بالنسبة لتأثير الفعل السيادة للجين فان له دورا هاما في توريث عدد اللوز على النبات ، محصول القطن الزهر والشعر ، معاملة البذرة والنوعمة (في كلا الهجينين) ، وفي توريث عقدة اول فرع ثمرى ، معاملي الشعر (في الهجين الاول) ووزن اللوزة ، ومتانة التيلة (في الهجين الثاني).
- 8- أظهرت النتائج ان التأثير الراجع للتفاعل بين العوامل (الاضافة × الضافة) يتحكم في صفة عدد اللوز على النبات ، محصول القطن الزهر والشعر ، تصافى الحليج ، والمتانة (في كلا الهجينين).
- 9- وبالنسبة للتأثير الجيني للتفاعل بين (الاضافة × السيادة) فانه يتحكم في وراثته معظم الصفات تحت الدراسة في كلا الهجينين.
- 10- كان للتفاعل الجيني بين (السيادة × السيادة) دورا في توريث معظم الصفات المدروسة ما عدا صفات وزن اللوزة ، ومعاملي البذرة والشعر ، والمتانة (في الجيل الاول) ومحصول القطن الزهر والشعر ، تصافى الحليج ، ومتانة التيلة (في الجيل الثاني) حيث كانت هذه القيم غير معنوية.
- 11- سجلت درجة التوريث (بمعناها العام) قيما عالية (أعلى من 50%) لكل الصفات المدروسة في كلا الهجينين ما عدا صفات عدد اللوزة ، محصول القطن الزهر والشعر للنبات ، تصافى الحليج (في الهجين الاول) ، وعدد اللوز ، ومتوسط وزن اللوزة ، درجة انتظام طول التيلة (في الهجين الثاني) حيث سجلت درجة توريث متوسطة.
- 12- أعطت درجة التوريث بمعناها الضيق قيما متوسطة او منخفضة (اقل من 50%) لكل الصفات المدروسة في كلا الهجينين.
- 13- كانت قيم التحسين الوراثي المتوقع من انتخاب احسن 5% من نباتات الجيل الثاني عالية (اكبر من 7%) لصفات عقدة اول فرع ثمرى ، ووزن اللوزة (في الهجين الاول) ، عقدة اول فرع ثمرى ، محصول القطن الزهر للنبات (في الهجين الثاني). اما باقي الصفات فقد أظهرت قيما متوسطة او منخفضة للتحسين الوراثي.
- 14- تشير نتائج معاملي الارتباط المظهري في الهجين الاول الى وجود ارتباط موجب وعالي المعنوية بين (محصولي القطن الزهر والشعر للنبات وكل من عدد اللوز على النبات) ، (معاملي الشعر وكل من تصافى الحليج % ، معاملي البذرة) ، (درجة انتظام الطول وكل من قراءة الميكرونيير ، طول التيلة عند 2.5%) ، بينما كان معاملي الارتباط المظهري سالبا وعالي المعنوية بين (عدد اللوز على النبات ومتوسط وزن اللوزة). واطهر الارتباط الوراثي في الهجين الاول ارتباطا موجبا وعالي المعنوية او معنويا بين (عدد اللوز على النبات مع متوسط وزن اللوزة ، تصافى الحليج % ، معاملي البذرة والشعر ، طول التيلة عند 2.5% ، معاملي الشعر ، كل من عدد اللوز على النبات ، متوسط وزن اللوزة وتصافى الحليج % ، ومعاملي البذرة والشعر ، ودرجة انتظام الطول) ، (معاملي الشعر مع كل من تصافى الحليج % ، معاملي البذرة ، قراءة الميكرونيير ، متانة التيلة ، درجة انتظام طول التيلة). بينما كان معاملي الارتباط الوراثي سالبا ومعنويا بين (عقدة اول فرع ثمرى وكل من معاملي البذرة والشعر ، طول التيلة عند 2.5% درجة انتظام الطول).
- 15- سجلت نتائج الارتباط المظهري في الهجين الثاني الى وجود ارتباط موجب وعالي المعنوية بين (محصولي القطن الزهر والشعر للنبات وكل من عدد اللوز على النبات ، متوسط وزن اللوزة) ، (معاملي الشعر وكل من تصافى الحليج % ، معاملي البذرة) ، (عدد اللوز على النبات ومتوسط وزن اللوزة). بينما كان معاملي الارتباط المظهري سالبا وعالي المعنوية وأظهر الارتباط الوراثي في الهجين الثاني ارتباطا موجبا وعالي المعنوية او معنويا بين (عدد اللوز على النبات مع متوسط وزن اللوزة ، ومعاملي البذرة والشعر ، قراءة الميكرونيير) ، (معاملي الشعر مع كل من تصافى الحليج % ، معاملي البذرة). بينما كان معاملي الارتباط الوراثي سالبا وعالي المعنوية بين (عقدة اول فرع ثمرى وكل من عدد اللوز للنبات ، محصول الشعر للنبات ، معاملي الشعر ، طول التيلة عند 2.5%).