J. Agric. Sci. Marisoura Univ., 32 (7): 5495 - 5507, 2007

EFFECT OF SOME SOURCES OF ORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON GRAPE NUTRITION, YIELD AND FRUIT QUALITY OF FLAME SEEDLESS GRAPEVINES

Abbas, Enas S.; Sawsan A. Bondok and A.M. Sakr Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Cent., Egypt

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out during 2003, 2004 and 2005 seasons to study the effect of some sources of organic fertilizers on yield, fruit quality and the residues of the nitrate and nitrite in berry juice of Flame Seedless grapevines growing in a clay soil under drip irrigation system in a private vineyard located at Dakahlia governorate.

Three organic manures (cattle, chicken or town manure) as a sources or organic N were examined at 40 N units/vine either alone or combined with N mineral fertilizer at the rate of 10 N/unit organic manure plus 30 N/units mineral fertilizer compared with the control (60 N/unit).

The results obtained in this investigation indicated that organic manures combined with the mineral N fertilizer significantly increased leaf area percentage. N. P and K in the leaves as compared with the mineral N fertilizer (control) or organic fertilizers alone. The best treatments were obtained from vines receiving chicken manure. Cattle manure however caused the lowest values of leaf area. Moreover, organic manures combined with mineral N fertilizers significantly increased vield. bunch weight and volume berry weight and volume and juice as well as TSS, TSS/acidity and total anthocyanin in the skin of berries decreased acidity in the juice. Also, organic manure treatments significantly decreased the residues of nitrate and nitrite in the juice of berries. Chicken manure as a local organic manure which is available in a low price was considered the best organic fertilizer with a good source of N, P and K improve yield, berry quality and reduce the residues of nitrate and nitrite in berry juice decreased environmental pollution. Data also indicates that, although the productivity of the vines fertilized with nitrogen mineral fertilizers and organic mineral fertilizer give the highest productivity as compared with organic fertilizers , except for the chicken manure with achieved the highest economic return due to the net increase due to the high prices given for the production of the crop organically fertilized only.

INTRODUCTION

Flame Seedless grape is one of the most popular cultivars grown in Egypt for local consumption and export. It is well known that fertilizers are important factors for increasing yield and berry quality of grapevines, especially nitrogenous fertilizers Keller (2005). After 1960, the quanties of chemical fertilizers has been increased. The heavy use of chemical products in agricultural has resulted in an increased level of environmental pollution.

Organic manures are considered as sources of essential nutrients necessary for plant growth (Yagodin, 1984) and Harhash and Abdel-Nasser (2000).

Using organic manures could improve soil porosity, soil structure, aeration, retention of moisture and are considered good sources of essential nutrients (EL-Nagar, 1996; Kaloosh and Koreish 1995 and Nassar, 1998).

Abbas, Enas S. et al.

Many investigators mentioned that adding organic manure as fertilizer to the soil increased yield and improved berry quality of grapevines Nijjar, (1985); Harhash and Abdel-Nasser (2000); Kassem and Marzouk (2002); Abd EL-Hady *et al.* (2003) and Belal, (2006).

and the second and a second and a second s

The aim of this study was to determine the most suitable sources of organic manure (chicken, cattle and town manure) on yield, berry quality and nitrite and nitrate residues in the berries which cause serious health problem for the consumer. In the mean time the investigation aimed at Finding out the best organic manure which has a high productive efficiency Concerning fertilization of Flame Seedless grapevines.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out on mature Flame Seedless grapevines grown in a clay soil located at Dakahlia governorate, planted 2.5 x 3 meters apart and irrigated according to the drip system to the cardoon training system of 60 buds were per/vine. The vines received the normal agricultural practices as in the commercially grape orchards under Dakahlia conditions.

At the start of the experiment, soil samples were taken representing 3 layers.

Soil depth	E.S	pH %		Available nutrient (ppm)							
(cm)	(ds.m)	рп ж	N	P	K	В	Mn	Zn			
0-30	1.3	7.8	38	12	450	0.07	8.2	1.8			
30-60	1.3	7.9	30	11	420	0.06	7.9	1.7			
60-90	1.4	8.0	20	10	400	0.05	7.0	1.6			
Soil depth	Coars	e sand		Part	icle size distribution						
(cm)	(*	6)	Fine sa	nd (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%) T	exture			
0-30	0	.3	23.	50	25.3	45		Clay			
30 -6 0	0	.3	24.	70	26.2	46		Clay			
60-90	0	.2	25.	50	26.4	47		Clay			

Mechanical and chemical analyses of the soil are given in Table (1):

The experimental design was split-plot. The vines were subjected to 7 treatments with 3 replicates 3 vines each. The treatments used in this study were as follows:

- 1- Control (60 unites of N\feddan).
- 2- Chicken manure (10 N/units) + 30 N/units as mineral.
- 3- Town manure (10 N/units) + 30 N/units as mineral.
- 4- Cattle manure (10 N/units)+ 30 N/units as mineral.
- 5- Chicken manure at (40 N/units).
- 6- Town manure at (40 N/units).
- 7- Cattle manure at (40 N/units).

Vines of the control received the same N treatments as 60 units/fed as recommended by the Ministry of Agriculture.

Ammonium sulfate (20.5 % N) as a source of mineral fertilizer was added at three times: 25 % at the growth onest, 50 % after berry set and 25

🕾 J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32 (7), July, 2007 👘

% after harvest. Organic manures were added only once at the beginning of growth .Chemical analysis of chicken, cattle and town manures are given in Table (2).

Table	(2):	The	chemical	analysis	of	used	chicken,	cattie	and	town
		man	ures.							

Fertilizers	Organic matter	N %	Р%	К%	Fe %	Mn (ppm)	Zn (ppm)
Chicken manure	63.2	2.50	1.14	1.9	6212	780	900
Cattle manure	60.7	0.75	0.50	0.4	5019	450	560
Town manure	40.3	1.07	0.60	0.5	3281	<u>52</u> ର୍	730

Field observations and laboratory measurements were achieved during this study as follows:

- 1- Average leaf area (cm²/vine): this was estimated during the first week of May by picking twenty mature leaves from those opposite to the first cluster on the shoot, the leaf area was measured using the digital planimeter.
- 2- N, P, K content of leaves: the concentration of N, P and K in the petioles of leaves. Nitrogen content was determined according to micro-kjeldahl methods as described by Peregl, (1945). Phosphorus content according to Chapman and Pratt (1961) and potassium by using a flame photometer according to Brown and Lillelan (1946)

At harvest when TSS reached about 17-18 % average yield (kg per vine) was recorded in the filed.

Physical characteristics of bunches:

Bunch weight (gm), bunch volume (ml), 100 berry weight (gm), 100 berry volume (ml) and juice volume of 100 berries (ml).

Chemical characteristics of berries:

Soluble solids content (TSS %) was estimated by using a hand refractometer, total acidity percentage (expressed as g tartaric acid in berry juice) was determined according to the A.O.A.C. (1980), soluble solids content/acid ratio (TSS\acidity) and total anthocyanin content in berry skin (mg/g fw) was also estimated according to Hise *et al.*, (1965).Also, nitrite and nitrate determination as methods of Singh (1988).

The obtained data of this study were statistically analyzed using the (N.L.S.D) according to Waller and Duncan(1969)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of different organic (sources) on:

1-leaf area:

Data presented in Table (3) show that all organic fertilizers as complementary to mineral N source significantly increased leaf area compared with mineral N alone (control) or organic fertilizers alone.

The maximum values were obtained on vines receiving 30 N \units mineral N source and 10 N\ units as chicken manure.

The obtained results may be due to fact that the decomposition of organic matter decreased the pH value and consequently nutrients in the soil became more available to vines or may be attributed to the increasing of photosynthetic rate as a result of more uptake of soil available nutrients, which cause an increase in growth and photosynthesis Mahmoud *et al.* (2000). Furthermore, application of cattle manure caused the lowest values of leaf area due to its poor contents of macro and micro nutrients.

Table (3):	Effect of	different	organic	(sources)	and	mineral	of nitrogen
• •	fertilizer	on Leaf a	area of Fl	ame Seed	less (grapevin	es.

Treatments	Leaf area (m²/vine)					
	2003	2004	2005			
Control	128.13	128.60	128.77			
Chicken manure 10 N\ units + 30N\units as Mineral	137.97	137.17	137.07			
Town manure 10N/units +30N/units as mineral	135.76	135.15	136.54			
Cattle manure10N/units + 30N /units as mineral	133.47	132.62	134.01			
Chicken manure 40 N\units	131.58	130.92	131.34			
Town manure 40N\ units	129.03	128.84	128.37			
Cattle manure 40N/units	126.44	126.58	126.13			
N. L.S.D. at 5 %	1.00	1.34	1.05			

Similar results were reported by Darwish *et al.* 1996; Ragab and Mohamed (1999); Salama (2002); Abd EL-Hady *et al.* (2003); Abou Table (2004) and Abd EL-Hameed and Rabeea (2005) who reported that all combination of organic manure and minerals N fertilizer increased leaf area of Superior grapevines grown in the silty soil.

N, P and K content of leaf petioles:

Data in Table (4) indicated that organic manure fertilizer combined with N mineral fertilizers increased N, P and K content of leaf petioles as compared with the control that received 60 N units in the form of mineral fertilizer or organic fertilizer alone as 40 N units.

The obtained results are in accordance with those Darwish *et al.* (1996) on Roomy Red grapevines, Ezz (1999) on Thompson Seedless grapevines, Ragab and Mohamed (1999) on Flame Seedless grapevines, Harhash and Abd EL-Nasser (2000) on Flame Seedless grapevines and Kassem and Marzouk (2002) who revealed that the replacement of 50 % of the recommended rates of mineral N with organic fertilizer such as chicken, cattle and town manure significantly increased leaf mineral content of Flame Seedless grapevines.

Chicken manure as an organic fertilizer gave the highest values in this respect, while cattle and town manure alone gave the lowest values of N, P and K during the seasons of this study. This may be due to the poor contents of macro and micro nutrients as compared with chicken manure.

٠,

J. Agríc. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32 (7), July, 2007

leaves of Flame seedless grapevines									
Two others and a	N %			P %				Κ%	
Treatments	2003	2004	2005	2003	2004	2005	2003	2004	2005
Control	2.14	2.16	2.16	0.25	0.25	0.26	1.47	1.48	1.48
Chicken manure 10N\ units +30N\unit as mineral	2.74	2.66	2.60	0.35	0.36	0.37	1.70	1.68	1.70
Town manure10N\ units +30N\units as mineral	2.50	2.39	2.45	0.32	0.34	0.32	1.61	1.58	1.61
Cattle manure10N\ units + 30N \units as mineral		2.26	2.36	0.30	0.33	0.28	1.53	1.51	1.51
Chicken manure 40 N\units	2.30	2.24	2.24	0.27	0.28	0.29	1.51	1.49	1.49
Town manure 40N\ units	2.17	2.20	2.16	0.25	0.25	0.24	1.47	1.45	1.48
Cattle manure 40N\units	2.12	2.09	2.06	0.23	0.22	0.22	1.45	1.40	1.37
N. L.S.D. at 5 %	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02

 Table (4): Effect of different organic (sources) and mineral nitrogen fertilizer on `nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in leaves of Fiame seedless grapevines

Effect of different organic (sources) on:

Yield:

Data presented in Table (5) show that adding organic manures combined with mineral N fertilizers significantly increased the yield \vine in compared with adding only 60 N-units/vine as mineral or 40 N-units/vine as organic N sources. The positive effect of adding organic manure with mineral N fertilizer on yield may be due to the role of organic manures in reducing soil pH resulting in higher more availability of nutritional elements; macro or micro elements (Wilde, 1988), or can be attributed to the increase in the leaf mineral content, this plays a big vital role in producing favorable balance between growth and fruit production which leads to increasing photosynthesis process.

These data agree with Martin (1988) on Roomy Red grapevines, EL-Morsy (1997) on Banaty grapevines, Ragab and Mohamed (1999) on Flame Seedless, Harhash and Abd EL-Nasser (2000) on Flame Seedless, Kassem and Marzouk (2002) and Abd EL-Maksood (2006) who reported that all combination between each organic nitrogen sources plus mineral nitrogen fertilization significantly increased the yield/vine as compared with mineral nitrogen fertilizer at the rate of 80 units/vine.

Data also revealed that chicken manure combined with 30-N/unit of mineral fertilizer gave the higher values than the other applied treatments. These results may be due to, the fact that chicken manure is rich in its contents of macro and micro nutrients than the other used manures.

2- Bunch weight and size:

. . . .

Results of Table (5) revealed that adding organic manures combined with mineral N fertilizers significantly increased bunch weight and size in comparison with adding mineral fertilizers or organic manures fertilizers each alone.

Table	(5):	Effect of	differe	nt or	ganic (sources)	and	nitroge	en mineral
	•••	fertilizer	on y	yieid,	bunch	voiume	and	bunch	weight of
		Flame Se	edless	grape	vines.				

1 141110 0	00410	00 8.							
Treatments	Yield	(kg/vii	ne)	Bunch	volum	e (ml)	Bunch	weight	t (g)
Treatments		2004							2005
Control	14.34	14.37	14.28	464.28	487.52	491 <u>.1</u> 4	493.58	518.56	521.14
Chicken manure 10N\units+30N\units as mineral		17.03	16.94	616.10	641.30	611.62	647.10	667.58	642.24
Town manure10N units +30N\units as mineral		15.51	15.65	535.08	533.51	533.63	563.41	561.61	562.55
Cattle manure10N units + 30N mineral	14.62	14.77	14.51	479.78	482.28	498.71	507.78	511.40	527.17
Chicken manures 40 N\units	14.69	15.01	14.92	474.20	482.16	475.68	501.21	512.27	516.23
Town manures 40N\ units	13.70	13.68	14.14	423.75	440.35	439.98	453.64	468. 6 1	469.17
Cattle manure 40N\units							413.50	411.99	449.54
N. L.S.D. at 5 %	0.35	0.37	0.40	11.06	12.40	18.31	11.17	13.30	17.52

The obtained results are in agreement with Martin (1988); Darwish *et al.* (1996); EL-Morsy (1997); and Ezz (1999) who reported that application of organic manures along with mineral nitrogen fertilizers increased both bunch weight and size. these results could be explained in view of that organic fertilizers contains high amount of available nutrient and humus compounds which improve soil aggregation and physical and chemical properties of the soil which enhance tree growth and hence increase both yield and cluster weight (Omran *et al.* 1998 and Nijjar, 1985).

Data also revealed that the highest values of cluster weight were obtained from chicken manures, while cattle and town manures gave the lowest values of cluster weight during the seasons of this study

Berry weight and size :

Data in Table (6) show that adding organic and mineral fertilizers significantly increased berry weight and size. Our data go in line with those obtained by EL-Morsy (1997); Ezz (1999); Ragab and Mohamed (1999). Moreover, chicken manure combined with N mineral fertilizer resulted in the highest increase in berry weight and size. These results may be due to that organic manure along with mineral nitrogen improved berry weight could be attributed to the effect of the nutrients of the vines which accelerated the formation of carbohydrates (ezz, 1999)

Juice volume of the berries:

From data of Table (6) it is obvious that organic N manure combined with mineral N fertilizer significantly increased juice volume of the berries than

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32 (7), July, 2007

the control and organic N alone, chicken manure combined with N mineral gave the highest values in this respect. It was found that juice volume of berries took the same trend found with weight and volume of berries.

Table (6): Effect of different organic (sources) and nitrogen mineral fertilizer on 100 berry weight and volume and juice volume of Flame Seedless grapevine.

Treatments	300 born wolder (a)			100 berry volume (ml)				100 berry juice volume (ml)		
	2003	2004	2005	2003	2004	2005	2003	2004	2005	
Control	270.80	269.43	265.34	234.83	229.01	233.47	194.16	186.89	192.83	
Chicken manure 10N\unit+30N\units mineral		310.36	312.65	269.55	280.35	280.23	230.24	237.56	240.45	
Town manure 10N\units 30N\unit mineral		300.19	302.69	257.88	267.25	271.00	218.23	227.33	231.73	
mineral	283.42								225.99	
Chicken manure 40 N\units	262.17	263.67	271.21	222.44	229.23	239.21	182.73	188.81	200.54	
Town manure 40N\ units	251.88	249.62	258.34	210.92	216.98	224.73	171.12	176.62	185.37	
Cattle manure 40N\units	240.35			201.57	211.69	218.95	163.45	175.58	181.93	
N. L.S.D. at 5 %	6.65	4.84	2.45	7.0	5.23	3.21	7.39	5.35	3.21	

Total soluble solids content, acidity and TSS/acid ratio:

It is apparent from the data of Table (7) that the organic fertilizer significantly increased total soluble solids content in berry juice decreased total acidity as compared with the control. These results go in line with EL-Morsy (1997); Ezz (1999) and Ragab and Mohamed (1999). Data also indicated that the highest TSS percentage resulted from the chicken manure as an organic fertilizer either alone or added with mineral fertilizer. These data may be due to the decomposition of chicken manure and its rich contents of macro and micro elements.

With regard to the effect on TSS/acid ratio the data revealed a trend similar be that of TSS.

Anthocyanin content of berry skin:

Data of Table (8) indicated that organic manures significantly increased total anthocyanin content of berry skin than the control (60 N-units) mineral nitrogen. Moreover, organic manures combined with N mineral fertilizers gave the highest values of this parameter.

(chicken manure was found to give the highest values than other organic manures in both seasons of the study. These results may be due to the chicken manure is rich in its content K, B and Mn than the other used treatments, Potassium, B and Mn are known to improve flavor and color of berries.

 Table (7): Effect of different organic (sources) and of nitrogen mineral fertilizer on TSS, acidity and TSS/acid ratio of Flame Seedless grapevine.

Tractmente	•••	TSS %		A	cidity 9	%	TSS	lacid r	atio
Treatments	2003	2004	2005	2003	2004	2005	2003	2004	2005
Control	17.8	17.9	17.6	0.847	0.806	0.741	21.04	22.49	24.77
Chicken manure 10N\units+30N\units as mineral	18.9	19.4	19.8	0.702	0.708	0.676	26.86	27.40	29.29
Town manure10N \units +30N\ units as mineral		18.2	18.9	0.757	0.798	0.767	24.46	23.15	24.71
Cattle manure 10N\units + 30N as mineral		18.2	18.3	0.735	0.774	0.769	24.71	23.51	23.82
Chicken manure 40 N\units	18.4	18.4	18.7	0.735	0.728	0.753	25.09	25.32	24.88
Town manures 40N\ unit	18.2	18.0	18.2	0.789	0.790	0. 7 90	23.02	22.78	22.99
Cattle manure 40N\units	18.4	18.0	17.6	0.835				22.16	21.59
N. L.S.D. at 5 %	0.33	0.30	0.40	0.033	0.055	0.043	1.31	1.70	1.96

Table (8): Effect of different organic sources and mineral nitrogen fertilizer on anthocyanin content of berry skin in Flame Seedless grapes.

Treatments	Anthocya	nin content	mg/g fw)
i reatinents	2003	2004	2005
Control	0.65	0.66	0.69
Chicken manure 10N\units+30N\units as mineral	0.93	0.90	0.95
Town manure10N\units +30N\unit as mineral	0.82	0.80	0.85
Cattle manure10N\units + 30N \units as mineral	0.79	0.76	0.80
Chicken manures 40 N\units	0.90	0.89	0.91
Town manure 40N\ units	0.73	0.75	0.75
Cattle manure 40N\units	0.69	0.70	0.75
N. L.S.D. at 5 %	0.04	0.05	0.06

Effect of different organic sources and mineral nitrogen fertilizer on residues of nitrate and nitrite in berry juice :

Data show in Table (9) revealed that the organic manure used either alone or in combination with mineral N fertilizer significantly decreased nitrate and nitrite residues in the juice of berries.

It is clear that chicken manure resulted in the lowest values of nitrate and nitrite residues during both seasons of the study as compared with control (mineral fertilizer or cattle and town manures. The obtained results are in agreement with Belal (2006) who mentioned that adding organic nitrogen sources gave the lowest values of nitrate and nitrite in grape berries

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32 (7), July, 2007

of Thompson Seedless grapevines. All combinations between organic nitrogen plus mineral nitrogen fertilizer gave significant decrease in nitrate and nitrite contents in berry juice as compared with control (mineral nitrogen fertilizer) alone. The best treatment in this respect was in favour of chicken manure.

Table (9):	Effect of	different organ	nic sources a	ind mineral	nitrogen
	fertilizer	on nitrate and	d nitrite resid	ues in berry	juice of
	flame see	dless grapevine	35		-

Treatments	Nitrate	(ppm)		Nitrite	Nitrite (ppm)		
	2003	2004	2005	2003	2004	2005	
Control	5.87	5.89	5.92	1.05	1.03	1.04	
Chicken manure 10N\units+30N\units as mineral	4.92	4.86	4.85	0.82	0.82	0.82	
mineral	5.11	5.09	5.09	0.86	0.86	0.86	
Cattle manure10N\units + 30N \units as mineral	5.16	5.16	5.16	0.90	0.91	0.91	
Chicken manures 40 N\units	4.55	4.55	4.65	0.79	0.80	0.79	
Town manure 40N\ units	5.01	5.03	5.03	0.84	0.83	0.83	
Cattle manure 40N\units	5.14	5.14	5.14	0.92	0.92	0.93	
N. L.S.D. at 5 %	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.01	

Organic nitrogen mineral in combination with mineral nitrogen fertilizer resulted in less accumulation of NO_3 than the mineral fertilizer when applied alone. The obtained results are in accordance with those reported by Harhash and Abd EL-Nasser (2000).

From the foregoing reisuts, it can be concluded that chicken manure can be considered as one of the best organic manure saving NPK, and some micro which nutrients, leaf area, yield and quality of Flame Seedless grapevine.

الجدارة الإنتاجية لبعض معاملات التسميد الأزوتي لمحصول العنب الفليم سيدلس

يتخذ معيل الجدارة الإنتاجية كأحد المعامير الأساسية لمقارنة وتصنيف المعاملات فسى التجسارب الزراعية ، للتعرف على المعاملات التي تتصف بميزة لو قصور نسبى ، ويتبين من مؤشرات الجدول رقسم (١) أن متوسط انتاجية الفدان لمعاملات التسميد الأزوتسى بلسغ حسوالى : ١٦،٨٥ ، ١٩،٥٠ ، ١٤،٦٢ ، ١٤,٦٥ ، ١٣,٢٥ ، ١٣,٢٢ طن على الترتيب للمعاملات السمادية: الأولى ، الثانية، الثالثة ، الرابعة ، الخلسة ، السادسة يمثل كل منها نحو : ١٨,١ % ، ١٩,٩١ % ، ١٠٢ % ، ١٠٢ % ، ١٣,٢ % م ٨.٨ على الترتيب من متوسط انتاجية الفدان بالمزرعة (المقارنة) والمقدر بحوالى : ١٤,٣ ، ١٤,٢ % ، ١٨,٨ % معاملات التسميد : الأولى والثانية، الثالثة ، الرابعة قد حققت تقومًا التاجيا يمثل نحو : ١٨,١ % ، ١٨,٠ معاملات التسميد : الأولى والثانية الفدان المزرعة قد حققت تقومًا ابتاجيا يمثل نحو : ١٨,١ % ، ١٠, ٩ معاملات التسميد : منوسط انتاجية الفدان المزرعة (المقارنة) والمقدر بحوالى ١٤,٣ مناهد التسميد : الأولى والثانية ، الرابعة قد حققت نقومًا المعامية المرابعة المداسة منا يعنى معاملات التسميد : من متوسط انتاجية الفدان المزرعين (المقارنة) ، بينما المعاملة السمادية و المعامية و المعامية من معاملات التسميد : من متوسط انتاجية الفدان المزرعين المقارنة منوع المعامية المعاملة المعامية من من من من المين من من الماد من من المعام معاملات التسميد : مناول والثانية ، الرابعة من مقوما المادين المعاملة السمادية الخامسة و السعادسة من من من منوال

فی عاد	فرق منا	تكليف	•	للدانية	الإنتلهية		يان	
ملكة عن ارنة		للسميد جنيه/ لدان	الإيراد الكلي جنيه/فدان			رقم المعاملة		
جنيه/فدنن	(1)-(1)	(ĭ)	(1)	*			المعاملة/فدان	
	1797.	٧٤.	1511.	1	15,81	لمقارنة	۲۰ وحدة نتروجين (المزرعة)	
111.	1209.	۲٦.	17.00.	114,7	17,80	الأولى	۱۰ وحدات نتروجین مخلف ت دولجن + ۲۰ سماد معدنی	
174.	1010.	10.	100	1.1,1	10,00	الثانية	• اوحدات نتروجين مخلفات مدن+• ٢ سماد معدني	
411.	1271.	44.	1117.	1.5.	11,11		• اوحدات نتروجين سماد ماشية +• "سماد معدني	
Vito	11110	01.	11940	1.7,1	11,70	الرابعة	 ٤ وهدة نتروجين مخلفات الدولجن 	
1.1.	1	07.	1.00.	97,8	11,7.	الغامسة	٤٠ وحدات نتروجين مخلفات مدن	
241.	1747.	٨	1477.	٨٨,٨	17,77	السادسة	 ٤ د التروجين مخلفات ماشية 	
		۸۰۰ حصول المس		مم, ۸ ویا ، ۰۰۰	۱۲,۹۲ منبعد عضر	السادسة محصول ال	· أوحدة نتروجين مخلفات ماشية •) متوسط العمر المزرعي ١٥٠٠ جنيه لطن ال	

جدول رقم (١) : بعض المؤشرات الإنتاجية والإقتصادية لبعض معاملات التعميد الأزوقي لمحصول العنب لمتوسط المواسم الزراعية خلال الفترة (٢٠٠٣-٢٠٠٠)

£7,

تصنيف الجدارة الإنتاجية لبعض معاملات التسميد الأزوتي لمحصول العنب :

يعتبر تحديد المعاملة السمادية ذات الكفاءة الإنتآجية المرتفعة لمحصول العنب من عوامل النجاح في زراعة وانتاج المحصول ، والفرض الإحصائي هنا هو عدم وجود فرق معنوي بين متوسط الإنتاجية للمعاملات السمادية لمحصول العنب الموضحة بالجدول رقم (١) ، هذا وقد اعتمد تحليل التباين على متوسط انتاجية الفدان للمعاملة لثلاثة مواسم زراعية وهي : ٢٠٠٢ ، ٢٠٠٤ ، ٢٠٠٥ ويبين الجدول رقم (٢) تحليل التباين لمتوسطات الإنتاجية الفدانية للمعاملات السمادية.

جدول رقم (٢) : تحليل التباين لمتوسط انتاجية الفدان لمحصول العنب لمعاملات التسسيد الآزوت. المختلفة.

نسبة (F) المحسوبة F. Ratio	متوسط مريعات الإيمرافات M.S	مجموع مريعات الالمرفقات S.S	درجات الحرية D.F	مصدر التياين
\$11.70.	7,7017	T1,Y0A7	0	بين المعاملات
	•,•9¥1	1,1704	17	داخل المعاملات
		21,9727	14	الإجمالي
				A

المصدر : جمعت وحسبت من بيتات الجدول رقم (١).

هذا ويتبين من الجدول رقم (٢) أن الفروق بين متوسطات الإنتاجية للمعاملات السمادية معنوية جدا أى حقيقية ، ومن ثم فابته يلزم عمل المقارنات الفردية بين مختلف تلك المتوسطات وفقا لطريقة دنكان "Duncan Method" لإختبار أقل فرق معنوى بينها بالجدول رقم (٣) ،

جدول رقم (٣) : معنوية الفروق بين متوسطت الإنتاجية الفدانية للمعاملات السسادية لمحـصول العنـب باستخدام طريقة "نتكان".

			and the second se			and an an an and the second seco
17,77	14.4.	11,17	11,70	10,01	11,10	الإنتاجية الغدانية بالطن
الساهسة	لغمسة	الرابعة -	1	الثالية	الأولى	توع المعاملة
** £, ٣٣	7,10	**,**	"2.22	1,70		• اوحدات نتروجين مخلفات دولجن+• ٣سماد معدني
** 7, ۸۸		· •••,۸0	··,^Y			• اوحدات نتروجين مخلفات مدن +• اسماد معنني
****	.,97	•.•*				• اوحدات نتروجين مخلفات ماشية+٢٠ سماد معدني
۲,۰۳	••,90					٤٠ وحدة نتروجين مخلفات دواجن
••1,•A		۱ I				٤٠ وحدة نتروجين مخلفات مدن
		1 i				 ، او حدة نتر وجين مخلفات ماشيه
•.441	•,٨٥٦	•,817	•,^19	•,777		L.S.R 01
117.		099	.,081	.,001		L.S.R. 05
						(**) مغرية بمصليا عد مستوى ٠٠,٠١
						المماد والمحمد والمنات ومنا والالان الحدول والمرادان

مصدر : جمعت وحسبت من بيقات الجدول رقم (١)

5504

العاد الإقتصادى لبعض معاملات السماد الأزوتي لمحصول العب :

يعتبر العائد الإقتصادى من إستخدام الموارد الإتتاجية في النشاط الزراعي هو الهدف الأساسي للمزارع نحو تحقيق أقصر ربح ممكن (صقر ١٩٩٤ محي الدين والخرون ١٩٩٧)، هذا ويتبين من الجدول رقم (١) أن معاملات التسميد الأزوتي بالتجربة قد حقق كل منها عائد التصاديا صافيا أعلى من نظير و لسماد المزرعة (المقارنة) ، وأن معاملات التسميد العضوى للأزوت قد احتلت المراتب الثلاث الأولسي ، إذ بلسغ حوالي : ٧٤٤٥ ، ٢٠٦٠ ، ٣٨٦٠ جنيها للفدان لمعاملات ٢٠ وحدة أزوت عضوى مخلفات كال من : الدواجن ، المدن ، الماشية على الترتيب ، في حين إحتلت معاملات التسميد المعسدني والعسضوي المراتسب الثلاث الأخيرة إذ تبين فرق الزيادة في صافى عائد كل منها عن نظيره لسماد المزرعة (المقارنة) بلغ حوالي : ٢٢٢٠ ، ٢٢٨٠ ، ٢٤٠ جنيها للفدان على التوالي لمعاملات السماد المعدني والعضوى لكسل مسن : ١٠ وحدات أزوت من مخلفات الدواجن + ٣٠ وحدة أزوت معدني (المعاملة الثالثة) ، ١٠ وحدات أزوت مخلفات مأشية + ٣٠ سماد معدني (المعاملة الخامسة) ، الأمر الذي يشير إلى أنه بالرغم من أن انتاجية المحصول المسمد سماد أزوت معدني وعضوى أعلى جدارة انتاجية من السماد العسضوى (الأزوتسي) فقسط ، إلا أن الأخير قد حقق عاندا إقتصاديا صاقيا أعلى نظرا لإرتفاع السعر المزرعي لإتناج المحصول المسمد عسضويا نقط ، هذا وبحساب معامل سيير مان للرنب Spearman Rank Correlation بين ترتيب معساملات التجربة وفقًا لتكاليف التسميد الأزوتي ، والترتيب وفقًا لغرق صافى العاتب لمعاملات التبسميد بالمقارنية بالتسميد المزرعى (المقارنة) ، تنبين أن معامل الإرتباط بلغ حوالي ٦٦, • ، مما يعنى أن قوة الإرتباط بـين ترتيب كل من قمة تكاليف السماد الأزوتي وعائدها الاقتصادي بلغ حوالي ٦٠ % .

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1980). Association of official of Analytical Chemist. 14th Ed. Published by the A.O.A.C., Washington D.C., USA.
- Abd EL-Hady, A.M.; M.A. Aly and M.M. EL-Mogy (2003). Effect of some soil conditioners on counteraction the of adverse effect of salinity on growth and fruiting of Flame Seedless vines. Minia J. Agric. Res. Develop., 23(4): 699-726.
- Abd EL-Hameed, H.M. and M. Rabeea (2005). Adjusting the best proportion of mineral, oganic and biofertilizers for Superior grapevines. Minia J. Agric. Res. Develop., 25(5): 863-882.
- Abou Taleb, S.A. (2004). Effect of cattle manure and reducing mineral fertilizer on growth, fruit and nutrient content of pecan trees. Annals Agric. Sci., Moshtohor 42(3): 1197-1214.
- Belal, E.A. (2006). Effect of some kinds of fertilizers on yield and quality of Thompson Seedless grapevines (*Vitis vinifera*, L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ.
- Brown, J.D. and O. Lilland (1946). Rapid determination of potassium and sodium in plant material and soil extracts by flame photometer, proc. Amer. Soc.
- Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Partt (1961). Methods of analysis for soil. Plants and Water. Div. Agric. Sci., Univ. California, Berkely, pp. 309.
- Chattopadhyay, N.; Gupta, M.D. and Gupta, S.K. (1992). Effect of city waste compost and fertilizer on growth, nutrient uptake and yield of rice. J. of the Indian Soc. of Soil Sci., 40(3): 464-468.
- Darwish, O.H.; L.F. Hanna and M.A. Maatouk (1996). Enhancing the availability of phosphorus as rock phosphate for Roomy Red grapevines by some materials. Fourth Arabic Conf. for Horticulture Crops, EL-Minia, Egypt.

Abbas, Enas S. et al.

- EL-Morsy, F.M. (1997). Response of Banaty grapevines to application of organic fertilizers filter mud and sludges. Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 35(1): 477-488.
- EL-Nagar, E.M. (1996). Effect of applying some organic residues to sand calcareous soils on growth and composition of some plants. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ.
- Ezz, T.M. (1999). Response of Thompson Seedless grapevines grown in calcareous soil to the organic fertilizer "biotreasure" and cattle manure application. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 24(4): 1987-1996.
- Farrage, A.M. and M.E. Hussein (2000). Responses of onion plants to sources and rates of organic fertilizers J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25(7): 4497-4514.
- Harhash, M.M. and G. Abd EL-Nasser (2000). Effect of organic manures in combination with elemental sulphur on soil physical and chemical charactenstics, yield, fruit quality, leaf water contents and nutritional status of Flame Seedless grapevines. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25(5): 2819-2837.
- Hisa, C.L.; B. Luh and Chickestor, C.O. (1965). Anthocyanin in freestone peaches. J. Food Sci., 30: 5-12.
- Kaloosh, A.A. and Koreish, E.A. (1995). Nitrobin, ammonium nitrate and chicken manure effects on wheat growth, soil nitrate, soil microbial biomass and carbon dioxide evaluation. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 20(8): 3943-3949.
- Kassem, H.A. Marzouk (2002). Effect of organic and/or mineral nitrogen fertilization on the nutritional status, yield and fruit quality of Flame Seedless grapevine grown in calcareous soll. J. Adv. Agric. Res., 7(3): 117-126.
- Keller, M. (2005). Nitrogen-fridnd or for of wine quality? Practical winery and vineyard Magazine 58-D Paul Drive, San Rafael, CA 94903-1534 (9) issue.
- Khattai, S. and F. Shatat (1993). Yield and quality of (*Vitis vinifera*, L.) cv. Salti as affected by nitrogen rates and time of application. Dirasat, series B, Pure and applied Sci., 20 B (1): 72.
- Mahmoud, Hosna A.F.; F.A.Sedera and Shadia B.D. Yousef. (2000) effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on onion crop. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25(9):5813-5829.
- Martin, A.C. (1988). Effect of bitter-free poultry manure on the yield and quality of the grapevines cultivar Aligote. In :- Effektinnost Moldavian SSR 62-68 (soil & fertilizer, 53 (3): 3776).
- Mortvedl, J.J.; F.R. Xox; L.M. Shuman and R.M. Welch (1991). Micronutrients in Agriculture. P. 440, soil Sci.Soc.Amer. Inc., Madison Wisconsin, USA.
- Nasser, L.N. (1998). Utilization of the municipal garbage (MG) as a soil amendment. Alex. J. Agric. Res., 43(3): 317-332.
- Nijjar, G.S. (1985). Nutrition of fruit trees. Mrs Usha Raj Kumar. Kalyani. New Delhi, pp: 10-20.
- Omar, A.H. (2005). Fertilization of Thompson Seedless grapevines with mineral and organic sources of nitrogen. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 30(12): 7855-7862.

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32 (7), July, 2007

- Omran, M.S; B.Y.EL-Koumey and Shokar (1998). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on navel organic yield (quality and quantity). Zagazig J.Agric. Res., 25(1):163-164.
- Peregl, F. (1945). Quantitative organic microanalysis. 4th Ed. J. A. Churchill, Ltd, London.
- Ragab, M.A. and G.A. Mohamed (1999). Effect of some organic and mineral nitrogen fertilization treatments on Flame Seedless grapevines Minia J. Agric. Res. Develop., 19: 27-43.
- Salama, S.S.M. (2002). Response of some fruit species transplants and trees to organic fertilization. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Singh, J.P. (1988). A rapid method for the determination of nitrate in soil and plant extract. Plant and soil, 110: 137-139.
- Waller, R.and D.B.Duncan(1969). Abays rule for the symmetric multiple comparison problem .J.Amer. Assoc., 64:1484-1503.
- Wilde, A. (1988). Soil conditions and plant growth (11th ed.) ELBA, English Longman Book Society, Longman pp. 113-168.
- Yagodin, B.A. (ed) (1984). Agricultural Chemistry English translation, Mir Publisher, Moscow.

احمد محمد صغر ١٩٩٤. الكفاءة الاقتصادية لمحصول العنب في محافظة الدقهانية .رسالة ماجستير قسم الاقتصاد الزراعي ،كلية الزراعة ،جامعة المنصورة.

يحيى عبد المجيد محى الدين والفزون ١٩٩١ دراسة اقتصادية لمحدداد التوسع في زراعة الفلكهة في جمي عبد المجيد محى ال جمهورية مصر العربية . المجلة المصرية للاقتصاد الزراعي . المجلد الاول ، العد الاول ، مارس .

تأثير إضافة مصادر مختلفة من الأسعدة العضوية على الحالة الغذائية والمحصول وجودة ثمار العنب الفيليم سيدلس إيناس صابر عباس°، سوسن عبد الوهاب بندق° و أحمد محمد صقر °° معهد بحوث البساتين – مركز البحوث الزراعية – وزارة الزراعة • * معهد بحوث الإقتصاد الزراعي – مركز البحوث الزراعية – وزارة الزراعة

أجرى هذا البحث خلال الأعوام ٢٠٠٣ ، ٢٠٠٤ ، ٢٠٠٥ لدراسة تأثير بعسض المسصادر المختلفة مسن الأسمدة العضوية على الحالة الغذائية للاشجار والمحصول وجودة الثمار والأثسر المتبقسى مسن النيتريست والنترات في عصير الحبات للعنب الفيليم سيدلس المزروع في تربة طينية تحت نظام السرى بسالتنقيط فسي مزرعة خاصة بمحافظة الدقهلية.

أستخدم لهذه الدراسة ثلاث أنواع من الأسمدة العضوية وهى مخلفات الدواجن والماشية ومخلفات المدن كمصادر مختلفة للتسميد النيتروجينى العضوى ، بمعدل ٤٠ وحدة/شجرة إما منفردا أو بمعدل ١٠ وحدة سماد عضوى + ٣٠ وحدة سماد كيماوى فى صورة سلفات نشادر وذلك مقارنة بالسماد المعدنى ٦٠ وحدة للشجر قوالتى تسمد به المزرعة عادة.

وقد أوضحت الدراسة أن التسميد العضوى مع التسميد المعنى أدى إلى زيادة معنوية فى كل من المساحة الورقية ومحتوى الأوراق من عناصر النيتروجين ، الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم عن التسميد العصوى منفردا أو التسميد المعدنى وكذلك وزن وحجم العنقود ، ووزن وحجم الحبات ، النسبة المنوية للماد الصابة الذائبة الكلية فى عصير الحبات ومحتوى الانتوسياتين فى قشرة الثمار ادى أيضا إلى إنغفاض الحموضة و المتبقى من النترات والنيتريت فى عصير الحبات. وكانت أفضل النتائج المتحصل عليها هى استخدام مسملا الدواجن حيث أنه أعطى أحسن نسبة فى محتوى الأوراق من العاصر الغذائبة والمساحة المسملا جودة الثمار مع انغفاض فى محتوى الثمار من متبقيات النترات والنتريت والتى تحتير مصدر ضار السماح والبيئة.