# BETTER COMBINATION OF DEFOLIATION AND DORMANCY BREAKING AGENT FOR PEAR ORCHARDS

Fathi, M.A. and S.M. Hussein

Hort. Res. stit., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt

## **ABSTRACT**

This study was conducted during the 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 seasons to evaluate the effect of spraying "Le-Conte" pear (budded on *Pyrus communis* rootstock) trees with defoliation treatments (urea, 7% or zinc sulfate, 3.5%) at 1<sup>st</sup> Nov. or 15<sup>th</sup> Nov. and dormancy-breaking agents (hydrogen cyanamide, 49%; potassium sulfate or ammonium nitrate) sprayed at 1<sup>st</sup> Jan., 15<sup>th</sup> Jan. or 1<sup>st</sup> Feb. Measurements included vegetative growth (shoot length, number of leaves per shoot and percentage of vegetative spurs), floral growth (percentage of floral spurs and fruit set), fruit yield and its components (number of fruits/tree, fruit yield/tree and yield monetary value/tree) and fruit quality attributes (fruit weight and size as well as juice TSS and acidity).

Statistical analysis confirmed that, better results can be obtained as the follows combination of treatments. At 15<sup>th</sup> Nov. leaf shedding should spray using ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (3.5%). At the next 15<sup>th</sup> Jan., hydrogen cyanamide (49%) should spray on "Le-Conte" pear trees.

#### INTRODUCTION

"Le-Conte" pear is a hybrid between Pvrus communis and P. serotina and considered the main pear cultivar grown in Egypt, but it characterized by a distinct period of rest (endodormancy) which extends from late fall till early spring. Regrowth and flowering in the new season needs overcomina such dormancy (Westwood. 1978). management practices are important for lowering the chilling requirements of buds. These include controlling tree vigor, training to a horizontal tree form, preventing late vegetative growth, irrigation, fertilization, defoliation and delaying winter pruning (Lang et al., 1987). Otherwise, treatment with dormancy-breaking agents is probably the most feasible alternative to control dormancy in deciduous fruit trees (Erez. 1995).

However, Dormex (49% hydrogen cyanamide; HC) application advanced flowering of apricot by 2-5 days (Son and Kuden, 2005). HC combined with mineral oil increased flower bud opening, advanced flowering and fruit set, increased the percentage of fruit set, fruit retention. number of fruits per tree, fruit yield, weight and volume (Brunton et al., 2006). Spraying trees with KNO<sub>3</sub> was successful in breaking bud dormancy (Kuden et al., 1995), increased bud GA3 level, advanced flowering and improved fruit TSS content (Shakweer, 2004).

Defoliation treatments with urea (10%) at 17/10 or 9/11 increased the percentage of pear fruit set (Ismail, 2001) and both application dates gave the same results (Stino, 1987). Moreover, zinc sulfate was more effective in advancing bud burst and increased apricot fruit set, yield and fruit diameter, weight and TSS than urea or control (Mahrous and El Fakharani, 2006).

Fathi, M.A. and S.M. Hussein

Spray	2004-	-2005	2005-	2006
date	7.2°C	10°C	7.2°C	10°C
1 Jan.	21	143	13	127
15 Jan.	66	225	49	197
1 Feb.	79	265	54	243

Similar results were obtained with the use of HC on apple (Hasseeb and El Ezaby, 1995 and Ali *et al.*, 1997) or HC + mineral oil on pear (El Shall *et al.*, 1993) and KNO<sub>3</sub> or thiourea on pear (El Banna *et al.*, 1995).

Therefore, this study included spraying the pear trees with urea or zinc sulfate at 1 Nov. or 15 Nov. as defoliation treatments. The same trees were sprayed at 1 Jan., 15 Jan. or 1 Feb. with HC, potassium sulfate or ammonium nitrate as dormancy-breaking agents. The objective of this study was to establish the best combination of treatments to enhance flowering, increase yield and crop monetary value as well as to improve fruit quality.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This research was conducted in El Qanater Res. Sta., Qallubia Governorate, Egypt, during the 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 seasons on "Le-Conte" pear budded on *Pyrus communis* rootstock. The trees were 12 years old, planted at  $5 \times 5$  m in clay soil, received similar agricultural practices.

Defoliation treatments were urea (7%) or zinc sulfate (3.5%) at the 1<sup>st</sup> Nov. or 15<sup>th</sup> Nov. 2004 and 2005. Dormancy breaking agents were hydrogen cyanamide (HC) in the form of Dormex (49%), potassium sulfate or ammonium nitrate, which were sprayed at the 1<sup>st</sup> Jan., 15<sup>th</sup> Jan. or 1<sup>st</sup> Feb. 2005 and 2006. These treatments were used in a split-split plot system in a randomized complete block design with three replicates. Each experimental plot consisted of one tree.

Accumulated chilling hours at Giza location during Nov.-Mar. period of the 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 seasons using a base chilling temperature of either 7.2 or 10°C were as follows:-

Data were recorded on vegetative growth (shoot length, number of leaves per shoot and vegetative spurs), as well as on floral growth (floral spurs and percentage of fruit set). At harvest time, number of fruit per tree and fruit yield per tree were recorded then used in estimating crop monetary value considering a farm-gate price of LE 2.5 per kg. Fruit quality attributes included, fruit weight, and size as well as juice TSS and titratable acidity as gm malic acid/100 gm fresh weight were recorded on 10 fruits per experimental unit (A.O.A.C., 1990).

The obtained data were subjected to analysis of variance according to Snedecor and Cochran (1990). Means were compared using the LSD test at the 5% level of probability.

#### RESULTS

## 1. Vegetative growth:

Vegetative growth included, shoot length and number of leaves per shoot (Table 1) as well as percentage of vegetative spurs (Table 2). Dormex breaking agent effectively increased shoot length and number of leaves per shoot followed by ammonium nitrate then potassium sulfate, where shoot length was 96.9, 92.6 and 87.8 cm. while number of leaves/shoot were 30.1, 27.4 and 26.2 respectively. Contrary, K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> caused the highest percentage of vegetative spurs (17.0%) followed by ammonium nitrate (14.2%) then Dormex (10.6%). In continuation of that, we obtained the longest shoots (91.6 cm), the highest number of leaves per shoot (27.9) but the least percentage of vegetative spurs (9.9%) when we sprayed dormancy breaking agents at 15<sup>th</sup> Jan. followed by at 1<sup>st</sup> Feb. then 1<sup>st</sup> Jan. respectively. However, the differences mostly were insignificant.

Defoliation treatments with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> induced longer shoots (93.1 cm), more leaves (28.4) but less vegetative spurs (12.2%) than urea treatments. Moreover, defoliation applications were more effective when sprayed at 1<sup>st</sup> Nov. than 15<sup>th</sup> Nov.

If we consider the interaction effect, we can notice that, zinc sulfate helped pear trees to get into dormancy specially when sprayed at 1<sup>st</sup> Nov. then Dormex sprayed at 15<sup>th</sup> Jan. get the trees out of dormancy with longer shoots and higher number of leaves but less percentage of vegetative spurs.

# 2. Floral growth:

Percentage of floral spurs (Table 2) and fruit set (Table 3) used as an indicator of floral growth. However, it is noticeable that, hydrogen cyanamide induced higher percentage of both floral spurs (91.6 and 92.0%) and fruit set (5.9 and 6.0%) then both ammonium nitrate (87.2 and 88.1 as well as 5.0 and 5.1%) or  $K_2SO_4$  (85.1 and 85.3 as well as 4.8 and 4.7%) in the two studied seasons respectively.

Spraying dormancy breaking agents at 15<sup>th</sup> Jan. get better floral spurs and fruit set than either 1st Jan. or 1<sup>st</sup> Feb. although the differences were not confirmed statistically.

Zinc sulfate as a defoliation spray deduced higher percentage of floral spurs (89.0 and 89.6%) and fruit set (5.6 and 5.5%) than urea (86.9 and 87.4% as well as 4.9 and 5.0%) through 2005 and 2006 seasons respectively. However, spraying defoliation treatments at 15<sup>th</sup> Nov. was more effective than at 1<sup>st</sup> Nov.

Interaction effect has a clear trend, hence ZnSO<sub>4</sub> spraying at 15<sup>th</sup> Nov. followed by hydrogen cyanamide at 15<sup>th</sup> Jan. increased floral spurs and fruit set percentage than other interactions.

Table (1): Shoot length and number of leaves/shoot as affected by dormancy breaking agents (A), at several dates (B) and defoliation treatments (C).

(A)	(10)						shoot k	ingth (	cm)									Nur	nber of	leaves	/shoot				
1		$\overline{}$	Ure	10 (C)			Zinc :	ullate			Ave.	(A x B)			Ure	ra (C)		$\overline{}$	Zinc	sulfate		1	Ave.	(A x B)	
			005		006		005		006		005		006		005		006		005	2	006	20	005		006
		1/31		1/11		1/11		1/11	35/11	1/11		1/21	15/11	1/11	15/11	1/11	1911	1/11	15/3)	1/11	15/11	1/11	15/21	3/31	15/11
Dormes	1 Jan.	96.2	93.3	96.0	93.3	97.6	94.7	96.8	94.1	96.9	94.0	96 4	93.7	28.1	25.1	28.2	27.2	26.7	26.3	29.0	27.8	28.4	25.7	28.6	27.5
Dermen	15 Jan	96.8	95.5	97.4	96.4	97.6	96.3	98.2	97.6	97.2	95.9	97.8	97.0	30.3	29 4	32.1	29.7	32.1	29.8	32.7	30.7	31.2	29.6	32.4	30.2
2 - 40	1 Feb.	96.3	94.2	96.7	95.7	96.9	94.8	97.5	96.5	96.6 Ann.(A)	94.5	97.1 Ann.(A)	96.1	30.3	29.0	31.6	29.2	31.1	29.6	32.4	30.0	30.7	29.3	32.0	29.6
Ave.(A	i i Cj	96.4	94.3	96.7	95.1	97.4	95.3	97.5	96.1	95.5	94.5	97.1	95.6	29.6	27.8	30.6	28.7	30.6	28.6	31.4	29.5	30.1	28.2	31.0	29.1
	1 Jan.	87.3	85.4	67.4	85.1	87.9	86.2	87.8	85.9	87.6	85.0	87.6	85.5	32.4	19.6	22.9	21.1	24.0	20.8	24.1	21.7	23.7	20.2	23.5	21.4
K-sulfate	15 Jan.	88.0	86.8	88.5	87.8	88.4	87.4	89.3	88.6	86.2	87.1	88.9	88.2	27.5	26.0	27.1	26.3	28.1	26.6	27.7	26.9	27.8	26.3	27.4	26.6
1	1 Feb.	87.3	86.0	87.7	67.3	87.9	86.6	36.5	87.9	87.6	86.3	88.1	87.6	26.6	25.5	26.4	25.6	27.6	26.1	27.2	26.6	27.1	25.8	26.8	26.1
Ave.(A	*C									Aug (A)	Ave (A)	Ave (A)	Ave (A)									Ave.(A)	MeM	AmelAi	AvelAl
	1.00	07.5	86.1	87.9	86.7	88.1	86.7	88.5	87.5	87.8	86.4	88.2	87.1	25.8	23.7	25.5	24.3	26.6	24.5	26.3	25.1	26.2	24.1	25.9	24.7
A	3 Jan.	92.4	88.2	92.6	89.1	93.0	88.8	93.4	89.7	92.7	84.5	93.0	89.4	27.5	25.5	26.6	25.7	28.1	26.3	27.4	26.5	27.8	25.9	27.2	26.1
Mitrate	13 70 52 50 53 45.3 53 45.3 73.2									92.1	89.2 86.1	92.4	89.6 88.9	27.8 25.9	26.0	27.2 26.2	26.5	28.4	26.6	28.0	27.1	28.1	26.3	27.6	26.8
Ave.IA		71.0	07.0	32.1		32.5	-	92.7	89.2	Ave (A)	Anna AN	Are (A)	Are (A)	23.9	22.3	20.2	22.4	26.7	23.1	26.8	23.6	26.3	22.8 Ann.84	26.5 Ave (A)	23.0
		92.2	26.3	92.7	89.0	93.0	88.9	93.3	89.6	92.6	88.6	93.0	89.3	27.1	24.7	26.7	24.9	27.7	25.3	27.5	25.7	27.4	25.0	27.1	25.3
	1 Jan.									Age (B)	Are (B)	Art (8)	Ave (8)									Arra (B)	Ann (b)	Apr (8)	Ave.(b)
Ave.(6 x C)	15 Mg.	92.0	90.4	92.0	91.2	92.8	91.1	92.7	92.0	92.4	90.7	92.3	89.5	26.3	23.4	25.9	24.7	26.9	24.5	27.0	25.3	26.6	23.9	26.4	25.0
l	15 Joh.	91.7	80.1	92.2	91.2	92.5	20.0	92.9	91.2	92.1	89.6	93.4 92.5	91.6	28.5	27.1	28.8	27.5	29.5	27.7	29.5	28.2	29.0	27.4	27.8	27.9
Ave.		92.1	80.6	92.4	90.3	92.8	90.3	93.1	91.0	92.1	63.5	92.5	90.9	27.5	25.7 25.4	28.1	25.7 26.0	28.5	26.3	28.8	26.7 26.7	28.0	26.0	28.4	26.2
LSD at 5% to													_	27.3	23.4	27.0	24.0	20.3	20.2	28.4	A0.7	•	•	٠	
Dermency b		(4) -								363		1 202													
	agentif after									2.63	2.85	3.02	2.53									1.83	1.33	1.96	1.21
Spray dates		(8) -								1.94	2.06	2.14	2.21									2.09	1.41	2.25	1.62
Defeliation 1	restments	(C) =								2.61	2.50	2.66	2.71									2.31	1.65	2.63	1.49
Interaction	(A					2.52	2.72	2.96	2.89									2.66	1.88	2.91	1 75				
Interaction	rection (A x C) «											3.31	3.56									2.91	2.11	3.14	2.14
Interaction	raction (6 x C) =											3.86	4.08									3.25	2.36	3.44	2.61
Interaction	(A x 1	<u> </u>								3.65	4.13	4.29	4.33									3.46	2.87	3.96	2.92

Table (2): Percentage of floral and vegetative spurs as affected by dormancy breaking agents (A), at several dates (B) and defoliation treatments (C).

(A)	(8)						Floral s	spurs ('	<b>%</b> )									V	getativ	e spur	(%)				
			Ure	a (C)			Zinc s	ultate			Ave.	(A x 8)			Ure	a (C)			Zinc	sulfate			Ave.	(A EB)	
		20	105	21	006	2	005	20	006	20	005	20	006	20	005	20	006	21	005	20	006	20	005	1 2	006
		1/11	15/11	3/11	25/13	1/11		3/21	15/11	1/1;	15/11	1/11	15/11	1/11	15/11	1/11	15/11	1/11	35/11	3/31	31/1)	1/01	19/31	1/21	11/01
	1 Jan.	87.5	90.2	86.8	. 87.8	89.3	91.6	89.2	90.0	86.4	90.9	86.0	86.9	12.5	9.8	13.2	12.2	10.7	8.4	10.8	10.0	11.6	9.1	12.0	11.1
Dótases	15 Ann.	89.1	91.2	91.0	92.8	90.9	93.0	92.4	95.2	90.0	92.1	91.7	94.0	10.9	8.8	9.0	7.2	9.1	7.0	7.6	4.4	10.0	22	13	
	1 feb.	89.5	92.2	88.6	91.2	90.1	91.4	93.2	95.0	89.8	91.8	90.9	93.1	10.5	7.8	114	8.0	9.9	8.6	6.8	5.0	10.2	9.2	. 9.1	6.9
Ave.(A	(C)	m.7	91.2	-	90.6	90.1	92.0	91.6	93.4	29.4	31.6	Are (A)	92.0	11.3	8.8	11.2	9.4	9.9	8.0	84	6.6	10.6	84	9.3	40
	1.00.	79.9	83.D	83.3	82.5	023	84.5	84.1	85.1	81.1	83.8	83.7	84.0	20.1	17.0	16.7	17.1	17.7	15.4	15.9	14.9	18.9	16.2	163	16.0
K-sulfate	15 200.	833	85.5	82.9	25.1	85.3	86.3	85.3	87.3	24.3	65.9	84.1	66.2	36.7	14.5	17.1	14.9	14.7	13.7	18.7	12.7	15.7	10.1	13.1	112.3
	1 Feb	81.0	83.2	81.3	85.5	66.2	88.0	84.7	85.9	83.6	85.6	83.0	85.7	19.0	16.8	38.7	14.5	13.8	12.0	15.3	14.1	16.4	14.4	175	34.3
Ave.(A			-	1000		-	-	9.00	-	Ann (A)	AND \$41	Ant.M	ARM			-		20.0		10.0		400	40.00		24.64
	83.4 83.9 82.5 84.5 86.5 86.3 84.7										85.1	83.6	85.3	18.6	16.1	17.5	15.5	15.4	13.7	15.3	13,9	17.0	14.9	14.4	14.7
	1 Jan.	853	87.3	86.0	87.A	66.1	88.1	87,2	91.0	85.7	\$7.7	16.5	89.2	14.7	12.7	14.0	12.6	13.0	11.9	12.8	9.0	14.5	12.1	114	103
Ammonium	35.80A.	85.0	87.A	85.6	20.5	87.2	88.0	88.6	91.2	86.1	38.2	87.3	90.0	15.0	12.6	34.4	34.2	12.8	11.0	11.4		13.3	13.4	12.9	70.0
Hitrate	1 Feb.	86.1	82.7	86.1	84.8	87.1	88.7	85.5	85.4	96.6	85.7	85.8	45.1	13.9	17.3	13.9	45.2	12.9	11.3	24,5	14.6	134	N)	_114_	13.9
Aug.(A	: C)	848	25.2	85.9	87.0	35.3	85.6	87.1	89.2	85.8	87.2	Are (A)	A	15.2	14.2	34.3	13.0	13.2	11.4	12.9	10.8	14.2		13.5	11.3
	1400.			-						Art (B)	Aug (B)	A-0.(B)	Ann. (B)	10.0		24.1				****	-9.0	AND THE	Also SH		
Ave.(B x C)		84.2	36.8	85.4	86.0	85.9	86.1	26.8	88.7	85.1	87.5	86.1	87.A	15.8	13.2	14.6	14.0	14.1	11.9	13.2	11.3	14,9	12.5	13.9	12.6
	15 Jan.	25.2	88.0	96.5	98.9	87.8	89.4	88.8	91.2	96.8	46.7	87.6	90.1	14.2	150	13.5	11.1	12.2	10.6	11.2	8.8	13.2	13.3	12.0	9.0
	1 feb.	85.5	86.0	85.3	87.2	87.8	89.4	87.A	88.8	96.7	87.7	36.6	38.0	15.5	14.0	14.7	12.8	12.2	19.6	12.2	11.2	12.3	12.3	13.4	12.0
Ave.(	C)	85.2	86.9	85.7	87.4	87.2	89.0	87.8	<b>89.6</b>		١.		·	15.8	13.1	24.3	12.6	12.8	11.0	12.2	30.4				<u>.                                    </u>
LSD at 5% for	÷																								
Durmancy br	ophing ago	ms (A) -								2.16	2.58	2.07	2.49									2.30	2.56	2.36	2.03
Spray dates		(B) -								2.31	2.61	2.22	2.71									2.69	2.36	2.59	2.31
Defeiletien tr	ostments	(C) -								2.20	2.93	2.18	3.02									3.18	2.51	2.93	2.48
Interaction	LA	x 8) =								2.62	3.15	2.34	3.20		•						- 1	3.49	2.87	3.14	2.76
Interection		*C) •								2.89	3.48	2.58	3.68									3.63	2.91	3.00	2.85
Interaction		10.								3.11	4.07	2.84	3.91									4.11	3.15	133	3.11
Interaction		1×0								3.69	4.54	3.19	4.43								ì	4.62	3.22	4.97	3.52
- Colonial C	***									3.65	•.5	3.19	7.43									7.04	3.42	-4/	3.34

Table (3): Percentage of fruit set and number of fruits per tree as affected by dormancy breaking agents (A), at several dates (B) and defoliation treatments (C).

(A):	(0)	F					Fruit	set (%	1		_							Nu	mber o	f fruits	/tree				
		├	Lire	a (C)		_		ulfate	<u>-</u>	_	Ave.	A×B)		$\vdash$	Ure	a (C)				ultare	,		Ave.	(A = B)	
		<b>X</b>	205		006	21	005		006	20	005		006	20	005		006	20	005	_	006	21	205	_	006
		1/31	15/11	101	15/11	1/3:	15/11	1/31	15/12	1/11	15/11	1/11	15/11	1/11	15/21	N31	19/1:	1/11	15/11	1/13	18/11	1/11	35/13	L/11	15/31
	1 Jan.	5.2	57	5.4	5.5	5.8	6.3	6.0	6.3	5.5	6.0	57	5.9	376	<b>39</b> 5	384	408	366	411	396	422	382	403	390	415
<b>Desire</b>	15 tan.	5.6	6.1	5.8	6.1	6.0	6.7	T	6.5	5.8	6.4	6.0	6.3	396	404	392	414	410	426	408	430	403	415	400	422
	1 feb	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.5	5.3	56	5.8	6.1	4.9_	5.3	54	5.8	344	396	349	378	396	396	411	406	370	397	380	393
Ave.(A	*C				4.4	T		Γ		AND (A)	Ave (A)	Ave (A)	Aug (A)	F								Are IAI	Ann (A)	4m (A)	Ann JAI
		5.1	5.6	3.4	5.7	5.7	6.2	6.0	6.3	5.4	5.9	5.7	6.0	372	399	375	400	398	411	405	420	385	405	390	410
	1 Jan.	3.7	4.5	3.9	4.6	4.3	5.1	4.3	5.0	40	48	4.1	4.8	322	329	314	338	332	341	330	356	327	335	322	347
ii-suliste	15 Jan.	4.1	4.8	. 4.3	4.7	4.7	5.4	4.7	5.3	44	5.1	4.5	5.0	324	340	324	350	340	350	336	370	332	345	330	360
	1 feb.	3.6	4.2	3.2	4.2	4.2	4.8	4.8	44	3.9	4.5	4.0	4.3	334	327	286	332	-318	335	330	324	316	331 4m (A)	308	328
Ane.(A	* C)	3.8	4.5	3.8	4.5	4.4	5.1	4.6	4.9	4.1	4.8	4.2	4.7	320	332	300	340	330	342	332	350	325	337	320	345
	1.ten.	3.6	3.9	4.5	4.7	. 4.6	5.1	4.3	4.9	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.8	312	365	346	339	334	363	342	361	323	364	344	350
Ammenium	15 Jan.	4.3	5.0	4.4	5.3	4.9	5.8	5.2	5.7	4.6	5.4	4.8	5.5	352	375	356	375	362	395	372	395	357	305	364	385
Morate	1 feb.	3.0	4.9	4.3	4.7	4.6	5.3	4.9	5.3	4.2	5.1	. 4.6	5.0	335	370	348	366	345	362	366	364	340	376	357	375
Ave.(A	* C)					1				Are IA	Ave IA	Ave (A)	(A)									Arro (A)	Ave.(A)	Aug (A)	Ann (A)
		3.9	4.6	4.4	4.9	4.7	5.4	4.8	5.3	4.3 Ave.894	5.0 Aur (8)	4.6	5.1	333	370	350	360	347	380	360	380	340	375	355	370
Ann. All s ()	3 Jan.	4.2	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.9	5.5	4.9	5.4	4.5	5.1	4.7	5.2	337	363	348	362	351	372	356	380	AT 80	Ann (B) 367	352	371
	15 Jan.	4.7	5.3	4.8	5.4	5.2	6.0	5.4	5.8	4.9	5.6	5.1	5.6	· 357	373	357	380	371	396	372	390	364	362	365	309
	1 Feb.	4.8	4.7	4.2	4.8	4.7	5.2	5.2	5.3	43	5.0	4.7	5.0	331	365	328	359	353	371	369	372	342	360	346	365
Ave.	5	4.3	4.9	4.5	5.0	4.9	5.6	5.2	5.5		•	•	•	342	367	344	369	354	360	366	383	•	•	•	·
LSD at \$% for	r																		•						
Dermency be	eshing age	NES (A) •								0.56	0.83	0.32	0.69									13.1	9.2	15.0	10.3
Spray dates		(8) -								0.39	0.62	046	0.73									15.6	13.6	17.2	146
Defeletion to	rentments	(C) =								0.62	0.75	0.56	0.86									14.2	10.2	13.9	11 2
Interaction	- IA	# 8) =								086	0.90	0.71	0.98									18.6	16.7	19.2	17.3
Interaction	(A			0.92	1.42	0.93	1.23									26.9	20.3	25.0	23.6						
Interaction	(8	:G.								1.11	1.92	1.21	1.67									33.2	26.0	31.6	30.1
Interaction	/4 - 4	10.								1.65	2.49	1.73	2.31								1	45.1	39.1	48.0	36.0

## 3. Fruit yield and its components:

Data illustrated in (Tables 3 and 4) showed a significant increase of number of fruits/tree (405 and 410), fruit yield/tree (80.2 and 81.4 kg) and yield monetary value/tree (LE 200.5 and 203.5) due to Dormex treatment in comparison with ammonium nitrate (375 and 370 fruits/tree; 72.8 and 71.8 kg fruits/tree as well as LE 182.0 and 179.5/tree) or potassium sulfate (337 and 345 fruits/tree, 65.0 and 66.8 kg fruits/tree as well as LE 162.5 and 167.0/tree) in both experimental seasons respectively.

In addition to, spray of dormancy breaking agent at 15<sup>th</sup> Jan. obtained better number of fruits (382 and 389), fruits yield (75.2 and 76.8 kg) and yield monetary value (LE 188.0 and 192.0) than the other spraying dates (1<sup>st</sup> Jan. and 1<sup>st</sup> Feb.) in both studied seasons respectively.

Furthermore, zinc sulfate significantly increased fruit yield and its components than urea specially when sprayed at 15<sup>th</sup> Nov. than 1<sup>st</sup> Nov.

Meanwhile, spray zinc sulfate (specially at 15<sup>th</sup> Nov.) consequent with Dormex (specially at 15<sup>th</sup> Jan.) increased number of fruits, fruit yield and yield monetary value than the other interactions.

## 4. Fruit quality attributes:

Fruit weight and size (Table 5) as well as juice TSS and acidity (Table 6) were assessed as an indicator to fruit quality attributes. The present data revealed that, Dormex treatments significantly increased fruit weight (198.0 and 198.5 g.) and size (196.1 and 196.0 cm³) as well as juice TSS (12.6 and 13.1%) and also acidity (0.42 and 0.43%). Ammonium nitrate increased fruit weight (194.1 and 194.0 g.) and size (192.5 and 192.3 cm³) but decreased juice TSS (11.7 and 12.4%) and acidity (0.34 and 0.32%) than  $K_2SO_4$  (193.0 and 193.6 g.; 192.0 and 191.7 cm³; 11.8 and 12.6% as well as 0.37 and 0.38%) in the two studied seasons respectively while the differences statistically were not confirmed.

Tables 5 and 6 showed that, bigger fruit in weight (196.9 and 197.9 g.) and size (194.6 and 196.0 cm³) as well as higher juice TSS (12.4 and 13.0%) but not acidity were obtained when the tested dormancy breaking agents were sprayed at 15<sup>th</sup> Jan.

Zinc sulfate as a defoliation agent induced better fruit weight (197.9 and 198.5 g.) and size (195.4 and 195.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) but less juice TSS (11.7 and 12.4%) specially when sprayed at 15<sup>th</sup> Nov. than at 1<sup>st</sup> Nov. and than urea treatments while fruit juice acidity did not attain clear trend.

If we consider the interactions effect, the pear fruit weight and size respond better to zinc sulfate when was sprayed at 15<sup>th</sup> Nov. then hydrogen cyanamide was sprayed at 15<sup>th</sup> Jan. in the two studied seasons.

Table (4): Fruit yield and yield monetary value as affected by dormancy breaking agents (A), at several dates (B) and defoliation treatments (C).

M	(B)					F	ruit yiel	d /tree	(kg)									Yveld m	onetar	y value	/tree (l	.E)			
			Ure	a (C)			Zinc s	ulfate		1	Ave.	(A×B)		1	Ure	ia (C)		1	Zinc :	sullate		1 .	Ave.	(B x A)	
	İ		105		986		005		006		005		006		005	20	006		005		006		205	20	006
	<b>-</b>	I/s:	15/11	1/11	19/11	1/11	35/21	1/31	15/11	1/11	15/1:	1/11	15/11	1/11	15/11	1/21	25/33	1/31	14/01	1/31	15/1)	IVI	15/31	MI	15/31
•	1 Jan.	70.6	72.8	71.0	75.0	75.8	84.2	<b>80.1</b>	<b>86.1</b>	73.2	78.4	75.5	80.5	176 5	382.0	177.5	1875	300.5	236.5	300.3	510 3	183 0	196.0	100.0	210.1
Dormen	15 Jan	77.1	80.4	76.4	82.4	81.8	36.1	82.1	87.3	794	83.2	79.2	84.8	192.8	210-0	191.0	206.0	204.5	215.3	205.3	218.3	190.5	200.0	196.0	3130
	1 Feb.	67.0	78.1	67.0	75.2	78.5	79.9	82.5	82.5	72.7	79.0	74.6	78.8 Ann (A)	167.5	195.3	167.5	386.0	196.3	1904	206.3	306.3	161.6	197.5	366.5	197.0
Ave.(A	# C)	71.6	77.0	71.5	77.6	76.7	83.4	81.6	85.3	75.1	80.2	76.4	81.4	179.0	192.5	178.6	294.0	186.8	2004	2060	213.1	M7.6	2005	101.0	Ann.44) 2003.5
	1 Jan.	61.1	61.0	58.5	43.5	63.6	66.7	63.7	-69/3	62.4	63.8	61:1	86.6	152:8	152.5	146.3	199.8	250.0	1668	250.3	178.3	196.0	199.5	1524	166.5
il-sulfate	15 Jan.	62.1	65.8	61.4	66:2	46.1	<b>48.</b> 5	64.9	72.9	64.1	67,1	63.4	70.6	155:3	364.5	154.5	170.5	365.3	371.3	362:3	1623	140)	1678	190.5	176.5
	3 Feb.	59.3	<b>63.5</b>	54.5	63.0	63.4	65.0	63.6	63.6	60.4	<b>'64.2</b>	59.0	63.3	146.3	158:8	136.3	157.5	153.5	363.5	250.0	150.0	151.0	1605	347.5	150.3
Ave.(A	s ()	60.9	63.4	59.3	65.0	63.7	66.7	54.1	58.6	4 A A A A A A A	65.0	Ave (A) 61.2	40.54) 56.8	152.3	158 5	145.8	162.5	150.3	166.8	340-3	IPLS	153-8	2625	250.0	167.0
	1 Jan.	59.5	70.0	66.3	65.2	64.7	70.5	66.6	70.6	62.0	70.3	66:4	67.9	148-8	175.0	165.0	163.0	263:0	176.3	166.5	176.5	155.0	1754	366.9	500.0
Ammenium	15 Jan.	67.9	73:5	68.6	73.3	70.4	77.1	72.7	77.5	69.2	75.3	70.5	75.3	169-8	183:3	171.5	302:0	1760	163.4	1813	200.0	178.0	100.0	176.5	100.0
Morabe	1 Feb.	62.5	70.2	46.7	4	:66.9	75.5	70:3	75.6	164.7	72:8	60.5	72.2	196.3	175.5	166.8	171.8	167:3	1060	175.0	189.0	261.5	162,0	171.0	1003
Ave.(A	r C)	63.3	71.2	67.2	69.0	67.3	74.4	69.8	74.6	4++(A) 45.3	Ant (A) 72.8	Ann (A)	71:S	150.3	170.0	168.0	172.5	168.3	106.0	£74.5	106.5	4(A) 163.3	4 AL	171.3	1793
Ave.(6 x C)	1 Jan.	63.6	67.9	65.3	68.2	60.0	73.7	70.0	75.3	Are (8) :65:9	70.7	Auc 16) 67:6	71.7	159 5	105.8	163.3	179.5	176.0	104.3	575.0	500.0	200 St. S	396.3	Ann (\$4 100.0	179.1
and do - of	15 Jan.	<b>68.9</b>	79.1	68.8	74.6	724	78.6	73.1	75.5	70.8	75.2	73.3	76.8	372.3	362 6	172.0	186 5	183.0	106.5	362.0	100.5	177.0	420.0	177.0	1920
	1 feb.	62.9	70.6	62.5	69.0	56.9	78.4	72.0	73:6	65.9	72.0	67.3	71.3	257.3	176.5	157:0	172.5	172:3	148.5	180.0	384.5	164.6	180 9	360 3	170 3
Ave.(	C)	65.3	70.5	65.6	71.0	693	75.2	713	76.D	٠	•			163 3	176.3	164.0	177.5	£743	155.0	179.5	190.0	•		•	•
LSD-se S% for	i-																								
Dermancy br	esking ago	MS (A) =								1.82	1.32	1.69	1.14	Ī								5.13	3.22	5.58	4.11
Sproy dates		(8) =								1:87	1.56	1.93	1.32									5.34	3.49	5.81	4.62
Befoliption to	tipe treatments (C) =										1.41	1.71	1.20	1								4.69	3.14	5.31	4.82
Interestina	linn (A x 0) =										1:67	2.10	1.56									5.62	3.71	6.05	4.91
Interaction	Sion (A = C) =										1.89	2.45	1.70									5.90	4.02	6.20	5.13
interaction	ion (0 x C) =										2.07	2.86	1.92	٤								6.29	4.33	6.41	5.40
Interaction	(A ri	ac) e								4.81	3.44	4.59	3.14									7.62	5.21	7.50	6.11

Table (5): Fruit weight and size as affected by dormancy breaking agents (A) at several dates (B) and defoliation treatments (C).

M	(6)						Fruit w	reight (	g)										Fruit s	ize (cm	')				
			Ure	a (C)			Zinc	ulfate			Ave.	(A x B)			Ure	a (C)			Zinc s	wifate			Ave.	(A × B)	
	ļ :	x	105	2	206	- 2	905	21	006	21	005	24	006	20	005	20	006	20	005	2	006	2	005	2	006
	'	1/11		1/31		1/11		1/11	15/13	1/11	15/11	1/1:	15/11	1/11	15/11	1/11	15/11	1/11	15/11	1/31	15/11	1/1)	15/31	1/11	16/11
	1 Jan.	387.7	184.2	185.0	303.0	195.5	304.6	302 2	204.0	191.6	194.5	193.6	193.9	188.0	1,88.2	186.3	186 4	192 0	196.0	196.1	194.7	190.0	193.1	192.7	190 1
Dermen	15 Jan.	194.6	198 9	194 9	199.0	199.6	207.1	301.3	203.0	197 1	200.5	190.1	201 0	193 2	195 6	194 1	197.6	196.8	200.6	196.3	301 6	195.0	196.1	196.7	199.6
	1 Feb.	194.9	196.2	191.9	196.9	190.3	201.0	300 7	202 3	196.4	199.0	196.3	200.6	193 3	197 9	193 2	196 2	196 5	196.3	195 2	200.0	194 9	198.1	194 3	196 )
Ave.(A	. C)	192 4	193 1	198.6	193 9	197.8	202.9	201 4	203 1	195 I	Ave (A)	Ann (A)	Are (A)	191 5	193 9	191.7	1934	195.1	198.3	197.2	198 6	Are (A)	Aug (A) 196.1	Ann (A)	A++ A
	1 Jan.	169.9	185.5	106.3	189.0	191.7	195.5	193 1	194.6	190.8	1905	149 7	191 8	183 4	1814	189 5	187 0	192.6	193.2	187.1	169 0	1860	100.3	186 3	100 0
K-salfate	15 Ann	191 7	193 4	100.7	394.0	194.3	196.6	193.3	197 1	1930	194 5	192 0	196 0	190.0	189.5	107.0	192 7	193.2	194 7	197 8	197.3	191 6	192.1	100.9	195.0
	1 feb.	100.0	194.3	198.6	189.7	193.0	193 9	192 6	196.3	191.0	194 0	191 6	193.0	167.3	197 4	187.9	190.9	180 7	193.0	191.3	195.3	1880	195.6	1004	361.1
Ave (A :	(C)									Ave (A)	Aug (A)	Aug (A)	App (A)		$\overline{}$							MM	Arro (A)	400 (44)	
		160.2	1910	109.2	191.2	193.0	195.0	193.0	196.0	191.6	193.0	191.1	193.6	184 9	190 1	100 4	190.2	191 5	193.9	190.4	193.2	100.7	193.0	380.4	198 7
-	1 Jan.	192.4	291.7 296.1	191.4	194.9	193.6	194.3	194 6	195.6	192 1	193.0	193 1 194 0	194.0	186 6	187.0	199 4	192 4	193.1	196.2	192.8	193.7	190.1		1817	1913
Norse	15 Jan. 1 Feb.	104.5	100.7	202.7	187.8	193.9	197.7	192 1	197.0	190 2	193 7	191 9	192 4	1870	192 1	100.4	189.0	190.6	192.3	194.0	194.2	191 8	193.7	192 2	193 1
Ave.IA			200.7	898.7	207.0	295.9	257.7	100 1	157.0	Ang (A)	Ann SA	Ann (A)	And (A)	3470	192 1	198.0	107.5	190.5	892.3	****	194 4	444	102.7 Amp (4)	And All	4m44
		190.0	197.5	192.0	191.7	194.0	395.7	194.0	196.3	192.0	194.1	193.0	194.0	100 7	191.1	189.6	190.5	191.9	198.9	193.4	194 1	180 3	197.5	393.4	192.3
	. 1 Jan.					1				Ave.(6)	A-181	- Arte (B)	Ave.(B)									400 (8)	Annalis	Aug AN	A (B)
Ave.(B = C)	- 15 Jan.	193.1	105.1	192.0	196.3	199.6 196.1	197.6	196.6	196.1	194.6	192.7	192.1	193.2	191.2	193 1	188.5 190.8	194.2	192.2	186.1	192.7	192.3	180 4 192 A	190.7	162.9	196.0
	1 feb	190.1	193.3	191.4	192.1	195.1	197.4	195.1	190.5	192.6	195 6	193.3	195.3	100 2	195.0	190.0	192.3	101.0	194.0	193.5	196.6	190 6	196.3	191 7	193.0
. Ave.(C		190.9	192.7	190.6	192.3	194.9	197.9	196 1	198.5				•	189.0	191 7	189.8	191 4	192.8	195.4	193.7	195.5			-	
LSD at 2% for	>																								
Dermancy bro	sabine sas	MS (A) e							_	3.04	2.56	3.24	2.25		_							3.15	2.80	3.32	2.13
Saray dates		(6) -								3.26	2.89	3.41	2.69									3.26	3.16	3.41	2.22
Defeliation to	est/ments	(C) ·								3.17	2.72	3.13	2.41									3.04	3.25	3.62	2.03
Interaction	(A	= 0) •					_			3.43	3.08	3.62	2.93									337	3.40	3.92	2.41
Interaction	<u> </u>	=C) •								3.85	3.39	3.91	3.17									3.56	3.71	4.05	2.82
Interaction	(8	:C):							_	4.23	3.54	4.36	3.68									3.81	3.92	4.31	3.09
Interaction	14-1	1+C) -						_		6.27	4.89	6.06	4 41									4.33	4.51	4.92	3.36

Table (6): Fruit juice acidity and TSS as affected by dormancy breaking agents (A), at several dates (B) and defoliation treatments (C).

M	(8)	· ·					TS	5 (%)						Ī		_			Acid	lty (%)				_	
			Ure	a (C)			Zinc s	ulfate			Ave:	(A x 8)			Ure	ea (C)		П	Zinc :	sulfate		1	Ave.	(A × 6)	
	1		905		006		905		006		005		006	_	005		006		205		006	21	005	! 2	006
	↓	1/11	19/1	1/11	15/11	1/11		3/31	15/32	1/11	19/11	3/11	15/2:	1/11	15/13	1/11	15/11	V)1	15/1)	1/11	11/11	1/1)			1601
	1 Jan.	13.4	13.0	13.3	13.7	12.8	12.4	12.5	13.1	13.1	12.7	12.9	13.4	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.49	0.43	0.41	0.48	0.47	0.44	0.43	0.46
Dermen.	15 Jan.	13.5	13.4	13.5	13.9	13.0	12.6	12.9	13.3	13.4	13.0	13.2	13.6	0.47	0.43	0.42	0.45	0.43	0.41	0.40	0.43	0.45	0.42	0.41	0.44
	1 Feb.	13.3	12.3	13.1	12.6	12.9	11.9	12.1	12.0	13.1	12.1	12.6	12.3	0.46	0.35	0.39	0.37	0.34	0.45	0.39	0.41	0.40	9.40	0.39	0.30
Ave.(A	#C)	13.5	12.9	13.3	134	12.9	12.3	12.5	12.8	13.2	136	12.9	13.1	0.46	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.43	0.40	0.44	Ann \$44	44144	Annah	AnniAi
	1 /400.	12.5	12.4	12.5	12.7	11.7	11.5	12.0	12.3	12.1	12.0	12.3	12.5	0.36	0.47	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.43	0.41	0.43	0.44	0.42	0.41	0.43
E-milato	15 Jan.	12.5	12.5	12.9	13.0	12.0	11.9	12.5	12.4	12.4	12.2	12.7	12.7	0.36	0.37	0.37	0.42	0.34	0.95	0.35	0.45	0.36	9.40	0.30	0.43
	1 feb.	11.9	11.4	12.3	13.0	11.1	11.0	12.1	12.2	11.5	11.2	12.7	12.6	0.25	0.39	0.38	0.42	0.35	0.27	0.26	0.41	0.30	0.38	0.37	0.39
Ave.(A		****			22.0	****	44.00	****	***	Annahi	Ave 4A	Amp (A)	Ang JAJ	0.23	0.33	0.35	0.27	0.35	9.57	0.01	0.41	479.64	4-944	4-9-504	4-0.54
	,	12.4	12,1	12.5	12.9	11.6	11.5	12.2	12.3	12.0	11.0	12.4	12.6	0.33	0.39	0.30	0.36	0.37	0.35	0.34	0.40	0.35	0.37	0.86	0.36
	1 Jan.	12.7	12.1	12.4	12.7	12.1	11.5	114	12.3	12.4	11.5	12.1	12.5	0.34	0.35	0.32	0.33	0.36	0.39	0.36	0.39	0.36	0.37	0.34	0.36
Amendum	15 Jan.	12.9	12.5	12.5	13.0	12.3	11.5	12.1	12.4	12.6	12.0	12.3	12.7	0.36	0.36	0.32	0.35	0.32	0.32	0.30	0.31	0.34	0.34	0.31	0.33
Mirate	3 Feb.	12.2	11.4	11.7	12.7	11.6	11.2	10.9	11.3	11.9	11.3	11.3	12.0	0.23	0.37	0.32	0.22	0.35	0.25	0.24	0.32	0.29	0.31	0.26	0.27
Ave.(A	» C)									Ave (A)	Ave (A)	Ave (A)	Are (A)									Aug (A)	Ave 466	440 MA	A-104
	1 24	12.6	12.0	12.2	12.8	12.0	11.4	11.6	12.0	12.3	11.7	11.9	12.4	0.31	0.36	0.32	0.30	0.35	0.32	0.30	0.34	0.33	0.34	0.31	0.35
Ann.All x C)	1 sen.	12.9	12.5	12.6	13.0	12.2	11.0	12.1	12.6	12.5	12.2	12.4	12.8	0.38	0.39	0.38	0.39	0.43	0.42	0.39	0.43	Are (8) 0.43	4 Q	0.39	0.41
unerde v.Cl	15 Ann.	13.2	12.0	13.0	13.3	12.4	12.0	12.5	12.7	12.8	12.4	12.7	13.0	0.40	0.40	0.38	0.41	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.37	0.36	0.34	0.36	0.36
	1500	12.5	11,7	12.4	12.8	11.9	11.4	11.7	11.8	12.2	11.5	12.0	12.3	0.31	0.37	0.36	0.29	0.35	0.32	0.10	0.38	0.33	0.35	0.33	0.33
Ave.(	(1)	12.9	12.3	12.7	13.0	12.2	11.7	12.1	12.4	•			•	0.36	0.39	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.37	0,35	0.39	•	•		•
LSD at S% fo	r:-																								
Dermancy be	ande Shirpen	ms (A) =								0.21	0.18	0.34	0.22									0.015	0.021	6.011	0.025
Sproy dates		(B) = ·								0.26	0.24	0.22	0.28									0.017	0.003	0.006	0.006
Defeliation t	restments	(C) •								0.17	0.19	0.15	0.18									0.01.1	0.006	8.817	0.034
Interaction		± <b>8</b> ) =								0.36	0.38	0.29	0.31									0.026	0.000	0.031	0.041
Interestion	•	x () =								0.47	0.41	0.32	0.36									0.041	0.068	0.847	0.062
Interection	•••	z C) •								0.62	0.56	0.49	0.49									0.059	0.073	0.000	0.004
Interaction	(Azi	laC)•								0.74	0.77	0.62	0.71									0.000	0.115	0.007	0.386

### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

From the above mentioned results, we can conclude that, vegetative growth (shoot length, number of leaves per shoot and percentage of vegetative spurs), floral growth (percentage of floral spurs and percentage of fruit set), fruit yield and its components (number of fruits/tree, fruit yield/tree and yield monetary value/tree) and fruit quality attributes (fruit weight and size, as well as juice TSS and acidity) positively responded to the present treatments. Defoliation treatments with zinc sulfate induced longer shoots. more leaves, higher percentage of floral spurs and fruit set, increased number of fruits/tree, fruit yield and yield monetary value as well as fruit weight and size than studied urea application. However, urea caused higher percentage of vegetative spurs and higher juice TSS, while juice acidity did not attain clear trend. Furthermore, leaf shedding at 1st Nov. enhanced vegetative growth, while at 15th Nov. encouraged floral growth, fruit yield and its components and fruit quality attributes. Meanwhile, treatment of zinc sulfate was more effective in advancing bud burst and increasing fruit set. yield and fruit diameter, weight, firmness and TSS than urea or control of peach (Lioyd and Firth, 1990) and apricot (Mahrous and El Fakharani, 2006). Moreover, Stino (1987) reported that, date of pear leaf shedding is insignificant hence both dates gave the same results; while peach early defoliation reduces depth of bud dormancy throughout the winter (Lioyd and Firth, 1990). The same trend was reported by El Shall et al. (1993), El Banna et al., (1995) and Ismail (2001) on pear trees.

Hydrogen cyanamide applications recorded the highest vegetative and floral growth, fruit yield, crop monetary value and fruit quality attributes, except vegetative spurs which attained the highest percentage as a result of  $K_2SO_4$  treatments. Besides, ammonium nitrate treatment was more effective than  $K_2SO_4$  with all studied attributes but decreased fruit juice TSS and acidity than potassium sulfate treatment. Accordingly, we get better response when the dormancy breaking agents sprayed at  $15^{th}$  Jan. followed by  $1^{st}$  Feb. then  $1^{st}$  Jan. respectively except with the percentage of vegetative spurs which were highest with  $1^{st}$  Jan. and with fruit juice acidity which was least with  $1^{st}$  Feb. applications.

Prior studies reported that, hydrogen cyanamide treatments (HC) advanced flowering of apricot (Son and Kuden, 2005) increased flower bud opening, increased fruit set, fruit retention, number of fruits, fruit yield, weight and volume (Brunton et al., 2006). However, El Shall et al. (1993) and Holwah and El Sheikh (2000) showed that, opening of "Le-Conte" pear buds were corresponded positively with the increase in chilling units, bud ABA content decreased rapidly from January to March, while GA<sub>3</sub> content increased during February., as well as IAA content increased from its minimum level in February to its maximum at bud burst. Also, Shakweer (2004) explained that, auxin and GA<sub>3</sub> level increased markedly in control apricot trees during the period from bud dormancy (10<sup>th</sup> Feb.) to bud break

(9-20<sup>th</sup> March) while HC treatments achieved 19-fold increase in IAA level within 8 days from application.

Henceforth, we can conclude the best combination practice in "Le-Conte" pear orchards. Also, we can recommend pear growers to defoliate foliage using zinc sulfate (3.5%) sprays at 15<sup>th</sup> Nov. concomitant with spray hydrogen cyanamide (49%) at 15<sup>th</sup> Jan. That interaction will induce better vegetative and floral growth, consequently enhance fruit yield, crop monetary value and also fruit quality attributes.

## REFERENCES

- Ali, M. M.; E. S. Atalla and G. I. Eliwa (1997): Effect of some dormancy breaking treatments on apple trees. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 22 (12): 4565-4573.
- A.O.A.C., Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (1990): "Official Methods of Analysis" Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington, D.C., USA. 495-510.
- Brunton, J. G.; L. Rincon and D. Ruiz (2006): Growth and fruit quality of three apricot cultivars under trickle irrigation and hydrogen cyanamide application. Acta Hort., 701: 697-702.
- El Banna, E. S.; S. A. El Shall and B. A. Shahin (1995): Effect of dormantseason spray applications on growth and fruiting of pear trees. Acta Hort., 409: 207-213.
- El Shall, S. A.; I. A. Saeid and E. M. El Fakharany (1993): Effect of some dormancy-breaking agents on pear grown on four rootstocks. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 18 (2): 499-511.
- Erez, A. (1995): Means to compensate for insufficient chilling to improve bloom and leafing. Acta Hort., 395: 81-96.
- Haseeb, G. M. and A. A. Elezaby (1995): Timing of hydrogen cyanamide application and full-bloom, fruit maturity and yield of two apple cultivars. Acta Hort., 409: 185-187.
- Holwah, A. Y. and A. A. El Sheikh (2000): Studies on rest intensity and chilling requirements for "Le-Conte" pear cultivar. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25 (9): 5797-5804.
- Ismail, A. M. F. (2001): Physiological studies on pear trees. P.hD. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Zagazig Univ.
- Kuden, A. B.; A. Polat and n. Kaska (1995): Effects of dormancy breaking chemicals on the release from dormancy of some apricot cultivars. Acta Hort., 384: 415-418.
- Lang, G. A.; J. D. Early; G. C. Martin and R. L. Darnell (1987): Endo, para and ecodormancy. HortSci., 22: 371-377.
- Lioyd, J. and D. Firth (1990): Effect of defoliation time on depth of dormancy and bloom time for low-chill peaches. HortSci., 25 (12): 1575-1578.
- Mahrous, H. A. and E. M. El Fakharani (2006): Effect of some dormancy breaking agents on productivity, fruit quality and powdery mildew severity of apricot. Acta Hort., 701: 659-664.

## J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32 (9), Sepetember, 2007

- Shakweer, N. H. (2004): Studies on the rest period and breaking dormancy of vegetative and floral buds of apricot. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric, Ain Shams Univ.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1990): Statistical Methods. 7<sup>th</sup> Ed., the lowa State Univ., Press Amer., lowa, USA p 593.
- Son, L. and A. B. Kuden (2005): Dormex and Promalin affect fruit set and earliness of apricot and plum cultivars. Crop Hort. Sci., 33: 59-64.
- Stino, R.G. (1987): Effect of some chemicals on defoliation and floral bud activity of "Le-Conte" pear trees M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ.
- Westwood, M. N. (1978): Temperate-Zone-Pomology. W. H. Freeman Comp., San Francisco, USA.

توليفة مفضلة لإسقاط الأوراق ثم كسر سكون البراعم في مزارع الكمثرى مصطفى أحمد فتحى و شعبان محمد حسين معهد بحوث البساتين-مركز البحوث الزراعية-الجيزة-مصر

نفذت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٤-٢٠٠٥،٢٠٥-٢٠١ لتقدير تسأثير رش أشجار الكمثري صنف ليكونت (المطعومة على أصل بيرس كميونس) بمركبات مسقطة للأوراق (٧% يوريا أو ٣٠٥ سلفات زنك) يوم ١ نوفمبر أو ١٥ نوفمبر ثم رش نفس الأشجار بمركبات كاسرة للسكون (سيناميد الهيدروجين أو سلفات البوتاسيوم أو نترات الأمونيوم) يوم ١ يناير أو ١ وايناير أو ١ فبراير. شملت الصفات التي تم تقديرها النمو الخضري (طول الفرع – عدد الأوراق/الفرع – «للدوابر الخضرية) والنمو الزهري (اللدواير الزهرية – «العقد الثمار) ومحصول الثمار (عدد الثمار/الشجرة – محصول الشجرة – القيمة النقدية للمحصول) وكذالك صفات الجودة (وزن الثمرة – حجم الثمرة – حجم الثمرة - الكلية – «الحموضة العصير).

أثبت التحليل الإحصائي أنه يمكن الوصول لأفضل النتائج بإتباع هذه التوليفة من المعاملات: يوم ١٥ نوفمبر ترش الأشجار ب٥٠٣ سلفات الزنك لإسقاط الأوراق وفسي يوم ١٥ يناير ترش نفس الاشجار بسيناميد الهيدروجين (٤٩%) كمادة كاسرة للسكون.