# EFFECT OF INOCULATING N-FIXING BACTERIA (A CEREALINE) ON WHEAT (TRITICUM AESTIVUM) GROWTH AND NUTRIENT CONTENTS

El-Garhi, I.A., A.S. Mettwally, N.A. Atia, and Sara, E.E. Fouda Soils Dept., Fac. of Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.

#### Accepted 1/2/2007

ABSTRACT: A pot experiment was carried out under greenhouse conditions at the Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, during winter season of 2002-2003 in order to study the effect of inoculation with the biofertilizer (Cerealine) containing N-fixing bacteria and chemical N-fertilizers of ammonium sulphate (AS) and ammonium nitrate (AN) on growth, yield and chemical composition of wheat (Triticum aestivum), variety Sakha 69. There were two experiment, one on the sand soil from El-Khatara and the other on the clay soil from Al-Ibrahimiya (Sharkia Governorate). Each experiment was factorial in a randomized complete block design which involved 3 factors i.e. inoculation (I), N-source (S) and N-level (L). Inoculation was in 3 i.e. none; inoculation at a low rate, and inoculation at a high rate (7 g Cerealine/kg seeds, and 14 g/kg seeds). Levels of N application were 0, 75, 105 and 135 mg N/kg soil).

The clay soil received 10 irrigations while the sand soil received 15 irrigations. The leachates were collected three times after nitrogen additions and before harvest and subjected to NO<sub>32</sub>, NO<sub>33</sub> analysis.

The present study revealed that dry weight of plant after 55 days from seeding increased slightly, by seed inoculation in the clay soil, but significantly in the sand soil.

The straw yield was positively significantly affected by inoculation alone or with chemical fertilizers and the interactions between inoculation, N-source and levels (I x S x L) were reached to the level of highly significant on the sand soil. The effect of interactions between cerealine, N-source and rates (I x S x L) was highly

significant as regards to N-uptake by plants in the clay and sand soils and the greatest value of N-uptake was obtained from the clay soil. The interaction between cerealine and N-source and level occurred on P-uptake. The effect of AS was more pronounced and much greater than AN in the clay soil. Values of (NO<sub>2</sub> + NO<sub>3</sub>)-N in leachates increased by increasing N-rate in all leachates (1, 2 and 3) and N-source and inoculation. Values were greater with AN than those with AS at biofertilizer comparing the values of leached nitrate and nitrite nitrogen than the lower level of inoculation.

Key words: Wheat, biofertilizers, cerealine, yield, chemical fertilizers, loss of nitrogen  $(NO_2^- + NO_3^-)$ .

#### INTRODUCTION

"Biofertilizers" are preparations containing live or latent cells of efficient strains of microorganisms used for application to seeds, soil composts used to increase availability of plant nutrients. They include organic resources (manures) for plant growth, Subba Rao (1982). Sharief et al., (1998) found that inoculation of wheat grains with Azospirillum and Azotobacter in a form of biofertilizer called (Cerealine) increased spike weight, number of grains / spike, 1000grain weight, grain and straw vield. Abd El-Rasoul et al., (2003) investigated sources of fertilizers inoculation with the and biofertilizer Cerealine and found a significant effect on yield of wheat and its components. Amara and (1997)Dahdoh stated that

inoculation with Cerealine Azotobacter of Azospirillum) increased the uptake of N, P and K in straw of wheat. **Ibrahim** (1998)showed that inoculated wheat seeds with Cerealine significantly increased phosphorus and potassium content in grains.

al.. El-Maddah et (2005)indicated that nitrogen content and uptake were significantly increased in wheat plants inoculated with Azotobacter chroococcum combined with mineral nitrogen fertilizer. Harris and Rose (1992) estimated losses of mineral N at 100 to 150 kg N/ha, constituting 15 to 29% of the applied N-fertilizers. Meshref al., (2000) reported significant decreases in leached NO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub> in drainage water were recorded due to biofertilizers

and organic manure application. Their results illustrate the beneficial effect of bio and organic fertilization on reducing the adverse impacts of inorganic nitrogen in agro-ecosystem.

Aims of study: 1) the effects of seed inoculation using Cerealine (free living  $N_2$ -fixing bacteria); 2) how to minimize the levels of N to obtain maximum vield different concentrations of microbial inoculation; 3) the interaction effects between different microbial inoculation. chemical fertilizer source and levels and 4) the loss of some macro and micro-nutrients from the soil which is affected by bioand chemical fertilization and soil characteristics.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A pot experiment was carried out under greenhouse conditions at the Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, during winter season of 2002 – 2003 in order to study the effect of a biofertilizer (trade name of Cerealine) and chemical nitrogen fertilizers (ammonium sulphate and ammonium nitrate) on growth, yield and chemical

composition of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) c.v. Sakha 69 grown in two different soils, i.e., sandy from El-Khatara farm and the clay from Al-Ibrahimiya area.

Plastic pots were filled with 8 kg fine earth. The pots were 15 cm diameter and 20 cm depth.

#### Biofertilizer

Cerealine is a biofertilizer supplied by the General Organization of Equalization Fund (GOEF), Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt. It contains efficient strains for nitrogen fixation, mainly Azospirillum and Azotobacter.

#### Chemical Fertilizers

Two sources of nitrogen fertilizers were used. i.e., ammonium nitrate (AN) (33.5% N) and ammonium sulphate (AS) (21%N) at 4 levels. N was applied in two equal doses, the first before the first irrigation. The second before the second irrigation. Potassium was applied at 20 mg K /kg soil as potassium sulphate (40% K), before the first irrigation.

#### **Experimental Design**

The experiment was executed in a randomized complete block design, factorial, involving 3 factors as follows:

Factor A: Inoculation; 3 treatments, inoculated at 7 g/kg seeds and 14 g/kg seeds and non-inoculated.

Factor B: N-source; 2 sources of N, ammonium sulphate (AS) and ammonium nitrate (AN).

Factor C: N-rate (level); 4 levels, 0, 75, 105, and 135 mg N/kg soil. These rates are equivalent to 50, 70 and 90% the recommended rate.

The experiment was done on the sand soil as well as on the clay soil.

### Preparation of Wheat Grain with cerealine Inoculant

Rats of Cerealine application were 0, 7 g/kg, and 14 g/kg seeds (recommended dose is 7 g/kg, as Cerealine stated by the manufactures i.e. one bag "500 g" per amount of seeds per faddan (70 kg). Each pot was seeded with 25 seeds. Inoculation was done by wetting the seeds with Arabic gum 5% in water. The wet seeds were well mixed with the Cerealine biofertilizer then air dried for 30 minutes in shade.

#### Sowing

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) variety Sakha 69, at the rate of 25 seeds/pot, were sown and irrigated immediately. The sowing date was 24-11-2002 and the harvesting date was 17-5-2003. Plant were thinned so as to leave 20 seeding/pot.

#### Soil analysis

Soil material for the expect was taken from 0 – 30 cm depth, air dried and ground to pass through a 2 mm sieve. Soluble cations and anions, pH, organic matter, calcium carbonate, electrical conductivity and available N, P and K were determined as follows:

The international pipette method was used to determine the particale size distribution described by Piper (1950); the chemical analysis of soil samples were carried out according to Jackson (1967); pH by pH-meter, EC in saturated extract, organic matter, by Walkey and Black were determined according to Hesse (1971). Calcium carbonate by calcimeter Piper (1950); available nitrogen in the soil was extracted using 2.0 M KCl according Hesse (1971) and determined by macro-kieldahl apparatus. Available phosphorus in the soil

was extracted by 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (pH 8.5) and determined by spectrophotometer (Jackson, 1967). Available potassium was extracted using 1.0 N ammonium acetate (pH 7) and determined by flamephotometer (Hesse, 1971).

Data of physical and chemical soil properties are in Table 1.

#### **Plant Growth Studies**

#### Dry matter

Dry matter of wheat plants was recorded after 55 days from sowing and at harvest. In both stages, 5 plants were taken at random from each pot and air dried at 70 °C till constant weight, and expressed as g/plant.

#### Yield and Yield Components

Grain, straw yields and weight of 1000 grains were determined.

#### Plant analysis

Plant analysis was determined using the oven dry plant samples. The plant samples were well ground and were digested with conc. Sulphuric, perchloric acid mixture for P and K analysis. Nitrogen was determined by the Kjeldahl method (Chapman and Pratt 1961, and Peterburgski, 1968).

#### Leachate analysis

The clay soil received 10 irrigations while the sand soil 15 received irrigations. The irrigation was adopted at 75% of the maximum water holding capacity to assure receiving the leachate quantity. The leachates were collected three times after nitrogen additions and before harvest and subjected for NO, NO, determination.

#### Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis of the obtained data was carried out according to Snedecor and Cochran (1982).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Plant Dry Weight, Straw, Grain Weight and 1000 Grains Weight of Wheat Plant

Plant dry weight-55 days, straw, grains weight and 1000 grains weight as affected by different levels of Cerealine, N-fertilizers and their interactions for clay soil are presented in Table 2, for sand soil Table 3.

Table 1. Some physical and chemical properties of the studied soils

Soil type	Clay	Sand
Physical properties :-		
Particle size distribution		
Sand %	26.1	71.3
Silt%	33.2	13.5
Clay%	40.7	15.2
Textural Class	clay	sand
Chemical properties :-		
CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	2.15	3.12
Organic matter %	1.4	0.02
рН	8.15	7.75
EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.96	0.66
Soluble ions (mmol/L) :-		
Ca <sup>++</sup>	1.46	1.50
Mg <sup>++</sup>	1.30	0.5
Na <sup>++</sup>	18.00	2.98
K**	0.26	0.33
CO <sub>3</sub>	0.00	0.00
	2.60	2.60
HCO <sub>3</sub>	15.42	2.30
Cľ	3.00	0.41
SO <sub>4</sub> -		
Available nutrients :-		
Macronutrients	8.6	4.9
P (mg/kg)	989.0	176.40
K (mg/kg)	70710	1/0.40
Micronutrients (mg/kg)	5.53	0.85
Fe	<b>3.46</b>	0.11
Mn	0.98	0.35
Zn	<b>0.70</b>	U.JJ

P. Ulsen extract; K (NH<sub>4</sub> acetate; Fe, Mn and Zn (DTPA)

#### Dry weight of plant

Tables 2 and 3 show that dry weight at the 55-day plant was increased, but non-significantly, by seed inoculation in the clay soil. However, this effect was highly significant in the sand soil. There was an interaction with source of N and level of N. Dry matter under ammonium sulphate was greater than with ammonium nitrate.

These results may be attributed to N-fixation by free living N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria which are reported to produce growth, promoting substances Rabie *et al.*, 1995 and Amara and Dahdoh, 1997.

Kaloosh and Koreish (1995) concluded that biofertilizers increase wheat growth due to increased available N.

#### Straw yield

Tables 2 and 3 present the effect of biofertilizer and chemical fertilizers on straw yield of wheat plants. Greater highly significant were obtained with vields ammonium sulphate (AS) than ammonium nitrate (AN) in the clay soil than the sand soil. Generally. the straw yield was significantly affected by inoculation either alone combination with in fertilizers under all nitrogen levels.

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Vallejo et al., (1993) Ahmed (1995), El-Aita and Abou Seeda (1996) and El-Aila (1998).

#### Grain yield

Tables 2 and 3 reveal that grain yield increased by inoculation. This increase occurred in both soils.

Regarding the effect of N-source, AS was superior to AN and this is attributed to the ability of the soil to retain ammonium ions more than NO<sub>3</sub> which is usually leached from the soil.

From the data of grain yield, it seems that inoculation at the high rate combined with the 75 mg N/kg gave maximum obtained grain yield, weight of 1000 grains. Thus, this treatment is considered the most efficient treatment to produce maximum grains yield because it: 1) saves about 40% of mineral N-fertilizer used; 2) gives the greatest grain yield of each wheat plant and 3) minimizes the environmental pollution through reduction of chemical fertilizers.

There was an positive interaction between biofertilizer inoculation and N-source and level which was significant in case of

Table 2. Plant dry weight, 55 days after seeding, straw and grain yield and 1000-grain weight at harvest as affected by different inoculation with Cerealine and N-fertilizers in the clay soil

Inoculation rate of	Nitı fertili	ogen ization	Plant* dry	Straw	Grain	1000- grain
Cerealine (mg/kg seed)	Source	Levels (mg N/kg soil)	weight (g/plant)	yield (g/plant)	yield (g/plant)	weight (g)
		0	0.61	1.73	1.00	36.48
	AS	75	0.78	1.77	1.21	42.13
	AB	105	0.59	1.97	1.15	41.66
0		135	0.48	1.73	1.38	33.38
v		0	0.61	1.73	1.00	36.48
	AN	75	0.81	1.57	1.07	41.19
,	Aut	105	0.92	1.83	1.20	42.53
		135	0.65	1.60	1.27	40.07
		0 .	0.77	1.90	1.07	41.50
	AS	75	0.57	1.77	1.30	42.80
		105	0.58	1.23	1.25	41.34
0.5		135	0.40	1.0	1.20	42.25
		0	0.77	1.90	1.07	41.50
	AN	<b>75</b>	0.34	1.07	1.23	45.12
	Air	105	0.49	1.12	1.50	39.76
		135	1.17	1.70	1.18	38.23
		0	0.76	2.17	2.07	41.36
	AS	75	0.78	3.20	2.00	38.91
		105	0.93	2.57	1.31	39.93
1		135	0.86	2.30	1.10	35.39
		0	0.76	2.17	2.07	41.36
	AN	75	0.42	2.57	1.74	40.91
	AIN	105	0.31	1.37	1.20	38.62
		135	0.69	1.43	0.93	40.41
LSD _				**		
(I): inoc			N.S	0.072	N.S	1.957**
(S) : N-so	ource		N.S.	0.042**	N.S	N.S
(L) : N-le I × S	evei		0.052	0.078	N.S	1.566
			0.083** 0.089**	0.073** 0.135**	N.S. 0.34**	N.S 2.714**
			A A73**	0.135	0.54 N.S	N.S.
I×S×L	ı		0.127**	0.110	N.S	3.84*

<sup>\*</sup> after 55 days from sowing

Table 3. Plant dry weight, 55 days after seeding, straw and grain yield and 1000-grain weight at harvest as affected by different inoculation with Cerealine and N-fertilizers in a sand soil

Inoculation of	Nit: fertil	rogen ization	Plant*	Straw	Grain	1000-
Cerealine rate (mg/kg seed)	Source	Levels (mg N/kg soil)	dry weight (g/plant)	yield (g/plant)	yield (g/plant)	grain yield (g)
		0	0.15	0.43	0.10	21.32
	AS	75	0.20	0.53	0.03	34.14
	Ab	105	0.19	0.60	0.04	40.66
0		135	0.14	0.57	0.07	41.09
U		0	0.15	0.43	0.01	21.32
	AN	75	0.28	0.50	0.06	39.02
	ALI	105	0.19	0.60	0.09	36.83
		135	0.18	0.37	0.05	42.33
		0	0.19	0.47	0.15	38.78
	AS	75	0.14	0.63	0.07	44.39
,		105	0.10	0.40	0.02	41.49
0.5		135	0.11	0.40	0.04	40.22
		0	0.19	0.47	0.14	38.79
	A BT	<b>75</b> -	0.11	0.53	008	43.55
	AN	105	0.09	0.50	0.20	39.62
		135	0.27	0.53	0.12	29.98
		0	0.20	0.60	0.11	29.19
	AS	75	0.23	0.57	0.18	48.45
		105	0.19	0.50	0.10	32.56
1		135	0.22	0.63	0.10	32.33
		0	0.20	0.60	0.10	29.19
	A BT	75	0.23	0.53	0.10	28.57
	AN	105	0.17	0.47	0.11	41.11
		135	0.22	0.50	0.12	33.36
LSD						
(I): inoci	ulation		0.014**	N.S	0.025**	1.079**
(S): N-sc	urce		0.015	0.025*	0.013**	1.022**
(L) : N-le	vel		0.026	N.S	N.S	1.345
Ì×S			N.S	0.044	0.022**	1.770
$\mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{L}$			0.046**	0.078**	0.038""	1.423**
$S \times L$			0.037	N.S.	0.029^^	1.977**
I×S×L		·	0.064**	0.110	0.053**	3.427**

<sup>\*</sup> after 55 days from sowing

sandy soil in particular. Such soil enhances the biofertilizer activity due to the soil conditions.

#### 1000-grains weight

Tables 2 and 3 show the effect of different levels of Cerealine and N-fertilizers (source and levels) on 1000 grain weight in clay and a sand soil. They indicate high-significance regarding inoculation, N-source and levels and their interactions. These results were recorded by El-Moursy (1998) and Abo Grab and Darwich (1998).

### Nutrients Concentrations and Uptake by Wheat Plant:

### Nitrogen concentration and uptake:

N-concentrations and uptake by whole plant (55-days) and by straw affected grains and as inoculation and N-fertilizers and rates are shown in Table 4 (clay soil) and Table 5 (sand soil). It is obvious that N-concentrations in wheat grains and straw were significantly affected by Also, there inoculation. were positive interactions between and N-source inoculation and rates. No much difference was detected in respect to the effect of N-source on N-concentration and uptake.

These results agree with those of Zambra et al., (1984) and Ishac et al., (1986). Therefore, it could be concluded that inoculation with Cerealine could minimize the rate of mineral-N to produce appropriate wheat yield.

In spite of the greater values of N-concentrations and uptake obtained from the clay soil, still the values of sand soil are highly significant.

Data of N-concentration and uptake as affected by inoculation are in agreement with those obtained by El-Hawary *et al.*, (1998) and Kotb (1998).

The present investigation shows that supply of N to wheat plant at late stage of growth combined with biofertilizer inoculation could be used with moderate rate of chemical N-fertilizer. This may result positively vigorous growth and yield of wheat. Such results are in agreement with those obtained by El-Mancy et al., (1998).

It is interesting to note that N-concentrations and uptake by grains was greater than those in straw. At maturity stage, translocation of N is pronounced from the roots and shoots to grains.

Table 4. N-concentration and uptake in the whole plant 55 days after seeding, straw and grain yield at harvest as affected by different inoculation with Cerealine and N-fertilizers in the clay soil

Inoculation rate of Cerealine		trogen lization Levels	N % *	N %	N % in	N * uptake plant	N uptake straw	N uptake grain
(mg/kg seed)		(mg N/kg soil)	plant)	straw	grain	(m8/bjeut) histor	(mg/plant)	gram (mg/plant)
-		0	1.52	0.37	4.65	09.1	6.4	46.6
	AS	75	2.25	0.38	5.3	17.3	6.8	64.2
	AU	105	2.81	0.39	4.70	16.7	7.7	54.0
0		135	2.27	0.38	4.57	11.00	6.6	62.8
Ü		0	1.52	0.37	4.65	09.1	6.4	46.6
	AN	75	3.46	0.30	4.63	28.1	4.7	49.0
	Aix	105	1.74	0.19	4.25	15.9	3.5	51.5
		135	3.26	0.37	3.89	21.2	5.9	49.2
		0	2.45	0.18	5.39	18.8	3.4	58.1
	AS	75	1.85	0.14	4.05	10.6	2.5	52.7
		105	2.4	0.55	4.93	13.8	6.8	61.8
0.5		135	3.45	0.4	5.36	14.1	3.9	64.8
1		0	2.45	0.18	5.39	18.8	3.4	58.1
	4.31	75	2.52	0.6	5.86	08.7	6.4	72.5
	AN	105	1.85	0.33	5.47	09.0	3.8	81.4
•		135	3.3	0.43	5.74	38.7	7.3	67.6
		0	3.01	0.79	4.38	22.9	17.1	90.8
	AS	75	4.08	0.73	4.07	3.17	23.3	81.0
		105	3.32	0.59	2.85	3.07	15.2	37.4
1		135	2.20	0.56	2.9	1.89	12.9	31.9
		0	3.18	0.79	4.38	2.42	17.1	90.8
	ABI	75	3,40	0.23	4.21	1.45	5.9	73.2
	AN	105	1.98	0.49	4.32	0.62	6.7	51.9
		135	1.92	0.49	6.31	2.05	7.1	55.3
LSD								
(1) : inoc	culation		0.0307**	0.085	0.329	0.257	1.04**	N.S
(S) : N-s			N.S	N.S	0.308**	N.S	1.08**	N.S
(L): N-I	evel		0.221**	N.S	N.S	0.195**	N.S	N.S
$I \times S$			0.089**	0.103	0.533**	0.336**	1.87**	10.66*
$I \times L$			0.382**	0.138**	N.S	0.338"	2.67**	18.17**
$S \times L$			0.310"	N.S	N.S	0.76**	2.17**	N.S
$1 \times S \times I$			0.539**	0.195**	0.990''	0.478**	3.56*	N.S

<sup>\*</sup> after 55 days from sowing

Table 5. N-concentration and uptake in the whole plant 55 days after seeding, straw and grain yield at harvest as affected by different inoculation with Cerealine and N-fertilizers in the sand soil

Inoculation		trogen				N°	N	N
rate of Cerealine (mg/kg seed)	ferti Source	lization Levels (mg N/kg soil)	N%* in plant	N% in straw	N% in grain	uptake plant (mg/plant)	uptake straw (mg/plant)	uptake grain (mg/plant
		0	1.46	0.39	0.1	02.2	1.7	1.00
	AS	75	1.23	0.56	4.73	02.5	3.00	1.6
	Ao	105	1.79	0.4	5.06	03.3	2,4	2.3
0		135	1.53	0.22	4.76	02.2	1.2	3.2
V		0	1.46	0.39	0.1	02.2	1.7	1.0
	4.37	75	1.55	0.66	6.43	04.5	3.3	3.9
	AN	105	1.42	0.67	4.88	02.7	4.1	4.6
		135	1.42	0.36	4.37	02.5	1.3	2.2
		0	1.32	0.19	7.86	02.6	0.9	11.9
	AS	75	1.14	0.52	8.72	01.6	3.3	6.4
		105	1.47	0.76	6.58	01.4	3.0	1,3
0.5		135	1.37	1.28	6.12	01.5	5.1	2.5
		0	1.32	0.19	7.86	02.6	0.9	11.4
	AN	75	1.62	1.00	5.76	01.7	5.4	4.8
	AiN	105	1.62	0.28	5.82	01.5	1.4	11.6
		135	1.51	0.06	4.99	04.1	0.3	6.2
		0	1.68	0.12	4.47	03.4	0.7	5.1
	AS	75	1.35	0.78	4.66	03.1	4.9	8.4
		105	1.73	0.07	4.81	03.2	0.4	4.8
1		135	1.72	0.07	6.21	03.8	0.5	6.2
		0	1.68	0.12	4.47	03.4	6.7	4.5
	A SAT	75	1.33	0.06	5.77	03.1	0.3	0.58
	AN	105	2.40	0.06	4.95	04.1	0.3	5.3
		135	2.49	0.09	5.68	05.4	0.5	6.8
.SD		÷						
(I) : inoci			0.225*	0.072	0.294	00.38**	0.53**	1.63**
(S): N-so	шгсе		0.131	0.028**	0.239*	00.48	0.32**	0.86**
(L) : N-le	vel		0.109**	0.046**	0.393**	N.S	0.41**	N.S
$I \times S$			0.227*	0.049**	0.419**	N.S	0.55	1.49**
$1 \times \Gamma$			0.189**	0.078**	0.680**	00.90**	0.72**	2.84**
$S \times L$			N.S	0.066	N.S	00.75*	0.57**	2.35**
I×S×L			0.267**	0.113**	0.961**	0.127	1.00**	N.S

<sup>\*</sup> after 55 days from sowing

### Phosphorus concentration and uptake

Phosphorus concentrations and uptake by whole plant (55-days), and by straw and grains as affected by inoculation and N-fertilizer are shown in Table 6 for the clay soil. and Table 7 for a sand soil. Pconcentration in wheat grains and straw were affected significantly inoculation. No much difference was detected in respect to the effect of N-source on Nconcentration and uptake in clay soil and in straw in sand soil.

Although there was greater values of P-concentration and uptake, obtained in the clay soil, the values of a sand soil were significant, and was pronounced in case of P-uptake by straw.

There was an significant effect of interaction between inoculation or N-source and levels on P-concentrations and uptake. The effect of AS was more pronounced and the values is much greater than AN. This difference may be due to a possible residual acidity produced due to AS application.

In general, application of 75 mg N/kg along with the high rate of inoculation gave more response than 105 mg N/kg along with the low rate of inoculation. However,

Tables 6 and 7 present the effect of different levels of inoculation and fertilizers on P-concentration and uptake by whole plant in the clay and a sand soils. It indicates that the treatment of 105 mg N/kg. along with the high rate of inoculation gave the maximum effect.

The present findings are in agreement with those of El-Mancy et al., (1998).

The data revealed that Pconcentration and uptake in grains and straw increased significantly with inoculation and N-fertilizers (source and levels). P-concentrations and uptake by straw was greater grains unlike by concentrations and uptake. This result indicates that high growth of wheat plants due to sufficient nitrogen level is able to uptake more phosphorus in shoots. Such results suggest that nitrogen level is very important to be taken into consideration to enhance plant production in favour of P translocation in grains more than straw.

### Potassium concentration and uptake

Potassium concentrations and uptake by whole plant (55-days), and by straw and grains as affected

Table 6. P-concentration and uptake in the whole plant 55 days after seeding, straw and grain yield at harvest as affected by different inoculation with Cerealine and N-fertilizers in the clay soil

Inoculation rate of		rogen	P*	P	P	P.	P	P
Cerealine		lization Levels	(μs/s) in	(μ∉g) in	(μg/g) in	uptake plant	uptake straw	uptake grain
(mg/kg seed)	Source	(mg N/kg soil)	plant	straw	grain	(mg/plant)	(mg/plant)	(mg/plant)
		0	3290	3120	1280	2.008	5.408	1.28
	AS	75	5560	3610	1340	4.341	6.42	1.63
	AS	105	5560	3120	1220	3.303	6.12	1.40
0		135	3390	2900	1390	1.639	5.027	1.91
U		0	3290	3120	1280	2.008	5.408	1.28
	4 %7	75	6190	2650	1340	5.002	4.170	1.43
	AN	105	2780	1830	1380	2.548	3.35	1.66
		135	6010	1830	1240	3.257	2.92	1.57
		0	5910	1980	1720	4.551	3.76	1.84
	AS	75	3190	2020	1460	1.829	3.57	1.87
		105	7240	2400	1330	4.175	2.96	1.68
0.5		135	7220	2590	1400	2.864	2.54	1.67
		0	5910	1980	1720	4.551	2.76	1.84
-	4 87	75	330	2330	1320	1.142	2.48	1.63
	AN	105	2250	1980	1370	1.097	2.21	2.07
		135	4070	2080	1360	4.779	3.54	1.61
		0	2660	1850	1140	2.014	4.05	2.36
	AS	75	3890	2160	1310	3.021	6.94	2.63
		105	5070	2090	1300	4.72	5.38	1.72
1		135	2950	1260	1250	2.533	2.90	1.38
		0	2660	1850	1140	2.014	4.05	2.36
	4 % 7	75	5450	1610	1330	2.273	4.16	2.33
	AN	105	3890	1160	1260	1.224	1.58	1.55
		135	5030	1580	1380	3.544	2.27	1.23
LSD								
(I) : ino	culation		40**	10"	80**	N.S	0.314**	N.S
(S): N-s			10**	10**	N.S	0.295*	0.263**	N.S
(L): N-			20**	10	N.S	N.S	0.332**	0.263*
Ì×S			20**	20**	N.S	0.511**	0.456	N.S
[×L			50**	20**	10**	0.614	0.574**	0.456**
$S \times L$			40**	10	N.S	0.501**	0.470**	N.S
I×S×J	L		70**	30**	N.S	0.869*	0.812**	N.S

<sup>\*</sup> after 55 days from sowing

Table 7. P-concentration and uptake in the whole plant 55 days after seeding, straw and grain yield at harvest as affected by different inoculation with Cerealine and N-fertilizers in a sand soil

luoculation rate of		trogen ilization	P,	P	P	P*	P	P
Cercaline (mg/kg seed)	Source	Levels (kg N/fad soil)	(µ g/t) in plant	( µ g/g) in straw	(µ g/g) in grain	uptake plant (mg/pant)	uptake straw (mg/plant)	uptake grain (mg/plant
		0	4860	883	460	0.745	0.37	0.046
	AS	75	5680	1190	837	1.137	0.64	0.025
3.6	AB	105	5710	1830	933	1.06	1.10	0.038
0		135	4160	1330	960	0.59	0.76	0.067
U		0	4860	883	450	0.74	0.37	0.046
	AN	75	4390	1330	1300	1.21	0.66	0.078
	AIT	105	4290	1400	1130	0.78	0.85	0.106
		135	5270	1550	1280	0.93	0.56	0.062
		0	4400	1260	9.0	0.86	0.58	0.148
	AS	75	4510	760	1090	0.65	0.49	0.080
		105	5400	930	880	0.52	0.37	0.018
0.5		135	5330	1980	850	0.58	0.82	0.034
		0	4450	1260	970	0.86	0.58	0.141
	A INT	75	4780	1120	1070	0.510	0.59	0.086
	AN	105	6090	1280	1110	0.546	0.64	0.223
		135	5070	1520	933	1.36	0.81	0.111
		0	5300	1260	1000	1.08	0.75	0.114
	AS	75	6870	1150	927	1.56	0.65	0.167
		105	6530	1260	857	1.25	0.63	0.086
1		135	5390	1230	1080	1.20	0.78	0.108
		0	5300	1260	1000	1.08	0.75	0.100
	A 16.T	75	5710	1120	1290	1.32	0.59	0.129
	AN	105	4480	1050	1240	0.75	0.49	0.134
		135	5240	1080	1080	1.13	0.54	0.130
LSD							•	
(1) : ino	culation		30**	0.9*	80*	0.13**	N.S	0.030
(S): N-	source		10**	N.S	50**	N.S	N.S	0.017
(L): N-	level		20""	10**	80**	0.116**	1.02*	N.
I×S			20**	N.S	N.S	0.117**	N.S	0.030
$I \times L$			30**	20**	10**	0.202	1.77**	0.045
$S \times L$			20**	N.S	10**	0.165	N.S	0.037
$I \times S \times$	L		50**	20**	20**	0.285*	N.S	N.

<sup>\*</sup> after 55 days from sowing

by Cerealine, levels, N-fertilizers and rates in the clay soil are in Table 8 and those in the sand soil are in Table 9. It is obvious that in the sandy soil K-concentration in grains and K-uptake in straw responded to inoculate significantly positively. The lowest values of K-concentration and uptake were obtained in grains and also in sand soil.

The effect of inoculation on Kconcentration and uptake in the clayey one was not significant except K-concentration in straw. In general, 75 mg N/kg in the form of AS combined with the high rate of inoculation gives higher uptake as regards to K-concentrations and uptake by wheat plants. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Vallejo et al., (1993) and El-Aila (1998). Inoculation of N-fixing micro-organisms combined with N-fertilizers enhances the absorption of K. This result can be attributed to the effect of bacteria of biofertilizer to save N through fixing process and ultimately reduces N-losses from the soil.

Greater content of encourages greater plant growth and hence nutrients uptake including potassium (Kaloosh and Koreish, 1995).

Leached NO<sub>2</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub>-N, as Affected by Cropping Under Different Levels of Cerealine, N-Source and N Level in Clayey and Sandy Soils

$$(NO_{2}^{-} + NO_{3}^{-})-N$$

 $(NO_2^- + NO_3^-)$ -N values as shown in Table 10 were clearly affected by the tested treatments. In the clay soil, results show that values  $(NO_2 + NO_3)-N$  increased by increasing N-rate at all leachate Nos (1, 2 and 3) and all N-sources. inoculation In addition significantly decreased leached  $(NO_2 + NO_3)-N$ , and the values were greater with AN than those with AS at all levels of Cerealine biofertilizer. Comparing the values the low level of Cerealine with the higher level, results show that the high level gave higher values of leached nitrite and nitrate nitrogen. This trend was clear particularly in the leachate No. 1 and No. 2, while In the leachate No. 3 the trend was clear but not with all cases. Significant differences observed due to the treatments of inoculation, N-source, N-level and their interactions for each NO<sub>-,2</sub>-N and NO<sub>3</sub>-N, separately. In most cases, leached (NO<sub>2</sub> + NO<sub>3</sub>)-N decreased with time of leaching where the values in the leachate No. 3 were lower than those in the first or the second ones.

Table 8. K-concentration and uptake in the whole plant 55 days after seeding, straw and grain yield at harvest as affected by different inoculation with Cerealine and N-fertilizers in the clay soil

Inoculation rate of		rogen ization	K*	K	K	K*	K	K
Cerealine (mg/kg seed)	Source	Levels (mg N/kg soil)	(mg/kg) in plant	(mg/kg) in straw	(mg/kg) in graiu	uptake (mg/plant) plant	uptake (mg/plant) straw	uptake (mg/plant grain
		0	2,50	1.01	2.83	0.0152	0.0176	0.0275
	AS	75	2.15	0.950	0,739	0.0167	0.0168	0.00901
	AS	105	2.42	0.616	0.724	0.0144	0.0121	0.00829
6		135	2.38	0.748	0.656	0.0115	0.0129	0.00890
U		0	2,50	1.01	2.83	0.0152	0.0176	0.0275
	4.31	75	2.55	1.11	0.750	0.0207	0.0175	0.0079
	AN	105	2.37	0.866	0.712	0.0219	0.0159	0.0085
		135	2.82	1.41	0.651	0.0183	0.0225	0.00822
		0	2.31	0.971	0.495	0.0177	0.0184	0.00534
	AS	75	2.34	1.36	0.599	0.0134	0.0243	0.00750
,		105	2.45	0.840	0.642	8.0140	0.0103	0.00804
0.5		135	2.33	0.846	0.798	0.0091	0.0085	0.00958
		0	2.31	0.971	0.495	0.0177	0.0184	0.00534
	4 % 1	75	2.29	1.14	0.752	0,0078	0.0121	0.00929
	AN	105	2.04	1.20	0.608	0.0098	0.0135	0.00903
		135	2.48	1.05	0.558	0.0291	0.0180	0.00662
		0	2,58	0.943	0.419	0.0197	0.0203	0.00869
	AS	75	2.24	0.838	0.502	0.0174	0.0267	0.0109
		105	2.54	0.674	6.717	0.0235	0.0173	0.00942
Ī		135	2.31	0.482	0.717	0.0198	0.0110	0.00788
		0	2.58	0.943	0.419	0.0197	0.0203	0.00869
	4.76:	75	2.68	0.676	0.797	0.0111	0.0173	0.0137
	AN	105	2.84	0,659	0.750	0.0089	0.0090	0.00924
		135	2.91	0.997	0.820	0.0202	0.0141	0.0075'
LSD								
(I) : inoci			N.S	0.138**	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
(S): N-sc			0.095**	0.130*	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
(L) : N-le	vel		N.S	0.140	N.S	0.01172**	0.00236**	N.S
1 × S			0.165**	N.S	0.078	0.00241**	N.S	N.S
I × L			N.S	0.244	1,23	0.00298**	0.00415	N.S
$S \times L$			0.219**	0.199**	N.S	0.00243**	0.00338**	N.S
1×S×L			N.S	N.S	N.S	0.00422**	N.S	N.S

<sup>\*</sup> after 55 days from sowing

Table 9. K-concentration and uptake in whole plant 55 days after seeding, straw and grain yield at harvest as affected by different inoculation of Cerealine and N-fertilizers in the sand soil

Inoculation		trogen	K*	K	ĸ	K*	K	K
rate of	ferti	lization	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	uptake	uptake	uptake
Cerealine		Levels	in	in	in	(mg/plant)	(mg/plant)	(mg/plant
(mg/kg	Source	(mg N/kg	plant	straw	grain	plant	straw	grain
seed)		soil)						
		0	2.41	1.56	0.09	0.0037	0.0067	0.00009
	AS	75	2.28	1.69	0.703	0.0045	0.0090	0.00021
	113	105	2.74	1.71	0.847	0.0050	0.0010	0.00030
0		135	2.31	1.27	0.779	0.0033	0.0073	0.00647
Ū		0	2.41	1.56	0.09	0.0037	0.0067	0.00009
	AN	75	2.05	1.93	1.00	0.0059	0.0096	0.00060
	ZNIT	105	2,23	1.58	0.851	0.0042	0.0093	0.00079
		135	2.38	1.56	1.00	0.0042	0.0057	0.00047
		0	2.26	1.67	1.50	0.0043	0.0078	0.00225
	AS	75	2.38	1.49	1.48	0.0034	0.0096	0.00099
		105	2.45	1.44	2.26	0.0023	0.0057	0.00045
0.5		135	2.37	1.59	2.36	0.0026	0.0064	0.00094
		0	2.26	1.67	0.150	0.0043	0.0078	0.00215
	A MI	75	2.41	1.42	2.39	0.0025	0.0076	0.00196
	AN	105	2,55	1.31	1.15	0.0022	0.0064	0.00230
		135	2.41	1.73	1.58	0.0065	0.0092	0.00205
		0	2.41	1.60	0.829	0.0048	0.0096	0.00093
	AS	75	2.53	2.00	0.628	0.0057	0.0113	0.00113
		105	2.46	2.07	0.538	0.0047	0.0103	0.00053
1		135	2.49	1.77	1.00	0.0055	0.0112	0.00101
		0	1.74	1.60	0.829	0.0034	0.0096	0.00082
	4.51	75	1.76	1.50	0.713	0.0039	0.0079	0.00071
	AN	105	1.80	1.48	1.39	0.0027	0.0068	0.0144
		135	2.57	1.72	2.28	0.0055	0.0086	0.0274
LSD								
(I) : inocu			N.S	N.S	0.210	N.S	0.00055**	N.S
(S) : N-so			N.S	0.066	0.092**	N.S	0.000432**	0.00033
(L) : N-le	vel		N.S	0.100**	0.154**	N.S	N.S	0.00030
$I \times S$			N.S	0.114**	0.160**	N.S	0.00074**	0.000175
1 × L			N.S	0.173	0.266**	N.S	0.00176**	N.S
$S \times L$			N.S	0.141**	0.218**	0.00139"	N.S	0.00062
1×S×L			N.S	N.S	0.377**	0.00113	N.S	0.00050

<sup>\*</sup> after 55 days from sowing

Table 10. NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations (ppm) of the leachates at different intervals, as affected by Cerealine, N-fertilizers and their interactions in clay soil

Inoculation		ogen		Leachate	1		.eachate	2	·	eachate	3
rate of Cerealine(mg/kg)	Source	zation Levels (mg N/kg)	NO;	NO <sub>3</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub> + NO <sub>3</sub>	NO,	NO,	NO, +	NO <sub>z</sub>	NO,	NO <sub>2</sub> +
		0	2.33	13.3	15.63	0.35	14.3	14.65	0.30	12.33	12.63
	AS	75	6.20	18.0	24.2	0.80	42.0	42.8	8.60	20.0	28.6
	AS	105	8.20	28.0	36.2	0.50	51.0	51.5	7.50	64.0	71.5
0		135	11.8	28.0	39.8	0.40	54.0	54.4	4.50	36.0	40.5
v		0	3.00	10.0	13	2.00	11.0	13	0.30	9.0	9.3
	AN	75	1.90	66.0	67.9	0.30	54.0	54.3	5.20	34.0	39.2
	AIN	105	3.20	70.0	73.2	0.70	45.0	45.7	4.00	37.0	41
		135	2.70	66.0	68.7	1.20	69.0	70.2	0.06	40.0	40.06
		0	0.20	36.0	36.2	0.30	14.0	14.3	0.07	15.0	15.07
	AS	75	0.30	30.0	30.3	0.10	13.0	13.1	0.05	16.0	16.05
		105	0.20	25.0	25.2	0.10	16.0	16.1	0.05	40.0	40.05
0.5		135	0.20	26.0	26.2	0.10	12.0	12,1	0.06	45.0	45.06
	AN	0	0.20	26.0	26.2	0.30	14.0	14.3	0.07	15.0	15.07
		75	0.20	47.0	47.2	0.10	13.0	13.1	0.40	11.0	11.4
	AIN	105	1.00	50.0	51	0.10	13.0	13.1	0.60	13.0	13.6
		135	3.50	52.0	55.5	0.40	42.0	42.4	0.10	12.0	12.1
		0	0.10	42.0	42.1	0.20	45.0	45.2	0.40	13.0	13.4
	AS	75	0.30	50.0	50.3	0.40	30.0	30.4	0.50	12.0	. 12.5
		105	3.00	50.0	53	1.50	36.0	37.5	0.60	12.0	12.6
1		135	3.40	66.0	69.4	0.60	60.0	60.6	0.20	16.0	16.2
		0	0.10	42.0	42.1	0.20	45.0	45.2	0.50	13.0	13.5
	4.30	75	2.50	38.0	40.5	0.30	27.0	27.3	0.37	18.0	18.37
	AN	105	5.80	62.0	67.8	1.00	87.0	88	0.27	25.0	25.27
		135	2.80	66.0	68.8	0.30	75.0	75.3	0.20	27.0	27.2
LSD											
(1) : inoc	ulation		0.189**	0.946**		0.095**	0.946**		0.019**	0.946**	
(S): N-s	ource		0.136**	0.678**		0.068**	0.678**		0.013**	0.678**	
(L) : N-l	evel		0.159**	0.798**		0.078**	0.798**		0.009**	0.798**	
1 × S			0.235**	1.177**		0.118**	1.177**		0.024**	1.177**	
$I \times L$			0.276**	1.381**		0.138**	1.381		0.016**	1.381**	
$S \times L$			0.227**	1.128**		0.112**	1.128**		0.013**	1,128**	
1×S×1			0.390**	1.952		0.195	1.952**		0.023**	1.952**	

Table 11. NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations (ppm) of the leachates at different intervals, as affected by cerealine, N-fertilizers and their interactions in the sand soil

Inoculation rate of		ogen zation	I	Leachate	1	1	Leachate 2			Leachate 3		
Cerealine (mg/kg)	Source	Levels (sig N/kg)	NO.	NO,	NO <sub>2</sub> + NO <sub>3</sub>	NO,	NO,	NO <sub>2</sub> +	NO.	NO <sub>3</sub>	NO;+	
		0	0.39	8.00	8.39	0.34	1.93	2.27	0.43	10.0	10.43	
	AS	75	1.00	46.0	47	0.10	1.90	2	4.30	25.0	29.3	
	710	105	0.80	55.0	55.8	0.80	2.30	3.1	3.30	70.0	73.3	
0		135	0.60	57.0	57.6	0.80	3.0	3.8	3.90	40.0	43.9	
v		0	0.96	15.0	15.06	0.01	1.60	1.61	0.10	10.0	10.1	
	AN	75	2.0	58.0	60	0.30	10.9	11.2	2.80	39.0	41.8	
	ALI	105	4.50	48.0	52.5	3.10	9.50	12.6	2.00	40.0	42	
		135	0.30	71.0	71.3	0.60	5.00	5.6	0.03	45.0	45.03	
		0	0.50	17.0	17.5	0.10	8.90	9.0	0.04	19.0	19.04	
	AS	75	0.30	15.3	15.6	0.03	2.2	2.23	0.02	18.0	18.02	
		105	0.40	22.7	23.1	0.10	3.20	3.3	0.02	42.0	42.02	
0.5	0.5 <b>AN</b>	135	0.40	23.7	24.1	0.40	15.4	15.8	0.02	98.0	98.02	
		0	0.50	17.0	17.5	0.10	8.90	9	0.04	19.0	19.04	
		75	0.30	14.7	15	0.10	20.3	20.4	0.20	13.0	13.2	
		105	2.50	22.0	24.5	1.0	0.50	1.5	0.30	15.0	15.3	
		135	4.00	46.0	50	0.20	1.50	1.7	0.50	16.0	16.5	
		0	0.20	48.0	48.2	2.00	1.00	3	3.00	14.0	17	
	AS	75	0.50	35.0	35.5	1.70	7.50	9.2	2.00	13.0	15	
		105	4.50	38.0	42.5	0.40	1.00	1.4	0.20	17.3	17.5	
1		135	5.00	64.0	69	0.30	1.00	1.3	0.10	17.0	17.1	
		0	0.20	48.0	48.2	2.00	1.00	3	3.00	14.0	17	
	A Tar	75	5.00	34.0	39	0.60	3.00	3.6	0.20	20.0	20.2	
	AN	105	9.50	90.0	99.5	1.40	9.00	10.4	0.02	28.0	28.02	
		135	8.50	77.0	85.5	1.90	12.5	14.4	0.02	29.0	29.02	
LSD												
(I) : inoc			0.095**	1.771		0.095	0.095**		0.095**	0.946**		
(S) : N-s			0.068** 0.086**	0.353 <sup>**</sup>		0.068**	0.068** 0.079**		0.068** 0.080**	0.678** 0.798**		
(L) : N-l	cvei					0.080**	0.079					
I×S			0.118**	0.613**		0.118**	0.118		0.118**	1.177**		
I×L			0.138** 0.112**	1.949`` 1.599`		0.138** 0.112**	0.138		0.138 <sup>**</sup> 0.112 <sup>**</sup>	1.381**		
S×L 1×S×L			0.112	2.759**		0.112 0.195**	0.112		0.112	1.128		

In general, inoculation with Cerealine decreased  $(NO_2 + NO_3)$  – N in the clay soil particularly with higher rates of nitrogen added. Meshref *et al.* (2000) reported that inoculation with Cerealine and manure application decreased  $(NO_2^- + NO_3^-)$ -N in the clay soil.

In the sand soil, results of leached (NO<sub>2</sub> + NO<sub>3</sub>)-N as shown in Table 11 shows similar trend in the first leachate with those in the clay soil. In the second and third leachates, the trend was not clear. Comparing the values of leached  $(NO^{-}, + NO^{-}, )$  -N in the three leachates, the values decreased in the second leachate, then again increased in the third leachate in most cases. Statistical analysis significant differences showed between treatments of inoculation. N-source. N-level and their interactions for NO2- N and NO3-N. Data also reveal that using biofertilizer (cerealine), resulted in a decrease in  $(NO_2 + NO_3) - N$ . These results are in agreement with those of Meshref et al. (2000) and Mohammed (2002).

#### REFERENCES

Abd El-Rasoul, Sh.M., S.M. El-Saadany; M.M. Hassan and A.

Salem-Amira. 2003. Comparison between the influence of some biofertilizers or effective microorganisms and organic or inorganic fertilizers on wheat grown on sandy soil. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 18(6): 388-406.

Abo-Grab, O.S. and A.A. Darwich. 1998. Response of some wheat cultivars to N-fertilization and growth retardant uniconazole (isopropsed). Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 13(4): 88 – 100.

Ahmed, A.A. 1995. Response of wheat plants to nitrogen and biological fertilization under condition of North West Coast of Egypt. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.

Amara, M.A.T. and M.S.A.
Dahdoh. 1997. Effect of
inoculation with plant growth
promoting rhizobacteria
(PGRR) on yield and nutrients
uptake by wheat grown on
sandy soils. Egypt. J. Soil Sci.,
37(4): 467-484.

Chapman, D.H. and P.F. Pratt. 1961. Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Waters. California. Univ., Division of Agricultural Science.

El-Aila, H.I. 1998. Studies on slow release fertilizer. V. Effectiveness

- of some slow release nitrogenous fertilizers and potassium fertilizers on the growth of sudan grass. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 23(6): 2879-2886.
- El-Aita, H.I. and M. Abou Seeda. 1996. Studies on slow release fertilizers. I. The utilization of controlled release and traditional nitrogen fertilizers by wheat. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 21(11): 4161-4178
- El-Hawary, F.I., I. Ibrahim and F. Hamouda. 1998. Effect of integrated bacterial fertilization on yield components of wheat in sandy soil. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 23(5): 1951-1957.
- El-Maddah, E.L., M.D. El-Sodany and E.A. Koreish. 2005. Effect of Azotobacter chroococcum and phosphate solublizing bacteria on wheat growth, productivity and nutrient availability. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 30(5): 2895 2908.
- El-Mancy, M.H., M.Th.A. Kotb, H. El-Hamdi and S.A. Hammad. 1998. N, P and K contents of rice crop in relation to algalization combined with N, P fertilization. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 22(9): 3053-3065.

- El-Moursy, S.A. 1998. NPK requirements for wheat under newly reclaimed soils. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 23(1): 47-59.
- Harris, G.L. and S.C. Rose. 1992. Nitrate leaching to surface waters from small agricultural catchments. Aspects of Applied Biology, 30: 199-202.
- Hesse, P.R. 1971. A Text Book of Soil Chemical Analysis. John Marry (publishers) LTD., London.
- Ibrahim, M.M. 1998. Effect of herbicides and biofertilization on growth and yield of wheat under different nitrogen fertilizer levels. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- Ishac, Y.Z., M.E. El-Haddy, M.J. Daet, E.M. Ramadan and M.E. El-Demerdash. 1986. Effect of seed inoculation, mycorrhizal infection and organic amendment on wheat growth. Plant and soil, 90: 373-382.
- Jackson, M.L. 1967. Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall, of Inidia. Private limited, New York.
- Kaloosh, A.A. and E.A. Koreish. 1995. Nitrobin, ammonium nitrate and chicken manure

- effects on wheat growth, soil nitrate, soil microbial biomass and carbon dioxide evolution. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 20(8): 3943-3949.
- Kotb, M.Th.A. 1998. Response of wheat to biofertilizer and inorganic N and P levels. The Regional Symposium on Agrotechnologies based on Biological Nitrogen Fixation for desert Agriculture. April 14 16, 1998, El-Arish, North Sinai Governorate.
- Meshref, H.A., Kh.H. El-Hamdi and E.E. Khafagy. 2000. Use of biofertilization and organic manure to decrease the adverse environmental impacts of inorganic nitrogen in Agro-Ecosystem. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 25(1): 555-569.
- Mohammed, S.S. 2002. Integrated nitrogen management to wheat through mineral and biofertilization along with organic municipal wastes in some newly reclaimed soils of Egypt. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 29 (2): 547-567.
- Peterburgski, A.V. 1968. "Hand Book of Agronomic Chemistry" Kolos publishing house,

- Moscow (in Russian pp. 29-86) (C.F. Shams El-Din, H.A., 1993, Ph.D. Thesis, Mansoura Univ.).
- Piper, C.S. 1950. Soil and plant analysis. Interscience publishers Inc., New York.
- Rabie, K.A.E., S.A. Nasr and Mervat, A. Amara. 1995. The effect of a symbiotic N-fixers on the endogenous growth substances of wheat plants. Annals Agric. Sci. Ain Shams Univ., 40 (1): 11 32.
- Sharief, A.E., S.E. El-Kalla, A.A. Leilha and H.E.M. Mostafa 1998. Response of some wheat cultivars to nitrogen fertilizer levels and biological fertilization. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 23(12): 5807-5816.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran. 1982. Statistical methods 7<sup>th</sup> ed. Iowa State University Press. Ames. Iowa, U.S.A.
- Subba Rao, N.S. 1982.

  "Biofertilizers in Agriculture".

  Oxford & IBH Publishing Co.

  New Delhi, Bomaby, Calcutta.
- Vallejo, A., M.C. Catagena, D. Rodriguez and J.A. Diez. 1993.

Nitrogen availability of soluble and slow release nitrogen fertilizers as assessed by electroultrafiltration. Fertilizer Research. 34: 121-126.

Zambra, M.A. B.K. Konde and K.R. Sonar. 1984. Effect of

Azotobacter chroococcum and Azospirillum brasilense inoculation under graded levels of nitrogen on growth and yield of wheat. Plant and soil, 79: 61-67.

## تأثير التلقيح بالبكتيريا المثبتة للنيتروجين (السيريالين) على نمو القمح ومحتوى العناصر المغذية

إبراهيم عبد الجليل الجارحى – أحمد سعيد متولى نبيه عطية عطية – سارة السيد السيد فودة فسم علوم الأراضي – كلية الزراعة – جامعة الزقازيق – مصر.

أجريت تجرية أصص على نبات القدح خلال الموسم الشنوى ٢٠٠٣/٢٠٠٢ بصوية كلية الزراعة جامعة الزقازيق بهدف تأثير دراسة الأسمدة الحيوية (السيريالين) المحتويسة على الكائنات الدقيقة المثبتة للنيتروجين والأسمدة النيتروجينية الكيماوية (سلفات الأمونيوم ونثرات الأمونيوم) على نمو ومحصول القمح صنف سخا ٢٠ وكذلك محتواه من العناصس المغذية في تجربتين الأولى باستخدام أراضى رملية من مزرعة الخطارة الخاصة بكلية الزراعة والثانية باستخدام أراضى طينية من منطقة الإبراهيمية محافظة الشرقية واحتسوت كل تجربة على ثلاثة عوامل (التلقيح بالسيريالين ، مصادر النيتروجين ومستوى إضافة النيتروجين حيث تم التلقيح بثلاث معدلات (صفر ، منخفض ٧ جم سيريالين لكل ١ كجم حبوب ومرتفع ١٤ جم سيريالين لكل ١ كجم حبوب) وتم إضافة سماد النيتروجين على صورة سلفات أمونيوم ونترات أمونيوم في أربع معدلات صفر ، ١٠٥ ، ١٠٥ ، ١٠٥ ملايجرام نيتروجين / ١ كجم تربة على دفعتين والتجربة عاملية كاملة العشوائية أما بلنسبة للرى فتم إعطاء الأراضى الطينية عشر ريات والأراضى الرملية ١٠ رية وتح بالنسبة للرى فتم إعطاء الأراضى الطينية بعد إضافة النيتروجين والثائة بعد الحصاد وتحت تجميع الراشح ثلاث مرات الأولى والثانية بعد إضافة النيتروجين والثائة بعد الحصاد وتحت تقدير النترات والنيتريت في الراشح.

وقد أظهرت النتائج ما يلى:

زاد وزن النباتات بعد ٥٥ يوم من الزراعة زيادة طفيفة في حالة التلقيح بالسيريالين في الأراضى الطينية بينما كانت الزيادة معنوية في الأراضي الرملية.

بالنسبة لمحصول القش فقد زاد زيادة معنوية سواء في حالة التلقيح بالسسيريالين فقط أو مع التسميد الكيماوي بالنيتروجين وكان تأثير التداخل بين الثلاث عوامل معنوي موجب في الأراضي الرملية وبالنسبة للنيتروجين الممتص سواء في الأراضي الطينية أو الرملية فقد كانت الزيادة الأكبر في الأراضي الطينية.

وقد أثر التداخل بين العوامل الثلاثة تحت الدراسة تأثيراً معنويساً علسى امتسصاص النيتروجين. لوحظ أن تأثير سلفات الأمونيوم كان أفضل من نترات الأمونيوم في الأراضسي الطينية. وقد زادت قيم النترات والنيتريت في الراشح في الثلاث مرات بزيادة معدلات إضافة النيتروجين وكاتت القيم أكبر في حالة نترات الأمونيوم عنها في حالة سلفات الأمونيوم فسي حالة التلقيح بالسماد الحيوى (السيريالين) ، وكاتت قيم النترات والنيتريت في هذه الحالسة أكبر منها في حالة التلقيح بالسيريالين بالمعدل المنخفض.